

# Kinetics of precipitation of non-ideal solid-solutions in a liquid environment

Claudine Noguera, B. Fritz, A. Clement

### ► To cite this version:

Claudine Noguera, B. Fritz, A. Clement. Kinetics of precipitation of non-ideal solid-solutions in a liquid environment. Chemical Geology, 2016, 431, pp.20-35. 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2016.03.009 . hal-01297452

# HAL Id: hal-01297452 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01297452v1

Submitted on 4 Apr 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 2

3

4

5

### Kinetics of precipitation of non-ideal solid-solutions in a liquid environment.

C. Noguera,<sup>1</sup> B. Fritz,<sup>2</sup> and A. Clément<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRS-Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7588, INSP, F-75005 Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Université de Strasbourg/EOST, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Hydrologie et de Géochimie de Strasbourg,

1 rue Blessig, F-67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

(Dated: April 1, 2016)

We present a theoretical formalism which, for the first time, accounts for the nucleation, growth and/or redissolution of binary non-ideal solid-solutions, whether mineral or bimetallic, in solution. It yields the time evolution of all ion activities, together with the particle population characteristics: number, size and composition profile of particles as a function of time and of their time of nucleation. It is shown that depending on the Guggenheim parameter values which drive the non-ideality of the solid-solution, on the ratio of the solubility products of the end-members and on initial conditions, different scenarios of precipitation may take place, in which particles display composition profiles which may be smooth or discontinuous. An illustration of the characteristics of precipitation in the various scenarios is given, by simulations performed under some simplifying assumptions and qualitative predictions are made for the precipitation of some mineral solid solutions of geochemical interest. To our knowledge, this is the first time, in the fields of both geochemistry and metallic alloys, that these out-of-equilibrium precipitation processes of non-ideal solid-solutions are fully described.

#### Highlights:

- Theoretical and numerical model for out-of-equilibrium precipitation of non-ideal solid solutions in a liquid medium.
- For the first time, nucleation, growth and/or redissolution processes are fully described.
- Particle size distribution functions and composition profiles are obtained.
- The formalism applies to mineral  $A_{1-x}B_xC$  as well as bimetallic  $A_{1-x}B_x$  nanoparticle formation.
- Four scenarios are highlighted; predictions for the precipitation of mineral solid solutions of geochemical interest are made.

*Keywords*: nucleation and growth, non-ideal solid solutions, miscibility gap, clay minerals, bimetallic nanoparticles, alloy nanoparticles, core-shell nanoparticles, wet-chemical synthesis, kinetic simulation, Nanokin code.

30

PACS numbers:

#### 8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

### I.

In natural water-rock interaction systems on the Earth <sup>31</sup> surface, primary minerals are often in a thermodynamic <sup>32</sup> nonequilibrium state. This is the key-condition for the <sup>33</sup> alteration which takes place in the water cycle, including <sup>34</sup> both weathering processes near surface and hydrother- <sup>35</sup> mal alteration at depth. The resulting spontaneous dis- <sup>36</sup> solution of primary minerals leads to the formation of <sup>37</sup> secondary minerals which are generally not defined com- <sup>38</sup> pounds but often solid solution phases (SS), with compo- <sup>39</sup> sitions that adjust to the evolution of the chemical com- <sup>40</sup> position of the aqueous solution (AS). The most frequent <sup>41</sup> example of this property is the formation of clay mineral <sup>42</sup> phases in the alteration of rock-forming silicates (Millot, <sup>43</sup> 1970; Meunier and Velde, 1989), but many oxides, car-

INTRODUCTION

<sup>22</sup> 1970; Meunier and Velde, 1989), but many oxides, car-<sup>44</sup>
<sup>23</sup> bonates, and sulfates also share this property (Drever, <sup>45</sup>
<sup>24</sup> 1984; Geiger, 2001; Rhada and Navrotsky, 2013).
<sup>25</sup> In another context, formation of bimetallic nanopar-<sup>47</sup>

ticles is often the aim of wet chemistry experiments in <sup>48</sup> the laboratory, due to their interesting properties for <sup>49</sup> plasmonics (Major et al., 2009), catalysis (Zhang et al., <sup>50</sup>

2011) or electrocatalysis (Peng and Yang, 2009) applications. Similarly to their mineral counterparts, these alloys may display an ideal SS behavior, or, alternatively, a tendency towards ordering or demixing (phase separation), depending upon the sign and strength of their mixing enthalpy of formation (Ferrando et al., 2008).

The equilibrium behavior of a SS in contact with an AS, whether ideal or non-ideal, is now well established (Lippmann, 1982; Glynn and Reardon, 1990), as reviewed by Ganguly (2001) or Prieto (2009). The relationship between the SS composition and the distribution of ions in the AS may be represented by the classical Lippmann's or Roozeboom's diagrams (Lippmann, 1980; Roozeboom, 1904). Recent theoretical studies of non-ideal mineral SSs at equilibrium mainly concern cements and concretes interacting with the AS, particularly in the field of nuclear waste storages and clay barriers (Börjesson et al., 1997; Walker et al., 2007) but carbonate SSs have also been considered (Kulik et al., 2010; Katsikopoulos et al., 2009).

As far as the kinetics of SS formation are concerned, experiments making use of counter-diffusion of reactants

through a porous medium (Prieto et al., 1997; Sánchez-109
Pastor et al., 2006) or in situ atomic force microscopy110
studies of the growth of SSs in a fluid cell (Pina et al.,111
2000; Putnis et al., 2002; Astilleros et al., 2003; Astilleros112
et al., 2006) have provided important information on113
growth mechanisms and particle composition for various114
mineral SSs.

Inclusion of kinetic effects in the modeling of a SS for-116 58 mation still remains a difficult task. In the water-rock<sup>117</sup> 59 interaction model KINDIS (Madé et al., 1994) and its118 60 extension for treating reaction and transport (Nourtier-119 61 Mazauric et al., 2005), kinetic dissolution and precipita-120 62 tion at equilibrium of ideal SSs were included but with-121 63 out considering nucleation and growth. In these works,<sup>122</sup> 64 a single SS was allowed to precipitate for a given set of<sup>123</sup> 65 end-members, corresponding to the least soluble phase<sup>124</sup> 66 or, equivalently, to the phase with the highest super-125 67 saturation. More recent approaches rely on empirical<sup>126</sup> 68 rate equations, not considering explicitly nucleation, size-127 69 dependent growth and nucleation (Shtukenberg et al.,128 70 2010, Brandt et al., 2015). The same was true in the129 71 coupled reaction and transport model by Lichtner and 130 72 Carey (2006) who represented the SS by a discrete set<sup>131</sup> 73 74 of stoichiometric solids with fixed composition. On the<sup>132</sup> other hand, atomistic Monte Carlo simulations of ideal<sup>133</sup> 75 SSs under constant supersaturation have specified how<sup>134</sup> 76 the distribution coefficients vary with the supersatura-135 77 tion at kink, step and terrace sites of the growing parti-136 78 cles (Matsumoto and Kitamura, 2001; Matsumoto et al.,137 79 2005). Only in the work of Pina and Putnis (2002) did<sup>138</sup> 80 a generalized expression for the nucleation rate appear,139 81 and the composition of the critical nucleus was deter-140 82 mined from the maximum of the nucleation frequency.141 83 However, growth and feed-back effects were not included<sup>142</sup> 84 in this work. 143 85

To our knowledge, only in our previous works (Noguera<sup>144</sup> 86 et al., 2010; Noguera et al., 2012), were the full dynam-145 87 ics of a SS formation fully accounted for, with the in-146 88 clusion of nucleation processes, size dependent growth,147 89 particle population and out-of-equilibrium composition<sup>148</sup> 90 of the critical nuclei and deposited layers during growth. 91 This has led to the creation of a second version of the 92 NANOKIN code (Noguera et al., 2010), which previously<sup>149</sup> 93

could only account for the kinetics of formation of minerals with fixed composition (Fritz et al., 2009). However<sub>150</sub>
this second version was restricted to ideal binary SSs. 151

It is our goal, in the present work, to propose a theo-152 97 retical description of nucleation and growth of non-ideal<sub>153</sub> 98 binary SSs. As will appear clearly in the following, it does154 99 not consist in merely introducing activity coefficients in155 100 the nucleation and growth equations. Depending on the156 101 strength of the enthalpy of mixing, which will be rep-157 102 resented by a Guggenheim expansion restricted to two158 103 terms (sub-regular SS), and depending on the composi-159 104 tion of the AS, several scenarios may take place in which<sub>160</sub> 105 the composition profiles of the formed particles and the161 106 precipitation dynamics are distinctly different. Each of 162 107 these scenarios will be exemplified by a numerical simu-163 108

lation, under some simplifying assumptions and predictions will be made for various mineral SSs of geochemical interest to assess which scenario applies to each of them.

