Existence, uniqueness and global behavior of the solutions to some nonlinear vector equations in a finite dimensional Hilbert space

Mama Abdelli, María Anguiano, Alain Haraux

Existence, uniqueness and global behavior of the solutions to some nonlinear vector equations in a finite dimensional Hilbert space

Mama ABDELLI

Laboratory of Analysis and Control of Partial Differential Equations,
Djillali Liabes University, P. O. Box 89, Sidi Bel Abbes 22000, Algeria.
abdelli_mama@yahoo.fr

María ANGUIANO

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Sevilla, P. O. Box 1160, 41080-Sevilla, Spain
anguiano@us.es

Alain HARAUX

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR 7598,
Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, 4, place Jussieu 75005, Paris, France.
haraux@ann.jussieu.fr

Abstract

The initial value problem and global properties of solutions are studied for the vector equation: $\left(\|u'\|^l u'\right)' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + g(u') = 0$ in a finite dimensional Hilbert space under suitable assumptions on g.

AMS classification numbers: 34A34, 34C10, 34D05, 34E99

Keywords: Existence of the solution, Uniqueness of the solution, Decay rate.

1 Introduction

Let H be a finite dimensional real Hilbert space, with norm denoted by $\|.\|$. We consider the following nonlinear equation

$$\left(\|u'\|^l u'\right)' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + g(u') = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where l and β are positive constants, and A is a positive and symmetric linear operator on H. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product in H. The operator A is coercive, which means :

$$\exists \lambda > 0, \ \forall u \in D(A), \ (Au, u) \geq \lambda \|u\|^2.$$

We also define

$$\forall u \in H, \ \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\| := \|u\|_{D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})},$$

a norm equivalent to the norm in H. We assume that $g : H \to H$ is locally Lipschitz continuous.

When $l = 0$ and $g(u') = c|u'|^\alpha u'$ Haraux [5] studied the rate of decay of the energy of non-trivial solutions to the scalar second order ODE. In addition, he showed that if $\alpha > \frac{\beta}{\beta+2}$ all non-trivial solutions are oscillatory and if $\alpha < \frac{\beta}{\beta+2}$ they are non-oscillatory. In the oscillatory case he established that all non-trivial solutions have the same decay rates, while in the non-oscillatory case he showed the coexistence of exactly two different decay rates, calling slow solutions those which have the lowest decay rate, and fast solutions the others.

Abdelli and Haraux [1] studied the scalar second order ODE where $g(u') = c|u'|^\alpha u'$, they proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution with initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. They used some modified energy function to estimate the rate of decay and they used the method introduced by Haraux [5] to study the oscillatory or non-oscillatory of non-trivial solutions. If $\alpha > \frac{\beta(l+1)+l}{\beta+2}$ all non-trivial solutions are oscillatory and if $\alpha < \frac{\beta(l+1)+l}{\beta+2}$ they are non-oscillatory. In the non-oscillatory rate, as in the case $l = 0$, the coexistence of exactly two different decay rates was established.

In this article, we use some techniques from Abdelli and Haraux [1] to establish a global existence and uniqueness result of the solutions, and under some additional conditions on g (typically $g(s) \sim c\|s\|^\alpha s$), we study the asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$. A basic role will be played by the total energy of the solution u given by the formula

$$E(t) = \frac{l+1}{l+2}\|u'(t)\|^{l+2} + \frac{1}{\beta+2}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta+2}. \tag{1.2}$$

The plan of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we establish some basic preliminary inequalities, and in Section 3 we prove the existence of a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ with $\|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ for any initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H \times H$ under relevant conditions on g and the conservation of total energy for each such solution. In Section 4 we establish the uniqueness of the solution in the same regularity class under additional conditions on g. In Section 5 we prove convergence of all solutions to 0 under more specific conditions on g and we estimate the decay rate of the energy. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss the

2

optimality of these estimates when $g(s) = c\|s\|^{\alpha}s$ and $l < \alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$; in particular, by relying on a technique introduced by Ghisi, Gobbino and Haraux [**3**], we prove the existence of a open set of initial states giving rise to slow decaying solutions. In our last result, by relying on a technique introduced by Ghisi, Gobbino and Haraux [4], we prove that all non-zero solutions are either slow solutions or fast solutions.

2 Some basic inequalities

In this section, we establish some easy but powerful lemmas which generalize Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 from [**2**] and will be essential for the existence and uniqueness proofs of the next section. Troughout this section, H denotes an arbitrary (not necessary finite dimensional) real Hilbert space with norm denoted by $\|.\|$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(u, v) \in H \times H$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then the condition*

$$(\alpha - \beta)(\|u\| - \|v\|) \geq 0,$$

implies

$$(\alpha u - \beta v)(u - v) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\|u - v\|^2.$$

Proof. An easy calculation gives the identity

$$(\alpha u - \beta v)(u - v) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)(\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta)\|u - v\|^2.$$

Since

$$(\alpha - \beta)(\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2) = (\|u\| + \|v\|)(\alpha - \beta)(\|u\| - \|v\|),$$

the result follows immediately. $\qquad\square$

For the next results, we consider a number $R > 0$ and we set

$$J_R = [0, R]; \quad B_R := \{u \in H, \ \|u\| \leq R\}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f : J_R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ be non increasing and such that for some positive numbers p, c:*
$$\forall s \in J_R, \quad f(s) \geq cs^p.$$

Then we have

$$\forall (u, v) \in B_R \times B_R, \quad (f(\|u\|)u - f(\|v\|)v)(u - v) \geq \frac{c}{2}(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)\|u - v\|^2.$$

Proof. Applying the previous result with $\alpha = f(\|u\|)$ and $\beta = f(\|v\|)$ the result follows immediately. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f : J_R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ be non increasing and such that for some positive numbers p, c:*
$$\forall s \in J_R, \quad f(s) \geq cs^p.$$

Then we have

$$\forall (u, v) \in B_R \times B_R, \quad \|f(\|u\|)u - f(\|v\|)v)\| \geq \frac{c}{2}(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p)\|u - v\|.$$

3

Proof. This inequality follows immediately from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality combined with the conclusion of the previous proposition. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f : J_R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be non increasing and such that for some positive numbers p, c:*

$$\forall s \in J_R, \quad f(s) \geq cs^p.$$

Then we have

$$\forall (u,v) \in B_R \times B_R, \quad (f(\|u\|)u - f(\|v\|)v)(u - v) \geq \delta\|u - v\|^{p+2},$$

with $\delta = \frac{c}{2^{\max\{p,1\}}}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to apply the previous result combined with the inequality

$$\|u - v\|^p \leq 2^{\max\{p-1,0\}}(\|u\|^p + \|v\|^p).$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let $f : J_R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be non increasing and such that for some positive numbers p, c:*

$$\forall s \in J_R, \quad f(s) \geq cs^p.$$

Then we have for some constant $C = C(c,p) > 0$,

$$\forall (u,v) \in B_R \times B_R, \quad \|u - v\| \leq C\|f(\|u\|)u - f(\|v\|)v)\|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.$$

Proof. This inequality follows immediately from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality combined with the conclusion of the previous proposition. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that A is a positive, symmetric, bounded operator on H. for some constant $D > 0$ we have $\forall (w,v) \in H \times H$*

$$\forall (w,v) \in H \times H, \; \|\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^{\beta}Aw - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{\beta}Av\| \leq D\max(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|, \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|)^{\beta}\|w - v\|.$$

Proof. We can write

$$\|\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^{\beta}Aw - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{\beta}Av\| = \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}w - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}v)\|$$
$$\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\|\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}w - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|.$$

Direct calculations (see also [**2**], Lemma 2.2) yield

$$\|\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}w - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^{\beta}A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\| \leq C_1\max(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|, \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|)^{\beta}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w - A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|$$
$$\leq C_2\max(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|, \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|)^{\beta}\|w - v\|,$$

and the result is proved. $\square$

3 Global existence and energy conservation for equation (1.1)

In this section, we study the existence of a solution for the initial value problem associated to equation (1.1) where $g : H \to H$ is a locally Lipschitz continuous function which satisfies the following hypothesis:

$$\exists k_1 > 0, \quad k_2 > 0, \quad \forall v, \quad (g(v), v) \geq -k_1 - k_2 \|v\|^{l+2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in H \times H$. The problem (1.1) has a global solution satisfying*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H), \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H) \quad and \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1.$$

