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Abstract

Line-mixing effects are studied in the $v_6 R_Q K$ and $P_Q K$ ($K = 0 - 6$) branches of CH$_3$Br perturbed by nitrogen. Laboratory Fourier transform spectra have been obtained at room temperature, and for a large range of pressure values of atmospheric interest. In order to accurately model these spectra, a theoretical approach accounting for line-mixing effects is proposed. This model is based on the use of the state-to-state rotational cross-sections calculated by a statistical modified exponential-gap fitting law depending on a few empirical parameters. These parameters are deduced adjusting the calculated diagonal elements of the relaxation matrix to the N$_2$-broadening coefficients, known from accurate previous measurements. Comparisons between experimental and calculated profiles for various $Q$ branches and under various pressure conditions (0.2 - 1 atm), demonstrate the adequacy and consistency of the proposed model. To allow accurate laboratory measurements, line-mixing effects are also modeled in the case of self-perturbed CH$_3$Br.
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1. Introduction

Methyl bromine (CH$_3$Br) is the major source of stratospheric bromide, which has been shown to contribute significantly to the ozone depletion. It is also the primary organobromide species in the lower atmosphere. For these reasons, the infrared spectrum of CH$_3$Br has been extensively studied in the 10 µm atmospheric window, where the fundamental $\nu_6$ band occurs (see Refs. [1,2] and references therein). In 2002, Brunetaud et al [1] studied line positions and intensities for the two main isotopologues CH$_3^{79}$Br and CH$_3^{81}$Br, and generated a synthetic spectrum between 820 and 1120 cm$^{-1}$, for atmospheric purposes. Recently, Jacquemart et al [2] studied again this spectral region, measuring a larger number of line positions and intensities, as also numerous N$_2$- and self-broadening coefficients at room temperature. A more accurate theoretical treatment of the Hamiltonian and dipole moment operators has also been performed, allowing the calculation of a line list (about 15000 lines of both isotopologues) dedicated to atmospheric databases.

As $Q$ branches of the $\nu_6$ band are the best candidates for atmospheric remote sensing, they have to be known as accurately as possible. Previous studies [2] were limited to relatively low pressures (smaller than 30 hPa). In the present work, nitrogen pressures up to about 1 atm were used. In such conditions, the usual Voigt or Lorentz line profiles can no longer correctly reproduce the experimental shape of the $Q$ branches, because of line mixing [3,4]. Line mixing has been studied for various molecules as CO$_2$, N$_2$O, CH$_4$. For $C_3v$ molecules, the number of works is less. The line-mixing effects have been analyzed for CH$_3$F perturbed by rare gas [5,6] using a dynamical model. A simple modelling of the $\nu_5$ $^RQ_0$ branch of CH$_3$Cl perturbed by N$_2$ was proposed by Hartmann et al [7] and Frichot et al [8]. Lately, a statistical model was used by Chackerian et al to calculate this $Q$ branch [9]. In the present paper, a model similar to the one used for CH$_3$Cl [9] was applied to calculate line mixing in the $\nu_6$ $^RQ_K$ and $^PQ_K$ ($K = 0 - 6$) branches of CH$_3$Br perturbed by nitrogen and self perturbed.

In this aim, laboratory Fourier transform spectra have been obtained at room temperature, and for a large range of pressure values of atmospheric interest (0.2 - 1 atm). Spectra and experimental considerations are presented in Section 2. A model based on the use
of the state-to-state rotational cross-sections, calculated by a statistical modified exponential-gap fitting law depending on a few empirical parameters, was used to model line mixing. The parameters were deduced through a sum rule, adjusting the diagonal elements of the relaxation matrix to the N₂-broadening coefficients, previously measured [2]. This model is presented in Section 3, together with the spectroscopic parameters used to calculate the CH₃Br spectra. Comparison between experimental and calculated spectra for various Q branches is discussed in Section 4. Line-mixing effects modeled in the case of self-perturbed CH₃Br, are also presented in the same section.

