Variations in reproductive strategy of the silver Arowana, *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* Cuvier, 1829 from four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon

F. Duponchelle, A. Ruiz Arce, A. Waty, A. Garcia-Vasquez, J.-F. Renno, F. Chu-Koo, C. Garcia-Davila, G. Vargas, S. Tello, A. Ortiz, et al.

► To cite this version:

F. Duponchelle, A. Ruiz Arce, A. Waty, A. Garcia-Vasquez, J.-F. Renno, et al.. Variations in reproductive strategy of the silver Arowana, *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* Cuvier, 1829 from four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 2015, 31 (S4), pp.19-30. 10.1111/jai.12973 . hal-01292011

HAL Id: hal-01292011

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01292011>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

34 second year, at sizes varying between 43-45cm for males and 46-50 cm for females.
35 Important variations in fecundity were observed between fish of the four sub-basins,
36 whereas mean oocytes diameter and mass did not vary significantly, suggesting
37 differences in reproductive investment. Together with previously reported variations
38 of growth patterns in the same sub-basins, these results suggest the existence of
39 distinct populations in the Peruvian Amazon, with important consequences for
40 management and conservation, but also for future aquaculture development
41 programs.

42

43 **Introduction**

44

45 The silver Arowana, *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*, is a relatively large (>1 m length),
46 laterally compressed fish of the archaic bony-tongued fish Order Osteoglossiforms
47 (Goulding, 1980), comprising, in South America, the blue Arowana, *Osteoglossum*
48 *ferreirai* Kanazawa 1966, and the species of the genus *Arapaima* spp., known as
49 pirarucu in Brazil and paiche in the other Amazonian countries. The silver Arowana
50 preferentially inhabits lentic waters of the Amazon, Rupununi and Oyapock rivers
51 (Goulding, 1980; Bayley and Petrere, 1989; Reis et al., 2003; Saint-Paul et al., 2000).
52 This species occupies a particular place in Amazonian fisheries as it is heavily
53 harvested both as an adult for human consumption and as a small juvenile (usually
54 still with its yolk sac) for the ornamental trade (Moreau and Coomes, 2006;
55 Alcantara et al., 2007). The Asian Arowanas (*Scleropages* spp.) or dragon fish,
56 closely resemble the Amazonian silver Arowana. These are very popular and sought
57 for pet fish in Asian markets, which has lead natural populations to be so
58 dramatically depleted that they have been listed under the Convention on

59 International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) Appendix I in 1975 (Moreau and
60 Coomes, 2006). Since then, the Amazonian cousin, *O. bicirrhosum*, has replaced
61 them in Asian markets and its exportation has increased alarmingly since the 1990s
62 (Tello and Canepa, 1991; Moreau and Coomes, 2006, 2007). Owing to its increasing
63 exploitation in Amazonia for ornamental purposes, the species is considered
64 threatened in Peru (Moreau and Coomes, 2006), it has already been placed on the
65 Red Book of Colombia (Mojica et al., 2002) and its trade for ornamental purposes is
66 prohibited in Brazil (Lima and Prang, 2008; Prang, 2008).

67 The reproductive biology of the silver Arowana is relatively well described since the
68 early studies of Goulding (1980, 1990) and Aragao (1989). Recently, patterns of
69 reproductive investment were further investigated in the Mamirauá Reserve
70 (Queiroz, 2008) and the potential role of male aggregations was explored (Verba et
71 al., 2014). In Peru, its reproductive characteristics were studied in one lake of the
72 Putumayo River (Cortegano et al., 2014) and further information also exists from
73 unpublished dissertations (Ayala, 2001; Tang and Gomez, 2005). Despite extensive
74 ornamental fisheries in almost all the distribution area of the species and growing
75 concern about its conservation, however, no assessment of the geographic variability
76 of reproductive traits has yet been attempted. Besides the obvious interest for
77 conservation and fisheries management, the knowledge of whether geographic
78 population may display significant variations in specific reproductive traits such as
79 the timing of maturation and egg production would be determinant for future
80 aquaculture development. Indeed, the production of Arowana juveniles in controlled
81 conditions (aquaculture) appears to be the most immediate alternative to extraction
82 of natural populations for ornamental purposes (Argumedo, 2005; Moreau and
83 Coomes, 2006). Choosing breeders from a population with higher relative fecundity

84 (egg production per unit body mass), for example, might increase chances of success.
85 A recent study in the Peruvian Amazon demonstrated important variations in the
86 growth patterns of the silver Arowana in four sub-basins, suggesting the existence of
87 distinct populations, which might require independent management (Duponchelle et
88 al., 2012). The aim of the present study is to assess whether reproductive
89 characteristics also vary, and to which extent, between these four sub-basins of the
90 Peruvian Amazon.

91

92 **Material and Methods**

93

94 **Fish collection**

95

96 Fish were collected monthly between November 2006 and April 2009 at the
97 Iquitos and Requena markets for the Amazonas, Ucayali and Napo sub-basins
98 (Figure 1). Requena is an important market located on the lower Ucayali River and
99 Iquitos is the main landing market of the Peruvian Amazon, concentrating fishes
100 from the Amazonas, Ucayali, Marañon, Napo, Huallaga, Tigre, Putumayo, Nanay,
101 Yavari and Morona sub-basins (Garcia-Vasquez et al., 2009). For a better accuracy
102 on specimen provenance, fish (of the largest possible size range) were bought from
103 specific providers from each river basin for the Amazonas, Napo and Ucayali rivers.
104 For the Putumayo River, fish were bought monthly, from March 2008 to April 2009,
105 from local fishermen in El Estrecho and Huapapa communities (Figure 1). The sub-
106 basins were chosen on the basis of their hydrological cycle: the Amazonas and
107 Ucayali have very similar hydrological cycles; the Putumayo and Napo also have
108 similar hydrological cycles, but lag from about three months from that of the

109 Amazonas-Ucayali. The availability and reliability of specimen's provenance was
110 also taken into account. Monthly means of water levels were provided by the SO-
111 HYBAM (Observation Service - Geodynamical, hydrological and biogeochemical
112 control of erosion/alteration and material transport in the Amazon basin) and
113 SENAMHI (Servicio Nacional de Meteorología e Hidrología), Peru.

