

Photosynthetic response to light and temperature in Laminaria digitata gametophytes from two French populations

Gaspard Delebecq, Dominique Davoult, Marie-Andrée Janquin, Luz Valeria Oppliger, Dominique Menu, Jean-Claude Dauvin, François Gevaert

▶ To cite this version:

Gaspard Delebecq, Dominique Davoult, Marie-Andrée Janquin, Luz Valeria Oppliger, Dominique Menu, et al.. Photosynthetic response to light and temperature in Laminaria digitata gameto-phytes from two French populations. European Journal of Phycology, 2016, 51 (1), pp.71-82. 10.1080/09670262.2015.1104556 . hal-01231401

HAL Id: hal-01231401 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01231401v1

Submitted on 25 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Photosynthetic response to light and temperature in Laminaria digitata
	gametophytes from two French populations

- 3 Running head: Stress response in *Laminaria digitata* gametophytes
- 4 Gaspard Delebecq Dominique Davoult Marie-Andrée Janquin Luz Valeria
- 5 Oppliger •Dominique Menu Jean-Claude Dauvin François Gevaert
- 6 G. Delebecq* M.-A. Janquin F. Gevaert
- 7 Université Lille1, Univ Lille Nord de France, Station Marine, 62930 Wimereux, France
- 8 e-mail: gaspard.delebecq@gmail.com
- 9 G. Delebecq D. Menu M.-A. Janquin F. Gevaert
- 10 CNRS, UMR 8187 LOG, 62930 Wimereux, France
- 11 D. Davoult
- 12 UPMC Université Paris 06, Station Biologique, 29680 Roscoff, France
- 13 D. Davoult
- 14 CNRS, UMR 7144 AD2 M, 29680 Roscoff, France
- 15 L. V. Oppliger
- 16 Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad
- 17 Católica de Chile, Post-code 6513677, Santiago, Chile.

- 18 J.-C. Dauvin
- 19 Université de Caen Basse Normandie, 14000 Caen, France
- 20 J.-C. Dauvin
- 21 CNRS, UMR 6143 M2C, 14000 Caen, France
- 22 * present address: Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Institut Universitaire Européen
- de la Mer, 29280 Plouzané, France and CNRS, UMR 6539 LEMAR, 29280, Plouzané,
- 24 France
- 25

26 Summary

Given the growing body of evidence on the general decline of kelp beds worldwide, it is 27 28 crucial to understand the physiological response of kelp gametophyte stages to 29 environmental parameters. We investigated the physiological response of gametophytes to light and temperature in two populations of Laminaria digitata occurring in two 30 31 contrasting environments along the French coast of the English Channel. Results 32 indicate that gametophytes of both populations were highly tolerant to high light through an efficient down-regulation of photosynthesis triggered by the activation of the 33 34 xanthophyll cycle. Temperature increases promoted photosynthesis and the photosystem II showed high resistance to short-term exposure to high temperatures currently 35 encountered in the field.Gametophytes from the two sites displayed some differences in 36 37 their pigment contents and photosynthetic characteristics, but low replication size and difference in time of sampling did not permit to confirm a potential local adaptation to 38 39 the light conditions at each site, as observed in previously published results on adult sporophytes. Gametophytes of L. digitata appeared to be resistant to irradiation and 40 temperature conditions currently experienced in the field, confirming their role in 41 42 persistence of kelp species under stressful environmental conditions.

43

44

Keywords: Phaeophyceae, Photosynthesis, Xanthophyll Cycle, Stress, Phenotypic
Plasticity, English Channel

49 Introduction

50

Kelps are major structural components of the cold temperate and boreal coastal

51 communities (Lüning, 1990). They form a highly productive habitat (Mann, 1973) that

52 harbours a rich biodiversity (Christie *et al.*, 2003). The ecological role of kelp is

threatened by their expected vulnerability to changes in the physical environment

54 caused by rapid climate change (Wernberg *et al.*, 2011a; Raybaud *et al.*, 2013) or

extreme events (Wernberg *et al.*, 2013), especially near range edges, where populations

are at the front line of environmental change (Wernberg *et al.*, 2011b).

57 The persistence of kelp forests mainly depends on the recruitment, growth, competition 58 and the physiological tolerance to environmental factors of the microscopic stages 59 (Reed & Foster, 1984; Ladah & Zertruche-Gonzales, 2007; Matson & Edwards, 2007), which are the crucial phases of the complex heteromorphic life cycle of kelp 60 (Sauvageau, 1915). Gametophytes and embryonic sporophytes can delay their 61 development and reproduction for several months (Carney, 2011) until favourable 62 conditions occur and therefore promote rapid recruitment in the best conditions 63 (Edwards, 2000). 64

Kelp microscopic stages are subject to environmental conditions that differ from those experienced by the macroscopic sporophytes (Reed & Foster, 1984; Martinez & Santelices, 1998) and can therefore have different physiological optima and tolerance levels (Hanelt *et al.*, 1997; Altamirano *et al.*, 2004; Matson & Edwards, 2007). Even under similar environmental conditions, the response of haploid stages differs from those of diploid stages among various taxa of macroalgae (Roleda *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2011). Hence, in the context of environmental change, enhancing the understanding 72 of the physiological tolerance of kelp must incorporate the study of their multiple lifestages, including their microscopic phases (Harley et al., 2012; Wernberg et al., 2012). 73 74 Among the potentially adverse environmental conditions, light and temperature can 75 greatly affect the development and survival of microscopic stages and their vulnerability generally determines the ecological success of the species (Bartsch et al., 2008). 76 77 Extremely high light has been shown to be adverse for the development and viability of 78 post-settlement stages (gametophytes and embryonic sporophytes) (Lüning & Neushul, 79 1978; Fetjek et al., 2011). Likewise, extreme high temperature events (such as El Niño 80 events) have been shown to affect the reproductive success of gametophytes (Ladah & Zertruche-Gonzales, 2007; Oppliger et al., 2012). 81

The photosynthetic apparatus is one of the main targets of these abiotic stressors (Walters, 2005). Rapid fluctuation of light and temperature can cause disruptive stresses (Davison & Pearson, 1996) and affect the survival of kelp gametophytes. Drastic and rapid changes in light exposure and temperature are frequently experienced within a single day (Gevaert *et al.*, 2003; Delebecq *et al.*, 2011) and especially during sunny spring tides.

Therefore, the ability to withstand stressful conditions and to recover from them is
crucial for preventing damage to the photosynthetic apparatus and maintaining
sufficient photosynthetic performance. After the onset of stressful conditions, the
regulation of energy absorption and utilization is essential (Raven & Geider, 2003).
Photoinhibition is the down-regulation of photosynthesis, whose extent is determined by
the balance between the rate of photodamage and the rate of repair of photosystem II
(PSII) (Takahashi & Murata, 2008). Photoinhibition has been observed in the field on

95 macroscopic sporophyte stages (Gevaert et al., 2003; Delebecq et al., 2011), in the 96 laboratory on zoospores (Roleda, 2009) and in the gametophytic and embryonic 97 sporophyte stages of kelp (Hanelt et al., 1997; Altamirano et al., 2004). Factors other than light, such as temperature, can accelerate photoinhibition by altering the PSII repair 98 mechanisms (Takahashi & Murata, 2008). Toxic active derivatives of oxygen (oxygen 99 100 radicals), byproducts of photosynthesis, can also be over-produced under adverse 101 environmental conditions (when exceeding the scavenging potential of cells) and can 102 alter the biological integrity of cells (Ledford & Niyogi, 2005; Allakverdiev et al., 103 2008).

To cope with excess light, energy is dissipated in the form of heat to rapidly regulate 104 105 light harvesting; this mechanism is widespread in photoautotrophs (Raven & Geider, 106 2003). Increased thermal energy dissipation of excess light involves the xanthophyll cycle in brown algae, which plays a major role in the fast-dynamic acclimation to 107 108 change in light, temperature and desiccation in macroscopic sporophytes (Fernandez-109 Marin et al., 2011). However, the implication of xanthophyll cycle in the 110 photoprotection process in kelp gametophytes has only been mentioned (Hanelt *et al.*, 111 1997; Altamirano et al., 2004), without being clearly demonstrated.

