In-vitro broad band impedance study of a biochemical reaction under nanopulses: electrode impedance as a reaction sensor.
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Abstract

This work is a first attempt to investigate the effects of ultra wideband (UWB) electromagnetic pulses upon a reaction of biological interest involved in neuronal communication, the hydrolysis of acetylcholine chloride (AChCl) catalyzed by the acetylcholine esterase enzyme (AChE). This study is based on broadband impedance measurements performed with the same cell in the range 40 Hz – 4 GHz within 10s, without or with superimposed nanopulses, as a function of the elapsed time. The response above 1 MHz was assigned to the conductive and dielectric properties of the aqueous solution containing AChCl and AChE filling the cell. The response below 100 kHz was due to electrode impedance at the golden cell wall/solution interfaces. This low frequency behaviour was ascribed to a dynamical adsorption-desorption process of AChCl in equilibrium with the bulk. The electrode impedance behaves as a sensor of the actual bulk concentration of AChCl when the reaction is in progress. From impedance spectra analysis it was shown that superimposed nanopulses slowed down the hydrolysis reaction, the stronger this effect the higher the pulse repetition rate. The inhibition of the reaction could be related to a lesser catalytic activity of AChE possibly through a conformational change induced by nanopulses.
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1 Introduction

There is a large interest for a better understanding of the interactions between electromagnetic waves and biological systems, for the thermal and non thermal effects [1]. For the human body and radiations in the range 100 kHz-300 GHz, it is admitted that above a threshold of 4W/kg the temperature regulation can be strongly disturbed [2]. Around the world, people are immersed in more or less intense electromagnetic fields due to the emergence of global communication systems using radiofrequencies (hertzian TV, cellular telephone), but also the development of radar technologies, high voltage power lines. Thermal effects can be limited by imposing recommendations about the acceptable thresholds for workers or public people. But another effects are suspected, like a possible interaction between electromagnetic radiations and some mechanisms involved in brain activity [3,4].

The main difficulty encountered in in vitro and in vivo studies is to master the electromagnetic field. At our knowledge, there is no system allowing the control of the electromagnetic field through a biological substrate without modifying its confinement. Furthermore, most of the in vitro studies are carried out at the cell level [5,6]. The present work is dealing with the study at the molecular level of a biochemical reaction and how the latter can be disturbed by applying a pulsed electromagnetic field.

The reaction under study is hydrolysis of an acetylcholine chloride substrate in the presence of acetylcholinesterase leading to the formation of choline chloride and acetic acid, as depicted in Figure 1. Acetylcholine is the main neurotransmitter in the human body implied in the communication between neurones. These species present an ionic character. Our purpose is to investigate the microthermal, athermal or subthermal mechanisms of a biochemical kinetics brought by application of a pulsed electromagnetic field, stressing upon the effect of energy threshold and not on that of absorbed radiofrequency power [7-9]. Using very narrow pulses allows to maintain thermal equilibrium inside the sample, to rule out any thermal effect.
[9-12]. To achieve this goal, a broad-band impedance technique was used in the range 40 Hz - 4 GHz, allowing a few nanoseconds ultra wideband (UWB) pulses to be superimposed at a fixed repetition rate. A full spectrum being recorded in 10 seconds it is possible to follow the modifications undergone by the substrate as a function of the elapsed time.

In this work, the experimental technique for broad band impedance measurements will be firstly presented, followed by a short description of the biochemical reaction. It will be shown that impedance data, recorded at different times and with or without superimposed pulses, can be analyzed by considering bulk dielectric and conductive properties above 1 MHz, electrode impedance effects due to adsorption process at frequencies below 100 kHz.

Figure 1

2 Experimental

2-1 Broad band (40Hz-4GHz) impedance spectroscopy. Quasi-static approximation.