114

115 **Biological sample analysis**

116

117 A total of 951 specimens of *O. bicirrhosum* were analysed. On each fish, total length
118 (T_L), standard length (S_L) and body mass were measured to the nearest mm and g,
119 respectively. Gonads were photographed and macroscopically checked for maturity
120 stage. On each fish, a gonad sample was preserved in Bouin's fluid for histological
121 analyses. Fixed gonads were further processed under classical histological methods
122 with Haematoxylin and Eosin staining for confirmation of macroscopic maturity
123 stages. A gonadal maturation scale was then established, based on the macroscopic
124 criteria detailed in Nuñez and Duponchelle (2009). Nevertheless, the proposed scale
125 also provides the equivalence with Brown-Petersen et al. (2011)'s terminology,
126 which was developed in an effort to standardize the confusing number of terms
127 referring to key phases of the reproductive cycle in fisheries science' literature.

128 The breeding season was estimated from the monthly proportions of females'
129 gonadal maturation stage "spawning capable" (Brown-Petersen et al., 2011). To
130 obtain a better representation of the annual cycle, data collected over the study period
131 were pooled for each river basin.

132 The average size at first sexual maturity (L_m) is defined as the standard length
133 at which 50% of the individuals are at an advanced stage of the first sexual cycle

134 during the breeding season (Legendre and Ecoutin, 1989). Practically, this is the size
 135 at which 50% of the fish have reached stage 2 of the maturity scale for females and
 136 males (Nuñez and Duponchelle, 2009). The mean SL at first maturity (L_m) was
 137 estimated by fitting the fraction of mature individuals per 50 mm S_L intervals to a
 138 logistic regression function weighted by the total number of individuals in each size
 139 class (Barbieri et al., 1994; Duponchelle and Panfili, 1998):

$$140 \quad \%M = \frac{100}{1 + e^{(-a \times (L - L_m))}} \quad (1)$$

141 where %M = percentage of mature individual by size class, L = central value of
 142 each size class, and a and L_m = constants of the model.

143 The age at first sexual maturity (A_m) was calculated from the von Bertalanffy growth
 144 function (VBGF) as follows:

$$145 \quad A_m = (-\ln(1 - (L_m/L_\infty)) / K) + t_0 \quad (2)$$

146 where L_m is the size at first sexual maturity previously calculated and t, L_∞ , K and t_0
 147 the parameters of the VBGF obtained from Duponchelle et al. (2012) on the same
 148 samples.

149 Batch fecundity (number of eggs to be potentially laid per spawning event,
 150 resulting from the maturation of fully developed intra-follicular oocytes) and oocyte
 151 diameter were estimated by image analysis (using NIH Image J software) from
 152 whole gonads in final maturation stage (stage 3). As the oocytes were not exactly
 153 circular in shape, the mean arithmetic diameter was used: $\sqrt{d \cdot D}$, where d is the
 154 minimum diameter and D the maximum diameter of each oocyte. Oocyte mean mass
 155 was calculated from each stage 3 gonads, by weighing 30 oocytes to the nearest
 156 0.001 g.

157

158 **Statistical analyses**

159
160 Differences in mean standard length and mass between sexes within each sub-basin
161 were tested with a t-test. Differences of mean standard length or mass between sub-
162 basins were tested with Kruskal-Wallis One Way Anova on ranks and Dunn's all
163 pairwise post-hoc tests.
164 For each sub-basin, logistic regression models provided an estimate of the $L_m \pm$
165 standard deviation (equation 1). Therefore, sizes at maturity between sexes or
166 geographic locations were compared using a classical Student t-test (degrees of
167 freedom calculated from the number of size classes).
168 Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test for differences in the fecundity-
169 body mass relationships between geographic populations, using fecundity as the
170 dependent variable, body mass as the covariate, and geographic population as
171 categorical variable.
172 Comparisons of mean oocyte diameter and mass between geographic populations
173 were carried out using one-way ANOVA.
174 For all comparisons, when more than two pairwise-tests were performed, the
175 Bonferroni correction was applied to keep the experiment-wide error rate at the
176 desired α (0.05, 0.01 or 0.001).

177

178 **Results**

179

180 A total of 290 specimens of *O. bicirrhosum* were analysed for the Amazonas, 276 for
181 the Ucayali, 145 for the Napo and 240 for the Putumayo (Figure 2a). Mean standard
182 lengths (S_L) and masses did not differ among sexes in any population. There were,
183 however, significant differences in mean length (Kruskal-Wallis One Way ANOVA

on Ranks, $H = 83.4$, $P < 0.001$) and mass ($H = 79.3$, $P < 0.001$) between populations when females and males were pooled: the Ucayali population differed from all the others in both mean length ($Q = 8.6$, $P < 0.05$ with the Putumayo, $Q = 6.7$, $P < 0.05$ with the Amazonas and $Q = 4.8$, $P < 0.05$ with the Napo) and mass ($Q = 8.3$, $P < 0.05$ with the Putumayo, $Q = 6.8$, $P < 0.05$ with the Amazonas and $Q = 4.5$, $P < 0.05$ with the Napo), whereas the other populations did not differ between each other in mean length nor in mean mass. Maximum lengths and even more masses, however, did vary considerably between populations, reaching barely 80 cm and about 3.8 kg in the Putumayo and more than 90 cm and 8 kg in the Amazonas (Figure 2a). In the Amazonas, maximum observed body mass for the silver Arowana was 49, 75 and 113% heavier than in the Napo, Ucayali and Putumayo, respectively. These important differences in body mass, however, are not a mere reflection of the larger maximum lengths in the Amazonas. Indeed, although mass-at-length is relatively similar between populations until about 50 cm (~ size at first sexual maturity, see below), afterwards fish start to become progressively heavier at a given length in the Amazonas than in the Ucayali, Napo and Putumayo, in order of increasing difference (Fig. 2b). These differences reached ~ 500 g and ~1000 g at 70 and 80 cm, respectively, between the Amazonas and Putumayo sub-basins (Fig. 2b). For comparison purposes with other studies, a relationship between standard length (SL) and total length (TL) is provided, calculated from all individuals in Figure 2a, giving: $SL = 0.9345 TL + 0.102$.

