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SUMMARY

Enhanced glucose utilization can be visualized in atherosclerotic lesions and may reflect a high glycolytic rate in lesional macrophages, but its causative role in plaque progression remains unclear. We observe that the activity of the carbohydrate-responsive element binding protein ChREBP is rapidly downregulated upon TLR4 activation in macrophages. ChREBP inactivation refocuses cellular metabolism to a high redox state favoring enhanced inflammatory responses after TLR4 activation and increased cell death after TLR4 activation or oxidized LDL loading. Targeted deletion of ChREBP in bone marrow cells resulted in accelerated atherosclerosis progression in Ldlr−/− mice with increased monocytosis, lesional macrophage accumulation, and plaque necrosis. Thus, ChREBP-dependent macrophage metabolic reprogramming hinders plaque progression and establishes a causative role for leukocyte glucose metabolism in atherosclerosis.

INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease driven by the accumulation of macrophage foam cells in the artery walls (Ross, 1999; Hansson et al., 2006; Moore and Tabas, 2011). Circulating monocytes traverse the inflamed arterial endothelium to fuel developing lesions (Swirski and Nahrendorf, 2013; Zernecke and Weber, 2014; Randolph, 2014; Tall and Yvan-Charvet, 2015). In addition, local environmental cues lead to alterations in the phenotype and survival of lesional macrophages (Tabas, 2005; Mosser and Edwards, 2008; Van Vre et al., 2012; Chinetti-Gbaguidi and Staels, 2011; Hasty and Yvan-Charvet, 2013), altering plaque composition and increasing the vulnerability of the plaques to rupture (Libby et al., 1996; Kolodgie et al., 2004).

Although extensive research has focused on elucidating the roles of cytokines and the microenvironment in the migration, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of monocytes and macrophages, the cellular metabolic pathways that regulate these processes are not well understood. Inflamed atherosclerotic plaques can be visualized by non-invasive positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) imaging with 18FDG, a glucose analog, which correlates with macrophage accumulation and inflammation (Rogers and Tawakol, 2011; Garcia-Garcia et al., 2014). Increasing glucose metabolism is thought to be crucial for macrophage activation. For instance, glucose consumption and glycolysis are enhanced in macrophages during inflammatory responses (Fukuzumi et al., 1996; Gamelli et al., 1996), and pharmacological inhibition of glucose metabolism can prevent the inflammatory responses induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Gautier et al., 2013). However, a recent study has called into question the relevance of these observations, as myeloid-specific overexpression of the glucose transporter Glut1, achieved by transducing bone marrow (BM) with CD68-Glut1 retroviral particles, did not aggravate atherosclerosis in Ldlr−/− knockout mice compared to Glut1-sufficient controls despite enhanced macrophage glycolysis (Nishizawa et al., 2014). Thus, there is a need for a better understanding of the metabolic pathways that link macrophage cellular glucose homeostasis and inflammation in atherosclerosis.

There are a number of pathways leading to aberrant metabolism of glucose that are thought to be pathological. Studies in mice have shown that glucose can be reduced by aldose reductase (AR) to sorbitol in macrophages, leading to the production of excess reactive oxygen species (ROS), which may contribute to accelerated atherosclerosis (Srivastava et al., 2009; Vedantham et al., 2011; Vikramadityan et al., 2005). However, low levels of AR are present in mice, and this pathway has few effects in mouse macrophages unless they express a human AR transgene, suggesting that alternative metabolic pathways exist to drive the inflammatory responses observed during macrophage activation. Modulation of mitochondrial potential and the tricarboxylic (TCA) cycle has been clearly associated with the ability of macrophages to mount an inflammatory...
response (Vats et al., 2006; O’Neill and Hardie, 2013; Tannahill et al., 2013; Jha et al., 2015). Recently, the sedoheptulose kinase CARKL, an enzyme involved in the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), has been proposed to act upstream of these alterations by modulating glycolysis, oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), and the TCA cycle in macrophages, with subsequent modulation of inflammatory responses (Haschemi et al., 2012). Intriguingly, the carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein (ChREBP), also known as MLX-interacting protein-like (MLXIPL), is a glucose-responsive transcription factor that regulates glycolysis and lipogenesis in hepatocytes. ChREBP can also be either positively regulated by glucose-derived metabolites or negatively regulated by AMPK and PKA, illustrating its pivotal role at the interface of different metabolic pathways (Filhoulaud et al., 2013). However, the relevance of this pathway to macrophage activation and atherosclerosis remains unknown.

