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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Extra-gastro-intestinal tract manifestations associated with *Clostridium difficile* infection (CDI), including reactive arthritis (ReA), are uncommon.

Method: We report a case of ReA associated with a relapse of CDI in a 46-year-old woman. A toxigenic *C. difficile* strain was isolated from stools and characterized as PCR-ribotype 014/020/077. We conducted a comprehensive literature review of ReA associated with CDI (ReA-CDI). Diagnostic criteria for ReA-CDI were: (i) evidence of aseptic synovitis (confirmed by culture) developing during or immediately after colitis, (ii) presence of a toxigenic *C. difficile* strain in stool samples, and (iii) absence of other causes of colitis and arthritis.

Results: Forty-nine cases of ReA-CDI (excluding the present report) have already been described since 1976. Of these reports, Mean age of patients was 38 years (SD: 18.5), 46% were male, and 68% had HLA B27 genotype. Sixty-nine percent of patients received a β-lactamin treatment before CDI. ReA-CDI occurred a median 10 days (range 0-55 days) after CDI. Outcome was favorable in 90% of patients and oral non anti-inflammatory drugs were required for 55%.

Conclusion: ReA-CDI remains uncommon. Compared to the general population, it is more likely observed in younger patients with HLA B27-positive genotype.
INTRODUCTION

*Clostridium difficile* (CD) is a strict anaerobic, spore-forming, gram positive bacillus. Only toxigenic strains of CD are involved in gastro-intestinal tract infections. Clinical symptoms associated with CD infections (CDI) generally range from mild diarrhea to severe pseudomembranous colitis (PMC). CDI is defined by several signs and symptoms, presence of CD toxin and/or toxin-producing CD in stools, and no other causes of PMC after colonoscopic or histopathological evaluation [1]. The incidence of CDI is increasing in many countries worldwide and CD has a considerable burden on healthcare systems [2]. In particular, the United States Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recently placed CDI among bacterial infections posing the highest health risk due to antimicrobial resistance [3].

In Europe, the incidence of healthcare-associated CDI is 4.2 per 10,000 patient-days, with a case-fatality rate of 9% within three months after diagnosis [4]. In the United States, there was a total of 453,000 estimated number of CDIs in 2011 based on data from active population- and laboratory-based surveillance [2]. Antibiotic use, advanced age, and comorbidities (liver cirrhosis, heart disease, pulmonary disease, chronic dialysis, immunocompromised status) are the most common risk factors associated with CDI [4].

Extra-colonic CDI have been described in previous reports and include bacteremia [5], cellulitis [4], pancreatic [6] or splenic abscesses [7], pleural empyema [8], osteomyelitis [5], native or artificial joint [9,10], or appendicitis [10]. Extra-colonic manifestations have also been observed, such as uremic and hemolytic syndrome [11,12] and reactive arthritis (ReA), yet are probably unrelated to bacteria itself.

Birnbaum *et al.* proposed diagnostic criteria for ReA associated with CDI (ReA-CDI) [13], which include the following: i) evidence of aseptic synovitis (confirmed by culture) developing during or immediately after colitis, (ii) presence of toxins produced by *C. difficile* in stool samples, and (iii) absence of other causes of colitis and arthritis. The pathophysiology of Rea-CDI is poorly understood, while the underlying cause of its occurrence remains unknown.

We report herein a case of ReA-CDI observed in a 46-year-old woman and present a comprehensive review of previous cases in the literature.

CASE REPORT
A 46-year-old Caucasian woman was admitted to Saint-Antoine Hospital (Paris, France), for an acute episode of antibiotic-associated diarrhea.

She experienced iterative lower urinary tract infections (UTI) and chronic anemia due to iron deficiency. She reported no particular medication intake, no smoking, and no drug or alcohol use. She had no family history of or symptoms related to autoimmune disease.

Seven months before hospitalization, she had foot surgery as a result of hallux valgus. She reported large quantities of aqueous diarrhea that resolved after 10-day treatment with oral metronidazole. Stool testing was not performed at that time. Three weeks later, she developed oligoarthritis (both ankles and left knee), which lasted roughly 5 months and resolved during treatment with ketoprofene.

A few days prior to hospitalization, she was treated for a lower UTI with a two-day course of cefixim. She was admitted to the emergency room for severe diarrhea, vomiting and abdominal pain. Clinical examination revealed arterial pressure at 82/54mmHg (which was comparable to previous measures), tachycardia (110 bpm) and no other abnormalities. CD was detected in stool samples by enzyme immunoassay (GDH, Cdiff Quik Chek®, Alere) and confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) toxin B detection (GenXpert®, Cepheid) but not with a cell culture cytotoxicity assay. She underwent rehydration therapy and initiated a 10-day course of oral metronidazole. She had rapid clinical improvement and was discharged 2 days later.

She was readmitted 11 days after discharge for oligoarthritis (both ankles and left knee), fever (38.1°C), myalgia, and recurrence of diarrhea. Upon examination, both of her ankles and left knee were slightly swollen and painful. Stool samples tested positive for toxinogenic CD again, while this time the cell culture cytotoxicity assay was positive. Strains from both the first and second episodes were genotyped as PCR-ribotype 014/020/077.

