



HAL
open science

Abundance and recruitment data for *Undaria pinnatifida* in Brest harbour, France: Model versus field results

James T. Murphy, Marie Voisin, Mark Johnson, Frédérique Viard

► To cite this version:

James T. Murphy, Marie Voisin, Mark Johnson, Frédérique Viard. Abundance and recruitment data for *Undaria pinnatifida* in Brest harbour, France: Model versus field results. *Data in Brief*, 2016, 10.1016/j.dib.2016.02.075 . hal-01284837v1

HAL Id: hal-01284837

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01284837v1>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2016 (v1), last revised 31 Mar 2016 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Abundance and recruitment data for *Undaria pinnatifida* in Brest harbour, France: Model versus field results

James T. Murphy^{a,b}, Marie Voisin^a, Mark Johnson^b,
Frédérique Viard^a

^a Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 6, CNRS, UMR 7144, Adaptation & Diversity in Marine Environment Department, Divco Team, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France

^b Marine Environment Research Group, Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 February 2016

Received in revised form

16 February 2016

Accepted 27 February 2016

Keywords:

Macroalgae

Individual-based model

Invasive species

Seaweed

Kelp

Undaria pinnatifida

Recruitment

Abundance

ABSTRACT

The data presented in this article are related to the research article entitled “A modelling approach to explore the critical environmental parameters influencing the growth and establishment of the invasive seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida* in Europe” [1]. This article describes raw simulation data output from a novel individual-based model of the invasive kelp species *Undaria pinnatifida*. It also includes field data of monthly abundance and recruitment values for a population of invasive *U. pinnatifida* (in Brest harbour, France) that were used to validate the model. The raw model output and field data are made publically available in order to enable critical analysis of the model predictions and to inform future modelling efforts of the study species.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Specifications table

Subject area	Biology
More specific subject area	Computational modelling of invasive macroalgae
Type of data	Tables

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.01.038>

E-mail address: james.murphy@nuigalway.ie (J.T. Murphy).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.02.075>

2352-3409/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Please cite this article as: J.T. Murphy, et al., Abundance and recruitment data for *Undaria pinnatifida* in Brest harbour, France: Model versus field results, Data in Brief (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.02.075>

55	How data was	Field survey, Individual-based model
56	acquired	
57	Data format	Raw
58	Experimental	Field data: 64 aluminium panels set-up one metre below the water surface
59	features	attached to pontoons in harbor setting.
60	Data source	Brest harbor, Brittany, France.
61	location	
62	Data accessibility	Data is available with this article

Value of the data

- This data facilitates the data collection of other researchers attempting to follow the same technique or to evaluate future methods for analysis of the data.
- There are limited public datasets available on the monthly abundance/recruitment of field populations of *U. pinnatifida* despite their importance for understanding invasion dynamics.
- Environmental parameters included so that the quantitative relationship between the population dynamics and environmental factors can be explored.
- Allows researchers to independently verify the model predictions versus field results.

1. Data

Tables 1–4 display raw model output and field data for populations of *Undaria pinnatifida* growing in a harbour setting. Model results are from simulations carried out using a spatially-explicit, individual-based model of *U. pinnatifida* population dynamics. A description of this model can be found in the associated research article [1]. Field data are from populations of invasive *U. pinnatifida* growing in Brest harbour, France, which were surveyed during 2005 and 2006 [2].

Table 1

Raw model output from simulation of *Undaria pinnatifida* population. Abund=No. of sporophyte agents; Recruit=No. of new sporophyte agents (< 1 month old); Gameto=No. of gametophyte agents; Spores=total no. of spores in the environment; Temp=water temperature (°C); Solar=Solar radiation (Megajoules m⁻² h⁻¹); D.L.=day length (day light hours).