To test the causal relationship between modulation of myeloid glucose utilization, inflammatory activation of myeloid cells, and the development of atherosclerotic lesions, we examined the role of ChREBP in inflammatory macrophages. We found that ChREBP activity was downregulated upon LPS stimulation, and knockdown of ChREBP in macrophages led to a major defect in the metabolic pathways that generate NADPH, the rate-limiting source of reduced glutathione. This led to increased inflammatory cytokine production and increased apoptosis in response to both LPS and oxidized LDL after ChREBP knockdown in macrophages. We next transplanted the BM of mice with ChREBP deficiency into atheroprone Ldlr/C0/C0 mice. Unexpectedly, we found that Ldlr/C0/C0 mice that had received Chrebp/C0/C0 BM showed accelerated atherosclerosis, with macrophage accumulation and enhanced necrotic core formation. These data demonstrate an important anti-inflammatory and pro-survival role for ChREBP in the prevention of atherosclerosis and necrotic core formation.

RESULTS

ChREBP Deficiency Increases Expression of Inflammatory Cytokines in Macrophages

Given the enhanced glucose flux observed in inflammatory macrophages (Fukuzumi et al., 1996; Gamelli et al., 1996; Gautier et al., 2013; Nishizawa et al., 2014), we first investigated whether the activity of ChREBP, a glucose-responsive transcriptional factor, was enhanced upon LPS stimulation in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages. Surprisingly, ChREBP nuclear translocation, reflecting ChREBP activity, was reduced by ~2-fold after 3 hr of LPS stimulation in wild-type (WT) macrophages (Figures 1A and 1B). In an attempt to identify the underlying mechanism, we next tested whether reduced CARKL-dependent X5P production upon macrophage activation (Haschemi et al., 2012) could prevent ChREBP nuclear translocation and activity (Filhoulaud et al., 2013). Intriguingly, CARKL silencing using small interfering RNA (siRNA) reduced ChREBP nuclear translocation both at baseline and after LPS stimulation, but this most likely reflected reduced total ChREBP protein expression (Figure S1). Mechanistic studies were next carried out using siRNA that led to a significant decrease in ChREBP mRNA expression (Figure S2A). ChREBP protein expression and nuclear translocation confirmed the knockdown efficiency (Figures 1A and 1B). A time-course experiment showed a greater initial increase in the expression of genes encoding cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) in macrophages after ChREBP silencing, which returned to basal levels after 10 hr of LPS exposure (Figures 1C and 1D). A 2-fold increase in TNF-α and IL-1β secretion paralleled the mRNA response 3 hr after LPS challenge (Figure 1E). These data, together with enhanced surface staining of the co-stimulatory molecule CD80 upon LPS stimulation in ChREBP knockdown macrophages (Figure 1F), demonstrated an enhanced activation state of these cells. LPS is known to signal via the Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)/nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) pathway, and ChREBP knockdown resulted in a greater initial increase and sustained phosphorylation in the transactivation domain of the p65 subunit, which is essential for optimal NF-κB activation after LPS exposure (Figure 1G). An alkaline phosphatase reporter construct inducible by NF-κB in RAW-Blue cells confirmed an increase in NF-κB transcriptional activity after ChREBP silencing from 3 hr to 6 hr after LPS stimulation (Figure 1H). This appears to be specific to the NF-κB pathway, as similar phosphorylation of Akt, p38-MAPK, and mTor was observed after ChREBP knockdown in macrophages 3 hr after LPS treatment (Figure S2B). We finally evaluated cytokine gene expression BM-derived macrophages. Although there was no detectable basal expression of cytokines in either controls or ChREBP knockdown macrophages, an enhanced response to LPS was confirmed in ChREBP knockdown BM-derived macrophages (Figure S2C). Thus, ChREBP downregulation after LPS stimulation affects the inflammatory response in macrophages.

Metabolic Profiling Reveals Reduced Metabolic Pathways that Control NADPH Production in LPS-Stimulated Chreb-Deficient Macrophages, Favoring Catabolic over Anabolic Pathways