Laminaria digitata Hudson Lamouroux (1813), a kelp species of high ecological and
economical value, has been shown to be retreating from several sites along the French
coasts (Arzel, 1998; Davoult *et al.*, 2011), sparking research to determine the reasons
for this decline. Physical stress and environmental changes may contribute to a
reduction in the fitness of the gametophytic developmental stages of *L. digitata*. In this
study, we tested the sensitivity of *L. digitata* gametophytes to changing photon flux
density and temperature. The investigations were carried out on two populations of *L*.

119	digitata along the French coast of the English Channel inhabiting contrasting
120	environmental conditions (Delebecq et al., 2013). The differences in local
121	environmental conditions may result in different sensitivities among the populations
122	that need to be taken into account in understanding the effect of environmental factors
123	on organisms. In this study, we also measured the role of the xanthophyll cycle in the
124	non-photochemical quenching in gametophytes of L. digitata in response to excess
125	light.

127 Materials and Methods

128 Study site

A complete description of the two sites and environmental conditions during 129 130 experiments is given in Delebecq et al., (2013); consequently, we describe only the 131 main characteristics of both sites here. We collected the seaweed material from two 132 populations of *L. digitata*, growing in the upper subtidal zone (0-1 m) of a rocky shore in Roscoff (48°5'N, 3°6'W) and in Wissant (50°5'N, 1°4'E), located in the western and 133 eastern part of the English Channel, respectively. The sites were selected for their large 134 135 kelp stands and also for their contrasting environmental conditions. Light attenuation (m^{-1}) of photosynthetically active radiation (400–700 nm) ranged from 0.09 to 0.57 m⁻ 136 ¹in Roscoff and from 0.19 to 0.96 m⁻¹ in Wissant (Delebecq *et al.*, 2013) due to high 137 138 turbidity in the eastern English Channel. Seawater surface temperature displays high 139 seasonal variation at both sites, with a slightly broader annual temperature range in Wissant, from 5°C in winter to 20°C in summer, than in Roscoff, from 8°C in winter to 140 141 17°C in summer, due to the influence of the North Atlantic Ocean and depth of the

142 continental shelf. Environmental conditions in the two sites at the time of collection and
143 1 month prior to sampling are given in Table 1.

144 Sampling and culture conditions

Fertile sporophytes of L. digitata were collected at low tide in November 2008 in 145 Roscoff, and in February 2009 in Wissant. L. digitata is reproductive most of the year in 146 147 Roscoff, but the main spore/gamete release events generally occur in August-September 148 and November-December in Northern Brittany (Arzel, 1998). In Wissant, L. digitata is 149 reproductive at the end of winter and throughout spring. Mature sori were cut, cleaned, 150 and dried at 10°C and in the dark for several hours. Sori were subsequently washed with 151 distilled water and sterile seawater, and placed in 50 mL Falcon tubes (BD Biosciences, 152 San Jose, CA, USA), filled with sterile Provasoli enriched seawater (PES) (Provasoli, 1968), and maintained overnight in the dark on a rotary shaking table to induce 153 154 zoospore release. Zoospores in suspension were checked with inverted-light microscope 155 to ensure that zoospores were viable, before being placed in Petri dishes (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Zoospores were allowed to settle and developing 156 gametophytes were cultured in thermostatic chamber at 10°C under an irradiance of 35 157 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (photon flux density, PFD, 400-700 nm), produced by fluorescent 158 159 tubes (L8W/840, cool white, Osram, Germany) in a light:dark cycle 12:12 h. PFD was 160 measured with a cosine-corrected quantum sensor (Li-192SA, LiCor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA), connected to a data logger (Li 1400, LiCor, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). 161 162 The medium was changed once a week. Experiments started approximately after 1 month of cultivation when the density was high enough for fluorescence measurements. 163 The density of the gametophytes was 4711 ± 1140 ind m⁻² for Roscoff ($43 \pm 3\%$ cover) 164 and 3600 ± 691 ind m⁻² for Wissant (41 ± 7% cover). Gametophytes were composed of 165

166 few cells and arranged as homogenous thick layers at the bottom of the Petri dishes.

167 Gametophytes were isolated from three different parents at each site (n = 3) and were

168 cultivated separately to ensure independent replicates.

169 *Oxygen production*

170	Dark respiration (R_d) and net oxygen production (NP) was measured polarographically
171	at culture temperature (10°C), using a water-jacket thermostatic DW2/2 chamber
172	combined with a "Clark-type" oxygen electrode and a computer-interfaced box CB1
173	(Hansatech Instruments, Kings Lynn, Norfolk, UK). The gametophytes were first
174	detached from the substrate and resuspended several days prior to the experiment.
175	Detaching gametophytes from their substrate does not affect their growth, their
176	photosynthetic performance or their respiration (Fain & Murray, 1982). The
177	gametophytes were held 12 h in the dark prior to the experiment, and then placed in the
178	measurement chamber filled with 2 mL of PES and mixed with a magnetic stirrer. To
179	prevent oxygen saturation, a quarter of the medium was renewed after each light step.
180	To do so, a silk filtering mesh was used to retain the gametophytes in the media.
181	Respiration was measured in the dark, and gametophytes then underwent light-
182	increasing steps of 20 min each (13 light steps, ranging from 2.5 to 500 μ mol photons
183	$m^{-2} s^{-1}$) using a halogen lamp (KL 2500 LCD, Schott, Germany) with a daylight cut-off
184	filter (Schott, Germany). R_d , NP and gross oxygen production (GP) rates were
185	calculated based on fresh weight (FW, μ mol O ₂ g_{FW}^{-1} h ⁻¹) and chlorophyll <i>a</i> content (chl
186	<i>a</i> , μ mol O ₂ nmoles chl <i>a</i> ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ ,). FW was measured after collecting gametophytes on a
187	silk filtering mesh that had previously been weighed.

188 *Fluorescence*

189 *In vivo* chl *a* fluorescence of the photosystem II (PSII) of gametophytes was measured 190 using an underwater fluorometer (diving PAM; Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). The 191 optimal quantum yield (F_v/F_m) of PSII (Genty *et al.*, 1989), a measure of the maximum 192 efficiency of PSII, was measured using a 0.8 s saturating pulse (2500 µmol photons m⁻² 193 s⁻¹) of white light. We calculated the relative F_v/F_m ratio (rel. F_v/F_m) by dividing all data 194 by the initial value measured at the beginning of the experiment in the dark.

195 The effective quantum yield of PSII (ϕ_{PSII}), the efficiency of PSII photochemistry, was

196 measured using a custom-made clip for Petri dishes to ensure a constant distance of 5

197 mm between the probe and the sample. The ϕ_{PSII} was calculated according to Genty *et*

198 *al.* (1989) and used to estimate the linear electron transport rate (relative electron

transport rate, *rETR*) (Gevaert *et al.*, 2003), an estimator of photosynthesis.

200 Non-photochemical quenching (*NPQ*) indicates thermal dissipation of excess light in

the PSII antennae, a photoprotective mechanism. We assumed that a stable NPQ level is

reached after a 10-min illumination period, as shown in several microalgal species

203 (Casper-Lindley & Björkman, 1998). F_m values measured under very weak irradiance

were higher than F_m values measured after dark-adaptation; therefore NPQ values were

computed using the higher F_m value instead of F_m (Serodio *et al.*, 2005).

rETR and NPQ were measured on gametophytes at the end of each light-increasing step of 10 min each (12 light steps, ranging from 2.5 to 250 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). The NPQ value measured under the maximal irradiance is referred to as NPQ₂₅₀.

