

ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system

Laurène Beauvalet, Vincent Robert, Valery Lainey, Jean-Eudes Arlot,

François Colas

▶ To cite this version:

Laurène Beauvalet, Vincent Robert, Valery Lainey, Jean-Eudes Arlot, François Colas. ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system. Astronomy & Astrophysics - A&A, 2013, 553 (id.A14), 22 p. 10.1051/0004-6361/201220654 . hal-00817789

HAL Id: hal-00817789 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-00817789v1

Submitted on 25 Apr 2013 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system *,**

L. Beauvalet¹, V. Robert^{1,2}, V. Lainey¹, J.-E. Arlot¹, and F. Colas¹

¹ Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Éphémérides – Observatoire de Paris, UMR 8028 CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Université Lille 1, 77 avenue Denfert Rochereau, 75014 Paris, France e-mail: beauvalet@imcce.fr

² Institut Polytechnique des Sciences Avancées IPSA, 7-9 rue Maurice Grandcoing, 94200 Ivry-sur-Seine, France

Received 29 October 2012 / Accepted 6 February 2013

ABSTRACT

Because of Pluto's distance from the Sun, the Pluto system has not yet completed a revolution since its discovery, hence an uncertain heliocentric distance. In this paper, we present the fitting of our dynamical model ODIN (Orbite, Dynamique et Intégration Numérique) to observations. The small satellites P4 and P5 are not taken into account. We fitted our model to the measured absolute coordinates (RA, DEC) of Pluto, and to the measured positions of the satellites relative to Pluto. The masses we found for the bodies of the system are consistent with those of previous studies. Yet the masses of the small satellites Nix and Hydra are artificially constrained by the number of observations of Charon. The best way to improve the determination of their masses would be to use observations of P4 and P5, but there are still not enough published observations. Concerning the heliocentric distance of the system, we compared the value we obtained using ODIN and those of other models. The difference between the models far exceeds the uncertainty needed (about 1000 km) for the mission New Horizons. A new astrometric reduction of old photographic plates may be an efficient way to constrain this distance. The ephemeris for Pluto's satellites is available on the web page of the IMCCE at http://www.imcce.fr/hosted_sites/saimirror/nssreq9hf.htm. The complete version of the ephemeris is available as a SPICE kernel at http://

Key words. celestial mechanics – ephemerides – planets and satellites: fundamental parameters – Kuiper belt: general – planets and satellites: individual: Pluto – methods: numerical

1. Introduction

Pluto was discovered in 1930. Because its period of revolution is about 248 years, it has not yet completed an entire revolution. As a consequence, its distance to the Sun is not accurately known.

Pluto has a unique trait among the dwarf planets: a very massive satellite. Its main satellite Charon has a mass of one tenth of Pluto's, while the mass of the other satellites, Nix, Hydra, P4, and P5, are nearly negligible. Because of this mass ratio, the center of mass of the system is outside of Pluto. Pluto's motion is the result of the combination of its motion around the Sun, and its motion around the barycenter of its system. This situation is the same for planets, except that the center of mass then lies inside the system's most massive object. In the case of Pluto, the motion around the Sun is heavily disturbed by Charon. Thus any modeling of Pluto's motion needs to include these perturbations. Up to now, there have been studies separating the satellites (Tholen et al. 2008) and the dwarf planet's motion (Folkner et al. 2008; Fienga et al. 2011). Our goal here is to provide a single dynamical model for the system and to build a coherent physical approach to its dynamics.

Besides focusing on the motion of the objects in the system, one must consider the difficult problem of their masses. The masses of Pluto and Charon are relatively well known, since they are the largest of the system. Instead, Nix and Hydra have

** Table A.2 is only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/553/A14 very small masses. In a previous article (Beauvalet et al. 2012), we showed that the values of the masses given in Tholen et al. (2008) for Nix and Hydra are at the very limit of what could be detected from observations.

The purpose of this paper is to present our results for the masses of the system bodies and for their motion by fitting our model to observations. First, we will briefly present our dynamical model. Then we will present the observations to which our model was fitted, as well as the physical corrections we applied. Finally, we will present the results of the fitting process, the residuals and the determined physical parameters.

2. Dynamical model: ODIN

We specifically developed the numerical model ODIN (Orbite, Dynamique et Intégration Numérique) to study the orbit of multiple systems (Beauvalet et al. 2012, 2013). We integrated the equations of motion of the bodies in the Pluto system with ODIN, using the barycenter of the solar system as the center of the reference frame; the inertial axes coincided with the ICRF (International Celestial Reference Frame). We also included the perturbations caused by the Sun and the planets. Because we found the second order harmonics of the gravity fields of Pluto and Charon to be non-detectable with observations (Beauvalet et al. 2012), we did not take them into account. As a result, the equations of motion consisted only in the gravitational interactions between the center of mass of the bodies and their interaction with the main bodies of the solar system

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} -\frac{GM_{j}(\boldsymbol{r}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{j})}{r_{ij}^{3}} + \sum_{l=1, \ l \neq i}^{4} \left(-\frac{Gm_{l}(\boldsymbol{r}_{i} - \boldsymbol{r}_{l})}{r_{il}^{3}} \right), \tag{1}$$

^{*} Appendices A and B are available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

Table 1. Properties of the observations to which ODIN was fit

Origin	Number	Reference frame	Observations	Reference	Years
Lowell, Yerkes and	552	B1950	Photographic	Cohen et al. (1967)	1914–1965
McDonald Observatory					
Asiago Observatory	175	B1950	Photographic	Barbieri et al. (1972, 1975, 1979,	1971–1997
				1988)	
A.J. Dyer Observatory	15	B1950	Photographic	Hardie et al. (1985)	1965–1981
La Silla	45	B1950	Photographic	Debehogne et al. (1981);	1980 and 1985
				Debehogne & de Freitas Mourao (1988)	
Torino Observatory	39	B1950	Photographic	Zappala et al. (1980, 1983)	1973–1982
Brorfelde Observatory	15	B1950	Photographic	Jensen (1979)	1975–1978
Lick Observatory	11	B1950	Photographic	Klemola & Harlan (1982, 1984, 1986)	1980–1985
Flagstaff Observatory	5	B1950	Photographic	Harrington & Walker (1984)	1980 and 1983
La Silla	29	J2000	Photographic	Gemmo & Barbieri (1994)	1989–1990
Pulkovo astrograph	207	J2000	Photographic	Rylkov et al. (1995)	1930–1993
FASTT	914	J2000	CCD	IAU Comm. 4 ^{<i>a</i>}	from 1995
				FASTT website ^b	
Table Mountain	259	J2000	CCD	IAU Comm. 4 ^{<i>a</i>}	1997–2010
Bordeaux-Floirac	87	J2000	CCD	Rapaport et al. (2002)	1995-1997 and 2002-2005
Observatory					
Observatoire de Haute-	242	J2000	CCD		1997-2010
Provence					
Observatoire du Pic du	73	J2000	CCD		2011
Midi					
Stellar occultations	14	J2000	Occultations	Assafin et al. (2010), Bruno	2005-2008
				Sicardy (priv. comm.)	

Notes. ^(a) http://iau-comm4.jpl.nasa.gov/plan-eph-data/. ^(b) http://www.nofs.navy.mil/data/plansat.html

where *i* is an integrated body, *j* is the Sun or a planet, *l* is a body of Pluto's system, M_j is the mass of the body *j*, m_l is the mass of the body *l*, r_j is the position vector of the body *j* with respect to the solar system barycenter, and r_{ij} is the distance between bodies *i* and *j*.

Our model was fitted to the observations using the least squares method. We may approximate the relationship between the calculated residuals and the model parameter errors by its linear part

$$\Delta \mathbf{r}_{li} = \sum_{k=1}^{6N+N'} \left. \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial c_k} \right|_{t_l, c_k} \Delta c_k.$$
⁽²⁾

To obtain the needed partial derivatives, we used Newton's second law

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{r}_{li}}{\mathrm{d}t^2}(t_l) = \frac{F}{m_i} \left(t_l, \boldsymbol{r}_{l1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{l1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{r}_{lN}, \boldsymbol{r}_{lN}, c_1, \dots, c_{6N+N'} \right).$$
(3)

Assuming that the derivations with respect to time and to a dynamical parameter are independent, we determined the differential equations (Lainey et al. 2004)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c_l} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{r}_i}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \right) = \frac{1}{m_i} \left[\sum_j \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_j} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_j}{\partial c_l} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_i}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_j} \frac{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_j}{\partial c_l} \right) + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{F}_i}{\partial c_l} \right],\tag{4}$$

where c_l is a parameter we need to adjust. We numerically integrated these equations alongside the equations of motion. Note that our model can be fitted to both resolved and unresolved observations of Pluto's system in right ascension (RA) and declination (Dec) spherical coordinates, and to the relative observations of Pluto's satellites.