Considering the substantial reprogramming of metabolism upon macrophage activation, we next quantified glycolytic metabolites by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages after ChREBP knockdown with or without 3 hr LPS treatment. Unexpectedly, despite the role of ChREBP as a positive regulator of glycolysis in hepatocytes (Dentin et al., 2012), increases in glycolytic metabolites such as fructose 1,6-biphosphate (FBP) and 3-phosphoglycerate/2-phosphoglycerate (3PG+2PG) were observed in basal ChREBP knockdown macrophages even though these effects were not sustained upon LPS stimulation (Figure 2A). Glucose 6-phosphate/fructose 6-phosphate (G6P+F6P) and citric acid cycle metabolites such as citrate/isocitrate (l/Citrate) and succinate were not statically different in macrophages following ChREBP silencing (Figures 2A and 2B). In contrast, a striking increase in malate (more than 3-fold) was observed in basal and LPS-stimulated ChREBP knockdown macrophages (Figure 2B). This was associated with a trend toward a higher ATP-to-ADP ratio in basal ChREBP knockdown macrophages (Figure 2C, left panel) and an ~1.6-fold increase in lactate content (a surrogate of glycolytic flux) in basal and LPS-stimulated ChREBP knockdown macrophages (Figure 2C, right panel). The metabolic plasticity of ChREBP-deficient macrophages...
Figure 1. ChREBP Is Required for the Proper Inflammatory Response of Macrophages
Thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages transfected for 48 hr with scrambled or ChREBP siRNA were stimulated with or without 100 ng/ml LPS for 3 hr or the indicated period of time.
(A and B) Representative pictures and quantification of ChREBP translocation (magnification 5×, insert 20× with or without DAPI co-staining).
(C and D) mRNA expression over time of TNF-α and IL-6.
(E) Secretion of inflammatory cytokines normalized to cellular protein amount and expressed as a percentage of WT.
(F and G) CD80 surface expression (F) and phospho-p65 expression (G) over time.
(H) NF-κB activity measured by an alkaline phosphatase reporter construct in RAW-Blue cells.
Values are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. *p < 0.05 compared to control; |p < 0.05 versus untreated condition.
Figure 2. ChREBP Reprograms Carbohydrate Metabolism in LPS-Stimulated Macrophages

Thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages transfected with scrambled or ChREBP siRNA were stimulated with or without 100 ng/ml LPS for 3 hr or the indicated period of time.

(A–C) Effect of LPS treatment on glycolytic (A) and citric acid (B) metabolites and ATP/ADP ratio and lactate (C).

(D and E) ECAR (D) and OCR (E) recordings of 3-hr LPS-stimulated macrophages under the indicated conditions.

(F–J) Effect of LPS treatment on mRNA expression of glycolytic enzymes (F), metabolites from the pentose phosphate pathway (G), PGD activity and NADPH/NAD ratio (H), ME activity and glucose conversion into lipids (I), and mRNA expression of NADPH-dependent enzymes (J).

(K) ChIP assays were performed with amplicons flanking the proximal promoter region of putative ChREBP targets. qPCR (normalized to input) was used to assess ChREBP occupancy of the targeted promoter.

(L) Effect of LPS on cytokine gene expression in macrophages transfected with scrambled, ME2, and PDG siRNA. Results are means ± SEM of an experiment performed in triplicate. *p < 0.05 compared to control; †p < 0.05 versus untreated condition.

(M) Schematic representation of the metabolic pathways regulated by ChREBP in LPS-treated macrophages.
upon activation was also confirmed by an increase in extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) at baseline and after glucose stimulation, but not after oligomycin treatment (Figure 2D), and a decrease in oxygen consumption rate (OCR) at baseline or after oligomycin treatment (Figure 2E). An 2-fold increase in the expression of glycolytic enzymes such as hexokinase 2 (HK2) and pyruvate kinase isozyme M2 (PKM2) in LPS-stimulated macrophages after ChREBP knockdown also correlated with higher glycolytic flux (Figure 2F). Although increased 6-phosphogluconate (6PG) was observed in basal and LPS-stimulated macrophages after ChREBP silencing, there was a significant decrease in ribulose-5-phosphate/xyulose-5-phosphate (R5P/X5P) levels, but not sedoheptulose-7-phosphate/se- doheptulose (S7P/SED), in these cells (Figure 2G). This was associated with an ~2-fold decrease in phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (PGD; an enzyme that converts 6PG into R5P and NADP+ to NADPH) activity in basal and LPS-stimulated ChREBP knockdown cells (Figure 2H, left panel). Consistently, basal and LPS-stimulated macrophages exhibited a prominent 30-fold decrease in the NADPH/NAPD ratio after ChREBP knockdown (Figure 2H, right panel). NADPH can also be produced by the pyruvate cycling pathway or consumed through anabolic pathways such as lipid synthesis, both pathways being targets of ChREBP (Ma et al., 2006; Burgess et al., 2008; Filhoulaud et al., 2013). Remarkably, we found a 2.5-fold decrease in malic enzyme (ME) activity (Figure 2I, right panel) and a 2-fold decrease in glucose conversion into lipids (Figure 2I, left panel) in basal and LPS-stimulated macrophages after ChREBP knockdown. At the transcriptional level, a 2-fold decrease in phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (PGD), mitochondrial malic enzyme (ME2), and fatty acid synthase (FAS) mRNA expression was observed in basal and LPS-stimulated macrophages after ChREBP silencing (Figure 2J), and this correlated with an altered NADPH/NAPD ratio and impaired lipid synthesis. Then, specific binding of ChREBP on FAS, ME2, and PGD promoters was investigated in basal and LPS-stimulated macrophages using chromatin immunoprecipitation assays. The binding of ChREBP was demonstrated at different degrees on these promoters and declined after 3-hr LPS stimulation (Figure 2K). ME2 and PGD silencing using siRNA in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages with or without 3-hr LPS treatment also confirmed that combined knockdown of these two genes led to an exacerbated inflammatory response after LPS stimulation (Figure 2L). Together, our results reveal that ChREBP does not contribute to the enhanced glycolysis in inflamed macrophages but rather controls the two main cellular pathways involved in NADPH production, which could explain, together with reduced FAS expression, the diminished lipid synthesis capacity of these cells (Figure 2M).