Proof. To show the existence of the solution for (1.1), we consider the auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^{l/2} u_\varepsilon'' + l(u_\varepsilon', u_\varepsilon'')(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^{l/2-1} u_\varepsilon' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta A u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon') = 0, \\ u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0, \quad u_\varepsilon'(0) = u_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Here, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, devoted to tend to zero. For simplicity in the sequel we shall write

$$l/2 := m > 0.$$

Assuming the existence of such a solution u_ε, multiplying (3.2) by u_ε', we find

$$[(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m + 2m(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^{m-1} \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2](u_\varepsilon', u_\varepsilon'') + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta (A u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon') + (g(u_\varepsilon'), u_\varepsilon') = 0,$$

then

$$(u_\varepsilon', u_\varepsilon'') = -\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta (A u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon') + (g(u_\varepsilon'), u_\varepsilon')}{(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^{m-1} (\varepsilon + (l+1) \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)}. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2), we obtain that u_ε is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} (\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'' - l \dfrac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta (A u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon') + (g(u_\varepsilon'), u_\varepsilon')}{\varepsilon + (l+1) \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2} u_\varepsilon' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta A u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon') = 0, \\ u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0, \quad u_\varepsilon'(0) = u_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Conversely, (3.4) implies (3.3). Then, replacing (3.3) in (3.4), we obtain (3.2). Therefore (3.2) is equivalent to (3.4).

  i) A priori estimates:

    Next, we introduce

$$F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon') = l \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta (A u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon') + (g(u_\varepsilon'), u_\varepsilon')}{(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m (\varepsilon + (l+1) \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)} u_\varepsilon' - \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u_\varepsilon\|^\beta A u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon')}{(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m}.$$

    Then (3.4), can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon'' = F_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon'), \\ u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0, \quad u_\varepsilon'(0) = u_1. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Since the vector field F_ε is locally Lipschitz continuous, the existence and uniqueness of u_ε in the class $\mathcal{C}^2([0,T), H)$, for some $T > 0$ is classical. Multiplying (3.2) by u'_ε, we obtain by a simple calculation the following energy identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_\varepsilon(t) + (g(u'_\varepsilon(t)), u'_\varepsilon(t)) = 0,$$

where

$$E_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{l+1}{l+2}(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{m+1} - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^m + \frac{1}{\beta+2}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_\varepsilon(t)\|^{\beta+2}.$$

Indeed, for any function $v \in \mathcal{C}^1([0,T), H)$ we have the following sequence of identities

$$(((\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^m v)', v) = 2m(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^{m-1}\|v\|^2(v, v') + (\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^m(v, v')$$

$$= 2m(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^{m-1}(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)(v, v') - 2m\varepsilon(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^{m-1}(v, v') + (\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^m(v, v')$$

$$= (2m+1)(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^m(v, v') - 2m\varepsilon(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^{m-1}(v, v')$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}\left[\frac{l+1}{l+2}(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^{m+1} - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + \|v\|^2)^m\right].$$

Moreover for some constant $C > 0$ independent of ε, we have

$$E_\varepsilon(t) + C \geq \frac{l+1}{l+2}(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{m+1} - \varepsilon(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^m + C \geq \frac{1}{4}\|u'_\varepsilon(t)\|^{l+2}$$

and then as a consequence of (3.1)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_\varepsilon(t) = -(g(u'_\varepsilon(t)), u'_\varepsilon(t)) \leq k_1 + k_2\|u'_\varepsilon(t)\|^{l+2}$$

$$\leq k_3 + k_4 E_\varepsilon(t).$$

By Gronwall's inequality, this implies

$$\forall t \in [0,T), \quad \|u_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq M_1, \quad \|u'_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq M_2, \tag{3.6}$$

for some constants M_1, M_2 independent of ε. Hence, u_ε and u'_ε are uniformly bounded and u_ε is a global solution, in particular $T > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily large.

ii) Passage to the limit:

In order to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we need to know that u_ε and u'_ε are uniformly equicontinous on $(0,T)$ for any $T > 0$. For u_ε it is clear, since $\|u'_\varepsilon\|$ is bounded.
Moreover we have

$$(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{l/2}u''_\varepsilon + l(u'_\varepsilon, u''_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{l/2-1}u'_\varepsilon = ((\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{l/2}u'_\varepsilon)',$$

hence by the equation

$$\|((\varepsilon + \|u'_\varepsilon\|^2)^{l/2}u'_\varepsilon)'\| \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_\varepsilon(t)\|^\beta\|Au_\varepsilon(t)\| + \|g(u'_\varepsilon(t))\|,$$

and since g is locally Lipschitz continuous, hence bounded on bounded sets, we obtain

$$\|((\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^{l/2}u_\varepsilon')'\| \le M_3.$$

Therefore the functions $(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'$ are uniformly Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{R}+$. We claim that u_ε' is a uniformly (with respect to ε) locally Hölder continuous function of t. Indeed by applying Corollary 2.5 with $f(s) = (\varepsilon + s^2)^m$ and $p = 2m = l$ we find for some $C > 0$

$$\|u_\varepsilon'(t_1) - u_\varepsilon'(t_2)\| \le C\|(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'(t_1) - (\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'(t_2)\|^{\frac{1}{l+1}} \le C'\|t_1 - t_2\|^{\frac{1}{l+1}}.$$

As a consequence of Ascoli's theorem and a priori estimate (3.6) combined with (3.5), we may extract a subsequence which is still denoted for simplicity by (u_ε) such that for every $T > 0$

$$u_\varepsilon \to u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}^1((0,T), H),$$

as ε tends to 0. Integrating (3.2) over $(0,t)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'(t) \quad - \quad & (\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'(t)(0) \qquad\qquad (3.7) \\
= \quad & -\int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_\varepsilon(s)\|^\beta Au_\varepsilon(s)\,ds - \int_0^t g(u_\varepsilon'(s))\,ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.7), we then have, as ε tends to 0

$$(\varepsilon + \|u_\varepsilon'\|^2)^m u_\varepsilon'(t) \to -\int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s)\|^\beta Au(s)\,ds - \int_0^t g(u'(s))\,ds + \|u'(0)\|^l u'(0) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}^0((0,T), H).$$

Hence

$$\|u'\|^l u' = -\int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(s)\|^\beta Au(s)\,ds - \int_0^t g(u'(s))\,ds + \|u'(0)\|^l u'(0), \qquad (3.8)$$

and $\|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1((0,T), H)$. Finally by differentiating (3.8) we conclude that u is a solution of (1.1).

$\square$

Remark 3.2. *It is not difficult to see that the solution u constructed in the existence theorem satisfies the energy identity $\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -(g(u'(t)), u'(t))$. The following stronger result shows that this identity is true for any solution even if uniqueness is not known. For infinite dimensional equations such as the Kirchhoff equation, both uniqueness and the energy identity for general weak solutions are old open problems.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in H \times H$. Then any solution u of (1.1) such that*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H), \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H) \quad and \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1,$$

satisfies the formula

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -(g(u'(t)), u'(t)). \qquad (3.9)$$

7

The proof of this new result relies on the following simple lemma

Lemma 3.4. *Let J be any interval, assume that $v \in \mathcal{C}(J, H)$ and $\|v\|^l v \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H)$ then*

$$\|v(t)\|^{l+2} \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H),$$

and

$$((\|v(t)\|^l v(t))', v(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big(\frac{l+1}{l+2}\|v(t)\|^{l+2}\Big), \quad \forall t \in J.$$

Proof. **Case 1.** For any $t_0 \in J$, if $v(t_0) \neq 0$ then $v(t) \neq 0$ in the neighborhood of t_0 and in this neighborhood we have $v \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v(t)\|^{l+2}\right) &= \frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v(t)\|^2\right)^{l/2+1} \\
&= \left(\frac{l}{2}+1\right)\left(\|v(t)\|^2\right)^{l/2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|v(t)\|^2\right) \\
&= 2\left(\frac{l}{2}+1\right)\|v(t)\|^l(v(t), v'(t)).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.10}
$$

