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Maghemite (γ-Fe2O3) nanoparticles (NPs) can be successfully dispersed in a protic ionic liquid, ethylammonium nitrate (EAN) by transfer from aqueous dispersions into EAN. As the aqueous systems are well controlled, several parameters can be tuned. Their crucial role on the interparticle potential and on the structure of the dispersions is evidenced: (i) The size of the NPs tunes the interparticle attraction monitoring dispersions to be either monophasic or gas-liquid like phase separated; (ii) The nature of the initial counterion in water (here sodium, lithium or ethyl ammonium) and the amount of added water (< 20% vol) modulate the interparticle repulsion. Very concentrated dispersions with a volume fraction around 25% are obtained thanks to the gas-liquid like phase separations. Such conclusions are derived from a fine structural and dynamical study of the dispersions on a large range of spatial scales by coupling several techniques: chemical analyses, optical microscopy, dynamic light scattering, magneto-optic birefringence and Small Angle Scattering.

1 Introduction

Ionic liquids are a new class of solvents which properties open novel possibilities in various fields as materials1,2, nanoparticles synthesis3, catalytic material4, or dye-sensitized solar cells5. In particular, a growing interest focuses on the elaboration of stable dispersions of nanoparticles in Ionic Liquids (ILs)6–11. In such systems, understanding the mechanisms that ensure the colloidal stability remains challenging because all the concepts that are classically used for colloidal dispersion in water and/or in organic solvents have to be revisited due to the peculiar nature of ILs. Nevertheless, as for a classical solvent, the structure of the interface between the solid nanoparticle’s surface and the liquid solvent carrier appears to be a key point in these complex systems. To date, the description of the organization of such interface close to nanoparticle’s surface has been seldom addressed. Indeed, only few works focus on nanoparticles dispersions in ILs, especially concentrated ones, but no general trends can be extracted.6–11. Moreover, the parameters controlling their nanostructure have not been yet precisely identified, albeit it is an essential prerequisite for a fine tuning of the dispersions. In particular, the role of water remains poorly investigated although some water remains in most of the ILs.

To address these questions, we propose here to scrutinize a colloidal model system, given that it is based on two components which have been extensively studied separately in literature. Ethyl ammonium nitrate (EAN) is the ionic liquid and maghemite nanoparticles (NPs) are the colloids that are to be dispersed. Their dispersions in EAN are achieved by transfer from aqueous well-known ones in EAN. Our former studies on NPs dispersions in EAN12,13 already established (i) the important role played by the surface charge of the nanoparticles (sign and value) in the aqueous initial dispersion12; (ii) the influence of the nature of the counterions in the aqueous initial dispersion on a large range of nanoparticles volume fractions Φ (1% < Φ < 8%)13. These results pointed out the role of the interface between the solid and the solvent on the interparticle interaction potential, with an attractive part linked to van der Waals and magnetic dipolar interaction to be balanced by repulsions to obtain stable dispersions. The orders of magnitude of the potential we valued demonstrated that it has a limited amplitude, therefore tiny modifications of it strongly modify the microstructure of the dispersions. Indeed, for example, we demonstrated that weak interparticle repulsion occurs when counterions of nanoparticles in the initial aqueous suspensions are sodium ions, leading to well dispersed suspensions, while weak interparticle attractions occur when such initial counterions are lithium, leading to small aggregates, all other physicochemical conditions being equal.
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interparticle potential. Firstly, the attractive part of the potential is increased by enlarging the NPs size. Indeed, as these NPs are magnetic, the magnetic dipolar interaction, attractive on average, hugely increases with NPs diameter, as van der Waals interactions also do. Such modifications are expected to induce deep changes in the macroscopic properties as very different behaviours occur in colloidal systems depending on the detailed shape of the attractive part of the potential: irreversible flocculation is induced by intense attractions at very short range, generally close to contact between NPs, while gas-liquid like phase separations appear for long ranged weak attractions. Small stable aggregates may also be observed for long ranged attractions when irreversible aggregation is hindered by intense short-ranged repulsions, usually of steric origin. Secondly, we examine the role of water through the addition of a controlled amount of water within the stable colloidal suspensions in EAN after their synthesis. Such aspects are indeed seldom studied despite the fact that a small amount of water is always present. Even when present at very low volume contents in IL, it can easily cover all solid/solvent interfaces of the nanoparticles if it localizes preferentially at the NPs surface or in its vicinity, inducing a strong modification of the interface, and therefore of the interaction potential. Some comments on the influence of water have been already reported in previous studies, which give contrasted behaviors, as dispersions either flocculate or not when water is added. Taking benefit from these control parameters, we demonstrate that the tuning of the interparticle potential enables to obtain highly stable concentrated dispersions with a volume fraction around 25%.

