

The More We Search, the More We Find: Discovery of a New Lineage and a New Species Complex in the Genus Asparagopsis

Laury Dijoux, Frédérique Viard, Claude Payri

► To cite this version:

Laury Dijoux, Frédérique Viard, Claude Payri. The More We Search, the More We Find: Discovery of a New Lineage and a New Species Complex in the Genus Asparagopsis. PLoS ONE, 2014, 9 (7), pp.e103826. 10.1371/journal.pone.0103826 . hal-01100867

HAL Id: hal-01100867 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01100867

Submitted on 7 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OPEN O ACCESS Freely available online

The More We Search, the More We Find: Discovery of a New Lineage and a New Species Complex in the Genus *Asparagopsis*

CrossMark

Laury Dijoux^{1,2,3}*, Frédérique Viard^{2,3}, Claude Payri¹*

1 Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UR227 CoRéUs-LabEx-CORAIL, Noumea, New Caledonia, 2 Sorbonne Universités, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (UPMC) Univ Paris 06, UMR 7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France, 3 Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), UMR 7144, Divco team, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France

Abstract

In the past few decades, in the marine realm in particular, the use of molecular tools has led to the discovery of hidden taxonomic diversity, revealing complexes of sister species. A good example is the red algal genus Asparagopsis. The two species (A. armata and A. taxiformis) recognized in this genus have been introduced in many places around the world. Within the nominal species A. taxiformis, previous molecular analyses have uncovered several lineages, suggesting the existence of sister species or subspecies. Although the genus has been well studied in some regions (e.g., the Mediterranean Sea and Hawaii), it remains poorly investigated in others (e.g., South Pacific). Our study mainly focused on these latter areas to clarify lineages and better determine lineage status (i.e., native vs. introduced). A total of 188 specimens were collected from 61 sites, 58 of which had never been sampled before. We sequenced the DNA from samples for three markers and obtained 112 sequences for the chloroplastic RuBisCo spacer, 118 sequences for the nuclear LSU rRNA gene, and 174 for the mitochondrial spacer cox2-3. Phylogenetic analyses using all three markers suggested the existence of two cryptic sister species with the discovery of a new clade within A. armata. This clade was found only in Western Australia, Tasmania and New Zealand, and is thus restricted to a subregional biogeographic unit. We also discovered a new, fifth lineage for A. taxiformis restricted to the South Pacific and Western Australia. Except for this newly described lineage, all other lineages showed a global distribution influenced by introduction events. These results illustrate the difficulty in accurately defining cosmopolitan species. Our findings also highlight the need for targeted (i.e., in poorly studied areas) and geographically extensive sampling efforts when studying taxa that have been introduced globally and that are likely to hide species complexes.

Citation: Dijoux L, Viard F, Payri C (2014) The More We Search, the More We Find: Discovery of a New Lineage and a New Species Complex in the Genus Asparagopsis. PLoS ONE 9(7): e103826. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826

Editor: Guillermo Diaz-Pulido, Griffith University, Australia

Received February 26, 2014; Accepted July 7, 2014; Published July 30, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Dijoux et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by ERA-net NETBIOME and ANR grants, project SEAPROLIF (PCS-10-NET-BIOME00). L.D. also wishes to acknowledge support provided through a PhD grant by the Province Sud (New Caledonia). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: laury.dijoux@ird.fr (LD); claude.payri@ird.fr (CP)

Introduction

Cosmopolitan species — defined as species with a global distribution or spanning several biogeographic provinces — are common in the marine realm [1-3]. However, based on molecular data, seemingly cosmopolitan species often prove to be a complex of cryptic species (i.e., species morphologically indistinguishable despite being biological species with a divergent evolutionary history [4]). Transport by humans (i.e., biological invasions) may also artificially widen a species range and thus generate a cosmopolitan distribution [5,6]: today, many species ranges go beyond natural barriers because human activities promote their transport and successful settlement far from their native range. For example, aquaculture activities represent a major vector for introducing marine species outside their common range at the global scale (e.g., the Japanese kelp Undaria pinnatifida [7] or the tunicate Molgula manhattensis [8]). Distinguishing between the relative importance of cryptic diversity and introduction to explain the large distribution of some taxa is not always straightforward, particularly for cryptogenic species (*sensu* Carlton [9], i.e., a species for which it is uncertain whether they are native or introduced because of their long-term association with human activities).

The genus Asparagopsis (Rhodophyta) is a good candidate for exploring these processes, namely cryptic diversity and introduction to explain a cosmopolitan distribution. The distribution of *A. armata* and *A. taxiformis* is broad and has been shown to be partly due to several introduction events [10,11]. Within the genus *Asparagopsis*, eight nominal species have been reported, of which only two are currently retained excluding synonyms: namely *A. armata* Harvey and *A. taxiformis* (Delile) Trevisan [12–14]. *A. armata* was first described in Western Australia [15] and is also naturally present in New Zealand [16]. It is known as having been introduced to the northeastern Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea around the 1920s [17,18], presumably from Southern Australia; the vector is unknown. *Asparagopsis taxiformis* was described by Delile in 1813 (as *Fucus taxiformis*; [19]) from a floating specimen collected near the lighthouse in Alexandria (Egypt, Mediterranean



PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

Figure 1. Present-day reported distribution of the currently recognized species (A) *A. armata* (A) and (B) *A. taxiformis.* Black symbols stand for morphological identification alone. Colored symbols are used to indicate different mitochondrial molecular lineages when known. Blank triangles report bibliographic data and filled circles indicate data obtained from the present study [3,13]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.q001

Sea), excluding the hypothesis of a Lessepsian migration (i.e., introduction from the Red Sea through the Suez Canal) at this date. However, its widespread presence today in the Mediterranean may well be explained by introductions [10] from other areas [20–23]. Molecular studies of *A. taxiformis* show that there are several cryptic lineages in this species, increasing uncertainty on its taxonomical status and biogeography. Andreakis et al. [3] described four evolutionary different lineages that may be indicative of at least two cryptic species: Lineage 1 (L1) is found in the Pacific, L4 in the Indo-Pacific; L2 is found in the Indo-Pacific, the Mediterranean Sea and North Atlantic; and L3 is found in the western Atlantic, the Canary Islands and eastern Mediterranean. While the taxonomic status of *A. taxiformis* is debated, studies on *A. armata* thus far have not shown any hidden molecular diversity revealing cryptic lineages [3,10,11,24].

In the Mediterranean Sea, the genus is considered as being one of the "100 worst invasives" and described as "monospecific coverages, dominating many algal assemblages" [23,25-27]. In Hawaii [11], A. taxiformis is also known to have been introduced, but formal studies on its environmental impact have not been conducted. In Europe, A. armata is more commonly studied as an 'invasive species' than A. taxiformis; this is likely due to the earlier introduction of the former compared to the latter (1923 and 1993, respectively [18,28]). Altogether, there are no published data clearly linking either the 'native' vs. 'non-native' status or the 'proliferative' vs. 'non proliferative' status of Asparagopsis spp. to any particular habitat or species assemblage. Both species are found in the intertidal and shallow subtidal zones on hard substrates [21] on sheltered to exposed coasts (e.g. for A. armata: in its Australian native range [29] and in its Spanish introduced range [30,31]). The main difference between the two taxa is climatic preference: temperate seas for A. armata and warmer seas for A. taxiformis (warm temperate to tropical regions).