Reduced PPP-Dependent Cellular Redox Status Drives the Macrophage Inflammatory Response in Chrebp-Deficient Macrophages

In metabolic terms, the reduced NADPH/NADP ratio observed in macrophages after ChREBP silencing could equate to a lack of reducing energy known to supply reduced glutathione and scavenge free radicals to prevent oxidative damage. Indeed, a time-course experiment revealed that ChREBP knockdown in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages led to an ~1.7-fold increase in the oxidative stress response measured by flow cytometry (Figures 3A and 3B) that was inversely correlated with a ~1.4-fold decrease in thiol-tracker staining under basal or LPS-stimulated conditions (Figures 3C and 3D). Increased oxidative stress response was also observed to a less extent in
thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages cultured in low-glucose medium (5.6 mM versus 25 mM) after ChREBP silencing (Figure S3). The reduced GSH/GSSG balance in basal (1.3-fold) and LPS-stimulated (1.4-fold) macrophages after ChREBP knockdown confirmed an alteration of the glutathione redox balance in these cells (Figure 3E). Remarkably, exogenous addition of glutathione monooethyl ester clearly abolished the increased inflammatory cytokine expression induced by LPS in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages after ChREBP silencing (Figure 3F). Together, these findings show that the increased inflammatory responses in macrophages with ChREBP silencing reflect a lack of NADPH-dependent reduction of glutathione disulfide.

Reduced M2 Polarization in Chrebp-Deficient Macrophages

As the modulation of the redox status alters macrophage M2 polarization (Vats et al., 2006; Haschemi et al., 2012; Jais et al., 2014), we next investigated the relevance of ChREBP in this pathway. Nuclear translocation of ChREBP was increased by almost 2-fold after IL-4 stimulation in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages (Figures S4A and S4B). A time-course experiment showed that ChREBP silencing partially prevented the initial increase in Arg1 and Mrc1 mRNA expression induced by IL-4 (Figures S4C and S4D). Interestingly, treatment of IL-4-stimulated ChREBP knockdown macrophages with the antioxidant glutathione restored Arg1, Mrc1, and Fizz1 mRNA expression to the level of control cells, suggesting that ChREBP acts at the interface between macrophage M1-M2 polarization by controlling the redox status of the cells and most likely “pre-programming” macrophage skewing (Figure S4E) (Jais et al., 2014). As autophagy controls the delivery of lipids to lysosomes for hydrolysis (Ouimet et al., 2011) and lysosomal lipolysis has recently emerged as critical for M2 activation (Huang et al., 2014), we next wondered whether the altered fatty acid homeostasis in macrophages after ChREBP knockdown could modulate this pathway. ChREBP silencing prevented the rise in autophagic flux induced by IL-4 as quantified by flow cytometry (Figure S4F). Moreover, inhibition of autophagy by 3-methyladenine (3-MA) reduced the polarization of M2 macrophages induced by IL-4 in control macrophages to the same extent as that in ChREBP knockdown macrophages, as measured by Arg1, Mrc1, and Fizz1 mRNA expression (Figure S4E). Together, these findings suggest that ChREBP controls autophagy-dependent M2 polarization by maintaining macrophage redox status and fatty acid production.

Increased Susceptibility of Chrebp-Deficient Macrophages to Cell Death Induced by LPS and Oxidized LDL-Dependent ROS Generation