2. Experiment

The rapid scan Bruker IFS 120 HR interferometer of the Laboratoire de Dynamique, Interactions et Réactivité (LADIR) was used to record self- and N₂-perturbed spectra of CH₃Br. The unapodized spectral resolution (FWHM) used is ranging from about 1 to 4 × 10⁻³ cm⁻¹, corresponding to a maximum optical path difference ranging from 125 to 400 cm. The interferometer was equipped with a Ge/KBr beamsplitter, a MCT photovoltaic detector, a Globar source, and an optical filter covering the 800 - 1100 cm⁻¹ spectral region. The experimental conditions of the recorded spectra are summarized in Table 1. Under these conditions, the rotational structure of CH₃Br transitions is not completely resolved, as it can be observed on Fig. 1 which presents the whole studied spectral domain. For all spectra, the whole optical path was under vacuum. Two cells were used: a multipass cell of 1 m base length with a total absorption path of 415 cm, as well as a 30 cm cell. These cells were equipped with KCl windows. The commercial gas sample, furnished by Fluka, with a stated purity of 99.50% in natural abundances, was used without further purification. All the spectra recorded in this work are at room temperature, close to 296 K. The pressure of the gas was measured with a capacitance MKS Baratron manometer with an accuracy estimated to be ±1%. Every scan among the 200 recorded for each spectrum has then been individually transformed to spectrum, using the Fourier transform procedure included in the Bruker software OPUS package [10], selecting a Mertz phase error correction [11,12]. The spectra were not numerically apodized. They were slightly over sampled (over sampling ratio equal to 2) by post-zero filling the interferograms. Averaging the 200 scans, the signal to noise ratio is
nearly equal to 100. Symmetric line profiles were observed on the average spectra, validating that the phase error was well corrected. Note that, as for spectra of Ref. [2], a weak multiplicative channelled spectrum is expected in the experimental spectra. Due to the high pressure of gases used in this work, it is more difficult to remove this channelled spectrum. The residuals of the adjustments on Fig. 1 give an idea of its amplitude, especially around 1000 cm$^{-1}$ where it is the strongest.

Though the line-mixing of CH$_3$Br self-perturbed has no importance for atmospheric applications and could be neglected in our spectra, its knowledge is useful for laboratory experiments that require noticeable CH$_3$Br concentrations. Therefore, a few pure CH$_3$Br spectra were recorded under pressures up to about 100 hPa.

3. Theoretical model

This section is dedicated to the theoretical treatment of the CH$_3$Br line-mixing. Sections 3.1 and 3.2 are devoted to the formulation of the absorption coefficient and of the relaxation operator, and the application of this model is described in Section 3.3.

3.1. Absorption coefficient

Let us consider a mixture of an absorbing gas and of a buffer gas. Within the impact and binary collisions approximations, and disregarding Doppler effect that has negligible influences in the studied pressure range, the absorption coefficient $\alpha$, in cm$^{-1}$, accounting for line-mixing effects at wavenumber $\sigma$ and for temperature $T$ is given by [3,4]

$$\alpha(\sigma, P_{\text{abs}}, P_{\text{buffer}}, T) = \frac{8\pi^2\sigma}{3hc} \left[ 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{hc\sigma}{k_BT}\right) \right]$$

$$\times P_{\text{abs}} \sum_k \sum_{\ell} \rho_k(T) d_k d_\ell \text{Im} \left\{ \langle \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{L}_0 - i(P_{\text{abs}} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{abs}}(T) + P_{\text{buffer}} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{buffer}}(T)) \rangle \right\}$$

In this equation, $P_{\text{abs}}$ and $P_{\text{buffer}}$ are the partial pressures of the absorbing and buffer gases, respectively. The sums include all absorption lines $k$ and $\ell$. Im{$…$} denotes the imaginary
part, $\rho_k$ is the fractional population of the initial level of line $k$, and $d_n$ is the reduced matrix element of the electric dipole moment operator of line $n$ ($n = \ell$ or $k$), related to the integrated line intensity $S_n$ of the absorbing gas by

$$S_n(T) = \frac{8\pi^3}{3hc} \rho_n(T) \times \sigma_n \times \left[1 - \exp\left(hc\sigma_n / k_BT\right)\right] \times d_n^2. \tag{2}$$

$\Sigma$, $L_\theta$ and $W$ are operators in the Liouville line space. The first two are diagonal and can be expressed as follows:

$$\langle \langle \ell | \Sigma | k \rangle \rangle = \sigma_k \times \delta_{k\ell} \text{ and } \langle \langle \ell | L_\theta | k \rangle \rangle = \sigma_k \times \delta_{k\ell}, \tag{3}$$

$\delta_{k\ell}$ being the Kronecker symbol.