Our approach is based on the characterization of the dispersions at all the relevant scales of the system with several complementary techniques: beside macroscopic observation, the samples are probed on the micron scale by optical microscopy, whereas the typical scale of the NPs is probed by coupling Small Angle Scattering (SAS) that gives the time-averaged static structure of the suspensions to diffusion techniques, namely Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and magneto-optic birefringence experiments, that give respectively translational and rotational diffusion coefficients of the objects. Complementary chemical determinations are performed in order to obtain the value of the typical ionic concentrations close to the NP surface.

2 Materials and methods

Since the procedure, reactants and techniques have already been described in, we only briefly recall here what is specific to the present work.

2.1 Synthesis of NPs and EAN

Iron oxide (maghemite) nanoparticles were synthesized and size-sorted in water. The particles were routinely characterized using X-ray diffraction, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and magnetization measurements. The size and polydispersity of the NPs were obtained from the fitting of the magnetization curves using a lognormal distribution. The radius R and polydispersity index σ obtained after size sorting were R = 5.6 nm and σ = 0.26. Using the second moment of the size distribution, the specific surface can be estimated to be 88 m²/g. After synthesis, the final aqueous pH is 2.4, the volume fraction Φ is 0.89 % and the counterion of the NP is nitrate.

EAN is a room temperature ionic liquid (m.p. = 14°C), in which a pH scale going from zero to 10 can be defined. The molecules of EAN form a network of hydrogen bonds, similar to what water molecules do. The synthesis of EAN was described previously. Its water content, determined using a coulometric Karl-Fisher (KF) titration (Schott Titroline KF), was at most 0.3w%. This value was confirmed by a loss of weight of around 0.2w%, by Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, SDT Q600 thermal analyzer from TA Instruments) between 20 and 150°C and before EAN degradation.

2.2 Transfer of the NPs to EAN

After their initial synthesis, the surface of the NPs were functionalized in water with citrate. During such a process, the charge of the NPs is reversed, becoming negative, and the new counterion is that of the citrate salt, chosen for functionalization. We used here citrate salts with Na⁺, Li⁺ or ethylammonium (EA⁺) counterions. In the last step of the process, EAN is added instead of water to the flocculated system for redispersion. The solutions are eventually freeze-dried overnight to remove as much water as possible. Macroscopic redispersion is observed immediately with sodium and ethyl ammonium counterions however only after several weeks with lithium counterions. At this stage, the dispersion concentration is about Φ = 1%. The samples in EAN are concentrated by ultrafiltration, using 10kD Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter units and centrifugation at 5000g for 48 to 72h. This procedure yields both a concentrated dispersion in the filter and the dispersing medium at the bottom of the tube. The final water content could not be determined in the dispersion neither by KF, due to redox interferences, nor by IR, which is not sensitive enough. Since TGA leads to a loss of weight of 1 to 2 % at most, we infer that this was the maximum water content in the final EAN dispersions.
2.3 Techniques

Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) A Perkin-Elmer Analyst 100 Instrument was used for FAAS, to determine the nanoparticles concentration (via the iron content), and the Na\(^+\) and Li\(^+\) concentrations both in the dispersions and in the dispersing medium.

Small angle scattering (SAS) Small angle neutron scattering (SANS) was carried out on the PACSpectrometer at the LLB facility (CEA Saclay, France), and Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) was performed on the Swing beamline at SOLEIL Synchrotron (St Aubin, France), using the same configurations and procedures as before.\(^{13}\) The form factor was obtained in SAXS from several aqueous dilute dispersions of decreasing concentration, for which interparticle interactions are already very weak, in order to obtain the exact form factor from a Zimm-Plot approach. The extrapolation of the scattered intensities to \(\Phi = 0\) in the whole experimental probed q-range (0.003 \(\text{Å}^{-1} \leq q \leq 0.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}\)) leads to the form factor that is shown in Figure 1 of SI. It can be fitted with a lognormal distribution of dense spheres, using \(R = 5.5\) nm and \(\sigma = 0.30\), coherent with the magnetization results. The structure factors of EAN dispersions are then obtained experimentally using the ratio of the scattered intensities (SANS or SAXS) to f

