



HAL
open science

What distinguishes successful from unsuccessful tobacco smoking cessation? Data from a study of young adults (TEMPO)

Inès Khati, Gwenn Menvielle, Aude Chollet, Nadia Younès, Brigitte Metadieu, Maria Melchior

► To cite this version:

Inès Khati, Gwenn Menvielle, Aude Chollet, Nadia Younès, Brigitte Metadieu, et al.. What distinguishes successful from unsuccessful tobacco smoking cessation? Data from a study of young adults (TEMPO). Preventive Medicine Reports, 2015, in press. 10.1016/j.pmedr.2015.08.006 . hal-01187710v1

HAL Id: hal-01187710

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01187710v1>

Submitted on 27 Aug 2015 (v1), last revised 22 Sep 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

What distinguishes successful from unsuccessful tobacco smoking cessation? Data from a study of young adults (TEMPO)

Inès Khati MSc^{1,2}, Gwenn Menvielle PhD^{1,2}, Aude Chollet MSc^{1,2},

Nadia Younès MD, PhD^{3,4}, Brigitte Metadieu MD⁵, Maria Melchior ScD^{1,2}.

1. INSERM, UMR_S 1136, Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, Social Epidemiology Research Team, F-75013, Paris, France
2. Sorbonne University, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR_S 1136, Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, Social Epidemiology Research Team, F-75013, Paris, France
3. EA 40-47 University of Versailles Saint-Quentin, F-78047, Guyancourt, France
4. Academic Unit of Psychiatry, Versailles Hospital, F-78157, Le Chesnay, France
5. Association Charonne, F-75013, Paris, France

Corresponding author information: Maria Melchior

e-mail address: maria.melchior@inserm.fr

Word counts: 2576 words in main text, 197 words in abstract

Abstract

Introduction: Smoking prevalence rates among young people are high in many countries. Although attempts to quit smoking increasingly occur in young adulthood, many former smokers relapse. We compared individuals who successfully quit smoking from those who relapsed on socio-demographic, psychological and health factors.

Methods: Data come from telephone interviews conducted in 2011 with participants of the TEMPO community-based study (ages 18-37 years, France). To study the likelihood of successful cessation vs. smoking relapse, we restricted the study sample to current or former smokers (n=600) and conducted multinomial logistic regression analyses.

Results: 43% of participants were current smokers who never quit for an extended period and, 33% former smokers and 24% current smokers who relapsed after extended cessation. In multivariate analyses female sex, parental status and illegal drug use were associated with both successful and unsuccessful smoking cessation. Factors specifically associated with a low probability of smoking cessation were job strain and symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention, while occupational grade was associated with smoking relapse.

Conclusions: Work and family circumstances, co-occurring substance use and psychological difficulties may influence smoking cessation in young adults. These characteristics should be considered by individual and collective interventions aiming to help young smokers quit successfully.

INTRODUCTION

Despite noticeable progress in the fight against tobacco use, smoking remains the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in many countries, particularly in Europe, in South-East Asia and North America¹. France is characterized by high rates of smoking-related mortality in men and in women^{2,3} and smoking levels have not decreased in the past 10 years⁴. This trend is especially noticeable among 15-30 year olds, 44% of whom are smokers⁴.

Despite knowledge about the adverse health effects of tobacco and the will to stop smoking, a great number of smokers have difficulty quitting⁴. Factors associated with smoking cessation attempts include high socioeconomic level⁵, high motivation to quit⁶, and low nicotine dependence⁷. Psychological problems, which are associated with smoking intensity, may also impede cessation⁸.

A majority of smokers attempt to quit when they start experiencing the negative health consequences of smoking, that is in their 50s⁶. Yet smoking cessation at a younger age can have great health benefits and young people increasingly attempt to quit^{9,10} making young adulthood a key period to stop smoking. As smokers attempt to quit at least four times, on average, before succeeding¹¹, it is important to identify factors associated with relapse.

To date, most studies have focused on smoking cessation in middle-aged or older individuals^{12,13} and little is known about factors associated with smoking cessation that are specific to young adults. In particular, psychological problems¹⁴ and job instability¹⁵ may play a more important role than in older populations.

In the present study, we study successful smoking cessation and smoking relapse in a community sample of young adults in France.

METHODS

Sample characteristics

Data come from the TEMPO (Trajectoires EpidéMIologiques en POpulation) study set up in 2009 to examine associations between life circumstances, substance use, and mental health in young adults. Participants were selected among offspring of GAZEL study participants, an ongoing epidemiological cohort^{16,17}. In 1989, when the GAZEL cohort was set up, all participants were employed by France's national Gas and Electricity Company and aged 35-50 years. In 1991, a sample of children of GAZEL study participants aged 4-16 years was drawn to study children's mental health and access to healthcare. In 2009, we asked all GAZEL participants whose offspring had taken part in the 1991 study to forward an invitation for the TEMPO study as well as a study questionnaire to their offspring¹⁸. In 2011 we extended the TEMPO study to all GAZEL participants' offspring aged 18-37 years¹⁹.