The experimental set-up (Fig. 2) is composed of two impedance analyzers (Agilent 4291A, Agilent 4294A) and a network analyzer (Agilent PNA-C) compatible with the coaxial discontinuity characterization cell which allows us to get analytical impedance data from 40 Hz to 4 GHz with 350 frequency points within a short sweep (10s) [7,8]. The high frequency limit is imposed by the high dielectric permittivity of water with which we are concerned in the present study. A short circuited coaxial line (APC7 connectors) is terminated by a cylindrical gap filled with the unknown electrolytic solution. A theoretical analysis of the electromagnetic field in the line and in the gap was made and all higher order waves excited at the discontinuity were taken into account. The problem was treated by the mode-matching method in which the fields on each side of the discontinuity are expanded in an infinite series of modes matched across the boundary to preserve continuity. The solution of the field equation yields the equivalent impedance without any restriction [13]. As long as the
evanescent mode condition is verified, the empty cell can be simply characterized by a capacitance $C_{cell}$ which can be determined by using pure water. Bubble formation due to water electrolysis was observed when using a 0.5V rms $ac$ excitation signal ($i.e.$ 1.4 V peak-to-peak). Consequently the applied voltage for impedance measurements was limited to 20 mV rms in order to avoid such water oxido-reduction within the cell. The validity of the broadband impedance measurements in these conditions of low excitation level was verified by checking the response of standard liquids, with a precision better than 5 % over all the frequency range explored [13].

The cylindrical measurement cell was submicron polished and gold plated in order to minimize a possible corrosion reaction in the presence of chloride ions. On the coaxial side, a Plexiglas circular plug was disposed to make the cell watertight. The volume of liquid needed for electrical measurements was about $0.15 \text{ cm}^3$ (Fig. 3).

The measurement cell was excited alternately by the field of high amplitude (delivered by a pulse generator) and the low level measurement fields supplied by the impedance and network analyzers. The switching system can support up to 4kV pulse. The pulse generator was a Kentech-1kV generator (pulses 200 ns, rise time $< 1$ ns). The pulses were applied in the form of pulse trains with a repetition frequency from 10 to 100 Hz with periods of 10 seconds ($i.e.$ 100 pulses or 1000 pulses during 10 seconds, respectively). The amplitude of applied pulses was limited to 330 V. The electric field was estimated around 160 kV/m at the interface between electrode and liquid, with a max higher than 450 kV/m at the periphery of the central core. This sequence is followed by 10 seconds of impedance measurement without applied pulses, and so on, for a total experimental time of 1 to 2 hours.

Impedance spectrum simulations were done on the basis of electrical equivalent circuits involving complex elements not reducible to conventional circuit components. All the parameters corresponding to a given equivalent circuit were determined by means of a non
linear least square procedure, using a home-made simplex program based on the Nelder and Mead down-hill strategy [14]. The optimal set of adjustable parameters was obtained by minimizing the least square criterion over all the sampled frequencies, considering the real and imaginary parts of the measured impedance as independent observations, weighted by assuming an \textit{a priori} 1\% error on impedance modulus.

Figures 2,3

\textbf{2-2 Presentation of the biochemical reaction.}

The investigated biochemical reaction is the hydrolysis of acetylcholine chloride (AChCl), which is the substrate, in the presence of the acetylcholine esterase enzyme (AChE) (Fig.1). The role of AChE is essential in the rate of degradation of ACh. The products formed are choline chloride (ChCl) and acetic acid (AA). Ach is one of the first neurotransmitters discovered. It is present in the central and peripheral nervous system.

Basically, during the transmission process of information between neurons, ACh is sent through the channel connecting two synaptic neurons. Upon ACh arrival at the end of the channel, the molecule clings to the cholinergic receptor (nicotinic and muscarinic receptors). Once the transmission of information is over, ACh is released into the synaptic channel and is degraded by the AChE enzyme.

The hydrolysis reaction producing acetic acid as a by-product has a strong tendency to acidify the reaction medium and thus to reduce its pH. The effectiveness of the enzyme is high for a pH between 7 and 8. A pH buffer is then required to maintain a constant pH around 7. Note that at neutral pH acetic acid is fully dissociated.

All the chemicals, AChCl, ChCl, AA, were purchased from Aldrich. AChE (Aldrich) was provided at the concentration 5\mu/ml. It has an enzymatic activity greater than or equal to 1,000
units per milligram. The solution under test was buffered at pH = 7 (phosphate buffer ref. J/2850/15 from Fisher Scientific).

The bovin serum albumin (BSA) from Biochemika was used to stabilize AChE during the catalysis process at a concentration of 1 mg/cm$^3$. Moreover, BSA is known to prevent enzyme adhesion to the cell wall.

