

# **Regional and sub-regional differences in hippocampal GABAergic neuronal vulnerability in the TgCRND8 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease**

Marilia S. Albuquerque, Ian Mahar, Maria Antonietta Davoli, Jean-Guy Chabot, Naguib Mechawar, Rémi Quirion, Slavica Krantic

# **To cite this version:**

Marilia S. Albuquerque, Ian Mahar, Maria Antonietta Davoli, Jean-Guy Chabot, Naguib Mechawar, et al.. Regional and sub-regional differences in hippocampal GABAergic neuronal vulnerability in the TgCRND8 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 2015, 7, pp.30. 10.3389/fnagi.2015.00030 hal-01215387

# **HAL Id: hal-01215387 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01215387v1>**

Submitted on 14 Oct 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



# Regional and sub-regional differences in hippocampal [GABAergic neuronal vulnerability in](http://www.frontiersin.org/journal/10.3389/fnagi.2015.00030/abstract) the TgCRND8 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease

#### Marilia S. Albuquerque 1, 2, 3, 4†, [Ian Mahar](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/206188) 1, 5, 6†, [Maria Antonietta Davoli](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/218773) 1, 5, Jean-Guy Chabot 1, 6, [Naguib Mechawar](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/14282) 1, 5, 6, 7, Rémi Quirion 1, 6 and [Slavica Krantic](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/157612) 1, 6, 7, 8<sup>,</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Verdun, QC, Canada, <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, School of Arts, Sciences and Humanities, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, <sup>3</sup> Graduation Course on Pharmacology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, <sup>4</sup> Research Group on Neuropharmacology of Aging, São Paulo, Brazil, <sup>5</sup> McGill Group for Suicide Studies, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Verdun, QC, Canada, 6 Integrated Program in Neuroscience, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada, <sup>7</sup> Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada, <sup>8</sup> Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, INSERM, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, UMR\_S 1138, Université Pierre et Marie Curie Univ Paris 06, Sorbonne Universités, Paris, France

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Alessandro Martorana, Rome University Tor Vergata-Clinica Neurologica-System Medicine Department, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Valentina Echeverria Moran, Bay Pines VA Medical Center, USA Francesca Romana Fusco, Santa Lucia Foundation, Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Slavica Krantic, Centre de Recherche des Cordeliers, UMRS 1138, Équipe 17, 15, rue de l'école de Médecine, 75006 Paris, France [slavica.krantic@crc.jussieu.fr](mailto:slavica.krantic@crc.jussieu.fr) † These authors have contributed equally to this work.

> Received: 25 January 2015 Paper pending published: 17 February 2015 Accepted: 26 February 2015 Published: 24 March 2015

#### Citation:

Albuquerque MS, Mahar I, Davoli MA, Chabot J-G, Mechawar N, Quirion R and Krantic S (2015) Regional and sub-regional differences in hippocampal GABAergic neuronal vulnerability in the TgCRND8 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 7:30. doi: [10.3389/fnagi.2015.00030](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2015.00030) Hippocampal network activity is predominantly coordinated by γ-amino-butyric acid (GABA)ergic neurons. We have previously hypothesized that the altered excitability of hippocampal neurons in Alzheimer's disease (AD), which manifests as increased in vivo susceptibility to seizures in the TgCRND8 mouse model of AD, may be related to disruption of hippocampal GABAergic neurons. In agreement, our previous study in TgCRND8 mice has shown that hippocampal GABAergic neurons are more vulnerable to AD-related neuropathology than other types of neurons. To further explore the mechanisms behind the observed decrease of GABAergic neurons in 6 month-old TgCRND8 mice, we assessed the relative proportion of somatostatin (SOM), neuropeptide Y (NPY) and paravalbumin (PV) sub-types of GABAergic neurons at the regional and sub-regional level of the hippocampus. We found that NPY expressing GABAergic neurons were the most affected, as they were decreased in CA1-CA2 (pyramidal-, stratum oriens, stratum radiatum and molecular layers), CA3 (specifically in the stratum oriens) and dentate gyrus (specifically in the polymorphic layer) in TgCRND8 mice as compared to non-transgenic controls. SOM expressing GABAergic neurons were decreased in CA1-CA2 (specifically in the stratum oriens) and in the stratum radiatum of CA3, whereas PV neurons were significantly altered in stratum oriens sub-region of CA3. Taken together, these data provide new evidence for the relevance of hippocampal GABAergic neuronal network disruption as a mechanism underlying AD sequelae such as aberrant neuronal excitability, and further point to complex hippocampal regional and sub-regional variation in susceptibility to AD-related neuronal loss.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, somatostatin, neuropeptide Y, parvalbumin, hippocampal sub-regions

# Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an age-related neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive loss of cognitive and executive functions. The main histological hallmarks of AD are the extracellular deposition of amyloid beta (Aβ) peptides into the extracellular amyloid-plaques and the intracellular accumulation of hyperphos[phorylated tau protein \(neurofibrillary tangles\) \(](#page-6-0)Hardy and Selkoe, [2002](#page-6-0); [Hardy, 2006\)](#page-6-1). Clinically, the disease manifests first by cognitive complaints at a stage considered as a prodromal (silent) phase of AD, also known as MCI (mild cognitive impairment). For the reasons that remain unknown, not all of subjects with MCI will convert to AD [\(Nelson and O'Connor](#page-7-0), [2008\)](#page-7-0) with a "probable" clinical diagnosis based mainly on PET-scan imaging of Aβ accumulation into amyloid-plaques and elevated Aβ42/Aβ40 ratio in cerebrospinal fluid. At the time of diagnosis, significant hippocampal atrophy is already detectable in some patients. As AD progresses, the neuronal loss increases, yielding a significant neurodegeneration which is generally observed at the terminal stages in post-mortem studies [\(McKhann et al.](#page-7-1), [1984](#page-7-1)).

We and others have previously reported a loss of hippocampal GABAergic neurons in 6 month-old mice in AD models such as Aβ[PPdE9](#page-7-3) [\(Ramos et al.](#page-7-2)[,](#page-7-3) [2006\)](#page-7-2) [and TgCRND8 \(](#page-7-3)Krantic et al., [2012](#page-7-3)). Remarkably, the TgCRND8 mice used in our studies display synaptic hyperexcitability in the CA1 hippocampal region, which is detectable at 5 months [\(Jolas et al., 2002](#page-6-2)). Moreover, in vivo treatment of 1.5–2 month-old TgCRND8 mice with pentylenetetrazole, a drug acting on the picrotoxin site of type-A GABA receptors, has revealed latent GABA-related hippocampal network impairments [\(Del Vecchio et al., 2004\)](#page-6-3). These findings strongly support the recently-proposed hypothesis that epileptiform activity is the cause, rather than a consequence, of neurodegeneration in AD [\(Palop et al.](#page-7-4), [2007;](#page-7-4) [Gleichmann et al.](#page-6-4), [2011\)](#page-6-4). According to this hypothesis, the Aβ-mediated increase in hippocampal network excitability is associated with increased global excitatory activity, with subsequent negative impact on learning and memory [\(Palop et al.](#page-7-4), [2007;](#page-7-4) [Palop and Mucke](#page-7-5), [2009\)](#page-7-5).

Phenotypic analysis of hippocampal GABAergic neuronal populations at the overt stages of AD has pointed to selective loss in number or function of their specific sub-types, notably neurons expressing somatostatin (SOM), neuropeptide Y (NPY) [\(Ramos et al.](#page-7-2), [2006\)](#page-7-2) and paravalbumin (PV) [\(Verret et al.](#page-7-6), [2012\)](#page-7-6). These studies have reported some regional selectivity of SOM neuronal loss, with stratum oriens in CA- and hilar perforant path-associated NPY neurons in the dentate gyrus (DG) being the most vulnerable [\(Ramos et al.](#page-7-2), [2006](#page-7-2)). However, the putative sub-regional differences regarding respective layers of SOM and NPY vulnerability to Aβ have not been explored in detail. These GABAergic neurons in CA stratum oriens and DG hilus are centrally involved in the innervation of the distal dendritic arbor of pyramidal and granular neurons [\(Maccaferri and Lacaille](#page-7-7), [2003\)](#page-7-7)