209 P-I curves, rETR-I

The light-saturated maximum rate of GP (P_{max}), the light-saturated maximum rate of relative electron transfer (*rETR_{max}*), the light-limited initial slope (α), and the saturation onset irradiance level (I_k) were calculated by plotting computed oxygen production rates and *rETR* against irradiance. P_{max} represents the maximal oxygen production, including all photosynthetic processes, while *rETR_{max}* is an estimation of the linear electron transfer in PSII, an indication of the overall photosynthetic capacity. Data were fitted using the model of Eilers & Peeters (1988) to each replicate with a least-square regression, using the Simplex method in the Statistica computer package (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

219 *Response to high irradiance*

220 To study high light stress, the settled gametophytes in a Petri dish filled with PES at cultivation temperature were exposed to 500 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 2 h, and then, to 221 dim light (10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) to allow recovery. F_{ν}/F_m , ϕ_{PSII} , *rETR* and *NPQ* were 222 measured every 30 min. Samples for pigment analyses were simultaneously collected at 223 224 the start of the experiment, at the end of the light stress, and 2 h after the return to dim 225 light. Gametophytes were detached from the substrate and the gametophyte suspension 226 was filtered on a 20 µm silk filtering mesh and subsequently deep-frozen in liquid nitrogen for further pigment analyses. 227

228 *Response to temperature*

First, *rETR-I* curves were constructed for gametophytes acclimated 4 h at four different temperatures (5°C, 10°C, 15°C and 20°C) controlled by a thermo fluid circulator bath. During acclimation, F_{ν}/F_m was measured hourly. Then, gametophytes underwent a progressive increase and decrease temperatures (2°C every 15 min) with an initial temperature set at 10°C. F_{ν}/F_m was measured at the end of each temperature step. 235 Pigment contents of gametophytes were extracted by sonication and grinding in a cold 236 mortar with methanol and methylene chloride. Extracts were centrifuged and 237 supernatants were collected and dry-evaporated under nitrogen. Salt contents of the extracts were removed and the organic phase was evaporated and dissolved in methanol 238 for injection. Pigment analysis was performed by high performance liquid 239 240 chromatography (HPLC) (Beckman, system Gold, 126) with a reverse-phase column (C 18 Allure, Restek). Separation was performed with a solvent delivery profile adapted 241 242 from Arsalane et al., (1994). Pigment contents were quantified using specific absorption 243 coefficients and normalised to the total pigment content. The conversion of violaxanthin into antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin was estimated by calculating the de-epoxidation 244 245 ratio (DR):

246 DR = (antheraxanthin + zeaxanthin) / (violaxanthin + antheraxanthin + zeaxanthin)

247 Total chl *a* concentrations were normalised to the FW of samples. Fucoxanthin and chl

248 c pigment concentrations normalised to chl a (moles per 100 mol of chl a) were pooled,

and referred to as the antenna pigment pool. Violaxanthin, antheraxanthin and

250 zeaxanthin concentrations normalised to chl *a* were pooled, referred to as the

251 xanthophyll cycle pool.

252 Statistical analyses

Student's *t*-test (*t*) (with Welch correction) was used to test for the difference between means of the photosynthetic parameters (P_{max} , $rETR_{max}$, α et I_k), the F_v/F_m , *NPQ* values and pigment contents after the dataset was tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965). Response to light or temperature was fitted and response parameters were compared using Student's *t*-test. The DR response was compared

258	within sites using a <i>t</i> -test for paired samples $(t_{(p)})$ after checking the data for normality.
259	Adjustment of P values for multiple testing was done using the Holm method. The
260	effect of temperature on the response parameters of light-response curves was analysed
261	using a PERMANOVA (Anderson, 2001) in the vegan package of R software. Post hoc
262	power analysis $(1-\beta)$ were performed on non significant results using G*Power software
263	which automatically determine the effect size (Faul et al., 2007). All experimental units
264	had three replicates $(n = 3)$.

266 **Results**

267 Comparison of the photosynthetic activity between the two sites

Gametophytes from the two sites showed differences in their photosynthetic parameters 268 (Fig. 1). When normalized to chl a, $P_{max(chla)}$ values, the light-saturated maximal gross 269 oxygen production values, were higher in Roscoff than in Wissant (t = 8.0, Holm-270 adjusted P = 0.003), with 0.27 \pm 0.01 µmol O₂. nmoles chl a^{-1} h⁻¹ in Wissant, and 0.95 \pm 271 0.15 μ mol O₂ nmoles chl a^{-1} h⁻¹ in Roscoff (Fig. 1 C). *rETR_{max}* values (22.74 ± 5.37 272 μ mol e⁻ m⁻² s⁻¹ in Wissant and 15.90 \pm 0.77 μ mol e⁻ m⁻² s⁻¹ in Roscoff), the light-273 saturated maximal electron transfer rates, and $P_{max(FW)}$ on a FW basis (56.47 ± 13.18) 274 μ mol O₂ g_{FW}⁻¹ h⁻¹ in Wissant and 38.33 ± 1.12 μ mol O₂ g_{FW}⁻¹ h⁻¹ in Roscoff),were not 275 significantly different between two sites (t = 2.9, Holm-adjusted P = 0.133 for $rETR_{max}$; 276 t = 2.8, Holm-adjusted P = 0.142 for $P_{max(FW)}$ values) (Fig. 1 A-B), likely due to low 277 statistical power resulting from small sample sizes (0.39 for $rETR_{max}$ and 0.32 for 278 279 $P_{max(FW)}$).

280 The same pattern appeared for the ascending slope under light limitation (α values). $\alpha_{(chla)}$ values were significantly higher in Roscoff than in Wissant (respectively 0.005 ± 281 282 0.001 in Wissant and 0.023 \pm 0.002 in Roscoff) (t = 13.9, Holm-adjusted P = 0.001) when expressed on a chl a basis, whereas no differences were observed when expressed 283 in electron transport rates or when expressed on a FW basis (respectively 0.37 ± 0.06 in 284 Wissant and 0.40 \pm 0.03 in Roscoff; t = 0.68, Holm-adjusted P = 0.534 for $\alpha_{(rETR)}$ and 285 1.15 ± 0.17 in Wissant and 0.99 ± 0.09 in Roscoff t = 1.39, Holm-adjusted P = 0.305 for 286 287 $\alpha_{(FW)}$) which can also result from very low statistical power (respectively 0.09 and 0.19). 288 Considering the light saturation parameter (I_k) , no significant differences were found between the two sites but the low statistical powers suggest that there were not enough 289 replication to resolve the differences (t = 1.95, Holm-adjusted P = 0.245 and $1-\beta = 0.30$ 290 291 for $Ik_{(rETR)}$, t = 1.77, Holm-adjusted P = 0.152 and $1 - \beta = 0.28$ for $Ik_{(FW)}$ and t = 1.13, Holm-adjusted P = 0.322 and $1 - \beta = 0.14$ for $Ik_{(chla)}$). Despite this lack of significant 292 differences, average *Ik* values in gametophytes from Wissant were always greater than 293 *Ik* values in gametophytes from Roscoff (62.67 ± 20.20 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 294 Wissant, and $39.71 \pm 1.73 \ \mu mol \ photons \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ in Roscoff on an electron rate basis; 295 49.44 ± 9.83 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in Wissant, and 38.83 ± 3.42 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 296 Roscoff on a FW basis and 52.39 ± 13.49 in Wissant and 41.98 ± 8.56 in Roscoff on a 297 chl *a* basis). 298 Despite high irradiance (500 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) in comparison with the culture 299

300 conditions, there was no decrease in oxygen production observed.