The molar concentration of AChCl was chosen 50 mM in order to slow down the hydrolysis reaction rate to be able to sample the state of the system at a sufficient number of times by means of the broadband impedance spectroscopy equipment described above.

3 Results and data analysis

3-1 Experimental impedance/admittance spectra

Figure 4a shows the Nyquist representation of a set of impedance spectra recorded at increasing reaction times in the 40 Hz- 4 GHz frequency range and in the absence of superimposed pulses. Two capacitive contributions are clearly pointed out. At high frequencies, above 1 MHz, the capacitive loop arises from the conductive and dielectric properties of the bulk solution in of the cell. It corresponds to the parallel combination of the bulk resistance of the solution $R_{bulk}$ and the frequency dependent cell capacitance $C_{bulk}$, as the product of the geometric cell capacitance $C_{cell}$ with the relative complex dielectric permittivity of the aqueous solution filling the cell. $R_{bulk}$ is related to the $dc$ conductivity $\sigma_{dc}$ of the solution:

$$R_{bulk} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{dc} C_{cell}} \quad (1)$$
where $\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F/m. The low frequency part also corresponds to a parallel resistance-capacitance circuit, the resistance in parallel being very large. The high frequency loop is not dependent on the reaction time, at reverse what happens for the low frequency part. Better information on the latter can be gained by considering the admittance representation as depicted in figure 4b. It can be seen that at $t = 0$, below 1 MHz, the admittance plot is a semicircle with the centre below the real axis. As the reaction time increases, the semicircle is more and more flattened and becomes distinctly asymmetric after one hour. This example of impedance data is typical of all the impedance data obtained without or with superimposed pulses, as illustrated in figure 5. With respect to the initial state at time 0, and after a reaction time of 70 minutes, the modification of the low frequency loop is particularly accentuated in the absence of pulses and less and less important when increasing the repetition rate of the pulses.

Figures 4a, 4b, 5

3-2 Electrical circuit for impedance data analysis

The simplest equivalent electrical circuit (EEC) to represent impedance data is the series combination of a resistance, the bulk resistance $R_{\text{bulk}}$, with a relatively large capacitance, arising from the interface between the metal electrodes and the electrolyte solution, the so-called double layer capacitance $C_{\text{dl}}$ [15]. In the ideal case, $C_{\text{dl}}$ is the differential capacitance formed between the charged plane at the metal surface and the ionic space counter charge in the electrolyte. The reorganization time of the ionic charge is considered to be very fast yielding $C_{\text{dl}}$ to be frequency independent. Obviously such an oversimplified circuit is not sufficient to represent the data correctly. As said above, the bulk resistance has to be shunted by the dielectric capacitance of the cell $C_{\text{bulk}}$, which depends on all the species present in the aqueous electrolyte, solvent molecules and various polar solutes. It is important to note that
$R_{\text{bulk}}$ is determined by the contribution of all the ionic species to the solution conductivity; $R_{\text{bulk}}$ is a frequency independent quantity in the frequency domain explored in this work as experimentally stated for aqueous electrolyte solutions [16-21].

As depicted in the admittance representation, a single time constant $R_{\text{bulk}}C_{\text{dl}}$ is not sufficient to account for the data. A distribution of time constants has to be taken into account, from a symmetric distribution at short reaction times to an asymmetric one after a few tens of minutes. As $R_{\text{bulk}}$ is frequency independent, the distribution of time constants is necessarily due to the electrode capacitance. In the absence of faradic reactions, i.e. implying an electron transfer between the metal electrode and donor/acceptor species in the solution, the probable mechanism involved is a time-dependent adsorption process yielding a frequency dispersion of the electrode capacitance [22,23]. Such a process was initially modelled by W. Lorenz [24] some time ago. The model is based on an adsorption-desorption equilibrium between the electrode surface and the volume of the solution in contact:

$$[\text{AChCl}]_{\text{adsorbed}} \leftrightarrow [\text{AChCl}]_{\text{bulk}} \quad (2)$$

It means that the surface concentration is the image of the bulk concentration. From the static point of view, a fraction $\theta$ of the electrode surface is covered by the adsorbed species and becomes electrically inactive. The uncovered part is responsible for a double layer capacitance $(1-\theta)C_{\text{dl}}$ where $C_{\text{dl}}$ would be the response of the bare electrode, i.e. without adsorbed species. From a dynamic point of view, the surface coverage $\theta$, and then the electrode charge, can be modulated by the $ac$ potential and by the kinetics of the adsorption-desorption process.