On the other hand, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\|v(t)\|^l v(t))', v(t)) &= (\|v(t)\|^l v'(t), v(t)) + (\|v(t)\|^l)'(v(t), v(t)) \\
&= \|v(t)\|^l(v'(t), v(t)) + l\|v(t)\|^l(v'(t), v(t)) \\
&= (l+1)\|v(t)\|^l(v'(t), v(t)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

and from (3.10)-(3.11), we obtain

$$((\|v(t)\|^l v(t))', v(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{l+1}{l+2}\|v(t)\|^{l+2}\Big], \quad \text{for } t = t_0.$$

Case 2. If $v(t_0) = 0$ then since $\|v(t)\|^l v(t) \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H)$, we have clearly

$$((\|v\|^l v)'(t_0), v(t_0)) = 0.$$

Moreover in the neighborhood of t_0 we have

$$\|v(t)\|^l v(t) = 0(|t - t_0|),$$

in other terms

$$\|v(t)\|^{l+1} \leq C|t - t_0|,$$

therefore

$$\|v(t)\|^{l+2} \leq C^{\frac{l+2}{l+1}}|t - t_0|^{1+\frac{1}{l+1}},$$

then

$$(\|v(t)\|^{l+2})' = 0, \quad \text{for } t = t_0,$$

and finally

$$((\|v(t)\|^l v(t))', v(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{l+1}{l+2}\|v(t)\|^{l+2}\Big] = 0 \quad \text{for } t = t_0.$$

$\square$

We now give the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proof. Setting $v = u'$, from Lemma 3.4, we deduce

$$((\|u'(t)\|^l u'(t))', u'(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big[\frac{l+1}{l+2}\|u'(t)\|^{l+2}\Big], \quad \forall t \in J.$$

By multiplying equation (1.1) by u', we obtain easily

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -(g(u'(t)), u'(t)).$$

$\square$

4 Uniqueness of solution for (u_0, u_1) given

In this section we suppose that

$$\forall R \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall (u, v) \in B_R \times B_R, \quad \|g(u) - g(v)\| \le k_3(R)\|u - v\|, \qquad (4.1)$$

for some positive constant $k_3(R)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in H \times H$, J an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in J$. Then (1.1) has at most one solution*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H), \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H) \quad and \quad u(t_0) = u_0, \quad u'(t_0) = u_1.$$

Remark 4.2. *The uniqueness of solutions of (1.1) will be proved under conditions on the initial data (u_0, u_1). The next proposition concerns the uniqueness result for $u_1 \ne 0$.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $J = (\tau, T)$, $T > \tau$. Then there is at most one solution of (1.1) with $u(\tau) = u_0$ and $u'(\tau) = u_1$ for $T - \tau$ small enough such that*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H), \quad and \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H).$$

Proof. Since $u'(\tau) \ne 0$, the second derivative $u''(\tau)$ exists and $u''(t)$ also exists for $\tau \le t < \tau + \varepsilon$ with ε small enough.
On $(T, T + \varepsilon)$, (1.1) reduces to

$$u'' = l\|u'\|^{2(\frac{l}{2}-1)}u'\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta(Au, u') + (g(u'), u')}{(l+1)\|u'\|^l\|u'\|^l} - \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + g(u')}{\|u'\|^l},$$

the existence and uniqueness of u in the class $\mathcal{C}^2(J, H)$ for this equation is classical. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $a \ne 0$. Then for J an interval containing 0 and such that $|J|$ is small enough, equation (1.1) has at most one solution satisfying*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H), \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H) \quad and \quad u_0 = a, \quad u_1 = 0.$$

9

Proof. From (1.1), we obtain

$$(\|u'\|^l u')'(0) = -\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}a\|^\beta Aa = \xi,$$

where $\|\xi\| \neq 0$.

We set $\|u'\|^l u' = \psi(t)$, then $\psi(0) = 0$. For any $t \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{\psi(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \psi'(s)\, ds.$$

It follows that $\dfrac{\psi(t)}{t} \to \xi$ as $t \to 0$, therefore for $|t|$ small enough we have,

$$\|\psi(t)\| \geq \frac{t}{2}\|\xi\|.$$

Hence, $\|u'\|^l \geq \eta t^{\frac{l}{l+1}}$ for $|t|$ small enough and some $\eta > 0$.

Integrating (1.1) over $(0, t)$, we have since $u'(0) = 0$

$$\|u'(t)\|^l u'(t) = -\int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(\tau)\|^\beta Au(\tau)\, d\tau - \int_0^t g(u'(\tau))\, d\tau.$$

Let $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ be two solutions, then $w(t) = u(t) - v(t)$ satisfies

$$\|u'(t)\|^l u'(t) - \|v'(t)\|^l v'(t) = -\int_0^t (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(\tau)\|^\beta Au(\tau) - \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v(\tau)\|^\beta Av(\tau))\, d\tau \\ - \int_0^t (g(u'(\tau)) - g(v'(\tau)))\, d\tau. \tag{4.2}$$

Applying Corollary 2.3 with $f(s) = s^l$ and $p = l$, we get

$$\|\|u'(t)\|^l u'(t) - \|v'(t)\|^l v'(t)\| \geq \eta t^{\frac{l}{l+1}} \|u'(t) - v'(t)\|,$$

and applying Lemma 2.6, (4.1) and from (4.2), we now deduce

$$\|w'(t)\| \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{l}{l+1}}} \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \|w'(s)\|\, ds\, d\tau + \frac{C}{t^{\frac{l}{l+1}}} \int_0^t \|w'(\tau)\|\, d\tau \\ \leq C(T) t^{-\frac{l}{l+1}} \int_0^t \|w'(\tau)\|\, d\tau. \tag{4.3}$$

Setting $\phi(t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t \|w'(\tau)\|\, d\tau$, by solving (4.3) on $[\delta, t]$ we obtain

$$\phi(t) \leq \phi(\delta) e^{C(T) \int_\delta^t s^{-l/(l+1)}\, ds},$$

and by letting $\delta \to 0$ we conclude that $w(t) = 0$. A similar argument gives the uniqueness for t negative with $|t|$ small enough. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 4.5. *For any interval J and any $t_0 \in J$ if a solution u of (1.1) satisfies*

$$u \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H), \quad \|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(J, H) \quad and \quad u(t_0) = u'(t_0) = 0,$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

Proof. From theorem 2.3 we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -(g(u'(t)), u'(t)).$$

Using (4.1), we have

$$|\frac{d}{dt}E(t)| = |(g(u'(t)), u'(t))| \le k_3 \|u'\|^{l+2} \le k_3 E(t).$$

Now, let $t_0 \in J$ such that $E(t_0) = 0$. By integration we get

$$|E(t)| \le |E(t_0)|e^{k_3|t-t_0|} = 0.$$

$\square$

5   Energy estimates for equation (1.1)

In this section, we suppose that

$$\exists \eta_1 > 0, \quad \forall v, \ \|g(v)\| \le \eta_1 \|v\|^{\alpha+1}, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\exists \eta_2 > 0, \quad \forall v, \ (g(v), v) \ge \eta_2 \|v\|^{\alpha+2}, \tag{5.2}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assuming $\alpha > l$, there exists a positive constant η such that if u is any solution of (1.1) with $E(0) \neq 0$*

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} t^{\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}} E(t) \ge \eta. \tag{5.3}$$

(i) If $\alpha \ge \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$, then there is a constant $C(E(0))$ depending on $E(0)$ such that

$$\forall t \ge 1, \quad E(t) \le C(E(0))t^{-\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}.$$

(ii) If $\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$, then there is a constant $C(E(0))$ depending on $E(0)$ such that

$$\forall t \ge 1, \quad E(t) \le C(E(0))t^{-\frac{(\alpha+1)(\beta+2)}{\beta-\alpha}}.$$