Magnetooptic birefringence experiments The rotational relaxation of the nanoparticles can be determined thanks to the magnetooptical birefringence signal. Indeed, each particle bears a magnetic dipole moment and has a uniaxial optical anisotropy.\(^{23}\) While applying a magnetic field, the magnetic dipole orients along the field, producing a mechanical rotation of the whole nanocrystal and inducing a macroscopic birefringence. Using a weak magnetic field (\(H < 8 \text{ kA m}^{-1}\)) that can be switched off quickly and a red laser at 650 nm (a wavelength at which the absorption of light by the NPs is low), the relaxation of this birefringence after switching off the field can be measured.\(^{24}\) For an ideal monodisperse sample, such a relaxation can be described by a monoeXponential decay with a characteristic time \(\tau_{\text{rot}} = 1/6D_{\text{rot}}\), where \(D_{\text{rot}}\) is the rotational diffusion coefficient. For polydisperse samples, a stretched exponential is necessary. The curves are fitted using the function \(\exp[-(t/\tau_{\text{rot}})^\beta]\), and the average time \(\langle \tau_{\text{rot}} \rangle\) is then calculated as \(\langle \tau_{\text{rot}} \rangle = \tau_{\text{rot}}/\beta \cdot \Gamma(1/\beta)\), \(\Gamma\) referring to the Gamma function. For a fluid system far from the glass transition:

\[
\langle \tau_{\text{rot}} \rangle = \frac{\eta V_I}{k_B T} \tag{1}
\]

where \(V_I\) is the hydrodynamic volume of each rotating particle, \(\eta\) the viscosity of the solvent, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the absolute temperature and \(\beta\) the stretching exponent.

Superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) In the case of a maghemite-based ferrofluid, at room temperature the orientation of the magnetic moment of the particles with a radius \(R\) under an external magnetic field \(H\) is described at low \(\Phi\)’s by the first Langevin’s equation.\(^{25}\) The magnetization of the material \(m_s\) can be deduced from the magnetization at saturation \(M_s\) measured at high field values, for a dispersion of known volume fraction \(\Phi : M_s = m_s \cdot \Phi\). We determine \(m_s = 312 \text{ kA/m}\). Once \(m_s\) is known, the volume fraction of any other dispersion based on the same NPs can be deduced from the determination of \(M_s\). The size distribution can be described by a lognormal law (radius \(R\), polydispersity \(\sigma\)) and obtained from the fit of the whole magnetization curve. Here magnetizations are measured with a CRYOGENIC device, model S600, at 300 K. The magnetic field (\(H_{\text{max}} = 4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ A/m}\)) is generated by a NbTi superconducting coil. The precision on the measurements is around 1%.

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) A Vasco instrument (Cordouan Technologies), operating at 658 nm with backscattering detection at 135° was used to study the translational diffusion properties of the particles. This instrument is able to determine the autocorrelation functions even in concentrated and dark/turbid media thanks to an original sample cell design. Here, for each sample, acquisition parameters such as laser power, sampling time and number of channels were adjusted in order to obtain the best autocorrelation curve. Each sample was analyzed at least 15 times for 60 seconds. As for magneto-optic birefringence, a stretched exponential is used in order to extract a mean translational time \(\langle \tau_{\text{tr}} \rangle\) from which the diffusion coefficient \(D_{\text{tr}}\) is extracted at low NPs volume fraction, from the Stokes-Einstein equation:

\[
D_{\text{tr}} = \frac{1}{\langle \tau_{\text{tr}} \rangle} = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi \eta R_H} \tag{2}
\]

where \(R_H\) is the hydrodynamic radius of the scattering particles, \(k_B\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the absolute temperature, and \(\eta\) the viscosity of the medium. The viscosities are taken from the literature (0.891 mPa s\(^{-1}\) for water, 34.3 mPa s\(^{-1}\) for EAN at 25 °C, viscosities for mixtures in\(^{26}\)). Refractive indexes for EAN-Water mixtures and pure EAN were measured on an Abbe refractometer at 25 °C.