205

206 *Gonadal maturity scale*

207

208 Females and males silver Arowana only have one functional gonad, the left one. The
209 right gonad is atrophied. An illustrated, detailed gonadal maturity scale is presented
210 in Figure 3 for both females and males. It allows for a clear determination of the
211 breeding season, size / age at maturity and fecundity.
212 The precise description of the gonadal cycle also allowed identifying that the silver
213 Arowana is a multiple-spawner (sensu Nuñez and Duponchelle 2009). Indeed, the
214 presence of small, vitellogenic oocytes in between large oocytes in “spawning
215 capable” ovaries, both in stage 3 or 4 (Figure 4a) and in stage 5-2 (recently spawned)
216 ovary initiating another vitellogenic cycle (Figure 4b), observed in all four sub-
217 basins, proves that a same female can reproduce at least twice during a breeding
218 season. Further evidences come from the size frequency distribution of oocytes in
219 “spawning capable” ovaries from the Putumayo sub-basin, showing two clearly
220 separated modes of vitellogenic oocytes: the largest (of 244 oocytes) that will be
221 spawned shortly and a smaller one (of 221 oocytes) that will likely be released in
222 another spawning bout during the same breeding season (Fig. 5).

223

224 *Breeding season*

225

226 There exists a relationship, albeit not a direct one, between the reproductive cycle of
227 the silver Arowana (estimated from the monthly proportions of females in “spawning
228 capable” phase of the gonadal maturation scale) and the hydrological cycle (Figure
229 6). In the Amazonas and Ucayali rivers, which have comparable hydrological cycles,
230 females have similar breeding seasons. Although sampling could not be completed at
231 some months, it can nevertheless be observed that the breeding season started during
232 the dry season (August-September) and ended before the water level reaches its

233 maximum in both rivers. Some residual reproductive activity could be observed in
234 the Ucayali at almost every month outside the main breeding season. Again, in the
235 Napo and Putumayo rivers, which have comparable hydrological periodicity, the
236 breeding season of the silver Arowana also tended to be similar (Figure 6). Although
237 sampled could not be obtained in December and January in the Putumayo, it is likely
238 that, as in the Napo, the breeding season initiated in December to peak in February-
239 March. The breeding season in the Napo and Putumayo, however, did not last as
240 long as in the Amazonas and Ucayali and was restricted to the low water period.

241

242 *Size and age at sexual maturity*

243

244 Females tended to have slightly larger size at first sexual maturity (Figure 7) than
245 males in every sub-basin, but these differences were not significant (t-test, $t=0.292$,
246 $df=24$, $p=0.773$ for the Amazonas; $t=0.226$, $df=24$, $p=0.823$ for the Ucayali; $t=0.630$,
247 $df=18$, $p=0.536$ for the Napo; and $t=0.327$, $df=24$, $p=0.746$ for the Putumayo).

248 The size at maturity did not differ significantly between sub-basins for females nor
249 males.

250 Age at first sexual maturity was reached during the second year for both males and
251 females in all four sub-basins (Figure 7).

252 In all four sub-basins, the size at which 100% of both males and females were
253 sexually mature was slightly before 60 cm S_L (Figure 7).

254

255 *Fecundity*

256

257 The number of oocytes per spawning event (batch fecundity) significantly varied
 258 (ANCOVA, $D_{3,41} = 6.610$, $P < 0.001$) between fish of the four sub-basins (Figure 8,
 259 Table 1). Batch fecundity ranged from 93 to 256 oocytes for females of 1300 and
 260 4960 g, respectively in the Amazonas, from 94 to 311 oocytes for females of 2080
 261 and 3480 g, respectively in the Ucayali, from 92 to 197 oocytes for females of 1460
 262 and 3220 g, respectively in the Napo and from 125 to 360 oocytes for females of
 263 1770 and 2980 g, respectively in the Putumayo.
 264 The Putumayo population differed significantly from the Amazonas (ANCOVA,
 265 $D_{1,32} = 25.9$, $P < 0.0001$), Napo ($D_{1,30} = 9.7$, $P < 0.05$ with Napo) and Ucayali ($D_{1,33} =$
 266 53.9 , $P < 0.001$) populations, whereas the Amazonas, Ucayali and Napo populations
 267 did not significantly differ between each other ($D_{2,12} = 1.4$, $P > 0.05$).
 268 Relative fecundity (batch fecundity per unit body mass), oocyte diameter and oocyte
 269 mass are presented in Table 2. Relative fecundity differed significantly between fish
 270 of the four sub-basins (One-way ANOVA, $F_3 = 11.6$, $P < 0.001$). Holm-Sidak post-
 271 hoc test indicated that fish from the Putumayo significantly differed from the
 272 Amazonas ($t = 4.812$, $P < 0.001$), the Ucayali ($t = 3.614$, $P = .0004$) and the Napo (t
 273 $= 2.732$, $P = 0.037$), whereas fish from the Amazonas, Ucayali and Napo did not
 274 differ significantly between each other.
 275 There was no significant difference in mean oocytes diameter or mass between fish
 276 of the four sub-basins (Table 2).

277

278 **Discussion**

279

280 Important length and even more important body mass differences were observed
 281 between the four sub-basins, as already pointed out while studying age and growth

282 patterns of these same populations (Duponchelle et al., 2012). After reaching sexual
283 maturity, fishes in the Amazonas become progressively heavier than those from the
284 Ucayali, Napo and Putumayo, in order of increasing difference. Beside inter-basin
285 differences, silver Arowana from the Peruvian Amazon grow relatively heavier than
286 fish from the Mamirauá Reserve in Brazil. An individual of 80 cm T_L (~ 75 cm S_L)
287 weighs ~ 3000 g in the Mamirauá Reserve (Cavalcante, 2008), whereas it weighs in
288 average 3161 g in the Putumayo, 3369 g in the Napo, 3552 g in the Ucayali and 3702
289 g in the Amazonas. The better body condition of Arowana in the Peruvian Amazon
290 might result from better trophic conditions than in the Mamirauá Reserve, which
291 would have to be tested.

292

293 *Gonadal maturity scale*

294

295 The description of the different maturity stages were consistent with Aragao' (1989)
296 descriptions, for both females and males, although the number of stages was reduced
297 to fit Nuñez and Duponchelle' (2009) scale. This illustrated gonadal maturity scale
298 provides a clear reference for comparative works on the reproductive biology of this
299 species.