The formalism primarily aims at describing SSs of the  $A_{1-x}B_xC$  type, relevant e.g. to mineral SSs with homovalent substitution, like (Ba,Sr)CO<sub>3</sub>. However the generalization to SSs of the  $A_{1-x}B_x$  type, such as bimetallic SSs, is straightforward because it only requires skipping the C activities. In that way, our work can also be useful in the field of metallic alloys in which, to our knowledge, only thermodynamic aspects of the formation of bimetallic nanoparticles in wet chemistry experiments have been considered. We will use the generic term "aqueous" solution to refer to the solution in which precipitation takes place, whether it contains water or not.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we introduce thermodynamic concepts which are required for describing binary non-ideal (sub-regular) SSs in contact with an AS. We propose a new representation of the stoichiometric saturation condition, distinct from the Roozeboom diagram. It is more compact than the latter and turns out to be extremely useful in understanding the scenarios of precipitation of strongly non-ideal SSs. In section III, IV and V we present the theoretical background and master equations for nucleation, growth and feed-back effects on the chemical composition of the AS, respectively. Then, in Section VI, we discuss the characteristics of the precipitation process as a function of the degree of non-ideality of the SS and the initial conditions. We highlight four possible scenarios of precipitation and we devise a diagram of their occurrence as a function of the solid and AS characteristics. Finally, we illustrate the characteristics of precipitation in the various scenarios, by simulations performed within some simplifying assumptions, and we make qualitative predictions of the precipitation characteristics of SSs of geochemical interest (Section VII), before concluding. The text is complemented by five appendices in which most of the formal equations are derived.

### II. THERMODYNAMIC CONCEPTS

In this section we first recall some useful concepts relevant for a SS in contact with an AS of given composition. This will allow us to introduce quantities, such as the stoichiometric solubility product, the stoichiometric saturation state of the AS with respect to the SS, and the concept of stoichiometric saturation. Then we will discuss in detail how the latter depends on the nonideality characteristics of the SS, which will be a useful step before addressing out-of-equilibrium processes.

We consider a SS of composition  $A_{1-x}B_xC$  (0 < x < 1), with AC and BC its end-members. In the following, A, B and C will represent the relevant aqueous species in the AS and [A], [B] and [C] their activities, respectively. The solubility products of the end-members, denoted

 $K_{AC}$  and  $K_{BC}$ , are functions of the standard changes<sub>205</sub> I(x) can also be written in terms of the activity coeffi-164 in Gibbs free energy,  $\Delta G_{AC}$  and  $\Delta G_{BC}$ , for dissolution:<sup>206</sup> 165

$$K_{AC} = \exp\left(-\Delta G_{AC}/RT\right)$$
  

$$K_{BC} = \exp\left(-\Delta G_{BC}/RT\right)$$
(1)

in which R is the gas constant and T the temperature. 166 Considering the SS as a single component stoichiomet- $_{208}$ 167 ric solid, the change of Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G(x)$  during 168 the dissolution of one mole of composition x may be writ-169 ten as: 170

$$\Delta G(x) = (1 - x)\Delta G_{AC} + x\Delta G_{BC} + \Delta G_M^E(x) -RT (x \ln x + (1 - x) \ln(1 - x))$$
(2)<sup>209</sup>
<sup>210</sup>

The sum  $(1-x)\Delta G_{AC} + x\Delta G_{BC}$  represents the change<sub>211</sub> 171 of Gibbs free energy for a mechanical mixture of AC and  $_{212}$ 172 BC. It is complemented by the ideal entropy of mix-213 173 ing (on the second line), assuming full disorder of  $\text{the}_{214}$ 174 A and B species in the SS.  $\Delta G_M^E(x)$  is the excess free<sub>215</sub> 175 energy of mixing, which includes the excess entropy of 176 mixing  $\Delta S_M^E(x)$  and the enthalpy of mixing  $\Delta H_M(x)$ : 177  $\Delta G_M^E(x) = \Delta H_M(x) - T\Delta S_M^E(x). \text{ We will neglect}$ 178  $\Delta S_M^E(\mathbf{x})$  which may originate from non-configurational 179 entropy (Benisek and Dachs, 2012) or deviations  $from_{216}$ 180 perfect randomness. As regards  $\Delta H_M(x)$ , whose varia-217 181 tions with the SS composition are usually represented by $_{218}$ 182 the Guggenheim expansion (Guggenheim, 1937), we will<sub>219</sub> 183 only keep its first two terms, and thus restrict ourselves $_{220}$ 184 to sub-regular SS: 185 221

$$\Delta H_M(x) = -RTx(1-x)[A_0 + A_1(2x-1)] \qquad (3)_{223}^{222}$$

The two dimensionless parameters  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  charac-224 186 terize the non-ideality of the SS.  $A_0$  may be related to<sup>225</sup> 187 first neighbor pairwise interactions. Its sign drives the<sup>226</sup> 188 tendency to ordering (if negative) or to unmixing (if pos-189 itive). When  $A_0$  exceeds some critical value, the SS pos-190 sesses a miscibility gap, which means a range of compo-191 sitions in which phase separation takes place. The  $A_1$ 192 coefficient introduces an asymmetry of  $\Delta G_M^E(x)$  about<sup>227</sup> 193 x = 1/2.194

The stoichiometric solubility product of the SS:<sup>229</sup> 195 230  $K(x) = \exp(-\Delta G(x)/RT)$  is equal to: 196 231

$$K(x) = K_{AC}^{1-x} K_{BC}^{x} (1-x)^{1-x} x^{x} e^{x(1-x)[A_0+A_1(2x-1)]}$$
(4)<sup>232</sup>

One can deduce the stoichiometric saturation state  $I(x)_{_{234}}$ 197 of the AS with respect to a SS of composition x (some-\_{\it 235} 198

times called  $\beta(x)$  (Prieto et al., 1993)), equal to the ratio 199 between the ionic activity product  $Q(x) = [A]^{1-x}[B]^x[C]$ 200 and K(x): 201

$$I(x) = \left[\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x)}\right]^{1-x} \left[\frac{I_{BC}}{x}\right]^x e^{-x(1-x)[A_0+A_1(2x-1)]} (5)_{237}^{236}$$

In this expression,  $I_{AC}$  and  $I_{BC}$  are the saturation states<sup>238</sup> 202 of the AS with respect to the pure end-members AC and<sup>239</sup> 203 BC, respectively: 204 241

$$I_{AC} = \frac{[A][C]}{K_{AC}} \quad ; \quad I_{BC} = \frac{[B][C]}{K_{BC}} \qquad (6)_{^{242}}^{^{242}}$$

cients  $\lambda_{AC}$  and  $\lambda_{BC}$  of the end-members:

$$I(x) = \left[\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x)\lambda_{AC}(x)}\right]^{1-x} \left[\frac{I_{BC}}{x\lambda_{BC}(x)}\right]^x$$
(7)

The coefficients  $\lambda_{AC}$  and  $\lambda_{BC}$  depend on x and, for a sub-regular SS, are equal to (Glynn, 1991):

$$\lambda_{AC}(x) = e^{x^2 [A_0 + A_1 (4x - 3)]}$$
  
$$\lambda_{BC}(x) = e^{(1 - x)^2 [A_0 + A_1 (4x - 1)]}$$
(8)

Thermodynamic equilibrium between the SS and the AS is reached when simultaneously I(x) = 1 (equivalent to  $\Delta G(x) = -RT \ln Q(x)$ , and I(x) is maximum with respect to x. These two conditions determine the composition  $x_0$  of the SS and that of the AS (through the values of  $I_{AC}$  and  $I_{BC}$ ) at thermodynamic equilibrium. They can be recast under the standard form:

$$I_{AC} = (1 - x_0)\lambda_{AC}(x_0)$$
  

$$I_{BC} = x_0\lambda_{BC}(x_0)$$
(9)

In the following, we will focus on the characteristics of the *stoichiometric saturation state*, obtained from the single condition that I(x) is maximum with respect to x. Indeed, the goal of our work is to describe the ki*netics* of precipitation and not the thermodynamic equilibrium between an AS and a SS, which is only found at infinite time of the precipitation process. Using the stoichiometric saturation amounts to considering the SS with respect to which the AS is the most supersaturated (Prieto, 2009). Its composition  $x_{st}$  is the solution of the implicit equation (Appendix A):

$$\frac{I_{AC}}{\lambda_{AC}(x_{st})(1-x_{st})} = \frac{I_{BC}}{\lambda_{BC}(x_{st})x_{st}}$$
(10)

Such a relationship is often graphically represented in a Roozeboom plot  $(x_{st}, [B]/([A]+[B])$  (Mullin, 1993). The discussion which follows, based on Equation 10, paves the route to understanding the composition of the critical nuclei which will be the subject of the following section.