The 2011 sample included 1,214 participants of which 526 participated in 2009. 2011 data were collected in a telephone interview conducted by trained interviewers. Factors associated with study participation included characteristics of participants (younger age, female sex, TEMPO participation in 2009) and of their parents (younger age, male sex, regular participation in GAZEL, high occupational grade, stable marriage, no depression). 2011 TEMPO study participants were somewhat more likely to live with a partner, have higher education and work as managers than young adults of the same age in France²⁰. Therefore the TEMPO study sample includes individuals who, on average, have higher educational level and occupational grade, as well as more stable family situation, than young adults in France in general. The present analysis is based on all 2011 TEMPO study participants who reported current or former tobacco smoking (n=600).

The TEMPO study received approval from France's national committees for data protection (CCTIRS: Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement des Informations pour la

Recherche en Santé; CNIL: Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté). According to prevailing legislation for the ethical conduct of health research in France, TEMPO participants had the possibility to refuse to participate in any of the study assessments conducted.

Measures

Tobacco smoking was ascertained as follows: “Are you: a) a regular smoker [≥ 1 cigarette/ day], b) an occasional smoker [< 1 cigarette/ day], c) a former smoker, or d) a never-smoker?”. All smokers were asked: “Have you ever quit smoking for at least one year?” (no vs. yes).

Based on two questions we defined three groups: persistent smokers (n=258, 43%), smokers who quit and relapsed (n=146, 24%), and former smokers who have been abstinent for at least one year (n=196, 33%). The cut-off of 1 year smoking abstinence corresponds to long-term smoking cessation^{10,21}.

Other variables characterizing tobacco use were: age at first cigarette ($<$ vs. ≥ 13 years), number of daily cigarettes (1-9 cigarettes vs. ≥ 10 cigarettes). We also accounted for smoking cessation support: 1. consultation with a health professional; 2. use of nicotine replacement products.

Factors potentially associated with tobacco cessation included : 1) sociodemographic factors: sex (female vs. male), age ($<$ vs. ≥ 30 years), education level (\leq vs. $>$ high school degree), household income (\leq vs. > 2000 euros/ month), family situation (does not live with a partner vs. lives with a partner) and parental status (pregnancy or child ≤ 1 year of age, child > 1 year of age; vs. no children); 2) work characteristics: occupational grade (no occupation, low [e.g. clerk, manual worker], intermediate [e.g. middle-level manager, technician], vs. high [e.g. manager])²², job stability (temporary vs. permanent employment), experience of

unemployment in the preceding two years (yes vs. no), job strain (yes vs. no) measured using Karasek's Job Content Questionnaire and defined as high psychological work demands (e.g. high workload, short time delays) and low work control²³ (e.g. lack of flexibility in work organization); 3) health characteristics included chronic health problems (e.g. asthma, diabetes, yes vs. no), self-reported health (poor vs. good), MINI-assessed juvenile antisocial personality (yes vs. no) and depression or anxiety (yes vs. no)²⁴, high symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention assessed using the DSM-IV-based ASRS²⁵ (yes vs. no), and lifetime psychoactive medication use (yes vs. no); 4) problematic use of psychoactive substances other than tobacco: alcohol abuse ascertained using the WHO AUDIT²⁶, illegal drug use defined as cannabis (≥ 10 times) or ≥ 1 other illegal drug (e.g. ecstasy, hallucinogens, cocaine) in the preceding 12 months (yes vs. no), and regular (≥ 1 / month) video game use or gambling (yes vs. no); 5) negative life events included childhood harassment or lack of affection (yes vs. no), partner separation (yes vs. no) and physical and/or psychological violence (yes vs. no) in the preceding 12 months²⁷; 6) parental tobacco smoking data (≥ 1 parent former smoker, ≥ 1 parent regular smoker, vs. both parents never smokers) came from two sources: TEMPO participants' reports and parents' self-reports in the GAZEL study questionnaire (1991-2011).

Statistical analysis

Our aim was to identify factors associated with successful or unsuccessful smoking cessation, using persistent smokers as the reference group. First, bivariate multinomial regression analyses were conducted; factors associated with either study outcome ($p < 0.10$) were retained for the multivariate analysis. Multivariate analyses were systematically adjusted for sex and age; other variables were selected using a stepwise descending procedure. Differences between ORs associated with successful and unsuccessful smoking cessation were tested using Generalized Wald tests. In additional analyses, 1) we tested interactions with sex; 2) we studied the role of tobacco consumption level using longitudinal data from 246 smokers who participated in TEMPO both in 2009 and 2011.

All analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.3.

RESULTS

Descriptive findings

Characteristics of study participants are described in **Table 1**. As shown, persistent smokers, quitters and relapsers differed with regard to family and work characteristics, health status, substance use and negative life events. For 79% of quitters and 64% of relapsers, this was the first attempt at quitting smoking. On average, smokers used 10,2 cigarettes per day.