Natural and human-mediated dispersal can play a role in the spread of the two taxa. Both have a haplo-diplontic, heteromorphic life cycle with alternating haploid gametophytic and diploid sporophytic stages. In addition to clonal gametophytic propagation in the two species, the gametophytes of A. armata can attach to various surfaces by their hooked branches [32], possibly favoring its spread in its introduced range [18]. These natural dispersal vectors are however unlikely to explain the cosmopolitan distribution of the two taxa. Several vectors of human-mediated transport have been suggested such as oyster farming [33] or maritime traffic (but see Flagella et al. 2007 [34] and Mineur et al. 2006 [35]: no Asparagopsis spp. have been recorded in surveys of ballast waters or ship hulls). In addition, they are directly exploited by humans: A. taxiformis has cultural value and has long been used for food in Hawaii [36] and A. armata is farmed in its introduced range (Northern Europe) to extract bioactive molecules [37].

Figures 1a and 1b depict present-day reports of the taxa described as *A. taxiformis* and *A. armata*. Some of these reports are not associated with taxonomic studies and misidentification between the two taxa may have occurred. Despite existing inventories and previous detailed studies (Figure 1, see Table S1 for details and references), only a limited amount of molecular data is available. Data tend to be restricted to some regions with very little information on the Indo-Pacific region, even though this region has been recognized as a likely diversification center [38–40]. The maps in Figure 1 show that some areas were overlooked.

In particular, in New Caledonia only one individual has been sampled in the Southwest lagoon (2002, Passe Mato). Also, in a number of cases, reports from the Indo-Pacific are not associated with molecular identification, so that doubts persist as to the taxa and lineages currently present in the area. For example, Catala reported in 1950 the presence of *A. armata* in New Caledonia based on Valerie May's identification [41], but in the absence of herbarium vouchers, this identification cannot be confirmed. Likewise, in the southwestern Indian Ocean where *A. taxiformis* has been reported [22], morphological or molecular data are lacking.

Increasing sampling intensity and geographical coverage may reveal new lineages or indicate human-mediated spread of Asparagopsis taxa. The objectives of this study were three fold. First, to run genetic analyses on samples obtained from areas poorly represented in existing distribution maps, with a special focus on New Caledonia. Previous studies have examined one specimen only shown to belong to the L2 lineage [3]. Secondly, to assess the consistency of the observed lineages over time by comparing samples collected from 2001 to the present. Third, to determine which lineage is responsible for episodic Asparagopsis bloom events, recorded in New Caledonia from time to time since 1981 (department of scientific diving operations SEOH IRD), especially considering that L2 is known to be invasive in other regions (e.g., in the Mediterranean Sea). This study was based on the joint analysis of three markers chosen in the nuclear (LSU rRNA gene), mitochondrial (cox2-3 spacer) and chloroplastic (RuBisCo spacer, rbcL) compartment as each of them has different properties in terms of inheritance and mutation rates.

Materials and Methods

Study specimens

We obtained and analyzed a total of 188 specimens (17 identified as A. armata and 171 as A. taxiformis). Samples were collected from 61 sites within 20 biogeographic provinces [40] (Table 1 and Table S1). In New Caledonia, sampling permits were issued by local authorities in Province Nord, Province Sud and Province des Loyautés; in Papua New Guinea, the Department of Environment and Conservation of Papua New Guinea issued the permit; in Tasmania, permits were issued by the Department of Primary Industries, Parks Water Environment Wild Fisheries Branch; in Western Australia and Lord Howe Island, the Department of Environment and Conservation (WA) and the Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water (NSW) respectively issued the permits. For the other locations, no specific permit was required (studies did not involve endangered or protected species). The majority of samples were obtained from the tropical Indo-Pacific region, for which less molecular data is available in the literature and databases. Following collection, the specimens were dried on a paper towel, wrapped in filter paper and stored in silica gel. Samples from Taiwan were preserved in ethanol.

To check for temporal changes in the presence vs. absence of lineages in New Caledonia, 17 herbarium vouchers kept in the IRD-NOU phycological herbarium at IRD (*Institut de Recherche pour le Développement*) in Noumea were also added to the study. These samples were collected at the South lagoon of Grande Terre (Passe Mato 2002; Kué 2004), Koumac (2004), Lifou (2004), Touho (2004), Isle of Pines (BIODIP, 2005), Kié (2005), Bourail **Table 1.** Specimens sampled for this study across marine biogeographic provinces (name and definition provided in Spalding et al. [40]).

	Province	number of samples
A. armata	Mediterranean Sea	5
	Northern European seas	5
	Southwest Australian shelf	2
	Southeast Australian shelf	4
	Southern New Zealand	1
A. taxiformis	Agulhas	б
	Lord Howe and Norfolk Islands	5
	Lusitanian	10
	Mediterranean Sea	16
	Northern New Zealand	4
	Red Sea	6
	South China sea	4
	Southeast Polynesia	15
	South Kuroshio	4
	Southwest Australian shelf	1
	Tropical Southwestern Pacific	61
	Warm temperate Northwest Pacific	2
	West central Australian shelf	3
	Western Coral Triangle	5
	Western Indian Ocean	29

Details on sampling and additional data used for the analyses (i.e., sequences obtained from GenBank database) are provided in Table S1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.t001

(2007), East lagoon of Grande Terre (CORALCAL1, 2007) and Chesterfield (CORALCAL2, 2008).

We retrieved and analyzed all molecular data available in GenBank for the two target species. We selected a total of 103 sequences to complement the geographic coverage of our study: for *A. armata*, 13, 1 and 9 sequences for the cox2-3 spacer, the rbcL spacer and LSU, respectively, and for *A. taxiformis*, 63, 2 and 15 sequences, respectively. Details on the origin of the specimens in each province and ecoregion and GenBank accession numbers are given in Table S1.

DNA extraction and molecular analyses of collected specimens

For all newly collected material (i.e., except herbarium specimens), extractions were done with the DNeasy Plant minikit (Qiagen) or with the Nucleospin 96 Plant kit (Macherey Nagel) using 5 to 10 mg of dried material. To avoid extracting PCR-inhibiting polysaccharides, we did not incubate the specimens at 65° C prior to extraction.

For the herbarium specimens, DNA extraction was carried out using a CTAB protocol. This involved crushing 5 to 10 mg of dried material and adding 1 mL of CTAB buffer (2% CTAB, 1.4 M NaCl, 0.2% β -mercaptoethanol, 20 mM EDTA pH 8, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 0.1 mg/mL proteinase K) with 1 μ L of proteinase K (0.1 mg/mL) to the mixture. Samples were then incubated in an agitated water bath at 60°C for 3 h and centrifuged for 10 min at 13 200 rpm at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and an equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol 24:1 was added. The mixture was then shaken and centrifuged at 13 200 rpm for 10 min at 4°C.

fresh tubes and DNA was precipitated by adding isopropanol (2/3 of supernatant volume) and transferred to -20° C for 45 min followed by a centrifugation step at 13 200 rpm for 10 min. The pellet was washed with 500 µL 75% ethanol and centrifuged 10 min at 13 200 rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet dried and dissolved in 50 µL of water.