As shown in Appendix A, Eq. 10 may have one or three roots, depending on the values of  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ , and on the composition of the AS. The latter enters in a compact way through the ratio W, which is equal to:

$$W = \frac{I_{BC}}{I_{AC}} = \frac{[B]K_{AC}}{[A]K_{BC}}$$
(11)

When Eq. 10 has a single root,  $x_{st}$  varies smoothly as a function of W. When there are three roots, two correspond to maxima of I(x) (i.e. minima of  $-\ln I(x)$ ) and one to a minimum (Figure 11 in Appendix A). The composition  $x_{st}$  is equal to the root associated with the lowest value of  $-\ln I(x)$ . In Figure 1 are represented typical variations of the three roots of Eq. 10 as a function of Wand of the corresponding three values of  $-\ln(I(x)/I_{AC})$ 



FIG. 1: Top panel: the three roots of Eq. 10, as a function of  $W = I_{BC}/I_{AC}$ , when  $A_0 = 2.5$  and  $A_1 = 0$ . The full and dashed-dotted curves display the variations of the minima of  $-\ln I(x)$  while the dotted one is associated with the maximum of this function. Bottom panel, corresponding values of  $\ln I_{AC} - \ln I(x)$ . The composition  $x_{st}$  of the SS at stoichiometric saturation corresponds to the lowest value of this function. The crossing of the curves at  $W_c$  is associated to a<sup>261</sup> discontinuity of  $x_{st}$ .

263

264

in the case of a strongly non-ideal SS. Because the lat-<sup>265</sup> 244 ter cross each other, a discontinuity in  $x_{st}$  between two<sup>266</sup> 245 values  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  takes place for some AS composition<sup>267</sup> 246 characterized by  $W = W_c$ , at which the two phases of 268 247 composition  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  have the same Gibbs free energy<sup>269</sup> 248 per mole. When  $W = W_c$ , the solid phase may become<sup>270</sup> 249 spatially inhomogeneous and separate into two phases of<sup>271</sup> 250 compositions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . 251

Figure 2 shows the variations of  $x_{st}$  as a function of  $W^{273}$ 252 for several values of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . In the case of regular<sup>274</sup> 253 SSs  $(A_1 = 0)$ ,  $x_{st}$  varies smoothly (single root in Eq.<sup>275</sup> 254 10) as long as  $A_0$  remains smaller than 2.  $x_{st}$  is less<sup>276</sup> 255 than 0.5 (which means that the SS is richer in A  $ions^{277}$ 256 than in B ions) whenever W < 1 and larger than 0.5 in<sub>278</sub> 257 the opposite case. When  $A_0$  exceeds 2, a discontinuity<sup>279</sup> 258 occurs at  $W_c = 1$ , whose height increases with  $A_0$  (e.g.<sub>280</sub> 259  $x_2 - x_1 \approx 0.4$  for  $A_0 = 2.1$  and 0.7 for  $A_0 = 2.5$ ). The<sub>281</sub> 260



FIG. 2: Composition  $x_{st}$  of a SS at stoichiometric saturation with an AS whose composition is characterized by  $W = I_{BC}/I_{AC}$ , for various values of  $A_0$  when  $A_1 = 0$  (top panel) or  $A_1 = 1$  (bottom panel).

symmetry of the miscibility gap about  $x_{st} = 1/2$  is to be linked to the shape of the Gibbs free energy of mixing.

In sub-regular SSs  $(A_1 \neq 0)$ , the transition between smooth and discontinuous variations of  $x_{st}$  occurs at smaller values of  $A_0$  and the discontinuity (when it exists) takes place at varying values of  $W_c$ .  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are no longer symmetric about 0.5.

The dependence of  $W_c$  on  $A_0$  is represented in Figure 3 (top panel).  $W_c$  decreases (resp. increases) asymptotically towards  $W_c = 1$ , as  $A_0$  becomes larger if  $A_1 > 0$  (resp.  $A_1 < 0$ ). On each curve, there exists a minimum value of  $A_0$  below which the discontinuity disappears and  $x_{st}$  recovers a smooth variation as a function of the AS composition. The range of parameters  $\{A_0, A_1\}$  for which  $x_{st}$  has no discontinuity lies inside the region delineated by the two curves drawn in Figure 3 (bottom panel).

Compared to the Roozeboom plot, the representation of  $x_{st}$  as a function of  $W = ([B]K_{AC})/([A]K_{BC}])$  that we propose presents several advantages. First it acknowledges the fact that, at constant values of the Guggenheim





FIG. 3: Top: position  $W_c$  of the  $x_{st}$  discontinuity as a function of  $A_0$  for  $A_1=1, 0.5, 0$ , and -0.5. At constant  $A_1$ , the crosses mark the critical  $A_0$  value above which a discontinuity starts taking place. This critical value is represented in the bottom panel as a function of  $A_1$ . In the region between the two lines, Eq. 10 has a single root whatever W, which means that  $x_{st}$ varies smoothly as a function of the composition of the AS.

298 parameters,  $x_{st}$  is uniquely defined by the value of  $W^{299}$ 282 and not separately by the ratios [B]/[A] and  $K_{BC}/K_{AC^{300}}$ 283 as in the Roozeboom representation. The latter, for<sup>301</sup> 284 which one plot is needed for each value of  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$ ,<sup>302</sup> 285 is convenient when one considers a specific system. At<sup>303</sup> 286 variance, the representation of  $x_{st}$  as a function of  $W^{_{304}}$ 287 is unique whatever the value of  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  (Figure 4).<sup>305</sup> 288 It will help highlight the generic behavior of sub-regular<sup>306</sup> 289 SSs during precipitation, which is the goal of our work.<sup>307</sup> 290 As will be shown in the next sections, a representation<sup>308</sup> 291 of the same type will be extremely useful to characterize<sup>309</sup> 292 the composition of the critical nuclei and layers deposited<sup>310</sup> 293 during growth, and to discriminate the various scenarios<sub>311</sub> 294 of precipitation. 312 295

FIG. 4: Two representations of the relationship between the SS composition  $x_{st}$  and the AS composition. Top: Roozeboom plot as a function of [B]/([A] + [B]) for various values of  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$ . Bottom: Representation as a function of  $W = ([B]K_{AC})/([A]K_{BC}])$ . In both case the SS is characterized by Guggenheim parameters  $A_0 = 2.5$  and  $A_1 = 0$ .

#### **III. NUCLEATION**

In this section and the two following ones, we extend the formalism of nucleation and growth previously established for the precipitation of minerals with fixed composition (Noguera et al., 2006a,b) and ideal SSs (Noguera et al., 2010) to the formation of non-ideal SSs. Nucleation is treated within the framework of the classical theory of nucleation, in its continuum limit (Markov, 1995;, Adamson, 1960). It is described in the following for homogeneous nucleation of spherical particles. The extension to heterogeneous nucleation (i.e. nucleation of particles on foreign solids) and non-spherical particle shapes is given in Appendix B. Moreover, we send the more complex treatment of precipitation of SSs whose surface energy depends on composition to Appendix C.