3. Observations used

We used sets of observations taken from 1914 to 2011 and because this time span is so large, the number of observations and their accuracy changes significantly between the different sets. Over this period, there is a gap in both the precision and the number of observations because of the introduction of CCD targets.

3.1. Photographic observations

The photographic observations were taken in various observatories. Those we used are provided in the Planetary Ephemeris Data by the 4th Commission of the International Astronomical Union. The description of these observations is given in Table 1. They span from 1914 to 1993; the observations from 1914 to 1929 are pre-discovery observations. With these observations, Pluto and its satellites are not resolved, so we only have access to the photocenter of the system.

3.2. CCD observations

The CCD observations also come from various sources. Among them, two sets have never been used before for the production of Pluto ephemerides: those from the Observatoire de Haute-Provence (OHP) and those from the Pic du Midi. The description of these observations is given in Table 1.

3.2.1. OHP observations

The OHP observations of Pluto have been regularly taken with the 120 cm telescope (f = 7.2 m) since 1997. They are not resolved and only provide the photocenter of the system. The field of view is 11.8' × 11.8' and the camera resolution is 0.69 arcsec per pixel. For the measurement process, we used the method described in Robert et al. (2011) and Robert (2011). Thus,

Table 2. Properties of the observations of the satellites to which ODIN was fitted.

Origin	Number and satellite	Reference frame	Observations	Reference	Years
HST	60 (Charon)	J2000	CCD	Tholen & Buie (1997)	1992–1993
HST	4 (Nix) – 4 (Hydra)	J2000	CCD	Weaver et al. (2005)	2005
HST	12 (Charon) – 12 (Nix) – 12 (Hydra)	J2000	CCD	Buie et al. (2006)	2002-2003
VLT-UT4	1 (Charon) – 1 (Hydra)	J2000	CCD	Sicardy et al. (2006)	2006
HST	896 (Charon)	J2000	CCD	Buie et al. (2012)	1992-2010

the following steps were implemented: image calibration, background estimation, data extraction with the Source Extractor software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Then we corrected all the known spherical effects: parallax, aberration, relative deflection effects, and total atmospheric refraction. Finally we identified the UCAC2 stars (Zacharias et al. 2004).

The astrometric reduction was performed by using first-order equations modeling two scale factors, orientations, and offsets, in order to separate the contributions of the different effects. The obtained astrometric positions are available in electronic form at the CDS.

3.2.2. Pic du Midi observations

The Pic du Midi observations of Pluto were taken with the 105 cm telescope (f = 17.2 m) in July 2011, with a 7' × 7' field of view and a resolution of 0.17 arcsec par pixel. We have only three nights of observations, but the excellent seeing (mean value of 0.9") allowed us good precision. The astrometric reduction was performed with the PRISM software, using the UCAC2 astrometric catalog. The obtained astrometric positions are given in Table A.1.

3.2.3. Observations of the satellites

The maximum separation between Pluto and Charon is 0.9". Because of this, the first resolved observations of the system were taken with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in 1992. This first set of images spans between 1992 and 1993. Afterward, most of the satellite observations were taken with the HST, except for a few observations taken with the VLT. The description of these observations is in Table 2.

3.3. Stellar occultations

Pluto's orbit is currently crossing the Galactic plane. As a consequence, stellar occultations have been observed since 2005. These observations provide the most precise astrometry. In this case, we are dominated by the catalog errors. Between 2005 and the publication date of this article, only occultations by Pluto and Charon have been observed successfully.

4. Fitting to the observations

4.1. Phase correction

Pluto's system is usually observed near opposition. Moreover, its mean distance to the Sun is currently about 30 AU. As a result, the phase angle of the system is always quite low, at most about 2°. To correct for the phase effect, we used the same method as Lindegren (1977). To do this, we considered that Pluto and Charon have a homogeneous surface. We only corrected the observations of Pluto and Charon for the phase effect. As the maximum phase angle of the system is about 2°, the consequence on Nix and Hydra is negligible since the angular size

of these objects is a few mas. The difference between the photocenter and the center of mass will then be

$$|P - P_0| = Cs \sin(i/2),$$
(5)

where C is a function of the diffusion law, s is the object angular radius, and i the phase angle. Then we obtain

$$\Delta \alpha \cos \delta = -Cs \sin \frac{i}{2} \sin Q$$

$$\Delta \delta = -Cs \sin \frac{i}{2} \cos Q,$$
(6)

where Q is the position angle of the intensity equator. Supposing that the object is a Lambertian sphere, the value of C varies between 0.5 and 1, depending on the limb darkening (Lindegren 1977). A mean value of 0.75 provides satisfying results.

4.2. System photocenter

Most of the observations of the system are unresolved. The first resolved observations were speckle observations in 1985, which were not included in our fit (Baier & Weigelt 1987). Because of Charon's size compared to Pluto, the photocenter of the system can be very different from Pluto's photocenter. As a result, we needed to estimate the position of the photocenter of the system, which depends on the position of Charon, the other satellites being too faint to be taken into account.

We considered that Pluto and Charon are homogeneous spheres and we neglected the center-border effect. We also neglected the inhomogeneity of Pluto's and Charon's albedo. The non-uniformity of both of the objects' surfaces causes a slight change in corresponding photocenters, but these changes are negligible if we compare with the precision of the non-resolved observations. The photocenter of the system will then be the barycenter of the positions of Pluto and Charon, weighted by their luminosities. As a result, the coordinates of the photocenter of the system are

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{\rm pho} = \frac{A_{\rm P}.R_{\rm P}^2.\alpha_{\rm P} + A_{\rm C}.R_{\rm C}^2.\alpha_{\rm C}}{A_{\rm P}.R_{\rm P}^2 + A_{\rm C}.R_{\rm C}^2} \\ \delta_{\rm pho} = \frac{A_{\rm P}.R_{\rm P}^2.\delta_{\rm P} + A_{\rm C}.R_{\rm C}^2.\delta_{\rm C}}{A_{\rm P}.R_{\rm P}^2 + A_{\rm C}.R_{\rm C}^2}, \end{cases}$$
(7)

where A_P and A_c are the albedos of Pluto and Charon, respectively, and R_P and R_C the radii of Pluto and Charon, respectively.

4.3. Center correction

The last two estimations of Charon's semi-major axis (Tholen & Buie 1997; Tholen et al. 2008) do not have a coherent value. The main difference between these estimations is the observation sets used. The value from Tholen & Buie (1997) was obtained thanks to the HST set of 1992–1993, while the solution from Tholen et al. (2008) was dominated by the HST set of

2002–2003. The double stellar occultation of 2010 gave a distance between Pluto and Charon more consistent with the value from Tholen & Buie (1997). According to Buie et al. (2012), the reason for this difference seems to be a problem in determining the position of Pluto's center because of the non-uniform albedo of the dwarf planet. The positions of Charon in Buie et al. (2012) take into account the latest modeling of Pluto's albedo to correct this bias on all the observations.

Because of the faintness of Nix and Hydra, their position is obtained from stacked images. The positions of Charon in Buie et al. (2012) are the positions in every independent image. As a consequence, we do not have a measured position of Charon at the mean time of the stacked images. We used observations around the needed date and a polynomial interpolation to obtain the positions of Charon. Comparing the calculated positions and those given in Buie et al. (2006), we deduced the shift in Pluto's center and corrected the positions of Nix and Hydra.

4.3.1. Other corrections

The other effects we corrected for are not specific to our model, and as such, will not be fully developed.

Light-time and aberration. Because of Pluto's distance from the Sun, we included light-time correction in our model. The mean value of the light-time distance between the Earth and Pluto is currently about 4 h. The light-time value is obtained by iterating the following formula which easily gives a good value of the light-time

$$\tau_{n+1} = \frac{|\mathbf{r}_T(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(t - \tau_n)|}{c}, \tau_0 = 0.$$
(8)

To correct the aberration, we do not take into account the position of Earth at time t but at $t - \tau$. As a result, the light-time value becomes

$$\tau = \frac{|\boldsymbol{r}_T(t-\tau) - \boldsymbol{r}_i(t-\tau)|}{c}.$$
(9)

Catalogs and reference frame. Most of the oldest observations are given in the B1950 reference frame. The transformation from the B1950 to the J2000 reference frame is performed with the procedure given in Aoki et al. (1983). The transformation between the FK5 catalog and the Hipparcos catalog is performed with the IAU SOFA library (IAU SOFA Board 2010). The transformations between the time scales used is performed with the SPICE library (Acton 1996).

4.4. Weight of the observations

4.4.1. Pluto

About one hundred years of observations of the Pluto system are available. This large timespan covers most of the evolution of astronomical observations from photographic plates at the beginning of the 20th century to the use of adaptive optics and HST. As a result, we had to consider each set of observations separately. For most of the ground based observations, we did not have any clues about their precision. So we decided to weight observations with the root mean square of their residuals. The root mean square used was the one we obtained after eliminating the biased observations. We gave a uniform uncertainty of 10 mas to the stellar occultations, coming from the approximate precision of the star positions in the catalogs. Table 3. Masses of Pluto and satellites.