and fire at theta (4–10 Hz) frequency [\(Klausberger et al., 2003](#page-6-5), [2004](#page-6-6)), suggesting their involvement in generation of endogenous theta oscilations. In addition to CA1, CA3, and DG, the subiculum also contains an endogenous generator of theta oscillatory activity [\(Goutagny et al., 2009](#page-6-7); [Jackson et al., 2014\)](#page-6-8). In contrast to CA1 and CA3, where low (approximately 40–80 Hz) and high (around 120–160 Hz) gamma oscillations are not found intrinsically, these are both generated in the subiculum [\(Goutagny et al.](#page-6-7), [2009](#page-6-7)). Given that gamma oscillations are critically controlled by GABAergic interneurons, that they are embedded into theta rhythm, and that this gamma-theta coupling is crucial for learning and memory [\(Goutagny et al.](#page-6-7), [2009\)](#page-6-7), the putative alterations of SOM and NPY GABAergic neurons in the subiculum may be critical in AD. In this study, we therefore asked whether SOM-, NPY-, and PV-expressing GABAergic neurons are selectively lost in specific regions (CA1/CA2, CA3, dentate gyrus, and subiculum) and sub-regions (respective layers) of the hippocampus in 6 month-old TgCRND8 mouse. This age was chosen based on our previous study showing that the overall population of GABAergic neurons in TgCRND8 mice is first decreased in hippocampal CA regions at this age [\(Krantic et al.](#page-7-3), [2012\)](#page-7-3).

# Materials and Methods

#### Animals

TgCRND8 mice bear Swedish KM670/671NL and Indiana V717F mutations in the Aβ precursor encoding gene. By 3–4 months, they overexpress human Aβ, encoded by a double mutated form of hAβAPP (695 Swedish KM670/671NL and Indiana V717F APP mutations) transgene. Memory deficits and Aβ pathology progress during the course of aging, and 6 month-old TgCRND8 mice have a high Aβ level and severe plaque load in many brain regions, including the hippocampus [\(Chishti et al., 2001](#page-6-9)).

All experiments followed the policies and guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care, the Animal Care regulations of the McGill University, guidelines on the use of laboratory animals and the U.S. National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Male TgCRND8 and wild-type (WT) mice were maintained on an outbred C3H/C57BL6 background and kept on a 12 h light/dark cycle with food and water ad libitum.

#### Immunohistochemistry on Free-Floating Sections

Animals ( $n = 3$  per experimental group) were transcardially perfused (PBS followed by 4% PFA), and brains were stored in fixative for 24 h at 4◦C, then in a sucrose solution (30% in PBS) for 3 days at 4◦C, frozen using dimethylbutane and stored at −80◦C. Brains were sliced coronally using a freezing microtome at  $40 \mu$ m, and free-floating sections were stored in a cryopreservative solution (3:3:4 glycerol:ethylene glycol:PBS) at −20◦C in preparation for immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining. Sections (serial sectioning fraction  $= 1/8$ ) were incubated in PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 1.5 h, followed by 3%  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  prepared in PBS for 10 min. The sections were blocked with 2% normal serum in PBS  $+ 0.2\%$  Triton X-100 for 1 h. Primary antibodies (Millipore; SOM: rabbit, 1:1000, Millipore; NPY: rabbit, 1:2000, Abcam; PV: rabbit, 1:5000, Abcam) were applied overnight at

**Abbreviations:** Aβ, Amyloid beta; AD, Alzheimer's disease; CA, Cornu ammonis; DG, dentate gyrus; GABA, γ-aminobutyric acid; GR, granule cell layer; MO, molecular layer; NPY, neuropeptide Y; PO, polymorphic layer; PV, parvalbumin; PY, pyramidal layer; SO, stratum oriens; SOM, somatostatin; SR, stratum radiatum.

4 ◦C. The immunolabeled product was visualized by using the avidin-biotin complex method (Vectastain elite ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories; 30 min), with IgG conjugate (1 h), followed by DAB (for SOM) or SG (for NPY and PV) chromogen development (DAKO). The stained sections were mounted on slides (dried overnight), dehydrated in ethanol, cleared in xylene, and coverslipped.

### Cell Quantification

Immunoreactive (IR) cell somata were counted in each hippocampal region and subregion (from bregma −1.06 mm to bregma −3.88 mm, [Franklin and Paxinos](#page-6-10), [2007\)](#page-6-10), on a Nikon Eclipse E600 (Kanagawa, Japan) with a 20X objective, by an experimenter blind to group identity. Anatomical regions were determined as per [Franklin and Paxinos \(2007](#page-6-10)). CA1 and CA2 were combined (CA1/2) due to the relatively amorphous boundary separating these two regions. Intrasubject counts by an additional experimenter (on a Leica DM 2500 microscope) of an additional marker to confirm count/total accuracy correlated significantly ( $p = 0.0062$ ), with Pearson r and intraclass correlation values >0.5. Quantification is shown as cells per section. Pairwise comparisons between WT and Tg animals in hippocampal regions and subregions were performed using unpaired ttests, with Welch's correction applied when required due to data variance.  $P < 0.05$  were considered significant.

