

Photoacoustics with coherent light

Emmanuel Bossy, Sylvain Gigan

To cite this version:

Emmanuel Bossy, Sylvain Gigan. Photoacoustics with coherent light. Photoacoustics, 2016, 10.1016/j.pacs.2016.01.003. hal-01284824

HAL Id: hal-01284824 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01284824>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Photoacoustics with coherent light

Author: Emmanuel Bossy Sylvain Gigan

PII: S2213-5979(16)30003-9 DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.pacs.2016.01.003> Reference: PACS 50

To appear in:

Received date: 29-10-2015 Accepted date: 28-1-2016

Please cite this article as: Emmanuel Bossy, Sylvain Gigan, Photoacoustics with coherent light, *<![CDATA[Photoacoustics]]>* (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pacs.2016.01.003>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Photoacoustics with coherent light

Emmanuel Bossy^{1,2}, Sylvain Gigan³

¹ESPCI ParisTech, PSL Research University, CNRS, INSERM, Institut Langevin, 1 rue Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

 2 Laboratory of Optics and Laboratory of Applied Photonics Devices, School of Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

 3 Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Collège de France, CNRS UMR 8552, 24 rue Lhomond 75005 Paris, France

Abstract

Accepted Manuscript Since its introduction in the mid-nineties, photoacoustic imaging of biological tissue has been one of the fastest growing biomedical imaging modality, and its basic principles are now considered as well established. In particular, light propagation in photoacoustic imaging is generally considered from the perspective of transport theory. However, recent breakthroughs in optics have shown that coherent light propagating through optically scattering medium could be manipulated towards novel imaging approaches. In this article, we review the recent works showing that it is also possible to exploit the coherence of light in conjunctions with photoacoustics. We illustrate how the photoacoustic effect can be used as a powerful feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping in complex media, and conversely show how the coherence of light can be exploited to enhance photoacoustic imaging. Finally, we discuss the current challenges and perspectives down the road towards practical applications in the field of photoacoustic imaging.

Keywords: Photoacoustic imaging, Coherent light, Multiple scattering, Speckle Illumination, Optical wavefront shaping

Contents

2 Background 2^{25}

Preprint submitted to Photoacoustics October 29, 2015

$$
24 \t 7 \t 7
$$
Conflicts of interest

$$
25 \quad 8 \quad References \qquad \qquad 15
$$

2²⁶ 1. Introduction

 Photoacoustic imaging of biological tissue is a fast de- veloping multi-wave imaging modality that couples light excitation to acoustic detection, via the photoacoustic ef- fect, to yield images of optical absorption [1, 2, 3, 4]. The ³¹ photoacoustic effect consists in light absorption followed
 $7 \cdot \frac{32}{16}$ by acoustic emission, via thermo-elastic stress-generation. by acoustic emission, via thermo-elastic stress-generation. It was first used in the field of optical absorption spec- troscopy, and has been introduced for biomedical appli-⁷₃₅ cations in the mid-90s [5, 6, 7]. The general principle of $9 \times$ photoacoustic imaging is the following: the sample to be photoacoustic imaging is the following: the sample to be imaged is illuminated by pulsed light (for most implemen- tations), and acoustic waves generated from illuminated absorbing regions are detected by acoustic sensors. De- 1_{40} pending on the situation, the resolution can be limited either by the acoustic or by the optical wavelength. Pho- toacoustic imaging was first developed for deep tissue op- tical imaging in the so-called acoustic-resolution regime, to overcome the loss of optical resolution caused by opti- cal scattering. Due to multiple scattering of light in bio-logical tissue, optical-resolution imaging based on ballistic

E) D

solution only menterstion depth for deepse current limitations and envision some perspective desirable in the spacetin region of column in the space of the small solution of manipulation of mathemolecule and solution to a light is limited to depths typically less than one millime- tre [1], and the resolution of technique based solely on multiply scattered light (such as Diffuse Optical Tomog- raphy [8]) is on the order of the imaging depth. On the other hand, ultrasound is very weakly scattered in bio- logical tissue, and therefore photoacoustic waves can be used to reconstruct images of optical absorption with the resolution of ultrasound, which inversely scales with its ⁵⁵ frequency. The resolution and penetration depth for deep₁₁₂ tissue photoacoustic imaging is ultimately limited by the attenuation of light and sound. In the spectral region 600- 900 nm, the so-called "optical window" where absorption is minimal in tissues, the amount of multiply scattered light decreases exponentially with an effective attenuation₁₁₅ $_{61}$ length of about 1 cm [2]. The acoustic attenuation in tis- $_{116}$ sue increases linearly with frequency, with a typical value 63 of $0.5 \text{ dB cm}^{-1} \text{ MHz}^{-1}$. As a consequence, the penetration depth of photoacoustic imaging scales linearly with the acoustic-resolution, with a maximum depth-to-resolution ratio of about 200 [1, 4]. Another regime of photoacoustic imaging is optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy, for which light is focused and raster-scanned over the sample to make a point-by-point photoacoustic image with a res- olution given by the optical spot size [9]. This regime is only possible at shallow depth, where ballistic light is still₁₁₈ present and can be focused to the optical diffraction limit. Over both the optical- and acoustic-resolution regimes, the depth-to-resolution ratio of photoacoustic imaging is typi- τ ⁵ cally in the 100-200 range, a combined consequence of both τ ²²

 optical and ultrasound attenuation. Because multiple scattering of light is an inescapable process during the propagation of light in complex media γ_9 such as biological tissue (sec. 2.2), it has long been con-126 sidered as a nuisance to get rid of. In the last decade, 81 it has however been demonstrated that it could actually 128 82 be exploited for optical imaging at unprecedented depth.129 83 This blooming field of research leveraged on the coherence130 84 properties of multiple scattered light (the optical speckle131 [10], sec. 2.3) and the possibility to control such proper-132 ties thanks to the manipulation of light impinging on the 87 medium: optical wavefront shaping has allowed focusing 88 and imaging at optical resolution through strongly scatter-135 89 ing materials [11] (sec. 2.4). In the field of photoacoustic136 imaging, up until recently, light has usually been consid- ered from the sole point of view of the absorption of op- tical energy. Lasers have therefore been widely used as 93 powerful and flexibles sources of light energy. In optical-140 resolution microscopy, their spatial coherence was the nec- essary condition to focus them to a diffraction spot. How- ever, coherence properties of lasers also provide specific 97 properties for multiple scattering, at the core of phenom-144 ena such as the formation of optical speckle patterns, and 99 open the possibility of manipulating scattered light with 146 optical wavefront shaping. This paper reviews the recent research efforts led over the past few years that exploit and take advantages of the photoacoustic effect in conjunc-tion with coherent illumination in the multiple scattering

regime. We first introduce general concepts regarding both ¹⁰⁵ photoacoustics and light propagation in scattering media $(Sec.2)$, which will be extensively used in the rest of the paper. The two following sections then review the use of the photoacoustic effect as a feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping $(Sec.3)$ and how coherent light may ¹¹⁰ enhanced photoacoustic imaging with speckle illumination or optical wavefront shaping $(Sec.4)$. We finally discuss the current limitations and envision some perspectives in the field.

2. Background

2.1. Photoacoustics: from light absorption to sound generation

In the context of photoacoustic imaging of soft biological tissue, one of the simplest and widely used theoretical description of the photoacoustic effect can be summarized by the following equation [12, 3]

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c_s^2 \nabla^2\right] p(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r}, t)
$$
 (1)

where $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the photoacoustic pressure field, and $H(r, t)$ is a heating function that corresponds to the thermal energy converted from optical absorption, per unit volume and time per unit time. Eq. (1) assumes that the medium is acoustically and thermally homogeneous (with c_s the speed of sound and Γ the Gruneisen coefficient [3]), ¹²³ while the optical properties of the medium (hence H) may vary spatially. It also assumes that thermal diffusion may be neglected over the spatial and temporal scales of interest (i.e. heat-confinement assumption $[3]$), which is usually true for most situations encountered in photoacoustic imaging and will be considered fulfilled in this paper. This equation simply states that the heating following (optical) absorption appears as a source term in the acoustic wave equation, and therefore leads to the generation and propagation of acoustic waves.

 $H(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is proportional to the optical intensity $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$, with some coefficient representative of the optical absorption. Importantly, time t in Eq. 1 refers to the time evolution of the optical intensity, which by definition is proportional to the square of the electric field averaged over a few optical periods. Using the complex notation for electric fields with slowly time-varying envelopes, the opti-¹⁴⁰ cal intensity may be written as $I(\mathbf{r},t) \propto |\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},t)|^2$, where the proportionality constant reflects local dielectric properties. In strongly scattering media such as biological tissue, there is no simple description for $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The propagation of the electric field may be described by Maxwell's equations in which material properties strongly vary in space, with scattering caused by local variations of the index of refraction. While it is impossible in practice to obtain a full description of $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ at the microscopic level, due to the very complex propagation process, light ¹⁵⁰ propagation in multiply scattering media may however be

¹⁵¹ described with statistical approaches, further discussed in ¹⁵² the following sections.

¹⁵³ 2.2. Light transport in multiple scattering media

The most widely used approach to model light propagation for the photoacoustic imaging of biological tissue is based on transport theory. In this theory, the physical quantity of interest is the ensemble averaged optical intensity, or fluence rate, that describes the flux of the optical energy. Depending on the desired accuracy and scales of interest, several approaches may be used to describe the flux of optical energy with a transport approach. Numerical approaches include Monte-Carlo simulations of random walks used to describe the paths followed by the optical energy [13], and analytical models include the radiative transfert equation or the diffusion equation [14]. These $\frac{1}{17}$ approaches all have in common to describe the propaga- $\frac{1}{178}$ tion of the optical energy based on scattering and absorp- $\frac{1}{179}$ tion, defined as macroscopic values such as the absorption coefficient μ_a and the scattering coefficient μ_s . The simplest form of the transport theory is given by the following diffusion equation [14]

$$
\left[\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{3(\mu_a + \mu_s')}\nabla^2\right]\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mu_a(\mathbf{r})\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t) \qquad (2)_{18!}^{184}
$$

is a constant of the desired operator and scales of case is every between the completed at the formula constant of the cylical very sign, the desired accepts and scales of can be shown that the forward photosometic propos ¹⁵⁴ where $\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the optical fluence rate, defined as the en-¹⁸⁷ ¹⁵⁵ ergy flux per unit area per unit time regardless of the flux 156 direction. Eq. 2 states that the fluence rate obeys a classi- 189 ¹⁵⁷ cal diffusion equation, with a loss term that reflects optical ¹⁵⁸ absorption, and a diffusion coefficient $D = \frac{1}{3(\mu_a + \mu_s')}$ that ¹⁵⁹ only depends on scattering and absorption. In D, μ_s' is the ¹⁶⁰ reduced scattering coefficient, defined as $\mu_s' = \mu_s(1 - g)$ 161 where g reflects the scattering anisotropy [14]. The trans-¹⁹⁴ ¹⁶² port mean free path $l^* = 1/\mu_s'$ and the absorption length ¹⁶³ $l_a = 1/\mu_a$ are also often used as the spatial scales rele-¹⁹⁶ ¹⁶⁴ vant respectively for multiple scattering and absorption. $_{165}$ In biological tissue in the near infrared (the "optical win- 198 166 dow"), l^* and l_a are of the order of 1 mm and 10 cm respec- $_{167}$ tively [15]. Eq. (2) can be derived from the radiative trans- 200 $_{168}$ fert equation (RTE), which is a more elaborate (and large²⁰¹ 169 scale) description of the energy transport based on the ra- 202 ¹⁷⁰ diance $L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t)$, i.e. a quantity that takes into account the²⁰³ 171 direction **s** of the energy flux. It is out of the scope here to²⁰⁴ 172 discuss the RTE (further details may be found in [14] for²⁰⁵ 173 instance), but suffice it to mention that the fluence $rate^{206}$ ¹⁷⁴ (that obeys Eq (2) under the diffusion approximation) is²⁰⁷ 175 defined from the radiance by $\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int_{4\pi} L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, t) d\Omega$.