The chloroplastic rbcL spacer [42], nuclear marker LSU [43] and mitochondrial marker cox2-3 spacer [44], were amplified following the protocol described in Andreakis et al. [10] with three modifications. First, LSU primers were redesigned for the study based on preliminary sequencing of a few specimens: LSU-At_F 5'-CGGGAAGAGCCCCAACATG-3' (Forward) and LSU-At_R 5' CGGGTACCAGCACAASTGC-3' (Reverse). Reactions were performed in a total volume of 25 μ L containing 2 μ L of extracted DNA diluted to 1:50, 0.4 μM of forward and reverse primers, 1.25 U Taq polymerase (Jump Start Red Taq, Sigma) and no BSA. Second, 2 mM of MgCl₂ and 0.25% of DMSO were added for all analyses involving LSU. Third, PCR cycles conditions were slightly modified: for the rbcL spacer and cox2-3 spacer markers, 5 touch-down cycles were added with annealing temperatures from 53°C to 48°C and 50°C to 45°C respectively; LSU annealing temperature was modified to 55°C for 1 min; and all extensions were performed at 72°C for 90 sec.

The quality of the PCR products was checked on a 1% agarose gel. PCR products were then sequenced by Macrogen (Macrogen Inc., Seoul, Korea) using the BigDye TM terminator method.

Sequence analyses

Sequences obtained were aligned using BioEdit [45] and CodonCode (CodonCode Corp., Dedham, MA, USA) against sequences retrieved from the GenBank database. Phylogenetic trees were inferred in MEGA5 (NJ, MP, UPGMA; [46]) or Seaview v4.4 (ML; [47]) using neighbor joining (NJ), maximum parsimony (MP), unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) and maximum likelihood (ML). For ML analyses, the model of nucleotide substitution was estimated using Findmodel (available at http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/ sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html). Support for nodes was assessed by the bootstrap method [48] using 1000 replicates for NJ and MP analyses and 100 replicates for ML analyses. *Asparagopsis armata* was used as an outgroup. Other members of the Bonnemaisoniaceae family were also tested as outgroups (e.g., *Delisea pulchra*), but no difference in topology was observed.

For the cox2-3 spacer, several lineages were observed and distances between them (expressed as a number of base pair differences over the length of the sequence) were computed using DNAsp version 5 [49]. To check for consistency in molecular divergence across markers, distances were computed for the two other markers by grouping specimens according to their mitochondrial lineage.

Bayesian analyses were also performed using Beast with a GTR+G prior (10 million generations) and a strict clock model to calibrate the phylogeny. We used the divergence rate of cox2-3 spacer $(5.2-6.1.10^{-3} \text{ substitutions.site}^{-1}.\text{million years ago (Ma)}^{-1})$ as a calibration to date the separation between each clade [3,50].

Considering that the study specimens are members of a species complex or subspecies complex, haplotype networks were also computed for the cox2-3 spacer, the most polymorphic marker and the one showing the largest number of lineages. Haplotype networks are useful for revealing reticulations within a subsample of taxa that are closely related [51]. A non-hierarchical graphic representation can be plotted from these reticulations, and this type of network is more appropriate when reproduction events among closely related taxa are still occurring or when the time elapsed since divergence is short. Also, in the case of a complex history of multiple introductions, as in the *Asparagopsis* genus, networks can highlight dispersion and migration events [52]. Haplotype networks were computed using Network software applying the median joining algorithm (fluxus-engineering.com, [53]).

Results

Overall molecular diversity

A total of 112 sequences of 264 base pairs (bp) were obtained for rbcL spacer, 118 sequences of 606 bp for LSU and 174 sequences of 316 bp for the cox2-3 spacer (see Table S1 for details).

Over the whole dataset (and the two study taxa), the cox2-3 spacer displayed high molecular diversity with 59 haplotypes and 31.47% of polymorphic sites over a total of 278 specimens (from this study and GenBank data). This contrasts with the results obtained using the other two markers: the rbcL spacer was the least genetically diverse with only 7 haplotypes and 12.3% of polymorphic sites for 115 specimens; and the LSU marker showed 17 haplotypes with 15.95% of polymorphic sites for a total of 142 specimens.

Phylogenetic analyses and time divergence

All phylogenetic reconstruction methods (NJ, MP, ML, UPGMA and Bayesian) produced similar tree topologies. Figures 2 to 4 show phylogenetic trees obtained by the NJ method for the three markers, with bootstrap values for NJ, MP, ML and UPGMA analyses for the rbcL spacer and the LSU marker plus posterior values obtained with a Bayesian analysis for the cox2-3 spacer. For the sake of clarity, the positions of the individual

sequences in a given lineage are not presented (for the detailed trees, see Figures S1, S2, S3 for cox2-3, rbcL and LSU, respectively). As expected, we observed high molecular divergence between *A. taxiformis* and *A. armata* for each marker: the divergence ranged from 9.14% for rbcL spacer to 18.88% for the cox2-3 spacer (Figures 2 to 4).

In A. armata, two unexpected, well-supported, highly divergent and mutually monophyletic lineages were observed, not only with the cox2-3 spacer, but also with the two other markers (Figures 2, 3 and 4). They will be referred to as 'clades' to differentiate them from 'lineages'. The latter are defined as a group of haplotypes clearly clustered in phylogenetic trees, but with lower level of divergence and usually not supported by all three markers. A. armata clade 1 grouped sequences from GenBank obtained from specimens collected in South Africa, France, the United Kingdom, Portugal and Spain together with sequences obtained in this study from individuals collected in Tasmania. A. armata clade 2 is composed only of sequences newly obtained from specimens collected in Western Australia, Tasmania and New Zealand for this study.

Within A. taxiformis, divergences were 1.95% for the rbcL spacer, 4.09% for LSU, but reached 17.70% for the cox2-3 spacer (see Figures 2 to 4). In A. taxiformis, five lineages were obtained for the cox2-3 spacer thus adding a new lineage to the four previously described lineages (L1 to L4; [3,11,54]). Divergences between these lineages are given in Table 2. Two groups were clearly distinguished based on the phylogenetic tree (Figure 4), one of which clusters lineages L1, L2 and L5 and one that clusters with lineages L3 and L4. These two clusters were not clearly observed with the rbcL spacer and LSU (Figures S1 to S3), which can be partly explained by their lower polymorphism compared to the cox2-3 spacer. This inconsistency can be highlighted by computing divergences for LSU and the rbcL spacer among specimens grouped according to their mitochondrial lineage (Table 2): divergences among the groups were sometimes larger than divergences within these groups. However, some phylogenetic relationships were consistent across markers, for instance L2 and L5, closely related according to the cox2-3 spacer, also show very low divergence with LSU and the rbcL spacer (Table 2).

Assuming a divergence rate for the cox2-3 spacer of 5.2-6.1 10^{-3} substitutions.site⁻¹.Ma⁻¹(according to Zuccarello and West [50]), the time of divergence between L1 and L2 was found to be approximately 1.75 Ma. L5 was separated from L1 and L2 by about 2.72 Ma. L3 and L4 diverged from each other around 2.73 Ma and the two groups L1-L2-L5 versus L3-L4 diverged around 5.4 Ma (Figure 4).

Network analysis and geographical distribution of the mitochondrial lineages in *A. taxiformis*

The network analysis was restricted to *A. taxiformis* due to the large difference in sequences between *A. armata* and *A. taxiformis* that prevents accurate interpretation of the network. In addition, we were mainly interested in examining the spatial distribution of the cryptic taxa revealed in our study with respect to those studied previously. Fifty cox2-3 haplotypes were found within *A. taxiformis*. The haplotypic network illustrates the clustering observed in the phylogenetic trees and the existence of two major groups (L1-L2-L5 and L3-L4) separated by 11 mutation steps (Figure 5).