Month	Abund	Recruit	Gameto	Spores	Temp	Solar	D.L.
1	0	0	4000	0	9.73	0.38	8.89
2	0	0	3704	0	9.22	0.65	10.17
3	40	40	3263	0	9.75	0.92	12.06
4	192	178	2943	0	11.12	1.12	13.95
5	171	84	3249	9.3E+09	13.15	1.24	15.39
6	66	15	10349	3.7E+10	15.35	1.27	16.01
7	13	12	12702	2.2E+09	16.89	1.24	15.62
8	16	13	12440	2.6E+09	17.54	1.12	14.30
9	78	75	11986	2.8E+09	17.05	0.93	12.47
10	190	167	11884	8.4E+09	15.59	0.67	10.58
11	287	221	11704	4.9E+09	13.46	0.40	9.09
12	279	151	10746	6.6E+08	11.39	0.27	8.49
13	319	153	9569	1.7E+03	9.78	0.38	8.89
14	565	359	8358	0	9.18	0.65	10.17
15	762	445	7329	0	9.68	0.92	12.06
16	859	421	6660	0	11.20	1.12	13.95
17	745	184	9933	7.5E+10	13.20	1.24	15.39
18	282	34	44969	1.8E+11	15.25	1.27	16.01

Table 1 (continued)

Month	Abund	Recruit	Gameto	Spores	Temp	Solar	D.L.
19	26	22	50902	5.2E+09	16.85	1.24	15.62
20	78	75	48893	2.9E+09	17.43	1.12	14.30
21	204	189	47027	1.3E+10	16.93	0.93	12.47
22	595	545	44873	2.0E+10	15.47	0.67	10.58
23	1092	861	43183	2.7E+10	13.46	0.40	9.09
24	1103	607	40653	2.8E+09	11.33	0.27	8.49
25	1212	568	36309	7.6E+08	9.83	0.38	8.89
26	2063	1281	31735	0	9.15	0.65	10.17
27	2896	1691	27677	0	9.67	0.92	12.06
28	3362	1591	25387	6.0E+09	11.20	1.12	13.95
29	2790	603	36802	2.9E+11	13.26	1.24	15.39
30	1046	114	153281	6.7E+11	15.38	1.27	16.01
31	109	94	171091	1.8E+10	16.94	1.24	15.62
32	238	217	164369	2.4E+10	17.42	1.12	14.30
33	830	774	156976	4.2E+10	16.96	0.93	12.47
34	2208	1979	149316	7.5E+10	15.51	0.67	10.58
35	3530	2759	143625	7.3E+10	13.35	0.40	9.09
36	3621	1996	132164	1.1E+10	11.31	0.27	8.49
37	4197	2045	117755	6.0E+08	9.73	0.38	8.89
38	7019	4300	103070	0	9.22	0.65	10.17
39	9309	5237	90333	6.6E+08	9.76	0.92	12.06
40	10645	4952	82258	1.6E+10	11.19	1.12	13.95
41	9138	2114	110277	9.4E+11	13.26	1.24	15.39
42	3537	449	421117	2.3E+12	15.40	1.27	16.01
43	302	234	473819	6.7E+10	16.89	1.24	15.62
44	657	605	453465	6.0E+10	17.41	1.12	14.30
45	2085	1917	429927	1.3E+11	16.88	0.93	12.47
46	5924	5332	405506	2.1E+11	15.50	0.67	10.58
47	9681	7642	383861	2.2E+11	13.47	0.40	9.09
48	9653	5375	353109	3.3E+10	11.35	0.27	8.49
49	11272	5569	313331	6.8E+06	9.77	0.38	8.89
50	19119	11902	274492	6.1E+08	9.15	0.65	10.17
51	25300	14090	240896	4.4E+05	9.66	0.92	12.06
52	28837	13260	219687	4.0E+10	11.18	1.12	13.95
53	24528	5480	285372	2.7E+12	13.17	1.24	15.39
54	9328	1166	956971	6.0E+12	15.26	1.27	16.01
55	846	653	1065121	2.0E+11	16.92	1.24	15.62
56	1649	1512	1016441	1.6E+11	17.44	1.12	14.30
57	4820	4449	967828	3.0E+11	16.94	0.93	12.47
58	13337	11938	903433	5.2E+11	15.43	0.67	10.58

Table 2a

Field data: Raw monthly abundance data for *Undaria pinnatifida* from Brest harbour, France 2005/06 [2], along with normalised values (expressed relative to peak in annual abundance). Letters a–e represent five different sets of colour-coded aluminium panels ($n=64$) installed in Brest harbour, attached to floating pontoons at a depth of 1 m below the water surface.