Under the assumption that light propagation may be²⁰⁹ described by the transport theory, the fluence rate is the²¹⁰ important physical quantity for photoacoustic imaging as^211 the heating function $H(\mathbf{r}, t)$ may be readily expressed as

$$
H(\mathbf{r},t) = \mu_a(\mathbf{r}) \Phi_r(\mathbf{r},t)
$$
\n(3)

When the fluence rate $\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t)$ may be decomposed as $\Phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Phi(\mathbf{r})f(t)$, the following widely used form of the

photoacoustic wave equation is obtained:

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c_s^2 \nabla^2\right] p(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Gamma \mu_a(\mathbf{r}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial f(t)}{\partial t} \tag{4}
$$

In most practical implementations of photoacoustic imaging, $f(t)$ is a pulsed function (normalized such that $\int f(t)dt = 1$, and $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ is the amount of absorbed energy per unit volume. For very short pulse (such as to verify the so-called stress-confinement condition [3, 16]), it can be shown that the forward photoacoustic problem described by Eq. (2.1) may be re-formulated as a source-free initial value problem, with an initial condition given by

$$
p(\mathbf{r}, t = 0) = p_0(\mathbf{r}) = \Gamma \mu_a(\mathbf{r}) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{5}
$$

The stress-confinement condition is fulfilled when the pulse duration is much longer that the characteristic acoustic propagation time within the medium, which for nanosecond pulses is verified with absorbers with typical dimensions larger than a few micrometers. The solution $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$ $_{181}$ corresponding to pulses $f(t)$ with finite duration may be ¹⁸² obtained straightforwardly from the temporal convolution 183 of the solution to Eq. (5) with $f(t)$. This formulation shows that the appropriate resolution of the inverse problem based on the measurements of pressure waveforms provides a reconstruction of $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})\Phi(\mathbf{r})$. In other words, under the stress-confinement assumption, the initial pressure build-up is proportional both to the local absorption and to the local fluence.

Although the formulation of the photoacoustic effect based on Eqs. (3) and (4) is one of the most widely used in photoacoustic imaging, it is inherently limited to situations where the propagation of light may be described appropriately by use of the light fluence $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$. While such situations are indeed the most commonly encountered in photoacoustic imaging, there however exist situations where the light fluence is not appropriate to describe phenom-¹⁹⁸ ena of interests. As may be demonstrated from rigorous derivations of the diffusion equation from first principles in disordered media [17, 18], $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ corresponds to a theoretical averaged value of the optical intensity, averaged over an ensemble of realizations of the disorder. In practice where experiments are performed with one given medium (one realization), a good approximation to $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a spatial average of the optical intensity $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ over a volume with typical linear dimensions of the order of a few wavelengths. As a consequence, $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a physical quantity that does not take into account higher-order spatial correlations of the optical field. In particular $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ does not take into account phenomena such as speckle patterns that exist when interference takes place between various propagation paths followed by sufficiently coherent light, ²¹³ as introduced in the following section.

²¹⁴ 2.3. Optical speckle

Definition. The phenomenon commonly called "speckle" refers to the granular structure of the intensity field $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$

that results from the seemingly random interference of a multitude of field amplitudes from different propagation paths [19, 20, 10]. Speckle patterns are observed in various configurations, including scattering by rough surfaces, propagation through scattering media and propagation inside multiple scattering media such as biological tissue. A typical speckle pattern is shown in Fig. 5. As further discussed below, speckle patterns are only observed when the light source has sufficient temporal and spatial coherence. Mathematically, the intensity at a given point $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ may be written as a sum of a large number of complex amplitudes contributions as

$$
I(\mathbf{r},t) \propto |\sum_{\text{path }i} A_i(\mathbf{r},t)e^{i\phi_i(\mathbf{r},t)}|^2 \tag{6}
$$

Properties of an ideal speckle . We first consider the ideal case of perfectly coherent (monochromatic) light with angular frequency ω_0 that has undergone multiple propagation paths. Under this assumption, the intensity at a given point is stationnary with $= I(\mathbf{r}) \propto \sum_{\text{path } i} A_i(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\phi_i(\mathbf{r})} |^2$. We further consider the case of a fully-developed speckle, i.e. the phases $\{\phi_i\}$ are uniformly distributed over $[0;2\pi]$, which has extremely well defined statistical properties. The first-order statistics of a fully-developed speckle field is described by the distribution of its intensity, which obeys the following negative exponential statistics [20, 10]

$$
p_I(I) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\langle I \rangle} \exp\left(-\frac{I}{\langle I \rangle}\right) & I \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
(7)

diffusion temperal and spatial columns. where λ is the optical worden as the distance of a large number of exception of a large moment of exception of a large moment of exception of the diffusion of a large moment of c ²¹⁵ An important properties of the above probability distribu-216 tion is that its standard deviation σ_I is equal to its mean $217 \langle I \rangle$. As a consequence, fully developed speckle patterns 218 have a contrast $\sigma_I/\langle I \rangle = 1$. While this probability func-²¹⁹ tion refers to an ensemble statistics over realizations of dis-²²⁰ order, it is often realistic in practice to assume ergodocity ²²¹ and to consider that this ensemble statistics also describes ²²² the statistics over spatial position in the speckle field. This ²²³ contrast of 1 is an example of a simple though fundamen-²²⁴ tal feature of multiply scattered coherent light which is ²²⁵ discarded by the transport theory: a homogeneous speckle 226 field $(p_I \text{ independent of } r)$ translates into a constant flu-243 227 ence rate $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \langle I \rangle$ in the transport theory (N.B. The₂₄₄ ²²⁸ fluence rate is also often called accordingly the optical in-²²⁹ tensity, although it represents only an averaged intensity ²³⁰ strictly speaking). A useful propertie of speckle is that the 231 addition of N uncorrelated speckle intensity patterns will r_{232} result in a speckle with a reduced contrast of $1/\sqrt{N}$ [19]. ²³³ As a consequence, with spatially or temporally incoherent $_{234}$ illumination, the intensity distribution is smoothed toward₂₅₁ ²³⁵ the mean intensity value from the transport theory.

Furthermore, the analysis of the spatial autocorrelation of a stationnary speckle pattern provides the typical dimensions of a speckle "grain", another major property of speckle, which depend on the considered geometry. Two₂₅₆ configurations are of particular interest in the context of

this review. The first one is a free-space propagation geometry, which corresponds for instance to the observation at some distance of the scattering by a rough surface or propagation through a scattering layer. In this case, the typical transverse linear dimension of a speckle grain is given by [10]:

$$
\phi_s \sim \lambda \frac{z}{D} \tag{8}
$$

where λ is the optical wavelength, z is the distance from the scatterer to the transverse imaging plane, D is the typical linear dimension of the illuminated surface of the scattering object. The exact value of the numerical prefactor (close to one) in the expression above Eq. (8) depends on the illumination distribution on the scattering object. Along the main direction of propagation, the typical longitudinal dimension is given by [10]:

$$
l_s \sim 7\lambda \left(\frac{z}{D}\right)^2\tag{9}
$$

The exact value of the numerical prefactor in Eq. (9) depends on the illumination distribution on the scattering object. This prefactor is close to 7 for a circular aperture of diameter D, close to 5 for a square of side D. The dimensions given by the formulas (8) and (9) (valid only for small values of $\frac{z}{D}$ are identical to those of the diffraction-limited focal spot of a lens with aperture D and focal distance z. The second important situation for the speckle grain size is inside a multiply scattering medium. There, due to the fact that the speckle is formed from contributions from all directions, the speckle grain is isotropic, with a typical linear dimension dictated solely by the wavelength and given by

$$
\phi_s^{\text{inside}} \sim \frac{\lambda}{2} \tag{10}
$$

which is also the dimension of a diffraction-limited focal spot obtained with a full 4π aperture.

The contrast value of 1 discussed above is in fact only true in a scalar model, i.e. for linearly polarized light. For fully polarized light undergoing multiple scattering $[10]$, the polarization is also mixed $[21]$. In paraxial free-space ²⁴² configuration geometry with $\frac{z}{D} \ll 1$, one can consider that there are two uncorrelated and fully developped speckle intensity patterns associated to two orthogonal polarizations ²⁴⁵ in the imaging plane, which add up incoherently, and the $_{246}$ resulting contrast is reduced to $1/\sqrt{2}$. Deep inside a multiple scattering medium, the 3-D speckle intensity results ²⁴⁸ from the incoherent summation of the three possible po- $_{249}$ larizations and the contrast is further reduced to $1/\sqrt{3}$. Moreover, it has been assumed so far that the propagation medium is stationary, and that the speckle pattern is ²⁵² therefore stationnary in time. For media whose properties may vary in time, such soft matter or biological tissue, the previous description of speckle patterns with monochromatic light remains valid provided that the intensity field are measured over integration time much smaller than any characteristic time of motion in the scattering medium.

Figure 1: General illustration of optical wavefront shaping through a strongly scattering sample. (a) A coherent plane is multiply scattered through a strongly scattering sample, yielding a speckle pattern propagating in free-space to the observation plane. (b) Optical wavefront shaping of the incident wave allows focusing light through the scattering sample. (c) Experimental setup used to perform optical wavefront shaping in the pioneer experiment by Vellekoop and Mosk [22]. The values of the phase on each pixel of the spatial light modulator (SLM) were found one by one with an optimization algorithm based on a feedback signal measured on the camera (CCD). Figure reproduced with permission from [22], OSA.

Speckle with partially coherent light. A general condition₂₆₇ to observe speckle patterns is that the coherence length of the light is larger than the largest path differences involved in the interference patterns. The coherence length l_c may 270 be defined as the maximum length difference between $\rm two$ $\rm zn$ different paths in order to still observe interference, and $_{272}$ is a direct consequence of the coherence time τ_c of a lightzer source $(l_c = c \times \tau_c)$ [20]. The temporal coherence of a₂₇₄ light source is related to the spectral linewidth $\Delta \nu$ of its₂₇₅ spectral power density, with τ_c being proportional to $\frac{1}{\Delta \nu}$ (with a proportionality constant that depends on the shape of the linewidth). For a Lorentzian line, $\tau_c = \frac{1}{\pi \Delta \nu}$, and the coherence length is therefore

$$
l_c = c \times \tau_c = \frac{c}{\pi \Delta \nu} \tag{11}_{28}
$$

If the coherence length is too short compared to the typi- 281 cal range of propagation paths, some of the partial waves²⁸² corresponding to the terms in the summation in Eq. $(6)^{283}$ cannot interfere coherently at position r, giving rise to incoherent sums of speckles, thus leading to a loss of contrast. If one considers light propagation through a slab a^{286} thickness L, the range of propagation paths in the multiple²⁸⁷ scattering regime (i.e. $L \gg l^*$) scales as $\frac{L^2}{l^*}$ $\frac{L^2}{l^*}$. As a consequence, a condition to obtain a well contrasted speckle pattern after the propagation of light with coherence length $l_{c_{201}}$ through a thickness \overline{L} of a multiply scattering media with $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{292}$ transport mean free path l^* is

$$
l_c \gg \frac{L^2}{l^*} \tag{12}_{29}
$$

²⁵⁸ This condition may also be written in the time or fre-²⁵⁹ quency domain as $\tau_c \sim \frac{1}{\Delta \nu} \gg \frac{L^2}{cl^*}$, where $\frac{L^2}{cl^*}$ is the Thou-²⁶⁰ less time [23], corresponding to the light storage time in $_{261}$ the medium and to the temporal spreading of a light pulse₂₀₀ ²⁶² after a diffusive propagation through a distance L. As an_{301} $_{263}$ order of magnitude, the coherence length required to ob- $_{302}$ $_{264}$ tain a well-contrasted speckle pattern inside or $\rm{through}_{303}$ ²⁶⁵ 3 cm of biological tissue is typically $l_c \sim \frac{(3 \text{ cm})^2}{1 \text{ mm}} \sim 1 \text{ m}$. 266 For pulsed light, a coherence length $l_c \sim 1$ m corresponds 205

to a minimal pulse duration $\tau_p \sim \frac{l_c}{c} \sim 3$ ns. Therefore, whereas light coherence is generally neglected in photoacoustics, sufficiently coherent pulsed light does lead to coherent effects such as speckle patterns through or inside strongly scattering media. Before reviewing the recent investigations aimed at coupling photoacoustics and coherence effects, we briefly introduce the main principles of ²⁷⁴ optical wavefront shaping in complex media, a field that has developed very rapidly over the past few years [11].