# **Results**

# Regional and Sub-Regional Distribution of NPY-Expressing Neurons

NPY-IR cells were observed in all CA regions (CA1/CA2, CA3), the DG, and the subiculum of the hippocampal formation of

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

6 month-old TgCRND8 mice (**[Figure 1](#page-3-0)**; relative proportions are shown in **[Figure 2I](#page-4-0)**). This immunoreactivity was very similar, as per visual inspection, to those observed in anatomically matched hippocampal sections of the WT littermates. In contrast, quantification of NPY-IR neurons in the hippocampus as a whole indicated a considerable decrease in their total number in TgCRND8 mice compared to aged-matched WT controls  $(p = 0.0004;$  **[Figure 2A](#page-4-0)**). This loss appeared to be distributed throughout hippocampal regions, including CA1/2  $(p = 0.0011;$  **[Figure 2B](#page-4-0)**), CA3  $(p = 0.012;$  **[Figure 2C](#page-4-0)**), and DG ( $p = 0.022$ ; **[Figure 2D](#page-4-0)**), but not subiculum ( $p = 0.22$ ; **[Figure 2E](#page-4-0)**).

Sub-regional analyses revealed that the CA1/2 was affected throughout the region. Indeed, the pyramidal layer ( $p = 0.0045$ ), stratum oriens ( $p = 0.022$ ), and stratum radiatum ( $p = 0.037$ ) (but not the molecular layer;  $p = 0.095$ ) displayed a decreased number of NPY-IR neurons in TgCRND8 mice as compared to controls (**[Figure 2F](#page-4-0)**). The loss of NPY-IR neurons in the CA3 region likely reflects the loss of these neurons in the stratum oriens layer ( $p = 0.013$ ; **[Figure 2G](#page-4-0)**), as the pyramidal layer ( $p =$ 0.10) and stratum radiatum ( $p = 0.42$ ) were not significantly affected.

In the DG, the decrease in the number of NPY-IR neurons in TgCRND8 mice may be attributed to a selective loss in the polymorphic layer ( $p = 0.013$ ), as the granular ( $p = 0.49$ ) and molecular ( $p = 0.50$ ) DG layers were not significantly affected in Tg mice compared to WT controls (**[Figure 2H](#page-4-0)**).

#### Regional and Sub-Regional Distribution of PVand SOM-Expressing Neurons

PV- and SOM-IR cells were observed in CA1/2, CA3, DG and subiculum in 6 month-old TgCRND8 mice (**[Figure 1](#page-3-0)**; relative proportions are shown in **[Figures 3I](#page-4-1)**, **[4H](#page-5-0)**, respectively), and their distribution was similar to that seen in anatomically matched hippocampal sections of the WT littermates.

PV-IR neurons in the hippocampus did not differ by genotype, either overall ( $p = 0.37$ ; **[Figure 3A](#page-4-1)**) or in CA1/2 ( $p = 0.84$ ; **[Figure 3B](#page-4-1)**) or CA3 ( $p = 0.21$ ; **[Figure 3C](#page-4-1)**), DG ( $p = 0.15$ ; **[Figure 3D](#page-4-1)**), or subiculum ( $p = 0.65$ ; **[Figure 3E](#page-4-1)**). Examining hippocampal subregions also revealed a lack of effect in CA1/2 pyramidal layer ( $p = 0.97$ ), stratum oriens ( $p = 0.91$ ), and stratum radiatum ( $p = 0.39$ ; **[Figure 3F](#page-4-1)**), CA1/3 pyramidal layer  $(p = 0.29)$ , stratum oriens  $(p = 0.07)$ , and stratum radiatum  $(p = 0.33;$  **[Figure 3G](#page-4-1)**), and DG polymorphic layer  $(p = 0.15)$ , granule cell layer ( $p = 0.22$ ), and molecular layer ( $p = 0.15$ ; **[Figure 3H](#page-4-1)**).