3 Control of attractive interactions with NPs size

Using the procedure of preparation described in section 2, which is similar to the one used in a previous study,\(^{13}\) colloidal dispersions are obtained with maghemite nanoparticles that have a much larger mean size than the ones described in\(^{13}\), the mean diameter being here 11 nm while it was previously 7 nm. However, contrarily to what was previously observed for the smaller NPs, a macroscopic gas-liquid like phase separation occurs above a given volume fraction’s threshold with
these large NPs. This demixing in two liquid phases can then be used as an easy way to produce stable and highly concentrated dispersions. The drastic difference when increasing the mean particle diameter from 7 nm up to 11 nm originates from the huge modification of the attractive interactions between the magnetic nanoparticles with their size. This point will be discussed later.

As the same macroscopic behaviors are qualitatively observed in EAN whatever the initial counterion of the particles in water (sodium, lithium, ethylammonium), we choose to focus on the case of lithium counterions. On the macroscopic scale, the gas-liquid transition can be directly seen after separation of the phases in a thin cell due to the very large difference of volume fraction, thus of density, between the two phases, which also produces a large optical contrast due to absorption, as shown in Figure 1 left. However, with this method, it becomes difficult to detect the phase separation by naked eye when the proportion of concentrated phase is low. Therefore optical microscopy is systematically performed in order to check whether samples are monophasic or not. An example is shown in Figure 1 middle, enlighting the difficulty to probe concentrated suspensions: the concentrated phase is pressed here between two glasses lamellae, down to a thickness around 20-30 µm, and still strongly absorbs light, while its large viscosity makes its handling difficult.

Let us compare in details one sample below the destabilization concentration threshold (Li-EAN) and the two phases formed above this threshold, namely the dilute ‘gas’ phase (Li-EAN-D) and the concentrated ‘liquid’ phase (Li-EAN-C).

The chemical titrations of iron and lithium lead to the results presented in Table 1. The determinations of volume fractions indicate that the destabilization threshold in EAN lies around 1%. The concentrated phase can be isolated and its volume fraction is very large (23%). It presents the classic Rosensweig’s peak instability of ferrofluids under a magnetic field perpendicular to the liquid/gas interface as shown in Figure 1 right.

To shed some light on the repartition of lithium in these colloidal dispersions in EAN as in water, the lithium concentration has been determined in all the samples of Table I. Indeed, the difference between the total lithium concentration [Li]_tot (directly obtained by flame spectroscopy) and the free lithium concentration in the solvent [Li]_free (more difficult indirect determination) gives the quantity of lithium that remains close to the nanoparticles. In water, [Li]_free is deduced from conductivity measurements of the colloidal dispersion, which is dominated by the contribution of free lithium citrate. Given the pH of the dispersion in water (11 mol/L), [Li]_free is converted to the liquid interface. Scales are indicated on the pictures.

In water, lithium ions that remain close to the particles are the counterions which counterbalance the particle’s charge, therefore the number of lithium ions which remain close to the particles provides directly the structural charge of the nanoparticles. The value 2.3 Li/nm² is coherent with previous determinations in water in similar systems with sodium counterions. The situation is rather different in EAN as lithium is no longer the only possible cation which can counterbalance surface charges. Indeed, the total concentration of lithium cations is much smaller than the concentration of the ethylammonium cations from the ionic liquid (11 mol/L). Despite this huge difference, surprisingly 1.6 Li/nm² remain close to the nanoparticle’s surface in EAN, a value which is only 30% lower than in the initial sample in water. Such a diminution of the lithium surface concentrations can result from an exchange with ethylammonium cations and/or from a modification of the surface charge by adsorption/desorption of the citrate anions.

The phase separation occurring here raises the question of the partition of the particles between the two phases according to their size. Indeed, given that the attractions between NPs increase largely with size, the threshold of the gas-liquid is