Lineage 2 was the most diverse and reticulated lineage with 21 haplotypes. Lineages L1 and L3 were the least diverse lineages with 5 and 7 haplotypes respectively, although this low diversity can be attributed to the lower number of specimens analyzed for these two lineages.



Figure 2. Neighbor-joining (NJ) tree for the rbcL spacer. Bootstrap values for NJ, MP, ML and UPGMA analyses, respectively, are given. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.g002

Considering the geographical distribution of these lineages, L1 to L4 did not mirror any biogeographical unit or other spatial organization: they were found over a very large spatial scale encompassing both hemispheres and several oceans (Figures 1 and 5). Conversely, results for L5 indicated a much more restricted distribution. L5 is indeed found in Western Australia, Kermadec Island, New Caledonia, Lord Howe Island and Gambier Island (French Polynesia): i.e., in the southern Indo-Pacific.

Herbarium data

Only nine sequences for the cox2-3 spacer were obtained from the 17 herbarium samples, possibly because of damaged DNA as a result of preservation techniques (air-dried specimens). The Lifou Island sample appeared to belong to L4, while all other samples were assigned to L5. The cox2-3 spacer sequences were available for specimens obtained from a recent sampling expedition and herbarium collection in one location only, Koumac: all the samples belonged to L5 and shared the same cox2-3 haplotype.

Discussion

Increasing taxonomic complexity within the genus Asparagopsis

Asparagopsis taxiformis and A. armata were clearly distinguished from each other with the mitochondrial cox2-3 spacer, the nuclear LSU marker and the chloroplastic rbcL spacer. Corroborated by recognized morphological differences [13,15,55], this molecular differentiation [at three different markers] highlights the long evolutionary divergence between the two taxa. Our study however brought significant new insights into the cryptic molecular diversity of the genus Asparagopsis and documented the existence of two new genetic clusters within each of the two taxonomically accepted species A. taxiformis and A. armata. This brings the total number of distinct entities to seven across the two taxa.

Ni Chualáin et al. [12] were the first to demonstrate the existence of different genetic clusters within the genus *Asparagopsis*



Figure 3. Neighbor-joining (NJ) tree for LSU. Bootstrap values for NJ, MP, ML and UPGMA analyses, respectively, are given. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.g003



Figure 4. Neighbor-joining tree and Bayesian analysis for the cox2-3 spacer. Bootstrap values for NJ, MP, ML and UPGMA analyses, respectively, are given as well as posterior value from Bayesian analysis (values shown in light gray). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.g004

using plastid DNA RFLPs. They identified two clusters within A. taxiformis, with disjoint and large distributions: one distributed across the Pacific and Mediterranean Sea and the other spanning the Caribbean and found around the Canary Islands. Using the mitochondrial cox2-3 spacer, Andreakis et al. [3] then separated the two A. taxiformis clusters into four lineages with no changes to previous findings regarding A. armata. The three markers used in our study showed two well-supported and mutually monophyletic clades within A. armata, characterized by a high level of divergence (D = 7.25%) for the cox2-3 spacer, 3.23\% for LSU and 3.16% for the rbcL spacer). For each marker, data were consistent with divergence levels usually observed among species in Rhodophyta, although divergence rates may vary among species and genera. For example, divergences among species of the Gelidiales are 3.1-11.5% and 0.4-2.2% for the rbcL spacer and LSU, respectively [56], and divergence for the cox2-3 spacer between two species of the genus Peyssonnelia is 5.8-6.7% [57]. Given that all three markers showed that there are two separate clades indicates that hybridization has not recently or often occurred between the two clades. We thus suggest that the A. armata clades are in fact two cryptic biological species. This hypothesis is reinforced by the fact that the new clade of A. armata is restricted to Western Australia, Tasmania and New Zealand based on our sampling. In Australia, both monoecious and dioecious gametophytes have been described [29]. One possibility to be explored is that the two clades may be distinguished by their reproductive features.

Regarding A. taxiformis, we observed a new lineage not described in previous studies [3] with specimens collected in the South Pacific (New Caledonia, Lord Howe Island, Kermadec Islands and Gambier Islands in French Polynesia) and Western Australia. Our data could not resolve the taxonomic status of the five lineages within A. taxiformis. The molecular differences observed among these lineages at the cox2-3 spacer were however much lower than between A. taxiformis and A. armata, suggesting recent divergence. In addition, the mitochondrial lineages were often disparate from the nuclear and chloroplastic markers. Such inconsistencies across markers have previously been described for the Gelidiales [58] and the genus Sargassum [59] and can be attributed to recombination events between taxa that are not fully reproductively isolated. Using the cox2-3 spacer, the different levels of divergence among lineages within A. taxiformis may be indicative of successive separation events with a more ancient divergence between the two clusters [L1-L2-L5] versus [L3-L4] (D = 4.88%; around 5.40 Ma; Figure 4) than within the clusters (e.g. between L1 and L2, D = 1.93% with a divergence time around 1.75 Ma). Divergence times were based on the molecular clock obtained by Zuccarello and West [50] for the genus Bostrychia, which was estimated using the time of closure of the Isthmus of Panama. As no fossil data are available for Asparagopsis, proper calibration of the date for clade separation is not possible and divergence estimates must be considered with caution. Calibration based on the age of paleogeographic events is considered as the most trustworthy; it nonetheless assumes that every lineage evolves at the same substitution rate, which is still open to debate [60].

Biogeography of Asparagopsis in a changing world

Regarding their large spatial distribution, at a first glance, A. taxiformis and A. armata could be considered as cosmopolitan species. Molecular approaches have often shown that a single species spread across several biogeographic regions hide cryptic

Table 2. DNA divergence (number of base pair differences over the length of the sequence) within (on the diagonal) and between (below the diagonal) lineages (L1 to L5) computed for each marker.

cox2-3 spacer							
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5		
L1	1.31						
L2	1.93	6.56					
L3	5.37	4.95	1.63				
L4	4.99	4.66	2.76	3.59			
L5	3.33	2.37	4.7	5.05	3.59		
rbcL spacer							
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5		
L1	-						
L2	-	0					
L3	-	1	1.56				
L4	-	0.55	0.93	1.17			
L5	-	0.01	1	0.55	0.78		
LSU							
	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5		
L1	-						
L2	-	3.23					
L3	-	0.43	0				
L4	-	0.28	0.18	0.51			
L5	-	0.16	0.38	0.22	0.68		

Lineages were defined according to the mitochondrial marker cox2-3 spacer (for comparison with results by Andreakis et al. [3]; see Figure 3 for lineage definitions). '-' stands for no data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.t002

species, each of them often located over non-overlapping, discrete geographic ranges [61]. For example, a kelp previously named Lessonia nigrescens and found across two biogeographic provinces along the Chilean coasts is in fact composed of two parapatric species, now recognized as L. berteroana and L. spicata [62], each distributed in a single biogeographic province. Also, the widespread cnidarian Aurelia aurita turns out to be a complex of seven sibling species [63]. There are many other examples in the literature for algae [64], polychaetes [65], tunicates [66] and gastrotrichs [67]. A. armata and A. taxiformis are both composed of several lineages or clades, all defined based on their genetic cohesiveness and characterized by large divergence times (>2 My for the closest ones, L2 and L5). However, only a few lineages were restricted to a single biogeographic region (e.g. L5, see details below). Considering the limited innate dispersal ability of Asparagopsis spp., the fact that most of the lineages are distributed across several oceans and/or hemispheres means that their actual distribution may be driven by one (or several) human-mediated introduction process(es).

