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ABSTRACT

Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is the most frequent cause of dry eye disease (DED). Eyelid inflammation, microbial growth, associated skin disorders as well as potentially severe corneal complications culminate to make MGD a complex multifactorial disorder. It is probable that MGD is a heterogeneous condition arising from any combination of the following five separate pathophysiological mechanisms: eyelid inflammation, conjunctival inflammation, corneal damage, microbiological changes and DED resulting from tear film instability. The pathogenesis of both MGD and DED can be described in terms of a ‘vicious circle’: the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of DED and MGD interact, resulting in a double vicious circle. The MGD vicious circle is self-stimulated by microbiological changes, which results in increased melting temperature of meibum and subsequent meibomian gland blockage, reinforcing the vicious circle of MGD. Meibomian gland blockage, dropout and inflammation directly link the two vicious circles. MGD-associated tear film instability provides an entry point into the vicious circle of DED and leads to hyperosmolarity and inflammation, which are both a cause and consequence of DED. Here we propose a new pathophysiological scheme for MGD in order to better identify the pathological mechanisms involved and to allow more efficient targeting of therapeutics. Through better understanding of this scheme, MGD may gain true disease status rather than being viewed as a mere dysfunction.

INTRODUCTION

The meibomian glands, found in the upper and lower eyelids, excrete lipids onto the ocular surface that forms the outermost layer of the tear film, lubricating the ocular surface during blinking and protecting against tear evaporation. 1, 2 Through dysfunction of the meibomian glands, reduced lipid secretion may contribute to tear film instability and entry into the vicious circle of dry eye disease (DED). 3–6 Indeed, meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is the most common cause of evaporative DED 3–6 and is found even in situations previously considered to be primary (pure) aqueous-deficient DED. 7 Moreover, MGD is correlated with ocular discomfort during activities requiring relevant visual tasks, such as the use of video display terminals. 10 Although the precise aetiology and pathophysiology of MGD remain to be determined, in 2011 the International Workshop on MGD proposed the following definition for MGD: “a chronic, diffuse abnormality of the meibomian glands, commonly characterised by terminal duct obstruction and/or qualitative/quantitative changes in the glandular secretion. It may result in alteration of the tear film, symptoms of eye irritation, clinically apparent inflammation, and ocular surface disease.” 11 The International Workshop on MGD successfully marshalled a large literature base into an exhaustive scheme of the mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of MGD and the numerous interacting pathways involved. 1 However, the complexity of this scheme may limit its relevance in clinical practice. Here we introduce a new pathological scheme of MGD, which may be easier to interpret in clinical practice, to facilitate the understanding of the mechanisms that underlie its development and relationship with DED and to allow more efficient treatment of both MGD and DED.

PREVALENCE OF MGD

Within the general population, precise estimates of MGD prevalence are elusive as rates vary geographically and, until recently, a clear definition of MGD was lacking. The prevalence of MGD varies considerably in published studies. 12–16 Generally, it is higher in Asian populations, ranging from 46% to 70%, whereas in Caucasian populations the MGD prevalence ranges from 3.5% to 20%. 11 It should be noted that the higher prevalence of MGD in Asian populations is partly due to inconsistent diagnostic criteria among countries. 17 For example, the Beijing study included both the clinical signs and the symptoms of MGD in their definition whereas other studies did not. 7 Moreover, certain diagnostic criteria may be unable to distinguish between MGD and aqueous deficient DED, which may also point towards a strong relationship between the two diseases. 18 The International Workshop on MGD suggests establishing a set of MGD-specific symptoms to aid in diagnosis. The prevalence of MGD is also affected by age, with older patients at increased risk of developing MGD. In a group of patients aged <30 years and ≥60 years, 33% and 72% had MGD, respectively. 19 Prevalence rates also increase if the mixed forms of DED, which include MGD and aqueous tear deficiency, are considered. 20
CLASSIFICATION AND AETIOLOGY OF MGD

According to the report of the Definition and Classification Subcommittee of the International Workshop on MGD, MGD may be classified as a low- or high-delivery state, according to the extent of meibomian lipid secretion. The low-delivery state, which is the most common form of MGD, is associated with deficiencies in meibomian secretion, and it may be further characterised as obstructive, with cicatricial and non-cicatricial subcategories, or hyposcretory. Hyposcretory MGD is associated with gland atrophy. Obstructive MGD is the most prevalent form of low-delivery state MGD and is caused by hyperkeratinisation, which is influenced by sex, hormonal disturbances, topical medications and age. Interestingly, emerging evidence from both animal and human studies suggests that age-related cell signalling changes within the meibomian gland can lead to gland atrophy, suggesting that some cases of age-related MGD could be classified as hyposcretory rather than obstructive MGD.