Table 1: Characteristics of tobacco smokers in the French TEMPO study (n=600, 2011)

		Population n=600	Persistent smoking (%) n=258	Quitting (%) n=196	Smoking relapse (%) n=146	Wald F p value
<i>Sociodemographic characteristics</i>						
Sex:	Male	222	50	27	27	<0.0001
	Female	378	50	73	73	
Age:	< 30 yrs	178	38	21	27	0.0005
	> 30 yrs	422	62	79	73	
Educational level:	≤ high school degree	464	28	17	22	0.026
	> high school degree	136	72	83	78	
Household income:	≤ 2000 euros	147	33	16	21	0.0002
	> 2000 euros	453	67	84	79	
Family situation:	Does not live with a partner	331	63	42	58	<0.0001
	Lives with a partner	269	37	58	42	
Parental status:	No children	298	62	38	43	<0.0001
	Child ≤ 1 yr	119	14	31	15	
	Child > 1 yr	183	24	31	42	
<i>Employment characteristics</i>						
Occupational grade:	High professional	192	28	32	39	0.004
	Intermediate professional	134	23	24	19	
	Low professional	237	38	42	38	
	No occupation	37	11	2	4	
Job stability:	Temporary employment	116	23	14	22	0.03
	Permanent employment	480	77	86	78	
Unemployment in the preceding two years:	Yes	99	18	12	20	0.13
	No	499	82	88	80	
Job strain:	No	446	70	81	73	0.026
	Yes	154	30	19	27	
<i>Health characteristics</i>						
Chronic health problems:	No	466	81	76	75	0.32
	Yes	134	19	24	25	
Self-reported health:	Good	575	95	97	95	0.36
	Poor	25	5	3	5	
Juvenile antisocial personality:	No	480	76	84	83	0.06
	Yes	120	24	16	17	
Major depression or anxiety disorder:	No	431	72	69	74	0.62
	Yes	169	28	31	26	
Symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention:	No	549	90	96	88	0.02
	Yes	51	10	4	12	
Lifetime use of psychoactive medication:	No	367	60	60	64	0.65
	Yes	233	40	40	36	
<i>Drugs use and others practices during the last 12 months</i>						
Alcohol abuse:	No	496	77	90	83	0.002
	Yes	104	23	10	17	
Illegal drug use:	No	494	70	96	86	<0.0001
	Yes	106	30	4	14	
Video game use / gambling:	No	306	45	56	55	0.024
	Yes	294	55	44	45	
<i>Tobacco characteristics</i>						
Age of tobacco initiation:	≤ 13 years	118	19	22	18	0.62
	≥ 14 years	482	81	78	82	
Support for smoking cessation:	Yes	170	29	27	29	0.36
	No	430	71	73	71	
Parental tobacco smoking:	At least one parent regular smoker	78	15	10	12	0.30
	At least one parent former smoker	342	53	62	58	
	Exclusively never smoking parents	180	32	28	30	
Juvenile antisocial personality:	No	480	76	84	83	0.06
	Yes	120	24	16	17	
<i>Negative life events</i>						
Harassment or lack of affection in childhood:	No	389	60	73	61	0.009
	Yes	211	40	27	39	
Partner separation in the preceding 12 months:	No	541	88	95	87	0.01
	Yes	59	12	5	13	
Violence in the preceding 12 months:	No	381	59	70	62	0.06

Bivariate analyses

In bivariate analyses (**Table 2**), factors positively associated with both quitting and smoking relapse were: female sex, age ≥ 30 years, household income > 2000 euros, no cannabis use, and no video game use or gambling.

Recent pregnancy or having a child ≤ 1 year of age were associated with quitting, while having a child > 1 year of age was associated with smoking relapse.

Factors associated only with a decreased likelihood of quitting were: low educational level, living alone, lack of job stability, job strain, alcohol abuse or dependence, symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention, and negative life events in childhood or in adulthood.

Health factors (with the exception of symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention) and characteristics of tobacco use were associated neither with quitting nor with smoking relapse.

Table 2: Factors associated with smoking trajectories (French TEMPO study, n=600, 2011): bivariate polynomial regression analysis