301 *Comparison of pigment contents*

302	Pigment contents were similar at both sites (Table 2) except for chl c and violaxanthin
303	contents, which were higher in Wissant than in Roscoff ($t = 4.69$, Holm-adjusted $P =$
304	0.047 for chl <i>c</i> and $t = 4.26$, Holm-adjusted $P = 0.047$ for violaxanthin). When
305	expressed on a total pigment content basis, no differences were observed in chl a
306	contents in both sites, but chl a contents were slightly lower in Wissant and statistical
307	power was low (0.33). Therefore higher ΣXC :chl <i>a</i> pigment ratio may have been
308	expected in Wissant, but the lack of significant difference is believed to be the result of
309	a low statistical power (0.53).
310	When chl <i>a</i> contents were expressed per unit fresh weight (respectively 47.74 ± 6.63
311	nmoles g_{FW}^{-1} in Roscoff and 155.95 \pm 38.22 nmoles g_{FW}^{-1} in Wissant), they were
312	significantly higher in Wissant ($t = 4.83$, Holm-adjusted $P = 0.036$).
313	Comparison of photoprotective capacities

- 314 *NPQ* was measured along with *rETR* (**Fig. 2**). *NPQ* values after prolonged darkness (12
- h, corresponding to the end of the dark period of culture conditions) were higher than
- those under weak irradiances. Maximal F_m values were reached under an average

317 irradiance of 20 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in Roscoff, and 50 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in

318 Wissant.

- 319 *NPQ* progressively developed with increasing irradiance. Maximal *NPQ* values
- 320 (*NPQ*₂₅₀) were reached at the highest applied irradiance (250 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and
- 321 these values were significantly higher in gametophytes from Roscoff than in
- 322 gametophytes from Wissant (t = 3.5, Holm-adjusted P = 0.047), with NPQ_{250} values of
- 323 3.80 ± 0.42 in Roscoff and of 2.37 ± 0.56 in Wissant.

324 Intrinsic efficiency of PSII was altered in both populations, as indicated by the strong 325 decline of rel. F_{ν}/F_m values (Fig. 3 A) when gametophytes were exposed to 500 µmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ for 2 h. It reached a constant level, decreasing by 70% of the initial 326 F_{ν}/F_{m} value after 2 h in both populations. In dim light, F_{ν}/F_{m} recovered at a level within 327 328 5% of the initial F_{ν}/F_m value after 1.5 h in Roscoff and after 4 h in Wissant. 329 During light stress, there was an increase in DR in gametophytes from Roscoff and from 330 Wissant, corresponding to the progressive de-epoxidation of violaxanthin into 331 antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin ($t_{(p)} = 7.9$, Holm-adjusted P = 0.025 for gametophytes 332 from Wissant and $t_{(p)} = 8.9$, Holm-adjusted P = 0.025 for gametophytes from Roscoff) (Fig. 3 B). The increase in intrinsic efficiency of PSII under dim light was accompanied 333 334 by a decrease in the DR values in gametophytes from Roscoff ($t_{(p)} = 11.6$, Holm-335 adjusted P = 0.022), indicating the reconversion of zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin into violaxanthin. In spite of the difference in the absolute values of DR measured at both 336 337 sites after 2 h of strong illumination, the percent increase in DR was similar at both sites with an increase of 160% of the initial DR values. There was no significant decrease in 338 339 DR values in Wissant after 2 h under dim light.

340 *Comparison of the response to temperature*

Increasing the temperature resulted in a decrease in α values in Wissant (Fig. 4 A), and

- an increase in rETR_{max} and I_k values for both sites (respectively Fig. 4 B and 4 C)
- 343 (**Table 3**).

NPQ₂₅₀ was calculated at the end of each *rETR-E* curves (**Fig. 4 D**). When exposed to 20°C, there was a slight decrease in the NPQ_{250} values, in comparison with the values reached at 10°C. The sensitivity of F_v/F_m to temperature was tested on a broad range of temperature (**Fig.** 5). At 10°C, F_v/F_m values in the dark were 0.52 ± 0.09 in Roscoff and 0.53 ± 0.06 in Wissant. With the progressive increase in temperature (from 10°C to 32°C), the rel. F_v/F_m declined for temperatures greater than 20°C. There were no differences between sites in the response parameters from the regression analysis.

352

353

354 Discussion

355 Microscopic stages are thought to be the hardiest life-cycle stages of kelp (tom Dieck 356 1993). They form a seed bank which persists through stressful environmental conditions and ensures the persistence of species when unfavourable conditions occur, as it may 357 358 happen during unusual heat waves (Ladah & Zertuche-Gonzales, 2007, Bartsch et al., 2013). Therefore, a great tolerance of *L. digitata* gametophytes to stressful 359 360 environmental conditions is essential. In this study, we tested their physiological 361 tolerance to irradiation and temperature stress in two populations with different environmental conditions along the French coast. The main result of the present study is 362 363 that gametophytes of *L. digitata* were highly resistant to the irradiation and temperature 364 treatments that might be locally encountered in the field. It is reinforced by the fact that 365 sori were sampled during months when irradiation and temperature stress are not 366 prevalent: their temperature and irradiation resistance may be even higher during 367 summer.

We set out to study the incidence of high light stress on the photosynthesis of *L. digitata* gametophytes. Our results demonstrate that gametophytes can cope with prolonged high 370 irradiance stress, confirming the great potential for high light tolerance in kelp 371 gametophytes (Iizumi & Sakanishi, 1994; Hanelt et al., 1997; Altamirano et al., 2004). 372 This tolerance arises from the efficient thermal dissipation of excess light (NPQ) and, mainly, through the formation of antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin, which induce the 373 conformational change in the light harvesting complexes (LHC) II (Jahns & Holzwarth, 374 375 2009). This zeaxanthin-dependent quenching is a slow component of NPO, and Garcia-Mendoza & Colombo-Pallota (2007) have shown that kelp may lack the fast energy or 376 377 pH-dependent quenching. However, the large xanthophyll cycle pigment pool may 378 accelerate NPQ development and thus compensate for this lack. Under dim light, epoxidation of zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin was virtually complete in less than 1 h. 379 380 The slowly relaxing component of photoinhibition generally corresponds to the progressive re-activation of PSII or possibly to a conformational change of the LHC, 381 and probably the aggregation if LHC due to zeaxanthin binding (Garcia-Mendoza & 382 Colombo-Pallotta, 2007). Therefore, our study confirms that this efficient reversible 383 conversion between violaxanthin, antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin in gametophytes 384 385 (previously suggested by Hanelt et al., 1997 and Altamirano et al., 2004) is a 386 widespread mechanism of fast-dynamic acclimation to abiotic stress in macroalgae (Fernandez-Marin et al., 2011). 387

388 Another possible mechanism protecting *L. digitata* gametophytes from rapid light

fluctuations is the maintenance of *NPQ* in the dark, a mechanism previously observed in

390 microphytobenthos (Serôdio et al., 2005) and in several phytoplankton species (Casper-

- Lindley & Björkman, 1998; Cruz *et al.*, 2011). In *Pelvetia canaliculata*, the *NPQ* of chl
- a fluorescence in the dark depends on the activation of violaxanthin de-epoxidase

393 (Fernandez-Marin *et al.*, 2011), which is triggered by acidification of the lumen due to

metabolic activity in the dark (Cruz *et al.*, 2011). This activation may thus sustain ATP
synthase activity (Casper-Lindley & Björkman, 1998; Serôdio *et al.*, 2005), and prevent
the formation of oxygen radicals. *NPQ* in the dark may therefore represent a type of
sustained photoprotection, maintaining a dissipative state through pre-formed
zeaxanthin or antheraxanthin, as observed here.

399 Acclimation to higher irradiances than the culture irradiance used in this experiment 400 and/or an intermittent light exposure may have also provided a higher tolerance to the two- hour light stress of 500 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Han and Kain, 1996; Lavaud *et al.*, 401 402 2002). Along the French coast of the English Channel, irradiance of 500 µmol photons m^{-2} s⁻¹ and more can be recorded during spring and summer low spring tides (Gevaert *et* 403 404 al., 2003; Delebecq et al., 2011; Delebecq et al., 2013), but actual incident irradiances 405 are generally deeply attenuated by the sporophyte canopy (Gerard, 1984) which may hypothesized that gametophytes display a great tolerance to high light. 406

407 This study also highlighted that the photosynthetic performance of *L. digitata*

408 gametophytes were not negatively affected by short-term fluctuations in water

409 temperature as it may be locally encountered in the field during periods of low tide.