300 Previous studies had suggested, from the observation of different sizes of oocytes in
301 ripe gonads (but without providing evidence) that a same female could reproduce
302 more than once during the breeding season (Ayala, 2001; Tang and Gomez, 2005). In
303 the present study, the presence of small, vitellogenic oocytes in all stages of
304 "spawning capable" ovaries and the size frequency distribution of oocyte in
305 "spawning capable" ovaries provide strong evidence that a same individual female
306 can reproduce more than once during the breeding season in the Peruvian Amazon.

307 This result, however, differs from other studies in the Brazilian Amazon, Aragao
308 (1989) in Lake Janavaca and more recently Queiroz (2008) in the Mamirauá
309 Reserve, who reported that this species probably spawns only once during the
310 breeding season, but without providing demonstrating evidence.

311

312 *Breeding season*

313

314 In Central Amazonia, Brasil, where most published studies about the silver
315 Arowana's breeding cycle were carried out, the species was reported to reproduce
316 during the dry season and early rising waters (Goulding, 1980; Aragao, 1989;
317 Queiroz, 2008; Cavalcante, 2008). This is consistent with the observations from the
318 present study in the Amazonas and Ucayali sub-basins, or with previous observations
319 in Peru, in the Pacaya Samiria Reserve (Tang and Gomez, 2005) and in the Ucayali
320 River (Ayala, 2001). This pattern, however, does not seem to hold for all silver
321 Arowana of the Peruvian Amazon, as the breeding season seemed restricted to the
322 dry season in the Napo and Putumayo sub-basins. This shorter breeding season might
323 be an adaptation to the much shorter flooding season in the Napo and Putumayo
324 (Figure 5). Once females have spawned, males guard the eggs and then the fry in
325 their mouth for five to seven weeks (Goulding, 1990; Argumedo, 2005; Queiroz,
326 2008), after which free swimming juveniles of over 6 cm are released from parental
327 care and have to fend for themselves. It is well known that in Amazonian
328 environments, the high water season with its extended floodplains and inundated
329 vegetation, providing food and shelter from predators is particularly advantageous
330 for the development and survival of fish's young stages (Lowe-McConnell, 1964,
331 1987; Goulding, 1980). In order for males to release their free-swimming young in

time to make the most of these advantageous environmental conditions - which barely last three months in the Napo and Putumayo sub-basins (Figure 5) -, while allowing for the long incubation, the spawning period might have to be finished when the water starts to rise. Nevertheless, size frequency distribution of oocytes in ripe gonads (Figure 4c) and the presence of small vitellogenic oocytes in all stages of “spawning capable” ovaries support the idea that individual females may have time to produce a second brood during the spawning period, despite its shorter duration in the Putumayo. This suggests that the time needed for the small vitellogenic oocytes (Fig. 4a-b) to complete their growth is relatively short (a few weeks). These results also imply polyandry in the species: indeed, the short breeding season in the Putumayo and Napo and the long paternal mouthbrooding period do not allow for a same male to carry successively two broods of a same female. On the other hand, in the Amazonas and Ucayali sub-basins, where the high water season lasts nearly twice as much (December to May), females that spawn when the water has already started to rise may still ensure their progeny will find favourable environmental conditions long enough to survive, hence ensure reproductive success. This hypothesis could be tested by additional studies on these two specific sub-basins and others with similarly short high water seasons. As Arowana do not live in rivers, but instead in adjacent lakes and lagoons, testing this hypothesis would also require having water level data in specific lakes where Arowana concentrations are high.

Age and size at maturity

In the Mamirauá Reserve, the size at first sexual maturity was about 52 cm T_L (~ 49 cm S_L) for both sexes and was reached during the second year (Cavalcante, 2008).

357 These values were relatively similar to those observed in the Peruvian Amazon,
358 where silver Arowana also reached maturity during their second year at sizes varying
359 between 43-45 cm for males and between 46-50 cm for females. These values were
360 relatively smaller than the ~ 60 cm T_L (~ 56 cm S_L) reported in the El Dorado lake in
361 the Pacaya Samiria Reserve, Peru (Tang and Gomez, 2005). In a preliminary study in
362 the Ucayali River, Peru, Ayala (2001) reported sizes at first maturity of 65.9 and 73.9
363 cm T_L (~ 62 and 69 cm S_L) for females and males, respectively. These obviously
364 over-estimated values, however, were likely due to the fact that the author
365 erroneously considered individuals in vitellogenesis (stage II of his gonadal
366 maturation scale) as still immature. Cortegano et al. (2014) reported sizes at maturity
367 of ~58 and 62 cm for females and males, respectively in lake Grande, close to
368 Huapapa in the Putumayo. These large differences with our results from the
369 Putumayo (47 and 43 cm for females and males, respectively) are particularly
370 surprising, as about a third of our own sampling also came from lake Grande. Most
371 of the observed differences might result from the interpretation of what is considered
372 as “sexual maturity” in Cortegano et al.’ study, which was not properly defined. It is
373 likely, when looking at their logistic curves that reach 100% only close to the
374 maximum observed sizes, that they considered as “sexually mature” female at the
375 end of stage 2 rather than at the beginning of stage 2 (Fig. 3a). Likewise, for males,
376 they likely considered males in late stage 2 or stage 3 rather than in stage 2 (Fig. 3b).

377
378 It is worth noting that while the other reproductive traits (breeding season and
379 fecundity) largely varied between sub-basins, size at maturity was relatively
380 conserved and that the slight differences observed were not significant. This is
381 particularly surprising as size at maturity is a plastic trait that readily responds to
382 environmental variation (Stearns and Crandall, 1984; Stearns and Koella, 1986;

383 Duponchelle and Panfili, 1998; Duponchelle et al., 2007) or fishing pressure (Olsen
384 et al., 2004). This observation will have to be confirmed on other geographic
385 populations.