---

**Table 1** Li-based samples: volume fraction Φ, total lithium concentration [Li]_tot, free lithium concentration [Li]_free, number of lithium per surface on the nanoparticles Li⁺/nm² (see text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Φ (%)</th>
<th>[Li]_tot (mol/L)</th>
<th>[Li]_free (mol/L)</th>
<th>Li⁺/nm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li-W</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-EAN</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-EAN-D</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-EAN-C</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reached sooner for large nanoparticles than for small nanoparticles, if repulsions are of the same order, which leads to an enrichment on large NPs in the concentrated phase on polydisperse samples. The size-sorting initially performed in water to prepare the samples\(^{13}\) takes advantage of this phenomenon, stronger when the size distribution is larger\(^{27}\). The size distribution can be determined from the magnetization curves if magnetic dipolar interactions are negligible\(^{25}\) (see Figure 2 in SI). Here the same size distribution is obtained for the three samples Li-W, Li-EAN, Li-EAN-D, showing no detectable size-sorting. The initial size-sorting performed in water was thus sufficient enough to prevent any further size-sorting when transferred in EAN. This enables us to use the same form factor for all samples in the Small Angle Scattering experiments presented hereafter for example. Further information can be extracted from the magnetization curve of the concentrated phase Li-EAN-C: at large magnetic fields, magnetization saturates and the volume fraction \(\Phi = 23\%\) is determined; at low magnetic fields, initial susceptibility \(\chi\) is determined. Here \(\chi=\frac{M}{H}=4.3\), a very high value fully consistent with the whole set of previous measurements and theories\(^{28}\). It is characteristic of strong magnetic dipolar interactions.

### Table 2

Sizes determined from different techniques for dilutes samples. Hydrodynamic radius \(R_{H}^{H}\) extracted from the rotational time (magneto-optic birefringence measurements), radius of gyration \(R_{G}\) extracted from SAXS measurements, and \(R_{H}^{DLS}\) extracted from DLS measurements (see text for details). All values are in nanometers. \(\Phi^*\) is the threshold volume fraction of phase separation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\Phi) (%)</th>
<th>(R_{H}^{H})</th>
<th>(R_{G})</th>
<th>(R_{H}^{DLS})</th>
<th>(\Phi^*) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li-EAN</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>16±2</td>
<td>16±3</td>
<td>23±3</td>
<td>~1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-EAN-D</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>17±2</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-EAN</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>16±2</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>16±2</td>
<td>~4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA-EAN</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>17±2</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>19±2</td>
<td>~4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 3

Normalized intensity versus time extracted from magneto-optic birefringence experiments on the three lithium samples in EAN presented in Table 1.

The structure on the nanoscale is studied both by SAXS and magneto-optic birefringence experiments. The SAXS intensities plotted in Figure 2 show very similar curves for Li-EAN and Li-EAN-D that have a low volume fraction. They almost superimpose with the form factor (not shown on the Figure, see Figure 3 in SI), therefore their interparticle interactions are weak and particles are well dispersed. Note that the same result is obtained for all the separated samples that we analyzed, the volume fractions of the dilute phase being always of the order of 1% and the volume fraction of the concentrated phase around 25%. The concentrated phase presents a correlation peak, whose position \(Q^* = 0.06\ \AA^{-1}\) can be determined from the structure factor (inset of Figure 2). The associated distance \(10.5 \text{ nm} = 2\pi Q^*\) is close to the particle’s mean diameter, meaning that the most probable distance is the contact between NPs. Also \(S(Q)\) reaches moderate values at the smallest \(Q\)’s, demonstrating that the density fluctuations within the sample remain weak. An increase of \(S(Q)\) when going toward low \(Q\)’s can come either from a system for which interactions between NPs are attractive on average or from the formation of aggregates. However, in the case of formation of aggregates, a strong divergence of the intensity with a power law characteristic of the fractal dimension of the aggregates would be observed, contrarily to what is obtained. The structure factor measured, showing both weak density fluctuations and limited bonding between objects (likely reversible), is then characteristic of NPs interacting through weakly attractive potentials, as for example sticky hard spheres, in accordance with the ob-
servation of a macroscopic gas-liquid phase transition.

The second microscopic technique confirms these results. The hydrodynamic radii determined from the characteristic rotational times obtained by analyzing the relaxation of the magneto-optic birefringence are similar for the dilute samples Li-EAN and Li-EAN-D (see Figure 3 and Table 2). As expected, they are close to the radius of gyration $R_G$ deduced from SAXS measurements, given the error bars (see Table 2). On the contrary, a longer relaxation time is observed for the concentrated sample Li-EAN-C (see Figure 3). This slowing down can be interpreted as resulting either from hydrodynamic domains or from the increase of the viscosity at high volume fraction, as already seen in monophasic concentrated systems in water.