	Persistent smoking n=258 OR	Quitting n=196 OR (CI 95%)	Smoking Relapse n=146 OR (CI 95%)	Generalized Wald test ^a <i>p</i> value
Sociodemographics characteristics				
Sex (Ref: Male)				
Female	1.00	2.70 (1.81-1.02)***	2.65 (1.71-4.11)***	0.94
Age (Ref: ≥ 30 yrs)				
< 30 yrs	1.00	0.44 (0.29-0.67)***	0.63 (0.40-0.98)*	0.16
Education level (Ref: > high school degree)				
≤ high school degree	1.00	0.53 (0.34-0.85)**	0.74 (0.46-1.19)	0.24
Household income (Ref: > 2000 euros)				
≤ 2000 euros	1.00	0.40 (0.26-0.64)***	0.56 (0.35-0.90)*	0.31
Family situation (Ref: Lives with a partner)				
Does not live with a partner	1.00	0.43 (0.29-0.63)***	0.81 (0.54-1.23)	0.004
Parental status (Ref: No children)				
Child ≤ 1 yr	1.00	3.69 (2.25-6.05)***	1.56 (0.85-2.86)	0.005
Child > 1 yr	1.00	2.18 (1.39-3.41)	2.56 (1.62-4.04)**	0.52
Employment characteristics				
Occupational grade (Ref: High professional)				
Intermediate professional	1.00	0.90 (0.54-1.49)	0.57 (0.32-1.01)	0.14
Low professional	1.00	0.95 (0.61-1.48)	0.72 (0.44-1.15)	0.26
No occupation	1.00	0.17 (0.06-0.51)**	0.28 (0.11-0.73)*	0.45
Job stability (Ref: Yes)				
No	1.00	0.53 (0.32-0.88)*	0.96 (0.59-1.56)	0.041
Unemployment in the preceding two years (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.64 (0.38-1.10)	1.14 (0.68-1.91)	0.06
Job strain (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.55 (0.35-0.86)**	0.89 (0.57-1.39)	0.06
Health characteristics				
Chronic health problems (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	1.35 (0.86-2.11)	1.36 (0.83-2.22)	0.32
Self-reported health (Ref: Good)				
Poor	1.00	1.86 (0.65-5.38)	0.84 (0.34-2.11)	0.17
Juvenile antisocial personality (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.60 (0.38-0.97)*	0.64 (0.38-1.07)	0.85
Major depressive disorder or anxiety disorder (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	1.16 (0.77-1.75)	0.93 (0.59-1.47)	0.35
Symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.38 (0.17-0.86)*	1.18 (0.62-2.25)	0.0011
Lifetime psychoactive medication use (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	1.03 (0.71-1.51)	0.85 (0.56-1.29)	0.65
Drugs use and others practices during the last 12 months				
Alcohol abuse (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.38 (0.22-0.66)***	0.70 (0.42-1.17)	0.06
Illegal drug use (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.09 (0.04-0.19)***	0.39 (0.23-0.66)***	0.0008
Video game use/gambling (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.63 (0.43-0.91)*	0.65 (0.43-0.97)*	0.91
Tobacco characteristics				
Age of tobacco initiation (Ref: ≥ 14 years)				
≤ 13 years	1.00	1.23 (0.78-1.95)	0.99 (0.59-1.67)	0.44
Parental smoking status (Ref: Exclusively never smoking parents)				
At least one parent former smoker	1.00	1.15 (0.73-1.82)	1.36 (0.89-2.08)	0.50
At least one parent regular smoker	1.00	0.84 (0.43-1.63)	0.76 (0.40-1.44)	0.80
Support for smoking cessation (Ref: Yes)				
No	1.00	1.09 (0.72-1.64)	0.96 (0.62-1.51)	0.63
Negative life events				
Harassment or lack of affection in childhood (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.55 (0.37-0.83)**	0.98 (0.65-1.48)	0.015
Partner separation in the preceding 12 months (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.35 (0.16-0.76)**	1.10 (0.60-2.02)	0.007
Violence in the preceding 12 months (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.63 (0.42-0.93)*	0.88 (0.58-1.34)	0.14

* $p < 0,05$; ** $p < 0,01$; *** $p < 0,001$

^a Generalized Wald test assesses whether the parameters of “quitting” and “smoking relapse” associated with each covariate differ significantly

Multivariate analyses

Multivariate analyses (**Table 3**) showed that women were more likely than men to quit smoking – successfully or not. Similarly, participants who smoked cannabis or used illegal drugs had a decreased probability of quitting smoking successfully or not.

Compared to participants without children, those who were pregnant or had a child ≤ 1 year of age were more likely to quit smoking (OR=2.77, 95% CI 1.53-5.02), whilst having a child > 1 year was associated with smoking relapse (OR=2.57, 95% CI 1.45-4.55).

Factors specifically associated with a lower probability of successful smoking cessation were job strain (OR=0.52, 95% IC 0.31-0.85) and high symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention (OR=0.42, 95% CI 0.17-1.01). Occupational grade was associated with smoking relapse; compared to individuals in high occupational grades, those with intermediate (OR=0.42, 95% CI 0.23-0.77) or low status (OR=0.59, 95% CI 0.35-0.99) or with no occupation (OR=0.31, 95% CI 0.11-0.84) were less likely to relapse smoking.

Table 3: Factors associated with smoking trajectories: multivariate multinomial regression analysis (French TEMPO study, n=600, 2011)

	Persistent smoking n=258	Quitting n=196	Smoking Relapse n=146	Generalized Wald test ^a
	OR	OR (CI 95%)	OR (CI 95%)	p value
Sex (Ref: Male)				
Female	1.00	1.96 (1.26-3.06)**	2.27 (1.43-3.62)***	0.57
Age (Ref: > 30 yrs)				
≤ 30 yrs	1.00	1.37 (0.81-2.29)	1.05 (0.62-1.78)	0.37
Family situation (Ref: Lives with a partner)				
Does not live with a partner	1.00	0.82 (0.51-1.32)	1.46 (0.88-2.43)	0.03
Parental status (Ref: No children)				
Child ≤ 1 year	1.00	2.77 (1.53-5.02)***	1.66 (0.84-3.28)	0.13
Child > 1 year	1.00	1.30 (0.74-2.28)	2.57 (1.45-4.55)**	0.03
Occupational grade (Ref: High professional)				
Intermediate professional	1.00	0.80 (0.45-1.42)	0.42 (0.23-0.77)**	0.04
Low professional	1.00	1.03 (0.62-1.70)	0.59 (0.35-0.99)*	0.04
No occupation	1.00	0.23 (0.07-0.75)*	0.31 (0.11-0.84)*	0.67
Job strain (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.52 (0.31-0.85)**	0.90 (0.55-1.46)	0.04
Symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.42 (0.17-1.01)	1.37 (0.68-2.76)	0.01
Illegal drug use (Ref: No)				
Yes	1.00	0.10 (0.04-0.23)***	0.43 (0.25-0.77)***	0.002