Under these conditions (homogeneous nucleation, spherical particles and constant surface energy), the

297

change in Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G(n, x)$  in the formation 313 of a nucleus containing n formula units of composition x314 is the sum of two terms ( $k_B$  the Boltzmann constant): 315

$$\Delta G(n,x) = -nk_B T \ln I(x) + n^{2/3} v(x)^{2/3} X \sigma \qquad (12)$$

The first (bulk-like) term  $-nk_BT\ln I(x)$ , with I(x) the 316 stoichiometric saturation state given by Eq. 7, represents 317 the gain (if I(x) > 1) of Gibbs free energy when ions 318 from the AS condense into a solid phase. The second 319 term  $E_s = n^{2/3} v(x)^{2/3} X \sigma$  is the total surface energy of 320 the nucleus. In this expression, v(x) is the volume of a 321 formula unit of composition x, that will be assumed to 322 vary linearly between its end-member values (no excess 323 molar volume):  $v(x) = (1-x)v_{AC} + xv_{BC}$ . The geometric 324 factor X is equal to  $X = (36\pi)^{1/3}$  for spherical particles 325 and  $\sigma$  is the surface energy per unit area. 326

When I(x) > 1,  $\Delta G(n, x)$  displays a maximum as a 327 function of n, which defines the characteristics of the crit-328 ical nucleus: its size  $n_m(x)$  and the barrier to be overcome 329 for its nucleation  $\Delta G_m(x) = \Delta G(n_m(x), x)$ : 330

$$n_m(x) = \frac{2u(x)}{\ln^3 I(x)} \quad \text{with } u(x) = \frac{4X^3 \sigma^3 v(x)^2}{27(k_B T)^3} \qquad (13)_{356}^{355}$$

and : 331

$$\frac{\Delta G_m(x)}{k_B T} = \frac{u(x)}{\ln^2 I(x)} \tag{14}_{361}^{360}$$

355

357

358

359

362

Assuming that the flow of nuclei through size and com-<sub>363</sub> 332 position space is confined to a path through this point<sub>364</sub> 333 only (Reiss and Shugard, 1976), the composition of the<sub>365</sub> 334 critical nuclei is determined by the condition that the<sub>366</sub> 335 nucleation frequency F(x) is maximum with respect to 336 x. F(x) depends exponentially on the nucleation barrier 337  $\Delta G_m(x)$ : 338

$$F(x) = F_0 \exp\{-\Delta G_m(x)/k_B T\}$$
 (15)<sub>367</sub>

There have been attempts to theoretically estimate the  $^{\rm 368}$ 339 prefactor  $F_0$  for specific systems. However, in most cases,  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 369}$ 340 it has resulted in huge (several orders of magnitude) dis-  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 370}$ 341 crepancies with measured values, even in the case of  $\min^{-371}$ 342 erals of fixed composition. For this reason, we will assume  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 372}$ 343 it to be a constant, with a value that must be empiri-  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 373}$ 344 cally determined. The maximum nucleation rate is thus<sup>374</sup> 345 obtained when  $\Delta G_m(x)$  is minimum with respect to x,<sup>375</sup> 346 in which case the critical nuclei correspond to a saddle  $^{\rm 376}$ 347 377 point in the  $\Delta G(n, x)$  energy surface. 348

Taking these expressions into consideration, after some  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 378}$ 349 algebra (Appendix D), the minimization of the nucle-  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 379}$ 350 ation barrier yields the critical nucleus composition  $x^*$ ,<sup>380</sup> 351 , 381 solution of the implicit equation: 352 382

$$\left(\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x^*)\lambda_{AC}(x^*)}\right)^{v_{BC}} = \left(\frac{I_{BC}}{x^*\lambda_{BC}(x^*)}\right)^{v_{AC}} \quad (16)^{^{383}}_{_{384}}$$

For ideal SSs, Eq. 16 has a single root. For regular or<sub>386</sub> 353 sub-regular SSs, there may be one or three roots and one<sub>387</sub> 354



FIG. 5: Composition of the critical nuclei  $x^*$ , as a function of the ratio  $W' = I_{BC}/I_{AC}^z$ , for several values of  $z = v_{BC}/v_{AC}$ . All curves have been drawn for  $A_0 = 2.5$  and  $A_1 = 0$ . When  $z = 1, x^* = x_{st}.$ 

must determine the one which minimizes the nucleation barrier. To make a link with the previous section, one notes that, except for the exponents  $v_{AC}$  and  $v_{BC}$ , Eq. 16 strongly resembles Eq. 10, and, indeed, finding its solution(s) amounts to minimizing  $-[\ln I(x)]/v(x)$ . When v(x) does not depend on x, the composition  $x^*$  of the critical nucleus is thus equal to  $x_{st}$ , determined previously. When v varies with composition, the minimization of  $-[\ln I(x)]/v(x)$  is mathematically more involved (Appendix D). The solutions can be shown to be functions of  $A_0, A_1, z = v_{BC}/v_{AC}$  (parameter related to the end-member structures), and of the ratio:

$$W' = \frac{I_{BC}}{I_{AC}^z} \tag{17}$$

function of the composition of the AS. Depending upon whether the Guggenheim parameter values are located outside or within the region of the miscibility gap,  $x^*$ varies smoothly as a function of the AS composition or displays a discontinuity at a value  $W'_c$  function of  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$  and z. Usually z does not differ much from 1, especially for isomorphic end-members, because otherwise there would be no possibility to form an actual SS. As a result, in all cases, the composition  $x^*$  of the critical nucleus remains very close to  $x_{st}$ . Figure 5, for example, shows how  $x^*$  varies with W' for three values of z, in the case of a strongly non-ideal regular SS with  $A_0 = 2.5$ . The curve associated with z = 1 represents the variations of  $x_{st}$ .  $W'_c$  varies by  $\pm 1.6\%$  when  $z = 1 \pm 0.15$ . The  $\{A_0, A_1\}$  range, in which a discontinuity of  $x^*$  occurs, nearly exactly coïncides with that for  $x_{st}$  (Figure 3 bottom panel) within a precision of 0.001 on the limiting values of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ .

Nucleation may start as soon as  $I(x^*) > 1$ . However, it becomes efficient (more than one nucleus per second and liter of solution), only if  $I(x^*)$  exceeds a critical value  $_{388}$   $I_c(x^*)$  defined by:

$$\ln I_c(x^*) = \sqrt{\frac{u(x^*)}{\ln F_0}} \tag{18}_{436}^{434}$$

433

445

446

447

448

462

463

464

All quantities related to a given critical nucleus:  $x^{*,438}$   $n^{*} = n_{m}(x^{*}), \Delta G^{*} = \Delta G_{m}(x^{*})$  and  $F(x^{*})$ , depend on  $the time t_{1}$  at which nucleation occurs. This time depen-440 dence comes from the instantaneous values of the satu-441 ration states  $I_{AC}(t_{1})$  and  $I_{BC}(t_{1})$  of the AS with respect to the pure SS end-members, entering Eq. 16 which de-443 termines  $x^{*}(t_{1})$ .

396

417

#### IV. GROWTH

Growth involves the condensation of ions from the AS<sub>449</sub> 397 on the surface of the particles. A growth law which cor-450 398 rectly describes such processes has to be size-dependent,451 399 but its expression depends upon the rate limiting pro-452 400 cess: diffusion in the liquid or the gaseous phase, contin-453 401 uous interfacial effects, and two-dimensional nucleation454 402 on flat faces or spiral growth (Burton et al., 1951; Baron-455 403 net, 1982; Parbhakar et al., 1995). In the following, we 404 will restrict ourselves to a continuous growth mechanism, 405 limited by the incorporation of growth units at the sur-406 face of a rough nucleus (Markov, 1995; Pina et al., 2000). 407 Furthermore, we will define an average rate of incorpo-408 ration, so that the particle keeps the same shape as the  $^{456}$ 409 critical nucleus (Wulff or Wulff-Kaishev shape)  $\rm \tilde{d}uring$  its  $^{457}$ 410 growth. Actually, the particle size may increase or de-458 411 crease according to whether it is larger or smaller than 412 the instantaneous size of the critical nuclei. This is the 413 Ostwald ripening process (Ostwald, 1900; Lifschitz and<sup>459</sup> 414 Slyozov, 1961). We will consider the two cases of positive 415 growth or redissolution (negative growth) separately. 416 460 461

#### A. Positive growth

<sup>418</sup> The energetic cost to increase the dimensions of a par-<sup>465</sup> <sup>419</sup> ticle may be related to its change of volume  $\delta V$  and its<sup>466</sup> <sup>420</sup> change of total surface energy  $\delta E_s$ :

$$\delta\Delta G(x) = -\frac{\delta V}{v(x)} k_B T \ln I(x) + \delta E_s \qquad (19)_{_{46}}$$

In the following, we will make the assumption of  $\operatorname{local}^{468}$ 421 equilibrium at the particle-solution interface. It amounts  $^{\rm 469}$ 422 to considering that short-range transport across the in-  $^{\rm 470}$ 423 terface is rapid enough to equilibrate the ions in the liquid 424 and solid layers in contact. It is valid provided that the 425 interface motion is slow enough (Aziz, 1988). When this 426 is the case, the chemical potentials of ions at the surface 427 of the particle are equal to those in the aqueous solu-471 428 tion. The composition x of the layer which is deposited<sub>472</sub> 429 is obtained from the condition that  $\delta \Delta G(x)$  is minimum<sub>473</sub> 430 with respect to x. The x dependence of  $\delta \Delta G(x)$  is in-474 431 cluded in  $-[\ln I(x)]/v(x)$ . Determining the composition<sub>475</sub> 432

x of the incremental layer thus amounts to minimizing this quantity. Because it is the same quantity which appears in the determination of the critical nucleus composition, the composition of the incremental layer at time t is thus equal to  $x^*(t)$ .