386 It is important to keep in mind that the size at first sexual maturity (L_m) is the size at
387 which 50% of the individuals have reached maturity during the breeding season,
388 which means the other 50% have not. Considering the size at first sexual maturity as
389 the legal minimum size at capture, as is customary in Amazonian fisheries
390 regulations, we implicitly allow that half the fish be caught as immature, hence
391 before they could reproduce (growth-overfishing). In order to ensure a healthy
392 spawning stock, however, fisheries should ensure that all captured individuals have
393 had a chance to reproduce at least once (Myers and Mertz, 1998; Froese, 2004). This
394 goal can only be attained by fixing the minimum size at capture not at the size at
395 which 50% of the individuals in a population are mature (L_m), but at the size at
396 which 100% are mature. The size at which 100% of the fish are mature, considering
397 all four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon, was slightly below 60 cm S_L (Fig. 6).
398 Therefore, considering the approximately 5 cm difference between total and standard
399 lengths, we recommend that the minimum size at capture should be fixed at 60 cm
400 T_L in the Peruvian Amazon.

401

402 *Fecundity*

403

404 The silver Arowana reputedly produces very few eggs for its size (Goulding, 1980;
405 Aragao, 1989; Queiroz, 2008). Fecundity variations between geographical samples,
406 however, have never been reported so far. In the present study, important differences
407 in batch fecundity were observed between the silver Arowana of the four sub-basins.

408 The Putumayo population significantly differed from the other three populations,
409 which in turn did not differed between each other's. The absence of significant
410 difference between the Amazonas, Napo and Ucayali populations, however, was
411 likely due to the low number of gonads analysed and to the large variance observed
412 in the Ucayali population (Fig. 7). Such a large variance could result from the mixing
413 of individuals from distinct populations within the Ucayali sub-basin. Indeed, fish
414 were sampled from fishermen operating in large portions of the Ucayali basin and
415 which could hold populations with distinct reproductive characteristics. This
416 indicates that further studies on this species will need to be carried out with on-site
417 sampling rather than market sampling. Nevertheless, as an illustration of the
418 important fecundity variation between fish of the four sub-basins, despite the absence
419 of statistical significance, the batch fecundity at two representative female body
420 masses (1500 and 3500 g) was calculated for each sub-basin from the regressions
421 among batch fecundity and body mass (Table 1). The differences of batch fecundity
422 between populations ranged from 33 to 104 % for females weighing 1500 and 3500
423 g, respectively. Given the energetic cost of gamete production, food is considered
424 one of the most important environmental factors involved in the regulation of
425 fecundity (Wootton, 1979; Wootton, 1998). One would thus expect the fish with the
426 better body condition (in decreasing order those of the Amazonas, then Ucayali,
427 Napo and Putumayo, see Fig. 2b), which usually reflects better trophic conditions, to
428 also display the highest relative fecundity. Yet, relative fecundity was inversely
429 proportional to body condition (Table 2), suggesting contrasted energy allocation
430 (towards body growth *vs* reproduction) strategies between fish of the four sub-basins.
431 Additionally, these large variations in fecundity were not compensated by variations
432 of oocyte size or mass, suggesting differences in reproductive effort between fish of

433 the four the sub-basins. These hypotheses, however, will need to be further validated
 434 with an increased number of ripe gonads analysed in the Amazonas, Napo and
 435 Ucayali sub-basins.

436 As a comparison, in the Mamirauá Reserve females of 65 cm T_L (~ 61 cm S_L and
 437 1500 g) and 75 cm T_L (~ 70 cm S_L and 2500 g) produce ~ 200 and 230 oocytes,
 438 respectively (Queiroz, 2008, using the length-mass relationship provided in
 439 Cavalcante, 2008). This would be approximately twice as much as the fecundity of a
 440 1500 g female in the Peruvian Amazon and in the range of the Putumayo fecundity
 441 for a 2500 g female (Table 1), suggesting a higher relative fecundity in small females
 442 progressively decreasing with length in the Mamirauá Reserve. Again, fish from the
 443 Mamirauá, which have lower body condition than fish from the Peruvian Amazon,
 444 also have higher relative fecundity, contrary to expectations. A surprising
 445 information comes from the mean diameter (9.75 mm) and mass (1.8 g) of oocytes in
 446 the Mamirauá Reserve, which are 25% smaller but a 100% heavier than the largest
 447 and heaviest oocytes in the Peruvian Amazon (12.2 mm and 0.98 g in the Putumayo),
 448 resulting in a density over 3.5 g/cm³, (vs 1.03 g/cm³ in the Putumayo), which appears
 449 much too dense for an egg.

450 In the Pacaya-Samiria Reserve, Peru, Tang and Gomez (2005) observed that females
 451 of 1500 and 2500 g would produce in average 215 and 266 oocytes, respectively,
 452 which is above the mean values observed in the present study. A recent study in lake
 453 Grande on the Putumayo River, reported batch fecundities ranging from 115 oocytes
 454 for a female weighing 1100 g to ~240 oocytes for a female of ~3000 g (Cartegano et
 455 al., 2014), within the range of the values observed for the Putumayo in the present
 456 study.

457 The only other available information about the silver Arowana's fecundity comes
458 from Aragao (1989) in the Solimões River basin (lake Janauacá), but the range of
459 female body masses he worked with (551 – 1750 g, with only 2 females above 1500
460 g) barely overlap with ours, hampering a direct comparison. Nevertheless, a 1500 g
461 female would produce approximately 182 oocytes, which is also above the mean
462 values observed in the present study.

463

464 *Conclusions*

465 The important variability observed in reproductive characteristics and body condition
466 of the silver Arowana from the four sub-basins is consistent with the important
467 growth variations previously reported in these same localities (Duponchelle et al.,
468 2012). This variability might result either from the phenotypic plasticity of the
469 species due to environmental heterogeneity between the sub-basins or from the
470 existence of several distinct genetic populations within Peru, that may need to be
471 further characterized and managed independently. The important differences in
472 breeding season, condition, growth and fecundity, however, strongly suggest that fish
473 from the different sub-basins are distinct populations. The different breeding season
474 and sedentary nature of the species should result in reduced gene flow between sub-
475 basins, hence inducing genetic structuring. Although microsatellites markers are
476 available for the silver Arowana (Silva et al., 2009), they have only been used to test
477 for multiple paternity in the species (Verba et al., 2014) and no population genetic
478 study has been carried out so far. Yet, as previously pointed out (Duponchelle et al.,
479 2012), the growing concerns about *O. bicirrhosum* conservation (Moreau and
480 Coomes, 2006, 2007) emphasize the need for comparative life history and genetics
481 studies at the inter- and intra-basin levels (with sampling carried out on-site) in order

482 to understand the population structuring of this species and to define appropriate
483 management strategies.