4 Tuning interaction potential with the nature of the NPs counterions.

Although the three initial counterions in water (sodium, lithium and ethyl ammonium) lead to the same qualitative macroscopic behavior, a detailed analysis points out a significant influence of the counterion on the gas-liquid transition threshold $\Phi^*$ and on the microstructure of the samples. The volume fractions above which a phase separation occurs are shifted: $\Phi^*_Li < \Phi^*_Na \sim \Phi^*_EA$ (see Table 2). This raises the question of the amount of cations at the nanoparticle’s surface, that may vary from a counterion to another. To answer it, the chemical determinations of sodium ions have been performed, similar to the ones presented in previous part for lithium ions, even if the analysis is more difficult with sodium as this element is found everywhere as traces (filters, bottles...). The same conclusions are obtained: there are sodium cations close to the nanoparticle’s surface, with a density per surface of the same order as for lithium cations presented in Table 1 (1 to 2 sodium per nm$^2$), showing that both lithium and sodium cations (counterions in water) remain mostly close to the surface of the nanoparticles when these are transferred from water to EAN despite the much larger ethylammonium concentration. In the case of EA$^+$ counterions in the initial sample, no information can be obtained on the interface in EAN.

Turning now to the microstructure, both DLS and magneto-optic birefringence have been performed at volume fractions lower than the destabilization threshold. Both techniques show a weak influence of the initial counterion on the microstructure (See DLS in Figure 4, birefringence is not shown, and the deduced hydrodynamical radii are in Table 2).

Above the threshold of phase separation, birefringence and SAXS measurements on the dilute phases (see Figures 4 and 5 of SI) show well dispersed particles with weak interparticle interaction. The SAXS measurements on the concentrated phases, whose volume fraction always lies around 25%, are plotted in Figure 5. A peak corresponding to the contact distance between particles is observed whatever the initial counterion in water. However the SAXS scattering curves highly differ at low $Q$’s in Figure 5 with $S_{EA} < S_{Na} < S_{Li}$ at $Q = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ A$^{-1}$, an order which is compatible with the gas-liquid transition thresholds $\Phi^*$ of table 2. These results evidence the influence of the initial counterion on the interparticle potential in EAN.
5 Tuning the interparticle potential with the water content

We probe in this part the influence of the water content in the solvent, since water is known to strongly modify the properties of ionic liquids based systems. Moreover, despite its seemingly low concentration, the water present in our systems can already cover the whole solid/liquid interface with a water monolayer. Indeed, for the particles used here, considering a NP concentration $\Phi = 1\%$ and an average thickness of 0.3 nm for a water monolayer, it can be easily calculated that a water volume fraction of 0.13% could cover the entire solid/liquid interface. Water can thus highly affect the solid/liquid interface depending on its localization. Such $H_2O$ concentration matches very closely the amount of water already present in the EAN into which the nanoparticles are transferred. It is therefore highly probable that the same $H_2O$ content is also present in the ferrofluids obtained in EAN after freeze-drying, that we call in the following the "dry" state.

Starting from this dry state, water is added in the different "dried" dispersions in the dilute regime, either with a pipette or by placing the sample in a humid atmosphere in order to progressively add the water (added amounts are 5%, 10%, 20% in volume). Both routes lead to the same results. The behaviors are very ion-dependent:

- For dispersions with $Li^+$ and $EA^+$ counterions, macroscopic flocculation is observed for all amounts of water added. Large aggregates with hydrodynamic radii around 500 nm appear, which are evidenced by the presence of long correlation times in DLS experiments as soon as few percents of water are added (see Figure 6). These processes of aggregation are irreversible as aggregates do not redisperse if water is removed.

- Dispersions with $Na^+$ counterions appear stable and monophasic up to 10% of added water, DLS showing no significant evolution of its temporal relaxation from the initial "dry" sample. However for 20% of added water, a macroscopic gas-liquid separation is observed, as confirmed by optical microscopy. The threshold for such gas-liquid transition can be decreased down to lower content of added water by applying a magnetic field, that increase overall attractions between NPs, since the gas-liquid phase separation occurs whatever the quantity of added water under magnetic field.

The water content thus appears as a physicochemical parameter that has a huge impact on the interparticle potential, with a complex interplay with the nature of the counterion initially present in water, as it may lead either to irreversible aggregation for some counterions or to stable reversible gas-liquid transition for others. It can then be used as a powerful parameter to tune the interactions in the system, with respect to its influence and its ease of implementation, but must be taken with great care if irreversible aggregation has to be avoided.