Usually, and especially at low temperatures, solid state diffusion is very slow compared to all other characteristic times. We will neglect it, and assume that the composition of a given layer remains fixed once formed (Doerner-Hoskins precipitation (Doerner and Hoskins, 1925)). The particles thus display composition profiles due to the time variation of  $x^*$ .

The dimensions and number of growth units of a particle at time t depend on two time indices:  $t_1$  the time at which the particle has nucleated, and t the time of observation. One has thus to write:  $n(t_1, t)$  and  $\rho(t_1, t)$  ( $\rho$ the radius of the particle). At variance, the composition of the outer layer of growing particles only depends on t, because it is the same for all particles.

In the regime of increasing particle size  $d\rho(t_1, t)/dt > 0$ , the growth equation used for particles of fixed composition can be straightforwardly generalized to SSs (Noguera et al. 2010):

$$\frac{d\rho(t_1,t)}{dt} = \kappa \left( I(t,x^*(t)) - \exp\left[\frac{2u(x^*(t))}{n(t_1,t)}\right]^{1/3} \right) \quad (20)$$

In Eq. 20, it is the saturation index relative to the composition of the deposited layer, and thus relative to a SS of composition  $x^*(t)$ , which must be used.

#### B. Redissolution

Whenever the right hand-side of Eq. 20 is negative, i.e. whenever  $n(t_1, t) < n^*(t)$ , the particles decrease in size  $(d\rho(t_1, t)/dt < 0)$ . During such a redissolution stage, layers formed at anterior times are progressively dissolved. A layer corresponding to a radius  $\rho(t_1, t)$  which reaches the particle/solution interface at time t, had been deposited at time  $t_2$  such that:

$$\rho(t_1, t_2) = \rho(t_1, t) \tag{21}$$

 $t_2$  is specific to the particle and thus depends on  $t_1$  and t. At time  $t_2$ , the layer composition was equal to  $x^*(t_2)$ . Consequently, in the redissolution regime, the growth rate reads:

$$\frac{d\rho(t_1,t)}{dt} = \kappa \left( I(t, x^*(t_2)) - \exp\left[\frac{2u(x^*(t_2))}{n(t_1,t)}\right]^{1/3} \right)$$
(22)

As a whole, the growth laws written in Eqs. 20 and 22 allow positive or negative growth of particles, depending on the relative value of their size with respect to the critical nucleus size. The process of Ostwald ripening is thus included in the present formalism. At variance, a 476 growth law of the type:

q

$$\frac{d\rho(t_1,t)}{dt} = \kappa (I^p(t,x(t_1,t)) - 1)^q \qquad (23)_{_{523}}^{_{522}}$$

520

521

532

533

540 541

542

524 as often assumed in the literature (Lasaga,  $1984;_{525}$ 477 Parkhust and Appelo, 1999), is unable to lead the solid  $_{526}$ 478 phase toward equilibrium, whatever the values of the em-  $_{\scriptscriptstyle 527}$ 479 pirical exponents p and q. In the long term, it correctly<sub>528</sub> 480 drives the saturation state of the AS towards 1 if the  $_{529}$ 481 feed-back effect of growth on the AS composition is in-482 cluded, but because all nucleated particles survive, the 483 total surface energy of the solid phase is not minimized. 530 484 The lowest energy configuration (a single particle with 485 all available matter in it) is never reached. 486 531

# 487 V. FEED-BACK EFFECT ON THE SOLUTION 534

At a given time t, the particle population consists of all<sub>536</sub> the particles which have nucleated at times  $t_1 < t$ , and<sub>537</sub> with nucleation frequencies and sizes equal to  $F(t_1)$  and<sub>538</sub>  $n(t_1, t)$  respectively. The amounts  $q_M(t)$  of end-members

<sup>492</sup> M (M=AC or BC) which have been withdrawn from the <sup>493</sup> AS at time t are thus equal to: <sup>535</sup>

$$f_M(t) = \int_0^t F(t_1)(n^*(t_1) - 1)X_M(t_1)dt_1$$

$$+\int_{0}^{t}F(t_{1})dt_{1}\int_{t_{1}}^{t}dt_{3}\frac{dn(t_{1},t_{3})}{dt_{3}}X_{M}(t_{3}) \qquad (24)_{544}^{543}$$

The first term represents the contribution of nucle-546 494 ation, with  $X_M(t_1)$  the molar fractions of the end-547 495 members equal to  $(1 - x^*(t_1))$  and  $x^*(t_1)$  for M=AC and<sup>548</sup> 496 BC, respectively. The second term is due to the size evo-<sup>549</sup> 497 lution of the particles.  $n^*(t_1) - 1$  is written rather than<sup>550</sup> 498  $n^*(t_1)$  to signal that more than one growth unit is neces-551 499 sary to determine if a solid phase is formed. In the case of  $^{552}$ 500 redissolution  $X_M(t_3)$  must be put equal to  $X_M(t_2)$  with<sup>553</sup> 501  $t_2$  determined by the condition that  $\rho(t_1, t_2) = \rho(t_1, t_3)^{554}$ 502 (Equation 21). From these quantities and an ionic spe-555 503 ciation model, one can calculate all activities in the  $\mathrm{AS}^{\scriptscriptstyle 556}$ 504 557 and the saturation indexes  $I_{AC}(t)$ ,  $I_{BC}(t)$  and I(t, x). 505

Including feed-back effects on the AS allows an evolu-<sup>558</sup> tion of its composition towards thermodynamic equilib-<sup>559</sup> rium. When  $t \to \infty$ ,  $I(t, x^*)$  tends to 1 and, combining<sup>560</sup> Equations 5 and 16, it is easy to check that  $x^* \to x_0$ , as<sup>561</sup> it should.

The equation giving  $q_M(t)$  (Equation 24), together<sup>563</sup> with those which fix I(t,x),  $x^*(t_1)$ ,  $n^*(t_1)$ ,  $\Delta G^*(t_1)$ ,<sup>564</sup>  $F(t_1)$ , and  $\rho(t_1,t)$  form a complete set which, together<sup>565</sup> with the speciation equations, allow the full determina-<sup>566</sup> tion of the precipitate and aqueous solution characteris-<sup>567</sup> tics at all times.

The present formalism represents an important ad-<sup>569</sup> vance with respect to our previous work (Noguera et <sup>570</sup> al., 2010) which was restricted to ideal solid solutions,<sup>571</sup> spherical particles and homogeneous nucleation. The development of the NANOKIN code to include these new functionalities is presently under progress, and its application to a realistic precipitation process will be the subject of a forthcoming paper. In the following, we will highlight some generic characteristics of the precipitation of non-ideal SS, and, under some approximations, we will present some numerical simulations exemplifying various scenarios which may be encountered in the precipitation of SSs of geochemical interest.

#### VI. PRECIPITATION SCENARIOS

In this section, we first discuss the characteristics of the precipitation process as a function of the degree of non-ideality of the SS and we evidence four possible precipitation scenarios (Section VIA). We then discuss their conditions of occurrence, under some simplifying assumptions, and we represent them graphically as a function of the ratio of the solubility products of the end-members and the Guggenheim coefficient  $A_0$  (Section VIB).

#### A. The four scenarios

First we recall that when the Guggenheim coefficients  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  belong to the zone included in between the two lines drawn in Figure 3 (lower panel), the equations which fix  $x_{st}$  and  $x^*$  have a single root and the precipitation scenario bears strong resemblances to that of an ideal SS. When  $A_0 > 0$ , the only difference with truly ideal SSs lies in the corrections due to the activity coefficients  $\lambda_{AC}$  and  $\lambda_{BC}$ . In that case the precipitation scenario will be called *Precipitation Scenario* #1 (Sc. #1).

When the contribution of the enthalpy of mixing of the SS to the Gibbs free energy of dissolution is negative, the SS displays a tendency towards ordering. It is generally associated with negative values of the first Guggenheim coefficient <sup>1</sup> and is usually interpreted as resulting from short range attraction between dissimilar first neighbors, which favors A-B pairs over A-A or B-B pairs. Which order is actually achieved depends on a contribution of the entropy of mixing which is specific to each case. Because at the present stage our study remains generic, we do not introduce it, so that this limit is not well-treated by our approach and will not be further discussed.