484

485 **Acknowledgements**

486 Financial support was provided by INCAGRO (Subproyecto “Bases para el manejo
487 sostenible y el cultivo de la arowana *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* en la amazonía
488 peruana”, presentado al Concurso Público Nacional N° 03– 2007 – PIEA –
489 INCAGRO) and IRD. Special thanks go to the Proyecto Especial Desarrollo Integral
490 de la Cuenca del Río Putumayo (PEDICP), particularly to Luis Alberto Moya Ibanez,
491 for ensuring the sampling in the Putumayo. We would also like to thank Etienne
492 Baras for discussions on some aspect on the manuscript and Jérémie Subrini for
493 producing the size frequency distribution of oocytes.

494

495 **References**

496

- 497 Alcántara, B. F.; Chu-Koo, F.; Chávez, V. C. A.; Tello, S.; Bances, C. K. C.; Torrejón, M.
498 M.; Gómez, N. J. L. ; Noriega, M. J., 2007: Notas sobre la pesquería ornamental de
499 la arahuana *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* (Osteoglossidae) en Loreto, Perú y
500 posibilidades de su cultivo. Folia Amaz. **16**, 55-61.
- 501 Aragao, L. P., 1989: Contribuição ao estudo da biologia do Aruanã, *Osteoglossum*
502 *bicirrhosum* Vandelli, 1829, no Lago Janauacá - Estado do Amazonas, Brasil. III-
503 Reprodução e relações biometricas. Cienc. Agronom. **20**, 59-72.
- 504 Argumedo, T. E. G., 2005: Arawanas: manual para la cria comercial en cautiverio.
505 ACUICA, Fondo para la Accion Ambiental, Florencia, Colombia.
- 506 Ayala, I., 2001: Ecologia reproductiva de *Ostoglossum bicirrhosum* Vandelli, 1829

507 "arahuana" en la cuenca del rio Ucayali, Ciencias en Conservacion de Recursos
 508 Forestales. MSc. Thesis, Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Lima.

509 Barbieri, L. R.; Chittenden, J. M. E. ; Lowerre-Barbieri, S. K., 1994: Maturity, spawning,
 510 and ovarian cycle of Atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulatus*, in the Chesapeake
 511 Bay and adjacent coastal waters. Fish. Bull. **92**, 671-685.

512 Bayley, P. B. ; Petrere , M., 1989: Amazon Fisheries: Assessment methods, current status
 513 and management options. in: Proceedings of the International Large River
 514 Symposium. D. P. Dodge (Ed.). Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and
 515 Aquatic Sciences, pp. 385-398.

516 Brown-Peterson, N. J.; Wyanski, D. M.; Saborido-Rey, F.; Macewicz, B. J. ; Lowerre-
 517 Barbieri, S. K., 2011: A standardized terminology for describing reproductive
 518 development in fishes. Mar. Coast. Fish. **3**, 52-70.

519 Lima, A. C. ; Prang, G., 2008: Demandas para o manejo e conservação do Aruanã branco,
 520 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* (Cuvier, 1829), na região do Medio Solimões. in:
 521 Biologia, Conservação e Manejo dos Aruanãs na Amazônia Brasileira. H. L.
 522 Queiroz, M. Camargo (Eds.). Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentavel de
 523 Mamirauá, Téfê, Brasil, pp. 15-26.

524 Cavalcante, D., 2008: Crescimento e maturação sexual de Aruanãs brancos (*Ostoglossum*
 525 *bicirrhosum*) em Mamirauá. in: Biologia, Conservação e Manejo dos Aruanãs na
 526 Amazônia Brasileira. H. L. Queiroz, M. Camargo (Eds.). Instituto de
 527 Desenvolvimento Sustentavel de Mamirauá, Téfê, Brasil, pp. 105-117.

528 Cortegano, C. A. A.; Pinedo, L. A. M.; Ibanez, L. A. M.; Vásquez, M. R. ; Ruiz, P. S., 2014:
 529 Productivity and reproductive characteristics of silver arowana *Osteoglossum*
 530 *bicirrhosum* (Osteoglossiformes: Osteoglossidae) at Grande lake, Putumayo basin,
 531 Peru. Biota Amaz. **4**, 21-26.

- 532 Silva, T. J.; Hrbek, T. ; Farias, I. P., 2009: Microsatellite markers for the silver arowana
533 (*Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*, Osteoglossidae, Osteoglossiformes). Mol. Ecol. Resour.
534 **9**, 1019-1022.
- 535 Duponchelle, F. ; Panfili, J., 1998: Variations in age and size at maturity of female Nile
536 tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, populations from man-made lakes of Côte d'Ivoire.
537 Environ. Biol. Fish. **52**, 453-465.
- 538 Duponchelle, F.; Lino, F.; Hubert, N.; Panfili, J.; Renno, J.-F.; Baras, E.; Torrico, J.P.;
539 Dugué, R. ; Nuñez, J., 2007 Environment-related life history trait variations of the
540 red-bellied piranha, *Pygocentrus nattereri*, in two river basins of the Bolivian
541 Amazon. J. Fish Biol. **71**, 1113-1134.
- 542 Duponchelle, F.; Ruiz Arce, A.; Waty, A.; Panfili, J.; Renno, J.-F.; Farfan, F.; Garcia-
543 Vasquez, A.; Chu-Koo, F.; Garcia-Davila, C.; Vargas, G.; Ortiz, A.; Pinedo, R. ;
544 Nuñez, J., 2012: Contrasted hydrological systems of the Peruvian Amazon induce
545 differences in growth patterns of the silver arowana, *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*.
546 Aquat. Living Resour. **25**, 55-66.
- 547 Froese, R., 2004: Keep it simple: three indicators to deal with overfishing. Fish. Fisher. **5**,
548 86-91.
- 549 Garcia-Vasquez, A.; Tello, S.; Vargas, G. ; Duponchelle, F., 2009: Patterns of commercial
550 fish landings in the Loreto region (Peruvian Amazon) between 1984 and 2006. Fish
551 Physiol. Biochem. **35**, 53-67.
- 552 Goulding, M., 1980: The fishes and the forest. Explorations in Amazonian natural history.
553 University of California Press, Berkeley.
- 554 Goulding, M., 1990: Amazon: The Flooded Forest. Sterling Publishing,, New York, USA.
- 555 Legendre, M. ; Ecoutin, J-M., 1989: Suitability of brackish water tilapia species from the
556 Ivory Coast for lagoon aquaculture 1 - Reproduction. Aquat. Living Resour. **2**, 71-

557 79.