The relaxation operators $W_{\text{abs/abs}}$ and $W_{\text{abs/buffer}}$, which contain the influence of collisions on the spectral shape, depend on the band, on the temperature, and on the collision partners. The off-diagonal elements of $W$ account for interferences between absorption lines (line mixing), whereas the real and imaginary parts of the diagonal elements are the pressure-broadening ($\gamma_k$) and pressure-shifting ($\delta_k$) coefficients of the lines

$$\langle \langle k | W(T) | k \rangle \rangle = \gamma_k(T) - i\delta_k(T). \tag{4}$$

In the case of $W_{\text{abs/abs}}$, $\gamma_k$ and $\delta_k$ are the self-broadening and -shifting coefficients of the absorbing transitions, whereas for $W_{\text{abs/buffer}}$, $\gamma_k$ and $\delta_k$ are the broadening and shifting coefficients due to the pressure of the buffer gas. Let us recall that neglecting line mixing (i.e., assuming that all off-diagonal elements of $W$ are equal to zero) leads to express the profile as a sum of Lorentz profiles.

3.2. Relaxation operator
According to Eq. (4), the diagonal elements are set to the broadening and shifting coefficients. The imaginary part of the off-diagonal elements can be neglected, since the shifting coefficients are expected to be small, as it has been shown experimentally [2]. The real off-diagonal elements are modeled using the state-to-state inelastic collisional rates of the lower state through

$$\langle \langle |W(T)|^2 \rangle \rangle = -A_{i,k} \times K(i \leftarrow i_k, T), \quad \text{with} \quad (k \neq \ell),$$  

(5)

where \( K(i \leftarrow i_k, T) \) designates the state-to-state collisional transfer rate from the initial level \( i_k \) of line \( k \) to the initial level \( i \) of line \( \ell \), at temperature \( T \). The parameters \( A_{i,k} \), which enable switching from the state-space to the line-space, are empirical and depend on the types of lines \( k \) and \( \ell \) considered [13,14]. In order to simplify the problem, we made the approximation that \( A_{i,k} \) depends only on the band and on the buffer gas, but not on the quantum numbers of the lines themselves.

The downward rates \( K(i \leftarrow i_k, T) \), with \( E_i/E_k \), are modeled by a statistical modified exponential-gap (MEG) fitting law [4,9,15]

$$K(i \leftarrow i_k, T) = a_1 \left( \frac{1 + a_3(E_k/a_2k_B T)}{1 + a_4(E_k/k_B T)} \right)^2 \exp \left( -\frac{a_3|E_k - E_i|}{k_B T} \right),$$

(6)

where \( E_n \) is the rotational energy of the initial level of line \( n \) \((n = \ell \text{ or } k)\). The upward rates are then calculated by using the detailed balance

$$K(i_k \leftarrow i, T) = K(i \leftarrow i_k, T) \times \rho_k(T)/\rho_i(T).$$

(7)

The coefficients \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \text{ and } a_4 \) are obtained by least squares fitting the sum rule to the \( \gamma(k) \) values. For a \( Q \) branch, this sum rule is modeled by
\[ \gamma(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{j',r,j} K(\ell \leftarrow i_j) + \sum_{j',r,j} K(\ell' \leftarrow f_{j'}) \right), \]  

(8)

where \( i_n \) and \( f_n \) are respectively the initial and final level of the transition \( n (n = \ell \text{ or } k) \), \( J_n \) and \( J'_n \) are the rotational quantum numbers of \( i_n \) and \( f_n \).

3.3. Application to the \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br} \) spectra

Using experimental spectra recorded at various \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br} \) and \( \text{N}_2 \) pressures, we calculated the effects of line mixing, due to both \( \text{CH}_3\text{Br} \) and \( \text{N}_2 \), in several \( Q \) branches of the \( \nu_6 \) band. As \( Q \) branches are well separated (see Fig. 1), inter-branch line mixing (for example with \( \Delta K = 3n [16] \)) have not been taken into account.