The different lineages in *A. taxiformis* showed three different distribution patterns. First, L5 perfectly matched a distinctive region in the South Pacific (New Caledonia, Lord Howe Island, Kermadec Islands and Gambier Islands in French Polynesia) and Southwest Australia. In addition, samples from Southwest Australia were genetically more distant from the other samples, closely following the geographical barrier between the Indian and Pacific oceans. This distribution is consistent with previous phycological research that shows biogeographical affinities between marine flora from high latitude areas and zones of the South

Pacific [68,69]. Second, L2 and L3 both showed a large distribution across several biogeographic areas; the observed pattern cannot be maintained by natural dispersal and gene flow at such a broad scale (e.g., some L2 individuals in the Mediterranean Sea were genetically identical to individuals from Hawaii); the low molecular divergence within L2 and within L3 associated with their extensive distribution around the world are typical features of species introduced on a worldwide scale. Their native distribution range is unknown and the two lineages may be cryptogenic lineages (sensu Carlton [9]). L2 and L3 fall in the long list of macroalgal species distributed at a global scale due to human-mediated transport (e.g., Caulerpa taxifolia and C. racemosa from Australia [70-74] through the aquarium trade, Undaria pinnatifida from Asia via aquaculture and marine traffic [7]). Third, L1 and L4 are intermediate cases. L1 seems to have a natural distribution: it was mostly described in Northeastern Pacific. One record mentions its presence in the South Pacific in French Polynesia, but with high divergence from the Hawaiian and Panamanian samples of the same study [54]. The precise sampling locality is unknown (J. Bolton, pers. com.). We did not recover any L1 during our surveys of the Polynesian islands. Its record in French Polynesia may thus be either a cryptic introduction from the northern hemisphere that failed to establish or a new (sub)lineage in a location that we did not survey. L4 also shows a distribution nearly compatible with a natural distribution: it is found in the Indo-Pacific regions, more specifically in the Coral Triangle (Papua New Guinea) as well as in the Pacific and Indian Oceans and in the Red Sea, which is typical of many species distributed in the Indo-Pacific [75]. It is noteworthy that



Figure 5. Haplotype network based on the cox2-3 spacer alignment for *A. taxiformis.* L1 is shown in purple, L2 in blue, L3 in green, L4 in yellow and L5 in red. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.g005

some haplotypes are restricted to either the Pacific (H7, H16 and H43 in [3]) or the Indian (H5, H10, H11, H20, H21 and H22) Ocean, suggesting a more recent evolutionary divergence on either side of the Coral Triangle, a pattern also described in some mollusks [75]. The presence of L4 in some disconnected and remote locations (e.g. Panama, Costa Rica) most likely results from long-distance dispersal events through human-mediated transport.

The Asparagopsis genus clearly illustrates how difficult it is to analyze biogeographic patterns in a changing world, because human-mediated, long-distance dispersal events are disrupting the natural footprints left by historical vicariant events. Reconstructing pathways and vectors of introductions in Asparagopsis spp. was not the goal of this study and sampling was not adequate for addressing this issue. However, we could nonetheless differentiate between native and introduced status of L5 in New Caledonia. When we started this study, the worldwide invasive lineage L2 was expected to be present in New Caledonia, where it was reported by Andreakis et al. [3] who sequenced one specimen. The presence of the "invasive" L2 conveniently explained the algal blooms observed in this region. However, in our study, we sequenced the same specimen (voucher IRD no. 10832), which appeared to belong to the new L5 lineage. Sequences obtained from various herbarium specimens collected within the last decade suggested that L5 has remained stable through time, at least in the time frame covering 2001-2013. Based on its well-defined spatial distribution and genetic cohesiveness (i.e., low genetic divergence within L5), L5 is not likely to have been introduced in the South Pacific. The observed blooms are thus more likely due to environmental disturbance than to invasion. In other words, they are more likely to be similar to the green tides of *Ulva* and *Enteromorpha* observed in Europe due to eutrophication [76,77] or to reef overgrowth by Fucales (*Sargassum* and *Turbinaria* genera) [78,79]. Another interesting point is that documented cases with intermingled lineages are extremely rare (Table S1). In Hawaii, L2, L1 and L4 co-occur [11], but seem to be distributed in different reef habitats (A. Sherwood, pers. com.; see also Figure 4 in [11]). Either niche partitioning (with local adaptation to microhabitats) or competitive exclusion between lineages makes syntopy rare. This issue deserves further experimental ecology studies and field surveys, accompanied by accurate lineage identification.

The critical role of sampling strategy in biogeography, phylogenetic and invasion studies

Although previous studies provided results on widely distributed samples [3,10,11,24,54], our study showed additional lineages and even probable cryptic species, in *A. armata* in particular, by expanding sampling range and size. For *A. armata*, the material considered by Andreakis et al. [3] came from European localities only. This may explain the apparent molecular uniformity and the identification of only one clade in their study, contrasting with our study in which samples were collected in several new regions. Our results demonstrated the importance of targeting surveys in areas



Figure 6. Estimate of haplotype richness (Chao2) for Asparagopsis taxiformis against the number of localities sampled. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103826.g006

where the species may be distributed, including some remote and overlooked locations, to identify new operational evolutionary units and avoid bias in the estimation of haplotypic richness. Broadly speaking, the estimation of the magnitude of marine species richness depends greatly on sampling effort [80]. For example, both the haplotype and species richness of rotifers in Polish lakes are positively correlated with the number of samples and the number of localities sampled [81]. Sampling effort has also long been recognized as important in the study of invaders [82,83]. There are three categories of errors that can underestimate the number of invaders: 1) systematics, 2) biogeography, and 3) sampling [5]. The case of Asparagopsis matches that typology. First, with regard to systematics, the genus Asparagopsis is composed of complexes of cryptic species, and new introduced taxa can easily be missed. Secondly, Asparagopsis spp. biogeography is difficult: A. taxiformis was first described in Alexandria in the Mediterranean Sea. This type locality was thus falsely reported as being in the native range. Likewise, Neosiphonia harveyi (= Polysiphonia harveyi) was first described in 1848 using North American samples (Connecticut) and shown to be native to Asia 140 years later [84]. Finally, by significantly expanding sampling coverage compared to previous studies, we discovered the presence of lineages that may have been introduced (e.g., L2 in Reunion Island).

Nevertheless, *Asparagopsis* sampling effort may still not be sufficient. Our findings were based on specimens from a few relatively comprehensively sampled areas (e.g., Mediterranean Sea [10,12,24], Hawaii [11], New Caledonia, this study) and a few samples from other areas (e.g., four samples from South Africa [54], one sample from New Zealand, this study). Given the invasive nature of *Asparagopsis* and its cryptic diversity, an

optimal sampling strategy should include a two-pronged approach: large geographical coverage with a substantial number of specimens from each site. To test the accuracy of our sampling, we used ESTIMATES software [85] to compute the expected haplotype number using the non-parametric estimator Chao 2. This estimator draws its inferences on singletons and private haplotypes. Plotting this estimator and the observed number of haplotypes with respect to the number of localities (Figure 6), we observed a number of haplotypes well below the lowest estimate of the expected number of haplotypes (for 74 localities, only 50 haplotypes were observed compared to the expected number of between 87 and 321 haplotypes). Thus, despite an ample sampling effort, we likely underestimated the true haplotypic richness of A. taxiformis. Thus, further sampling of Central and South Pacific is necessary to establish a more accurate distribution of L5 and to collect specimens in the Coral Triangle, poorly investigated so far, although it is a center of diversity and diversification [86,87] in the Indo-Pacific region.