In the limit of strong non-ideality of the SS, a miscibility gap is present which is revealed by a jump of  $x^*$  from  $x_1$  to  $x_2$  at a critical value  $W'_c$  of  $W' = I_{BC}/I^z_{AC}$  (see e.g. Figure 5). This occurs when the Guggenheim coefficients  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  belong to the regions of the diagram in Figure 3 above or below the two lines.  $x_1, x_2$  and  $W'_c$  are solely determined by the values of  $A_0, A_1$  and  $z = v_{BC}/v_{AC}$ (See Appendix D). For example, in the special case where  $A_1 = 0$  (regular SSs) and  $z = 1, x_2$  is equal to  $1 - x_1$ and the critical value for W is equal to  $W'_c = 1$  (see Sections 2 and 3). The question of phase separation in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For sub-regular SSs, the  $A_1$  coefficient should also be taken into account. However, in the logics of the Guggenheim expansion and its truncation,  $A_1$  is expected to be smaller than  $A_0$ , in absolute value, so that extension of the zone of existence of a miscibility gap towards negative  $A_0$  values in Figure 3 appears meaningless.

critical nuclei or in the deposited layers becomes relevant<sub>628</sub> only when  $W' = W'_c$  because then the nucleation barrier<sub>629</sub> (Eq. 14) takes equal values for  $x^* = x_1$  and  $x^* = x_2$  and<sub>630</sub> the same is true for the interfacial Gibbs free energy for<sub>631</sub> growth (Eq. 19). 632 Consequently, the scenario of precipitation depends on<sub>633</sub>

whether and how the condition  $W' = W'_c$  is met during 578 the time evolution of the system. The initial conditions 579 (embedded in the value of W' at time t = 0) and the<sub>634</sub> 580 sign of dW'/dt in the vicinity of the discontinuity are the 635 581 relevant factors in that respect. We first note that, in the 582 presence of a miscibility gap, W'(t) has a slope disconti-583 nuity at  $W' = W'_c$ , due to the different values  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  of 584 the SS composition for  $W' < W'_c$  and  $W' > W'_c$ , respec-637 585 tively (Appendix E). We will note  $dW'_1/dt$  and  $dW'_2/dt$ 586 the associated two time derivative values of W'(t), re-638 587 spectively. This allows discrimination of the following<sup>639</sup> 588

589 scenarios:

• conditions are such that during the precipitation<sub>642</sub> process the discontinuity is not met. This takes<sub>643</sub> place if, at t = 0,  $W' < W'_c$  and close to the discontinuity  $dW'_1/dt < 0$ , or if, at t = 0,  $W' > W'_{c644}$ and close to the discontinuity  $dW'_2/dt > 0$ . This<sub>645</sub> scenario will be referred to, in the following, as *Pre*-<sub>646</sub> *cipitation Scenario #2* (Sc. #2).

• conditions are such that, during the precipitation <sup>648</sup> <sup>597</sup> process, the discontinuity is met but dW'/dt has <sup>659</sup> the same sign on both sides of the discontinuity. <sup>660</sup> The discontinuity is thus crossed but the time spent <sup>671</sup> by the system at  $W' = W'_c$  is irrelevant. No phase <sup>672</sup> separation takes place. This scenario will be re-<sup>673</sup> ferred to as *Precipitation Scenario #3* (Sc. #3).

 $\bullet$  finally, it may be that the discontinuity is met  $\operatorname{but}^{\scriptscriptstyle 655}$ 604 dW'/dt has opposite signs on both sides of the dis-605 continuity, which tends to bring it back towards<sup>657</sup> 606  $W' = W'_c$  on both sides. There is then a conflict<sup>658</sup> 607 between the variations of W' forcing it to stay con-608 stant and equal to  $W'_c$  (Lyapunov stable equilib-609 rium point (Lyapunov, 1992)). It is in this case 610 that phase separation takes place, in order to allow 611 the condition dW'/dt = 0 to be fulfilled. This sce-612 nario will be referred to as  $Precipitation \ Scenario^{660}$ 613 661 #4 (Sc. #4). 614 662

To go further, one has to determine the sign of dW'/dt615 on the left and right of the discontinuity. It is possible to<sup>663</sup> 616 derive formal expressions for  $dW'_1/dt$  and  $dW'_2/dt$  from 617 the feed-back equations, as described in Appendix E, and  $^{664}$ 618 also to deduce the relative percentages  $\alpha$  and  $(1 - \alpha)$  of 619 the two SSs with composition  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  when phase sep-620 aration occurs. These expressions may then be quantita- $_{666}$ 621 tively estimated for specific cases. 622

Aside from this numerical approach, in the following, in order to gain some physical insight into practical conditions of occurrence of the four scenarios, we restrict the discussion to regular SSs and some simplified conditions of precipitation. This will allow us to devise a diagram of occurrence of the scenarios as a function of some parameters (ratios of the solubility products of the two end-members, degree  $A_0$  of non-ideality of the SS and initial conditions of precipitation), and to make qualitative predictions of the precipitation characteristics of realistic SSs of geochemical interest.

# B. Conditions of existence of the four scenarios for regular SSs

The simplifying assumptions are the following:

• the SS is regular  $(A_1 = 0)$ 

640

641

- the formula unit volume as well as the surface energy of the SS are assumed to be independent of x. For example they may be set equal to the average of the corresponding values of the end-members. Consequently, there are no surface excess quantities (Appendix C) and z = 1.
- the A, B and C species are the dominant forms of the elements in the AS, and no mineral other than the SS may dissolve or precipitate. The time evolution of the [A], [B] and [C] activities thus only comes from the precipitation of the SS under consideration. Moreover, for SSs with a miscibility gap, we assume that, at the time when the  $x^*$  discontinuity is met, redissolution is negligible. Both hypotheses imply that the contribution W" to dW'/dt which is continuous at  $W'_c$  vanishes (Appendix E).

Under these hypotheses, in strongly non-ideal SSs  $(A_0 > 2)$ , the miscibility gap is symmetric  $(x_2 = 1 - x_1)$  and  $W'_c = 1$ . At the discontinuity, the last equality means that  $[B]/[A] = K_{BC}/K_{AC}$ . Moreover, close to the discontinuity (Appendix E):

$$\frac{1}{W'}\frac{dW'}{dt} \propto -\left(x^*(t) - (1 - x^*(t))\frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}}\right)$$
(25)

with  $x^*$  equal to  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  when  $W'_c$  is smaller or larger than 1, respectively.

As a consequence:

- $dW'_1/dt > 0$  if  $x_1/(1-x_1) < K_{BC}/K_{AC}$
- $dW_1'/dt < 0$  otherwise
- $dW_2'/dt > 0$  if  $x_2/(1-x_2) < K_{BC}/K_{AC}$
- $dW_2'/dt < 0$  otherwise

Using these inequalities, the conditions of occurrence of Scenario #2 read:

$$\frac{[B(t=0)]}{[A(t=0)]} < \frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}} < \frac{x_1}{1-x_1}$$
(26)

669 Or:

708

$$\frac{x_2}{1-x_2} < \frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}} < \frac{[B(t=0)]}{[A(t=0)]}$$
(27)

<sup>670</sup> Scenario #3 requires that the three ratios  $[B(t = 0)]/[A(t = 0)], x_1/(1 - x_1)$  and  $x_2/(1 - x_2)$  are simultaneously either smaller than  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  or larger than it. Finally, Scenario #4 takes place when the following inequalities are fulfilled:

$$\frac{x_1}{1-x_1} < \frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}} < \frac{x_2}{1-x_2} \tag{28}$$

whatever the value of [B(t=0)]/[A(t=0)]

These conditions are graphically represented in Figure 676 as a function of  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  and the degree  $A_0$  of 677 6, non-ideality of the SS. The boundaries between the zones 678 of existence of the scenarios #1, #2, #3, and #4 include 679 the vertical line  $A_0 = 2$  on the left of which only Sce-680 nario #1 takes place, and the two lines  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC} =$ 681  $\ln x_1/(1-x_1)$  and  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC} = \ln x_2/(1-x_2)$ . In be-682 tween the two latter, phase separation takes place within 683 Scenario #4. 684