To test whether the reduced redox state of macrophages after ChREBP silencing contributes to an increased susceptibility to oxidative stress-induced apoptosis, thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages were treated with 100 ng/ml LPS or 50 μg/ml oxidized LDL for 24 hr. As expected, flow cytometry analysis revealed that WT macrophages were alive 24 hr after treatment (Figures 4A and 4B), whereas ChREBP knockdown macrophages showed a dramatic 4-fold increase in macrophage death, reflected by late apoptosis or necrosis (i.e., AnnexinV+ PI+) (Figures 4A and 4B). While reduced cellular thiols were present in viable, apoptotic, and necrotic macrophages after ChREBP knockdown in all the treatment groups (Figure 4C), the increase in ROS formation was mainly attributable to cells undergoing apoptosis and necrosis (Figure 4D). Because LPS and oxidized LDL-induced ROS production can promote caspase-dependent cell death in macrophage foam cells (Yvan-Charvet et al., 2010a), and recent data have shown an intimate link between glucose metabolism and caspase activation in experimental model of apoptosis induced by staurosporine (Pradelli et al., 2014), we next measured caspase activity. Flow cytometry analysis of fluorescent label carboxyfluorescein (FAM) fluorescent-labeled inhibitor of caspases (FICA) revealed an increase in caspase activity in apoptotic and necrotic ChREBP knockdown macrophages upon LPS or oxidized LDL stimulation compared to controls (Figures 4E and 4F). The addition of glutathione or pharmacological inhibition of caspases by Z-VAD-FMK confirmed marked antiapoptotic effects in oxidized LDL-stimulated Chrebp-deficient macrophages (Figure 4G). Together, these findings reveal a role of ChREBP in maintaining macrophage redox status to limit caspase-dependent death.

Accelerated Atherosclerosis in Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> Mice Transplanted with Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM

Atherosclerosis is a chronic inflammatory disease dominated by a phenotypic switch of macrophages (Hasty and Yvan-Charvet, 2013) and alteration of macrophage survival (Tabas, 2005; Van Vre et al., 2012). We wondered whether expression of ChREBP by macrophages might affect atherosclerosis development. To test this hypothesis, we transplanted BM cells from WT and Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> mice into irradiated Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> recipients, thereby generating Ldr<sup>−/−</sup> mice with either Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> or WT macrophages. Four weeks after transplantation, mice were challenged with a Western-type diet for 11 weeks. Freshly isolated resident peritoneal macrophages at the end of the experiment showed no detectable ChREBP mRNA expression. TNF-α expression was increased by 2.5-fold and that of MCP-1 by 1.6-fold (Figure 5A), similar to the enhanced TNF-α and MCP-1 mRNA expression observed in LPS-stimulated Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> thioglycollate-elicted peritoneal macrophages isolated from chow-fed animals compared to controls (Figure S5). This confirmed the efficiency of the transplantation procedure. Lipoprotein and metabolic parameters were measured before the start of the diet and at the time of sacrifice. As shown in Table S2, the two groups of mice did not differ significantly with regards to body weight, fat mass, plasma leptin, glucose and triglyceride levels, or plasma LDL and HDL cholesterol. We also did not observe changes in blood leukocytes, lymphocytes or spleen weight in Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM transplanted mice before the start or at the end of the experiment (Table S2). A role of ChREBP in mediating glycosylation-induced T cell activation (Macintyre et al., 2014) was also excluded in Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM recipients, as a similar percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD62L<sup>L0</sup> peripheral CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes was observed at sacrifice (Figure S6). Nevertheless, Ldr<sup>−/−</sup> mice receiving Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM showed an ~1.7-fold increase in atherosclerosis development.
Figure 4. Enhanced Susceptibility to Cell Death in Chrebp-Deficient Macrophages

(A and B) Representative FACS plots (A) and quantification of macrophage death (B) in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal macrophages transfected with scrambled or ChREBP siRNA and treated with 100 ng/ml LPS or 50 μg/ml oxidized LDL (oxLDL) for 16 hr.

(C and D) Thiols (C) and ROS repartition (D) in necrotic, apoptotic, and viable cells.

(E and F) Representative histograms (E) and quantification (F) of caspase activation.

(G) Effect of GSH and Z-VAD-FMK caspase inhibitor on the percentage of Annexin V+ cells after 16-hr treatment with 50 μg/ml oxLDL.

Values are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 compared to control.
in their proximal aortas and showed numerous inflammatory infiltrates compared to mice receiving WT BM, which developed only sparse foam cell-containing atherosclerotic lesions (Figure 5B).