Increased meibum viscosity, which is present in all cases of obstructive MGD, may arise because of changes in meibum composition. High-delivery state MGD, also known as hyper-secretory MGD, is characterised by the release of large amounts of meibum at the lid margin in response to pressure on the eyelids. Hypersecretory MGD has been associated with seborrheic dermatitis in 100% of cases, but it may also be associated with rosacea. In both hyposcretory and hypersecretory MGD, the lipids produced are modified (non-canonical), changing the composition and reducing the quality of the tear film, thus leading to symptoms of eye irritation, inflammation and DED. Although exhaustive, the complexity of the aetiological classification and associated pathological scheme proposed by the International Workshop on MGD may be difficult to interpret in clinical practice as both rare (e.g., genetic atrophy of meibomian glands) and more common mechanisms (e.g., rosacea) are included at the same level.

Multiple causes may be responsible for the development of MGD-associated tear film alterations, including eye surgery or systemic hormonal treatments such as oestrogen replacement therapy in women and anti-androgen therapy in men. The latter suggests that hormonal changes contribute to the aetiology of MGD. Moreover, ophthalmic factors such as aniridia, proliferation of Demodex folliculorum, eyelid tattooing, floppy eyelid syndrome, giant papillary conjunctivitis and trachoma are also believed to correlate with MGD.

IDENTIFYING THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS OF MGD

MGD is associated with multiple pathological mechanisms including inflammation, microbial factors and lipid deficiencies. Eyelid inflammation, microbiological proliferation, release of toxic cytokines onto the cornea and hyper-evaporation combine to create a picture of a benign dysfunction. However, this is often painful and dangerous to the cornea when inflammatory infiltrates, phlyctens, keratitis or peripheral ulcers complicate the meibomitis. With such variable and complex mechanisms involved in MGD, analysing the pathology and even defining the disease is challenging. Is MGD a disease of the eyelids, tear film, cornea or the entire ocular surface? Moreover, are the associated microbial, metabolic, inflammatory or endocrine diseases related to the eye or the skin? The International Workshop on MGD chose to focus on DED as a consequence of MGD but did not consider meibomitis or eyelid or corneal changes; therefore, the pathophysiology of MGD was not fully resolved.

In our scheme, the stasis of the meibum, which may be caused by obstruction, dropout or inflammation of the meibomian gland, can promote the growth of bacteria. This may then increase the release of esterases and lipases by commensal bacteria of the eyelids. As a consequence of this increased enzyme activity, bacteria can change the viscosity of the meibum, leading to further stasis of the meibum within the meibomian glands, and generate free fatty acids, which in turn causes inflammation and hyperkeratinisation. These changes in lipid composition may determine the occurrence of foam in the tear film, often visible on the lid margin of patients with MGD.

Although a critical analysis of the literature on age-related MGD is beyond the scope of this review, age has been associated with specific pathogenic effects on meibomian gland structure, such as altered localisation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma, a lipid-activated hormone receptor that regulates lipid synthesis and cell differentiation, or meibomian gland atrophy through a loss of MGD progenitors. Additionally, accumulation of reactive oxygen species with age may play a role in the pathogenesis of MGD. These mechanisms may underlie atrophic non-obstructive MGD in the older population. Although the pathophysiology of age-related MGD may be distinct from non-age-related MGD, subsequent meibomian gland dropout may allow entry into the vicious circle of MGD.

It is likely that MGD is a heterogeneous complex condition arising from any combination of the following five separate pathophysiological mechanisms: eyelid inflammation, conjunctival inflammation, corneal damage, microbiological changes and tear film instability-associated DED. The International Workshop on MGD proposed a complex pathway involved in the self-enforcing vicious circles of MGD (figure 1). Although these proposed pathways are probably correct, they may be too focused on DED as a final consequence of MGD to be of real practical application. We therefore present the previously published vicious circle of DED, illustrating its various entry points, and then we build on this to develop the double vicious circle that demonstrates the interacting pathophysologies of MGD and DED.