Body	Pluton	Charon	Nix	Hydra		
Obtained with	Obtained with all observations available					
GM (km ³ s ⁻²) Uncertainty	873.01 ±0.43	98.33 ±0.11	0.014 ±0.011	0.069 ±0.014		
Obtained without the observations from Buie et al. (2012)						
GM (km ³ s ⁻²) Uncertainty	874.25 ±2.95	102.83 ±1.87	0.018 ±0.025	0.078 ±0.045		

Notes. The uncertainty is obtained from the least squares method assuming Gaussian noise of the observations. Masses of Nix and Hydra are probably outside the 1σ limit, see discussion in Sect. 5.1.

Table 4. Initial positions and velocities for Pluto's satellites at JD = 2452600.5.

J2000 coordinates	Charon	Nix	Hydra
x(km)	-12 289.56	8203.15	1850.65
y(km)	-10 396.96	1989.52	11981.09
<i>z</i> (km)	11 089.08	-46544.30	64520.20
$v_x(\mathrm{km})$	6680.19	-7743.98	8336.57
$v_{y}(\mathrm{km})$	8875.76	-7726.94	8019.56
$v_z(km)$	15 740.75	-664.24	-177.98

4.4.2. Satellites

We used the same uncertainties as those in Buie et al. (2006): 6 mas for Charon, 15 mas for Nix, and 9 mas for Hydra for the 2002–2003 observations. Those of Charon from the 1992–1993 set had a 10 mas uncertainty. We gave them a bigger uncertainty than those of the 2002–2003 set because, apart from the semimajor axis and the eccentricity, the elliptic elements of Charon from the latest set were closer to the value deduced using stellar occultations.

5. Results of the fitting

Because of the small perturbations caused by the rest of the solar system on the satellites, we first fitted the motion of the satellites and then we used this first solution to fit the heliocentric motion of the system.

5.1. Satellites

We first fitted the initial state vectors and masses in our model to the satellite observations given in Buie et al. (2006). After determining a preliminary solution, we added the observations given in Tholen & Buie (1997). The obtained residuals of the satellites are given in Figs. B.1 to B.6, while the masses and initial positions and velocities are given in Tables 3 and 4. We rejected the observations whose residuals were larger than 3σ . The new observations in Buie et al. (2012) improved the error bars when compared with the results obtained from the previous set of observations available. This improvement is discussed more thoroughly later, as there is serious cause for concern because of most probable non-Gaussian errors of the observations.

We compared our semi-major axis results with those in Tholen et al. (2008). The differences are mainly due to the error regarding the center of Pluto in the 2002–2003 observations. We can draw the same conclusion when comparing the eccentricities. These results are closer to the Charon occultations of 2005 and 2011. At the present time, without other observations

Table 5. Mean value and standard deviation for the residuals with ODIN, DE421 and INPOP08 ephemerides.

	ODIN		DE421		INPOP08	
Set of observations	$\Delta \alpha$ (")	$\Delta\delta$ (")	$\Delta \alpha$ (")	$\Delta\delta$ (")	$\Delta \alpha$ (")	$\Delta\delta$ (")
Old observations	-0.026 ± 1.162	0.023 ± 1.558	-0.104 ± 1.163	0.088 ± 1.553	0.754 ± 1.342	0.142 ± 1.560
Pulkovo	0.034 ± 0.398	0.163 ± 0.418	-0.081 ± 0.388	0.027 ± 0.414	0.352 ± 0.657	0.035 ± 0.414
A.J. Dyer-Lick-Mink	-0.467 ± 0.960	-0.034 ± 0.480	-0.617 ± 0.932	-0.146 ± 0.500	-0.564 ± 0.990	-0.147 ± 0.523
Tokyo-Bordeaux-Flagstaff	-0.029 ± 0.100	-0.004 ± 0.097	-0.053 ± 0.0962	-0.028 ± 0.105	-0.068 ± 0.095	-0.021 ± 0.105
Gemmo-USNO	-0.075 ± 0.197	-0.024 ± 248	-0.110 ± 0.199	-0.014 ± 0.252	-0.129 ± 0.200	-0.004 ± 0.251
Bordeaux	-0.069 ± 0.098	-0.077 ± 158	-0.078 ± 0.091	-0.075 ± 0.146	-0.129 ± 0.200	-0.004 ± 0.251
FASTT from 1995 to 1997	0.017 ± 0.160	0.044 ± 0.198	-0.008 ± 0.159	0.012 ± 0.199	-0.026 ± 0.160	0.020 ± 0.199
FASTT from 1998 to 2000	-0.001 ± 0.102	0.003 ± 0.086	-0.012 ± 0.102	-0.013 ± 0.089	-0.013 ± 0.102	-0.013 ± 0.089
FASTT from 2000 to 2011	0.003 ± 0.096	-0.029 ± 0.106	0.004 ± 0.096	0.001 ± 0.108	0.035 ± 0.097	-0.014 ± 0.107
Table Mountain	0.000 ± 0.058	-0.017 ± 0.078	0.001 ± 0.058	0.035 ± 0.081	0.047 ± 0.062	0.016 ± 0.079
Haute-Provence Observatory	0.036 ± 0.074	0.061 ± 0.077	0.031 ± 0.074	0.110 ± 0.085	0.073 ± 0.082	0.094 ± 0.081
Pic du Midi Observatory	-0.005 ± 0.014	0.032 ± 0.038	-0.015 ± 0.012	0.076 ± 0.043	0.036 ± 0.017	0.075 ± 0.026

available, we cannot have a definite idea of Charon's eccentricity. Now, considering the fact that Pluto and Charon are in a double spin-orbit resonance, it is highly probable that the system has reached an equilibrium status and that Charon's eccentricity is nearly zero (Stern & Spencer 2003). The post-fit residuals are quite close to those given in Tholen et al. (2008) for Nix and Hydra, in spite of the very different masses we found. This result is not surprising since we found in a previous article (Beauvalet et al. 2012) that their estimated masses could not be constrained with the observations then available. The masses of the small satellites are much more constrained than in the estimation in Beauvalet et al. (2012). This situation is caused by the data released in Buie et al. (2012). The positions provided in this paper are only those of Charon. By constraining Pluto and Charon, we indirectly constrained Nix and Hydra. We obtained a similar result when studying the contribution of the future astrometric satellite Gaia (Beauvalet et al. 2013) which will only observe Charon.

Now we must use some caution concerning the constraints given by the least squares method with the masses of Nix and Hydra. The obtained accuracy is heavily influenced by the number of observations of Charon. The masses of Nix and Hydra are very small, and as such, the satellites have hardly any influence on the trajectory of Charon. The values of the masses found come mainly from the interactions between Nix and Hydra. As a consequence, the statistical uncertainty now obtained is far smaller than without the observations from Buie et al. (2012), yet the masses remain extremely close. We have given the value of the masses and attached uncertainties obtained in this case in Table 3. The difference in the mass of Charon between the two fittings comes from the scale factor problem explained in Sect. 4.3.

The number of observations of Charon that we have strongly constrains the perturbations that Charon has on the two other satellites. As a consequence, the perturbations on the motion of the two satellites are, in our model, only caused by their respective masses. The observations involved are mainly from one set of observations, which means that the value of the masses found will depend strongly on the systematic errors of this set. In the absence of any estimation of these systematic errors, we have assumed that the noise of the observations was Gaussian, though this is probably not the case. As a consequence, the uncertainty regarding Nix's and Hydra's masses is probably very optimistic and does not reflect the problem of the accuracy of the value.

Recent results from Youdin et al. (2012) obtained when supposing long-term stability of the P4 orbit suggest that Nix's and Hydra's masses should be lower than 0.003 km³ s⁻²

Table 6. Initial elliptic elements of Pluto at $JD = 2\,452\,600.5$, reference plane: Mean Earth Equator J2000.

and 0.006 km³ s⁻², respectively. The mass of Nix is the lowest possible value from our study, but the value of Hydra's mass is far lower than its lowest estimation considering the error bars given in Table 3, i.e. $0.055 \text{ km}^3 \text{ s}^{-2}$. This huge difference casts further doubt over our error bars since our own masses seem inconsistent with the long-term orbital stability of P4. If the longterm stability of the system is proved, our lack of accuracy on the determination of the masses would come from the non-Gaussian errors of the observations. If the masses of Nix and Hydra are indeed in the same order of magnitude as the value found from our fitting, then we could question the long-term stability of the smallest satellites in the Pluto system.

5.2. Heliocentric motion of the system

The post-fit residuals are given in the Figs. B.7 to B.28, available only in the electronic version of the article. The elliptic elements are given in Table 6, while the corresponding initial positions and velocities are given in Table 7. The semi-major axis differs by 0.2% from that given by DE423 (Folkner 2010) for the same date. A more complete comparison between the Jet Propulsion Laboratory ephemeris of Pluto and our own fitted model is given in Table 5 with the standard deviation and mean value of the residuals obtained with the two theories. The residuals of both theories are quite close considering their statistics.