410 Gametophyte PSII — essential for the whole photochemistry process (Havaux & Tardy,

411 1996; Roleda, 2009) — shows high resistance to the temperatures currently encountered

412 in the field. Increased temperature promotes electron transfer via the PSII through an

413 increase in thylakoid membrane fluidity (Havaux & Tardy 1996) and results in an

414 increase in maximum relative electron transfer rates ($rETR_{max}$) and in the onset of

- 415 saturation parameters (I_k) , as observed here. An increase in gross oxygen production
- 416 with temperature can therefore be expected up to an optimum (Sukenik *et al.*, 1987),

417	since the relationship electron transport rate and gross oxygen production is robust,
418	provided that the temperature changes are not extremes (Morris & Kromkamp, 2003).
419	Above an optimal temperature (above 20°C in this study), a complex set of inactivation
420	and denaturation occur in thylakoïd, accompanied by the generation of elevated levels
421	of reactive oxygen species which reduces photosynthetic capacity (Sharkey, 2005;
422	Allakhverdiev et al., 2008) and also the ability to cope with high light stress (Roleda,
423	2009). Superoptimal temperature also promotes electron-consuming processes (such as
424	cyclic electron transfer) and dark respiration (Fain & Murray, 1982; Sharkey, 2005;
425	Henkel & Hofmann, 2008) which can result in negative net photosynthesis.
426	However, short-term temperature responses do not provide insight into long-term
427	individual survival with regard to temperature: temperature optima for photosynthesis
428	can be several degrees higher than temperature optima for survival and growth
429	(Davison, 1991) as it is not possible to evaluate the extent of sublethal stress.
430	Concerning L. digitata gametophytes, tom Diek (1993) showed that they were able to
431	survive 8 weeks at 23°C, demonstrating that gametophytes are moderately resistant to
432	temperature extremes that are rarely encountered across its geographic range. Moreover,
433	there is seasonal acclimation of the temperature response of gametophytes (Lee &
434	Brinkhuis, 1988) and temperature resistance of PSII may be even higher in
435	gametophytes sampled in warmer months or acclimated to higher temperatures
436	(Mohring <i>et al.</i> , 2013).
437	

The two sites from which gametophytes were obtained were known to display differentseasonal range of abiotic conditions (Berx & Hughes, 2009; Delebecq et al 2013), and a

440 strong photoacclimation to local environmental conditions of sporophytes of L. digitata 441 from the two same sites was previously highlighted (Delebecq et al., 2013). Here, we 442 found some differences in the physiological characteristics of gametophytes between the 443 two sites, however the small replication did not exhibit the full physiological diversity of the populations investigated, and replication was clearly not sufficient to resolve the 444 445 differences as indicated by the very low statistical power of our analysis (never exceeding 53%). Moreover, results in the present study are confounded by the different 446 447 environmental conditions at the time of sampling in the two sites (Table 1) that may 448 influence the physiological response of gametophyte even after one month cultivation under lab conditions, depending on the time-scale of acclimation to new light and 449 450 temperature treatments. More information on seasonal changes that can occur in the gametophytes from Roscoff and Wissant would be necessary for a more complete 451 picture of the gametophyte response in the English Channel taking into account the 452 453 variation of the whole set of past and present environmental conditions, and to test if the photosynthetic characteristics measured in sporophytes may be conserved in 454 455 gametophytes.

The higher chl *a* concentrations and fucoxanthin contents in gametophytes from 456 457 Wissant may suggest a greater light-harvesting efficiency and a higher density of reaction centres (Gerard, 1988), which generally result in higher photosynthetic rates. 458 459 Although maximal photosynthetic rates ($rETR_{max}$ and P_{maxFW}) in Wissant were not 460 significantly different from those in Roscoff, it is believed to result of low statistical power, as indicated by the differences observed in $P_{maxchla}$. Hence, $P_{maxchla}$ was higher in 461 462 Roscoff than in Wissant, indicating less efficient light use per chl a molecule in the 463 gametophytes from Wissant. This difference may be due to the self-shading of the LHC,

464	i.e. the fact that light absorption do not increase despite a higher pigment concentration
465	(Falkowski & Raven, 1997). Daily underwater irradiances were shown to be similar in
466	both sites at the time of sampling (Delebecq et al., 2013). As thermal acclimation is
467	known to induce change in photoacclimation status (Machalek et al., 1996), those
468	differences in photosynthetic characteristics may be partly due to the lower sea surface
469	temperature in Wissant at the time of sampling (Table 1), if initial temperature
470	encountered in the field still influence gametophyte's response to light, even after one
471	month cultivation.
472	Then, the larger violaxanthin content in Wissant may compensate for the large antenna
473	size (higher fucoxanthin contents) which is known to decrease the probability of
474	encounters between violaxanthin and violaxanthin de-epoxidase and the speed of de-
475	epoxidation under high light (Garcia-Mendoza & Colomba-Palotta, 2007). It may also
476	be due to the faster changing light conditions imposed by higher light attenuation in
477	Wissant. Violaxanthin is also involved in the response to other abiotic stressors (Havaux
478	& Tardy, 1996; Fernandez-Marin et al., 2011). Thus, a larger pool of violaxanthin may
478 479	& Tardy, 1996; Fernandez-Marin <i>et al.</i> , 2011). Thus, a larger pool of violaxanthin may help gametophytes from Wissant to cope with other abiotic stressors not tested in this

480

study.

We found no differences in the temperature response of the two sites with respect to the resistance of PSII quantum efficiency and increasing temperature. We expected that the wider temperature range encountered in Wissant may have induced a difference in thermostability of PSII. The small difference in temperature range may have not been sufficient to produce detectable differences. Moreover, at the time of sampling, temperature was lower in Wissant (5°C) than in Roscoff (12°C) and this difference may
have influenced the photosynthetic response of gametophytes in our study (Lee &
Brinkhuis, 1988; Mohring *et al.*, 2013). Beside thermal conditions and sampling time,
the low replication size of our experiments may have been not sufficient to draw a well
supported conclusion on the lack of difference between the two sites.

492 Regarding the temperature response of gametophytes, Bolton & Lüning (1982)

493 suggested that *Laminaria* species may show sufficient phenotypic plasticity to adjust to

the temperature range along its distribution and is not composed of differentiated

temperature-adapted ecotypes throughout its range. However, notwithstanding similar

496 growth patterns, physiological differences in the response to temperature may

497 nevertheless occur among populations of perennial brown seaweeds (Henkel &

Hofmann, 2008; Staehr & Wernberg, 2009). In order to test for difference in

temperature response of the *L. digitata*'s populations along the French coast, further

500 experiments need to compare gametophytes from (1) the two sites at different times of

the year during their respective sporulation periods (Mohring *et al.*, 2013) and (2) sites

with more contrasting temperature ranges (Staehr & Wernberg, 2009). More generally,

503 investigating the joint effect of light and temperature requires a multifactorial

504 experiment (Fredersdorf *et al.*, 2009).

505 Gametophytes appeared to be resistant to the light and temperature conditions currently

506 experienced in the field. Regarding vulnerability to environmental conditions,

507 physiological studies on the interactive effects of multiple abiotic stressors are required

to improve our understanding of the microscopic stages in kelp (Fredersdorf *et al.*,

509 2009). For instance, desiccation tolerance (Contreras-Porcia *et al.*, 2012), ultraviolet

510 radiation (Roleda et al., 2006) and burial in sediment deposition (Roleda et al., 2011) all 511 affect microscopic stages. While the southern geographic range-limit of L. digitata is 512 thought to be set by inhibition of reproduction, as it was observed by Bartsch et al. 513 (2013) when temperature reaches 18°C, survival of microscopic stages remains essential to cope with unusual stressful conditions. There is also an important need to obtain 514 515 more information on the persistence of these stages in the field. It could help in defining which environmental conditions are really encountered in the field by the different 516 517 microscopic developmental stages. Despite the present study failed to report local variation in the physiological response of *L. digitata*, it remains essential to take into 518 account local variation in predicting the impact of fast-changing conditions in coastal 519 520 areas.