558 Lowe-McConnell, R. H., 1964: The fishes of the Rupununi savanna district of British

559 Guiana, South America. Part 1. Ecological groupings of fish species and effects of

560 the seasonal cycle on the fish. J. Linn. Soc. (Zool.). **45**, 103-144.

561 Lowe-McConnell, R. H., 1987: Ecological studies in tropical fish communities. Cambridge

562 University Press, Cambridge.

563 Mojica, J. I.; Castellanos, C.; Usma, J. S. ; Álvarez, R., 2002: Libro rojo de peces

564 dulceacuícolas de Colombia. Instituto de Ciências Naturales - Universidad Nacional

565 de Colômbia y Ministério del Médio Ambiente, Bogotá, Colômbia.

566 Moreau, M.-A. ; Coomes, O. T., 2006: Potential threat of the international aquarium fish

567 trade to silver arawana *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* in the Peruvian Amazon. Oryx.

568 **40**, 152-160.

569 Moreau, M.-A. ; Coomes, O. T., 2007: Aquarium fish exploitation in western Amazonia:

570 conservation issues in Peru. Environ. Conserv. **34**, 12-22.

571 Myers, R. A. ; Mertz, G., 1998: The Limits of Exploitation: A Precautionary Approach.

572 Ecol. Appl. **8**, S165-S169.

573 Nuñez, J. ; Duponchelle, F., 2009: Towards a universal scale to assess sexual maturation and

574 related life history traits in oviparous teleost fishes. Fish Physiol. Biochem. **35**, 167-

575 180.

576 Olsen, E. M.; Heino, M.; Lilly, G. R.; Joanne Morgan, M.; Brattey, J.; Ernande, B.;

577 Dieckmann, U., 2004: Maturation trends indicative of rapid evolution preceded the

578 collapse of northern cod. Nature. **428**, 932-935.

579 Prang, G., 2008: An industry analysis of the freshwater ornamental fishery with particular

580 reference to the supply of Brazilian freshwater ornamentals to the UK market.

581 Uakari. **3**, 7-51.

582 Queiroz, H. L., 2008: Investimento parental e reprodução do Aruanã branco, *Osteoglossum*
583 *bicirrhosum*, na Reserva Mamirauá. in: Biologia, Conservação e Manejo dos
584 Aruanãs na Amazônia Brasileira. H. L. Queiroz, M. Camargo (Eds.). Instituto de
585 Desenvolvimento Sustentavel de Mamirauá, Téfé, Brasil, pp. 119-132.

586 Reis, R. E.; Kullander, S. O. ; Ferraris, C. J., 2003: Checklist of freshwater fishes of South
587 and Central America. Edipucrs, Porto Alegre.

588 Saint-Paul, U.; Zuanon, J.; Villacorta Correa, M.A.; Garcia, M.; Noemi Fabre, N.; Berger,
589 U. ; Junk, W.J., 2000: Fish communities in central white- and blackwater
590 floodplains. Environ. Biol. Fish. **57**, 235-250.

591 Stearns, S. C.; Crandall, R. E., 1984: Plasticity for age and size at sexual maturity: a life
592 history response to unavoidable stress. in: Fish Reproduction : strategies and tactics.
593 G. W. Potts, R. J. Wootton (Eds.). Academic press, London, pp. 13-33.

594 Stearns, S. C.; Koella, J. C., 1986: The evolution of phenotypic plasticity in life history
595 traits: predictions of reaction norms for age and size at maturity. Evolution **40**, 893-
596 913.

597 Stewart, D. J., 2013b: A new species of *Arapaima* (Osteoglossomorpha, Osteoglossidae)
598 from the Solimões River, Amazonas State, Brazil. Copeia **3**, 470-476.

599 Stewart, D. J., 2013a: Re-description of *Arapaima agassizii* (Valenciennes), a rare fish from
600 Brazil (Osteoglossomorpha, Osteoglossidae). Copeia **1**, 38–51.

601 Tang , M. ; Gomez Noriega, J. L., 2005: Biología y aprovechamiento del Arahuaña,
602 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* en la micro cuenca de Iacocha El Dorado, Reserva
603 Nacional Pacaya Samiria, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas. Universidad Nacional
604 de la Amazonia Peruana, Iquitos.

605 Tello, M. S. ; Cánepa, J. R. L. S., 1991: Estado actual de la explotación de los principales
606 peces ornamentales de la Amazonía Peruana. Folia Amaz. - IIAP. **3**, 109-128.

607 Verba, J. T.; Rabello Neto, J. G.; Zuanon, J. ; Farias, I. P., 2014: Evidence of multiple
 608 paternity and cooperative parental care in the so called monogamous silver arowana
 609 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* (Osteoglossiformes: Osteoglossidae). Neotrop. Ichtyol.
 610 **12**, 145-151.

611 Wootton, R. J., 1979: Energy cost of egg production and environmental determinants of
 612 fecundity in teleost fishes. Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond. 44, 133-159.

613 Wootton, R. J., 1998: The ecology of teleost fishes, Second Edition. Kluwer Academic
 614 Publisher, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

615

616 Author's address: Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Unité Mixte de
 617 Recherche Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (UMR BOREA - MNHN,
 618 CNRS-7208, UPMC, UCBN, IRD-207), F-34394, Montpellier, France.

619 E-mail: fabrice.duponchelle@ird.fr, tel: (33) 04 67 63 64 28

620

621

622 **Figure legends**

623

624 Fig. 1. Map of the collection sites of *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* in the Peruvian
 625 Amazon (black circles). A total of 711 specimens were collected between November
 626 2006 and April 2009 at the Iquitos and Requena markets for the Amazonas, Ucayali
 627 and Napo sub-basins. For the Putumayo, 240 fish were bought between March 2008
 628 and April 2009 from local fishermen at El Estrecho and Huapapa communities.