$2.4.$ Optical wavefront shaping with multiply scattered light

Example determined by the same space of a strongly statically determines and
interior of optical weakers apple, yielding a speedie pattern propagating in free space to the observation plane. (b) Optical weakers apple, by Principles. Although multiple scattering may appear ²⁷⁸ stochastic, as illustrated by the random appearance of ²⁷⁹ speckle patterns, it is deterministic in nature. However, the deterministic propagation of coherent light trough strongly scattering media is driven by a huge number of parameters that reflect the complex nature of the multiple scattering process. For instance, the speckle pattern that arises from an illumination area A after propagation through a thick scattering medium is typically described by a number of parameters N (often referred to as the number of modes) that scales as $\frac{2\pi A}{\lambda^2}$, which for visible light corresponds typically to 10 million modes per square millimetre [11]. As a consequence, it has long been thought that the techniques of adaptive optics (which involves measuring and controlling the phase and/or amplitude of the wavefronts of light with a given number of degrees of free-²⁹³ dom (DOF)) were limited to situations where the distortions of optical wavefront could be described or compensated for with a relatively small number of modes, comparable to the number of DOF provided by the optical devices. The pioneering demonstration of spatial focusing through a strongly scattering layer by Vellekoop and Mosk [22] has however shown that adaptive optics could in fact be extended to situations where one controls only a limited numbers of DOF compared to the total number of mode involved in the propagation: it was demonstrated in this work that optical wavefront shaping with N_{DOF} degrees of freedom allowed enhancing the intensity of a single speckle grain by a factor $\eta \propto N_{\text{DOF}}$ relatively to the intensity of

3 D

each speckle grain in the diffuse background, while the ra-352 $_{307}$ tio $N_{\text{DOF}}/N \ll 1$ only dictates the ratio of the intensity $_{353}$ ³⁰⁸ within the enhanced spot to the total transmitted inten-³⁰⁹ sity.

Schematics of the experiment performed by Vellekoop₃₅₆ and Mosk [22] are shown in Fig. 1. The key principle at the core of this experiment is that the transmitted electric field E_m in the CCD camera plane is a linear combinations of the electric fields $E_n = A_n e^{i\phi_n}$ coming from the N_{DOF} pixels of the spatial light modulator (SLM):

$$
E_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\rm DOF}} t_{mn} A_n e^{i\phi_n}
$$
 (13)

310 where A_n and ϕ_n are the amplitude and phase of the light³⁶⁵ $_{311}$ reflected from the n^{th} input pixel, and t_{mn} is the com-³¹² plex transmission matrix between the transmitted (out-³¹³ put) field and the SLM (input) field [22]. Optical wave-³¹⁴ front shaping essentially consists in first measuring trans-315 mitted output values, followed by appropriately setting the³⁷⁰ 316 phase and/or amplitude (depending on the type of control³⁷¹ 317 provided by the spatial light modulator) of the input field³⁷² 318 in order to obtain a targeted pattern in the output field.³⁷³ ³¹⁹ Several approaches have been investigated to implement ³²⁰ optical wavefront shaping with strongly scattering media, ³²¹ based either on optimization or measurement of a trans-³²² mission matrix, as discussed in the two following sections.

323 Optimization-based optical wavefront shaping. In their pi-379 oneering experiment, Vellekoop and Mosk [22] demon- strated focusing towards a single speckle grain by use of an optimization approach: with the typical dimension of speckle grains matched to that of the measurement pixel 328 size, the phases ϕ_n of each input electric field E_n corre-384 sponding to the n-th mode were cycled sequentially from 0 to 2π , and the phase values that maximized the inten-386 331 sity on a given pixel of the camera are recorded for each 387 input mode. After this procedure, the phases of all the input modes are set simultaneously to their recorded op- timal value, resulting in a strong constructive interference ³³⁵ at the chosen speckle grain as all the terms $t_{mn}A_n e^{i\phi_n}$ are in phase [22], effectively forming a very strong focus. The authors were able to enhance the light intensity of a target- ted speckle grain by a factor 1000 through a 10 −µm thick 339 layer of rutile (TiO_2) with a transport mean free path of ³⁴⁰ 0.55 µm.

 A key parameter when optimizing the light intensity is the dimension of the targeted detection area relatively to $_{343}$ that of the speckle grain. When a number N_s of specklesses grains are contained within the targeted area, the intensity $_{345}$ enhancement factor is typically divided by N_s as the fo-401 cusing is spread over the N_s speckle grains, and therefore scales as $\eta \propto \frac{N_{\text{DOF}}}{N_s}$ [24]. Moreover, when the targeted area 348 contains several speckle grains, the global effect of a phase403 modulation of a single input mode is decreased compared to that obtained for a single speckle grain, as the phases on each speckle grain are uncorrelated. As a consequence,

³⁵² the possibility to detect intensity modulation in the target region depends on the signal-to-noise ratio and decreases with the number N_s of independent speckle grains in the ³⁵⁵ detection area. Note that stemming from the initial work ³⁵⁶ of Vellekoop and Mosk [22], several algorithms have been ³⁵⁷ proposed, in order to improve the focusing efficiency in low ³⁵⁸ SNR scenarios [25], to improve focusing speed [26, 27] or to adapt to different modulation schemes [28]. The main limitation of optimization approaches is that the whole optimization procedure has to be repeated for each desired ³⁶² target pattern, leading to very long measurement time in 33 practice if several target patterns are required.

Is $P_m = A_n e^{i\phi_0}$ conting from the Nbores infinition of optimization approaches is that then the particular in the same platter, leading to very long-mannement of $\kappa_m = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{DDW}} I_{nm} A_n e^{i\phi_n}$ (13) we reached precedi ³⁶⁴ Wavefront shaping with the transmission matrix. Following the initial demonstration of optical wavefront shaping by use of an optimization approach, Popoff and coworkers demonstrated the first measurement with a strongly scattering layer of an optical transmission matrix t_{mn} with over 60,000 elements [29]. To do so, the transmitted speckle patterns were measured over the camera plane for a set of orthogonal input modes that forms a full basis for all the possible SLM modes. As the camera records ³⁷³ only the optical intensity, an interferometric approach was implemented to retrieve the phase and amplitude information from intensity measurements: an unshaped part of the beam reflected off an unmodulated region on the SLM is used as a reference beam, and the phase of each controlled SLM input mode is varied from 0 to 2π in order to retrieve the amplitude and phase of the matrix element. For each input mode n , the phases and amplitudes of the intensity modulations measured on all the output pixels of the camera provides a measurement of the column ³⁸³ $t_{mn} = |t_{mn}|e^{i\phi_{mn}}$ of the transmission matrix. Repeating these measurements for all possible input mode provides the transmission matrix between the pixels of the camera and the pixels of the SLM. From the transmission matrix, one can predict the amplitude and phase required on each input mode in order to obtained any desired output pattern in the camera plane, via inversion or phaseconjugation of the transmission matrix $[30]$. As the simplest example, Eq. 13 shows that focusing light onto the mth output pixel may simply be obtained with a phase-393 only SLM by setting the phase of each n^{th} input mode to $\phi_n = -\phi_{mn}$: doing so, all the terms in Eq. 13 end \mathbf{L}_{395} up in phase, resulting in focusing towards the m^{th} out-³⁹⁶ put pixel. The result is therefore similar to that obtained with the optimization approach; however the key advantage of the transmission matrix approach is that once the transmission matrix is measured, input patterns may be computed for any desired transmitted pattern, while the optimization approach requires to *measure* the optimized input patterns for each desired output pattern.

> Discussion.. Wavefront shaping in biological tissues is currently a very active field of research. While this review focuses on photoacoustics-related works, other imaging modalities are explored in parallel, in particular mul-

Figure 2: Experimental setup used by Kong et al. [51] to demonstrate⁴⁵¹ optical wavefront shaping with a deformable mirror (DM) through a scattering media with photoacoustic feedback. A glass slide covered scattering media with photoacoustic feedback. A glass slide covered with absorbing graphite particles was placed behind the scattering layer, and a high frequency ultrasound transducer (UT) was focused⁴⁵⁴ on the absorbing slide to measure the photoacoustic signal from its focal region. The photoacoustic signal was used as a feedback signal $_{456}$ for the optimization procedure driving the DM. The CCD camera was only used here to verify the light intensity distribution on the absorbing layer after the optimization. Figure reproduced with permission from [51], OSA.

 407 tiphoton fluorescence [31, 32, 33, 34] and coherent imag- 461 $\frac{1}{408}$ ing [35]. At a more basic level, different strategies and $\frac{1}{463}$ 409 technologies are explored for faster or more efficient wave- $_{410}$ front shaping, beyond the slow liquid crystal technology, $_{465}$ $_{411}$ from MEMS-based devices [27, 36, 28] to fast photorefrac- $_{466}$ ⁴¹² tive materials [37] or acousto-optics modulators [38]. Radi- $_{457}$ $_{413}$ cally novel concepts, such as compressive sensing [39], non- $_{414}$ invasive imaging [40, 41] also emerge as potentially inter-⁴¹⁵ esting techniques to apply to photoacoustic imaging. The_{$_{470}$} $_{416}$ memory effect, an old concept from mesoscopic physics $_{417}$ that states that for a thin sample a optimized focus can ⁴¹⁸ be scanned over a small volume [42, 43], has recently been_{$_{473}$} ⁴¹⁹ characterized in biological tissues $[44, 45, 46]$ and also hold₄₇₄ $_{420}$ promises for better and faster wavefront shaping imaging. 421 Analogous to the case of multiple scattering media, a_{476} ⁴²² speckle pattern is also observed at the output of a multi- $_{477}$ 423 mode fiber when illuminated by coherent light at the in- $_{478}$ $_{424}$ put. Following the first proof-of-concepts related to multi- $_{425}$ ple scattering media, wavefront shaping has therefore also $_{426}$ rapidly been applied to light manipulation through multi- $_{481}$ $_{427}$ mode fibers [47, 48, 49, 50]. As multi-mode fibers have a_{482} $_{428}$ much smaller footprint than bundles of single-mode fibers $_{\rm 483}$ ⁴²⁹ (for an equivalent number of modes), these works opened $_{430}$ important perspectives step towards the miniaturization of $_{484}$ 431 devices for optical endomicroscopy. Recent developments $_{432}$ in the fields of photoacoustic endoscopy are presented in ⁴³³ section 4.2.

434 3. Photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping⁴⁸⁹

⁴³⁵ All implementations of optical wavefront shaping re-⁴³⁶ quire some feedback signal from the targeted region. A

**Form and the interpretental invisible a scattering sample. For a by interpretental in
the contribution of the interpretentation of a single stars" have been as
a single stars" as explored as foredly as a finding more int** ⁴³⁷ feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping should ⁴³⁸ provide some sensing of the optical intensity. Appropri-⁴³⁹ ate mechanisms include direct intensity measurement with ⁴⁴⁰ a camera or optical detector, or the use of some "guide ⁴⁴¹ star" following the approach in adaptive optics for astron-⁴⁴² omy [52]. While the use of a camera or detector limits ⁴⁴³ wavefront shaping towards region outside the scattering 444 media [22, 29, 31, 53], the "guide star" approach may 445 be implemented *inside* a scattering sample. Fluorescent ⁴⁴⁶ or second-harmonic "guide stars" have been successfully ⁴⁴⁷ investigated as feedback mechanisms [54, 24], but these ⁴⁴⁸ approaches, in addition to being invasive, only allows fo-⁴⁴⁹ cusing in the vicinity of a single static target. Ultra-⁴⁵⁰ sound tagging via the acousto-optic effect is a promising approach that offers dynamic and flexible control, which has been the subject of several recent investigations $[55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 37]$. This approach has the advantage that it allows single shot digital phase conjugation, i.e. finding the optimal wavefront to refocus on the ⁴⁵⁶ guide-star without a long learning process (like optimization or transmission matrix), and therefore refocusing in a single refresh frame of the spatial light modulator. This ⁴⁵⁹ was for instance demonstrated by Liu and coworkers who 460 demonstrated focusing in tissues with 5.6 ms decorrelation $time$ [37]. Although this approach is in principle compatible with in vivo imaging, the activation of a local guide star by acoustic tagging is limited to a single ultrasound focal zone, and scanning is required to focused light at various direction, requiring in turns long acquisition times.