Concerning the specific issue of the stellar occultations, the residuals obtained with the DE418 theory (Assafin et al. 2010), show that the declination has a clear trend. The equivalent figure for our own residuals is shown in Figs. B.27 and B.28. The trend of the declination is mainly absorbed. It would have been possible to reduce this trend even more by considering that the precision of the occultations is greater than we considered, yet we chose to keep a reasonable precision because of the number of data when compared with other sets. The new data that comes from future occultations will enable a new fit that will



Fig. 1. Evolution of the difference in the heliocentric distance of Pluto between DE421 and other models.

Table 7. Initial position and velocities of Pluto at JD = 2452600.5, reference plane: Mean Earth Equator J2000.

<i>X</i> , <i>Y</i> , <i>Z</i> (UA)	-6.62788127	-29.01127825	-7.05657749
V_X, V_Y, V_Z (UA/day)	0.00312171626	-0.00082282676	-0.00120747582

probably reduce this trend, while keeping a reasonable precision for occultations.

Concerning the residuals in right ascension for these occultations, we were not able to reduce the width of the residuals. We still do not know the cause of these strong residuals when compared with those in declination. A bad model for Charon's motion would not cause the same pattern for both theories, since there is no reason for our model of Charon to be the same. Errors on the position of the occulted star is possible, yet the star position is always determined with careful preliminary astrometry and the occultations do not depend on the albedos of the objects.

5.3. Heliocentric distance of the system

Since its discovery, Pluto has not completed an entire revolution around the Sun. As a consequence, its distance to the Sun is not accurately known. The least squares method provides a statistical uncertainty of the fitted semi-major axis, yet this uncertainty is based on the hypothesis that the errors of the observations follow a Gaussian law. This is clearly not the case when considering the systematic errors which might affect the observations. As a consequence, this uncertainty is only linked to the residuals and to the correlations between the parameters in the model. What we need to know is the external precision of the ephemeris, that is, the error we make because of the differences between the real motion we try to determine and our model.

To have a clear indication of the external precision of the distance of Pluto to the Sun, we need to compare the heliocentric distance given by different theories which give comparable residuals. For this purpose, we compared the heliocentric distance of Pluto to the Sun with different theories: ODIN, DE421 (Folkner et al. 2008), DE423 (Folkner 2010), INPOP08 (Fienga et al. 2009), and INPOP10 (Fienga et al. 2011). These ephemerides are based on three different models. They are fitted to similar sets of observations, but with few differences. The differences on the heliocentric distance will be a lower estimation of the external precision of Pluto's motion.

The result is shown in Fig. 1. As we can see, there is a large discrepancy between the models, while all of them give comparable residuals. This situation has a direct consequence in the near future. The New Horizons spacecraft needs a precision of about 1000 km (Richard Binzel, private communication) on the heliocentric distance of Pluto in order to begin its observation sequence at the right time. For 2015, the most recent calculations can show differences of up to 5000 km. Even when comparing the two models with the smallest difference in distance, ODIN and DE421/423, we still have a difference of about 4000 km.

The best way to try to reduce this uncertainty would be either to increase the number of observations or to improve the accuracy of those already existing. The first possible way is to go on fitting Pluto to the new observations taken every year. But the flyby of New Horizons is due in 2015. It is doubtful that a few years of observations will be useful to constrain the models. The second source of improvement would be to make a new reduction of the observations taken with photographic plates. The residuals of these observations are greater than those of the CCD observations and span many decades. Reducing the uncertainties attached to the old observations would naturally reduce the differences between the positions given by the different models.

In order to have a first approach of the influence of those old observations on the heliocentric distance of Pluto, we created alternative catalogs and fitted our model to it. These alternative catalogs have been created by randomly removing up to half of the photographic observations we used. We then compared the resulting heliocentric distances of Pluto. At most, the heliocentric distance in 2015 will have a difference of 2500 km when compared to the model fitted to all the available observations, showing the influence of the photographic observations in the modeling. A similar procedure for the CCD observations leads to a difference of at most 750 km. A new reduction of the

old photographic plates appears to be the best way to constrain the heliocentric distance of the system, but the influence of the CCD observations is far from being negligible.

6. Conclusion

We developed ODIN, a numerical model dedicated specifically to the study of multiple systems. After fitting our model to observations of Pluto's system, we obtained a dynamical solution for Pluto's heliocentric motion and for the plutocentric motion of the satellites. This dynamical solution provided similar results to those obtained with other dynamical models. The masses of the bodies of the system are consistent with about 1.5σ uncertainty to previous estimations. The uncertainties we obtained from the least squares fitting for the masses of Nix and Hydra are probably too optimistic, since we assumed a Gaussian noise of the observations, which is unlikely. The improvement on the statistic error bars comes from the huge number of observations of Charon we have when compared with the number of observations of the two small satellites. If the only observations available continue to be those of Charon, Nix, and Hydra, we will not be able to put strong constraints to the masses of Nix and Hydra before the arrival of New Horizons (Beauvalet et al. 2012). The ephemeris for Pluto's satellites is available on the web page of the IMCCE at http://www.imcce.fr/hosted_ sites/saimirror/nssreq9hf.htm. The complete version of the ephemeris is available as a SPICE kernel at http://www. imcce.fr/~beauvalet/.

We did not take into account the recently discovered satellites P4 (Showalter et al. 2011) and P5 (Showalter et al. 2012) in our model because of the very few published observations. With P4 orbiting around Pluto with its semi-major axis between Nix and Hydra, and P5 orbiting between Charon and Nix, we might efficiently detect the masses of Nix and Hydra.

Concerning the heliocentric motion of Pluto's system, we found that the heliocentric distance of Pluto is known with less precision than we expected. The New Horizons probe needs an accuracy of about 1000 km on the heliocentric distance of Pluto. Even though the expected precision of the different available ephemerides is lower than this threshold, the differences between the models are far greater. The different models have similar results for the most recent observations. The oldest observations of the system have far greater residuals. A new reduction of these old observations would certainly reduce these residuals and then constrain well enough the heliocentric distance of Pluto.

References

- Acton, C. H. 1996, Planet. Space Sci., 44, 65
- Aoki, S., Soma, M., Kinoshita, H., & Inoue, K. 1983, A&A, 128, 263
- Assafin, M., Camargo, J. I. B., Vieira Martins, R., et al. 2010, A&A, 515, A32
- Baier, G., & Weigelt, G. 1987, A&A, 174, 295
- Barbieri, C., Capaccioli, M., Ganz, R., & Pinto, I. G. 1972, AJ, 77, 521
- Barbieri, C., Capaccioli, M., & Pinto, G. 1975, AJ, 80, 412
- Barbieri, C., Benacchio, L., Capaccioli, M., Pinto, G., & Schoenmaker, A. A. 1979, AJ, 84, 1890
- Barbieri, C., Benacchio, L., Capaccioli, M., & Gemmo, A. G. 1988, AJ, 96, 396
- Beauvalet, L., Lainey, V., Arlot, J.-E., & Binzel, R. 2012, A&A, 540, A65
- Beauvalet, L., Lainey, V., Arlot, J.-E., et al. 2013, Planet. Space Sci., in press
- Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, A&AS, 117, 393
- Buie, M. W., Grundy, W. M., Young, E. F., Young, L. A., & Stern, S. A. 2006, AJ, 132, 290
- Buie, M. W., Tholen, D. J., & Grundy, W. M. 2012, AJ, 144, 15
- Cohen, C. J., Hubbard, E. C., & Oesterwinter, C. 1967, AJ, 72, 973
- Debehogne, H., & de Freitas Mourao, R. R. 1988, AJ, 96, 1479
- Debehogne, H., Machado, L. E., Caldeira, J. F., Vieira, G. G., & Netto, E. R. 1981, A&AS, 46, 131
- Fienga, A., Laskar, J., Morley, T., et al. 2009, A&A, 507, 1675
- Fienga, A., Laskar, J., Kuchynka, P., et al. 2011, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 111, 363
- Folkner, W. M. 2010, Tech. rep., Jet Prop. Lab. Interoffice Memo. IOM 343R-10-001
- Folkner, W. M., Williams, J. G., & Boggs, D. H. 2008, Tech. rep., Jet Prop. Lab. Interoffice Memo. 343R-08-003
- Gemmo, A. G., & Barbieri, C. 1994, Icarus, 108, 174
- Hardie, R. H., Marcialis, R. L., Wilson, J. W., & Furman, W. R. 1985, AJ, 90, 2643
- Harrington, R. S., & Walker, R. L. 1984, AJ, 89, 889
- IAU SOFA Board. 2010, IAU SOFA Software Collection
- Jensen, K. S. 1979, A&AS, 36, 395
- Klemola, A. R., & Harlan, E. A. 1982, AJ, 87, 1242
- Klemola, A. R., & Harlan, E. A. 1984, AJ, 89, 879
- Klemola, A. R., & Harlan, E. A. 1986, AJ, 92, 195
- Lainey, V., Duriez, L., & Vienne, A. 2004, A&A, 420, 1171
- Lindegren, L. 1977, A&A, 57, 55
- Rapaport, M., Teixeira, R., Le Campion, J. F., et al. 2002, A&A, 383, 1054
- Robert, V. 2011, Ph.D. Thesis, Observatoire de Paris
- Robert, V., de Cuyper, J.-P., Arlot, J.-E., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 701
- Rylkov, V. P., Vityazev, V. V., & Dement'eva, A. A. 1995, Astron. Astrophys. Trans., 6, 265
- Showalter, M. R., Hamilton, D. P., Stern, S. A., et al. 2011, Central Bureau Electronic Telegrams, 2769, 1