* p < 0,05 ; ** p < 0,01 ; *** p < 0,001

^a Generalized Wald test assesses whether the parameters of “quitting” and “smoking relapse” associated with each covariate differ significantly

In additional analyses, being pregnant or having a child ≤ 1 year were associated with successful cessation only in women (OR=5.58, 95% CI 2.87-10.85 vs. OR=0.50, 95% CI 0.20-1.23 in men, p<0.0001); symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention were more strongly associated with smoking relapse in women (OR = 2.51, 95% CI 1.04-6.02 vs. OR=0.39, 95% CI 0.11-1.40 in men, p=0.039), and being single was only associated with smoking relapse in men (OR=0.40, 95% CI 0.22-0.73 vs. OR=1.49, 95% CI 0.94-2.36 in women, p=0.0027). Our results were stable in secondary analyses excluding occasional smokers (n=94).

Finally, among the 246 participants with complete 2009 and 2011 data, 40% were smoking on both assessments, 33% had quit and 27% had quit and relapsed in-between. Successful smoking cessation was associated with low smoking levels in 2009: compared to

occasional smokers, light smokers (1-10 cigarettes/day) [OR=0.04, 95% CI 0.02-0.10] and heavy smokers (>10 cigarettes/day) [OR=0.06, 95% CI 0.02-0.16] were less likely to have quit by 2011.

DISCUSSION

Main findings

Our study suggests that smoking cessation patterns in young adults are multifactorial. Women are more likely than men to quit smoking, both successfully and unsuccessfully, in relation to their experience of motherhood: cessation is encouraged during pregnancy but there is a high probability of relapse after the child's birth. Smoking cessation may also be influenced by work-related factors. Finally, individuals who have symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention or use illegal drugs are least likely to quit, successfully or not.

Factors associated with smoking cessation

Characteristics of tobacco use

Contrary to our initial hypotheses, several factors showed no association with smoking cessation: the age of tobacco initiation²⁸, having a parent who quit smoking²⁹ and tobacco cessation support³⁰. Only the level of tobacco use two years prior to the study documented in a sub-sample^{12,31}, predicted smoking cessation. Tobacco smoking levels in our study were relatively low (10,2 cigarettes/day), but correspond to nationally-representative estimates in France⁴. Evidence showing that light smokers exhale comparable levels of carbon monoxide to heavy smokers³² points to the need to strengthen prevention efforts in this group, who represents a majority of smokers and has difficulties quitting³³.

Sex and family situation

Contrary to prior research³⁴, women in our study were more likely to successfully quit smoking than men. However, women were also more likely to relapse. Women of reproductive age, who composed our study population, are encouraged to quit smoking when planning a pregnancy or when pregnant. Yet in many cases smoking cessation does not last beyond the perinatal period. Importantly, we controlled for single-motherhood³⁵, young age at pregnancy³⁵, and unfavorable socio-economic circumstances³⁶ which could contribute to smoking relapse. Women may start smoking again because of fear of weight gain, to manage negative emotions³⁷ or because of the partner's active smoking³⁸. Given a high level of inter-partner correlation in smoking³⁹, supporting young fathers' efforts to quit smoking may be a fruitful way of preventing young women from relapsing.

Use of cannabis and other illegal drugs

As other researchers, we found that young adults who use illegal drugs have low levels of smoking cessation. In France, cannabis is primarily smoked in combination with tobacco which probably sustains nicotine dependence^{40,41}. Physicians need to investigate the use of other psychoactive substances in individuals who would like to quit smoking⁴².

Psychological difficulties

One of our hypotheses was that successful smoking cessation is impeded by co-occurring psychological difficulties⁴³. This was not supported by our data as regards depression, anxiety or antisocial personality. However, symptoms of hyperactivity/inattention were negatively associated with quitting. This may reflect high levels of nicotine dependence⁴⁴, more severe withdrawal symptoms⁴⁵, and the use of tobacco to manage psychological and cognitive difficulties^{46,47}.

Work characteristics

One factor specifically associated with a decreased probability of quitting smoking in our study was work stress. Two recent literature reviews^{48,49} reported a relationship between work stress and the number of cigarettes smoked, yet to our knowledge smoking cessation had not been studied. The use smoking as a coping mechanism in case of job strain⁵⁰ should be confirmed by future research.

Somewhat counterintuitively, we observed a negative association between occupational grade and unsuccessful smoking cessation, whereby managers were most likely to relapse smoking. Yet this group also had the highest likelihood of smoking cessation, even though these attempts were not always successful. As in other countries, socioeconomic inequalities with regard to tobacco use in France have increased in recent years reflecting both higher smoking uptake and lower cessation levels in low socioeconomic groups⁵¹. The relationship between work-family conflict⁵², which may be frequent among young adults, and smoking patterns requires greater research attention.