As introduced in Sec. 2.1, the photoacoustic effect is sensitive to the absorption of optical energy, and therefore provides a mechanism to sense both the optical absorption and the optical intensity inside multiple scattering media. Based on its sensitivity to optical absorption, photoacoustic-guided wavefront shaping has first been investigated for ultrasound wavefront shaping, to focus acoustic waves towards optical absorbers with timereversal approaches $[61, 62]$. In 2011, Kong and coworkers first demonstrated the use of the photoacoustic effect as a feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping [51], triggering significant research efforts towards ⁴⁷⁸ photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping. Analo-⁴⁷⁹ gous to wavefront shaping with the other feedback mechanisms introduced above, two main approaches have been used to implement photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping (PA-WFS), either based on optimization or transmission matrix, as reviewed in the two following sections.

3.1. Photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping with optimization

In their pioneering work [51], Kong and coworkers ⁴⁸⁷ followed the optimization approach initially proposed ⁴⁸⁸ by Vellekoop and Mosk [22], as illustrated on Fig.2. The target plane consisted of a glass layer covered with graphite ⁴⁹⁰ particles, placed behind the scattering layer. Different concentrations and types of absorbers were used to demonstrate photoacoustic-guided wavefront shaping: the au-

Figure 3: Illustration of the photoacoustic signal enhancement obtained with optimization-based photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping. (a) Evolution of the photoacoustic enhancement with the optimization process, based on a genetic algorithm. (b) Photoacoustic signal prior to wavefront shaping. (c) Enhanced photoacoustic signal obtained for the optimal input wavefront. Figure reproduced with permission from [63], OSA.

 thors first demonstrated optical tracking and focusing to- wards the 41 µm-diameter focal zone of a 75 MHz ultra- sound transducer with a homogeneously absorbing layer, in clear water. Experiments with single microparticles (10 µm or 50 µm in diameter) isolated within the 90 µm- diameter focal zone of a 40 MHz ultrasound transducer confirmed that the enhancement of the optimized photoa- coustic signal decreased with the number of optical speckle grains (with grain size about $2 \mu m$) within the absorbing⁵³⁵ target, in qualitative agreement with what is predicted 503 for the optical enhancement factor. Typical enhancement⁵³⁷ for the photoacoutic signal ranged from 5 to 10, with the larger enhancements observed for the smallest particles. $_{506}$ Interestingly, it was shown that with a speckle size of $1 \mu m^{540}$ and a 10 µm-diameter graphite particle, it was not possible⁵⁴¹ 508 to observe any enhancement with the available 140 degree⁵⁴² 509 of freedom provided by the deformable mirror used for the⁵⁴³ experiment [51].

⁵¹¹ This pioneering work was rapidly followed by several⁵⁴⁵ investigations of photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront 513 shaping. In all the works reviewed in this section, the ex-547 perimental setups are similar to that introduced by Kong 515 and coworkers : in particular, photoacoustic feedback sig-549 nals are measured from speckle patterns produced in a free-space geometry after propagation through a scatter- 551 ing sample. Importantly, the size of the speckle grains is systematically adjusted to match the typical dimension of the ultrasound focus by setting the distance between the 521 scattering sample and the measurement plane (see Sec. 2.3555) on the properties of optical speckle patterns). The spatial light modulators or deformable mirrors used to perform wavefront shaping were used in a reflection configuration, as in Figs. 1 and 2. Following the approach proposed by Kong et al. [51], Caravacca-Aguirre and coworkers used a genetic algorithm to perform PA-WFS and enhance the light intensity behind a scattering layer by one order of magnitude [63], as illustrated in Fig. 3. This study, aimed at improving photoacoustic imaging, is further discussed in Sec. 4. Chaigne et al. [64] further demonstrated that the large bandwidth of photoacoustic signals could be ex-ploited in the frequency domain to adjust the dimensions

Figure 4: Evolution of the photoacoustic enhancement factor by optical wavefront shaping during the optimization process, with different feedback values. In addition to the usual peak-to-peak photoacoustic amplitude as feedback signal, RMS values over several frequency bands computed from a Fourier analysis were used as alternative photoacoustic feedback values. For each feedback quantity, the photoacoustic enhancement factor was computed by normalizing the optimized quantity by its value under homogeneous illumination. Figure reproduced with permission from [64], OSA.

of the photoacoustic signal enhancement ob-

Incheshoral enhancement ob-

Incheshoral enhancement gradien enhancement ob-

we was been due a generic algorithm, (b) Photoacous

we was been due a generic algorithm, (b) Phot of the photoacoustic focal zone. By iterative optimization ⁵³⁵ of the highest frequency components (55-70 MHz band) ⁵³⁶ of the broadband photoacoustic signals measured with a transducer with central frequence 27 MHz, the authors obtained a photoacoustic enhancement factor of about $\times 12$, higher than the enhancement obtained with optimization in lower frequency bands (ranging from \times 4 to \times 8) or from $peak-to-peak$ amplitude measurements $(\times 8)$, as illustrated ⁵⁴² in Fig. 4. To maximize the sensitivity of photoacoustic measurement to phase modulation of the light beam, the ⁵⁴⁴ optimization algorithm used a Hadamard basis vectors as the basis for the input modes (instead of the canonical pixel basis) of 140-element deformable mirror [64]. Moreover, by simultaneously monitoring the evolution of the speckle pattern during the optimization process, it was confirmed experimentally that the optimization with the highest photoacoustic frequencies lead to a tighter optical focus than what was obtained by optimization with the lower frequency components.

⁵⁵³ A key advantage of the photoacoustic effect as a feedback mechanism is that the sensing may be performed simultaneously over the whole measurement volume, by use ⁵⁵⁶ of imaging ultrasound arrays. With a spherical matrix array of 256 piezoelectric transducers, Deán-Ben and coworkers demonstrated photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping by optimizing photoacoustic signals from selected targets of a 3D photoacoustic image, by means of a ⁵⁶¹ genetic algorithm [65]. PA-WFS is usually limited in speed by either the laser pulse repetition frequency or the refresh rate of the adaptive optics device. In the context of pho-⁵⁶⁴ toacoustic flowmetry, Tay and coworkers investigated the potential of digital micromirror devices (DMD), which are binary amplitude modulators, towards rapid PA-WFS [66] : a combination of Hadamard multiplexing with multiple

binary-amplitude illumination patterns was implementedses to perform wavefront shaping based on the photoacoustic signal measured with a 10 MHz spherically focused trans- ducer, and an intensity enhancement of a factor 14 was obtained. Although the DMD refresh rate was as high as 22 kHz, the optimization approach remained very long (typically two hours) because of a SNR issue. This study however demonstrated the potential of using DMD for PA-⁵⁷⁶ WFS.

Figure 5: Illustration of sub-acoustic optical focusing with photoacoustic-guided wavefront shaping with homogeneously absorbing samples, adapted from [67] and [68]. (a) The red circles $_{620}$ show the approximate filtered transducer focal region (80 MHz, -6 ₆₂₁) dB, dashed line) and focal spot size at the frequency peak of the detected photoacoustic response (50 MHz, -6 dB, solid line). Left:⁶²² optical speckle field (intensity) without optimized wavefront. Right: optical focus (intensity) generated by the optimized wavefront. The $_{624}$ authors proposed that the sub-acoustic optical focusing is achieved thanks to the non-uniform spatial response of the ultrasound transducer that would favor optical modes at the center [67]. (b) By using nonlinear photoacoustic-guided wavefront shaping, Lai et al. [68] performed sub-acoustic optical focusing with a final optical enhancement factor of \sim 6000. Linear PA-WFS first provided focusing₆₂₇ with a enhancement of ~ 60 , and subsequent nonlinear PA-WFS provided an additional factor of \sim 100. Figure (a) adapted with per-⁶²⁸ mission from [67], 2015 NPG. Figure (b) adapted with permission629 from [68], 2015 NPG.

 One specific feature of photoacoustic sensing for opti- cal wavefront shaping arises from the possibility to cre- ate an optical focus smaller than the ultrasound reso- lution [67, 68], thus opening the possibility for super- resolution photoacoustic imaging. When several optical speckle grains are present within the ultrasound resolu-

as the interded of PI-As accounted and piece and piece and piece and piece and piece and the philosopher state of 24 was reported for the forming higher band then the forming higher band the philosopher state in the funct tion spot, the feedback signal mixes the information coming from individual speckles. However, based on the nonuniform spatial sensitivity across the ultrasound focal region, it has been shown that the spatially non-uniform photoacoustic feedback tends to localize the optimized optical intensity to a single speckle smaller than the acoustic focus, by preferentially weighting the single optical speckle closest to the center of the ultrasound focus during the op- timization [67]. As a consequence, an optical enhancement factor of 24 was reported for the optimized optical grain, about three times higher than the photoacoustic enhance- ment factor which averages the optical enhancement over all the optical speckles present in the focal spot. Possible applications of this sub-acoustic resolution optical focus- ing are further discussed in Sec. 4.2. While this effect was first reported in the context of linear photoacoustics, where the photoacoustic amplitude is proportional to the absorbed optical intensity as described by Eq. 1, Lai and coworkers introduced a nonlinear PA-WFS with a dual- pulse illumination scheme [68]. In short, this approach exploits the change in photoacoustic conversion efficiency between two consecutive intense illuminations to produce a feedback signal that is nonlinearly related the optical in- tensity: the first illumination pulse creates a photoacoustic signal that is linearly related to the optical intensity, but $\frac{608}{100}$ also changes the value of the Grüneisen coefficient Γ in- volved in the second illumination pulse. The change in ⁶¹⁰ the Grüneisen coefficient is caused by the temperature in- crease that follows the first illumination pulse [69, 68]. As a consequence, the feedback signal defined as the differ- ence of the photoacoustic amplitudes of the two consecu- tive pulses varies nonlinearly with the optical intensity. As a result, optimization based on such a nonlinear feedback signal strongly favors focusing towards a single optical speckle grain rather than distributing the optical intensity evenly over all the speckle grains inside the acoustic focus spot. This effect had first been demonstrated with optical wavefront shaping based on nonlinear feedback from twophoton fluorescence [33, 32]. With nonlinear PA-WFS, Lai and coworkers achieved focusing to a single optical speckle grain 10 times smaller than the acoustic focus, with an optical intensity enhancement factor of ∼6000 and a photoacoustic enhancement factor of $~\sim 60$.

⁶²⁶ 3.2. The photoacoustic transmission matrix

Following the transmission matrix approach proposed in optics $[29]$, introduced in Sec. 3.2, the measurement of a photoacoustic transmission matrix was demonstrated for ⁶³⁰ PA-WFS with both 1D and 2D photoacoustic images [71, ⁶³¹ 70]. The concept is strictly similar to that in optics, except that the pixels of the optical camera are replaced by the pixels of the photoacoustic image, which values are linearly related to the local optical intensity.