Proof. From the definition of $E(t)$ we have

$$\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2} \le C(l, \alpha)E(t)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}},$$

where $C(l, \alpha)$ is a positive constant, hence from (3.9) and (5.1) we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \ge -C(l, \alpha, \eta_1)E(t)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}}.$$

11

Assuming $\alpha > l$ we derive

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t)^{-\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}} = -\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}E'(t)E(t)^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}C(l,\alpha,\eta_1) = C_1.$$

By integrating, we get

$$E(t) \geq (E(0)^{-\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}} + C_1 t)^{-\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}},$$

implying

$$\liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^{\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}E(t) \geq \eta = C_1^{-\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}.$$

Hence (5.3) is proved. Now, we show (i) and (ii), we consider the perturbed energy function

$$E_\varepsilon(t) = E(t) + \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u, \|u'\|^l u'), \tag{5.4}$$

where $l > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

By Young's inequality, with the conjugate exponents $l+2$ and $\dfrac{l+2}{l+1}$, we get

$$|(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u, \|u'\|^l u')| \leq C_1\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{(2\gamma+1)(l+2)} + c_2\|u'\|^{l+2}.$$

We choose γ so that $(2\gamma+1)(l+2) \geq \beta+2$, which reduces to

$$\gamma \geq \frac{\beta-l}{2(l+2)}. \tag{5.5}$$

Then, for some $C_1 > 0, M > 0$, we have

$$|(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u, \|u'\|^l u')| \leq C_1\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{\beta+2} + c_2\|u'\|^{l+2}$$
$$\leq ME(t). \tag{5.6}$$

By using (5.6), we obtain from (5.4)

$$(1 - M\varepsilon)E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq (1 + M\varepsilon)E(t).$$

Taking $\varepsilon \leq \dfrac{1}{2M}$, we deduce

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}E(t) \leq E_\varepsilon(t) \leq 2E(t). \tag{5.7}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) = -(g(u'), u') + \varepsilon((\|u\|^{2\gamma}u)', \|u'\|^l u') + \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u, (\|u'\|^l u')').$$

We observe that

$$(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u)' = ((\|u\|^2)^\gamma)'u + \|u\|^{2\gamma}u'$$
$$= 2\gamma\|u\|^{2(\gamma-1)}(u, u')u + \|u\|^{2\gamma}u',$$

and we have

$$((\|u\|^{2\gamma}u)', \|u'\|^l u') = 2\gamma\|u\|^{2(\gamma-1)}\|u'\|^l(u, u)(u', u') + (\|u\|^{2\gamma}u', \|u'\|^l u')$$
$$= 2\gamma\|u\|^{2\gamma}\|u'\|^{l+2} + (\|u\|^{2\gamma}u', \|u'\|^l u').$$

12

Then, we can deduce that

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) = -(g(u'), u') + \varepsilon 2\gamma \|u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2} + \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u', \|u'\|^l u')$$
$$- \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u, \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^\beta Au) - \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u, g(u')). \tag{5.8}$$

We now estimate the right side of (5.8),
The fourth term:

$$-\varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u, \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^\beta Au) = -\varepsilon \|u\|^{2\gamma} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^\beta (u, Au)$$
$$= -\varepsilon \|u\|^{2\gamma} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{\beta+2} \tag{5.9}$$
$$\leq -c\varepsilon \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2}.$$

The second term:

$$\|u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2} \leq C_2 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2}.$$

The third term:

$$|(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u', \|u'\|^l u')| = \|u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^l (u', u') \leq C_3 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2}.$$

Applying Young's inequality, with the conjugate exponents $\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-l}$ and $\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}$, we have

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2} \leq \delta \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma \frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-l}} + C(\delta) \|u'\|^{(l+2)\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}}.$$

We assume

$$\frac{(\alpha+2)2\gamma}{\alpha-l} \geq 2\gamma + \beta + 2,$$

which reduces to the condition

$$\gamma \geq \frac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{2(l+2)}, \tag{5.10}$$

and taking δ small enough, we have for some $P > 0$, therefore the second and the third terms becomes

$$\varepsilon 2\gamma \|u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2} + \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u', \|u'\|^l u') \leq \varepsilon C(2\gamma+1)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma} \|u'\|^{l+2}$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2} + \varepsilon P \|u'\|^{\alpha+2}. \tag{5.11}$$

Using (5.2), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.11), we have

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq (-\eta_2 + \varepsilon P)\|u'\|^{\alpha+2} - \varepsilon \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2} - \varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u, g(u')). \tag{5.12}$$

Applying Young's inequality, with the conjugate exponents $\alpha + 2$ and $\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}$ and using (5.1), we have

$$-(\|u\|^{2\gamma} u, g(u')) \leq \delta(\|u\|^{(2\gamma+1)(\alpha+2)} + C'(\delta)\|g(u')\|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}}$$
$$\leq C_4 \delta \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u\|^{(2\gamma+1)(\alpha+2)} + C'(\delta)\|u'\|^{\alpha+2}.$$

This term will be dominated by the negative terms assuming

$$(2\gamma+1)(\alpha+2) \geq 2\gamma + \beta + 2 \Leftrightarrow (\alpha+1)(2\gamma+1) \geq \beta + 1.$$

13

This is equivalent to the condition

$$\gamma \geq \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2(\alpha + 1)}, \tag{5.13}$$

and taking δ small enough, we have

$$-\varepsilon(\|u\|^{2\gamma}u, g(u')) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2} + P'\varepsilon\|u'\|^{\alpha+2}.$$

By replacing in (5.12), we have

$$E_\varepsilon'(t) \leq (-\eta_2 + Q\varepsilon)\|u'\|^{\alpha+2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2},$$

where $Q = P + P'$. By choosing ε small, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_\varepsilon'(t) &\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Big(\|u'\|^{\alpha+2} + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{2\gamma+\beta+2}\Big) \\
&\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Big((\|u'\|^{l+2})^{\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}} + (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{\beta+2})^{\frac{2\gamma+\beta+2}{\beta+2}}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

This inequality will be satisfied under the assumptions (5.5), (5.10) and (5.13) which lead to the sufficient condition

$$\gamma \geq \gamma_0 = \max\left\{\frac{\beta - l}{2(l+2)}, \frac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{2(l+2)}, \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}\right\}. \tag{5.15}$$

We now distinguish 2 cases.

(i) If $\alpha \geq \dfrac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$, then clearly $\dfrac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{2(l+2)} \geq \dfrac{\beta-l}{2(l+2)}$.

Moreover

$$\frac{\beta - \alpha}{2(\alpha+1)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\alpha+1} - 1\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}+1} - 1\right) = \frac{\beta - l}{2(l+2)}.$$

In this case $\gamma_0 = \dfrac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{2(l+2)}$ and choosing $\gamma = \gamma_0$, we find

$$2\gamma + \beta + 2 = \frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}(\beta+2),$$

since $\dfrac{2\gamma+\beta+2}{\beta+2} = 1 + \dfrac{\alpha - l}{l+2}$, replacing in (5.14), we obtain for some $\rho > 0$

$$E_\varepsilon'(t) \leq -\rho E(t)^{1+\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}} \leq -\rho' E_\varepsilon(t)^{1+\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}},$$

where ρ and ρ' are positive constants.

(ii) If $\alpha < \dfrac{\beta(l+1)+l}{\beta+2}$, then $\dfrac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{l+2} < \dfrac{\beta-l}{l+2}$.

Moreover

$$\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)} - \frac{\beta-l}{2(l+2)} = \frac{(\beta-\alpha)(l+2)-(\beta-l)(\alpha+1)}{2(\alpha+1)(l+2)}$$

$$= \frac{\beta(l+1)+l-\alpha(\beta+2)}{2(\alpha+1)(l+2)} > 0.$$

In this case $\gamma_0 = \dfrac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}$ and choosing $\gamma = \gamma_0$, we find

$$2\gamma+\beta+2 = (\beta+2)\left(1+\frac{2\gamma}{\beta+2}\right) = (\beta+2)\left(1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+2)}\right), \qquad (5.16)$$

since $\gamma > \dfrac{(\beta+2)(\alpha-l)}{l+2}$, we have

$$\frac{2\gamma+\beta+2}{\beta+2} = 1+\frac{2\gamma}{\beta+2} > 1+\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2} = \frac{\alpha+2}{l+2},$$

replacing in (5.14), we obtain

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\delta\varepsilon(\|u'\|^{l+2}+\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^{\beta+2})^{\frac{2\gamma+\beta+2}{\beta+2}},$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Using (5.16), we have

$$E'_\varepsilon(t) \leq -\rho E^{(1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+2)})} \leq -\rho' E_\varepsilon(t)^{(1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+2)})},$$

where ρ and ρ' are positive constants.