6 Discussion

The results presented above demonstrate that drastic modifications of the microstructures are induced through the three following parameters explored: (i) the size of the particles, (ii) the nature of the initial counterion in water, which at least partially remains close to the solid/liquid interface, (iii) the amount of water in the ionic liquid. The two first ones can gently tune the interparticle potential from repulsive to attractive to modify the structure from well-dispersed nanoparticles to diphasic systems thanks to a gas-liquid like phase transition. The amount of water also tune the interparticle potential to attractive but has a stronger influence at it may lead to dispersions of aggregated (and even flocculated) NPs, except when the initial counterion is $Na^+$ for which gas-liquid transitions are still obtained up to 10 vol% of added water. This already demonstrates that many parameters influence the interparticle potential, with a complex interplay between them. These parameters are not always controlled in the systems described in literature, which could explain the diversity of results reported. It also indicates that a precise control of the composition is necessary in order to be able to tune the microstructure of the dispersions in EAN, and more generally in any Ionic Liquid. Such a control enables to obtain gas-liquid transition instead of irreversible aggregation and highly stable concentrated dispersions can be prepared.

6.1 Interparticle potential between nanoparticles

There are different kinds of contributions to the interparticle potential.
First, as in every colloidal suspension of magnetic nanoparticles, dipolar magnetic interaction in zero magnetic field adds, on average, a soft and long-ranged attractive tail to the van der Waals attraction. Both kinds of interactions are size-dependent. Increasing the mean diameter from 7 nm in our previous work\textsuperscript{13} up to 11 nm in the current work leads to van der Waals attractions twice larger and to magnetic dipolar interaction at least 20 times larger at a typical interparticle distance of 1 nm from surface to surface (both being there $\sim 1 - 2 kT$). At larger interparticle distances, magnetic dipolar interaction then dominates van der Waals with an attraction range at least of the order of 3-4 nm.

The ability to obtain stable dispersions definitely proves that an interparticle repulsion counterbalancing the attraction exists in our systems. However, the origin of this repulsion is not obvious and will be discussed later. We remind just here that it is not a steric one due to polymer or surfactant, and that it originates only in the ionic liquid and the cationic counterions initially present in water. In our previous study with 7 nm particles\textsuperscript{13}, we have shown that for Na-dispersions, repulsion and attraction were of the same order of magnitude. Indeed, the overall potential that enabled to fit the structure factor was a weakly repulsive Yukawa potential with an amplitude of 0.32kT with a range of 3.5 nm. It is obviously difficult to predict the evolution of the repulsion with the particles size in EAN and we shall assume that the present increase of the NPs size does not sensibly modify its order of magnitude (see discussion about repulsions later on).

The observation here of gas-liquid like transitions gives strong information on the shape of the overall interparticle potential. Indeed, gas-liquid phase separations are predicted and observed in literature with potentials with a weak secondary minimum of the order of a few $kT$ associated to an attraction whose range is larger than one third of the NPs diameter\textsuperscript{14}. It corresponds here to a range larger than 3-4 nm fully comparable to that of dipolar magnetic interaction. Indeed, rather slight modifications of the contributions to the potential can thus either lead to - larger depth of the secondary minimum (and/or shorter range of the attraction) bringing flocculation or - to a reduced depth of the secondary minimum with prevailing monophasic dispersions.

The nature of the initial counterion in water necessarily influences the interparticle repulsion, as the threshold of gas-liquid phase separation is shifted while changing the counterion at constant nanoparticle size (thus at same attraction part of the potential). The modification of the interparticle repulsion remains however moderate given that stable suspensions or coexisting gas-liquid phases are always obtained, meaning that the depth of the overall attractive potential is in all cases at most a few $kT$.

On the contrary, the addition of water may strongly decrease the contribution of repulsion to the overall interparticle potential as it leads to flocculation in many cases. In the case of Na\textsuperscript{+} counterions, tuning the quantity of added water allows to tune the concentration threshold of phase separation and thus to finely tune the interparticle potential.