Limitations and strengths

Our study has limitations: first, the data are cross-sectional and smoking groups were ascertained retrospectively, which could induce bias. Second, we could not study factors such as participants' level of nicotine dependence^{31,53}, the partner's smoking status^{38,54}, and the motivation to quit⁵⁵. Third, our study population had more favorable socioeconomic circumstances than the general population of France and the likelihood of smoking cessation may be overestimated.

Our study's strengths are that a) the TEMPO study is composed of young adults, among whom smoking cessation is rarely studied; b) few studies examined the likelihood of sustained smoking cessation; c) we studied multiple factors potentially associated with smoking cessation.

Study implications

Several factors could be targeted to help smokers successfully quit. First, interventions targeting pregnant women who wish to stop smoking should be extended beyond the pregnancy period, address the partner's cigarette smoking⁵⁶, and take into account factors such as stressful circumstances associated with childcare and work-family conflict⁵⁷. Collective tobacco control policies such as bans on indoor smoking can help decrease tobacco use in pregnancy and thereafter and should be encouraged⁵⁸. Work-based interventions targeting workers who experience job strain should be extended⁵⁹. Finally, information about the use of cannabis without tobacco should be disseminated to help reduce risks among individuals who do not wish to quit smoking cannabis.

CONCLUSION

Determinants of smoking cessation in young adulthood show some specificity compared to older populations, who tend to quit smoking due to health problems⁶⁰. Professional and family circumstances as well as other substance use appear to play an important role in this group. The introduction of the electronic cigarette in recent years may lead some smokers to gradually decrease their use of tobacco⁶¹ and the possibility that this leads towards smoking cessation should be examined. Future studies need to examine long-term smoking patterns considering not only success or failure but also the decrease of tobacco consumption.

Funding

This work was supported by the French Ministry of Health-IReSP (TGIR Cohortes), the French Inter-departmental Mission for the Fight against Drugs and Drug addiction (MILDeCA), The French Institute of Cancer (INCa).

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Contributors

MM was study investigator and AC assisted in its conception. IK performed the statistical analysis. IK and MM analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of findings and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the GAZEL study team for help in implementing the TEMPO cohort. Additionally, we are grateful to Leah Schwartz for her help with proofreading our manuscript.

References

1. WHO. 2002 *WHO Global Report. Mortality Attributable to Tobacco*. [[http://www.thehealthwell.info/node/115845.](http://www.thehealthwell.info/node/115845)]
2. Hill C. [Tobacco epidemiology]. *Rev Prat*. 2012;62(3):325, 327-329.
3. Jouglé E, Salem G, Rican S, Pavillon G, Lefevre H. [Disparities in cancer mortality in the European Union]. *Bull Epidemiol Hebd*. 2003;(41-42):198-201.
4. Guignard R, Beck F, Richard J-B, Peretti-Watel P. 2013. [*Tobacco use in France: Results of the 2010 Health Barometer*]. INPES. France; 2013.
5. Reid JL, Hammond D, Boudreau C, Fong GT, Siahpush M, ITC Collaboration. Socioeconomic disparities in quit intentions, quit attempts, and smoking abstinence among smokers in four western countries: findings from the International Tobacco Control Four Country Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2010;12 Suppl:S20-S33. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntq051.
6. Gallus S, Muttarak R, Franchi M, et al. Why do smokers quit? *Eur J Cancer Prev*. 2013;22(1):96-101. doi:10.1097/CEJ.0b013e3283552da8.
7. Chandola T, Head J, Bartley M. Socio-demographic predictors of quitting smoking: how important are household factors? *Addiction*. 2004;99(6):770-777. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00756.x.
8. Cook BL, Wayne GF, Kafali EN, Liu Z, Shu C, Flores M. Trends in smoking among adults with mental illness and association between mental health treatment and smoking cessation. *J Am Med Assoc*. 2014;311(2):172-182. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.284985.
9. Doll R, Peto R, Boreham J, Sutherland I. Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *Br Med J*. 2004;328(7455):1519. doi:10.1136/bmj.38142.554479.AE.
10. Fidler J, Ferguson SG, Brown J, Stapleton J, West R. How does rate of smoking cessation vary by age, gender and social grade? Findings from a population survey in England. *Addiction*. 2013;108(9):1680-1685. doi:10.1111/add.12241.
11. Beck F, Guilbert P, Gautier A. 2007. [Health barometer 2005. Attitudes and health behaviors]. INPES. [[http://www.inpes.sante.fr/CFESBases/catalogue/pdf/1109.pdf.](http://www.inpes.sante.fr/CFESBases/catalogue/pdf/1109.pdf)]
12. Vangeli E, Stapleton J, Smit ES, Borland R, West R. Predictors of attempts to stop smoking and their success in adult general population samples: a systematic review. *Addiction*. 2011;106(12):2110-2121. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03565.x.
13. West R, McEwen A, Bolling K, Owen L. Smoking cessation and smoking patterns in the general population: a 1-year follow-up. *Addiction*. 2001;96(6):891-902. doi:10.1080/09652140020051013.
14. Kessler RC, Birnbaum HG, Shahly V, et al. Age differences in the prevalence and co-morbidity of DSM-IV major depressive episodes: results from the WHO World Mental Health Survey Initiative. *Depress Anxiety*. 2010;27(4):351-364. doi:10.1002/da.20634.
15. Observatoire des inégalités. 2014. [Unstable employment by age group]. 2014. [[http://www.inegalites.fr/spip.php?page=article&id_article=461.](http://www.inegalites.fr/spip.php?page=article&id_article=461)]