Showalter, M. R., Weaver, H. A., Stern, S. A., et al. 2012, IAU Circ., 9253, 1

Sicardy, B., Ageorges, N., Marco, O., et al. 2006, IAU Electronic Telegram

Stern, A., & Spencer, J. 2003, Earth Moon and Planets, 92, 477

Tholen, D. J., & Buie, M. W. 1997, Icarus, 125, 245

Tholen, D. J., Buie, M. W., Grundy, W. M., & Elliott, G. T. 2008, AJ, 135, 777

- Weaver, H. A., Stern, S. A., Mutchler, M. J., et al. 2005, IAU Circ., 8625, 1
- Youdin, A. N., Kratter, K. M., & Kenyon, S. J. 2012, ApJ, 755, 17
- Zacharias, N., Urban, S. E., Zacharias, M. I., et al. 2004, AJ, 127, 3043
- Zappala, V., de Sanctis, G., & Ferreri, W. 1980, A&AS, 41, 29
- Zappala, V., de Sanctis, G., & Ferreri, W. 1983, A&AS, 51, 385

Pages 8 to 22 are available in the electronic edition of the journal at http://www.aanda.org

Appendix A: Astrometric data from Observatoire du Pic du Midi

Table A.1. continued.

Table A.1. Astrometry from the observations at Pic du Midi in 2011. Topocentric observations.