Outside these regions, Scenarios #2 or #3 may take 685 place, depending on the initial conditions. When 686  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC} > \ln x_2/(1-x_2)$ , the discontinuity is not<sub>714</sub> 687 crossed (Scenario #2) if  $[B(t = 0)]/[A(t = 0)] >_{715}$ 688  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  and otherwise it is crossed (Scenario #3).716 689 Symmetrically, when  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC} < \ln x_2/(1-x_2)^{717}$ 690 the discontinuity is not crossed (Scenario #2) if  $[B(t =_{718}$ 691  $[0]/[A(t=0)] < K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  and otherwise it is crossed<sub>719</sub> 692 (Scenario #3). The difference between the two scenarios,<sub>720</sub> 693 i.e. the existence of a composition discontinuity inside<sub>721</sub> 694 the particles, is thus only fixed by the initial conditions $_{722}$ 695 in these regions. 696 723

### 697 VII. NUMERICAL SIMULATION AND 698 RELEVANT EXAMPLES

In this section, we present results of numerical simu-729 699 lations which highlight the generic characteristics of the<sub>730</sub> 700 precipitation kinetics under conditions such that scenar-731 701 ios #1, #2, #3 or #4 take place. We will make use of<sub>732</sub> 702 the same assumptions as in subsection VIB and also as-733 703 sume that the particles have a spherical shape. Although<sub>734</sub> 704 these assumptions are rather simplistic, they help provide735 705 a first insight into the precipitation characteristics of SSs<sub>736</sub> 706 of geochemical interest. 707 737

# A. Weakly non-ideal SS: precipitation Scenario $\#1_{740}^{739}$

<sup>709</sup> When the Guggenheim coefficient  $A_0 < 2$ , the SS<sup>742</sup> <sup>710</sup> which forms is weakly non-ideal. The only difference from<sup>743</sup> <sup>711</sup> truly ideal SSs lies in the corrections due to the activity<sup>744</sup> <sup>712</sup> coefficients  $\lambda_{AC}$  and  $\lambda_{BC}$ . The dynamics of precipitation<sup>745</sup> <sup>713</sup> presents many common characteristics with that of ideal<sup>746</sup>



FIG. 6: Diagram  $\ln K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  as a function of the degree  $A_0$  of non-ideality of the SS, representing the zones of existence of the scenarios #1, #2, #3, and #4. K(Cl,Br), (Mg,Fe)CO<sub>3</sub>, (Ba,Ra)SO<sub>4</sub>, (Ca,Zn)CO<sub>3</sub>, Ca(SO<sub>4</sub>,SeO<sub>4</sub>) and (Ca,Sr)CO<sub>3</sub> SSs are located in this diagram, represented by triangle-down, circle, star, diamond, square and triangle-up, respectively (see text).

SSs, which we have analyzed in a previous work (Noguera et al., 2010). Two typical examples are shown in Figure 7, for  $A_0 = 1$  and two values of the solubility product ratio  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$ .

In both cases, due to nucleation and growth, the AS is impoverished in A, B, and C species as time passes and  $I(t, x^*(t))$  decreases towards 1, until thermodynamic equilibrium is reached in the long term. The time variation of the critical nucleus composition is very dependent on the ratio  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$ . When the solubility products of the two end-members are close to each other, the range of  $x^*$  values is small and the composition profile of the particles is smooth, as exemplified in Figure 7 (left panels) where  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  was chosen equal to 1. At variance, when the two end-members have largely different solubility products,  $x^*$  varies in a larger range as shown in Figure 7 (right panels) for which  $K_{BC}/K_{AC} = 10$ . The surviving particles may display either a core-shell structure, with a smooth interface between core and shell, or nearly constant composition, depending on the initial conditions. In any case, when thermodynamic equilibrium is reached, the last surviving particle has a nonhomogeneous composition, at variance with thermodynamic models of SSs which assume an homogenenous composition.

724

725

726

727

728

738

741

 $\text{KCl}_{1-x}\text{Br}_x$  was shown to be a quasi-regular SS, with  $A_0 = 1.4$  and a very small  $A_1$  coefficient (Glynn et al., 1990) and the two end-members have a small solubility product ratio  $(K_{BC}/K_{AC} = 1.68$  (Blanc et al., 2012)). The particles formed during precipitation are thus expected to have a smooth composition profile. This is also the case for the (Ba,Ra)SO<sub>4</sub> SS with a ratio of solubility products  $K_{BC}/K_{AC}$  equal to 0.512 (Hummel



FIG. 7: From top to bottom: time dependence of the saturation state  $I(t, x^*(t))$ , time dependence of the critical nucleus composition  $x^*$  and concentration profile of the surviving particles at the end of the simulation. Left and right panels refer to  $K_{BC}/K_{AC} = 1$  and 10, respectively. All curves have been drawn for  $A_0 = 1$ ,  $A_1 = 0$ ,  $F_0 = 10^{19}$  particles per second and liter of solution,  $\sigma = 50 \text{ mJ/m}^2$  independent on composition,  $\kappa = 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}$ ,  $v_{AC} = v_{BC} = 50 \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $K_{AC} = 10^{-6}$  and initial activities:  $[A(t = 0)] = 7.10^{-4}$ ,  $[B(t = 0)] = 9.10^{-4}$ ,  $[C(t = 0)] = 10^{-2}$ .

et al., 2002). This SS has recently been studied by 747 Brandt et al. (2015) who confirmed a Guggenheim co-748 efficient  $A_0 = 1$  as theoretically predicted (Vinograd et 749 al., 2013). At variance, particles of  $Mg_{1-x}Fe_xCO_3$ , for 750 which  $A_0 = 1.8$  (Chai and Navrotsky, 1996) should dis-751 play a core-shell structure because the solubility products 752 of the end-members differ by about two orders of magni-753 tude  $(K_{BC}/K_{AC} = 0.02 \text{ (Blanc et al., 2012)})$ . The infor-754 mation for the three SSs described above are reported in 755 Figure 6. 756

# 757 B. Strongly non-ideal SS: precipitation Scenario 758 #2 without composition discontinuity

We present here simulation results for the precipita-759 tion of a strongly non-ideal SS ( $A_0 = 2.5, x_1 = 0.1448$ 760 and  $x_2 = 0.8552$ ), under conditions relevant for scenario<sub>767</sub> 761 #2 (Conditions 26 or 27). In Figure 8, initial conditions<sub>768</sub> 762 and ratios of solubility products have been chosen such<sub>769</sub> 763 that W' < 1 at t = 0 and  $dW'_1/dt < 0$ . The system<sub>770</sub> 764 thus does not encounter the composition discontinuity<sub>771</sub> 765 and the time dependence of  $x^*$  is smooth. The critical<sup>772</sup> 766



FIG. 8: From top to bottom: time dependence of W and the critical nucleus composition  $x^*$ , time dependence of the end-member average molar fractions  $q_{AC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})$  and  $q_{BC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})$ , and composition profile of long lasting particles. All curves have been drawn for  $A_0 = 2.5$ ,  $A_1 =$ 0,  $F_0 = 10^{19}$  particles per second and liter of solution,  $\sigma = 50 \text{ mJ/m}^2$  independent on composition,  $\kappa = 10^{-9} \text{ m/s}$ ,  $v_{AC} = v_{BC} = 50 \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $K_{AC} = 10^{-6}$ ,  $K_{BC} = 10^{-7}$  and initial activities:  $[A(t = 0)] = 6.10^{-4}$ ,  $[B(t = 0)] = 48.10^{-6}$ ,  $[C(t = 0)] = 10^{-2}$ .

nuclei and the deposited layers remain AC rich during the whole precipitation process, consistent with average molar fractions of the AC and BC end-members in the precipitate,  $q_{AC}/(q_{AC}+q_{BC})$  and  $q_{BC}/(q_{AC}+q_{BC})$ , close to 90% and 10%, respectively. A typical particle composition profile at the end of the process is shown in Figure



794

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

821

822

823

824

825

826

FIG. 9: From top to bottom: time dependence of W and<sub>817</sub> the critical nucleus composition  $x^*$ , time dependence of the s18 end-member average molar fractions  $q_{AC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})$  and <sup>819</sup>  $q_{BC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})$ , and composition profile of long lasting <sup>819</sup> particles. Same parameter values as in Figure 8, except<sup>820</sup>  $K_{BC} = 10^{-5}$  and  $[B(t=0)] = 48.10^{-4}$ .