The spectroscopic parameters required for the calculation of the profiles at room temperature are \( \sigma_k, \rho_k, d_k, E_k, \gamma_k \). All these parameters have been constrained to the values obtained in Ref. [2]. As it has been said previously, the pressure shift has been neglected. Note that neglecting them has no observable consequence in the calculated spectra. The pressure of the absorbing gas is the partial pressure of one of the two main isotopologues, i.e, \( \text{CH}_3^{79}\text{Br} \) or \( \text{CH}_3^{81}\text{Br} \), whose concentration (in natural abundances) are 50.54 % and 49.46 % respectively. The concentration of the \( ^{13}\text{C} \) isotopologues (about 1 % on the whole) is neglected. As these two isotopologues have similar abundances and absorb in the same spectral region, they have to be studied simultaneously, so that the whole absorption coefficient is actually the sum of the absorption coefficients due to each isotopologue. Note that the line intensity in Eq. (2) is defined for a 100% \( \text{CH}_3^{79}\text{Br} \) or \( \text{CH}_3^{81}\text{Br} \) sample, not to be confused with that given in natural abundances [2].

For the calculation of the off-diagonal elements of the relaxation operators \( \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \) and \( \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{N}_2} \), the parameters \( a_1, a_2, a_3, \) and \( a_4 \) have been determined through a sum rule from a least-squares fit of the self- and \( \text{N}_2 \)-broadening coefficients respectively. For this treatment, the smoothed values of the measured data determined in Ref. [2] were chosen, instead of the measured values. Since in this previous work the \( \text{N}_2 \)-broadening coefficients of
CH$_3^{79}$Br and CH$_3^{81}$Br were found very close, the same smoothed N$_2$-broadening coefficients were given for both CH$_3^{79}$Br and CH$_3^{81}$Br isotopologues. Then, the $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, and $a_4$ parameters were supposed to be the same for the two isotopologues. However, as other spectroscopic data ($\sigma_k$, $\rho_k$, $d_k$, $\gamma_k$) are different for the two isotopologues, the state-to-state collisional transfer rates were evaluated separately for these two molecules. That is to say, we calculated a relaxation operator $W_{CHBr/CHBr}$ for each isotopologue, noted $W_{CH_{79}Br/CH_{79}Br}$ and $W_{CH_{81}Br/CH_{81}Br}$, and we also assumed that $W_{CH_{79}Br/CH_{81}Br} = W_{CH_{81}Br/CH_{79}Br}$, noted $W_{CH_{79}Br/CH_{81}Br}$, and

$$W_{CH_{81}Br/CH_{79}Br} = W_{CH_{79}Br/CH_{81}Br}$$

noted $W_{CH_{79}Br/CH_{81}Br}$.

The parameters $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, and $a_4$ obtained for the $^{R}Q_0$ branch were retained for all the other $Q$ branches, since it is the strongest of the observed branches, and therefore is the most tractable to derive significant line-mixing parameters. We checked that the values found for the parameters from the $^{R}Q_0$ branch can be transferred for others branches without degrading the residuals. Moreover, before calculating the absorption coefficient, a renormalization procedure [17] has been applied to the off-diagonal terms of $W$, in order to satisfy almost exactly the sum rule (Eq. 8).

For the $^{R}Q_0$ branch, the sum rule was evaluated with unrestricted collisional selection rules on $\Delta J$ with $J \leq 59$ (broadening coefficients being known up to $J = 59$) and with $\Delta K = 0$ [16,18-20]. The vibrational ground state has only A+ levels. The upper states of $v_6$ $^{R}Q_0$ transitions are split into A– and A+ levels. The optical selection rule for these $^{R}Q_0$ transitions is A+ $\rightarrow$ A−. As mentioned by Chackerian et al [9], who studied the CH$_3$Cl molecule similar to CH$_3$Br, both collisional relaxation between A– $\leftrightarrow$ A– and A– $\leftrightarrow$ A+ levels (instead of only A– $\leftrightarrow$ A–) in the excited state were needed to correctly describe line-mixing effects in CH$_3$Cl. Allowing also such collisional relaxations for CH$_3$Br, the sum rule becomes

$$\gamma(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{J \neq J'} K(i \leftarrow i_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \neq J'} K(f \leftarrow f_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J \neq J'} K(f \leftarrow f_k) \right).$$  (9)
However, in the case of the $^RQ_0$ branch of CH$_3$Br, the rotational energies of A$^-$ and A$^+$ levels of the upper state are very close, contrary to CH$_3$Cl, so that this refinement does not change the value of the right member of Eq. (8). Therefore, only A$^-$ ↔ A$^-$ collisional relaxations in the upper state have been considered to simplify the calculation. To ensure the convergence of the sums in Eq. (8), they were calculated with $J$ up to 80. For some levels with high rotational quantum number values, the level energies have been extrapolated using the spectroscopic constants given in [1,2].