**Monocytosis in Chrebp**—**BM-Transplanted Ldlr**—**Mice Is Mediated through a Cell-Extrinsic Mechanism**

Immunohistochemical staining of aortic root plaques confirmed a 2-fold increase in F4/80⁺ macrophages in Chrebp⁺⁻ BM-transplanted Ldlr⁻⁻ mice (Figure 6A). The increased plaque macrophage content in Chrebp⁺⁻ atheromata could reflect local inflammation or systemic monocytosis, which are known to contribute to atherosclerotic plaque progression in both mice and humans (Coller, 2005; Swirski et al., 2007; Tacke et al., 2007; Combadière et al., 2008). We observed a 2-fold increase in neutrophils and inflammatory blood Ly6CHi monocyte subsets in Chrebp⁺⁻ BM-transplanted Ldlr⁻⁻ mice fed a high-fat diet (Figure 6B). We next undertook studies to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the peripheral inflammatory phenotype observed in these mice. Targeted gene expression profiling revealed that ChREBP was barely detectable in BM progenitors compared to macrophages (Figure S7A), even though ChREBP expression in these cells was much lower than in hepatocytes (Figure S7B). Gavage with a liver X receptor (LXR) agonist (TO-901317) that has been previously suggested to increase ChREBP expression in hepatocytes (Cha and Repa, 2007; Denechaud et al., 2008) did not modulate macrophage ChREBP expression (Figure S7A). ChREBP was also barely expressed in splenic dendritic cells or B and T lymphocytes compared to macrophages (Figure S7C). Taking advantage of a publicly available gene expression dataset from Immgen (http://www.immgen.org), we observed that key enzymes of the PPP were predominantly expressed in cells derived from the macrophage lineage (Figure S7D), paralleling ChREBP expression. This further illustrated an important unrecognized role of this pathway in macrophages. Consistent with these findings, neither the Lin−Sca1+ckit⁺ (LSK) population, representing hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), nor the granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GMP) and common myeloid progenitor (CMP) populations were altered in the BM of mice transplanted with Chrebp⁺⁻ BM (Figure 6C). Nevertheless, monocyte counts were reduced in the BM of mice that had received Chrebp⁺⁻ BM, and this contrasted with the increase in Ly6CHi monocytes observed in their spleen, most likely reflecting monocyte mobilization (Figures 2C and 2D). Measurement of various plasma factors known to promote BM hematopoietic cell mobilization did not reveal an alteration in growth factors such as GH, M-CSF or G-CSF (Figure S7E) or chemoattractant molecules such as MIP1α, MIP2, or KC (Figure S7F) in mice transplanted with Chrebp⁺⁻ BM. However, there was a significant 1.5-fold increase in levels of plasma MCP-1 and MCP-3, but not MCP-5, in these mice (Figure 6E). These chemokines have been previously been shown to be produced by macrophage foam cells (Westerterp et al., 2013) and to promote CCR2-dependent monocyte mobilization from the BM to the blood (Tsou et al., 2007). Thus, we wondered whether the monocytosis observed upon myeloid ChREBP deficiency could be dependent on systemic inflammation driven by macrophages. To directly test our hypothesis, we performed a competitive BM transplantation. Ldlr⁻⁻ mice were transplanted with a 1:1 mix of CD45.1 WT with either CD45.2 WT or CD45.2 Chrebp⁺⁻ BM. Mice were fed a high fat diet, and monocyte levels were assessed. In line with our previous observations, more CD45.2 Ly6CHi monocytes were observed in mice containing Chrebp⁺⁻ cells (Figure 6F). Interestingly, the presence of CD45.2 Chrebp⁺⁻ BM, but not of CD45.2 WT BM, increased the number of CD45.1 WT peripheral monocytes, suggesting that monocyte mobilization in mice that had received Chrebp⁺⁻ BM was mediated by circulating factors (Figure 6F). Thus, the monocytosis in mice that had received Chrebp⁺⁻ BM was most likely secondary to the
increased plaque burden and associated systemic inflammation. Future studies using specific knockout of ChREBP in macrophages may help to decipher whether these cells are responsible for the MCP-1 and MCP-3-dependent monocytosis.

Increased Plaque Complexity in Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> Mice Transplanted with Chreb<sup>−/−</sup> BM

Histological characterization of atherosclerotic lesions revealed increased plaque complexity in mice that had received Chreb<sup>−/−</sup> BM.
BM, typified by a slight decrease in sections containing no lesions or valve lesions and a greater proportion of sections containing foam cell rich lesions and sections containing advanced, complex lesions characterized by compromised integrity of the media, necrotic core formation, and cholesterol clefts (expressed as the number of observations) (Figure 7A). Furthermore, Chrebp−/− lesional macrophages isolated by laser capture microscopy (LCM), which expressed CD68, but not the endothelial marker CD31, showed increased mRNA expression of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, MCP-1, and MIP1α (Figure 7B). A trend toward reduced Arg1 and Mrc1 mRNA expression was also observed (data not shown). More strikingly, TUNEL staining revealed five times more apoptotic cells in mice receiving Chrebp−/− BM (Figure 7C), reflecting the pro-survival role of ChREBP in maintaining macrophage redox status. This correlated with an increased necrotic area in these mice (1,819 ± 284 versus 3,665 ± 466 μm²/section in Ldlr−/− mice receiving WT or Chrebp−/− BM, respectively). Together, these data suggest that ChREBP-dependent metabolic reprogramming of lesional macrophages controls atherosclerosis plaque complexity.