Month	Raw data (Sporophytes m^{-2})					Normalised					Mean	SEM
	a	b	c	d	e	a	b	c	d	e		
Aug 05	0.00	1.89	1.89	5.48	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.14	0.00	0.04	0.026
Sep 05	0.00	13.26	0.00	0.00	1.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.037
Oct 05	0.00	11.36	7.58	1.10	5.48	0.00	0.16	0.21	0.03	0.16	0.11	0.041
Nov 05	13.89	26.52	11.36	1.10	7.68	0.44	0.38	0.32	0.03	0.22	0.28	0.072
Dec 05	4.17	13.26	22.73	14.25	6.58	0.13	0.19	0.63	0.36	0.19	0.30	0.091
Jan 06	9.72	17.05	13.26	19.74	6.58	0.31	0.24	0.37	0.50	0.19	0.32	0.054
Feb 06	25.00	37.88	13.26	28.51	9.87	0.80	0.54	0.37	0.72	0.28	0.54	0.099
Mar 06	26.79	70.08	18.94	39.35	34.72	0.86	1.00	0.53	1.00	1.00	0.88	0.092
Apr 06	31.25	35.98	35.98	25.46	24.31	1.00	0.51	1.00	0.65	0.70	0.77	0.098
May 06	11.22	13.26	15.15	14.71	11.03	0.36	0.19	0.42	0.37	0.32	0.33	0.039
Jun 06	4.81	3.79	11.36	9.80	8.58	0.15	0.05	0.32	0.25	0.25	0.20	0.045
Jul 06	1.60	0.00	2.08	2.45	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.014

Table 2b

Model Output: Predicted sporophyte abundance. Raw data along with normalised values (expressed relative to peak in annual recruitment). Data from four simulated growth seasons (a-d).

Month	Raw data (Sporophytes m ⁻²)				Normalised				Mean	SEM
	a	b	c	d	a	b	c	d		
Aug 05	6	36	214	518	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.003
Sep 05	23	138	689	2335	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.006
Oct 05	75	345	1815	5622	0.23	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.010
Nov 05	123	579	2561	7959	0.37	0.34	0.36	0.32	0.35	0.012
Dec 05	120	538	2332	7544	0.36	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.33	0.013
Jan 06	136	632	2431	7814	0.41	0.37	0.34	0.31	0.36	0.022
Feb 06	259	1231	4738	14176	0.79	0.73	0.66	0.57	0.69	0.048
Mar 06	316	1476	6245	22518	0.96	0.87	0.87	0.90	0.90	0.021
Apr 06	329	1689	7183	25040	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.000
May 06	283	1354	6067	19012	0.86	0.80	0.84	0.76	0.82	0.023
Jun 06	116	481	3480	5464	0.35	0.28	0.48	0.22	0.34	0.057
Jul 06	13	75	225		0.04	0.04	0.03		0.04	0.004

Table 3a

Field data: Raw monthly recruitment values for *Undaria pinnatifida* from Brest harbour, France 2005/06 [2], along with normalised values (expressed relative to peak in annual recruitment). Letters (a-e) represent five different sets of colour-coded aluminium panels ($n=64$) installed in Brest harbour, attached to floating pontoons at a depth of 1 m below the water surface.