The method was first implemented with the timeresolved photoacoustic signal from a single-element transducer processed as a 1D photoacoustic image [71], and

Figure 6: Illustration of photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping based on the photoacoustic transmission matrix. The laser pulse is reflected off a spatial light modulator (SLM) before propagating through a scattering medium and illuminating an absorbing sample. 2D photoacoustic images are reconstructed from the photoacoustic signals measured with a linear ultrasound array. A photoacoustic transmission matrix was measured between the pixels of the 2D-photoacoustic image and the pixels of the SLM. (a) Photograph of the absorbing sample (dyed leaf skeleton). (b) Conventional photoacoustic image equivalent to that obtained under homogeneous illumination. (c) Zoom on the blue inset in (b). (d) Photoacoustic image obtained after setting the SLM pixels to selectively focus light onto the targeted region indicated in red, based on prior measurements of the photoacoustic transmission matrix. A photoacoustic enhancement factor of about 6 was observed in the targeted region. Figures (a), (b), (c) and (d) adapted from [70], 2014 OSA.

 was rapidly extended to 2D photoacoustic images recon- structed from signals acquired with a conventional linear ultrasound array [70]. The typical experimental setup used to acquire the photoacoustic transmission matrix from 2D photoacoustic images is shown in Fig. 6, along with typi- cal results. Fig. 6(b) shows the photoacoustic image of an absorbing leaf skeleton (photograph shown in Fig. $6(a)$, ob- tained by averaging the various photoacoustic images ob- tained during the measurement of the transmission matrix. The absence of horizontally oriented features in Fig. $6(b)$ is a consequence of the limited view configuration, where the ultrasound probe mostly detect waves propagating up- wards, and is further discussed in Sec. 4. As opposed to the optimization approach, the photoacoustic transmission matrix approach can be used to focus light at any desired location after the matrix has been measured: Fig. $6(c)$ is a zoom on the blue region of Fig. 6(b), showing a tar- get region outlined in red. Fig. 6(d) illustrates the light intensity enhancement (typically 6 times) after the SLM input pattern has been set to focus light towards the target region based on the knowledge of the photoacoustic trans- mission matrix. As an additional illustration of the power of the matrix approach, it was also shown that a singular value decomposition (SVD) of the photoacoustic transmis- sion matrix provides a mean for automatically identifying signals from the most absorbing targets [71]. In contrast⁶⁷¹ with optimization approaches, the transmission matrix ap-665 proach rely on the assumption that the measured signal is⁶⁷³ $_{\rm 666}$ –proportional to the intensity. It therefore cannot bene- $^{\rm 674}$ $_{667}$ fit from non-linearities or non-uniformities of the acoustic 675 response for sub-acoustic resolution focusing.

4. Enhancing photoacoustic imaging with coherent light

Example a
 Acceleration
 In the previous section, we reported results for which the photoacoustic effect was used as feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping of coherent light. In this section, we now illustrate how photoacousting imaging may directly benefit from effects based on the coherence of light, such as speckle illumination or optical wavefront shaping. Generally speaking, the ultimate objective of photoacoustic imaging is to quantitatively reconstruct the distribution of optical absorption, described via the absorption coefficient $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})$. This objective has usually been pursued by considering that $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})\Phi_r(\mathbf{r},t)$ is the relevant quantity, where the fluence rate $\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ is a spatially smooth function, in particular usually smoother than $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})$. However, if the coherence of light is to be taken into account, the local optical intensity $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the appropriate physical quantity, as discussed in Sec. 2.3. From a theoretical point of view, the relevant photoacoustic equation for coherent light should then reads

$$
\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c_s^2 \nabla^2\right] p(\mathbf{r}, t) = \Gamma \mu_a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r}, t)
$$
(14)

where $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is generally a speckle pattern (and assuming that the optical absorption may still be described by some function $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})$. As opposed to the fluence rate $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is strongly spatially varying over the typical dimensions of the optical speckle grain (i.e. half the optical ⁶⁷⁶ wavelength inside scattering media), and can vary from ϵ_{677} pulse to pulse. In particular, in many cases $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ will

Figure 7: Photoacoustic imaging with multiple speckle illumination. The experimental setup is similar to that of Fig. 6. Three types of absorbing samples are illuminated with multiple speckle illumination, by either using a SLM or a moving diffuser. (a1), (b1) and (c1) are photographs of the absorbing samples. (a2), (b2) and (c2) are conventional photoacoustic images equivalent to those obtained under homogeneous illumination. (a3), (b3) and (c3) are fluctuation images computed from the photoacoustic images obtained under all the multiple speckle illuminations. The fluctuations images reveal features otherwise invisible features on the conventional images, because of directivity or frequency bandwidth issues. Figures (a) and (c) adapted with permission from [72], 2013 OSA. Figure (b) adapted with permission from [70], 2014 OSA.

 ϵ_{678} usually vary spatially at least as fast or faster than $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})_{712}$ and than the acoustic resolution. In such situations, the photoacoustic signals are expected to bear the signature of speckle patterns. In addition, because optical wave- ϵ_{682} front shaping provides a means to control $I(\mathbf{r}, t)$ through ϵ_{16} or inside strongly scattering media, it allows controlling additional degrees of freedom relatively to the sample illu- mination, as opposed to conventional photoacoustic imag- 719 ing based solely on the fluence rate. The two following sections illustrate how both multiple speckle illumination and wavefront shaping may be exploited to improve pho-toacoustic imaging.

⁶⁹⁰ 4.1. Exploiting multiple speckle illumination

 As discussed above, photoacoustic waves generated from a sample illuminated with an optical speckle pattern bear 693 some information on $\mu_a(\mathbf{r})I(\mathbf{r},t)$. As a consequence, the res general features of photoacoustic sources such as their fre- quency content or directivity may be strongly affected by a speckle illumination. By using multiple speckle illumina- tion, Gateau and coworkers have shown that both limited- view and frequency filtering artefacts could be compen- sated for with appropriate processing of the correspond- ing multiple photoacoustic images, as illustrated in Fig.7, τ_{01} for three types of samples (a), (b) and (c). The ex-736 periments were conducted with a setup similar to that shown in Fig. 6, with a spatial light modulator (segmented $_{704}$ MEMS mirror) for the sample (b) [70], and with a ro- $_{799}$ tating diffuser instead of the MEMS for the samples (a) $_{706}$ and (c) [72]. 2D photoacoustic images were reconstructed₇₄₁ from ultrasound signals acquired with a linear ultrasound array (256 elements, 5 MHz central frequency). The im- ages (a1), (b1) and (c1) correspond to photographs of 744 τ_{10} the absorbing samples. The images (a2), (b2) and (c2) τ_{45} correspond to the conventional photoacoustic images that

1.1mm

and the imaging with multiple species illumination. The orgation
rate in solid scaling to that of Fig. 6. The meaning interaction by either using a SIM or a measing illumination

and (a2), (b2) and (c2) are conve would be obtained with homogeneous illumination with incoherent light (in practice, they were obtained by averaging the photoacoustic signals obtained under various illumination patterns with coherent light). These images illustrate the limited-view artefacts associated with directive photoacoustic source: the ultrasound array located above the samples can only measure the photoacoustic waves that propagates upwards, i.e. the waves emitted by horizontally-oriented elements or boundaries. Moreover, image $(c2)$ illustrates how the low frequency content associated to the low spatial frequency content of the large ⁷²³ and homogeneous absorbing disk are filtered out by the ⁷²⁴ high-frequency transducer array (central frequency about ⁷²⁵ 20 MHz [72]). However, when multiple speckle illumination is used, the heterogeneous spatial distribution of the light intensity breaks the amplitude correlation among the ⁷²⁸ ultrasound waves generated by each point-like absorber throughout the structure: the fluctuation of the photoacoustic signals from one illumination to the other may be interpreted as fluctuation signals emitted from fluctuating point-like sources (with size that of the speckle grain) that generate high-frequency and omnidirectional photoacoustic waves. Images (a3), (b3) and (c3) are fluctuation images computed from the photoacoustic images obtained ⁷³⁶ under all the multiple speckle illuminations, illustrating how both high-pass filtering and limited view artefact can be overcome by taking advantage of multiple speckle illumination enabled by the use of coherent light.

While multiple speckle illumination was initially used in photoacoustic to palliate visibility issues, it also has a tremendous potential for super-resolution imaging. Indeed, when a sample is illuminated with multiple uncorrelated speckle patterns, optical absorbers distant from more than one speckle size behave as uncorrelated sources of fluctuating photoacoustic signals. The super-resolution

Figure 8: Super-resolution photoacoustic fluctuation imaging with multiple speckle illumination. The experimental setup is similar to that of Fig. 6. (a) Conventional photoacoustic imaging. (b) Superresolution photo-acoustic image, obtained by computing a variance⁷⁷⁵ image from multiple speckle illumination. (c) Photograph of the resample, made of $100 - \mu m$ diameter beads. (d) Cross-sections, blue₇₇₇ curve: conventional image, red curve: square root of variance image. Scale bars: 200 µm. Figure reproduced with permission from $[73]$,⁷⁷⁸ 2015 arXiv.

 optical fluctuation imaging (SOFI) technique developed₇₈₂ for fluorescence microscopy [74] indicates that a higher- order statistical analysis of temporal fluctuations caused by fluctuating sources provides a way to resolve uncorrelated sources within a same diffraction spot. This principle, ini- $\frac{755}{786}$ tially demonstrated with blinking fluorophores to break the optical diffraction limit, was very recently adapted and demonstrated in the context of photoacoustic imaging to break the acoustic diffraction limit [73]. As illustrated in Fig. 8, a second-order analysis of optical speckle-induced photoacoustic fluctuations was shown to provide super- $\frac{1}{722}$ resolved photoacoustic images. The resolution enhance- ment with raw (prior to deconvolution) images was about 1.4, as expected from the analysis of second-order statis- 795 $_{761}$ tics with a Gaussian-like point spread function [74], and $_{765}$ was estimated to about 1.8 after deconvolution was per- formed on the images. As implemented in SOFI, the anal- ysis of higher-order statistics is expected to further provide higher resolution enhancement and is currently being in-vestigated.

767 4.2. Exploiting optical wavefront shaping through scatter- $_{803}$ ⁷⁶⁸ ing samples

 Although the photoacoustic effect has first been pro- posed in the context of optical wavefront shaping as a way to provide a feedback mechanism, optical wavefront shaping clearly offers a tremendous potential to improve photoacoustic imaging. Because coherent light can be ma-nipulated through or inside strongly scattering media, the

Figure 9: Enhancement of photoacoustic imaging with optical wavefront shaping. (a) Photograph of the absorbing sample (sweet bee wing). (b) Conventional acoustic-resolution photoacoustic image obtained under homogeneous illumination. (c) Photoacoustic image obtained by scanning the sample relatively to a fixed scattering layer traversed by a fixed optimized optical wavefront. The resolution is that of the optimized optical focus shown in Fig.5(a). Figure adapted with permission from [67], 2015 NPG.

distribution of the light intensity in tissue is not limited to that predicted by the transport theory, and may furthermore be significantly increased locally comparatively to the diffuse regime. As a consequence in the context of photoacoustic imaging, whose performances in terms of ⁷⁸⁰ depth-to-resolution is fundamentally limited by the signal ⁷⁸¹ to noise ratio, the optical intensity enhancement enabled by optical wavefront shaping opens up the possibility to increase the penetration depth and/or the resolution.

 $\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & $\mathbf{P} & $\mathbf{$ $T₇₈₄$ The first demonstrations of the potential of optical wavefront shaping to improve photoacoustic imaging were reported in two publications from the same group $[63, 67]$. ⁷⁸⁷ In both investigations, the authors first optimized the local fluence behind a static diffuser by PA-WFS with opti-⁷⁸⁹ mization based on a genetic algorithm, and then scanned ⁷⁹⁰ the absorbing sample behind the static diffuser to obtain a photoacoustic images. The photoacoustic effect was therefore used first as a feedback mechanism for wavefront shaping, as discussed in Sec. 3.1 and illustrated in Fig. 3, and then the optimized light distribution was scanned relatively to the absorbing sample to obtain enhanced photoacoustic images. The first type of enhancement that was reported consisted in a significant increase of the signal-⁷⁹⁸ to-noise ratio [63]. Moreover, as previously discussed in ⁷⁹⁹ Sec.3.1, because the optical focus may be smaller than the acoustic focal spot, sub-acoustic resolution photoacoustic $\frac{1}{801}$ images were also reported, as illustrated in Fig. 9. Fig. 9(a) ⁸⁰² show a photograph of the sweat bee wing sample used in the study. Fig. $9(b)$ is the conventional photoacoustic ⁸⁰⁴ image of the sample obtained with uniform illumination, whereas Fig. $9(c)$ is the photoacoustic image obtained by scanning the sample across the optical spot optimized with PA-WFS. Note also that scanning an optical diffraction spot over an absorbing sample should also reduce limited view and limited bandwidth artefacts, although such a feature has not be reported yet.