$\begin{array}{c} 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98356 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98476 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.821 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.65 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98594 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.807 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.67 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98594 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.799 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.71 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98953 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.789 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.72 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.98953 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.774 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.72 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.99953 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.774 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.77 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.999191 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.776 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.77 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.999191 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.753 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.77 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.999314 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.753 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.78 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.99971 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.725 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.81 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.99971 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.726 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.81 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.99971 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.722 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.81 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 01.99971 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.722 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.85 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.00267 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.774 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.85 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.00267 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.697 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.85 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.00267 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.697 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.86 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.00267 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.697 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.89 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.00267 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.692 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.92 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.0056 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.692 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.92 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 02.0056 \\ 18 \ 25 \ 00.692 \\ -18 \ 49 \ 33.92 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.9381 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.927 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.90 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94036 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.921 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.90 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94036 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.921 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94036 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.907 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94026 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.907 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94027 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.907 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94028 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.907 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94477 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.899 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94477 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.899 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94477 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.899 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94476 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.897 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94476 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.897 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 2011 \ 07 \ 04.94476 \\ 18 \ 24 \ 41.886 \\ -18 \ 50 \ 05.91 \\ 201$	Date and UTC time	RA	Dec
$\begin{array}{c} 2011 07 01.98476 \\ 2011 07 01.98594 \\ 18 25 00.807 \\ -18 49 33.67 \\ 2011 07 01.98594 \\ 18 25 00.799 \\ -18 49 33.71 \\ 2011 07 01.98953 \\ 18 25 00.789 \\ -18 49 33.72 \\ 2011 07 01.99972 \\ 18 25 00.773 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99911 \\ 18 25 00.767 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99912 \\ 18 25 00.757 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99912 \\ 18 25 00.757 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.9952 \\ 18 25 00.753 \\ -18 49 33.78 \\ 2011 07 01.99952 \\ 18 25 00.754 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99971 \\ 18 25 00.752 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99971 \\ 18 25 00.722 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 02.00029 \\ 18 25 00.722 \\ -18 49 33.85 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 25 00.705 \\ -18 49 33.85 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00267 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00267 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.0056 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.88 \\ 2011 07 02.0056 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.0056 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 04.9038 \\ 18 24 41.927 \\ -18 50 05.90 \\ 2011 07 04.93988 \\ 18 24 41.927 \\ -18 50 05.90 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.917 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.917 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.900 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94275 \\ 18 24 41.900 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94427 \\ 18 24 41.890 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94427 \\ 18 24 41.890 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94451 \\ 18 24 41.890 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94466 \\ 18 24 41.890 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94466 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94461 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 06.75 \\ 2011 07 05.0148 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 06.75 \\ 2011 07 05.02237 \\ 18 24 41.377 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02238 \\ 18 24 41.377 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02231 $	2011 07 01.98356	18 25 00.821	-18 49 33.65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 01.98476	18 25 00.814	-18 49 33.69
$\begin{array}{c} 18 + 0 \\ 2011 07 01.98713 \\ 18 + 25 00.799 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.71 \\ 2011 07 01.98833 \\ 18 + 25 00.789 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.72 \\ 2011 07 01.99953 \\ 18 + 25 00.774 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99911 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.775 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99914 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.759 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99913 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.759 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.78 \\ 2011 07 01.99971 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.720 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99971 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.720 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99971 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.720 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.81 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.720 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.85 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.720 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.85 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.705 \\ -18 + 9 \\ 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00027 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.690 \\ -18 \\ 49 \\ 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00387 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.690 \\ -18 \\ 49 \\ 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00387 \\ 18 \\ 25 00.674 \\ -18 \\ 49 \\ 33.92 \\ 2011 07 04.93881 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.921 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.89 \\ 2011 07 04.93881 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.921 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.917 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.907 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.907 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.907 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94475 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.895 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94477 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.895 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94477 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.895 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94470 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.895 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94470 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94460 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94460 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94460 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94480 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94480 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94480 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94480 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.880 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 05.92 \\ 2011 07 05.02237 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.380 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 06.79 \\ 2011 07 \\ 05.02237 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.380 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 06.83 \\ 2011 07 \\ 05.02237 \\ 18 \\ 24 \\ 41.380 \\ -18 \\ 50 \\ 06.83 \\ 2011 07 \\ 05.023$	2011 07 01 98594	18 25 00 807	-18 49 33 67
20110701.981318182500.789-184933.7220110701.99072182500.774-184933.7420110701.99072182500.774-184933.7520110701.99071182500.755-184933.7520110701.99552182500.745-184933.7820110701.99571182500.729-184933.8120110701.99671182500.729-184933.8320110702.0029182500.705-184933.8520110702.00267182500.697-184933.8920110702.00267182500.697-184933.9220110702.00251182500.662-184933.9220110702.00251182500.674-18505.9020110704.9388182441.917-185005.9020110704.94036182441.917-185005.9120110704.94036182441.907-185005.9120110704.94132182441.907-185005.9220110704.94228<	2011 07 01 98713	18 25 00 799	-18 49 33 71
$\begin{array}{c} 2011\ 07\ 01.98053\ 18\ 25\ 00.783\ -18\ 49\ 33.70\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99072\ 18\ 25\ 00.774\ -18\ 49\ 33.74\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99191\ 18\ 25\ 00.757\ -18\ 49\ 33.75\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99191\ 18\ 25\ 00.757\ -18\ 49\ 33.79\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99552\ 18\ 25\ 00.757\ -18\ 49\ 33.78\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99671\ 18\ 25\ 00.729\ -18\ 49\ 33.81\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99671\ 18\ 25\ 00.729\ -18\ 49\ 33.81\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99671\ 18\ 25\ 00.729\ -18\ 49\ 33.81\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99671\ 18\ 25\ 00.729\ -18\ 49\ 33.83\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 01.99671\ 18\ 25\ 00.729\ -18\ 49\ 33.83\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00029\ 18\ 25\ 00.722\ -18\ 49\ 33.83\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00128\ 18\ 25\ 00.722\ -18\ 49\ 33.83\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00128\ 18\ 25\ 00.697\ -18\ 49\ 33.88\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00267\ 18\ 25\ 00.697\ -18\ 49\ 33.88\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00267\ 18\ 25\ 00.697\ -18\ 49\ 33.89\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00267\ 18\ 25\ 00.697\ -18\ 49\ 33.89\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 02.00267\ 18\ 25\ 00.697\ -18\ 49\ 33.89\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.90288\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.90\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.88\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.88\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.88\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.91\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.927\ -18\ 50\ 05.91\ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.907\ -18\ 50\ 05.91\ \ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94036\ 18\ 24\ 41.907\ -18\ 50\ 05.91\ \ \\ 2011\ 07\ 04.94228\ 18\ 24\ 41.907\ -18\ 50\ 05.91\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	2011 07 01 08833	18 25 00.799	18 /0 33 72
$\begin{array}{c} 2011 07 01.99733 \\ 2011 07 01.99012 \\ 18 25 00.774 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99141 \\ 18 25 00.759 \\ -18 49 33.75 \\ 2011 07 01.99433 \\ 18 25 00.753 \\ -18 49 33.79 \\ 2011 07 01.99552 \\ 18 25 00.745 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99571 \\ 18 25 00.729 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99671 \\ 18 25 00.729 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 02.00029 \\ 18 25 00.722 \\ -18 49 33.83 \\ 2011 07 02.00029 \\ 18 25 00.705 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00029 \\ 18 25 00.705 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00148 \\ 18 25 00.705 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00267 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00387 \\ 18 25 00.690 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00506 \\ 18 25 00.690 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00506 \\ 18 25 00.690 \\ -18 49 33.92 \\ 2011 07 02.00506 \\ 18 25 00.669 \\ -18 49 33.92 \\ 2011 07 04.90368 \\ 18 24 41.921 \\ -18 50 05.90 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.921 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.917 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94036 \\ 18 24 41.907 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94179 \\ 18 24 41.907 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94275 \\ 18 24 41.907 \\ -18 50 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94370 \\ 18 24 41.895 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94370 \\ 18 24 41.895 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94370 \\ 18 24 41.895 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94514 \\ 18 24 41.886 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94561 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94450 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94450 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94450 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94706 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94706 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.9480 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.9480 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.9480 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.9480 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.9480 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 05.0193 \\ 18 24 41.375 \\ -18 50 06.79 \\ 2011 07 05.0193 \\ 18 24 41.391 \\ -18 50 06.77 \\ 2011 07 05.0233 \\ 18 24 41.391 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.0233 \\ 18 24 41.380 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 18 24 41.380 \\ -18 50 06.83 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 1$	2011 07 01.98655	10 23 00.709	-10 49 55.72
$\begin{array}{c} 2011 07 01.99072 \\ 2011 07 01.99191 \\ 18 25 00.767 \\ -18 49 33.77 \\ 2011 07 01.99131 \\ 18 25 00.753 \\ -18 49 33.75 \\ 2011 07 01.99552 \\ 18 25 00.745 \\ -18 49 33.78 \\ 2011 07 01.99571 \\ 18 25 00.736 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 01.99671 \\ 18 25 00.722 \\ -18 49 33.81 \\ 2011 07 02.00029 \\ 18 25 00.713 \\ -18 49 33.85 \\ 2011 07 02.00148 \\ 18 25 00.705 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00148 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.86 \\ 2011 07 02.00267 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.88 \\ 2011 07 02.00267 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.88 \\ 2011 07 02.00387 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00465 \\ 18 25 00.697 \\ -18 49 33.89 \\ 2011 07 02.00655 \\ 18 25 00.674 \\ -18 49 33.92 \\ 2011 07 04.93881 \\ 18 24 41.927 \\ -18 50 05.90 \\ 2011 07 04.93881 \\ 18 24 41.921 \\ -18 50 05.90 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 24 41.917 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 24 41.917 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 24 41.907 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94084 \\ 18 24 41.907 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94179 \\ 18 24 41.900 \\ -18 50 05.91 \\ 2011 07 04.94275 \\ 18 24 41.900 \\ -18 50 05.92 \\ 2011 07 04.94275 \\ 18 24 41.899 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94370 \\ 18 24 41.899 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94514 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94514 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94466 \\ 18 24 41.880 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94466 \\ 18 24 41.877 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94466 \\ 18 24 41.877 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94460 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.95 \\ 2011 07 04.94706 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94706 \\ 18 24 41.875 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94801 \\ 18 24 41.866 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94801 \\ 18 24 41.866 \\ -18 50 05.97 \\ 2011 07 04.94801 \\ 18 24 41.866 \\ -18 50 06.79 \\ 2011 07 05.01931 \\ 18 24 41.496 \\ -18 50 06.77 \\ 2011 07 05.01931 \\ 18 24 41.496 \\ -18 50 06.78 \\ 2011 07 05.02331 \\ 18 24 41.396 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 18 24 41.396 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 18 24 41.396 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 18 24 41.370 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.02478 \\ 18 24 41.366 \\ -18 50 06.81 \\ 2011 07 05.$	2011 07 01.98955	18 25 00.785	-18 49 55.70
2011070199191182500.767 -18 4933.7720110701.99314182500.757 -18 4933.7820110701.99552182500.729 -18 4933.8120110701.99671182500.722 -18 4933.8120110701.99791182500.722 -18 4933.8320110702.00029182500.713 -18 4933.8520110702.00148182500.697 -18 4933.8620110702.00267182500.697 -18 4933.8920110702.00506182500.697 -18 4933.9220110702.00506182500.697 -18 4933.9220110704.93881182441.921 -18 5005.9020110704.94036182441.921 -18 5005.9120110704.94036182441.917 -18 5005.9120110704.94123182441.907 -18 5005.9120110704.94275182441.907 -18 5005.9220110704.94275182441.801 -18 5005.922011<	2011 07 01.99072	18 25 00.774	-18 49 33.74
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 01.99191	18 25 00.767	-18 49 33.77
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 01.99314	18 25 00.759	-18 49 33.75
2011 07 01.99552 $18 25 00.745$ $-18 49 33.78$ $2011 07 01.99711$ $18 25 00.729$ $-18 49 33.81$ $2011 07 01.99791$ $18 25 00.729$ $-18 49 33.83$ $2011 07 02.00029$ $18 25 00.713$ $-18 49 33.85$ $2011 07 02.00148$ $18 25 00.697$ $-18 49 33.86$ $2011 07 02.00267$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.88$ $2011 07 02.00506$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00506$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00625$ $18 25 00.662$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00625$ $18 25 00.674$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 04.93988$ $18 24 41.927$ $-18 50 05.90$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.917$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.917$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94034$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94132$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94228$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94275$ $18 24 41.895$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94370$ $18 24 41.895$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94470$ $18 24 41.895$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.891$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.890$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94706$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.$	2011 07 01.99433	18 25 00.753	-18 49 33.79