DISCUSSION

Atherosclerotic plaques that cause clinical events, so-called vulnerable plaques, have a high macrophage content (Libby et al., 1996; Moore and Tabas, 2011) that correlates with enhanced 18FDG incorporation visualized by PET-CT imaging (Rogers and Tawakol, 2011; Garcia-Garcia et al., 2014). However, the molecular mechanisms that link glucose utilization to inflammation in macrophages are poorly understood in the context of atherosclerosis. The present study reveals that ChREBP, a glucose sensor transcription factor, is expressed by macrophages and protects against macrophage inflammatory responses and apoptosis induced by pro-atherogenic stressors. Notably, our mechanistic studies have demonstrated that macrophages lacking ChREBP show a dramatic decrease in cellular glutathione content due to the loss of reducing energy supplied through the production of NADPH. This leads to increased ROS production and sustained NF-κB activation in response to LPS in macrophages after ChREBP knockdown, driving local and systemic inflammatory responses. Moreover, in response to oxidative stress-induced apoptosis, macrophages in which ChREBP was silenced were more prone to caspase dependent death, likely explaining the enhanced necrotic core formation in plaques of mice transplanted with Chrebp−/− BM. Together, our data establish a critical role for ChREBP in preventing macrophage inflammation and apoptosis in atherosclerosis and demonstrate the importance of immune metabolic flux in chronic inflammatory diseases.

When we initiated the present study, we hypothesized that ChREBP could be a sensor of the high glycolytic rate of inflammatory macrophages (Fukuzumi et al., 1996; Gamelli et al., 1996; Gautier et al., 2013; Nishizawa et al., 2014). This hypothesis was driven by observations showing that ChREBP can be regulated by glucose-derived metabolites in hepatocytes and the fact that liver pyruvate kinase (LPK) is a ChREBP target gene (Filhoulaud et al., 2013). Nevertheless, we first observed that ChREBP was downregulated upon LPS treatment. Basal

Figure 7. Increased Atherosclerotic Plaque Complexity in Mice Transplanted with Chrebp−/− BM
(A) Atherosclerotic lesion severity in the aortic root of HFD-fed Ldlr−/− recipient mice transplanted with WT or Chrebp−/− BM (n = 10).
(B) mRNA expression in lesional macrophages isolated by laser microcapture in these mice.
(C) Representative micrographs and quantification of TUNEL-positive signal (green; arrows) in nuclei (blue) of aortic root lesions from these mice (magnification x500).
Results are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 compared to control.
and LPS-stimulated ChREBP knockdown macrophages also exhibited higher glycolytic metabolites and enhanced expression of glycolytic enzymes such as Hk2 and PKM2. This suggested that macrophage PKM2, in contrast to hepatic LPK, was not a transcriptional target of ChREBP, most likely because neoglucogenesis is not present in macrophages and these cells do not require fine-tuning of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) conversion into pyruvate to avoid its recycling back to PEP for neoglucogenesis (Filhoulaud et al., 2013). The absence of such retro-control in macrophages could also explain the lower expression of ChREBP in these cells compared to hepatocytes. Interestingly, ChREBP is a regulator of malic enzyme (ME)-dependent pyruvate cycling in hepatocytes (Ma et al., 2006; Burgess et al., 2008) that reroutes TCA intermediates derived from pyruvate back to pyruvate and transfers reducing equivalents from NADH to NADP+ to generate NADPH (Lu et al., 2002). The regulation of this pathway appears to be fully conserved in macrophages, as reduced ME expression and activity paralleled a dramatic accumulation of malate in macrophages after ChREBP silencing and was associated with a reduced NADPH/NADP+ ratio. Alteration of this pathway could also contribute to the broken Krebs cycle recently observed in LPS-stimulated macrophages that could support the argininosuccinate shunt (Jha et al., 2015). There are two alternative metabolic pathways that could have contributed to the reduced NADPH/NADP+ ratio in these cells. While the reduction of glucose by AR is observed in humans (Vikramadithyan et al., 2005; Srivastava et al., 2009; Vedantham et al., 2011), we observed a decrease in the PGD-dependent nonoxidative phase of the PPP shunt that generates NADPH in macrophages lacking ChREBP, revealing a mechanism of regulation of NADPH production by ChREBP and illustrating the key role of this transcriptional factor in maintaining the redox status of macrophages.