629

630 Fig. 2. Relationships between standard length (SL) and total body mass (M) of
 631 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* caught in four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon

632 between 2006 and 2009 (a). Modelled regressions (M as a function of SL) between
633 60 and 90 cm SL, to illustrate inter-basin differences (b).

634

635 Fig. 3. Macroscopic gonadal maturity scale for females (a) and males (b)
636 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*, following Nuñez and Duponchelle (2009). Equivalence
637 with Brown-Petersen et al. (2011)'s terminology is provided.

638

639 Fig. 4. Small vitellogenic oocytes (svo) in “spawning capable” ovaries of female
640 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*: (a) in between large vitellogenic oocytes (lvo) in a ripe -
641 stage 4 - gonad and (b) in a post-spawning gonad reinitiating another vitellogenic
642 cycle – stage 5-2.

643

644 Fig. 5. Size frequency distribution of oocytes in a “spawning capable” ovary of
645 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* from the Putumayo River showing two clearly separated
646 modes of vitellogenic oocytes.

647

648 Fig. 6. Proportions of breeding *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* females in relation to the
649 mean water level in four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon.

650

651 Fig. 7. Age (Am) and size (Lm) at first sexual maturity of both males (black circles
652 and solid lines) and females (white triangles and dashed lines) *Osteoglossum*
653 *bicirrhosum* in four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon.

654

655 Fig. 8. Linear regressions between batch fecundity and total female body mass of
656 *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* in the Amazonas ($N = 5$, $F = 0.0307 \cdot M + 60.922$, $R^2 =$

657 0.875, $P < 0.001$), Napo ($N = 3$, $F = 0.0578 * M + 18.547$, $R^2 = 0.907$, $P < 0.001$),
658 Ucayali ($N = 6$, $F = 0.0708 * M - 9.1942$, $R^2 = 0.537$, $P < 0.001$) and Putumayo ($N = 28$,
659 $F = 0.1055 * M - 29.253$, $R^2 = 0.714$, $P < 0.001$) rivers, Peruvian Amazon.

660

661 Table 1. Comparison of *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum*'s batch fecundity at a given
662 female body mass in four sub-basins of the Peruvian Amazon (calculated from the
663 regressions between batch fecundity and total body mass presented in Figure 8).

664

665 Table 2. Mean relative fecundity (oocytes per kg total body mass), oocytes diameter
666 (mm) and oocytes mass (g) $\pm$ SD of *Osteoglossum bicirrhosum* in four sub-basins of
667 the Peruvian Amazon.

668

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Description	Illustration (females)	Terminology with Brown-Petersen et al. (2011)'s terminology
<p>Stage 1: immature individuals</p> <p>Ovaries small and firm, opaque and whitish or slightly pinkish. No oocyte can be seen to the naked eye. A typical longitudinal canyon-type depression is clearly visible at the surface of the ovary.</p>		Immature
<p>Stage 2: maturing individuals</p> <p>Ovaries are larger with more important vascularisation and clearly visible oocytes. Oocytes are whitish and sparse at the beginning of stage 2, and the canyon-like depression is still visible.</p> <p>Progressively, the oocytes turn yellow and the canyon disappears. At the end of stage 2, the oocytes are orange and fill the ovary, but are not of a homogeneous size: all intermediaries between small whitish oocytes and large orange ones are visible.</p> <p>This last point is a clear distinction with stage 3.</p>		Developing
<p>Stage 3: individuals in final maturation</p> <p>The ovary now occupies about $\frac{3}{4}$ of the abdominal cavity. Most oocytes are large, circular, orange, and homogeneous in size. Small oocytes are still visible in between the larger ones, but there is now a very clear size difference between the large and small ones.</p>
<p>Stage 4: individuals ready to spawn / ripe</p> <p>The ovary occupies almost the whole abdominal cavity and appears even fuller. The ovary's membrane is very thin and transparent. A slight pressure on the abdomen can expulse the ovules, that are now free (out of their follicles) in the ovary.</p>		Spawning capable
<p>Stage 5-2: recently spawned individuals initiating another cycle</p> <p>The appearance is close to that of a stage 2 gonad, but the ovary is still relatively flaccid, larger and more vascularised. Developing oocytes of all colours are filling the ovary and some remaining large atretic oocytes can sometimes be observed.</p> <p>This stage is characteristic of females that have just spawn and that are initiating a new reproductive cycle.</p>

Stage 5: individuals that have just spawned The ovary is of similar size to a stage 4 ovary, but with an empty, flaccid appearance and well developed vascularisation. Some un-spawned, large oocytes can still be seen together with others already in re-absorption process (atresia) and early vitellogenic ones.		Regressing
Stage 5-1: resting individuals The ovary looks like a stage 1 ovary (with no visible oocyte), but is larger, with a thicker membrane, a more important vascularisation and a generally redder colour than a stage 1. This stage is characteristic of adult females resting in between two breeding seasons.		Regenerating

Fig. 3a.

Description	Illustration (males)	Equivalence with Brown-Petersen et al. (2011)'s terminology
Stage 1: immature individuals: The testis is a pinkish, translucent curved tube, thinner and longer than stage 1 ovary. It is often bordered by a golden colour towards the genital pore.		Immature
Stage 2: maturing or resting individuals: Testis wider, thicker, of a more opaque pinkish to reddish colour. The golden colour towards the genital pore is often still visible. Resting adults are usually at this stage.		Developing / Regenerating
Stage 3: ripe individuals: Testis even larger, wider and really thick, with dark red opaque appearance. The golden colour is usually not visible at this stage.		Spawning capable
Stage 4: individuals after ejaculation: Testis of a similar size to stage 3, but with a flaccid, more translucent appearance.		Regressing

Fig. 3b.

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Table 1.

Female body mass (g)	Batch fecundity			
	Amazonas	Napo	Ucayali	Putumayo
1500	107	105	97	129
2500	138	163	168	234
3500	168	221	239	340

Table 2.

	Amazonas (N=5)	Napo (N=3)	Ucayali (N=6)	Putumayo (N=28)
Relative fecundity	56 ± 15	66 ± 7	67 ± 22	91 ± 14
Oocyte diameter	10.8 ± 0.29	10.6 ± 0.36	11.8 ± 1.46	12.2 ± 0.95
Oocyte mass	0.67 ± 0.05	0.64 ± 0.01	0.77 ± 0.17	0.89 ± 0.24