Regarding SOM-IR cells, a significant decrease was observed both overall ( $p = 0.049$ ; **[Figure 4A](#page-5-0)**) and in CA1/2 ( $p = 0.040$ ; **[Figure 4B](#page-5-0)**). CA3 ( $p = 0.074$ ; **[Figure 4C](#page-5-0)**), DG ( $p = 0.29$ ; **[Figure 4D](#page-5-0)**), and subiculum ( $p = 0.30$ ; **[Figure 4E](#page-5-0)**) were not significantly affected. Concerning the layers of the CA regions, a significant decrease was observed in CA1/2 stratum oriens ( $p =$ 0.041; **[Figure 4F](#page-5-0)**) and the CA3 stratum radiatum ( $p = 0.012$ ; **[Figure 4G](#page-5-0)**), but not CA1/2 stratum radiatum ( $p = 0.19$ ) or CA3 stratum oriens ( $p = 0.35$ ).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIGURE 2 | Quantification of neuropeptide Y- (NPY)-immunoreactive (IR) cells in the overall hippocampus (A), CA1 and CA2 (B), CA3 (C), dentate gyrus (DG; D) and subiculum (E), and in subregions within CA1 and CA2 (F), CA3 (G), and DG, (H). (I) subregional proportion of

NPY-IR cells within hippocampal regions, for wild-type (WT) and transgenic (Tg) animals. PY, pyramidal layer; SO, stratum oriens; SR, stratum radiatum; MO, molecular layer; PO, polymorphic layer; GR, granule cell layer. WT, wild-type; Tg, transgenic.  $^{*}p < 0.05$ ;  $^{**}p < 0.01$ ;  $^{***}p < 0.001$ .



# <span id="page-4-1"></span>**Discussion**

The principal finding of this study is that different hippocampal GABAergic neurons display remarkably distinct regional and sub-regional vulnerability to Aβ accumulation. Notably, in 6 month-old TgCRND8 mice, NPY-expressing GABAergic neurons are significantly decreased in all studied hippocampal regions except the subiculum. Regarding sub-regions, stratum oriens in CA is generally affected overall, as significantly fewer NPY neurons were found both in CA1/2 and CA3. Interestingly, SOM-expressing neurons of the stratum oriens were also found to be more vulnerable globally than in other layers of CA1/2,



<span id="page-5-0"></span>suggesting that this layer is a particular locus of AD-related GABAergic vulnerability. In addition, in the CA3 stratum radiatum layer, TgCRND8 showed fewer SOM- but not PV- or NPYexpressing neurons, suggesting that vulnerability in this region is neuronal subtype-specific to SOM-expressing neurons. Finally, overall hippocampal PV-expressing neurons demonstrated less vulnerability to the TgCRND8 AD model than other GABAergic neurons. The reasons for this apparent resistance of PV- compared to NPY- and SOM-expressing GABArgic neurons remain unknown. An interesting hypothesis is that PV-expressing neurons in the studied hippocampal sub-regions may be less active than NPY- and SOM-expressing neurons. This hypothesis will likely become testable in the near future, for example by combining electrophysiology and optogentics to functionally distinguish between these subtypes of GABAergic neurons. Importantly, recent studies have pointed also to a lower vulnerability of PVexpressing neurons in comparison to other types of GABAergic neurons. For instance, decrease in PV-expressing neurons occurs later and is less pronounced in the course of AD-like pathology than the loss of other types of GABAergic neurons (e.g., SOMor calretinin-[expressing neurons\) in both olfactory bulb \(](#page-7-8)Saiz-Sanchez et al., [2013\)](#page-7-8) and olfactory cortex [\(Saiz-Sanchez et al.](#page-7-9), [2012](#page-7-9)) of the APPxPS1 mice. Similarly, PV-expressing neurons in the frontal cortex of APP/PS1 knock-in mice bearing double mutation in APP and PS1 display a relative resistance to Aβ accumulation; in spite of a 34% decrease in the total number of neurons between 10 and 2 month-old mice, the number of PV-expressing neurons was unchanged between the two ages [\(Lemmens et al.](#page-7-10), [2011\)](#page-7-10). Moreover, in post-mortem brains of AD patients, PV-expressing neurons were found to be increased in the piriform cortex, whereas SOM- and calretinin GABAergic neurons were decreased [\(Saiz-Sanchez et al.](#page-7-11), [2014\)](#page-7-11). Altogether, these data support relative resistance of PV-expressing GABAergic neurons to AD pathology.

These findings confirm and further extend our previous data on the significant loss of GABAergic neurons in CA regions of 6 month-old TgCRND8 AD-modeling mice [\(Krantic et al.](#page-7-3), [2012](#page-7-3)). They are also in agreement with the previous study by [Ramos et al. \(2006](#page-7-2)) concerning the sub-types of the most affected GABAergic neurons. This previous study has reported that NPY and SOM neurons are the most vulnerable in stratum orienslacunosum moleculare in CA regions of APP/PS1 at 6 months of age. In addition, we found a significant decrease of NPY neurons in dentate gyrus of TgCRND8 mice, similar to the loss reported in hilar performant path-associated cells [\(Ramos et al., 2006](#page-7-2)). However, in contrast to the APP/PS1 mice [\(Ramos et al., 2006\)](#page-7-2), the decrease of NPY-IR cells contributes significantly to the observed loss of neurons in pyramidal layer of the CA1/CA2 region.