In conclusion, our findings illustrate the importance of comprehensive sampling including in overlooked regions, when examining diversity at the inter- and intra-taxon level. The delineation of operational evolutionary entities (genetically cohesive lineages) and mapping their distribution can help distinguish between native and introduced lineages. In introduced lineages, the biogeographic identity of the lineage has been disrupted (e.g. L2) by human-mediated transport, whereas it has been maintained in native lineages (e.g., L5). This pattern of introduced vs. native lineages is discernable if gene flow between the two ranges has not been interrupted or if the time elapsed since the introduction is not too long. Accordingly, we clearly demonstrated that several cryptic taxa, some being introduced, explain the apparent cosmopolitanism of the

Asparagopsis genus. A meticulous molecular examination of herbarium specimens can help assess the consistency of taxa through times. Cryptic diversity was observed at various taxonomical levels, as illustrated by two highly divergent clades within *A. armata*, and five more or less divergent lineages within *A. taxiformis*. Integrative taxonomy studies [88–91] with further phylogenetic analyses, biogeography studies and morphological taxonomy work as well as cross-fertilization experiments are all good means to test for contemporary reproductive isolation among lineages, and investigate their status as separate biological species. This need of taxonomic revision is also supported by recent evidences of morphological variability among lineages [92].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Detailed neighbor-joining tree and Bayesian analysis for the cox2-3 spacer. Posterior value from Bayesian analysis are given. Specimen's name is explained in Table S1. (TIF)

Figure S2 Detailed neighbor-joining tree for the rbcL spacer. Bootstrap values are given. Specimen's name is explained in Table S1. (TIF)

Figure S3 Detailed neighbor-joining (NJ) tree for LSU. Bootstrap values are given. Specimen's name is explained in Table S1. (TIF)

References

- Mackie JA, Keough MJ, Christidis L (2006) Invasion patterns inferred from cytochrome oxidase I sequences in three bryozoans, *Bugula neritina*, *Watersipora subtorquata*, and *Watersipora arcuata*. Mar Biol 149: 285–295. doi:10.1007/s00227-005-0196-x.
- Lee KM, Boo GH, Coyer JA, Nelson WA, Miller KA, et al. (2013) Distribution patterns and introduction pathways of the cosmopolitan brown alga *Colpomenia peregrina* using mt cox3 and atp6 sequences. J Appl Phycol. doi:10.1007/ s10811-013-0052-1.
- Andreakis N, Procaccini G, Maggs C, Kooistra WHCF (2007) Phylogeography of the invasive seaweed Asparagopsis (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta) reveals cryptic diversity. Mol Ecol 16: 2285–2299. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03306.x.
- Klautau M, Russo C, Lazoski C (1999) Does cosmopolitanism result from overconservative systematics? A case study using the marine sponge *Chondrilla* nucula. Evolution (N Y) 53: 1414–1422.
- Carlton JT (2009) Deep Invasion Ecology and the Assembly of Communities in Historical Time. In: Rilov G, Crooks JA, editors. Biological Invasions in Marine Ecosystems. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. pp. 13–56.
- Haydar D (2012) What is natural? The scale of cryptogenesis in the North Atlantic Ocean. Divers Distrib 18: 101–110. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00863.x.
- Voisin M, Engel CR, Viard F (2005) Differential shuffling of native genetic diversity across introduced regions in a brown alga: Aquaculture vs. maritime traffic effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 5432–5437. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0501754102.
- Haydar D, Hoarau G, Olsen JL, Stam WT, Wolff WJ (2011) Introduced or glacial relict? Phylogeography of the cryptogenic tunicate *Molgula manhattensis* (Ascidiacea, Pleurogona). Divers Distrib 17: 68–80. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642. 2010.00718.x.
- Carlton J (1996) Biological invasions and cryptogenic species. Ecology 77: 1653– 1655.
- Andreakis N, Procaccini G, Kooistra WHCF (2004) Asparagopsis taxiformis and Asparagopsis armata (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta): genetic and morphological identification of Mediterranean populations. Eur J Phycol 39: 273–283. doi:10.1080/0967026042000236436.
- Sherwood AR (2008) Phylogeography of Asparagopsis taxiformis (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta) in the Hawaiian Islands: two mtDNA markers support three separate introductions. Phycologia 47: 79–88. doi:10.2216/07-39.1.
- Ni Chualáin F, Maggs CA, Saunders GW, Guiry MD (2004) The invasive genus *Asparagopsis* (Bonnemaisoniaceae, Rhodophyta): molecular systematics, mor- phology, and ecophysiology of *Falkenbergia* isolates. J Phycol 40: 1112–1126. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2004.03135.x.
- Guiry MD, Guiry GM (2014) AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. Available: http://www.algaebase.org.
- Appeltans W, Bouchet P, Boxshall GA, Fauchald K, Gordon DP, et al. (2011) World Register of Marine Species (WORMS). Available: http://www. marinespecies.org.

Table S1 List of the specimens analysed. Details are provided concerning their origin, species, cox2-3 lineage identified and their GenBank accession numbers for each of the marker sequenced. (XLS)

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the NetBiome Program SEAPROLIF. We thank all the partners of the SEAPROLIF project, as well as Kato Aki, Serge Andréfouët, Pascale Chabanet, Stephane DePalmas, Kyatt Dixon, Claire Goiran, Mohsen Kayal, Gregory Lasne, Laurent Lévêque, Francis Malcolm, Lydiane Mattio, Wendy Nelson, Christophe Peignon, Thomas Sauvage, Josina Tiavouane, Michael Wynne, the SEOH and captains from IRD for their extensive help in collecting samples from around the world. The authors are grateful to Claire Daguin-Thiébaut, Laurent Millet, and Anthony Ollivier for providing helpful technical support and advices to carry out the molecular work. We also thank Colette Wabnitz for reviewing the English of the manuscript. Part of the field data were collected during CORALCAL and BIODIP campaigns on board the IRD oceanographic vessel *Alis*.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LD FV CP. Performed the experiments: LD. Analyzed the data: LD FV CP. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: LD FV CP. Wrote the paper: LD FV CP.