Joint X-ray was normal. Blood and urine culture were sterile. Complete blood count was normal except for lymphocyte count at 1326 cells/mm\(^3\), hemoglobin at 11.3g/dL, and platelet count at 483,000/mm\(^3\). There were no other ion (including calcium) or renal abnormalities. C-reactive protein level was 114.6mg/L, plasmatic protein electrophoresis demonstrated pro-inflammation (increase in α-1, α-2 and γ-globulins). An intra-articular puncture of the knee was performed and showed high degrees of inflammation with 10,000 elements/mm\(^3\), including 7300/mm\(^3\) polymorphic neutrophils, while no crystals were identified. Articular fluid was placed in bacteriological cultures, including incubation in anaerobic blood culture, which were negative.
Oral vancomycin 125mg was given four times daily for 10 days to treat CDI. Afterwards, paracetamol and tramadol were administered to treat probable ReA-CDI. Arthritis and diarrhea fully resolved within 3 weeks. At the one-year follow-up visit, no recurrence of diarrhea or arthritis was reported.

REVIEW

We performed a review of all ReA-CDI cases published in the literature. We searched for the combined terms “Reactive arthritis” and “Clostridium difficile” in PubMed and obtained 41 articles amounting to 51 cases of ReA-CDI. We excluded 2 patients who did not have toxin detected in stool samples, per definition of CDI [15]. In total, we summarize 39 articles including 49 cases of ReA-CDI, all of which were reported among adults and children since 1976 [14,16–49] (Table 1).

Patients had a mean age of 38 years (SD: 18.5) and were mostly females (54%). Patients were noticeably younger than those included in a European survey of 2011 but the proportion of females was similar (56%) [4]. HLA B27 genotype was observed in 28/41 patients (68%, 95%CI: 53.7%-82.3%).

First-line treatment of CDI was metronidazole in 13/24 (54.2%, 95%CI: 27.1-81.3%) and oral vancomycin in 11/24 cases (45.8%, 95%CI: 16.4-75.2%).

ReA occurred a median 10 days after CDI (range: 0-55 days). Of all 50 cases, initial presentation was monoarthritis in 9 (18%, 95%CI: 7.4-28.6%), oligoarthritis in 22 (44%, 95%CI: 30.2-57.8), and polyarthritis in 19 (38%, 95%CI: 24.5-51.5%). The two joints most frequently involved in ReA-CDI were ankles (63.6%, 95%CI: 45.8-81.4%) and knees (59.1%, 95%CI: 40.2-78%). ReA-CDI lasted from 5-800 days (median=42 days). Clinical outcome was favorable in 38/42 cases (90.5%, 95%CI: 81.6-99.4%) as non steroid anti-inflammatory drugs were needed in 11/20 cases (55%, 95%CI: 33.2-76.8 %). No reoccurrence of CDI was described in the literature.

None of the 49 cases presented in this review reported the specific ribotype strain involved in CDI.

The patient in our case report was infected with CD PCR-ribotype 014/020/077, one of the most frequent strains in France and Europe [50,51]. Further studies exploring a possible association between ReA and CD strain would be helpful in this context.

There are few data regarding the pathological mechanism involved in ReA-CDI. It could be hypothesized that immunologic tolerance is interrupted during antigenic presentation and contributes to ReA-CDI. Indeed, a major bacterial structure, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), is commonly found in the blood of patients with ReAs before the onset of arthritis, owing to other entero-invasive
pathogens such as *Salmonella* sp or *Chlamydia trachomatis* [52]. As CD is devoid of LPS, it remains to be demonstrated if other sources of circulating LPS or CD-antigen are present before the onset of ReA-CDI. CD-toxin A is known to increase permeability of the epithelial intestinal barrier [28]. As a result, CD-antigen and/or LPS from other gram-negative bacteria could reach blood circulation via the gut, driving an antigenic reaction and disrupting immunologic tolerance. Activated autoreactive T lymphocytes could then reach cartilage tissue and cause synovitis. Moreover, LPS from *Escherichia coli* is able to enhance the cytotoxicity activity of CD toxin A [54].

The hypothesis of antigenic presentation is also supported by the association between ReA-CDI and HLA B27 [54] and the high prevalence of HLA B27 patients in our review, compared to the general population, argues for a predisposition to ReA. Even patients without HLA B27 genotype were able to resolve ReA-CDI without any antibiotic treatment, providing corroborating evidence for non-septic arthritis. Unfortunately, we could not determine the HLA status of our patient. Importantly, CD septic arthritis can only be excluded if there is no CD identified in the synovial fluid. In the literature, 28 negative articular liquid cultures were reported, on which only one CD-toxin PCR was performed [48]. In our opinion, the argument for a ReA-CDI diagnosis should require additional laboratory testing, such as specific culture for CD by toxin detection and 16S RNA analysis from articular liquid.