The values obtained for $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, and $a_4$ are given in Table 2, and the validity of the model is demonstrated on Fig. 2 showing the quality of the N$_2$- and self-broadening coefficients calculated in such a way.

The coefficients $A_{i,k}$ in Eq. (5) depend on the considered band and on the buffer gas, so that we have to determine the parameters $A_{N_2}$ and $A_{CH,Br}$, in addition to $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$ and $a_4$. Each parameter was determined from a single experimental spectrum, namely the spectrum at 849.8 hPa for N$_2$-perturbed CH$_3$Br, and the spectrum at 212.5 hPa for self-perturbed CH$_3$Br. This was done by successive estimations, and we found $A_{N_2} = 0.70$ and $A_{CH,Br} = 0.75$. For all $Q$ branches, the relaxation operators $W_{CHBr/CHBr}$ and $W_{CHBr/N_2}$ have then been calculated from the set of parameters of Table 2, using Eqs. (5-7) and the parameters $A_{N_2}$ and $A_{CH,Br}$. The broadening parameters calculated from the off-diagonal elements and the sum rule, are not perfect, especially for the $Q$ branches with $K \neq 0$ (for which the broadening coefficients are different from those of the $^RQ_0$ branch (see Ref. [2])). The renormalization procedure [17,21] has been applied to the off-diagonal terms, in order to satisfy exactly the sum rule. Finally, note that two types of calculations of the absorption coefficient will be made: performing the direct calculation of Eq. (1) through the inversion of the relaxation operators $W_{CHBr/CHBr}$ and $W_{CHBr/N_2}$, and using the first order Rosenkranz profile [22].

FORTRAN codes have been written to generate the four relaxation operators $W_{CH^{35}Br/CH,Br}$, $W_{CH^{33}Br/CH,Br}$, $W_{CH^{35}Br/N_2}$, and $W_{CH^{33}Br/N_2}$, and to perform a direct calculation of the absorption coefficient. Considering one isotopologue of CH$_3$Br as the
absorbing gas, and the other isotopologue and N₂ as buffer gases, Eq. (1) becomes, for example in the case of a CH₃⁷⁹Br transitions

\[
\alpha(\sigma, P_{\text{CH}_3}\text{Br}, P_{\text{N}_2}, T) = \frac{8\pi^2 \sigma}{1 - \exp(-\hbar\sigma/k_BT)}
\times P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \sum_k \sum_{\ell} \rho_k(T) d_k d, \quad \text{Im}\left\langle \frac{1}{k} \left\{ \sum \left[ \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L}_0 - i \left( P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{CH}_3\text{Br}}(T) + P_{\text{N}_2} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{N}_2}(T) \right) \right] \right\} |k| \right\rangle.
\]  

with \( P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}}^{\text{tot}} \) the total pressure of CH₃Br, not to be confused with the partial pressures of the specific absorbing isotopologues. Results obtained by the direct calculation are presented in Section 4.

In order to simplify computations for possible atmospheric applications, the absorption coefficient was also calculated using the Rosenkranz profile [22]. Expanding Eq. (1) to first order, we obtain, e.g. in the case of CH₃⁷⁹Br transitions

\[
\alpha(\sigma, P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}}, P_{\text{N}_2}, T) = \frac{1}{\pi} P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \sum_k S_k(T) \frac{\sigma \gamma_k + (\sigma - \sigma_k) Y_k}{\sigma_k (\sigma - \sigma_k)^2 + \gamma_k^2},
\]  

where \( Y_k \) is a line-mixing parameter representing the coupling between line \( k \) of CH₃⁷⁹Br and all other lines of the same branch. The \( Y_k \)'s are given by

\[
Y_k = 2 \sum_{\ell \neq k} \frac{d_k d_{\ell}}{\sigma_k - \sigma_{\ell}} \left( \text{Im}\left\langle \frac{1}{k} \left( P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{CH}_3\text{Br}}(T) + P_{\text{N}_2} \times \mathbf{W}_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{N}_2}(T) \right) \right\} |k| \right\rangle
\times P_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} \times Y_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{CH}_3\text{Br}} + P_{\text{N}_2} \times Y_{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{N}_2}
\]  