16. Goldberg M, Leclerc A, Bonenfant S, et al. Cohort profile: the GAZEL Cohort Study. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2007;36(1):32-39. doi:10.1093/ije/dyl247.
17. Redonnet B, Chollet A, Fombonne E, Bowes L, Melchior M. Tobacco, alcohol, cannabis and other illegal drug use among young adults: the socioeconomic context. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2012;121(3):231-239. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2011.09.002.
18. Fombonne E, Vermeersch S. [Children of the GAZEL Cohort: I--Prevalence of contacts with the medico-educational system for psychological reasons, and associated factors]. *Rev D' Epidémiol Santé Publique.* 1997;45(1):29-40.
19. Melchior M, Elidemir G, Chollet A, Chastang JF, Galéra C, Younes N. Unemployment and substance use in young adults: does educational attainment modify the association? *Eur Addict Res.* 2015; ;21(3):115-123..
20. Vidalenc J, Wolff L. [The state of the labour market in 2011]. *INSEE Prem.* 2012;(1415). [<http://www.insee.fr/fr/ffc/ipweb/ip1415/ip1415.pdf>.]21. Kaleta D, Korytkowski P, Makowiec-Dąbrowska T, Usidame B, Bąk-Romaniszyn L, Fronczak A. Predictors of long-term smoking cessation: results from the global adult tobacco survey in Poland (2009-2010). *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:1020. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-1020.
22. ILO. International Standard Classification of Occupations. 1990. [<http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/stat/isco/docs/resol08.pdf>.]
23. Karasek R, Brisson C, Kawakami N, Houtman I, Bongers P, Amick B. The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): an instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. *J Occup Health Psychol.* 1998;3(4):322-355.
24. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 1998;59 Suppl 20:22-33;quiz 34-57.
25. Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, et al. The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychol Med.* 2005;35(2):245-256.
26. Gache P, Michaud P, Landry U, et al. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) as a screening tool for excessive drinking in primary care: reliability and validity of a French version. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2005;29(11):2001-2007.
27. Beck F, Cavallin C, Maillochon F. [*Violence and Health in France : state of the art*]. Paris: La Documentation Française; 2010.
28. Khuder SA, Dayal HH, Mutgi AB. Age at smoking onset and its effect on smoking cessation. *Addict Behav.* 1999;24(5):673-677.
29. Bricker JB, Otten R, Liu JL, Peterson AV. Parents who quit smoking and their adult children's smoking cessation: a 20-year follow-up study. *Addiction.* 2009;104(6):1036-1042. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02547.x.
30. Hartmann-Boyce J, Stead LF, Cahill K, Lancaster T. Efficacy of interventions to combat tobacco addiction: Cochrane update of 2012 reviews. *Addiction.* 2013;108(10):1711-1721. doi:10.1111/add.12291.

31. Zhou X, Nonnemaker J, Sherrill B, Gilsean AW, Coste F, West R. Attempts to quit smoking and relapse: factors associated with success or failure from the ATTEMPT cohort study. *Addict Behav.* 2009;34(4):365-373. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2008.11.013.
32. Le Faou A-L, Baha M. [Policy and routine practice for smoking cessation in France]. *Presse Méd.* 2012;41(12 Pt 1):1279-1285. doi:10.1016/j.lpm.2012.07.035.
33. Baha MY, Le Faou A-L. Smoking cessation interventions offered to French adult light smokers: a heterogeneous population with specific needs. *Eur Addict Res.* 2010;16(3):162-169. doi:10.1159/000314360.
34. Scharf D, Shiffman S. Are there gender differences in smoking cessation, with and without bupropion? Pooled- and meta-analyses of clinical trials of Bupropion SR. *Addiction.* 2004;99(11):1462-1469. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2004.00845.x.
35. Tong VT, Jones JR, Dietz PM, D'Angelo D, Bombard JM, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Trends in smoking before, during, and after pregnancy - Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), United States, 31 sites, 2000-2005. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Surveill Summ Wash DC 2002.* 2009;58(4):1-29.
36. Kahn RS, Certain L, Whitaker RC. A reexamination of smoking before, during, and after pregnancy. *Am J Public Health.* 2002;92(11):1801-1808.
37. McKee SA, O'Malley SS, Salovey P, Krishnan-Sarin S, Mazure CM. Perceived risks and benefits of smoking cessation: gender-specific predictors of motivation and treatment outcome. *Addict Behav.* 2005;30(3):423-435. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2004.05.027.
38. Homish GG, Leonard KE. Spousal influence on smoking behaviors in a US community sample of newly married couples. *Soc Sci Med 1982.* 2005;61(12):2557-2567. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.05.005.
39. Clark AE, Etilé F. Don't give up on me baby: spousal correlation in smoking behaviour. *J Health Econ.* 2006;25(5):958-978. doi:10.1016/j.jhealeco.2006.02.002.
40. Ford DE, Vu HT, Anthony JC. Marijuana use and cessation of tobacco smoking in adults from a community sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2002;67(3):243-248.
41. Patton GC, Coffey C, Carlin JB, Sawyer SM, Lynskey M. Reverse gateways? Frequent cannabis use as a predictor of tobacco initiation and nicotine dependence. *Addiction.* 2005;100(10):1518-1525. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2005.01220.x.
42. Agrawal A, Budney AJ, Lynskey MT. The co-occurring use and misuse of cannabis and tobacco: a review. *Addiction.* 2012;107(7):1221-1233. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2012.03837.x.
43. Covey LS, Glassman AH, Stetner F. Cigarette smoking and major depression. *J Addict Dis.* 1998;17(1):35-46. doi:10.1300/J069v17n01_04.
44. Kollins SH, McClernon FJ, Fuemmeler BF. Association between smoking and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in a population-based sample of young adults. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2005;62(10):1142-1147. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.10.1142.
45. McClernon FJ, Van Voorhees EE, English J, Hallyburton M, Holdaway A, Kollins SH. Smoking withdrawal symptoms are more severe among smokers with ADHD and independent of ADHD symptom change: results from a 12-day contingency-managed abstinence trial. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2011;13(9):784-792. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntr073.