Regarding SOM neurons in stratum oriens, the loss we observed in 6 month-old TgCRND8 mice likely has a major impact in terms of GABAergic control of neuronal excitability, as all n[eurons of stratum oriens are GABAergic \(](#page-6-11)Freund and Buzsaki, [1996\)](#page-6-11). This is in line with what has been previously suggested for APP/PS1 mice [\(Ramos et al., 2006\)](#page-7-2). However, in contrast to this study, we did not observe a significant decrease of SOM neurons in the dentate gyrus of TgCRND8 mice. In addition to SOM-expressing neurons, PV-expressing neurons were also unaffected in the DG, as were all studied GABA-expressing

neuronal types in the subiculum. To our knowledge, this is the first such investigation of the subiculum, as this hippocampal sub-region has not been studied previously in terms of GABAergic neuronal vulnerability to Aβ. The relative resistance of the studied GABAergic neurons in the subiculum of 6 monthold TgCRND8 mice is intriguing. Indeed, the subiculum contains endogenous generator of hippocampal oscillatory activity [\(Goutagny et al.](#page-6-7), [2009;](#page-6-7) [Jackson et al.](#page-6-8), [2014\)](#page-6-8) which have been shown to be altered from the earliest stages of AD pathology in TgCRND8 mice [\(Goutagny et al.](#page-6-12), [2013\)](#page-6-12).

Regarding PV neurons, our data differ from those provided by a previous study that pointed to a significant loss of these neurons in the CA1/2 region of APP/PS1 mice [\(Takahashi et al.](#page-7-12), [2010](#page-7-12)). The difference between our studies is likely due to the different age of the mice: 6 months for TgCRND8 and 10 months for APP/PS1 mice. It is less likely that the differences between our studies may come from the use of distinct mice strains bearing different mutations, as the pattern of the studied GABAergic neurons in the two transgenic AD mouse models appears globally similar. For instance, NPY neurons in the stratum oriens of both APP/PS1 [\(Ramos et al.](#page-7-2), [2006\)](#page-7-2) and TgCRND8 (present study) strains are vulnerable to Aβ as compared to the non-transgenic animals.

The primary question raised by the observed alterations in the composition of the hippocampal population of the GABAergic neurons is how this functionally impacts neuronal excitability. In this light, the loss of SOM and NPY neurons in the stratum oriens of the CA1 region likely has major consequences in terms of control of pyramidal cell excitability. Indeed, cells in stratum oriens project to distal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal cells that provide dendritic inhibition [\(Maccaferri and Lacaille](#page-7-7), [2003](#page-7-7)). As a corollary, dendritic inhibition may be reduced due to the loss of GABAergic neurons in the stratum oriens of the CA1 region

# **References**

- <span id="page-6-14"></span>Bakker, A., Krauss, G. L., Albert, M. S., Speck, C. L., Jones, L. R., Stark, C. E., et al. (2012). Reduction of hippocampal hyperactivity improves cognition in amnestic mild cognitive impairment. Neuron 74, 467–474. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.03.023
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>Chishti, M. A., Yang, D. S., Janus, C., Phinney, A. L., Horne, P., Pearson, J., et al. (2001). Early-onset amyloid deposition and cognitive deficits in transgenic mice expressing a double mutant form of amyloid precursor protein 695. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 21562–21570. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M100710200
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>Del Vecchio, R. A., Gold, L. H., Novick, S. J., Wong, G., and Hyde, L. A. (2004). Increased seizure threshold and severity in young transgenic CRND8 mice. Neurosci. Lett. 367, 164–167. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2004. 05.107
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>Devi, L., and Ohno, M. (2013). Effects of levetiracetam, an antiepileptic drug, on memory impairments associated with aging and Alzheimer's disease in mice. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. 102, 7–11. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2013. 02.001
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>Franklin, K. B. J., and Paxinos, G. (2007). The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>Freund, T. F., and Buzsaki, G. (1996). Interneurons of the hippocampus. Hippocampus 6, 347–470.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>Gleichmann, M., Chow, V. W., and Mattson, M. P. (2011). Homeostatic disinhibition in the aging brain and Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 24, 15–24. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2010-101674

with a subsequent dysregulation of entorhinal cortex input to CA1. Interestingly, a similar loss of SOM interneurons without alteration of PV neurons in the stratum oriens of CA1 has been reported in aged rat hippocampus and related to age-associated seizures and cognitive impairments [\(Stanley et al.](#page-7-13), [2012\)](#page-7-13).