As an example, Fig. 3 presents the values of \( Y_k^{\text{CH}_3\text{Br}/\text{N}_2} \) for the \( ^2Q_0 \) branch vs the \( J \) rotational quantum number, in unit of atm⁻¹. These values were found very close for the two isotopologues. At this stage of the work, it is interesting to discuss qualitatively these values and to compare them with those obtained by Chackerian et al [9] for another symmetric top molecule, CH₂Cl. Figure 3 shows that the values we obtained for CH₃Br have a very regular
rotational dependence, whereas the values obtained for CH$_3$Cl [9] exhibit strong irregularities. This is due to the fact that CH$_3$Cl, which is lighter than CH$_3$Br, has a more spaced and irregular pattern of rotational levels than CH$_3$Br. Furthermore, strong positive values have been found for low $J$ lines of CH$_3$Cl, whereas we found them negative for CH$_3$Br. This is obviously because the studied $Q$ branch of CH$_3$Cl extends towards high wavenumbers, whereas the $Q$ branches of CH$_3$Br extend towards low wavenumbers. It is worth noticing that the $Y_k$ values of low $J$ lines, which strongly depend upon pressure-broadening coefficients difficult to measure for such lines, can have large uncertainties (estimated up to about 10%). We will show the efficiency of the Rosenkranz profile in the next section.

4. Spectra calculations and discussion

The calculated spectra were obtained as follows. First, due to the broad unresolved branches or observed features, it was not necessary to take into account the apparatus function. Absorption coefficients due to the two CH$_3^{79}$Br and CH$_3^{81}$Br isotopologues were added. Uncoupled lines present in the studied spectral domains were also taken into account in the calculation of the total absorption coefficient, their contribution being calculated using a Lorentz profile. Because of the large values of the self broadening compared with the N$_2$ broadening, the self broadening has been taken into account, although the partial pressures of CH$_3$Br that we used were quite small as compared to the N$_2$ ones. The total broadening coefficient of a CH$_3$Br transition is then given by the usual linear mixing rule

$$\gamma(k) = P_{N_2} \times \gamma_{N_2}(k) + P_{CH_3Br}^{tot} \times \gamma_{self}(k).$$

(13)

In Eq. (13), $\gamma_{N_2}(k)$ and $\gamma_{self}(k)$ are respectively the N$_2$- and self-broadening coefficients from Ref. [2]. Because of the high pressures, it was not necessary to take into account the Doppler broadening for CH$_3$Br in this spectral region.

4.1. Line-mixing effects on self-broadened CH$_3$Br spectra
The $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, and $a_4$ parameters reported in Table 2 for self-perturbed CH$_3$Br were used, as also the value found for $A_{CH_3Br}$, so that no parameters were adjusted at this stage of the calculations. Because of the strong electric dipole moment of the CH$_3$Br molecule, the CH$_3$Br / CH$_3$Br collisions are more efficient than the CH$_3$Br / N$_2$ ones, leading to self-broadening coefficients very much larger than N$_2$-broadening ones [2], and consequently to a stronger line mixing (even at low pressure), more difficult to model. As example, Fig. 4 presents results obtained for the $^RQ_0$ branch at three pressures. The absorption spectra have been plotted with transmission as ordinate, and the continuous background was considered a constant adjusted along each plotted spectral domain.

The effects of the line mixing on pure CH$_3$Br spectra are well observed in the residuals of the fit. Where line mixing occurs, the characteristic signature obtained using a sum of Lorentz or Voigt profiles is considerably reduced when performing the direct calculation of Eq. (9). Note that the weak oscillation in the residuals of the lowest pressure spectrum at 67 hPa (Fig. 4a), observable in the tail of the $Q$ branch towards low wavenumbers, should not be attributed to line-mixing effects, but merely to slight discrepancies between the experimental line positions and intensities, and those computed in [2] and used in our calculations. At higher pressure, the involved features are no longer resolved (Figs. 4b,c), and the oscillating signature disappears.

4.2. Line-mixing effects on the $^RQ_0$ branch of N$_2$-broadened CH$_3$Br spectra

Figure 5 presents results obtained in the $^RQ_0$ branch region, for three different pressures of nitrogen. As for self-perturbed spectra, these results confirm that neglecting line mixing leads to large errors, whereas our model gives satisfactory predictions. Comparisons between the experimental spectra and the ones calculated using a sum of Lorentz profiles show the absorption transfer from the regions of weak absorption to those of strong absorption. Outside the $Q$ branch, where there is no noticeable line mixing, the experimental spectra and those calculated using a sum of Lorentz profiles are superimposed. Examining more accurately Fig. 5, one observes a very weak channeled spectrum in the residuals (period
around 4 cm$^{-1}$), having an experimental origin (see Section 1). Because of its weakness, we did not try to model and remove such an irregular and faint effect, which should be distinguished from line-mixing effects that would have not been taken into account.