VICIOUS CIRCLE OF DED

In 2007 and 2013 we proposed new patterns for understanding DED (figure 2). Tear film instability, tear hyperosmolarity, apoptosis and inflammation contribute to the pathophysiology of DED. These aetiologies are not mutually exclusive, but rather connected to one another in a cyclical manner, acting as entry points into the vicious circle of DED. As such, DED may be described as an autonomous self-sustaining disease state that is progressively disconnected from its initial causes. In the vicious circle, rapid break-up of the tear film after blinking (tear film instability) leads to local drying and hyperosmolarity of the epithelial surface. In turn, this leads to apoptosis, inflammation and a loss of mucin-producing goblet cells. This cascade of mechanisms, involving osmotic, mechanical and inflammatory stress, destroys goblet cells and defence systems of the ocular surface leading to further damage of the tear film, and thus closes the circle. A major cause such as Sjögren’s syndrome can stimulate all states of the vicious circle. Other factors such as corneal surgery, low humidity and high airflow, contact lens wear, allergens or preservatives may disrupt reflex tear delivery to the ocular surface or increase tear film instability, thus initiating entry into the vicious circle.
The vicious circle scheme allows us to understand why, once the cycle is initiated, the continuous environmental challenge acting on a compromised ocular surface allows the vicious circle to perpetuate, even if the initial cause has been removed or reduced (figure 2). The vicious circle scheme may also promote the development of therapeutic strategies that can simultaneously target the multiple mechanisms underlying the pathophysiology of DED. For example, tear substitutes with osmoprotective properties may act on multiple points to break the vicious circle of DED.

Thus, a better understanding of the vicious circle may improve DED management with existing therapies and could also aid in the development of new therapies. We identified MGD as a potential entry point into the vicious circle of DED and, from this, the complexity of the relationship between DED and MGD became apparent. In order to further elucidate the interacting pathophysiological mechanisms of DED and MGD, we turn to the vicious circle in more detail, this time focusing on MGD.

DED AND MGD: THE DOUBLE VICIOUS CIRCLE

A new DED scheme that encompassed MGD and illustrated how the related pathophysiological mechanisms underlying DED and MGD combine to form one chronic form of MGD-associated DED needed to be drawn, and this took the form of a double vicious circle illustration (figure 3). Meibomian gland changes act as an entry point into both DED and MGD loops of the double vicious circle; however, as illustrated by the circle, there is no set starting point and MGD can originate elsewhere. Our clinical observations reveal that patients with Sjögren’s syndrome can develop MGD through chronic inflammation-induced keratinisation and subsequent dropout and atrophy of the meibomian glands. Although inflammation initiates entry, it is the meibomian gland changes that connect the two vicious circles of MGD and DED. The following four sequential events comprise the MGD loop: stasis of the meibum, bacterial proliferation, release of lipases and esterases, and increased meibum melting temperature. This illustrates how dropout, blockage and/or inflammation of the meibomian glands lead to stasis of the meibum inside the gland, and proliferation of bacteria and mites including *Staphylococcus* spp and *Demodex folliculorum*. *D. folliculorum* is known to promote bacterial proliferation and cause inflammation of the eyelid and possibly the conjunctiva. This ingrowth of bacteria enhances the production of lipid-degrading lipases and esterases that increase the viscosity and melting temperature of the meibum, reducing its secretion onto the surface of the tear film and thus closing the self-sustaining MGD circle. Furthermore, upper and lower eyelid laxity may exacerbate reduced meibum drainage through decreased muscle pressure on the meibomian glands.

Skin diseases (eg, ocular rosacea) are also believed to play a role in MGD pathology; approximately 90% of patients with ocular rosacea show eyelid changes that are similar to those observed in patients with MGD. The absence of normal meibum reduces the lipid content of the tear film, allowing entry into the DED loop of the vicious circle, in which the lipid-deficient tear film promotes increased tear evaporation, hyperosmolarity and inflammation. The missing link between...
inflammation of the eyelid and lacrimal effects was identified based on the observation that exposure of ocular surface epithelia to desiccating stress led to the release of cornified envelope precursors by the ocular epithelium. This was accompanied by keratinisation of meibomian gland orifices, which caused further meibomian gland blockade and atrophy as well as loss of mucin-filled goblet cells and entrapment of mucin within the remaining goblet cells, blocking delivery of mucin to the ocular surface and contributing to the development of DED. Keratinisation of meibomian glands is believed to arise from hyperosmolarity and inflammatory cytokine-induced expression of corneal envelope precursors. Other pathogenic mechanisms associated with DED include increased age and the use of benzalkonium chloride-containing glaucoma medications. Meibomian gland blockage, dropout and inflammation directly link the DED and MGD vicious circles.