In conclusion, it is currently clear that the control of neuronal excitability through the control of GABAergic inhibitory input should be considered a therapeutic option for AD. In agreement, a number of studies using anti-epileptic drugs, likely acting through potentiation of GABAergic neurotransmission such as levetiracetam or topiramate, have reported beneficial effects on neuropathology and cognitive impairments in mouse AD models [\(Sanchez et al., 2012](#page-7-14); [Devi and Ohno, 2013](#page-6-13); [Shi et al., 2013](#page-7-15); [Zhang et al., 2014\)](#page-7-16). Most importantly, levetiracetam has proven efficient in the management of not only spontaneous epileptiform ac[tivity, which accompanies the early stages of AD \(](#page-7-17)Vossel et al., [2013](#page-7-17)), but also in improving cognition [\(Bakker et al.](#page-6-14), [2012](#page-6-14)). These exciting recent advances should foster further clinical assays for shared therapeutic options based on the use of anti-epileptic drugs to halt AD-associated cognitive impairment.

# Funding

This research was supported by a CIHR operating grant to RQ (MOP-8580). SK was a CIHR Visiting Scientist scholar recipient and was supported by Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM) DVS 20131228910. NM is a FRSQ scholar.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank all members of the previous Quirion lab for fruitful discussions and constructive suggestions.

- <span id="page-6-12"></span>Goutagny, R., Gu, N., Cavanagh, C., Jackson, J., Chabot, J. G., Quirion, R., et al. (2013). Alterations in hippocampal network oscillations and theta-gamma coupling arise before Abeta overproduction in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Eur. J. Neurosci. 37, 1896–1902. doi: 10.1111/ejn.12233
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>Goutagny, R., Jackson, J., and Williams, S. (2009). Self-generated theta oscillations in the hippocampus. Nat. Neurosci. 12, 1491–1493. doi: 10.1038/nn.2440
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>Hardy, J. (2006). A hundred years of Alzheimer's disease research. Neuron 52, 3–13. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.09.016
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Hardy, J., and Selkoe, D. J. (2002). The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. Science 297, 353–356. doi: 10.1126/science.1072994
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>Jackson, J., Amilhon, B., Goutagny, R., Bott, J. B., Manseau, F., Kortleven, C., et al. (2014). Reversal of theta rhythm flow through intact hippocampal circuits. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 1362–1370. doi: 10.1038/nn.3803
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>Jolas, T., Zhang, X. S., Zhang, Q., Wong, G., Del Vecchio, R., Gold, L., et al. (2002). Long-term potentiation is increased in the CA1 area of the hippocampus of APP(swe/ind) CRND8 mice. Neurobiol. Dis. 11, 394–409. doi: 10.1006/nbdi.2002.0557
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>Klausberger, T., Magill, P. J., Marton, L. F., Roberts, J. D., Cobden, P. M., Buzsaki, G., et al. (2003). Brain-state- and cell-type-specific firing of hippocampal interneurons in vivo. Nature 421, 844–848. doi: 10.1038/nature01374
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>Klausberger, T., Marton, L. F., Baude, A., Roberts, J. D., Magill, P. J., and Somogyi, P. (2004). Spike timing of dendrite-targeting bistratified cells during hippocampal network oscillations in vivo. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 41–47. doi: 10.1038/ nn1159
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Krantic, S., Isorce, N., Mechawar, N., Davoli, M. A., Vignault, E., Albuquerque, M., et al. (2012). Hippocampal GABAergic neurons are susceptible to amyloid-beta toxicity in vitro and are decreased in number in the Alzheimer's disease TgCRND8 mouse model. J. Alzheimers Dis. 29, 293–308. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2011-110830
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Lemmens, M. A., Sierksma, A. S., Rutten, B. P., Dennissen, F., Steinbusch, H. W., Lucassen, P. J., et al. (2011). Age-related changes of neuron numbers in the frontal cortex of a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Struct. Funct. 216, 227–237. doi: 10.1007/s00429-011-0305-1
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Maccaferri, G., and Lacaille, J. C. (2003). Interneuron Diversity series: hippocampal interneuron classifications–making things as simple as possible, not simpler. Trends Neurosci. 26, 564–571. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2003.08.002
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>McKhann, G., Drachman, D., Folstein, M., Katzman, R., Price, D., and Stadlan, E. M. (1984). Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of department of health and human services task force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 34, 939–944. doi: 10.1212/WNL.34.7.939
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Nelson, A. P., and O'Connor, M. G. (2008). Mild cognitive impairment: a neuropsychological perspective. CNS Spectr. 13, 56–64. doi: 10.1017/S1092852900016163
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Palop, J. J., Chin, J., Roberson, E. D., Wang, J., Thwin, M. T., Bien-Ly, N., et al. (2007). Aberrant excitatory neuronal activity and compensatory remodeling of inhibitory hippocampal circuits in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 55, 697–711. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.025
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Palop, J. J., and Mucke, L. (2009). Epilepsy and cognitive impairments in Alzheimer disease. Arch. Neurol 66, 435–440. doi: 10.1001/archneurol.2009.15
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Ramos, B., Baglietto-Vargas, D., del Rio, J. C., Moreno-Gonzalez, I., Santa-Maria, C., Jimenez, S., et al. (2006). Early neuropathology of somatostatin/NPY GABAergic cells in the hippocampus of a PS1xAPP transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 27, 1658–1672. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.09.022
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Saiz-Sanchez, D., De La Rosa-Prieto, C., Ubeda-Banon, I., and Martinez-Marcos, A. (2013). Interneurons and beta-amyloid in the olfactory bulb, anterior olfactory nucleus and olfactory tubercle in APPxPS1 transgenic mice model of Alzheimer's disease. Anat. Rec. (Hoboken) 296, 1413–1423. doi: 10.1002/ar.22750
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>Saiz-Sanchez, D., De la Rosa-Prieto, C., Ubeda-Banon, I., and Martinez-Marcos, A. (2014). Interneurons, tau and amyloid-beta in the piriform cortex in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Struct. Funct. doi: 10.1007/s00429-014-0771-3. [Epub ahead of print].
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Saiz-Sanchez, D., Ubeda-Banon, I., De la Rosa-Prieto, C., and Martinez-Marcos, A. (2012). Differential expression of interneuron populations and correlation