According to Lorenz, any charge variation $\Delta q$ of the electrode is assumed to depend only on electrode potential $E$ and on surface coverage $\theta$. $\Gamma (\Gamma_m)$ being the actual (maximal) surface concentration of the adsorbed species, with $\theta = \Gamma / \Gamma_m$, it reads:
Dynamics of the process is contained in the $d\Gamma/dt$ term, which can be written in the small perturbation approximation, $c$ being the bulk concentration of the adsorbed species:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = v_E \cdot \Delta E + v_c \cdot \Delta c + v_t \cdot \Delta \Gamma$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

$\Delta c$ and $\Delta \Gamma$ are time-dependent functions which are determined by the differential diffusion equation (Eq.5a) coupled to the condition of flux conservation (Eq.5b), namely:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta c}{\partial t} = D \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \Delta c}{\partial x^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5a)$$

$$D \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \Delta c}{\partial x} \right)_{x=0} = \frac{d\Gamma}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5b)$$

D is the diffusion coefficient of the species involved in the adsorption-desorption process. Within these conditions, for a sinusoidal potential modulation, according to literature [24-26], the electrode capacitance is a complex quantity which is expressed as:

$$C_{ad}(\omega) = C_\infty + \frac{(C_0 - C_\infty)}{1 + j\omega \tau_H + \sqrt{j\omega \tau_D}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

In this expression, $C_\infty$ is the high frequency limit of $C_{ad}$ and $C_0$ the low frequency one: the larger $C_0$ is, the lower the surface coverage $\theta$ is. $\tau_D$, the characteristic time for the mass transport step, is defined by:

$$\tau_D = \left( \frac{v_c}{v_t} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{D}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$
and $\tau_H$, the time characteristic of the heterogeneous adsorption-desorption step, reads:

$$\tau_H = \frac{-1}{\nu_{\Gamma}} \quad (7b)$$

This allows us to propose the complete EEC to be used to fit the experimental impedance spectra in the frequency range 40 Hz-1 GHz as given in figure 6.

Figure 6

In this scheme, $R_{\text{bulk}}$ is defined by Eq.1 and the frequency dependent dielectric capacitance of the cell $C_{\text{bulk}}(\omega)$ is defined by Eq.8:

$$C_{\text{bulk}}(\omega) = C_{\text{cell}} \cdot \varepsilon(\omega) \quad (8)$$

After calibration with pure water, it was found $C_{\text{cell}} = 0.078 \pm 0.002$ pF. The relative complex dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon(\omega)$ of the electrolyte medium is assumed to follow a Debye type relaxation:

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)/(1 + j\omega\tau_{HF}) \quad (9)$$

with the limit at $\omega = 0$: $C_{\text{bulk}}(\omega=0) = C_{\text{cell}}\cdot\varepsilon_s$, $\varepsilon_s$ being the relative static dielectric permittivity of the solution filling the cell. The admittance $Y_{ad}$ of the $(R_{ad},C_{ad})$ parallel circuit is:

$$Y_{ad} = 1/R_{ad} + j\omega C_{ad}(\omega) \quad (10)$$

The admittance $Y_{\text{bulk}}$ of the $(R_{\text{bulk}},C_{\text{bulk}})$ circuit is:

$$Y_{\text{bulk}} = 1/R_{\text{bulk}} + j\omega C_{\text{bulk}}(\omega) \quad (11)$$
Finally the expression for the impedance $Z(\omega)$ to be compared to experimental data over the 40 Hz – 1 GHz frequency range is given by:

$$Z(\omega) = 1/Y_{ad} + 1/Y_{bulk}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Remarkably $Z(\omega)$ only depends on eight parameters over more than seven decades of frequency, which are: $R_{\text{bulk}}$, $\tau_{\text{HF}}$, $\varepsilon$, $R_{\text{ad}}$, $C_{\infty}$, $C_0$, $\tau$, $\tau_D$. For the most of the experiments, it has been found that $\tau_H$ is not significant, meaning that the heterogeneous adsorption-desorption step was very fast, reducing to seven the number of relevant parameters. The values of these parameters were found by applying the non linear least square fitting procedure introduced in the experimental section. The function defined by Eq.12 is able to represent the experimental impedance spectra over the full frequency range explored at a quality level around 1%, expressed as a standard reduced deviation (S.D.) with respect to a 1% relative error assumed on impedance modulus.