Note that the residuals obtained with spectra calculated with the Rosenkranz profile are quasi-superimposed to those obtained by the full calculation performed directly from Eq. (10). Only a slight discrepancy appears just at the branch head inside a very narrow spectral domain, where the Rosenkranz profile underestimates the line mixing, whereas the full calculation overestimates them. This first order line-mixing profile, easy and quick to compute from Eqs. (11, 12), could therefore be used in this range of pressures, instead of the full calculation (Eq. (10)).

4.3. Line-mixing effects on other $^RQ_K$ and $^PQ_K$ branches of $N_2$-broadened $CH_3Br$ spectra

The model was applied to all branches of the $\nu_6$ band and gave a good agreement with experimental spectra at different pressures. We present here representative examples of $^RQ_K$ and $^PQ_K$ branches. Their spectral structures are significantly different from that of $^RQ_0$, so that they enable a meaningful test of our approach. Let us recall that the parameters $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$, and $a_4$ were determined from the pressure-broadening coefficients of $^RQ_0$ lines, and are now fixed to the same values for the other branches. Note that the renormalization procedure was necessary for these branches, since the diagonal elements of $W$ have now to be identified with the $N_2$-broadening coefficients specific to each branch, which can differ from those of $^RQ_0$ lines [2].

Comparisons between experimental and calculated spectra are shown on Figs. 1, 6, and 7. As expected, although line-mixing effects in these branches are smaller than in the $^RQ_0$ branch, the disagreements between the experimental data and the spectra calculated using the sum of Lorentz profiles remain important and qualitatively similar to that of $^RQ_0$. As shown on these figures, spectra calculated with our model are in good agreement with experiment.

The slight difference appearing between measured and calculated spectra in the branch heads at high $N_2$ pressure is probably due to inter-branch line-mixing effects that could be determined by a direct adjustment of a calculated spectrum to the experimental one,
as done for CH$_4$ in Ref. [14]. However, the CH$_3$Br spectrum is very crowded and contains numerous weak high $J$ lines and some $Q$ branches of the $2\nu_6 - \nu_6$ hot band not calculated in Ref. [2], but observable in the residuals of Fig. 1b. Therefore, such a direct adjustment of line-mixing parameters from an experimental spectrum could not be accurately performed at the present time. Though these hot bands can not receive an accurate spectroscopic treatment, it is however possible to roughly model them for possible applications, and therefore to decrease slightly the residuals, as has been done on Fig. 1. For that, the $Q$ branches of the $\nu_6$ band have shifted and rescaled to simulate those of the $2\nu_6 \nu_6$ hot band.

6. Conclusion

Line-mixing effects have been calculated in the $\nu_6$ band of the main isotopologues of methyl bromide, N$_2$-perturbed and self-perturbed at room temperature. The model used is based on the use of the state-to-state rotational cross-sections calculated by a statistical modified exponential-gap fitting law, that depends on a few adjusted empirical parameters. Comparisons performed between experimental and calculated spectra, under atmospheric pressure conditions (0.2 - 1 atm), demonstrate the efficiency/effectiveness of the model to noticeably decrease the large systematic errors that would affect the treatment of experimental spectra if line mixing was disregarded. Furthermore, the simple first order Rosenkranz profile appeared precise enough for quick calculations.

There exists a strong demand to include accurate spectroscopic data on the methyl bromide molecule in atmospheric databases as HITRAN [23] and GEISA [24], especially for the $\nu_6$ band, which is located in an atmospheric window, and could be observed in long path solar occultation spectra at sunset or sunrise. The $^RQ_0$ branch particularly, seems well suited for such studies, being located in a micro window, between two carbon dioxide lines and two water vapor lines. That is why we plan to record new spectra at temperatures of atmospheric interest, in order to get a better knowledge of the N$_2$-broadening and line-mixing coefficients of CH$_3$Br.
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Table 1. Experimental conditions and characteristics of the recorded spectra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unapodized apparatus function</th>
<th>S/N ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectra 1-4</td>
<td>Maximum optical path difference</td>
<td>450 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>$\approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectra 5-6</td>
<td>Maximum optical path difference</td>
<td>125 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>$\approx 4 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absorbing sample
- Natural CH$_3$Br: 50.54 % of CH$_3^{79}$Br
- 49.46 % of CH$_3^{81}$Br
- (about 1 % of $^{13}$C isotopologues neglected)