Even if the mechanisms underlying extra-colonic manifestations are unclear, some molecules like calprotectin (CP), interleukin (IL)-8 or IL-23 may play an important role in ReA-CDI [55]. CP is a calcium- and zinc-binding protein released from the cytosol after neutrophil activation [56]. CP has antibacterial, apoptosis-inducing, leukocyte-chemotactic properties and is a potential biomarker of inflammation [57], especially during inflammatory bowel disease’s flare ups [59]. Fecal CP levels have also demonstrated strong correlation with neutrophil excretion in stool [60]. CP levels in the plasma and synovial fluid are also high during rheumatoid arthritis [61] and ReA [62]. CP has also been quantified in synovial tissue of rheumatoid arthritis patients, specifically in the lining adjacent to the cartilage-pannus junction, which is the primary site of cartilage destruction and bone erosion [61]. Of note, elevated levels of CP are also found in the feces of patients with CDI [58]. Further studies quantifying CP in plasma and synovial fluid from ReA-CDI patients would be worthwhile in order to elucidate its potential role.

**CONCLUSION**
We reported a case of ReA-CDI and analyzed 49 other cases of ReA-CDI published in the literature. Further studies are needed to determine the underlying pathophysiological mechanism behind ReA-CDI, while developing the role of specific ribotypes and CP.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHOR</th>
<th>YEAR OF PUBLICATION</th>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE (years)</th>
<th>HLA</th>
<th>REASON FOR PRESCRIBING ATB BEFORE CDI</th>
<th>ATB BEFORE CDI</th>
<th>ATB USED TO TREAT CDI</th>
<th>TUI (days)</th>
<th>LOCATION OF ARTHRITIS</th>
<th>OUTCOME</th>
<th>SYMPTOM DURATION (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rollins et al. 1976</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>B27</td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Elbow, Wrist, Knee, Foot</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rothschild et al. 1977</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Genital infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairweather et al. 1980</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Wrist, Hip, Ankle, Foot</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolton et al. 1981</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbott et al. 1982</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Elbow, Wrist, Knee</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puddifoot et al. 1982</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Wrist, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCluskey 1982</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Wrist, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lofgren et al. 1984</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hip, Knee</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroii et al. 1986</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paty et al. 1987</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Wrist, Hand, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkins and et al. 1988</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Shoulder, Wrist, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkins and et al. 1989</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannonen et al. 1989</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wrist, Hand, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meriel et al. 1989</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Genital infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hand, Knee, Ankle, Other</td>
<td>NA, Persistence</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannonen et al. 1989</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Wrist</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannonen et al. 1989</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wrist, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonta et al. 1989</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Elbow, Hand, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonta et al. 1989</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Elbow, Hand, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cope et al. 1992</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Wrist, Hand, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Persistence</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putterman et al. 1993</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Wrist, Hand, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensini et al. 1993</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Elbow, Hand, Ankle, Foot</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillemin et al. 1994</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keating et al. 1995</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wrist, Hand, Ankle</td>
<td>LCS, Resolution</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocher et al. 1995</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elbow, Knee, Ankle, Foot</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al. 1996</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shoulder, Wrist, Knee</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cron et al. 1997</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nikkh et al. 1997</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wrist, Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Persistence</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Löffler et al. 1998</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Elbow, Shoulder, Wrist, Knee</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert et al. 1998</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hand, Ankle, Foot</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veillard et al. 1998</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Elbow, Knee, Other</td>
<td>NSAID + LCS, Resolution</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kocar et al. 1998</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soderlin et al. 1999</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ankle</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeulen et al. 2000</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shoulder, Hand, Knee</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermeulen et al. 2000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Wrist, Ankle, Foot</td>
<td>NA, Resolution</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Razavi et al. 2000</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hip, Ankle, Other</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs A. et al. 2001</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Other infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Knee</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegue P. et al. 2001</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Urinary infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hand, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Löffler et al. 2002</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prophylaxis</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>GLP</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Shoulder, Wrist, Ankle</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minet et al. 2005</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive infection</td>
<td>QLN</td>
<td>IMDZ + GLP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Elbow, Knee, Foot</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducroix et al. 2006</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Digestive infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ + GLP</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hand, Knee, Ankle, Other</td>
<td>NSAID, Resolution</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durand et al. 2006</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>ENT infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hip</td>
<td>Surgery, Resolution</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prati et al. 2006</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory infection</td>
<td>β-lact</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Elbow, Ankle</td>
<td>0, Resolution</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birnbaum et al. 2008</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Respiratory infection</td>
<td>Linc</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Shoulder, Knee, Ankle</td>
<td>NSAID + LCS, Resolution</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Abdelghani et al. 2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>IMDZ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Shoulder, Wrist, Ankle</td>
<td>SCS, Resolution</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Literature review of all cases of reactive arthritis associated with *Clostridium difficile* (N=49).

HIGHLIGHTS

- *Clostridium difficile* associated reactive arthritis are uncommon.
- It is more likely observed in younger patients with HLA B27-positive genotype.
- Outcome was favorable in 90% of patients.