Although MGD is the most common cause of evaporative DED, Bron et al proposed an additional hypothesis where MGD-associated DED leads to a compensatory increase in tear production, followed by compromised lacrimal function and reduced tear secretion that leads to a mixed form of DED comprised of both evaporative and aqueous subtypes. Support for this hypothesis has been recently published; fluorescein score, tear film break-up time and Schirmer test scores were significantly worse in patients with aqueous-deficient DED compared with MGD, suggesting that increased tear production may compensate, at least for a while, for meibomian gland loss in patients with early-stage MGD. This hypothesis illustrates how all ocular surface components are inter-related.

Imaging techniques such as in vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM), optical coherence tomography and keratography allow visualisation of the cellular and anatomical structures of the cornea and ocular surface. These techniques can therefore provide valuable information on the relationship between DED and MGD. Infrared imaging revealed an increased meibomian gland dropout score in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome compared with control subjects without DED, suggesting that patients with Sjögren’s syndrome may be at a greater risk of developing MGD. Moreover, IVCM revealed that the meibomian glands of patients with Sjögren’s syndrome had higher acinar density, smaller diameters, a greater density of periglandular inflammatory cells and higher secretion reflectivity compared with patients with MGD. These observations support an essential role of MGD in DED and could provide a histopathological basis for the previously mentioned mixed form of aqueous and evaporative DED. Additionally, in a retrospective observational pilot study of patients with MGD-associated refractory DED, IVCM revealed clinically non-apparent inflammation of the palpebral conjunctiva despite improvements in tear film break-up time, an increased number of meibomian glands yielding secretion and a normal clinical examination post-treatment, suggesting that IVCM may also be a useful tool for identifying the underlying causes of symptom-sign disparity in patients with MGD. Further studies are needed to truly
understand how imaging can advance our understanding of the relationship between MGD and DED.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS NEW DOUBLE VICIOUS CIRCLE?
Beyond its educational and scientific interest, the double vicious circle may be used for understanding and revealing the complexity of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying DED and MGD, as well as a tool to focus and guide therapy. Figure 4 illustrates how different therapies, some acting at multiple points of the circle, may be used to disrupt the vicious circles of MGD and DED. Eyelid hygiene, consisting of eyelid warming and massage, reduces the proliferation of bacteria that are believed to increase the melting temperature of meibum, in

---

Figure 3  Importance of meibomian gland dysfunction in the vicious circle of the pathology of dry eye disease. 

Figure 4  Different therapies may target particular pathophysiological mechanisms that underlie the vicious circle of dry eye disease.
addition to melting the altered meibomian lipids to improve their secretion.35–57 Eyelid hygiene devices such as the MGDRx Eyelid (The Eyebag Company, West Yorkshire, UK), Blephasteam (Laboratoire Théa, Clermont-Ferrand, France) and LipiFlow (TearScience, North Carolina, USA) have been shown to improve symptoms in patients with MGD.35–57 The anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial effects of oral tetracycline derivatives such as doxycycline, and antibiotics, including azithromycin, may reduce bacterial proliferation and prevent inflammation-induced keratinisation of meibomian glands to improve meibum secretion.35–58 Moreover, figure 4 illustrates how therapies that have the potential to break one of the vicious circles, such as tear substitutes, may impact on the other via indirect effects on meibomian gland blockage, dropout and inflammation.

It seems apparent that a diagnosis of MGD is based on a set of signs and symptoms and that its true nosology remains to be determined. The double vicious circle scheme defined here creates the foundation for the classification of MGD; it highlights the pathophysiological mechanisms involved and addresses the basics of treatment. Taken together, this new scheme perhaps gives MGD true disease status and identifies it as more than a simple dysfunction.
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