46. Gehricke J-G, Loughlin SE, Whalen CK, et al. Smoking to self-medicate attentional and emotional dysfunctions. *Nicotine Tob Res Off J Soc Res Nicotine Tob*. 2007;9 Suppl 4:S523-S536. doi:10.1080/14622200701685039.
47. Potter AS, Newhouse PA, Bucci DJ. Central nicotinic cholinergic systems: a role in the cognitive dysfunction in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *Behav Brain Res*. 2006;175(2):201-211. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2006.09.015.
48. Albertsen K, Borg V, Oldenburg B. A systematic review of the impact of work environment on smoking cessation, relapse and amount smoked. *Prev Med*. 2006;43(4):291-305. doi:10.1016/j.yjmed.2006.05.001.
49. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, et al. Job strain and tobacco smoking: an individual-participant data meta-analysis of 166,130 adults in 15 European studies. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e35463. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035463.
50. Ayyagari P, Sindelar JL. The impact of job stress on smoking and quitting: Evidence from the HRS. *BE J Econ Anal Policy*. 2010;10(1). doi:10.2202/1935-1682.2259.
51. Peretti-Watel P, Constance J, Seror V, Beck F. Cigarettes and social differentiation in France: is tobacco use increasingly concentrated among the poor? *Addiction*. 2009;104(10):1718-1728. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02682.x.
52. Nelson CC, Li Y, Sorensen G, Berkman LF. Assessing the relationship between work-family conflict and smoking. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(9):1767-1772. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300413.
53. Hyland A, Borland R, Li Q, et al. Individual-level predictors of cessation behaviours among participants in the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. *Tob Control*. 2006;15 Suppl 3:iii83-iii94. doi:10.1136/tc.2005.013516.
54. Okechukwu CA, Nguyen K, Hickman NJ. Partner smoking characteristics: Associations with smoking and quitting among blue-collar apprentices. *Am J Ind Med*. 2010;53(11):1102-1108. doi:10.1002/ajim.20890.
55. Smit ES, Hoving C, Schelleman-Offermans K, West R, de Vries H. Predictors of successful and unsuccessful quit attempts among smokers motivated to quit. *Addict Behav*. 2014;39(9):1318-1324. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.04.017.
56. DiClemente CC, Dolan-Mullen P, Windsor RA. The process of pregnancy smoking cessation: implications for interventions. *Tob Control*. 2000;9 Suppl 3:III16-III21.
57. Bottorff JL, Johnson JL, Irwin LG, Ratner PA. Narratives of smoking relapse: the stories of postpartum women. *Res Nurs Health*. 2000;23(2):126-134.
58. Nguyen KH, Wright RJ, Sorensen G, Subramanian SV. Association between local indoor smoking ordinances in Massachusetts and cigarette smoking during pregnancy: a multilevel analysis. *Tob Control*. 2013;22(3):184-189. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050157.
59. Okechukwu CA, Krieger N, Sorensen G, Li Y, Barbeau EM. MassBuilt: effectiveness of an apprenticeship site-based smoking cessation intervention for unionized building trades workers. *Cancer Causes Control CCC*. 2009;20(6):887-894. doi:10.1007/s10552-009-9324-0.

60. Meamar R, Etedali F, Sereshti N, et al. Predictors of smoking cessation and duration: implication for smoking prevention. *Int J Prev Med.* 2013;4(Suppl 2):S194-S200.
61. Etter J-F, Bullen C. Electronic cigarette: users profile, utilization, satisfaction and perceived efficacy. *Addict Abingdon Engl.* 2011;106(11):2017-2028. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2011.03505.x.