2011 07 01.99671 $18 25 00.736$ $-18 49 33.81$ $2011 07 01.99791$ $18 25 00.729$ $-18 49 33.83$ $2011 07 02.00029$ $18 25 00.713$ $-18 49 33.85$ $2011 07 02.00148$ $18 25 00.705$ $-18 49 33.85$ $2011 07 02.00267$ $18 25 00.697$ $-18 49 33.88$ $2011 07 02.0037$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.89$ $2011 07 02.00465$ $18 25 00.662$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00605$ $18 25 00.682$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00625$ $18 25 00.674$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 04.93881$ $18 24 41.927$ $-18 50 05.90$ $2011 07 04.93988$ $18 24 41.921$ $-18 50 05.90$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.917$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.913$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94179$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94275$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94275$ $18 24 41.899$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94370$ $18 24 41.899$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94419$ $18 24 41.891$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94466$ $18 24 41.886$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94514$ $18 24 41.880$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94659$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94669$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94669$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.93$ $2011 07 04.94706$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.93$ $2011 07 04.94706$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.9$	2011 07 01.99552	18 25 00.745	-18 49 33.78
2011 07 01.99791 $18 25 00.729$ $-18 49 33.81$ $2011 07 02.00029$ $18 25 00.713$ $-18 49 33.85$ $2011 07 02.00148$ $18 25 00.705$ $-18 49 33.86$ $2011 07 02.00267$ $18 25 00.697$ $-18 49 33.88$ $2011 07 02.00387$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.89$ $2011 07 02.00506$ $18 25 00.690$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00506$ $18 25 00.682$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 02.00625$ $18 25 00.682$ $-18 49 33.92$ $2011 07 04.93881$ $18 24 41.927$ $-18 50 05.99$ $2011 07 04.94938$ $18 24 41.927$ $-18 50 05.88$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.917$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94036$ $18 24 41.917$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94034$ $18 24 41.907$ $-18 50 05.91$ $2011 07 04.94179$ $18 24 41.905$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94275$ $18 24 41.905$ $-18 50 05.92$ $2011 07 04.94275$ $18 24 41.890$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94370$ $18 24 41.890$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94451$ $18 24 41.886$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94562$ $18 24 41.886$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94561$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.95$ $2011 07 04.94561$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94610$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94766$ $18 24 41.875$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94761$ $18 24 41.866$ $-18 50 05.97$ $2011 07 04.94761$ $18 24 41.867$ $-18 50 06.$	2011 07 01.99671	18 25 00.736	-18 49 33.81
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 01.99791	18 25 00.729	-18 49 33.81
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 01.99910	18 25 00.722	-18 49 33.83
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 02.00029	18 25 00.713	-18 49 33.85
2011 07 02.0026718 25 00.697 -18 49 33.882011 07 02.0038718 25 00.690 -18 49 33.892011 07 02.0050618 25 00.682 -18 49 33.922011 07 02.0062518 25 00.674 -18 49 33.922011 07 04.9388118 24 41.927 -18 50 05.902011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.892011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9403618 24 41.907 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9417918 24 41.907 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9422818 24 41.900 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9423318 24 41.899 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9437018 24 41.895 -18 50 05.942011 07 04.9446618 24 41.895 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9451418 24 41.880 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9456218 24 41.880 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9456318 24 41.877 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9465918 24 41.875 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9470618 24 41.862 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.862 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.862 -18 50 06.792011 07 04.9480618 24 41.862 -18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.416 -18 50 06.772011 07 05.0180818 24 41.416 -18 50 06.772	2011 07 02.00148	18 25 00.705	-18 49 33.86
2011 07 02.0038718 25 00.690-18 49 33.892011 07 02.0050618 25 00.682-18 49 33.922011 07 02.0062518 25 00.674-18 49 33.922011 07 04.9388118 24 41.927-18 50 05.902011 07 04.9398818 24 41.917-18 50 05.882011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917-18 50 05.912011 07 04.9408418 24 41.910-18 50 05.912011 07 04.9413218 24 41.910-18 50 05.912011 07 04.9413218 24 41.907-18 50 05.912011 07 04.9413218 24 41.900-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9422818 24 41.900-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899-18 50 05.942011 07 04.9437018 24 41.899-18 50 05.942011 07 04.9441918 24 41.890-18 50 05.942011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886-18 50 05.932011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886-18 50 05.932011 07 04.9451018 24 41.877-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9461018 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.868-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.962011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866-18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866-18 50 06.792011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866-18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.866-18 50 06.752011 07 05.0195018 24 41.416-18 50 06.752011 07 05.0195018 24 41.386-18 50	2011 07 02 00267	18 25 00 697	-18 49 33 88
2011 07 02.0050618 25 00.682 -18 49 33.922011 07 02.0062518 25 00.674 -18 49 33.922011 07 04.9388118 24 41.927 -18 50 05.902011 07 04.9398818 24 41.921 -18 50 05.892011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9403618 24 41.913 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9417918 24 41.907 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9417918 24 41.907 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9422818 24 41.905 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.900 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9437018 24 41.899 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9437018 24 41.891 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9456118 24 41.886 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9456218 24 41.877 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9456118 24 41.875 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9461018 24 41.875 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866 -18 50 05.982011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866 -18 50 06.792011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866 -18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.416 -18 50 06.772011 07 05.0195018 24 41.416 -18 50 06.772011 07 05.0195018 24 41.413 -18 50 06.772	2011 07 02 00387	18 25 00.690	_18 49 33 89
2011 07 02.0062518 25 00.674 $-18 49 33.92$ 2011 07 04.9388118 24 41.927 $-18 50 05.90$ 2011 07 04.9388118 24 41.921 $-18 50 05.89$ 2011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 $-18 50 05.89$ 2011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 $-18 50 05.91$ 2011 07 04.9413218 24 41.907 $-18 50 05.91$ 2011 07 04.9417918 24 41.907 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9422818 24 41.900 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9423318 24 41.900 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.94$ 2011 07 04.9437018 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.9441918 24 41.891 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.94451418 24 41.886 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9456218 24 41.886 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9456218 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.96$ 2011 07 04.9484818 24 41.866 $-18 50 05.98$ 2011 07 04.9484818 24 41.866 $-18 50 06.79$ 2011 07 05.0185518 24 41.410 $-18 50 06.77$ 2011 07 05.019318 24 41.410 $-18 50 06.77$ 2011 07 05.019318 24 41.394 $-18 50 06.81$ 2011 07 05.0194018 24 41.394 $-18 50 06.81$ 20	2011 07 02.00507	18 25 00.692	18 /0 33 02
2011 07 02.002318 23 00.014 -16 49 35.922011 07 04.9388118 24 41.921 -18 50 05.902011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.892011 07 04.9403618 24 41.917 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9403418 24 41.917 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9413218 24 41.907 -18 50 05.912011 07 04.9413218 24 41.907 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9422818 24 41.900 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 -18 50 05.942011 07 04.9437018 24 41.891 -18 50 05.942011 07 04.9441918 24 41.890 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886 -18 50 05.952011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886 -18 50 05.932011 07 04.9456218 24 41.877 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9456318 24 41.875 -18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9470618 24 41.862 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.862 -18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.862 -18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.862 -18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.416 -18 50 06.772011 07 05.0176018 24 41.410 -18 50 06.772011 07 05.0190318 24 41.410 -18 50 06.7720	2011 07 02.00500	18 25 00.082	-10 49 55.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 02.00023	18 24 41 027	-10 49 55.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.93881	18 24 41.927	-18 50 05.90
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.93988	18 24 41.921	-18 50 05.89
2011 07 04.9408418 24 41.913 $-18 50 05.91$ 2011 07 04.9413218 24 41.907 $-18 50 05.91$ 2011 07 04.9422818 24 41.907 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9422818 24 41.905 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9427518 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9432318 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9437018 24 41.899 $-18 50 05.94$ 2011 07 04.9447618 24 41.890 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.9446618 24 41.880 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.9451418 24 41.886 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.9456218 24 41.886 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9456118 24 41.886 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9456218 24 41.877 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9465918 24 41.877 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9466918 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875 $-18 50 05.92$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.868 $-18 50 05.93$ 2011 07 04.9470618 24 41.868 $-18 50 05.95$ 2011 07 04.9480118 24 41.862 $-18 50 06.79$ 2011 07 05.0176018 24 41.418 $-18 50 06.79$ 2011 07 05.0180818 24 41.419 $-18 50 06.77$ 2011 07 05.0190318 24 41.407 $-18 50 06.77$ 2011 07 05.0190318 24 41.396 $-18 50 06.81$ 2011 07 05.029418 24 41.394 $-18 50 06.81$ 2011 07 05.0223718 24 41.385 $-18 50 06.81$ 2011 07 05.0233118 24 41.372 $-18 50 06.83$ 20	2011 07 04.94036	18 24 41.917	-18 50 05.88
$2011\ 07\ 04.94132$ $18\ 24\ 41.910$ $-18\ 50\ 05.91$ $2011\ 07\ 04.942179$ $18\ 24\ 41.907$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94228$ $18\ 24\ 41.905$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94275$ $18\ 24\ 41.900$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94275$ $18\ 24\ 41.899$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94370$ $18\ 24\ 41.895$ $-18\ 50\ 05.94$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94370$ $18\ 24\ 41.895$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94419$ $18\ 24\ 41.890$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94460$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.94$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.877$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94801$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01760$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01808$ $18\ 24\ 41.419$ $-18\ 50\ 06.77$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01903$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.394$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0299$ $18\ 24\ 41.394$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\$	2011 07 04.94084	18 24 41.913	-18 50 05.91
$2011\ 07\ 04.94179$ $18\ 24\ 41.907$ $-18\ 50\ 05.91$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94228$ $18\ 24\ 41.905$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94275$ $18\ 24\ 41.900$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94323$ $18\ 24\ 41.899$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94370$ $18\ 24\ 41.895$ $-18\ 50\ 05.94$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94370$ $18\ 24\ 41.895$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94419$ $18\ 24\ 41.891$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94466$ $18\ 24\ 41.890$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.97$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94659$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 05.97$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01760$ $18\ 24\ 41.862$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01760$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.77$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01903$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.77$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01903$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.77$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.394$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.394$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\ $	2011 07 04.94132	18 24 41.910	-18 50 05.91
$2011\ 07\ 04.94228$ $18\ 24\ 41.905$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94275$ $18\ 24\ 41.900$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94323$ $18\ 24\ 41.899$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94370$ $18\ 24\ 41.895$ $-18\ 50\ 05.94$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94419$ $18\ 24\ 41.891$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94466$ $18\ 24\ 41.890$ $-18\ 50\ 05.95$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94514$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94562$ $18\ 24\ 41.886$ $-18\ 50\ 05.93$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94569$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94659$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.875$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94706$ $18\ 24\ 41.866$ $-18\ 50\ 05.92$ $2011\ 07\ 04.94801$ $18\ 24\ 41.866$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01760$ $18\ 24\ 41.416$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01808$ $18\ 24\ 41.419$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.01903$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.79$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\ 07\ 05.0294$ $18\ 24\ 41.410$ $-18\ 50\ 06.81$ $2011\ 0$	2011 07 04.94179	18 24 41.907	-18 50 05.91
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94228	18 24 41.905	-18 50 05.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94275	18 24 41.900	-18 50 05.95
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94323	18 24 41.899	-18 50 05.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94370	18 24 41.895	-18 50 05.94
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94419	18 24 41.891	-18 50 05.95
20110704.94514182441.886 -18 5005.9420110704.94562182441.886 -18 5005.9320110704.94610182441.880 -18 5005.9320110704.94610182441.877 -18 5005.9320110704.94659182441.877 -18 5005.9220110704.94706182441.875 -18 5005.9220110704.94706182441.875 -18 5005.9220110704.94706182441.875 -18 5005.9220110704.94753182441.872 -18 5005.9620110704.94801182441.868 -18 5005.9720110704.94801182441.862 -18 5005.9820110704.94896182441.462 -18 5006.7920110705.01760182441.419 -18 5006.7420110705.01805182441.410 -18 5006.7720110705.01903182441.407 -18 5006.7720110705.0294182441.394 -18 5006.8120110	2011 07 04 94466	18 24 41 890	-18 50 05 95
2011 07 04.9456218 24 41.886-18 50 05.932011 07 04.9461018 24 41.886-18 50 05.982011 07 04.9465918 24 41.877-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.877-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.875-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9470618 24 41.872-18 50 05.922011 07 04.9480118 24 41.868-18 50 05.972011 07 04.9480118 24 41.866-18 50 05.982011 07 04.9484818 24 41.866-18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.419-18 50 06.792011 07 05.0176018 24 41.419-18 50 06.742011 07 05.0180818 24 41.410-18 50 06.752011 07 05.0190318 24 41.410-18 50 06.772011 07 05.0190318 24 41.407-18 50 06.772011 07 05.0199918 24 41.396-18 50 06.812011 07 05.0209418 24 41.394-18 50 06.812011 07 05.0219018 24 41.394-18 50 06.812011 07 05.023318 24 41.385-18 50 06.832011 07 05.023318 24 41.382-18 50 06.832011 07 05.0247618 24 41.372-18 50 06.842011 07 05.0257218 24 41.372-18 50 06.842011 07 05.0257218 24 41.376-18 50 06.822011 07 05.0257218 24 41.366-18 50 06.822011 07 05.0257218 24 41.366-18 50 06	2011 07 04 94514	18 24 41 886	-18 50 05 94
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04 94562	18 24 41 886	-18 50 05 93
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04 94610	18 24 41 880	18 50 05 98
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04 04650	10 24 41.000	-18 50 05.98
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94039	10 24 41.077	-10 50 05.97
20110704.94706 182441.875 -185005.92 20110704.94753 182441.872 -185005.96 20110704.94801 182441.866 -185005.97 20110704.94801 182441.866 -185005.97 20110704.94848 182441.866 -185006.97 20110705.01760 182441.862 -185006.79 20110705.01760 182441.419 -185006.74 20110705.01808 182441.419 -185006.74 20110705.01808 182441.410 -185006.75 20110705.01903 182441.410 -185006.75 20110705.01903 182441.407 -185006.77 20110705.01903 182441.407 -185006.79 20110705.01990 182441.407 -185006.79 20110705.0294 182441.396 -185006.81 20110705.02141 182441.394 -185006.81 20110705.02237 182441.388 -185006.81 20110705.02333 182441.382 -185006.83 20110705.02428 182441.382 -185006.83 20110705.02476 182441.372 -185006.83 20110705.02476 182441.372 -185006.84 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.84 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.82 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.82 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.82 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.82 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006.82 20110705.02572 182441.366 -185006	2011 07 04.94700	10 24 41.073	-18 50 05.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94706	18 24 41.875	-18 50 05.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94753	18 24 41.8/2	-18 50 05.96
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94801	18 24 41.868	-18 50 05.97
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94848	18 24 41.866	-18 50 05.98
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 04.94896	18 24 41.862	-18 50 06.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01760	18 24 41.419	-18 50 06.79
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01808	18 24 41.416	-18 50 06.74
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01855	18 24 41.413	-18 50 06.75
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01903	18 24 41.410	-18 50 06.78
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01950	18 24 41.407	-18 50 06.77
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.01999	18 24 41.403	-18 50 06.79
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05.02094	18 24 41.396	-18 50 06.81
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05 02141	18 24 41 394	-18 50 06 80
2011 07 05.02237 18 24 41.381 -18 50 06.81 2011 07 05.02237 18 24 41.385 -18 50 06.81 2011 07 05.02285 18 24 41.385 -18 50 06.81 2011 07 05.02333 18 24 41.385 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02381 18 24 41.382 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02381 18 24 41.380 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02573 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02619 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82	2011 07 05 02190	18 24 41 391	-18 50 06 81
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2011 07 05 02237	18 24 41 388	-18 50 06 82
2011 07 05.02233 18 24 41.365 -18 50 06.81 2011 07 05.02333 18 24 41.382 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02381 18 24 41.382 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02619 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82	2011 07 05 02257	18 24 /1 385	_18 50 06 81
2011 07 05.02555 18 24 41.382 -18 50 06.85 2011 07 05.02381 18 24 41.380 -18 50 06.80 2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02619 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82	2011 07 05.02205	10 27 41.303	18 50 06 82
2011 07 05.02381 18 24 41.380 -18 50 06.80 2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.86 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02619 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82	2011 07 05 02281	10 24 41.302	-10 JU 00.03
2011 07 05.02428 18 24 41.375 -18 50 06.83 2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.86 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82	2011 07 05 02 420	18 24 41.380	-18 50 00.80
2011 07 05.02476 18 24 41.372 -18 50 06.84 2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.86 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05.02619 18 24 41.363 18 50 06.82	2011 07 05.02428	18 24 41.3/5	-18 50 06.83
2011 07 05.02523 18 24 41.370 -18 50 06.86 2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05 02619 18 24 41.363 18 50 06.82	2011 07 05.02476	18 24 41.372	-18 50 06.84
2011 07 05.02572 18 24 41.366 -18 50 06.82 2011 07 05 02619 18 24 41 363 18 50 06 86	2011 07 05.02523	18 24 41.370	-18 50 06.86
2011 07 05 02610 18 24 41 262 19 50 06 96	2011 07 05.02572	18 24 41.366	-18 50 06.82
2011 07 03.02017 10 24 41.303 -10 30 00.80	2011 07 05.02619	18 24 41.363	-18 50 06.86