$\square$

6 Slow and fast solutions for equation (1.1)

In the case where $\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$, Theorem 5.1 gives two different decay rates for the lower and the upper estimates of the energy. In the scalar case, this fact was explained in [1] by the existence of two (and only two) different decay rates of the solutions, corresponding precisely to the lower and upper estimates. The solutions behaving as the lower estimate were called "fast solutions" and those behaving as the upper estimate were called "slow solutions." Moreover in the scalar case, it was shown that the set of initial data giving rise to "slow solutions" has non-empty interior in the phase space $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In the general case, by reducing the problem to a related scalar equation, it is rather immediate to show the coexistence of slow and fast solutions in the special case of power nonlinearities. More precisely we have

15

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$ and $c > 0$. Then the equation*

$$(\|u'\|^l u')' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + c\|u'\|^\alpha u' = 0$$

has an infinity of "fast solutions" with energy comparable to $t^{-\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}$ and an infinity of "slow solutions" with energy comparable to $t^{-\frac{(\alpha+1)(\beta+2)}{\beta-\alpha}}$ as t tends to infinity.

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ be any eigenvalue of A and $A\varphi = \lambda\varphi$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$. Let $(v_0, v_1) \in \mathrm{I\!R}^2$ and v be the solution of

$$(|v'|^l v')' + C_1|v|^\beta v + C_2|v'|^\alpha v' = 0,$$

where C_1, C_2 are positive constants to be chosen later. Then $u(t) = v(t)\varphi$ satisfies

$$(\|u'\|^l u')' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + c\|u'\|^\alpha u' = (|v'|^l v')'\|\varphi\|^l \varphi + |v|^\beta v\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\|^\beta A\varphi + c|v'|^\alpha v'\|\varphi\|^\alpha \varphi$$

$$= [(|v'|^l v')' + \lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}+1}|v|^\beta v + c|v'|^\alpha v']\varphi.$$

Choosing $C_1 = \lambda^{\frac{\beta}{2}+1}$ and $C_2 = c$, $u(t) = v(t)\varphi$ becomes a solution of the vector equation. The existence of an infinity of "fast solutions" and an infinity of "slow solutions" are then an immediate consequence of the same result for the scalar equation proven in [1]. □

Remark 6.2. In the special case $A = \lambda I$, we can take for φ any vector of the sphere $\|\varphi\| = 1$. We obtain in this way an open set of slow solutions in $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ corresponding to the initial data of the form $(v_0\varphi, v_1\varphi)$. Actually, in the general case, by generalizing a modified energy method introduced in [3] , under the additional condition $l < 1$ we shall now prove the existence of an open set of slow solutions.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that g satisfies (5.2) and $l < 1$, $l < \alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$. Then, there exist a nonempty open set $\mathcal{S} \subset H \times H$ and a constant M such that, for every $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{S}$, the unique global solution of equation (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) satisfies*

$$\|u(t)\| \geq \frac{M}{(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-\alpha}}} \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Assuming $(u_0, u_1) \in H \times H$ and $u_0 \neq 0$, we consider the following constants

$$\sigma_0 := \left(\frac{(\beta+2)(l+1)}{l+2}\|u_1\|^{l+2} + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|^{\beta+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta+2}},$$

and

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{\|u_1\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u_0\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1\right)}}.$$

For any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, the set $\mathcal{S} \subset H \times H$ of initial data such that $\sigma_0 < \varepsilon_0$, $\sigma_1 < \varepsilon_1$ is clearly a nonempty open set which contains at least all pairs (u_0, u_1) with $u_1 = 0$ and

16

$u_0 \neq 0$ with $\|u_0\|$ small enough. We claim that if ε_0 and ε_1 are small enough, for any $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{S}$, the global solution of (1.1) satisfies (6.1).

First of all, from (3.9) and (5.2), we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \leq -\eta_2 \left\|u'(t)\right\|^{\alpha+2} < 0,$$

hence $E(t) \leq E(0)$ for every $t \geq 0$, and from (1.2), we deduce that

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\| \leq [(\beta+2)E(0)]^{\frac{1}{\beta+2}} = \sigma_0 \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Then, we shall establish that if ε_0 and ε_1 are small enough, we have

$$u(t) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{6.3}$$

and for some $C > 0$

$$\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1\right)}} \leq C \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{6.4}$$

Assuming this inequality, it follows immediately that u is a slow solution. Indeed let us set $y(t) := \|u(t)\|^2$. We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y'(t)\right| &= 2\left|\left(u'(t), u(t)\right)\right| \leq 2\left\|u'(t)\right\| \cdot \|u(t)\| \\
&\leq 2\frac{\|u'(t)\|}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1}} \cdot \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1} \|u(t)\| \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{C}\|u(t)\|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+2} \leq 2\sqrt{C}\,|y(t)|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and in particular

$$y'(t) \geq -2\sqrt{C}\,|y(t)|^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}+1} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Taking into account that

$$\left(y(t)^{-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}\right)' = -\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}y(t)^{-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}-1}y'(t),$$

we have

$$\left(y(t)^{-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}}\right)' \leq \sqrt{C}\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}.$$

Integrating between 0 and t and since $y(0) > 0$, we deduce that there exists a constant M_1 such that

$$y(t)^{-\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}} \leq M_1(t+1) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This inequality concludes the proof. So we are left to prove (6.3) and (6.4).

Let us set
$$T := \sup\{t \geq 0 : \forall \tau \in [0, t], \quad u(\tau) \neq 0\}.$$

Since $u(0) \neq 0$, we have that $T > 0$ and if $T < +\infty$, then $u(T) = 0$.

Let us consider, for all $t \in (0, T)$, the energy

$$H(t) := \frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}}, \tag{6.5}$$

where $\gamma := \dfrac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha + 1} + 1$.

If $u'(t) = 0$, then we claim that H is differentiable at t with $H'(t) = 0$. Indeed by the equation

$$\left(\|u'\|^l u'\right)' + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^\beta Au + g(u') = 0,$$

we find that $\|u'\|^l u'(s) = O(s - t)$ for s close to t, then since $l < 1$ we deduce $\|u'(t)\|^2 = O(s - t)$, thereby proving the claim.

If $u'(t) \neq 0$, then again H is differentiable at t with

$$H'(t) = \frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|u'(t)\|^{2+l}\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l} - \frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l}H(t).$$

Taking into account (1.2) and (3.9), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
H'(t) = & -\rho\frac{(g(u'(t)), u'(t))}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l} - \rho\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta-2\gamma}\,(u'(t), Au(t))}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \\
& -\frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l}H(t) =: H_1 + H_2 + H_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}
$$

where $\rho := \dfrac{l+2}{l+1}$.