### 3-3 Fitted parameters and results

All the fitted parameters are gathered in Tables 1 to 4, corresponding to the four sets of data vs. the reaction time, without added pulses (Table 1), and with superimposed UWB pulses at different repetition rates of 10, 50 and 100 Hz (Tables 2-4 respectively). The main features of the results are illustrated in the figures 7 to 10.

Figure 7 shows the relative variations of the bulk resistance $R_{\text{bulk}}$ with the reaction time. $R_{\text{bulk}}$, which corresponds to the dc conductivity of the electrolyte solution, is of the order of 0.90 Sm$^{-1}$ as expected for the phosphate buffer solution. The same tendency is observed whatever the experimental conditions with a systematic increase of 3-5% during the first minutes and a quasi plateau at times longer than 20-30 minutes. It means a decrease in the bulk
concentration of free ions. The decrease is the largest in the absence of perturbation, becoming progressively smaller when the repetition rate is increased. In connection with the behaviour of $R_{\text{bulk}}$, it is worthwhile to look at the variations of the parallel resistance $R_{\text{ad}}$. The physical origin of $R_{\text{ad}}$ is a possible parasitic faradic reaction, which could be a weak corrosion process of the gold electrode in the presence of chloride ions. Such a corrosion process was observed previously when the measurement cell was made of brass. It is remarkable to note that in the absence of pulses $R_{\text{ad}}$ tends rapidly towards infinite values. On the contrary, when pulses are applied to the cell, finite values are kept for $R_{\text{ad}}$ suggesting that less chloride ions were consumed in the chemical reaction. Then the increase in $R_{\text{bulk}}$ would be related to the diminution of the bulk concentration of free chloride ions.

The dramatic effect of diffusion on the adsorption process is depicted in the figure 8. During the first thirty minutes, the diffusion time $\tau_D$ is of the order of 1 ms. At longer reaction times, there is a net increase, the larger the increase is the lower the repetition rate is. Note that in the absence of pulses the increase in $\tau_D$ is about sixty times, indicating that the concentration of species involved in the adsorption process is depleted near the electrode surface.

*Figures 7,8*

The same behaviour is observed for the static value of the electrode capacitance $C_0$, as shown in the figure 9. The $C_0$ values are practically constant, around 1-2 $\mu$F, up to 20 minutes and then increase at longer times. As for $\tau_D$, the larger the increment of $C_0$ is the lower the pulse repetition rate is, the maximum amplitude being always obtained in the absence of pulses. The variations of $C_0$ vs. the reaction time $t_R$ can be modelled by a sigmoid curve, for instance of the Boltzman type:
\[ C_0(t_R) = C_{\text{lim}} + \frac{C_{\text{ini}} - C_{\text{lim}}}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{t_R - t_{1/2}}{d t_R}\right)} \] 

where \( C_{\text{ini}} \) and \( C_{\text{lim}} \) are the initial and limiting values of \( C_0 \) respectively; \( t_{1/2} \) is the reaction time for which \( C_0 = (C_{\text{lim}} + C_{\text{ini}})/2 \) and \( d t_R \) characterizes the steepness of the sigmoid curve. The parameters obtained by fitting equation (13) to the data of the figure 9 are given in Table 5. The rise time is longer, and then the reaction efficiency smaller, when the repetition rate is increased from 0 to 50 Hz. Note that for 100 Hz modulation rate the reaction is practically inhibited.