### 6.2 Origin of repulsions in the system

As the behaviors obtained with sodium, lithium and ethyl ammonium counterions differ and as sodium and lithium are seen to be close to the solid/ionic liquid interface, at least part of the repulsion comes from the interface. We emphasize that an influence of the solvent far from the particles is unlikely as the amount of additional ions is very low compared to the concentration of the EAN ions. A structuration as “layers” on the solid/liquid interface can thus be involved as seen in reflectivity\textsuperscript{31,32}, AFM/STM\textsuperscript{33-35} or numerical simulations\textsuperscript{36-39}, however such studies were carried out on pure ionic liquids (no added ions). The interaction range determined above would correspond to a modification of the ionic liquid on 6-7 layers around the particles. Although long ranged, such layerings have been observed on flat surfaces in literature.

The nature of the initial counterion in water could thus influence the organization of the solid/ionic liquid interface in relation to their size and/or to their hydration. Some remaining residual water could also be present, which is very difficult to directly detect given the very small water amounts. However, if these cations increase or decrease the interfacial layering, they directly modify the interparticle repulsion and thus the total potential. The recent study on nitrate salts in EAN\textsuperscript{40} evidences a slight structure-breaking effect of LiNO$_3$ on EAN, which is consistent here with the reduced repulsion obtained in the case of lithium initial counterions, compared with ethyl ammonium initial counterions.

The influence of the added water strongly depends on the nature of the initial counterion in water, however it always leads here to a decrease of the interparticle repulsion. This evolution cannot result from a removal of the initial cation from the solid/liquid interface. If this was the case, no difference between the three cations would occur. Let us now translate the added water amounts into molecules ratios. The amounts of 5 vol%, 10 vol% and 20 vol% of added water correspond respectively to 21%, 36% and 55% water molecules in the solvent. Although large, these proportions are far from the classic situation of a molecular solvent as water with added salt (1 mol/L of EAN in water corresponds to 98 % of water molecules). In these mixtures, structure modifications can be induced by the water molecules. Indeed water is known to modify the interactions between imidazolium cation in pure ionic liquids\textsuperscript{41} for example. Also the water/EAN mixture (55% volume water) has a structure rather different from pure EAN\textsuperscript{42}. In colloidal dispersions, a macroscopic flocculation due to water addition has been reported several times\textsuperscript{9,16,17,43}. 
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however not systematically in all the systems. The work reported in further the role of water, using AFM between a large silica sphere (4.8 µm) and a wafer. The force profile measured is repulsive in pure EAN and less repulsive while adding water. This is fully consistent with our structure measurements which mean that the water probably decreases the layering close to the solid surface thus decreasing the repulsive part of the interparticle potential.

6.3 Overview on the phase diagram

![Phase Diagram](image)

Fig. 7 Scheme of the phase diagram for the colloidal dispersions in EAN studied here summarizing the different control parameters of the interparticle potential and their influence.

Finally the possible tuning of the interparticle potential can be summarized on Figure 7. In the phase diagram osmotic pressure Π - volume fraction Φ, a binodal line separates the monophasic area from the gas-liquid area. Increasing the NP’s size will move the Π(Φ) binodal curve in the diagram. This moving up can be also induced by changing the nature of the counter-ion or by adding water, which modifies the organization of the solid/liquid interface. If this modification is too large, the repulsion is no longer sufficient to keep dispersed particles and floculation occurs.

7 Conclusion

The present work focused on colloidal dispersions in EAN obtained by transfer from water to EAN of magnetic maghemite nanoparticles, initially stabilized in water thanks to electrostatic interactions. Several control parameters in the initial aqueous dispersion enables the fine tuning of the resulting dispersions in EAN. The first parameter is the chosen particle’s size which enables here to get dispersions up to a volume fraction of 25% in EAN thanks to a gas-liquid like phase separation, due to the strong magnetic dipolar interaction. The second parameter is the nature of the initial counterions in water, which stay close to the solid/ionic liquid interface and modify the threshold of the gas-liquid phase separation. This is an indirect proof that the repulsion is strongly influenced by the interface, probably through its spatial organization on typically 6-7 layers of ionic species. The third parameter is the amount of added water, which also modifies the interparticle repulsion, modification strongly modulated by the initial cation. The results enlighten the weakness of the resulting interparticle potential, and the drastic influence of all the physico-chemical parameters involved in the system, especially at the solid/ionic liquid interface. In particular a specificity of ions in EAN is shown, similar to the specific effects in water (Hofmeister series). Significant progress could be made with studies of the composition and organization of the nanoparticle/liquid interface with experiments such as ASAXS (anomalous SAXS) to extract the distribution of initial counterions around the nanoparticles.
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