Let us estimate H_1, H_2 and H_3. Using (5.2) and (6.5), we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_1 & \leq -\rho\,\eta_2\frac{\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} = -\rho\,\eta_2\left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} \\
& = -\rho\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}
$$

In order to estimate H_2, we use Young's inequality applied with the conjugate exponents $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{1-l}$ and $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H_2| & \leq \rho\,\|u'(t)\|^{1-l} \cdot \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta+1}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} = \rho\frac{\|u'(t)\|^{1-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}}} \cdot \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}+\beta+1}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} \\
& \leq \delta_1\left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^{1-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{1-l}} + \delta_2\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}+\beta+1-2\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

18

where $\delta_1 := \rho\,\eta_2\left(\dfrac{1-l}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$ and $\delta_2 := \dfrac{\rho}{\eta_2}\left(\dfrac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$, and taking into account that $\gamma = \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha+1}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
|H_2| & \leq \delta_1\left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} \\
& = \delta_1 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}. \quad (6.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity in the sequel we shall write

$$
l/2 := m > 0.
$$

In order to estimate H_3, we use (6.5) and we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_3 & = -\frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma(1+m)}H(t)^m\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\,\|u'(t)\|^l}H(t) = -mH(t)^m H'(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \\
& \quad -2\gamma(1+m)H(t)^{m+1}\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2(\gamma m-1)}}{\|u'(t)\|^l}\left(u'(t), Au(t)\right) =: H_4 + H_5.
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that taking into account (6.5), we have

$$
H_4 = -mH'(t), \quad (6.9)
$$

and

$$
|H_5| \leq 2\gamma(1+m)H(t)^{m+1}\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l}\cdot\frac{\|u'(t)\|}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|} = 2\gamma(1+m)H(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma-1}.
$$

We observe that the hypothesis

$$
\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2},
$$

implies

$$
\gamma-1 > \gamma(\alpha-l),
$$

and taking into account (6.2), we obtain

$$
|H_5| \leq 2\gamma(1+m)\sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}H(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}. \quad (6.10)
$$

Then, taking into account (6.7)-(6.10) in (6.6), we deduce that

$$
H'(t) \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}\left[-\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) + \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} + 2\gamma\sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}H(t)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right], \quad (6.11)
$$

where $\widehat{\rho} := \frac{\rho(\alpha+1)}{(1+m)(\alpha+2-l)}$.

19

Here we observe that (6.11) is still valid if $u'(t) = 0$, since then $H(t) = 0 = H'(t)$ and the RHS is non-negative. Now, let

$$h(s, \Gamma) = -\widehat{\rho}\, \eta_2 s^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}} + \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} + 2\gamma\Gamma s^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where $\Gamma := \sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}$.

We observe that $h((2/\eta_2^2)^{2/(\alpha+2-l)}, \Gamma) = -\dfrac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} + 2\gamma\Gamma \left(2/\eta_2^2\right)^{\frac{3}{\alpha+2-l}}$. In particular

$$h\left((2/\eta_2^2)^{2/(\alpha+2-l)}, \Gamma\right) \to -\frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} < 0, \quad \text{if } \Gamma \to 0.$$

Let us therefore assume that σ_0 is sufficiently small to achieve

$$h((2/\eta_2^2)^{2/(\alpha+2-l)}, \sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}) < 0.$$

We claim that if $H(0) = \sigma_1 < \varepsilon_1 := (2/\eta_2^2)^{2/(\alpha+2-l)}$, then $H(t)$ is bounded for all $t \in (0, T)$. Indeed if H is not bounded for all $t \in (0, T)$, then there exists $\bar{T} \in (0, T)$ such that $H(\bar{T}) = \varepsilon_1$ and $H(t) < \varepsilon_1$ for all $t \in (0, \bar{T})$. By (6.11) and taking into account that $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ and $\|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, we have

$$H'(\bar{T}) \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(\bar{T})\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} h(\varepsilon_1, \sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}) \leq \left\|u(\bar{T})\right\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} h(\varepsilon_1, \sigma_0^{\gamma-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}) < 0,$$

then since $\bar{T} \in (0, T)$ implies $\left\|u(\bar{T})\right\| > 0$, H decreases near $\bar{T}$. This contradicts the definition of $\bar{T}$ and we can claim that H is bounded for all $t \in (0, T)$.

Finally, we claim that $T = +\infty$. Let us assume by contradiction that this is not the case. Then, taking into account that H is bounded for all $t \in [0, T)$, we observe that

$$\left\|u'(t)\right\|^2 \leq C\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \leq C \left\|u(t)\right\|^{2\gamma} \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Therefore, from the continuity of the vector (u', u) with values in $H \times H$ it now follows that $u(T) = 0$ implies $u'(T) = 0$, hence $u \equiv 0$ by backward uniqueness, a contradiction. Hence, $T = +\infty$, we obtain (6.3) and (6.4), and the conclusion follows.

□

Our next result is the generalization to the vector case of the slow-fast alternative established in [1] for the scalar equation. By relying on a technique introduced by Ghisi, Gobbino and Haraux [4], we prove that all non-zero solutions to (1.1) are either slow solutions or fast solutions.

Theorem 6.4 (The slow-fast alternative). *Assume that g is locally Lipschitz continuous and satisfies (5.2) and $l < 1$, $l < \alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$. Let $u(t)$ be a global solution to (1.1). Then, one and only one of the following statements apply:*

1. *(Fast solutions)* There exist some positive constants η, C and T such that

$$\|u'(t)\|^2 \geq \eta\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta+2}{l+2}\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq T,$$

and

$$E(t) \leq \frac{C}{(t+1)^{\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{6.12}$$

2. *(Slow solutions)* There exist some positive constants M, c and T such that

$$\|u'(t)\|^2 \leq M\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta+2}{l+2}\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq T,$$

and

$$\|u(t)\| \geq \frac{c}{(1+t)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta-\alpha}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{6.13}$$

Proof. **Fast solutions:**

We first establish that if for some $\eta > 0$ we have

$$\|u'(t)\|^2 \geq \eta\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta+2}{l+2}\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq T, \tag{6.14}$$

then (6.12) holds.

Using (1.2), we obtain

$$\frac{l+1}{l+2}\|u'(t)\|^{l+2} = -\frac{1}{\beta+2}\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta+2} + E(t) \geq E(t) - \delta\|u'(t)\|^{l+2},$$

where $\delta := \left[(\beta+2)\eta^{\frac{l+2}{2}}\right]^{-1}$. Then

$$\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2} \geq \left(\frac{l+1}{l+2}+\delta\right)^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}} E(t)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}}.$$

From the energy identity, we deduce

$$E'(t) \leq -\widehat{C}E(t)^{\frac{\alpha-l}{l+2}+1},$$

where $\widehat{C} := \eta\left(\frac{l+1}{l+2}+\delta\right)^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{l+2}}$. Integrating between T and t and since $E(t) \geq 0$, we deduce that there exists a constant C_1 such that

$$E(t) \leq C_1(t-T)^{-\frac{l+2}{\alpha-l}}, \quad \forall t \geq T+1.$$

The result follows immediately.

Slow solutions: In addition to the already defined number $\gamma = \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1 = \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha+1}$, let us introduce $\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\beta-l}{l+2}+1 = \frac{\beta+2}{l+2}+1$. The condition

$$\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2},$$

21

implies immediately

$$\frac{\beta - l}{l + 2} < \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha + 1}.$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\gamma} < \gamma.$$

In this section, we consider the energies $H(t)$ and

$$K(t) := \frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}}, \tag{6.15}$$

defined whenever $u(t) \neq 0$. In this part of the proof, it remains to consider the case where (6.14) is false for every η. Then, assuming the solution to be non-trivial there exists a sequence $t_n \to +\infty$ such that $u(t_n) \neq 0$ and $K(t_n) \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exists $N(\varepsilon_1) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$u(t_n) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad K(t_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}, \quad \forall n \geq N(\varepsilon_1). \tag{6.16}$$

Under this assumption, we prove that a similar estimate holds true for all sufficiently large times, namely for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad K(t) \leq M, \quad \forall t \geq t_{n_0}, \tag{6.17}$$

for a suitable constant $M \geq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$. In order to achieve this property, we select another positive number, ε_2, to be fixed later in the proof, and we consider $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough to achieve the additional condition

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\| \leq \varepsilon_2.$$

Let us set

$$\bar{T} := \sup\{t \geq t_{n_0} : \forall \tau \in [t_{n_0}, t], \quad u(\tau) \neq 0\}.$$

By (6.16), we deduce that $u(t_{n_0}) \neq 0$ and we have that $\bar{T} > 0$. If $\bar{T} < +\infty$, then $u(\bar{T}) = 0$. Let us consider the energy K, which is defined by (6.15) for every $t \in [t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$.