High frequency measurements provide additional information about the chemical changes in the bulk solution. From literature, the dielectric relaxation time of water is about 8 ps (\textit{i.e.} 20 GHz) at room temperature [27]. At frequencies \( \leq 1 \) GHz, water molecules do not give rise to measurable relaxation effect and the dielectric properties of water are fully characterized by its static permittivity, eventually modified due to solvation and/or steric effects in the presence of various solutes. The values found for the \( \epsilon_s \) parameter (Tables 1-4) are in agreement with a static dielectric permittivity around 78, close to the value of pure water, without noticeable variation during experiments. The values of relaxation times deduced from spectra analysis (see Tables 1-4) lie in the range 18-20 ps, much larger than the characteristic time of pure water. This can be assigned to the contribution of polar species present in the aqueous solution under study, for instance AChCl, ChCl. In figure 10, the values of \( \tau_{\text{HF}} \) are plotted vs. the reaction time, in the absence and in the presence of pulses. There is a systematic evolution from an initial value at 19.5 ps to a limiting one, at 18.5 ps. The difference is small but significant. From additional experiments in the absence of AChE (see Table 6), it was possible to measure the relaxation time for AChCl (19.4 ps), ChCl (18.6 ps) and for ChCl+AA (19.0
ps). These values are reported in the figure 10, allowing to conclude that AChCl is progressively transformed into ChCl.

Figures 9, 10

4 Information on the reaction kinetics

The above results show that broadband impedance measurements are able to provide information on the changes in the bulk concentration of the species involved in the hydrolysis reaction of AChCl. The low frequency part of the impedance spectrum, relative to electrode impedance, is the main tool for probing the extent of the hydrolysis reaction. Initially, as wall adhesion of AChE is prevented by BSA, it can be assumed that only AChCl is adsorbed at cell electrodes. In the absence of surface saturation effect, an equilibrium is established between the surface and bulk concentrations of AChCl. As the surface concentration of the adsorbed species is reflected by the low frequency limit of the adsorption capacitance $C_0$, a sensor of the actual AChCl bulk concentration during hydrolysis reaction can be achieved by measuring $C_0$ as a function of the reaction time $t_R$. Then a relative extent of the hydrolysis reaction can be modelled in principle from the $C_0(t_R)$ response. To do that it is necessary to know at what time $t_{CR}$ the reaction would be complete. In our experimental conditions, $t_{CR}$ can be estimated to be around 60 minutes, from the $C_0(t_R)$ plot in the absence of pulses. This conclusion is justified by the presence of a plateau of $C_0$ around $t_R = 60$ min, followed by a strong increase in $C_0$ at longer times. Such an increase can be interpreted as the progressive consumption of AChCl, the final products of the hydrolysis reaction being ChCl + AA. Impedance spectra recorded at $t_R = 120$ min (without and with UWB pulses at a 50 Hz repetition rate) were found very close to that obtained for the ChCl+AA system alone (see Table 6). A complementary argument is given from the dielectric relaxation time $\tau_{HF}$ (fig. 10), which decreases from the...
value for AChCl to that of ChCl, the final product of the hydrolysis reaction. It is interesting to note that the parallel resistance $R_{ad}$ tends to infinite values after 40 minutes in the absence of pulses and not when pulses are applied. As $R_{ad}$ can be considered to be linked to the possible corrosion of gold electrode by the chloride ions at the very surface, infinite value of $R_{ad}$ would mean no more adsorbed chloride ions. This comment reinforces the fact that AChCl hydrolysis would be complete after 50-60 minutes.

Within the above considerations, for $t_R \leq t_{CR}$, using the sigmoid representation of $C_o(t_R)$ (see eq.13 and Table 5), a relative extent $\eta(t_R)$ of the AChCl hydrolysis reaction can be estimated (in %) as:

$$\eta(t_R) = 100 \cdot \frac{(C_o(t_R) - C_{ini})}{(C_{lim} - C_{ini})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

The corresponding curves are plotted in the figure 11. The first remark to be done is the systematic decrease of the reaction efficiency at a given time when pulses are superimposed and when their repetition rate is increased. The second remark is the increasing slowing down of the reaction kinetics when the repetition rate is increased. For instance the hydrolysis reaction is practically inhibited for the 100 Hz repetition rate for times up to 40-50 min. Such a behaviour can be interpreted as a blocking effect of the UWB electromagnetic field of the enzyme catalysis of the AChCl hydrolysis reaction. This statement is based on the values of the static adsorption capacitance $C_0$. A low value of $C_0$ means a high surface coverage of electrodes by AChCl species reflecting a high bulk concentration. This is the situation at time zero. Without UWB pulses applied, an increase of $C_0$ with the elapsed time is depicted, implying a decrease of the electrode surface coverage by AChCl, to be correlated to a decrease of the AChCl bulk concentration, i.e. the progressive consumption of AChCl during the extent of hydrolysis reaction. On the contrary, with 100 Hz UWB pulses, $C_0$ remains
practically constant, indicating that the surface concentration, and then the bulk one, are not or a very few modified. Then the UWB pulses have no effect on the AChCl species leading to the conclusion that the observed inhibition effect is related to the action of UWB pulses on the AChE enzyme. It might be a conformational change of the AChE molecule, as it was observed for the β-lactoglobulin under microwave irradiation [28] or for AChE submitted to low frequency electromagnetic fields [29].