521

522

523 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the BEDIM Team of the Station Biologique de Roscoff and 524 especially M. Valero and C. Destombe for their valuable support and also for receiving, 525 526 and providing seaweed material. We also thank the Service Mer et Observation at the Station Biologique de Roscoff and A. Migné for their valuable support during field 527 528 sampling. We greatly thank Marie Cachera for her help in statistical analyses. This study was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR ECOKELP). We 529 530 thank the three reviewers for their remarks and advice that helped to improve the manuscript. 531

References

534	Allakhverdiev, S.I., Kreslavski, V.D., Klimov, V.V., Los, D.A., Carpentier, R.,
535	Mohanty, P. (2008). Heat stress: an overview of molecular responses in
536	photosynthesis. Photosynthesis Research, 98: 541-550.
537	Altamirano, M., Murakami, A., Kawai, H. (2004). High light stress in the Kelp Ecklonia
538	cava. Aquatic Botany, 79: 125-135.
539	Anderson (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance.
540	Austral Ecology, 26: 32-46.
541	Arsalane, W., Rousseau, B., Duval, J.C. (1994). Influence of the pool size of the
542	xanthophyll cycle on the effect of light stress in a Diatom: competition between
543	photoprotection and photoinhibition. Photochemistry and Photobiology, 60: 237-
544	243.
545	Arzel, P. (1998). Les laminaires sur les côtes bretonnes. Evolution de l'exploitation et
546	de la flottille de pêche, état actuel et perspectives, Plouzané, France.
547	Bartsch, I., Wiencke, C., Bischof, K., Buchholz, C., Buck, B. H., Eggert, A., Feuerpfeil,
548	P., Hanelt, D., Jacobsen, S., Karez, R., Karsten, U., Molis, M., Roleda, M.,
549	Schumann, R., Schubert, H., Valentin, K.U., Weinberger, F., Wiese, J. (2008).
550	The genus Laminaria sensu lato: recent insights and developments. European
551	Journal of Phycology, 43: 1-86.
552	Bartsch, I., Vogt, J., Pehlke, C., Hanlet, D. (2013). Prevailing surface temperature
553	inhibit summer reproduction of the kelp Laminaria digitata at Helgoland (North
554	Sea). Journal of Phycology, 49: 1061-1073.

555	Berx, B., Hughes, S.L. (2009). Climatology of surface and near-bed temperature and
556	salinity on the North-West European continental shelf for 1971-2000.
557	Continental Shelf Research, 29: 2286-2292.
558	Carney, L.T. (2011). A multispecies laboratory assessment of rapid sporophyte
559	recruitment from delayed kelp gametophytes. Journal of Phycology, 47: 244-
560	251.
561	Bolton, J.J., Lüning, K. (1982). Optimal growth and maximal survival temperatures of
562	Atlantic Laminaria species (Phaeophyta) in culture. Marine Biology, 66: 89-94.
563	Casper-Lindley, C., Björkman, O. (1998). Fluorescence quenching in four unicellular
564	algae with different light-harvesting and xanthophyll-cycle pigments.
565	Photosynthesis Research, 56: 277-289.
566	Christie, H., Jorgensen, N.M., Norderhaug, K.M., Waage-Nielsen, E., (2003). Species
567	distribution and habitat exploitation of fauna associated with kelp (Laminaria
568	hyperborea) along the Norwegian Coast. Journal of the Marine Biological
569	Association of the United Kingdom 83: 687-699.
570	Contreras-Porcia, L., Callejas, S., Thomas, D., Sordet, C., Pohnert, G., Contreras, A.,
571	Lafuente, A., Flores-Molina, M., Correa, J. (2012). Seaweeds early
572	development: detrimental effects of desiccation and attenuation by algal extracts.
573	<i>Planta</i> , 235: 337-348.
574	Cruz, S., Goss, R., Wilhelm, C., Leegood, R., Horton, P., Jakob, T. (2011). Impact of
575	chlororespiration on non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence
576	and on the regulation of the diadinoxanthin cycle in the diatom Thalassiosira
577	pseudonana. Journal of Experimental Botany, 62: 509-519.

578	Davison IR (1991) Environmental effects on algal photosynthesis: temperature. Journal
579	of Phycology, 27: 2-8.
580	Davison, I.R., Pearson, G.A. (1996). Stress tolerance in intertidal seaweeds. Journal of
581	Phycology, 32: 197-211.
582	Davoult, D., Engel, C.R., Arzel, P., Knoch, D., Laurans, M. (2011). Environmental
583	factors and commercial harvesting:exploring possible links behind the decline of
584	the kelp Laminaria digitata in Brittany, France. Cahiers de biologie marine, 52:
585	429-434.
586	Delebecq, G., Davoult, D., Menu, D., Janquin, MA., Migné, A., Dauvin, JC.,
587	Gevaert, F. (2011). In situ photosynthetic performance of Laminaria digitata
588	(Phaeophyceae) during spring tides in Northern Brittany. Cahiers de Biologie
589	Marine, 52: 405-414.
590	Delebecq, G., Davoult, D., Menu, D., Janquin, MA., Dauvin, J.C., Gevaert, F. (2013).
591	Influence of local environmental conditions on the seasonal acclimation process
592	and the daily integrated production rates of Laminaria digitata (Phaeophyta) in
593	the English Channel. Marine Biology, 160: 503-517.
594	tom Dieck (Bartsch), I. (1993). Temperature tolerance and survival in darkness of kelp
595	gametophytes (Laminariales, Phaeophyta): ecological and biogeographical
596	implications. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 100: 253-264.
597	Edwards, M.S., (2000). The role of alternate life-history stages of a marine macroalga: a
598	seed bank analogue? Ecology 81(9): 2404-2415.
599	Eilers, P.H.C., Peeters, J.C.H. (1988). A model for the relationship between light
600	intensity and the rate of photosynthesis in phytoplankton. Ecological Modelling,
601	42: 199-215.

603	and dark respiration of gametophytes and embryonic sporophytes of Macrocystis
604	pyrifera. Journal of Phycology, 18: 92-98.
605	Falkowski, P.G., Raven, J.A. (1997). Aquatic photosynthesis, Malden, Massachusetts.
606	Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, AG., Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible
607	statistical power analysis program for the social, behavorial and biomedical
608	sciences. Behavior Research Methods, 39: 175-191.
609	Fejtek, S.M., Edwards, M.S., Kim, K.Y. (2011). Elk Kelp, Pelagophycus porra,
610	distribution limited due to susceptibility of microscopic stages to high light.
611	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 396: 194-201.
612	Fernández-Marín, B., Míguez, F., Becerril, J.M., García-Plazaola, J. (2011). Activation
613	of violaxanthin cycle in darkness is a common response to different abiotic
614	stresses: a case study in Pelvetia canaliculata. BMC plant biology, 11: 181.
615	Fredersdorf, J., Müller, R., Becker, S., Wiencke, C., Bischof, K. (2009). Interactive
616	effects of radiation, temperature and salinity on different life history stages of
617	the Arctic kelp Alaria esculenta (Phaeophyceae). Oecologia, 160: 483-492.
618	Garcia-Mendoza, E., Colombo-Pallotta, M.F. (2007). The giant kelp Macrocystis
619	pyrifera presents a different nonphotochemical quenching control than higher
620	plants. New Phytologist, 173: 526-536.
621	Genty, B., Briantais, J.M., Baker, N.R. (1989). The relationship between the quantum

Fain, S.R., Murray, S.N. (1982). Effects of light and temperature on net photosynthesis

- 622 yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll
- fluorescence. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 990: 87-92.