If $u'(t) = 0$, then we claim that K is differentiable at t with $K'(t) = 0$. Indeed by the equation we find that $\|u'\|^l u'(s) = O(s - t)$ for s close to t, then since $l < 1$ we deduce $\|u'(t)\|^2 = O(s - t)$, thereby proving the claim.

If $u'(t) \neq 0$, then again K is differentiable at t with:

$$K'(t) = \frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|u'(t)\|^{2+l}\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\|u'(t)\|^l} - \frac{\dfrac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\|u'(t)\|^l\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\|u'(t)\|^l}K(t).$$

22

Taking into account (1.2) and the energy identity, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
K'(t) \;\le\; & -\rho\,\eta_2 \frac{\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}} - \rho \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta-2\hat{\gamma}}\,(u'(t), Au(t))}{\|u'(t)\|^{l}} \\
& -\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\,\|u'(t)\|^{l}\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\,\|u'(t)\|^{l}} K(t) =: K^1 + K^2 + K^3, \qquad (6.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho := \dfrac{l+2}{l+1}$.

Let us estimate K^1, K^2 and K^3. Using (6.15), we observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^1 \;=\; & -\rho\,\eta_2 \left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}} \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}} \\
\;=\; & -\rho\,\eta_2 K^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)}. \qquad (6.19)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate K^2, we use Young's inequality applied with the conjugate exponents $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{1-l}$ and $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K^2\right| \;\le\; & \rho\,\|u'(t)\|^{1-l} \cdot \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta+1}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}} \\
\;\le\; & \frac{1}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}}\left(\delta_1\,\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2-l} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{(\beta+1)(\alpha+2-l)}{\alpha+1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_1 := \rho\,\eta_2\left(\dfrac{1-l}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$ and $\delta_2 := \dfrac{\rho}{\eta_2}\left(\dfrac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$, and taking into account that $\gamma = \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha+1}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K^2\right| \;\le\; & \delta_1 \left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}} \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{(\beta+1)\left(\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}-\frac{2}{l+2}\right)-\frac{2}{l+2}} \\
\;=\; & \delta_1 K^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\mu},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu := (\beta+1)\left(\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1} - \frac{2}{l+2}\right) - \frac{2}{l+2} = \frac{(\beta+1)(\alpha+2-l)}{\alpha+1} - 2\hat{\gamma}$.

By simple calculations, we deduce from $\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$ that

$$
\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l) < \mu,
$$

therefore

$$
\left|K^2\right| \;\le\; \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)}(\delta_1 K^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\nu}), \qquad (6.20)
$$

with $\nu = \mu - \hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l) > 0$.

23

For simplicity in the sequel we shall write

$$l/2 := m > 0. \tag{6.21}$$

In order to estimate K^3, we use (6.15) and we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K^3 &= -\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}(1+m)}K^m(t)\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}\|u'(t)\|^l}K(t) = -mK^m(t)K'(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \\
&\quad -2\hat{\gamma}(1+m)K^{m+1}(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2(\hat{\gamma}m-1)}}{\|u'(t)\|^l}\left(u'(t),Au(t)\right) =: K^4 + K^5.
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that taking account of (6.15), we have

$$K^4 = -mK'(t), \tag{6.22}$$

and

$$\left|K^5\right| \le 2\hat{\gamma}(1+m)K^{m+1}(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l}\cdot\frac{\|u'(t)\|}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|} = 2\hat{\gamma}(1+m)K^{\frac{3}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}-1}.$$

We observe that our condition on α can be written in the form

$$\alpha(\beta+2) < (\beta+2)(1+l)-(l+2) \Rightarrow (\beta+2)(1+l-\alpha) > l+2$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}(1+l-\alpha) > 1 \Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}-1 > \hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l).$$

Using (6.2) we therefore obtain

$$\left|K^5\right| \le C_0 K^{\frac{3}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)}. \tag{6.23}$$

Then, taking into account (6.19)-(6.23) in (6.18), we deduce that

$$K'(t) \le \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)}\left[-\hat{\rho}\eta_2 K^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)+C_1\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\nu}+C_2 K^{\frac{3}{2}}(t)\right], \tag{6.24}$$

where $\hat{\rho} := \frac{\rho(\alpha+1)}{(1+m)(\alpha+2-l)}$.

Here we observe that (6.24) is still valid if $u'(t) = 0$, since then $K(t) = 0 = K'(t)$ and the RHS is non-negative. Now, let

$$h(s) = -\hat{\rho}\eta_2 s^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}+C_1\varepsilon_2^{\nu}+C_2 s^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

and

$$\tilde{h}(s) = -\hat{\rho}\eta_2 s^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}+C_2 s^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Since $\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}$, we have

$$\alpha < 1 + \frac{l(\beta+1)}{\beta+2} \implies \alpha+2-l < 3.$$

24

We observe that
$$\tilde{h}(s) < 0, \quad \text{if } s \to 0.$$
Fixing ε_1 sufficiently small to achieve $\tilde{h}(\varepsilon_1) < 0$, we can then adjust ε_2 so that $h(\varepsilon_1) < 0$.

Now, if K is not bounded for all $t \in (t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$, then there exists $T_1 \in (t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$ such that $K(T_1) = \varepsilon_1$ and $K(t) < \varepsilon_1$ for all $t \in (t_{n_0}, T_1)$.

By (6.24) and taking into account that $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ and $\|u'\|^l u' \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, we have
$$K'(T_1) \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(T_1)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)} h(\varepsilon_1) \leq \|u(T_1)\|^{\hat{\gamma}(\alpha-l)} h(\varepsilon_1) < 0,$$
then since $T_1 \in (t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$ implies $\|u(T_1)\| > 0$, K decreases near T_1. This contradicts the definition of T_1 and we can claim that K is bounded for all $t \in (t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$.

We claim that $\bar{T} = +\infty$. Let us assume by contradiction that this is not the case. Then, taking into account that K is bounded for all $t \in [t_{n_0}, \bar{T})$, we observe that
$$\left\| u'(t) \right\|^2 \leq M \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\hat{\gamma}}, \quad \forall t \in [t_{n_0}, \bar{T}).$$

Therefore, from the continuity of the vector (u', u) with values in $H \times H$ it now follows that $u(\bar{T}) = 0$ implies $u'(\bar{T}) = 0$, hence $u \equiv 0$ by backward uniqueness, a contradiction. Hence, $\bar{T} = +\infty$. Then, we obtain (6.17).

So we are left to prove that for some $M > 0$
$$\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+1\right)}} \leq M \quad \forall t \geq t_{n_0}. \tag{6.25}$$

Let us consider the energy H, which is defined by (6.5) for every $t \geq t_{n_0}$.

Assuming first $u'(t) \neq 0$, we can differentiate H at t, and we find
$$H'(t) = \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|u'(t)\|^{2+l}\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \|u'(t)\|^l} - \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \|u'(t)\|^l\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \|u'(t)\|^l} H(t).$$
Taking into account (1.2) and the energy identity, we deduce
$$
\begin{aligned}
H'(t) \leq & -\rho \eta_2 \frac{\|u'(t)\|^{\alpha+2-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} - \rho \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{\beta-2\gamma} (u'(t), Au(t))}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \\
& - \frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \|u'(t)\|^l\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma} \|u'(t)\|^l} H(t) =: H^1 + H^2 + H^3, \tag{6.26}
\end{aligned}
$$
where $\rho := \dfrac{l+2}{l+1}$.