Figure 10: Photoacoustic endomicroscopy with optical wavefront shaping through a multimode fiber. (a) Schematic of the experimental setup. Focusing and scanning a diffraction-limited optical spot at the distal tip of the fiber was obtained by use of optical digital phase conjugation at the proximal tip. A spherically focused 20 MHz ultrasound transducer was used to detect photoacoustic signals from an absorbing sample placed in water in front of the distal tip. (b) Photograph of the absorbing samples (a knot between two absorbing nylon threads). (c) Optical resolution (∼ 1.5 µm) photoacoustic image obtained by scanning the focused optical spot across the field of view. Figure adapted with permission from [75], 2013 AIP.

811 Although promising, these preliminary results were how-849 ⁸¹² ever obtained in a rather unrealistic configuration for 813 imaging where the purely absorbing object to image was 814 scanned relatively to a static scattering object. Additional 852 815 promising preliminary results were also reported by Con-853 ⁸¹⁶ key et al. [67], obtained by scanning the transducer in-⁸¹⁷ stead of scanning the scattering sample: it was shown ⁸¹⁸ that by optimizing the photoacoustic amplitude at each 819 point of a 1D scan over a simple 1D absorbing pattern, 857 820 the photoacoustic image obtained from optimized signals₈₅₈ 821 exhibits an improved resolution as compared to the con-859 ⁸²² ventional image under homogeneous or single random il-823 lumination. This improved resolution was attributed tossi ⁸²⁴ the narrower spatial point spread function, similarly to 825 what was observed on a homogeneously absorbing sampless $\frac{1}{826}$ (see Fig. 5(a)). Achieving enhanced photoacoustic imag- $\frac{1}{864}$ ⁸²⁷ ing by performing wavefront shaping inside the object to-828 image however remains to be demonstrated. In addition 829 to the approach investigated by Conkey et al. [67], alter- 867 ⁸³⁰ native approaches towards this goal include the use of the 831 acousto-optic effect to first enhance the optical intensity 869 832 and then scan the optimized spot to form a photoacoustics70 833 image, or the development of iterative approaches where s_{71} 834 an initial conventional photoacoustic image could then best 835 used to perform PA-WFS and consequently improve the 873 ⁸³⁶ signal-to-noise ratio of further photoacoustic images to im-⁸³⁷ prove their resolution.

838 ≤ 4.3 . Photoacoustic microendoscopy with multi-mode opti-875 ⁸³⁹ cal waveguides

840 In the field of photoacoustic imaging, optical-resolutions as 841 photoacoustic endoscopy was first introduced by use of 879 842 bundles of single-mode fibers by Hajireza et al. [76, 77], 880 843 and was further investigated with various approaches in-881 ⁸⁴⁴ cluding multiple optical and acoustic components [78, 79]⁸⁸² ⁸⁴⁵ or all-optical components [80] assembled in a cathether 846 housing. With these approaches, the diameter of thesse 847 probes typically ranges from 1 mm to 4 mm and the resolu-885 ⁸⁴⁸ tion ranges from 5 µm to 20 µm. As introduced in Sec. 2.4,886

Note the
activate conductive shaping through a multimode fiber. (a) Schematic of the generation
and a significant space at the distal tip of the fiber was obtained by use of optical digital phase
as a diffraction-innited optical wavefront shaping has been investigated to manipulate or deal with light propagation in multi-mode optical fibers $[47, 48, 49, 50]$, an important step towards the miniaturization of optical endoscopes. The principle of a miniaturized photoacoustic endomicroscope endowed with optical wavefront shaping was first demonstrated by focusing and scanning pulsed coherent light through a $220 \mu m$ diameter multimode fiber [75], based on a phase conjugation approach $[50]$. As illustrated in Fig. 10, an absorbing wire was imaged with diffraction limited optical resolution (around $1.5 \,\mathrm{\mu m}$) at the distal tip of a multimode fiber. However, the photoacoustic signals were detected through water only with a 20 MHz ultrasound transducer, a situation not relevant for imaging inside biological tissue which strongly attenuates high-frequency ultrasound. Consequently, Simandoux et al. [81] demonstrated the use ⁸⁶⁵ of a water-filled silica capillary as a multi-mode optical waveguide for optical excitation and a quasi-monomode acoustic waveguide to collect the photoacoustic wave with a reduced attenuation, through a 3-cm thick fat layer. The use of such a capillary to simultaneously perform optical wavefront shaping with optical digital phase conjugation and photoacoustic detection was further demonstrated in a recent study highlighting the potential of such capillaries for multi-modal optical imaging [82].

874 5. Discussion and conclusion

The several recent investigations reviewed above illus-⁸⁷⁶ trate how coupling photoacoustics and light coherence ⁸⁷⁷ enables new horizons in several directions. On the one hand, the photoacoustic effect provides a valuable feedback mechanism for optical wavefront shaping, that allows in principle sensing *inside* scattering media via remote ultrasound detection. On the other hand, photoacoustic imaging may take advantage from the properties of coherent, via the possibility to use multiple speckle illumination or to manipulate light with optical wavefront shaping.

Although recent publications demonstrated promising proof-of-concepts experiments, several challenges lay

E) D

to allow see
sing functions from units a sexuences scontain approximation and the
attively low concerned and the mail of the state of the ultrame in
traition of photoacoustics source at the singlingation of photoacoustics 887 ahead to bridge the gap between such proof of concepts₉₄₃ 888 and practical applications. As a fundamental limitations⁹⁴⁴ 889 of all the demonstrations reviewed above where the pho-945 ⁸⁹⁰ toacoustic effect is used to sense speckle patterns, the ⁸⁹¹ typical size of the optical speckle grain was made much $\frac{1}{892}$ larger than $\lambda/2$ and comparable to the ultrasound resolu-948 893 tion. Doing so, the number of independent optical specklesses ⁸⁹⁴ grains within the ultrasound resolution cell was kept rela-⁸⁹⁵ tively small, either to allow sensing fluctuations from mul-⁸⁹⁶ tiple speckle illumination with a sufficient signal-to-noise 897 ratio or to demonstrate significant light intensity enhance-953 ⁸⁹⁸ ment by wavefront shaping with a relatively low number 899 of degrees of freedom. However, controlling the size of 955 ⁹⁰⁰ the optical speckle grains is only possible with free-space 901 propagation, usually by adjusting the distance between the 957 902 scattering object to the sample plane. Inside biological tis-958 ⁹⁰³ sue, the typical speckle size cannot be controlled anymore, 904 as it is dictated solely by the optical wavelength λ_{outside} . If 960 ⁹⁰⁵ one considers a 3D ultrasound focal spot with typical lin-906 ear dimension $\lambda_{\text{ultrasound}}$, the number N_s of independents 907 3D optical speckle grains within this focal spot is expected 963 ⁹⁰⁸ to scale as $N_s \sim \left(\frac{\lambda_{\text{ultrasound}}}{\lambda_{\text{optics}}}\right)^2$. For photoacoustics sens- $\frac{909}{909}$ ing with several tens of MHz ultrasound, which has been 910 demonstrated up to several mm in tissue [83], $\lambda_{\text{ultrasound}}$ 911 is of the order of a few tens of microns, and the number $\frac{1}{968}$ 912 of independent speckle grains within the ultrasound focal 913 spot may be as high as several thousands to ten thousand. ¹¹ The photoacoustic detection of speckle fluctuations with $\frac{1}{971}$ ⁹¹¹ grain size as small as $2 \mu m$ was demonstrated through a_{972}^{911} ⁹¹⁶ scattering diffuser with 20 MHz ultrasound propagating $\frac{3}{973}$ $_{917}$ through water with sufficient SNR to provide fluctuation ⁹¹⁸ images [72], but exploiting multiple speckle illumination ⁹¹⁹ inside scattering media hsa yet to be demonstrated. Sim-⁹²⁰ ilarly, photoacoustic-guided optical wavefront shaping has $\frac{1}{921}$ only been demonstrated with significant optical enhance- $_{922}$ ment factor *through* scattering samples with speckle grain $\frac{923}{923}$ enlarged by free-space propagation [71, 67, 63, 70, 64, 66, $\frac{5}{980}$ 924 65, 68], as for acousto-optic-guided wavefront shaping ex_{981}^{\sim} 924 03, 30, $\frac{1}{25}$, $\frac{1$ ⁹²⁶ of the absorber size with a fixed speckle grain size, it was $_{927}$ recently confirmed experimentally that the efficiency of $_{928}$ photoacoustic-guided wavefront shaping decreases rapidly $_{_{985}}$ when the typical absorber dimension is large compared.⁹⁸⁵ 930 to the speckle size: with a speckle size of about $30 \mu m_{\tilde{g}g}$ 931 (generated via free-space propagation), the photoacoustic $\frac{1}{988}$ ⁹³² enhancement was reduced to less than 1.5 for spherical ab-932 Emmanusian $\frac{1}{2}$ sorbers 400 µm in diameter [84], in agreement with earlier $\frac{3}{990}$ ⁹³⁴ qualitative observations by Kong et al. [51].

⁹³⁵ There are several possible directions towards enabling⁹⁹² 936 the principles reviewed above *inside* scattering samples. 937 The photoacoustic effect, as opposed to acousto-optic₉₉₄ 938 modulation, only takes place in the presence of optical ab-995 939 sorption. While this is certainly one drawback of photoa-996 ⁹⁴⁰ coustic sensing of light intensity, as no information can be 941 retrieved from absorption-free regions, it may however be. 942 turned into an advantage for PA-WFS: for PA-WFS, theses

relevant number of independent speckle grains to consider within the ultrasound focal spot is that overlapping the distribution of optical absorbers. Therefore, if optical absorbers are sparse enough at the scale of the ultrasound focal spot, it is expected that the number of relevant speckle grains to sense or control with wavefront shaping may remain relatively low. Sparse distributions of absorbers may ⁹⁵⁰ occur in tissue for instance either for blood microvessels or exogenous contrast agents at relatively low concentrations. For a given distribution of photoacoustics sources, reducing the size of the ultrasound sensing region via increasing the detection frequency is the most straightforward option, but this remains limited by the ultrasound attenuation. As the signal-to-noise ratio is the fundamental limitation, either because a small fluctuation has to be detected over a large signal (large number N_s) of independent relevant speckle grains) or because a small signal is involved (very high ultrasound frequency to reduce N_s , there is a clear need for highly sensitive ultrasound detectors optimized for photoacoustic sensing. The transducers that have been used so far are commercially available ones, with standard ⁹⁶⁴ technologies usually developed for pulse-echo measurement and not necessarily optimized for photoacoustic detection. The tremendous development of biomedical photoacoustic imaging will hopefully trigger the development of dedicated transducers, which could bring photoacoustics with ⁹⁶⁹ coherent light closer to practical applications.

Regarding optical wavefront shaping, fast light manipulation is needed for *in vivo* tissue application, in which various types of motion leads to speckle decorrelation with time scales as short as a few millisecond [85]. The most recent research efforts towards fast wave front shaping in-⁹⁷⁵ volve the use of digital micromirrors (DMD) [27, 86, 87, ⁹⁷⁶ 88, 36]. It is expected that the significant research efforts and very rapid progresses made in the field will continue to stimulate the development of new devices with both fast refresh rates and millions of pixel with flexible amplitude and/or phase control, that will in return benefit the field of photoacoustics with coherent light.