- Harvey WHH (1854) Some Account of the Marine Botany of the Colony of Western Australia. The Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy. Royal Irish Academy, Vol. 22. pp. 525–566.
- Adams NM (1994) Common seaweeds of New Zealand. Christchurch: Canterbury University Press.
- Feldmann J, Feldman G (1939) Sur le développement des carpospores et l'alternance de générations de l'Asparagopsis armata Harvey. Compte rendu Hebd des Séances l'Académie des Sci Paris 208: 1420–1422.
- Mineur F, Davies AJ, Maggs CA, Verlaque M, Johnson MP (2010) Fronts, jumps and secondary introductions suggested as different invasion patterns in marine species, with an increase in spread rates over time. Proc Biol Sci 277: 2693–2701. doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0494.
- Delile AR (1813) Florae Aegyptiacae illustratio. Explication des Planches. Description de l'Egypte ou recueil des observations et des recherches qui ont été faites en Egypte pendant l'expédition de l'armée française (1798–1801), Histoire naturelle, Vol. 2. Paris: France (Commission d'Egypte). pp. 145–320.
- Price J, John D, Lawson G (1986) Seaweeds of the western coast of tropical Africa and adjacent islands: a critical assessment IV. Rhodophyta (Florideae) 1. Genera A–F. Bull Br Museum Nat Hist Bot Ser 15: 1–122.
- Bonin D, Hawkes MWM (1987) Systematics and life histories of New Zealand Bonnemaisoniaceae (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodo phyta): I. The genus Asparagopsis. New Zeal J Bot 25: 577–590.
- Silva P, Basson P, Moe R (1996) Catalogue of the Benthic Marine Algae of the Indian Ocean. Doyle JA, Gibson A, Kaplan DR, Jernstedt J, Lord EM, et al., editors Berkeley and Los Angeles, California: University of California Press.
- Boudouresque CF, Verlaque M (2002) Biological pollution in the Mediterranean Sea: invasive versus introduced macrophytes. Mar Pollut Bull 44: 32–38. doi:10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00150-3.
- Andreakis N, Kooistra WHCF, Procaccini G (2009) High genetic diversity and connectivity in the polyploid invasive seaweed *Asparagopsis taxiformis* (Bonnemaisoniales) in the Mediterranean, explored with microsatellite alleles and multilocus genotypes. Mol Ecol 18: 212–226. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.04022.x.
- Sala E, Boudouresque CF (1997) The role of fishes in the organization of a Mediterranean sublittoral community. I: Algal Communities. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 212: 25–44.
- Streftaris N, Zenetos A (2006) Alien marine species in the Mediterranean-the 100 "Worst Invasives" and their impact. Mediterr Mar Sci 7: 87–117.
- Guerra-García JM, Ros M, Izquierdo D, Soler-Hurtado MM (2012) The invasive Asparagopsis armata versus the native Corallina elongata: Differences in associated peracarid assemblages. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 416–417: 121–128. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2012.02.018.
- Sauvageau C (1925) Sur la naturalisation en France d'une Floridée australasienne de l'iode (Asparagopsis armata Harv.) et sur ses ioduques. Compte Rendu Hebd des Séances l'Académie des Sci 180: 1887–1891.

- Womerseley HBS (1996) The marine benthic flora of Southern Australia. Rhodophyta Part IIIB. Flora of Australia Supplementary Series Number 5. Australia Biological Resources Study. Canberra. pp. 325–322.
- Altamirano M, Muñoz AR, De la Rosa J, Barrajón-Minguez A, Barrajón-Domenech A, et al. (2008) The invasive species Asparagopsis taxiformis (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta) on Andalusian coast (Southern Spain): reproductive stages, new records and invaded communities. Acta Bot Malacit 33: 5–15.
- Troncoso JS, Sibaja-cordero JA, Investigación C De, Rica UDC, Pedro S, et al. (2011) Spatial pattern of vertical zonation of rocky shore organisms and the influence of occan exposure at the Islas Cíes (NW Spain). 2: 23–31.
- Farnham WF (1980) Studies on aliens in the marine flora of southern England. In: Price JH, Irvine DEG, Farnham WF, editors. The shore environment, volume 2: ecosystems. Systematics Association Special Volume, No. 17B. London. pp. 875–914.
- Mineur F, Johnson M, Maggs C, Stegenga H (2007) Hull fouling on commercial ships as a vector of macroalgal introduction. Mar Biol 151: 1299–1307.
- Flagella MM, Verlaque M, Soria A, Buia MC (2007) Macroalgal survival in ballast water tanks. Mar Pollut Bull 54: 1395–1401. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.05.015.
- Mineur F, Johnson MP, Maggs CA, Stegenga H (2006) Hull fouling on commercial ships as a vector of macroalgal introduction. Mar Biol 151: 1299– 1307. doi:10.1007/s00227-006-0567-y.
- Abbott IA (1984) Limu: An ethnobotany of Hawaiian seaweeds. Pacific Tr. Lawai, Hawai.
- Kraan S, Barrington KA (2005) Commercial farming of *Asparagopsis armata* (Bonnemaisoniceae, Rhodophyta) in Ireland, maintenance of an introduced species? J Appl Phycol 17: 103–110.
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853–858. doi:10.1038/35002501.
- Roberts CM, McClean CJ, Veron JEN, Hawkins JP, Allen GR, et al. (2002) Marine biodiversity hotspots and conservation priorities for tropical reefs. Science 295: 1280–1284. doi:10.1126/science.1067728.
- Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña ZA, et al. (2007) Marine Ecoregions of the World: A Bioregionalization of Coastal and Shelf Areas. Bioscience 57: 573. doi:10.1641/B570707.
- May V (1953) Some Marine Algae from New Caledonia collected by Mrs. R.Catala. Contributions from the N.S.W. National Herbarium 2 (1). pp. 38–66.
- Maggs CA, Douglas SE, Fenety J, Bird CJ (1992) A molecular and morphological analysis of the *Gymnogongrus devoniensis* (Rhodophyta) complex in the north Atlantic. J Phycol 28: 214–232.
- Lenaers G, Maroteaux L, Michot B (1989) Dinoflagellates in Evolution. A Molecular Phylogenetic Analysis of Large Subunit Ribosomal RNA. J Mol Evol 29: 40–51.
- Zuccarello GC, Burger G, West JA, King RJ (1999) A mitochondrial marker for red algal intraspecific relationships. Mol Ecol 8: 1443–1447.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41: 95–98.
 Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary
- Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596–1599.
 Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O (2010) SeaView version 4: A multiplatform
- Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuer O (2010) Sea view Version 4: A multiplatorin graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Biol Evol 27: 221–224. doi:10.1093/molbev/msp259.
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. Evolution (N Y) 39: 783–791.
- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187.
- Zuccarello GC, West JA (2002) Phylogeography of the Bostrychia calliptera–B. pinnata complex (Rhodomelaccae, Rhodophyta) and divergence rates based on nuclear, mitochondrial and plastid DNA markers. Phycologia 41: 49–60. doi:10.2216/i0031-8884-41-1-49.1.
- Posada D, Crandall KA, Templeton AR (2006) Nested clade analysis statistics. Mol Ecol Notes 6: 590–593. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01368.x.
- Layeghifard M, Peres-Neto PR, Makarenkov V (2012) Using directed phylogenetic networks to retrace species dispersal history. Mol Phylogenet Evol 64: 190–197. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.03.016.
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol 16: 37–48.
- Bolton JJ, Andreakis N, Anderson RJ (2011) Molecular evidence for three separate cryptic introductions of the red seaweed *Asparagopsis* (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta) in South Africa. African J Mar Sci 33: 263–271. doi:10.2989/1814232X.2011.600339.
- Trevisan VBA (1845) Nomenclator algarum, ou collection des noms imposées aux plantes de la famille des algues. Seminaire. Padoue. pp. 1–80.
- Freshwater D, Fredericq S, Bailey J (1999) Characteristics and utility of nuclearencoded large-subunit ribosomal gene sequences in phylogenetic studies of red algae. Phycol Res 47: 33–38.
- 57. Kato A, Guimarães SMPB, Kawai H, Masuda M (2009) Characterization of the crustose red alga *Peyssonnelia japonica* (Rhodophyta, Gigartinales) and its taxonomic relationship with *Peyssonnelia boudouresquei* based on morphological and molecular data. Phycol Res 57: 74–86. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1835.2008.00523.x.
- Freshwater DW, Tudor K, O'Shaughnessy K, Wysor B (2010) DNA barcoding in the red algal order Gelidiales: Comparison of COI with rbcL and verification of the "barcoding gap." Cryptogam Algol 31: 435–449.