Figure 11

5 Conclusion

Hydrolysis of AChCl catalyzed by AChE enzyme was studied by means of a broadband impedance technique covering the 40 Hz – 4 GHz frequency range with the same cell, without or with superimposed UWB pulses. The acquisition time for a full impedance spectrum being around 10 seconds allows one to follow the extent of the reaction. The response above 1 MHz is related to the conductive and dielectric properties of the aqueous solution filling the cell. Below 100 kHz, the response is that of electrode impedance, at the gold/solution interfaces. It has been shown that the observed behaviour could be modelled by a dynamical adsorption-desorption process of AChCl, in equilibrium with the bulk. It means that the electrode impedance behaves as a sensor of the actual concentration of AChCl when the reaction is in progress. Experimental spectra were analyzed using an electrical circuit composed of two contributions in series representing the low and high frequency domains respectively. In both cases frequency dependent capacitances were introduced to account for the dielectric properties of the solution and for describing the time-dependent adsorption-desorption process. From the physical parameters involved in the model and extracted by numerical fitting, it was possible to image the extent of the hydrolysis reaction. The main feature is that
the effect of superimposed UWB pulses was to slow down the reaction kinetics, the stronger this effect is the higher the pulses repetition rate is. The final conclusion is that the observed inhibition of AChCl hydrolysis in the presence of UWB pulses is due to the inhibition of the catalytic action of AChE enzyme, may be through a conformational change. Further work is needed to validate this proposal.
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FIGURES CAPTIONS

**Figure 1:** Simplified scheme of the hydrolysis reaction of AchCl in the presence of the AChE enzyme.

**Figure 2:** Experimental set-up for broadband impedance spectroscopy measurements with superimposed UWB pulses. Practical frequency domain for measurements on aqueous systems for the three Agilent analysers used: 4294 (40Hz-50 MHz); 4291 (50 MHz-1 GHz); E8364C (1-4 GHz). UWB pulses were delivered by a Kentec Special 1 kV (max) pulser.

**Figure 3:** View of the cell used for broadband impedance measurements on liquids.

**Figure 4:** Nyquist diagrams recorded at increasing reaction times in the absence of superimposed UWB pulses: (a) impedance spectra; (b) same data but in the admittance representation.

**Figure 5:** Effect of superimposed UWB pulses at 50 and 100 Hz repetition rate upon Nyquist admittance diagrams recorded at about 70 minutes with respect to the diagrams obtained at times 0 and 70 minutes without superimposed pulses.

**Figure 6:** Equivalent electrical circuit used to fit the impedance response over the frequency range 40 Hz – 1 GHz with the elements given by Eqs. 6-12.

**Figure 7:** Relative variations of the electrolyte resistance as a function of the elapsed reaction time without and with superimposed UWB pulses at 10, 50 and 100 Hz repetition rates.

**Figure 8:** Evolution of the diffusion time characterizing adsorption kinetics as a function of the elapsed reaction time without and with superimposed UWB pulses at 10, 50 and 100 Hz repetition rates. When AChCl hydrolysis is complete, AChCl is progressively replaced by ChCl in the adsorption process at times longer than 70-80 minutes.

**Figure 9:** Evolution of the zero frequency adsorption capacitance as a function of the elapsed reaction time without and with superimposed UWB pulses at 10, 50 and 100 Hz repetition rates. When AChCl hydrolysis is complete, AChCl is progressively replaced by ChCl in the adsorption process at times longer than 70-80 minutes.