Month	Raw Data (Recruits m ⁻²)					Normalised					Mean	SEM
	a	b	c	d	e	a	b	c	d	e		
Aug 05	0.00	1.89	1.89	4.39	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.10	0.22	0.00	0.08	0.041
Sep 05	0.00	9.47	0.00	0.00	1.10	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.057
Oct 05	0.00	9.47	3.79	1.10	5.48	0.00	0.29	0.20	0.06	0.36	0.18	0.069
Nov 05	2.78	11.36	5.68	1.10	4.39	0.11	0.35	0.30	0.06	0.29	0.22	0.058
Dec 05	1.39	5.68	3.79	5.48	3.29	0.05	0.18	0.20	0.28	0.22	0.19	0.037
Jan 06	1.39	11.36	1.89	5.48	5.48	0.05	0.35	0.10	0.28	0.36	0.23	0.064
Feb 06	6.94	11.36	3.79	15.35	6.58	0.27	0.35	0.20	0.78	0.44	0.41	0.101
Mar 06	17.86	32.20	5.68	16.20	15.05	0.71	1.00	0.30	0.82	1.00	0.77	0.129
Apr 06	25.30	20.83	18.94	19.68	9.26	1.00	0.65	1.00	1.00	0.62	0.85	0.090
May 06	6.41	7.58	7.58	7.35	7.35	0.25	0.24	0.40	0.37	0.49	0.35	0.047
Jun 06	1.60	1.89	3.79	2.45	4.90	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.12	0.33	0.15	0.050
Jul 06	0.00	0.00	2.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.022

Table 3b

Model Output: Predicted number of recruits (sporophyte agent > 5 cm in length and < 1 month old). Raw data and normalised values (expressed relative to peak in annual recruitment). Data from four simulated growth seasons (a-d).

Month	Raw data (Recruits m ⁻²)				Normalised				Mean	SEM
	a	b	c	d	a	b	c	d		
Aug 05	19	52	211	476	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.011
Sep 05	33	156	741	2130	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.008
Oct 05	71	381	1765	4819	0.32	0.36	0.43	0.34	0.36	0.023
Nov 05	112	556	2164	6062	0.51	0.52	0.52	0.42	0.49	0.023
Dec 05	85	416	1371	4496	0.38	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.36	0.019
Jan 06	76	395	1275	3907	0.34	0.37	0.31	0.27	0.32	0.021
Feb 06	220	975	3394	9262	1.00	0.91	0.82	0.65	0.84	0.074
Mar 06	221	1068	3916	14265	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	0.99	0.013
Apr 06	215	1003	4129	12759	0.97	0.94	1.00	0.89	0.95	0.023
May 06	86	387	1914	4898	0.39	0.36	0.46	0.34	0.39	0.026
Jun 06	19	83	334	1175	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.002
Jul 06	12	105	168	781	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.014

217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270**Table 4**Time-lagged relationship between water temperature (2 months prior to recruitment) and appearance of *Undaria pinnatifida* recruits. Field results from Brest harbour, France 2005/2006 [2].