- Mattio L, Payri C (2010) Assessment of five markers as potential barcodes for identifying Sargassum subgenus Sargassum species (Phaeophyceae, Fucales). Cryptogam Algol 31: 467–485.
- Heads M (2005) Dating nodes on molecular phylogenies: a critique of molecular biogeography. Cladistics 21: 62–78. doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2005.00052.x.
- Tellier F, Meynard AP, Correa JA, Faugeron S, Valero M (2009) Phylogeographic analyses of the 30 degrees S south-east Pacific biogeographic transition zone establish the occurrence of a sharp genetic discontinuity in the kelp *Lessonia nigrescens*: vicariance or parapatry? Mol Phylogenet Evol 53: 679–693. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.030.
- González A, Beltrán J, Hiriart-Bertrand L, Flores V, de Reviers B, et al. (2012) Identification of cryptic species in the *Lessonia nigrescens* complex (Phaeophyceae, Laminariales) 1. J Phycol 48: 1153–1165. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01200.x.
- Dawson MN, Jacobs DK (2001) Molecular evidence for cryptic species of Aurelia aurita (Cnidaria, Scyphozoa). Biol Bull 200: 92–96.
- Coyer JA, Hoarau G, Costa JF, Hogerdijk B, Serrão EA, et al. (2011) Evolution and diversification within the intertidal brown macroalgae *Fucus spiralis/F. vesiculosus* species complex in the North Atlantic. Mol Phylogenet Evol 58: 283– 296. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2010.11.015.
- Schmidt H, Westheide W (2000) Are the meiofaunal polychaetes *Hesionides* arenaria and Stygocapitella subterranea true cosmopolitan species?—results of RAPD-PCR investigations. Zool Scr 1999: 17–27.
- Zhan A, Macisaac HJ, Cristescu ME (2010) Invasion genetics of the *Ciona* intestinalis species complex: from regional endemism to global homogeneity. Mol Ecol 19: 4678–4694. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04837.x.
- Todaro M, Fleeger J, Hu Y (1996) Are meiofaunal species cosmopolitan? Morphological and molecular analysis of *Xenotrichula intermedia* (Gastrotricha: Chaetonotida). Mar Biol: 735–742.
- N'yeurt ADR, Payri CE (2006) Marine algal flora of French polynesia. I. Phaeophyceae (Ochrophyta, brown algae). Cryptogam Algol 27: 111–152.
- N'yeurt ADR, Payri CE (2010) Marine algal flora of French Polynesia III. Rhodophyta, with additions to the Phaeophyceae and Chlorophyta. Cryptogam Algol 31: 3–196.
- Meinesz A, Belsher T, Thibaut T (2001) The introduced green alga *Caulerpa* taxifolia continues to spread in the Mediterranean. Biol Invasions: 201–210.
- Jousson O, Pawlowski J, Zaninetti L, Zechman FW, Dini F, et al. (2000) Invasive alga reaches California. Nature 408: 157–158. doi:10.1038/35041623.
- Schaffelke B, Murphy N, Uthicke S (2002) Using genetic techniques to investigate the sources of the invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* in three new locations in Australia. Mar Pollut Bull 44: 204–210.
- Verlaque M, Afonso-Carrillo J (2004) Blitzkrieg in a marine invasion: *Caulerpa racemosa* var. *cylindracea* (Bryopsidales, Chlorophyta) reaches the Canary Islands (north-cast Atlantic). Biol Invasions 6: 269–281.
- Klein J, Verlaque M (2008) The *Caulerpa racemosa* invasion: a critical review. Mar Pollut Bull 56: 205–225. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.09.043.
- Crandall ED, Frey MA, Grosberg RK, Barber PH (2008) Contrasting demographic history and phylogeographical patterns in two Indo-Pacific gastropods. Mol Ecol 17: 611–626. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03600.x.
- Taylor R (1999) The green tide threat in the UK a brief overview with particular reference to Langstone Harbour, south coast of England and the Ythan Estuary, east coast of Scotland. Bot J Scotl 51: 195–203. doi:10.1080/03746609908684935.
- Blomster J, Bäck S (2002) Novel morphology in *Enteromorpha* (Ulvophyceae) forming green tides. Am J ... 89: 1756–1763.
- Stiger V, Payri CE (1999) Spatial and seasonal variations in the biological characteristics of two invasive brown algae, *Turbinaria ornata* (Turner) J. Agardh and Sargassum mangarevense (Grunow) Setchell (Sargassaceae, Fucales) spreading on the reefs of Tahiti (French. Bot Mar 42: 295–306. doi:10.1515/ BOT.1999.033.
- Stiger V, Payri C (1999) Spatial and temporal patterns of settlement of the brown macroalgae *Turbinaria ornata* and *Sargassum mangarevense* in a coral reef on Tahiti. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 191: 91–100. doi:10.3354/meps191091.
- Costello MJ, Coll M, Danovaro R, Halpin P, Ojaveer H, et al. (2010) A census of marine biodiversity knowledge, resources, and future challenges. PLoS One 5: e12110. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012110.
- Muirhead JR, Ejsmont-Karabin J, Macisaac HJ (2006) Quantifying rotifer species richness in temperate lakes. Freshw Biol 51: 1696–1709. doi:10.1111/ j.1365-2427.2006.01614.x.
- Geller JB, Darling JA, Carlton JT (2010) Genetic Perspectives on Marine Biological Invasions. Ann Rev Mar Sci 2: 367–393. doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163745.
- Muirhead JR, Gray DK, Kelly DW, Ellis SM, Heath DD, et al. (2008) Identifying the source of species invasions: sampling intensity vs. genetic diversity. Mol Ecol 17: 1020–1035. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03669.x.
- McIvor L, Maggs CA, Provan J, Stanhope MJ (2001) rbcL sequences reveal multiple cryptic introductions of the Japanese red alga *Polysiphonia harveyi*. Mol Ecol 10: 911–919.
- Colwell RK (2013) EstimateS: Statistical Estimation of Species Richness and Shared Species from Samples. Version 9.1. User's Guide and application published at: http://purl.oclc.org/estimates.
- Barber PH, Erdmann M V, Palumbi SR (2006) Comparative phylogeography of three codistributed stomatopods: origins and timing of regional lineage diversification in the Coral Triangle. Evolution 60: 1825–1839.

- Gaither MR, Rocha LA (2013) Origins of species richness in the Indo-Malay-Philippine biodiversity hotspot: evidence for the centre of overlap hypothesis. J Biogeogr 40: 1638–1648. doi:10.1111/jbi.12126.
- Will KW, Rubinoff D (2004) Myth of the molecule: DNA barcodes for species cannot replace morphology for identification and classification. Cladistics 20: 47–55. doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2003.00008.x.
- Will KW, Mishler BD, Wheeler QD (2005) The perils of DNA barcoding and the need for integrative taxonomy. Syst Biol 54: 844–851. doi:10.1080/ 10635150500354878.
- Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S, Achaz G (2012) ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Mol Ecol 21: 1864– 1877. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x.
- Dayrat B (2005) Towards integrative taxonomy. Biol J Linn Soc 85: 407–415. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00503.x.
- Zanolla M, Čarmona R, De la Rosa J, Salvador N, Sherwood AR, et al. (2014) Morphological differentiation of cryptic lineages within the invasive genus *Asparagopsis* (Bonnemaisoniales, Rhodophyta). Phycologia 53: 233–242. doi:10.2216/13-247.1.