Date and UTC time	RA	Dec
2011 07 05.02667	18 24 41.361	-18 50 06.82
2011 07 05.92970	18 24 35.621	-18 50 16.98
2011 07 05.93308	18 24 35.601	-18 50 17.03
2011 07 05.93392	18 24 35.594	-18 50 17.01
2011 07 05.93477	18 24 35.588	-18 50 17.02
2011 07 05.93561	18 24 35.584	-18 50 17.04
2011 07 05.93730	18 24 35.575	-18 50 17.07
2011 07 05.93815	18 24 35.569	-18 50 17.06
2011 07 05.93984	18 24 35.558	-18 50 17.10
2011 07 05.94237	18 24 35.540	-18 50 17.12
2011 07 05.94322	18 24 35.536	-18 50 17.18
2011 07 05.94406	18 24 35.529	-18 50 17.11
2011 07 05.94491	18 24 35.524	-18 50 17.12

Appendix B: Post-fit residuals







Fig. B.2. Post-fit residuals of Charon in declination.



Fig. B.3. Post-fit residuals of Nix in right ascension.



Fig. B.4. Post-fit residuals of Nix in declination.

L. Beauvalet et al.: ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system



Fig. B.5. Post-fit residuals of Hydra in right ascension.



Fig. B.6. Post-fit residuals of Hydra in declination.



Fig. B.7. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates from 1914 to 1987.



Fig. B.8. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates from 1914 to 1987.

L. Beauvalet et al.: ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system



Fig. B.9. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates of Pulkovo Observatory.



Fig. B.10. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates of Pulkovo Observatory.



Fig. B.11. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates of A. J. Dyer Observatory, Lick Observatory and Mink observations.



Fig. B.12. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates of A. J. Dyer Observatory, Lick Observatory and Mink observations.



Fig. B.13. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates of Tokyo, Bordeaux and Flagstaff.



Fig. B.14. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates of Tokyo, Bordeaux and Flagstaff.



Fig. B.15. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates from Gemmo & Barbieri (1994) and USNO.



Fig. B.16. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates from Gemmo & Barbieri (1994) and USNO.



Fig. B.17. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of photographic plates of Bordeaux.



Fig. B.18. Post-fit residuals in declination of photographic plates of Bordeaux.

A&A 553, A14 (2013)



Fig. B.19. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of FASTT observations.



Fig. B.20. Post-fit residuals in declination of FASTT observations.



Fig. B.21. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of Table Mountain observations.



Fig. B.22. Post-fit residuals in declination of Table Mountain observations.



Fig. B.23. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of Haute Provence Observatory observations.



Fig. B.24. Post-fit residuals in declination of Haute Provence Observatory observations.

L. Beauvalet et al.: ODIN: a new model and ephemeris for the Pluto system



Fig. B.25. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of Pic du Midi Observatory observations.



Fig. B.26. Post-fit residuals in declination of Pic du Midi Observatory observations.



Fig. B.27. Post-fit residuals in right ascension of stellar occultations.



Fig. B.28. Post-fit residuals in declination of stellar occultations.