Field results		Model predictions	
Temperature (°C)	Rel. recruitment	Temperature (°C)	Rel. recruitment
15.55556	0	8.53486	0.46355
16.8254	0	8.61046	1
16.24339	0	8.99722	0.38914
16.56085	0.109804	9.09356	0.894427
15.50265	0.054902	9.10606	1
13.38624	0.054902	9.46605	1
10.68783	0.27451	9.50739	0.36236
9.550265	0.705882	9.50883	0.4125
8.597884	1	9.56146	0.948414
8.518519	0.253394	9.75142	0.939139
10.13228	0.063348	10.0466	0.972851
12.59259	0	10.169	0.080891
15.55556	0.058824	10.2278	0.343358
16.8254	0.294118	10.3061	1
16.24339	0.294118	10.3095	0.4375
16.56085	0.352941	10.5467	0.085973
15.50265	0.176471	10.5475	1
13.38624	0.352941	10.7031	0.821991
10.68783	0.352941	10.7978	0.995475
9.550265	1	11.3494	0.912921
8.597884	0.647059	11.3703	0.077715
8.518519	0.235294	11.7769	0.075
10.13228	0.058824	11.8359	0.082369
12.59259	0	12.5988	0.040688
15.55556	0.1	12.675	0.649281
16.8254	0	12.8818	0.054299
16.24339	0.2	12.8941	0.343891
16.56085	0.3	13.1087	0.098315
15.50265	0.2	13.2682	0.36985
13.38624	0.1	13.3292	0.308791
10.68783	0.2	13.3819	0.025
9.550265	0.3	13.4321	0.054749
8.597884	1	14.9062	0.273887
8.518519	0.4	15.12	0.384615
10.13228	0.2	15.2796	0.389513
12.59259	0.11	15.3819	0.033368
15.55556	0.22291	15.5398	0.051102
16.8254	0	15.6025	0.332042
16.24339	0.055728	15.798	0.048689
16.56085	0.055728	15.8213	0.085973
15.50265	0.278638	15.9455	0.098423
13.38624	0.278638	16.0195	0.146067
10.68783	0.780186	16.2086	0.427464
9.550265	0.823529	16.3575	0.33782
8.597884	1	16.388	0.315177
8.518519	0.373702	16.6228	0.524098
10.13228	0.124567	16.8302	0.179462
12.59259	0	16.9061	0.424956
15.55556	0	17.0483	0.149321
16.8254	0.072874	17.1102	0.356742
16.24339	0.364372	17.2186	0.149317
16.56085	0.291498	17.2403	0.520599
15.50265	0.218623	17.2976	0.506787
13.38624	0.364372	18.0803	0.321267
10.68783	0.437247		
9.550265	1		
8.597884	0.615385		

Table 4 (continued)

Field results		Model predictions	
Temperature (°C)	Rel. recruitment	Temperature (°C)	Rel. recruitment
8.518519	0.488688		
10.13228	0.325792		
12.59259	0		

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Field data was collected from the port of Brest in France during the 2005/06 growing season: during this field experiment, 64 aluminium panels were set-up one metre below the surface, a depth optimal for the recruitment of the *U.pinnatifida*, and the settlement and length of each individual was recorded every month.

Simulations were carried out using an individual-based model with environmental parameters (light, temperature and day length) representative of Brest harbour, France. Surface water temperature data for the port of Brest (2003–06) were obtained from a SOMLIT (Service d'Observation en Milieu Littoral, INSU-CNRS, Brest) buoy situated a few hundred metres from the marina [2,3]. Mean global solar irradiance data for the region were obtained using the CalSol online application (Institut National de L'Energie Solaire, CEA-CNRS) [4].

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by an Irish Research Council ELEVATE international career development fellowship, co-funded by Marie Curie Actions under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme. The data presented here that were collected in Brest were obtained as part of the PhD thesis of Marie Voisin who benefitted from a Ph.D. fellowship ("Renouvellement des Compétences" Program) from the Region Bretagne. MV and FV are thankful to the many people from the Department AD2M of the Station Biologique de Roscoff who provided help for the surveys carried out in the field.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.02.075>.

References

- [1] J.T. Murphy, M.P. Johnson, F. Viard, A modelling approach to explore the critical environmental parameters influencing the growth and establishment of the invasive seaweed *Undaria pinnatifida* in Europe, *J. Theor. Biol.* (2016). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.01.038>.
- [2] Voisin, M., Les processus d'invasions biologiques en milieu côtier marin: le cas de l'algue brune *Undaria pinnatifida*, cultivée et introduite à l'échelle mondiale (Ph.D. diss.), 2007, Paris 6: France.
- [3] INSU-CNRS, SOMLIT-Service d'Observation en Milieu Littoral, Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers (CNRS), France, 2015.
- [4] INES, *Logiciel CALSOL*, Institut National de L'Energie Solaire: France, 2015.