Stated purity: 99.50 %

Experimental conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>CH$_3$Br pressure (hPa)</th>
<th>N$_2$ pressure (hPa)</th>
<th>Absorption path (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>212.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>550.9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>849.8</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Parameters of the MEG model obtained for CH$_3$Br/N$_2$ and CH$_3$Br/CH$_3$Br

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEG law parameter</th>
<th>CH$_3$Br/N$_2$</th>
<th>CH$_3$Br/CH$_3$Br</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>0.00708</td>
<td>0.1006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>2.589</td>
<td>0.1883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_3$</td>
<td>1.067</td>
<td>20.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_4$</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. P(CH$_3$Br) = 49.3 hPa, P(N$_2$) = 849.8 hPa, L = 30 cm. In the upper panel, the experimental spectrum is plotted. The panels of residuals show the differences between the experimental spectrum and a spectrum calculated without taking into account line-mixing (a), with line-mixing taken into account, remaining features in the residuals being due to hot bands and high $J$ lines of $\nu_6$ (b), and same as (b) but with hot bands roughly taken into account (c).
Fig. 2. Comparison between broadening coefficients of CH$_3$Br in the $^8Q_0$ branch given by [2] (solid square) and those calculated by the MEG model (solid curve). Note that the broadening coefficients calculated for CH$_3^{79}$Br and CH$_3^{81}$Br are not distinguishable from the solid curve shown. $J$ is the rotational quantum number of the lower level of the radiative transition.
Fig. 3. First order line-mixing parameters $Y_{k}^{CH_{3}^{81}Br/N_{2}}$ of the Rosenkranz profile vs the rotational quantum number $J$ of the lower state, for the $\nu_{6}^RQ_0$ branch of CH$_3^{81}$Br.
Fig. 4. Comparison between the CH$_3$Br/CH$_3$Br transmission spectra in the $^3Q_0$ branch region calculated without line-mixing (---) and experimental values (○). For the sake of clarity, all experimental values have not been plotted. These results have been obtained at room temperature with an absorbing path length of 30 cm and for 66.5 hPa (a), 109.4 hPa (b), and 212.5 hPa (c) of CH$_3$Br in natural abundances. The upper panels of residuals show the differences between the experimental spectra and spectra calculated without line-mixing, and the lower panels the differences between the experimental spectra and line-mixing full calculation spectra.
Fig. 5. Comparison between the CH$_3$Br/N$_2$ transmission spectra in the $^8Q_0$ branch region calculated without line-mixing (—) and the experimental values (●). These results have been obtained at room temperature, for: 2 hPa of CH$_3$Br diluted in 287.1 hPa of N$_2$ with an absorbing path length of 415 cm (a), 53.1 hPa of CH$_3$Br diluted in 550.9 hPa of N$_2$ with an absorbing path length of 30 cm (b), 49.3 hPa of CH$_3$Br diluted in 849.8 hPa of N$_2$ with an absorbing path length of 30 cm (c). The upper panels of residuals show the differences between the experimental spectra and spectra calculated with a sum of Lorentz profiles, the middle panels the differences between the experimental spectra and spectra calculated with a Rosenkranz profile, and the lower panels the differences between the experimental spectra and line-mixing full calculation spectra.
Fig. 6: Comparison between the transmissions of CH$_3$Br/N$_2$ in the $^{P}Q_6$ (a) and $^{P}Q_3$ (b) branches regions calculated with (—) and without (---) line-mixing, and the measured values (●). These results have been obtained at room temperature, for 53.1 hPa of CH$_3$Br diluted in 550.9 hPa of N$_2$, and for an absorbing path length of 30 cm.
Fig. 7. Comparison between the transmissions of CH$_3$Br/N$_2$ in the $^RQ_1$ (a) and $^RQ_3$ (b) branches regions calculated with (---) and without (----) line-mixing, and the measured values (●). These results have been obtained at room temperature, for 49.3 hPa of CH$_3$Br diluted in 849.8 hPa of N$_2$, and for an absorbing path length of 30 cm.