Let us estimate H^1, H^2 and H^3. Using (6.5), we observe that
$$
\begin{aligned}
H^1 &= -\rho \eta_2 \left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}} \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} \\
&= -\rho \eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}. \tag{6.27}
\end{aligned}
$$

25

In order to estimate H^2, we use Young's inequality applied with the conjugate exponents $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{1-l}$ and $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^2\right| &\leq \rho\left\|u'(t)\right\|^{1-l} \cdot \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\beta+1}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} = \rho \frac{\|u'(t)\|^{1-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}}} \cdot \frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}+\beta+1}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} \\
&\leq \delta_1\left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^{1-l}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{1-l}} + \delta_2\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\frac{2\gamma(1-l)}{\alpha+2-l}+\beta+1-2\gamma}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_1 := \rho\,\eta_2\left(\dfrac{1-l}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$ and $\delta_2 := \dfrac{\rho}{\eta_2}\left(\dfrac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+2-l}\right)$, and taking into account that $\gamma = \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha+1}$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^2\right| &\leq \delta_1\left(\frac{\|u'(t)\|^2}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha+2-l)}}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} \\
&= \delta_1 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)} + \delta_2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}. \qquad (6.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to estimate H^3, using (6.21) and (6.5), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^3 &= -\frac{\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma(1+m)}H^m(t)\right)}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma}\|u'(t)\|^l}H(t) = -mH^m(t)H'(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \\
&\quad -2\gamma(1+m)H^{m+1}(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2(\gamma m-1)}}{\|u'(t)\|^l}\left(u'(t),Au(t)\right) =: H^4 + H^5.
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that taking into account (6.5), we have

$$
H^4 = -mH'(t), \qquad (6.29)
$$

and using (6.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^5\right| &\leq 2\gamma(1+m)H^{m+1}(t)\frac{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{2\gamma m}}{\|u'(t)\|^l} \cdot \frac{\|u'(t)\|}{\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|} \qquad (6.30) \\
&= 2\gamma(1+m)H(t)K^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe, after some calculations, that

$$
\hat{\gamma}-1-\gamma(\alpha-l) > 0 \iff \alpha(\beta+2)(1+l) < l(\beta+1)(l+2) + \beta - l,
$$

which reduces easily to the condition

$$
\alpha < \frac{\beta(1+l)+l}{\beta+2}.
$$

Then, taking into account (6.27)-(6.30) in (6.26), we deduce that

$$
H'(t) \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}\left[-\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) + \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} + 2\gamma H(t)K^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}\right],
$$

26

where $\widehat{\rho} := \frac{\rho(\alpha+1)}{(1+m)(\alpha+2-l)}$. Now, we estimate the third term. We have that K is bounded for all $t \geq t_{n_0}$. Then, using Young's inequality applied with the conjugate exponents $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}$ and $\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha-l}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\gamma H(t) K^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\hat{\gamma}-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)} &\leq \widetilde{M} H(t) \\
&\leq \frac{\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2}{2} H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) + \frac{4(\alpha-l)}{\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2(\alpha+2-l)^2}\widetilde{M}^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha-l}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{M} := 2\gamma M^{\frac{1}{2}}\varepsilon_2^{\hat{\gamma}-1-\gamma(\alpha-l)}$.

Then, we obtain

$$
H'(t) \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(t)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t) + \widehat{K}\right],
$$

where $\widehat{K} := \frac{\widehat{\rho}}{\eta_2} + \frac{4(\alpha-l)}{\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2(\alpha+2-l)^2}\widetilde{M}^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{\alpha-l}}$. If $u'(t) = 0$, since as previously H is differentiable at t with $H'(t) = 0 = H(t)$, the inequality is still valid.

It remains to prove that $H(t)$ is bounded for all $t \geq t_{n_0}$. Indeed if H is not bounded for all $t \geq t_{n_0}$, then there exist $T_2 \geq t_{n_0}$ such that

$$
T_2 := \inf\{t \geq t_{n_0} : \forall \tau \in [t_{n_0}, t], \ \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(\tau) < \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t_{n_0}) + \widehat{K} + 1\}.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H'(T_2) &\leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(T_2)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(T_2) + \widehat{K}\right] \\
&= -\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u(T_2)\|^{\gamma(\alpha-l)}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\rho}\,\eta_2 H^{\frac{\alpha+2-l}{2}}(t_{n_0})\right] < 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $T_2 \geq t_{n_0}$, implies $u(T_2) \neq 0$, H decreases near T_2. This contradicts the definition of T_2 and we can claim that H is bounded for all $t \geq t_{n_0}$. The end of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 6.3.

$\square$

Remark 6.5. In the special case $A = \lambda I$, by using the scalar equation we can prove the existence of an N - dimensional variety of fast solutions. Actually, by Theorem 5.4, (4) of [4] we know that the same result is true in general for the non-singular linearly damped equation

$$
u'' + u' + \|A^{1/2}u\|^\beta Au = 0.
$$

The question now arises of whether the dimensionality of the variety of fast solutions is exactly equal to N or possibly larger. The special case of the equation

$$
u'' + u' + \|u\|^\beta u = 0 \tag{6.31}
$$

shows it is exactly equal to N at least in some cases. Indeed we claim that in this case, all fast solutions have their N components proportional to the same fast solution of a related scalar equation.

Proof. Given a solution u of (6.31), any component y of u satisfies

$$y'' + y' + \|u\|^\beta y = 0.$$

Considering two different components (y, z) we obtain that the Wronskian function $w(t) := (y'z - yz')(t)$ is a solution of

$$w' + w = 0.$$

Hence $w(t) = w(0)\exp(-t)$. Since on the other hand w, being a quadratic combination of 4 functions decaying like $\exp(-t)$, has to decay like $\exp(-2t)$, the only possibility is $w(0) = 0$, hence $w = 0$. Hence any two components are proportional. Let us set

$$y_j = a_j y,$$

where y is one of the non-zero components. Then we have

$$y'' + y' + m\|y\|^\beta y = 0$$

with $m := \{\sum_{j=1}^N a_j^2\}^{\beta/2}$. Let us introduce $\phi := \mu y$ where $\mu > 0$ will be chosen later. Then ϕ satisfies $\phi'' + \phi' + \mu m\|y\|^\beta y = 0$ or

$$\phi'' + \phi' + \mu^{-\beta} m\|\phi\|^\beta \phi = 0,$$

so that the choice $\mu = m^{1/\beta}$ leads to

$$\phi'' + \phi' + \|\phi\|^\beta \phi = 0.$$

Finally we have

$$y_j = b_j \phi,$$

with $b_j = m^{-1/\beta} a_j$ and ϕ a fast solution of the scalar equation. Since the set of such fast solutions ϕ is invariant by translation in t, for the moment we reduced the dimension to $N + 1$ instead of N and we know that this is not relevant since in the scalar case the dimension is 1. Actually the numbers b_j are constrained by an additional condition: indeed we have for all j the equation

$$y_j'' + y_j' + \|u\|^\beta y_j = b_j(\phi'' + \phi' + \|u\|^\beta \phi) = 0,$$

and since at least one of the b_j is not 0, we obtain $\phi'' + \phi' + \|u\|^\beta \phi = 0$. Now

$$\|u\|^\beta = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N b_j^2 \right\}^{\beta/2} \|\phi\|^\beta.$$

Since slow solutions do not vanish for t large we conclude that $\sum_{j=1}^N b_j^2 = 1$, the additional constraint we were looking for, reducing the dimension to N. The set of slow solutions has the structure of a 1D curve fibrated by the $(N-1)$-dimensional unit sphere. $\square$

However, when either g is non-linear or A is not a multiple of the identity, we have no information on the dimension of this set. This is one of the last open problems of this theory.

Acknowledgments

References

[1] M. Abdelli and A. Haraux, *Global behavior of the solutions to a class of nonlinear second order ODE's,* Nonlinear Analysis, **96** (2014), 18-73.

[2] F. Aloui, I. Ben Hassen and A. Haraux *Compactness of trajectories to some nonlinear second order evolution equations and applications,* Journal de Mathematiques pures et appliquees , **100** No, 3 (2013), 295-326.

[3] M. Ghisi, M. Gobbino and A. Haraux, *Optimal decay estimates for the general solution to a class of semi-linear dissipative hyperbolic equations,* J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 9, 1961–1982.

[4] M. Ghisi, M. Gobbino and A. Haraux, *Finding the exact decay rate of all solutions to some second order evolution equation with dissipation,* J. Funct. Anal. **271** (2016), no. 9, 2359–2395.

[5] A. Haraux, *Sharp decay estimates of the solutions to a class of nonlinear second order ODE's,* Analysis and Applications, **9** (2011), 49-69.

[6] A. Haraux, *Slow and fast decay of solutions to some second order evolution equations,* J. Anal. Math. **95** (2005), 297-321.