Exploiting light coherence in photoacoustics also re-⁹⁸³ quires appropriate laser sources. For pulsed light, a minimal coherence length $l_c \sim 1$ m corresponds to a minimal pulse duration $\tau_p \sim \frac{l_c}{c} \sim 3$ ns. In the context of photoacoustic imaging with multiply scattered coherent light, this shows that pulses of at least a few nanoseconds must be used if the effect of coherence is to be exploited at ⁹⁸⁹ centimeters depth in biological tissue. However, not all nanosecond-pulse laser have a nanosecond temporal co-⁹⁹¹ herence. For instance, the Q-switched nanosecond-pulse lasers widely used for deep photoacoustic imaging usually have a coherence length no longer that a few millimeters. While lasers such as Nd:YAG pulsed lasers may be injected with a single-longitudinal-mode seed laser with a large coherence length to obtain pulses with a coherence time of a few nanoseconds, this approach may not be extended to tunable laser sources based on optical parametric oscillators (OPO). So far, 532 nm is the only wavelenght

that has been used to perform the proof-of-concepts ex-1001 periments reviewed in this paper. There is thus a clear⁰⁵⁶ 1002 need of new tunable and coherent laser sources in the ${\rm SO}_{1058}^{1057}$ 1003 called therapeutic window (600-900 nm) where light can-¹⁰⁰⁴ penetrate deep into biological tissue.

imaging, On the conduct the photosome is the photosome of different tector, in Usine the conduction of the pressure of the conduction of the photosome of the conduction of the conduction of the conduction of the conductio $\frac{1005}{2000}$ In summary, the coupling between the photoacoustic ef¹⁰⁶¹ ¹⁰⁰⁶ fect and propagation of multiply scattered coherent light ¹⁰⁰⁷ opens up new horizons for both optical wavefront shaping ¹⁰⁰⁸ and photoacoustic imaging. On the one hand, the photoa-¹⁰⁰⁹ coustic effect offers a unique feedback mechanism optical 1010 wavefront shaping or optical imaging with speckle illumi $\frac{1000}{1068}$ ¹⁰¹¹ nation. On the other hand, the possibility to exploit the 1012 enormous number of degrees of freedom of multiply scat¹⁰⁷⁰ $_{1013}$ $\,$ tered coherent light with optical wavefront shaping and/or $\,$ ¹⁰¹⁴ multiple speckle illumination for photoacoustic imaging₀₇₃ 1015 offers exciting opportunities to break the current depth-1074 ¹⁰¹⁶ to-resolution ratio of non-invasive photoacoustic imaging $_{1017}$ and/or to make photoacoustic endomicroscopy minimally 1076 ¹⁰¹⁸ invasive.

¹⁰¹⁹ 6. Acknowledgments

¹⁰²⁰ This work is supported by the LABEX WIFI (Labo- $_{\rm 1021}$ $\,$ ratory of Excellence within the French Program $\,$ "Invest- $\,$ $_{1022}$ ments for the Future") under references ANR-10-LABX-24 $_{0.06}$ 1023 and ANR- 10-IDEX-0001-02 PSL^{*}, and the European Re-1087 1024 search Council (Starting Grant 278025, COMEDIA). Em¹⁰⁸⁸ $_{1025}$ manuel Bossy gratefully acknowledges funding from the $_{1000}^{1089}$ 1026 Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) via a_{091} ¹⁰²⁷ Visiting Professor Fellowship.

¹⁰²⁸ 7. Conflicts of interest

 1029 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest¹⁰⁹⁷

¹⁰³⁰ 8. References

- 1031 [1] V. Ntziachristos, D. Razansky, Molecular imaging by means₁₀₃ 1032 of multispectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT)., Chemical₁₀₄ ¹⁰³³ reviews 110 (2010) 2783.
- 1034 [2] P. Beard, Biomedical photoacoustic imaging, Interface focus $\frac{1}{106}$ ¹⁰³⁵ (2011) 602–631.
- 1036 [3] L. V. Wang, H.-i. Wu, Chapter 12: Photoacoustic tomography₁₁₀₈ 1037 in: Biomedical optics: principles and imaging, John Wiley $\&_{109}$ ¹⁰³⁸ Sons, 2012.
- ¹⁰³⁹ [4] L. V. Wang, S. Hu, Photoacoustic tomography: in vivo imaging ¹⁰⁴⁰ from organelles to organs, Science 335 (2012) 1458–1462.
- 1041 [5] R. A. Kruger, Photoacoustic ultrasound, Medical physics 21_{113} ¹⁰⁴² (1994) 127–131.
- 1043 [6] A. Karabutov, N. Podymova, V. Letokhov, Time-resolved lase r_{115} ¹⁰⁴⁴ optoacoustic tomography of inhomogeneous media, Applied ¹⁰⁴⁵ Physics B 63 (1996) 545–563.
- ¹⁰⁴⁶ [7] A. A. Oraevsky, S. L. Jacques, F. K. Tittel, Measurement 1047 of tissue optical properties by time-resolved detection of laser $\frac{1}{1119}$ ¹⁰⁴⁸ induced transient stress, Applied Optics 36 (1997) 402–415.
- ¹⁰⁴⁹ [8] A. Gibson, J. Hebden, S. R. Arridge, Recent advances in diffuse 1050 optical imaging, Physics in medicine and biology 50 (2005) $R1_{1122}$ 1051 [9] J. Yao, L. V. Wang, Photoacoustic microscopy, Laser & pho₁₁₂₃
- ¹⁰⁵² tonics reviews 7 (2013) 758–778.
- 1053 [10] J. W. Goodman, Speckle phenomena in optics: theory and $ap_{\overline{1}125}$ ¹⁰⁵⁴ plications, volume 1, 2007.
- [11] A. P. Mosk, A. Lagendijk, G. Lerosey, M. Fink, Controlling waves in space and time for imaging and focusing in complex media, Nature photonics 6 (2012) $283-292$.
- [12] G. Diebold, T. Sun, M. Khan, Photoacoustic monopole radiation in one, two, and three dimensions, Physical Review Letters ¹⁰⁶⁰ 67 (1991) 3384.
	- [13] L. V. Wang, H.-i. Wu, Chapter 3: Monte carlo modeling of photon transport in biological tissue, in: Biomedical optics: principles and imaging, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
[14] L. V. Wang, H.-i. Wu. Chapter 5: Radiative to
	- L. V. Wang, H.-i. Wu, Chapter 5: Radiative transfer equation and diffusion theory, in: Biomedical optics: principles and imaging, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
	- [15] W.-F. Cheong, S. A. Prahl, A. J. Welch, others, A review of the ¹⁰⁶⁸ optical properties of biological tissues, IEEE journal of quantum electronics 26 (1990) 2166-2185.
	- [16] A. Karabutov, N. Podymova, V. Letokhov, Time-resolved laser optoacoustic tomography of inhomogeneous media, Applied Physics B 63 (1996) 545–563.
	- [17] P. Sheng, Introduction to wave scattering, localization and mesoscopic phenomena, volume 88, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
	- [18] A. Ishimaru, Wave propagation and scattering in random media, volume 2, Academic press New York, 1978.
- ¹⁰⁷⁸ [19] J. W. Goodman, Some fundamental properties of speckle, Jour-1079 nal of the Optical Society of America 66 (1976) 1145–1150.
1080 [20] J. W. Goodman, Statistical optics, volume 1, 1985.
- ¹⁰⁸⁰ [20] J. W. Goodman, Statistical optics, volume 1, 1985.
- ¹⁰⁸¹ [21] F. C. MacKintosh, J. X. Zhu, D. J. Pine, D. A. Weitz, Polar-¹⁰⁸² ization memory of multiply scattered light, Physical Review B ¹⁰⁸³ 40 (1989) 9342–9345.
	- [22] I. M. Vellekoop, A. Mosk, Focusing coherent light through ¹⁰⁸⁵ opaque strongly scattering media, Optics letters 32 (2007) 2309– 2311.
	- [23] E. Akkermans, G. Montambaux, Mesoscopic physics of electrons and photons, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
[24] I. Vellekoop, E. Van Putten, A. Lagendijk, A. M
	- I. Vellekoop, E. Van Putten, A. Lagendijk, A. Mosk, Demixing light paths inside disordered metamaterials, Optics express 16 (2008) 67–80.
- ¹⁰⁹² [25] D. B. Conkey, A. N. Brown, A. M. Caravaca-Aguirre, R. Pies-¹⁰⁹³ tun, Genetic algorithm optimization for focusing through turbid ¹⁰⁹⁴ media in noisy environments, Optics express 20 (2012) 4840– 1095 4849.
1096 [26] I. Ve
- ¹⁰⁹⁶ [26] I. Vellekoop, A. Mosk, Phase control algorithms for focusing light through turbid media, Optics Communications 281 (2008) 3071-3080.
- ¹⁰⁹⁹ [27] D. B. Conkey, A. M. Caravaca-Aguirre, R. Piestun, High-speed ¹¹⁰⁰ scattering medium characterization with application to focusing ¹¹⁰¹ light through turbid media, Optics express 20 (2012) 1733–1740.
- ¹¹⁰² [28] D. Akbulut, T. J. Huisman, E. G. van Putten, W. L. Vos, A. P. Mosk, Focusing light through random photonic media by binary amplitude modulation, Optics express 19 (2011) 4017-4029.
- ¹¹⁰⁵ [29] S. Popoff, G. Lerosey, R. Carminati, M. Fink, A. Boccara, S. Gigan, Measuring the transmission matrix in optics: an approach ¹¹⁰⁷ to the study and control of light propagation in disordered media, Physical review letters 104 (2010) 100601 .
- [30] S. Popoff, G. Lerosey, M. Fink, A. C. Boccara, S. Gigan, Image ¹¹¹⁰ transmission through an opaque material, Nature Communications 1 (2010) 81.
- ¹¹¹² [31] O. Katz, E. Small, Y. Bromberg, Y. Silberberg, Focusing and 111 compression of ultrashort pulses through scattering media, Na-¹¹¹⁴ ture photonics 5 (2011) 372–377.
- [32] O. Katz, E. Small, Y. Guan, Y. Silberberg, Noninvasive non- $\,$ linear focusing and imaging through strongly scattering turbid ¹¹¹⁷ layers, Optica 1 (2014) 170–174.
	- [33] J. Tang, R. N. Germain, M. Cui, Superpenetration optical microscopy by iterative multiphoton adaptive compensation technique, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109 (2012) 8434–8439.

	[34] J.-H. Park. W. St.
- J.-H. Park, W. Sun, M. Cui, High-resolution in vivo imaging of mouse brain through the intact skull, Proceedings of the ¹¹²⁴ National Academy of Sciences 112 (2015) 9236–9241.
	- [35] S. Kang, S. Jeong, W. Choi, H. Ko, T. D. Yang, J. H. Joo, J.-S.