- Gerard, V.A. (1984). The light environment in a giant kelp forest: influence of *Macrocystis pyrifera* on spatial and temporal variability. *Marine Biology*,
 84:189-195.
- Gerard, V.A. (1988). Ecotypic differentiation in light-related traits of the kelp *Laminaria saccharina. Marine Biology*, 97: 25-36.
- 629 Gevaert, F., Créach, A., Davoult, D., Migné, A., Levavasseur, G., Arzel, P., Holl, A.-C.,
- 630 Lemoine, Y. (2003). *Laminaria saccharina* photosynthesis measured *in situ*:
- photoinhibition and xanthophyll cycle during a tidal cycle. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 247: 43-50.
- Han, T., Kain, J.M. (1996). Effect of photon irradiance and photoperiod on young
 sporophytes of four species of the Laminariales. *European Journal of Phycology*, 31(3):233-240.
- Hanelt, D., Wiencke, C., Karsten, U., Nultsch, W. (1997). Photoinhibition and recovery
- after high light stress in different developmental and life-history stages of *Laminaria saccharina* (Phaeophyceae). *Journal of Phycology*, 33: 387-395.
- 639 Harley, C.D.G., Anderson, K.M., Demes, K.W., Jorve, J.P., Kordas, R.L., Coyle, T.A.,
- 640 Graham, M.H. (2012). Effects of climate change on global seaweed
- 641 communities. *Journal of Phycology*, 48: 1064-1078.
- Havaux, M., Tardy, F. (1996). Temperature-dependent adjustment of the thermal
- stability of the photosystem II *in vivo*: possible involvement of xanthophyll-cycle pigments. *Planta*, 198:324-333.
- 645 Henkel, S.K., Hofmann, G.E. (2008). Thermal ecophysiology of gametophytes cultured
- 646 from invasive *Undaria pinnatifida* (Harvey) Suringar in coastal California
- harbors. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 367: 164-173.

648	Iizumi, H., Sakanishi, Y. (1994). Temperature dependence of photosynthesis-irradiation
649	(P-I) relationship of gametophytes of Laminaria religiosa Miyabe. Bulletin of
650	Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, 58: 45-51.

- Ladah, L.B., Zertruche-Gonzalez, J.A. (2007). Survival of microscopic stages of a
- 652 perennial kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) from the centre and the southern extreme
- of its range in the Northern Hemsiphere after exposure to simulated El Nino
 stress. *Marine Biology*, 152: 677 686.
- Jahns, P., Holzwarth, A.R. (2009). The role of xanthophylls cycle and of lutein in the
 photoprotection of photosystem II. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1817:182193.
- Lavaud, J., Rousseau, B., van Gorkom, H.J., Etienne, A.-L. (2002) Influence of the
 diadinoxanthin pool size on photoprotection in the marine planktonic diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum. Plant Physiology*, 129(3): 1398-1406.
- Ledford, H.K., Niyogi, K.K. (2005). Singlet oxygen and photo-oxidative stress
 management in plants and algae. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 28(8): 10371045.
- Lee, J.A., Brinkhuis, B.H. (1988). Seasonal light and temperature interaction effects on
 development of *Laminaria saccharina* (Phaeophyta) gametophytes and juveniles
 sporophytes. *Journal of Phycology*, 24: 181-191.
- Lüning, K. (1990). Seaweeds: their environment, biogeography and ecophysiology,
 Wiley, New York.
- 669 Lüning, K., Neushul, M. (1978). Light and temperature demands for growth and

670 reproduction of laminarian gametophytes in Southern and Central California.

671 *Marine Biology*, 45: 297-309.

672	Aachalek, K.M., Davison, I.R., Falkowski, P.G. (1996). Thermal acclimation and	

- photoacclimation of photosynthesis in the brown alga *Laminaria saccharina*. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 19: 1005-1016.
- Mann, K.H. (1973). Seaweeds: their productivity and strategy for growth. The role of
 large marine algae in coastal productivity is far more important than has been
 suspected. *Science* 182, 4116: 975-981.
- Martinez, E.A., Santelices, B. (1998). Selective mortality on haploid and diploid
 microscopic stages of *Lessonia nigrescens* Bory (Phaeophyta, Laminariales).
 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 229: 219-239.
- Matson, P.G., Edwards, M.S. (2007). Effects of ocean temperature on the southern
 range limits of two understory kelps, Pterygophora californica and Eisenia
 arborea, at multpiple life-stages. *Marine Biology* 151: 1941-1949.
- Mohring, M.B., Kendrik, G.A., Wernberg, T., Rule, M.J., Vanderklift, M.A. (2013).
- Environmental influences on kelp performance across the reproductive period:
 an ecological trade-off between gametophyte survival and growth? *PLoS ONE*8(6): e65310.
- Morris, E.P., Kromkamp, J.C. (2003). Influence of temperature on the relationship
 between oxygen- and fluorescence-based estimates of photosynthetic parameters
- 690 in a marine benthic diatom (*Cylindrotheca closterium*). European Journal of
- 691 *Phycology*, 38(2): 133-142.
- 692 Oppliger, L.V., Correa, J.A., Engelen, A.H., Tellier, F., Vieira, V., Faugeron, S.,
- Valero, M., Gomez, G., Destombe, C. (2012). Temperature effects on
- 694 gametophyte life-history traits and geographic distribution of two cryptic kelp695 species. *PLoSOne*, 7: e39289.

696	Provasoli, L. (1968). Media and prospects for the cultivation of marine algae Cultures
697	and Collections of Algae. Proceedings of the US-Japan Conference, Hakone,
698	September 1966, pp 63-75.
699	Raven, J.A., Geider, R.J. (2003). Adaptation, acclimation and regulation in algal
700	photosynthesis. In: Larkum, W.D., Douglas, S.E., Raven, J.A. (eds)
701	Photosynthesis in Algae. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The
702	Netherlands, pp 385-412.
703	Raybaud, V., Beaugrand, G., Goberville, E., Delebecq, G., Destombe, C., Valero, M.,
704	Davoult, D., Morin, P., Gevaert, F., (2013). Decline in kelp in West Europe
705	and climate. PLoSOne 8:e66044.
706	Reed, D.C., Foster, M.S. (1984). The effects of canopy shading on algal recruitment and
707	growth in a giant kelp forest. <i>Ecology</i> , 65: 937-948.
708	Roleda, M.Y. (2009). Photosynthetic response of Arctic kelp zoospores exposed to
709	radiation and thermal stress. Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences, 8:
710	1302-1312.
711	Roleda, M.Y., Dethleff, D. (2011). Storm-generated sediment deposition on rocky
712	shores: Simulating burial effects on the physiology and morphology of
713	Saccharina latissima sporophytes. Marine Biology Research, 7: 213-223.
714	Roleda, M.Y., Hanelt, D., Wiencke, C. (2006). Exposure to ultraviolet radiation delays
715	photosynthetic recovery in Arctic kelp zoospres Photosynthesis Research, 88:
716	311 – 322.
717	Roleda, M.Y., Zacher, K., Wulff, A., Hanelt, D., Wiencke, C. (2008). Susceptibility of
718	spores of different ploidy levels from Antarctic Gigartina skottsbergii
719	(Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) to ultraviolet radiation. Phycologia, 47: 361-370.

720	Sauvageau, C. (1915). Sur la sexualite heterogamique d'une Laminaire (Sacchoriza
721	bulbosa). Comptes rendus de l'académie des sciences de Paris, 161: 796-799.
722	Serôdio, J., Cruz, S., Vieira, S., Brotas, V. (2005). Non-photochemical quenching of
723	chlorophyll fluorescence and operation of the xanthophyll cycle in estuarine
724	microphytobenthos. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 326:
725	157-169.
726	Shapiro, S.S., Wilk, M.B. (1965). An analysis of variance test for normality (complete
727	samples). Biometrika 52, 591-611.
728	Sharkey, T.D. (2005). Effects of moderate heat stress on photosynthesis: importance of
729	thylakoid reactions, rubisco deactivation, reactive oxygen species, and
730	thermotolerance provided by isoprene. Plant, Cell & Environment, 28: 269-277.
731	Staehr, P.A., Wernberg, T. (2009). Physiological responses of Ecklonia radiata
732	(Laminariales) to a latitudinal gradient in ocean temperature. Journal of
733	<i>Phycology</i> , 45: 91-99.
734	Sukenik A, Bennett J, Falkowski PG (1987) Light-saturated photosynthesis - limitation
735	of electron transport or carbon fixation? Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 891:
736	205-215.
737	Takahashi, S., Murata, N. (2008). How do environmental stresses accelerate
738	photoinhibition? Trends in Plant Science, 13: 178-182.
739	Walters, R.G. (2005). Towards an understanding of photosynthetic acclimation. Journal
740	of Experimental Botany, 56: 435-447.
741	Wang, C., Fan, X., Wang, G., Niu, J., Zhou, B. (2011). Differential expression of
742	rubisco in sporophytes and gametophytes of some marine macroalgae.
743	<i>PLoSOne</i> , 6: e16351.