**Figure 10:** Decrease of the dielectric relaxation time with the elapsed reaction time without and with superimposed UWB pulses at a 50 Hz repetition rate, from the value corresponding to AChCl to the one corresponding to ChCl.

**Figure 11:** Extent of the AChCl hydrolysis reaction in relative units evaluated from the adsorption capacitance data using Eq. 14.
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Table 1: Fitted parameters of impedance spectra according to the electrical model depicted in Fig. 6 in the absence of superimposed pulses. $C_{\text{cell}} = 0.078 \pm 0.002 \, \text{pF}$. For the data at 120 min, both $\tau_D$ and $\tau_H$ had to be taken into account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no pulses time/min</th>
<th>$R_{\text{bulk}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$R_{\text{ad}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$C_{\infty}$ µF</th>
<th>$C_0$ µF</th>
<th>$\tau_D$ ms</th>
<th>$\tau_H$ ps</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_s$</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>6893</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>1.0668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>2066</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>1.0236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>5126</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.1353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>1.7250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>18300</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>128.5 $10^{10}$</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>0.9163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>128.1 $10^{10}$</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>127.8 $10^{10}$</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>184 $^a$</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>1.0300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$(^a)$: $\tau_H = 1.15 \, \text{ms}$

Table 2: as Table 1, but in the presence of superimposed UWB pulses at the 10 Hz repetition rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pulses 10 Hz time/min</th>
<th>$R_{\text{bulk}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$R_{\text{ad}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$C_{\infty}$ µF</th>
<th>$C_0$ µF</th>
<th>$\tau_D$ ms</th>
<th>$\tau_H$ ps</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_s$</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>7162</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>1.3172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>7052</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>1.2386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>6549</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>1.2637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>5956</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>1.2933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>6190</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>1.3024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>11694</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>1.2063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>12814</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>1.1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>11881</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>1.1949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: as Table 1, but in the presence of superimposed UWB pulses at the 50 Hz repetition rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pulses 50 Hz</th>
<th>R_{bulk}</th>
<th>R_{ad}</th>
<th>C_{∞}</th>
<th>C_0</th>
<th>τ_D</th>
<th>τ_{HF}</th>
<th>ε_s</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time/min</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>μF</td>
<td>μF</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>ps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>6381</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>1.0411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>8356</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>0.9807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>7504</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>1.0097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>6571</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>1.0387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>4844</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>128.9</td>
<td>4276</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>5284</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>6432</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>1.0234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td>13280</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>1.0333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: as Table 1, but in the presence of superimposed UWB pulses at the 100 Hz repetition rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulses 100Hz</th>
<th>R_{bulk}</th>
<th>R_{ad}</th>
<th>C_{∞}</th>
<th>C_0</th>
<th>τ_D</th>
<th>τ_{HF}</th>
<th>ε_s</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time/min</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>ohm</td>
<td>μF</td>
<td>μF</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>ps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>6583</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.0076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>2334</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>8960</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>0.5992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>8120</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>0.9930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>125.5</td>
<td>7655</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>1.0073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>4152</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>3366</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td>4152</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repetition rate Hz</td>
<td>$C_{\text{ini}}$ $\mu$F</td>
<td>$C_{\text{lim}}$ $\mu$F</td>
<td>$t_{1/2}$ min</td>
<td>$d_{\text{fr}}$ min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (*)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) estimation to the naked eye.

**Table 5**: Parameters of the sigmoid curve representing the variations of the adsorption capacitance $C_0$ with the reaction time according to Eq. 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System (50 mM)</th>
<th>$R_{\text{bulk}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$R_{\text{ad}}$ ohm</th>
<th>$C_\infty$ $\mu$F</th>
<th>$C_0$ $\mu$F</th>
<th>$\tau_D$ ms</th>
<th>$\tau_H$ ps</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_s$</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AchCl</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>$10^{10}$</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.3664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChCl</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>$10^{10}$</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>1.1406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChCl+AA</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>$10^{10}$</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>393 (*)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.1494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) $\tau_H = 3.60$ ms

**Table 6**: Fitted parameters of impedance spectra of individual systems (AChCl, ChCl, ChCl + AA, measured in the absence of AChE) according to the electrical model depicted in Fig. 6. For ChCl+AA, both $\tau_D$ and $\tau_H$ had to be taken into account.