By being a rheostat for cellular metabolism controlling cellular redox status, ChREBP orchestrates a complex response to external pro-atherogenic stressors that require a high energy demand, efficient anti-oxidant homeostasis, and lipid synthesis. Interestingly, the mechanism underlying the increased LPS-dependent inflammatory responses and LPS- and oxidized-LDL-dependent death in macrophages lacking ChREBP was found to be an amplified burst of oxidative stress secondary to a broken TCA cycle and glutathione depletion, which is known to be regenerated in an NADPH-dependent fashion. At the molecular level, the enhanced NF-κB activity in macrophages with ChREBP silencing could be linked to ROS sensitivity (Morgan and Liu, 2011), and ROS generation could also act as a first “hit” signal, pre-polarizing macrophages prior activation (Jais et al., 2014) or sustaining JNK activation (Kamata et al., 2005; Dhanasekaran and Reddy, 2008), altering the balance of life and death in response to Toll-like receptor signaling in macrophages (Yvan-Charvet et al., 2010a; Moore and Tabas, 2011). Glutathione depletion in macrophages has been previously associated with atherosclerotic lesion formation in the setting of AR overexpression (Vikramadithyan et al., 2005; Srivastava et al., 2009; Vedantham et al., 2011). We now show that a similar mechanism can be controlled by ChREBP-dependent NADPH-glutathione regulation. Another interesting observation was that ChREBP controls autophagy-dependent macrophage M2 polarization, which could be the consequence of an altered oxidative metabolism-dependent pre-programming of these cells toward an M2-like phenotype (Jais et al., 2014) or an impaired fatty acid synthesis limiting substrate availability for lysosomal lipolysis (Huang et al., 2014). Future studies should help to clarify this point and determine whether this mechanism contributes to the accelerated atherosclerosis in mice transplanted with Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM (Liao et al., 2012). Together, our studies extend the importance of immune metabolic flux beyond macrophage inflammation in atherosclerosis by proposing that (1) ChREBP could represent a functional metabolic shift between M1 and M2 macrophage polarization in atherosclerosis and (2) ChREBP could play a pivotal pro-survival role in macrophages, with major implications for plaque necrosis and stability (Libby et al., 1996; Moore and Tabas, 2011).

The role of macrophages in controlling hematopoietic and myeloid cell mobilization from the BM to the spleen for extra-medullary hematopoiesis has recently emerged. This function represents an important pro-atherogenic mechanism that contributes to the pool of circulating Ly6<sup>C</sup> hi monocytes that infiltrate atherosclerotic plaques (Tall and Yvan-Charvet, 2015). Interestingly, the increased plaque burden driven by an alteration in macrophage behavior in mice transplanted with Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM was associated with systemic inflammation dominated by an increase in circulating inflammatory Ly6<sup>hi</sup> monocytes. A competitive BM transplantation experiment suggested that this phenotype was driven by extrinsic factors such as MCP-1 and MCP-3 that were increased in the plasma and atherosclerotic plaques of mice transplanted with Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> BM. These factors could mediate the emigration of monocytes out of the BM and their recruitment to inflammatory atherosclerotic plaques (Tsou et al., 2007; Tacke et al., 2007; Swirski et al., 2007; Combadière et al., 2008; Westerterp et al., 2013). Thus, this study provides evidence of an additional antiatherogenic effect of ChREBP in macrophages, acting as a positive feedback loop to control monocytosis.

Together, these data demonstrate an unexpected role of ChREBP in preventing macrophage inflammation and apoptosis and indicate that activation of the ChREBP pathway may be a potential therapeutic target to prevent the formation of complex dangerous atheromata. In particular, it would be interesting to determine whether such a therapeutic approach could have additive value in the context of NASH, in which hepatic activation of ChREBP may also protect against insulin resistance (Filhoulaud et al., 2013).

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Materials and additional methods are available in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Mice**

Chrebp<sup>−/−</sup> mice (B6.129S6-Mxipitm1Kuy/J) that have been crossed to C57BL/6J for 25 generations were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. Animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Columbia University or were undertaken according to the Guidelines for Care and Use of Experimental Animals in France. Animals had free access to food and water and were housed in a controlled environment with a 12-hr light-dark cycle and constant temperature (22°C).
BM Transplantation
BM transplantation was performed as previously described (Yvan-Charvet et al., 2010b) using BM from WT and Chrebp−/− littermates. Briefly, the atherosclerosis studies were conducted in 10-week-old female Ldlr−/− mice fed a Western-type diet (TD88137) from Harlan Teklad for 11 weeks. Mice were allowed to recover for 4 weeks after irradiation and BM transplantation before diet studies were initiated. Body weight was recorded at indicated time points. Mice were euthanized in accordance with the American Veterinary Association Panel of Euthanasia. Spleen and epididymal adipose tissue weights were determined at the time of sacrifice.

Histological Analysis of Proximal Aortas
Mice were sacrificed and heart was harvested. Heart was washed with PBS then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Heart was embedded in paraffin, and Histological Analysis of Proximal Aortas determined at the time of sacrifice. We thank Dr. Frédéric Labret for assistance with flow cytometry. This work was supported by grants to L.Y.-C. from INSERM ATIP-Avenir, the Fondation de France (20130038585), and Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). The authors had full access to and take responsibility for the integrity of the data. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.
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