744	Wernberg, T., Russell, B.D., Moore, P.J., Ling, S.D., Smale, D.A., Campbell, A.,
745	Coleman, M.A., Steinberg, P.D., Kendrick, G.A., Connell, S.D. (2011a). Impact
746	of climate change in a global hotspot for temperate marine biodiversity and
747	ocean warming. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 400: 7-
748	16.
749	Wernberg, T., Russell, B.D., Thomsen, M.S., Gurgel, F.D., Bradshaw, C.J.A,
750	Poloczanska, E.S., Connell, S.D. (2011b). Seaweed communities in retreat from
751	ocean warming. Current Biology 21: 1828-1832.
752	Wernberg, T., Smale, D.A., Thomsen, M.S. (2012). A decade of climate change
753	experiments on marine organisms: procedures, patterns and problems. Global
754	<i>Change Biology</i> , 18: 1491-1498.
755	Wernberg, T., Smale, D.A., Tuya, F., Thomsen, M.S., Langlois, T.J., de Bettignies, T.,
756	Bennett, S., Rousseaux, C.S. (2013). An extreme climatic event alters marine
757	ecosystem structure in a global biodiversity hotspot. Nature Climate Change,
758	3:78-82.
759	

Fig. 1 Photosynthesis-irradiance curves (*P-I* curves) of gametophytes in *L. digitata* from

Wissant (\Box) and Roscoff (\bullet) (n = 3), expressed as (A) relative electron transport rate

763 (*rETR*, μ mol e⁻ m⁻² s⁻¹), (**B**) gross oxygen production on a fresh weight basis (GP, μ mol

764 $O_2 g_{FW}^{-1} h^{-1}$ and (C) gross oxygen production per unit chl *a* (GP, µmol O₂ nmoles chl *a*⁻¹ 765 ${}^1 h^{-1}$).

Fig. 2 *NPQ* of gametophytes in *L. digitata* from Wissant (\Box) and Roscoff (\bullet) (n = 3) measured during the data collection for the *rETR-I* curves.

Fig. 3 (**A**) Relative optimal quantum yield (rel. F_v/F_m) and (**B**) de-epoxidation ratio of violaxanthin into antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin of gametophytes in *L. digitata* from Wissant (\Box) and Roscoff (•) (n = 3). Gametophytes were first exposed to high irradiance (500 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 2 h and then to dim white light (10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹).

Fig. 4 Photosynthetic parameters (α (A), $rETR_{max}$ (B) and I_k (C)) and maximal NPQ

(*NPQ*₂₅₀) (D) of gametophytes in *L. digitata* from Wissant (\Box) and Roscoff (\blacksquare) (n = 3).

Gametophytes acclimated at 5°C, 10°C, 15°C and 20°C exposed to increasing

irradiance at the temperature of acclimation. Photosynthetic parameters (α , *rETR_{max}* and

777 I_k) were calculated using the model of Eilers & Peeters (1988).

Fig. 5 Relative optimal quantum yield (rel. F_v/F_m) of gametophytes in *L. digitata* from Wissant (\Box) and Roscoff (\bullet) (n = 3). Gametophytes initially kept at 10°C were

- separately exposed to increases and decreases in temperature (2°C steps at 15 min
- intervals). Data were fitted using a non-linear regression analysis ($y = a^*e^{(b^*x/c)} + d$).

- **Table 1** Environmental conditions in the two sites at the time of collection and 1 month
- prior to sampling. Environmental data were provided by T. Carriou from the Station
- 786 Biologique de Roscoff and the Somlit network.

Roscoff		Wissant		
Time of collection	1 month prior	Time of collection	1 month prior	
09:13	10:51	09:30	08:50	
4.13	11.12	5.45	8.04	
0.21	0.20 ± 0.01	0.34	0.37 ± 0.06	
12.8	13.4 ± 0.8	5.1	5.3 ± 0.4	
35.2	35.2 ± 0.0	34.5	34.5 ± 0.1	
8.00	6.83 ± 1.07	13.62	13.42 ± 0.34	
0.49	0.45 ± 0.05	0.40	0.46 ± 0.07	
	Time of collection 09:13 4.13 0.21 12.8 35.2 8.00 0.49	Time of collection1 month prior09:1310:514.1311.120.21 0.20 ± 0.01 12.8 13.4 ± 0.8 35.2 35.2 ± 0.0 8.00 6.83 ± 1.07 0.49 0.45 ± 0.05	Time of collection1 month priorTime of collection09:1310:5109:304.1311.125.450.21 0.20 ± 0.01 0.34 12.8 13.4 ± 0.8 5.135.2 35.2 ± 0.0 34.5 8.00 6.83 ± 1.07 13.62 0.49 0.45 ± 0.05 0.40	

788

790	Table 2 Pigment composition (moles per 100 moles of all pigments) and pigment ratios
791	(x 100) of gametophytes in <i>L. digitata</i> from Roscoff and Wissant ($n = 3$). Significant
792	results (Holm-adjusted $P < 0.05$) are highlighted in bold.

	Roscoff	Wissant	t	Holm-	1-β
				adjusted P	
Pigment concentrations					
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (chl <i>a</i>)	54.51 ± 2.64	50.05 ± 2.93	1.96	0.243	0.33
Chlorophyll c (chl c)	8.15 ± 0.65	3.10 ± 1.75	4.69	0.047	
Fucoxanthin	30.41 ± 3.00	37.85 ± 3.81	2.66	0.169	0.52
Violaxanthin (Vx)	2.11 ± 0.49	3.54 ± 0.31	4.26	0.047	
β carotene	0.61 ± 0.37	0.69 ± 0.33	0.27	0.802	0.06
Pigment ratios					
Antenna:chl <i>a</i> pigment ratio	77.13 ± 9.11	89.65 ±10.56	1.55	0.195	0.23
ΣXC :chl <i>a</i> pigment ratio	5.52 ± 1.53	9.28 ± 1.88	2.68	0.165	0.53
ΣXC:antenna pigment ratio	7.17 ± 2.01	10.28 ± 0.89	2.45	0.165	0.46

Table 3 Results of multivariate PERMANOVA analysis to test for differences intemperature and sites. Data were normalised and dissimilarities calculated as Euclidiandistances. *P*-values were calculated from 999 permutations of the residuals under thereduced model. Significant results (P < 0.05) are highlighted in bold.

		df	Mean squares	Pseudo-F	P (perm)
α	Site	1	0.0052	1.5444	0.237
	Temperature	3	0.0307	9.0127	0.009
	Site x Temperature	1	0.0001	0.0058	0.928
	Residual	20	0.0034		
I_{k}	Site	1	745.0	2.742	0.100
- K	Temperature	3	20189.3	76.516	0.001
	Site x Temperature	1	423.8	1.560	0.211
	Residual	20	271.7		
rETR _{max}	Site	1	15.35	0.717	0.417
max	Temperature	3	1122.24	52.420	0.001
	Site x Temperature	1	22.51	1.051	0.321
	Residual	20	21.41		
NPQ _{max}	Site	1	11.2083	11.21	0.001
	Temperature	3	12.0839	12.08	0.001
	Site x Temperature	1	0.0335	0.03	0.080
	Residual	20	0.5527	0.55	



Fig. 1



Fig. 2





Fig. 3





Fig. 5