s d

- Lee, Y.-S. Lim, Q.-H. Park, W. Choi, Imaging deep within a scattering medium using collective accumulation of single-scattered waves, Nature Photonics 9 (2015) 253–258.
- 1129 [36] A. Drémeau, A. Liutkus, D. Martina, O. Katz, C. Schülke;200 F. Krzakala, S. Gigan, L. Daudet, Reference-less measurement of the transmission matrix of a highly scattering material using a dmd and phase retrieval techniques, Optics express 23 (2015) 11898–11911.
- 1134 [37] Y. Liu, P. Lai, C. Ma, X. Xu, A. A. Grabar, L. V. Wang, Op4205 tical focusing deep inside dynamic scattering media with near- infrared time-reversed ultrasonically encoded (true) light, Na-ture communications 6 (2015).
- 1138 [38] W. Akemann, J.-F. Léger, C. Ventalon, B. Mathieu,209 1139 S. Dieudonné, L. Bourdieu, Fast spatial beam shaping byz10 acousto-optic diffraction for 3d non-linear microscopy, Optics Express 23 (2015) 28191–28205.
- [39] A. Liutkus, D. Martina, S. Popoff, G. Chardon, O. Katz, G. Lerosey, S. Gigan, L. Daudet, I. Carron, Imaging with na- ture: Compressive imaging using a multiply scattering medium, Scientific reports 4 (2014).
- [40] J. Bertolotti, E. G. van Putten, C. Blum, A. Lagendijk, W. L. Vos, A. P. Mosk, Non-invasive imaging through opaque scatter-ing layers, Nature 491 (2012) 232–234.
- [41] O. Katz, P. Heidmann, M. Fink, S. Gigan, Non-invasive single- shot imaging through scattering layers and around corners via speckle correlations, Nature Photonics 8 (2014) 784–790.
- [42] I. M. Vellekoop, C. M. Aegerter, Scattered light fluorescence microscopy: imaging through turbid layers, Optics letters 35 (2010) 1245–1247.
- [43] X. Yang, C.-L. Hsieh, Y. Pu, D. Psaltis, Three-dimensional scanning microscopy through thin turbid media, Optics express 20 (2012) 2500–2506.
- [44] X. Yang, Y. Pu, D. Psaltis, Imaging blood cells through scatter- ing biological tissue using speckle scanning microscopy, Optics express 22 (2014) 3405–3413.
- [45] B. Judkewitz, R. Horstmeyer, I. M. Vellekoop, C. Yang, Trans- lation correlations in anisotropically scattering media, arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.7157 (2014).
- 1164 [46] S. Schott, J. Bertolotti, J.-F. Léger, L. Bourdieu, S. Gigan,235 Characterization of the angular memory effect of scattered light in biological tissues, Optics Express 23 (2015) 13505–13516.
- [47] R. Di Leonardo, S. Bianchi, Hologram transmission through multi-mode optical fibers, Optics express 19 (2011) 247–254.
- [48] Y. Choi, C. Yoon, M. Kim, T. D. Yang, C. Fang-Yen, R. R. 1170 Dasari, K. J. Lee, W. Choi, Scanner-free and wide-field endo-1241 scopic imaging by using a single multimode optical fiber, Phys-ical review letters 109 (2012) 203901.
- 1173 [49] T. Čižmár, K. Dholakia, Exploiting multimode waveguides 244 for pure fibre-based imaging, Nature communications 3 (2012) 1027.
- [50] I. N. Papadopoulos, S. Farahi, C. Moser, D. Psaltis, Focusing and scanning light through a multimode optical fiber using dig-ital phase conjugation, Optics express 20 (2012) 10583–10590.
- [51] F. Kong, R. H. Silverman, L. Liu, P. V. Chitnis, K. K. Lee, Y.-C. Chen, Photoacoustic-guided convergence of light through optically diffusive media, Optics letters 36 (2011) 2053–2055.
- [52] R. Horstmeyer, H. Ruan, C. Yang, Guidestar-assisted wavefront-shaping methods for focusing light into biological tis-sue, Nature Photonics 9 (2015) 563–571.
- [53] O. Katz, E. Small, Y. Silberberg, Looking around corners and through thin turbid layers in real time with scattered incoherent light, Nature Photonics 6 (2012) 549–553.
- [54] C.-L. Hsieh, Y. Pu, R. Grange, G. Laporte, D. Psaltis, Imaging 1189 through turbid layers by scanning the phase conjugated sec-1260 ond harmonic radiation from a nanoparticle, Optics express 18 (2010) 20723–20731.
- [55] X. Xu, H. Liu, L. V. Wang, Time-reversed ultrasonically en- coded optical focusing into scattering media, Nature photonics 5 (2011) 154–157.
- [56] Y. M. Wang, B. Judkewitz, C. A. DiMarzio, C. Yang, Deep-tissue focal fluorescence imaging with digitally time-reversed

ultrasound-encoded light, Nature communications 3 (2012) 928.

- [57] K. Si, R. Fiolka, M. Cui, Breaking the spatial resolution barrier via iterative sound-light interaction in deep tissue microscopy, Scientific reports 2 (2012).
	- [58] K. Si, R. Fiolka, M. Cui, Fluorescence imaging beyond the ballistic regime by ultrasound-pulse-guided digital phase conjugation, Nature photonics (2012) 657–661.
- [59] B. Judkewitz, Y. M. Wang, R. Horstmeyer, A. Mathy, C. Yang, Speckle-scale focusing in the diffusive regime with time reversal of variance-encoded light (trove), Nature photonics 7 (2013) $300-305.$ [60] J.W.Ta
- [60] J. W. Tay, P. Lai, Y. Suzuki, L. V. Wang, Ultrasonically encoded wavefront shaping for focusing into random media, Scientific reports (2014).
- [61] E. Bossy, K. Daoudi, A.-C. Boccara, M. Tanter, J.-F. Aubry, G. Montaldo, M. Fink, Time reversal of photoacoustic waves, Applied physics letters 89 (2006) 184108.
- [62] A. R. Funke, J.-F. Aubry, M. Fink, A.-C. Boccara, E. Bossy, Photoacoustic guidance of high intensity focused ultrasound with selective optical contrasts and time-reversal, Applied Physics Letters 94 (2009) 054102.
- center directions by each of the set of the s [63] A. M. Caravaca-Aguirre, D. B. Conkey, J. D. Dove, H. Ju, T. W. Murray, R. Piestun, High contrast three-dimensional photoacoustic imaging through scattering media by localized optical fluence enhancement, Optics express 21 (2013) 26671–26676.
[64] T. Chaigne, J. Gateau, O. Katz, C. Boccara, S. Gigan, E. Boss
	- [64] T. Chaigne, J. Gateau, O. Katz, C. Boccara, S. Gigan, E. Bossy, Improving photoacoustic-guided optical focusing in scattering media by spectrally filtered detection, Optics letters 39 (2014) 6054–6057.
	- [65] X. L. Deán-Ben, H. Estrada, D. Razansky, Shaping volumetric light distribution through turbid media using real-time three- dimensional opto-acoustic feedback, Optics letters 40 (2015) 443–446.
	- [66] J. W. Tay, J. Liang, L. V. Wang, Amplitude-masked photoa- coustic wavefront shaping and application in flowmetry, Optics letters 39 (2014) 5499-5502.
	- [67] D. B. Conkey, A. M. Caravaca-Aguirre, J. D. Dove, H. Ju, T. W. Murray, R. Piestun, Super-resolution photoacoustic imaging through a scattering wall, Nature Communications (2015) 7902.
		- [68] P. Lai, L. Wang, J. W. Tay, L. V. Wang, Photoacoustically guided wavefront shaping for enhanced optical focusing in scattering media, Nature Photonics (2015).
		- [69] L. Wang, C. Zhang, L. V. Wang, Grueneisen relaxation photoacoustic microscopy, Physical review letters 113 (2014) 174301.
	- [70] T. Chaigne, J. Gateau, O. Katz, E. Bossy, S. Gigan, Light focusing and two-dimensional imaging through scattering media using the photoacoustic transmission matrix with an ultrasound array, Optics letters 39 (2014) 2664–2667.
	- [71] T. Chaigne, O. Katz, A. C. Boccara, M. Fink, E. Bossy, S. Gi- gan, Controlling light in scattering media non-invasively using the photoacoustic transmission matrix, Nature Photonics 8 (2014) 58–64.
		- [72] J. Gateau, T. Chaigne, O. Katz, S. Gigan, E. Bossy, Improving visibility in photoacoustic imaging using dynamic speckle illumination, Optics letters 38 (2013) $5188-5191$.
	- [73] T. Chaigne, J. Gateau, M. Allain, O. Katz, S. Gigan, A. Sentenac, E. Bossy, Super-resolution photoacoustic fluctuation imaging with multiple speckle illumination, arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.01305 (2015).
	- [74] T. Dertinger, R. Colyer, G. Iyer, S. Weiss, J. Enderlein, Fast, background-free, 3d super-resolution optical fluctuation imaging (sofi), Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106 (2009) $22287 - 22292$.
	- [75] I. N. Papadopoulos, O. Simandoux, S. Farahi, J. P. Huignard, E. Bossy, D. Psaltis, C. Moser, Optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy by use of a multimode fiber, Applied Physics Letters 102 (2013) 211106.
	- [76] P. Hajireza, W. Shi, R. Zemp, Label-free in vivo fiber-based optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy, Optics letters 36 (2011) 4107-4109.

- [77] P. Hajireza, T. Harrison, A. Forbrich, R. Zemp, Optical res- olution photoacoustic microendoscopy with ultrasound-guided insertion and array system detection, Journal of Biomedical 1271 Optics 18 (2013) 090502-090502.
- [78] X. Bai, X. Gong, W. Hau, R. Lin, J. Zheng, C. Liu, C. Zeng, X. Zou, H. Zheng, L. Song, Intravascular optical-resolution photoacoustic tomography with a 1.1 mm diameter catheter, PloS one 9 (2014) 92463.
- [79] J.-M. Yang, C. Li, R. Chen, B. Rao, J. Yao, C.-H. Yeh, A. Danielli, K. Maslov, Q. Zhou, K. K. Shung, et al., Optical- resolution photoacoustic endomicroscopy in vivo, Biomedical optics express 6 (2015) 918–932.
- [80] B. Dong, S. Chen, Z. Zhang, C. Sun, H. F. Zhang, Photoa- coustic probe using a microring resonator ultrasonic sensor for endoscopic applications, Optics letters 39 (2014) 4372–4375.
- accentic endominations and F. F. Zlamag. Photo-

accentic and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}$. Since $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}$. Since $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}$ is the stress of the stress in the stress of the stress of the Saster, L. G. Moreov, the Saster, [81] O. Simandoux, N. Stasio, J. Gateau, J.-P. Huignard, C. Moser, D. Psaltis, E. Bossy, Optical-resolution photoacoustic imaging through thick tissue with a thin capillary as a dual optical- in acoustic-out waveguide, Applied Physics Letters 106 (2015) 1287 094102.
- [82] N. Stasio, A. Shibukawa, I. Papadopoulos, S. Farahi, O. Siman- doux, J.-P. Huignard, E. Bossy, C. Moser, D. Psaltis, Towards new applications using capillary waveguides, Biomedical optics express (in press).
- [83] M. Omar, J. Gateau, V. Ntziachristos, Raster-scan optoacoustic mesoscopy in the 25–125 mhz range, Optics letters 38 (2013) 2472–2474.
- [84] X. L. Dean Ben, H. Estrada, A. Ozbek, D. Razansky, Influ- ence of the absorber dimensions on feedback-based volumetric optoacoustic wavefront shaping, Optics letters in press (2015).
- [85] M. Jang, H. Ruan, I. M. Vellekoop, B. Judkewitz, E. Chung, C. Yang, Relation between speckle decorrelation and optical phase conjugation (opc)-based turbidity suppression through dynamic scattering media: a study on in vivo mouse skin, Biomedical optics express 6 (2015) 72–85.
- [86] C. Ma, F. Zhou, Y. Liu, L. V. Wang, Single-exposure optical focusing inside scattering media using binarized time-reversed adapted perturbation, Optica 2 (2015) 869–876.
- [87] D. Wang, E. H. Zhou, J. Brake, H. Ruan, M. Jang, C. Yang, Focusing through dynamic tissue with millisecond digital optical phase conjugation, Optica 2 (2015) 728–735.
- [88] H. Yu, J. Park, K. Lee, J. Yoon, K. Kim, S. Lee, Y. Park, Recent advances in wavefront shaping techniques for biomedical applications, Current Applied Physics 15 (2015) 632–641.

Emmanuel Bossy has been an Associate Professor at ESPCI-CNRS and Institut Lanvegin since 2004. For the academic year 2015-2016, he is currently an Invited Professor at the Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, in the Laboratory of Optics and Laboratory of Applied Photonics Devices. His general research field is wave propagation and imaging in complex media, and his work includes photoacoustic imaging, acousto-optic imaging and non-destructive testing with ultrasound. He received his Ph.D. degree in 2003 from the Université Pierre et Marie Curie for his contributions to quantitative ultrasonic characterization of bone, and was a post-doctoral research fellow at Boston University from 2003 to 2004 where his research oriented towards biomedical imaging techniques that couple light and sound.

e light and sound.

gan is currently a Professor at Université Pierre et Marie Curie, and a researcher

exastle-Prossel, at the Physics Department of ENS, where he leads the tesnar "optic

complex and biological media". He Sylvain Gigan is currently a Professor at Université Pierre et Marie Curie, and a researcher at Laboratoire Kastler-Brossel, at the Physics Department of ENS, where he leads the team "optical imaging in complex and biological media". He is a member of the Institut Universitaire de France. He holds a PhD in quantum Optics under the direction of Claude Fabre, and has been successfully a postdoctoral researcher in quantum information in Vienna in the group of Markus Aspelmeyer, and an Associate Professor at ESPCI ParisTech and institut Langevin.

<u>ANUSCRIPT</u>

ΞĐ

ANUSCRIPT *Author Photo (to accompany biography) [Click here to download high resolution image](http://ees.elsevier.com/pacs/download.aspx?id=3976&guid=b52ae6a1-05ce-4cb9-a787-f9c73d36dc09&scheme=1)