with amyloid-beta deposition in the olfactory cortex of an AbetaPP/PS1 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. J. Alzheimers Dis. 31, 113–129. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2012-111889

- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Sanchez, P. E., Zhu, L., Verret, L., Vossel, K. A., Orr, A. G., Cirrito, J. R., et al. (2012). Levetiracetam suppresses neuronal network dysfunction and reverses synaptic and cognitive deficits in an Alzheimer's disease model. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 109, E2895–E2903. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1121081109
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Shi, J. Q., Zhang, C. C., Sun, X. L., Cheng, X. X., Wang, J. B., Zhang, Y. D., et al. (2013). Antimalarial drug artemisinin extenuates amyloidogenesis and neuroinflammation in APPswe/PS1dE9 transgenic mice via inhibition of nuclear factor-kappaB and NLRP3 inflammasome activation. CNS Neurosci. Ther. 19, 262–268. doi: 10.1111/cns.12066
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Stanley, E. M., Fadel, J. R., and Mott, D. D. (2012). Interneuron loss reduces dendritic inhibition and GABA release in hippocampus of aged rats. Neurobiol. Aging 33, 431.e1–e13. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2010.12.014
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Takahashi, H., Brasnjevic, I., Rutten, B. P., Van Der Kolk, N., Perl, D. P., Bouras, C., et al. (2010). Hippocampal interneuron loss in an APP/PS1 double mutant mouse and in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Struct. Funct. 214, 145–160. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0242-4
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Verret, L., Mann, E. O., Hang, G. B., Barth, A. M., Cobos, I., Ho, K., et al. (2012). Inhibitory interneuron deficit links altered network activity and cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer model. Cell 149, 708–721. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012. 02.046
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Vossel, K. A., Beagle, A. J., Rabinovici, G. D., Shu, H., Lee, S. E., Naasan, G., et al. (2013). Seizures and epileptiform activity in the early stages of Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurol. 70, 1158–1166. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.136
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Zhang, M. Y., Zheng, C. Y., Zou, M. M., Zhu, J. W., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2014). Lamotrigine attenuates deficits in synaptic plasticity and accumulation of amyloid plaques in APP/PS1 transgenic mice. Neurobiol. Aging 35, 2713–2725. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.06.009

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Albuquerque, Mahar, Davoli, Chabot, Mechawar, Quirion and Krantic. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.