8 bottom panel. The particles are non-uniform in com-827 773 position and become more AC-rich close to their surface.828 774 However, the variation of composition x between the core<sub>829</sub> 775 and the surface is small, of the order of 4%. 830 776

#### C. Strongly non-ideal SS: precipitation Scenario 777 #3 with a crossing of the composition discontinuity 778

This is another example of precipitation of a strongly 779 non-ideal SS, with the same characteristics as the pre-780 vious one  $(A_0 = 2.5, x_1 = 0.1448 \text{ and } x_2 = 0.8552),$ 781 but under conditions relevant for scenario #3, i.e. such 782 that the discontinuity in the  $x^*$  versus W' curve is met 783 and crossed (same sign of dW'/dt on both sides of the 784 discontinuity). 785

The precipitation characteristics shown in Figure 9 786 have been obtained under conditions close to those of 787 the previous subsection, except for the initial activity 788 [B(t=0)] and for the ratio of solubility products. At 789 t = 0, W' < 1 and  $dW'_1/dt > 0$ . The system thus 790 meets the composition discontinuity at the time  $t_c$  when 791 W' = 1. This clearly shows up in the time dependence 792 of  $x^*$  which displays a 70% jump, and in the slope dis-793 continuity in the time dependence of W'. Before  $t_c$ , the critical nucleus and deposited layer compositions are AC 795 rich  $(x \approx 15\%)$ . They become BC rich  $(x \approx 85\%)$  after 796 797  $t_c$ . This sudden change is reflected in the time dependence of the molar fractions of the end-members in the 798 precipitate which displays a crossing point at some time 799 posterior to  $t_c$ . The composition profile of the particles 800 at the end of the process also reflects the discontinuity 801 which has occurred at  $t_c$ . The particles have a core-shell 802 structure, with an AC-rich core and a BC-rich shell and 803 an abrupt interface between core and shell (Figure 9, bot-804 tom panel). 805

 $Ca_{1-x}Zn_xCO_3$  and  $Ca(SO_4)_{1-x}(SeO_4)_x$  SSs may display precipitation scenarios #2 or #3 depending on the initial value of the [Zn]/[Ca] or  $[SeO_4]/[SO_4]$  activity ratios. Indeed, for both SSs, the Guggenheim coefficients  $A_0$  are equal to 2.2 (Glynn and Reardon, 1990) and 2.24 (Fernández-González et al., 2006), respectively, and their solubility products locate them, respectively, in the lower and upper regions of Figure 6 where scenarios #2 or #3 take place.  $(K_{BC}/K_{AC} = 0.03 \text{ for } Ca_{1-x}Zn_xCO_3$ (Crocket and Winchester, 1966) and  $K_{BC}/K_{AC} \approx 80$ for  $Ca(SO_4)_{1-x}(SeO_4)_x$  (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999)). During their formation by precipitation, the particles are thus expected to display smooth profiles or core-shell structure depending on initial conditions which will determine whether scenarios #2 or #3 apply.

#### D. Strongly non-ideal SS: precipitation Scenario #4 with phase separation

Keeping the characteristics of the SS unchanged with respect to the two previous subsections ( $A_0 = 2.5, x_1 =$ 0.1448 and  $x_2 = 0.8552$ ), we now consider conditions relevant for scenario #4, i.e. such that the discontinuity is met but dW'/dt has opposite signs on both sides of the discontinuity. This happens if, at t = 0, W' < 1 and close to the discontinuity  $dW'_1/dt > 0$  and  $dW'_2/dt < 0$ , or if, at t = 0, W' > 1 and close to the discontinuity



FIG. 10: From top to bottom: time dependence of W, time dependence of the critical nucleus composition  $x^*$  (when  $t <^{878}$  $t_c$ ) or  $\overline{x} = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha) x_2$  (when  $t > t_c$ ); time dependence of the end-member average molar fractions  $q_{AC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})^{880}$ and  $q_{BC}/(q_{AC} + q_{BC})$ ; composition profile of a long lasting<sup>881</sup> particle. Same parameter values as in Figure 8, except  $K_{BC} =$ <sup>882</sup>  $5.10^{-6}$  and  $[B(t = 0)] = 24.10^{-4}$ .

<sup>831</sup>  $dW'_1/dt < 0$  and  $dW'_2/dt > 0$  (Condition 28).

For the example shown in Figure 10, initial conditions\*\*7 832 and ratios of solubility products have been chosen so that\*\*\* 833 W' < 1 at t = 0, and simultaneously  $dW'_1/dt > 0$  and set 834  $dW_2^\prime/dt < 0$  at the discontinuity. All other parameters<sup>890</sup> 835 are equal to those of the preceding examples. The system<sup>891</sup> 836 encounters the composition discontinuity at a time  $t_{c.892}$ 837 The conflicting variations of W' on the left and right of 893 838 the discontinuity force W' to remain constant and equal<sub>894</sub> 839

to 1 at all posterior times (Figure 10, top panel). For 840 all times  $t > t_c$ , the ratio of B and A activities remains 841 constant  $([B(t)]/[A(t)] = K_{BC}/K_{AC})$ , although the sat-842 uration states  $I_{AC}$  and  $I_{BC}$  of the AS with respect to 843 the end-members decrease. Phase separation between 844 phases of compositions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  takes place at  $t > t_c$ , 845 with relative amounts  $\alpha$  and  $1 - \alpha$  (Eq. 61 in Appendix 846 E). It is not easy to tell how the two phases will be spa-847 tially organized, but an average composition in the criti-848 cal nucleus or the instantaneous deposited layers may be 849 defined at each time  $t > t_c$  as  $\overline{x} = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha) x_2$ . Be-850 cause  $\alpha$  remains constant, the same is true for  $\overline{x}$ , which 851 in the present example is equal to 0.833. Because it is 852 closer to  $x_2$  than to  $x_1$ , the end-member molar fractions 853  $q_{AC}/(q_{AC}+q_{BC})$  and  $q_{BC}/(q_{AC}+q_{BC})$  strongly vary for 854  $t > t_c$  and tend to approximately  $1 - \alpha$  and  $\alpha$ , respec-855 tively, in the long term. A typical particle profile is shown 856 in the lowest panel of Figure 10, highlighting a core-shell 857 structure and an abrupt interface between them. 858

<sup>859</sup> Ca<sub>1-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is a strongly non-ideal SS characterized <sup>860</sup> by a Guggenheim coefficient equal to 5.5 (Casey et al., <sup>861</sup> 1996), indicating poor solubility of Sr in aragonite and <sup>862</sup> a miscibility gap occupying most of the phase diagram <sup>863</sup>  $(x_1 \approx 0.004 \text{ and } x_2 \approx 0.996)$ . Whatever the initial con-<sup>864</sup> ditions of precipitation, a phase separation is expected, <sup>865</sup> as in the example shown in Figure 10.

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

884

885

886

We have developed a formalism which describes the precipitation kinetics of non-ideal SSs from an initially supersaturated AS. It treats the time evolution of the AS composition and the formation, growth or redissolution of particles. It extends our previous work, which was restricted to ideal SSs, spherical particles and homogeneous nucleation. The formalism is relevant to both mineral SSs and bimetallic nanoparticle formation. To our knowledge, it is the first time, in the fields of both geochemistry and metallic alloys, that these out-of-equilibrium processes are fully taken into account for non-ideal SSs.

This work highlights how particle composition and size vary with time, resulting in composition profiles which may be smooth or discontinuous, depending on the Guggenheim parameter values which drive the nonideality of the SSs, and the ratio of the solubility products of the end-members. We have shown that even for strongly non-ideal SSs, phase separation is not the general case and that other scenarios may take place. We have specified their characteristics and under which conditions they may be encountered. Numerical simulations have been performed to exemplify them for a regular SS, under a few simplifying assumptions, and qualitative predictions of the precipitation characteristics of some mineral SSs have been made.

The development of the NANOKIN code to include these new functionalities is presently under progress, and its application to a realistic precipitation process will be the subject of a forthcoming paper. In the context of
water-rock interactions, our work provides enhanced possibilities for analyzing precipitation processes for various
SS types, such as carbonates, sulfates or clay minerals,
among others.

# Appendix A: Condition of stoichiometric satu ration

In this appendix, we analyze the mathematical properties of the function  $-\ln I(x) = \ln K(x) - \ln Q(x)$  whose minimum determines the stoichiometric saturation condition (Eq. 10 in the text).  $-\ln I(x)$  reads:

$$-\ln I(x) = -(1-x)\ln I_{AC} - x\ln I_{BC} +x(1-x)[A_0 + A_1(2x-1)] +x\ln x + (1-x)\ln(1-x)$$
(29)

906 Or:

$$-\ln\frac{I(x)}{I_{AC}} = -x\ln W + x(1-x)[A_0 + A_1(2x-1)] + x\ln x + (1-x)\ln(1-x)$$
(30)

after introducing the ratio W of the saturation states of the AS with respect to the pure end-members:

$$W = \frac{I_{BC}}{I_{AC}} \tag{31}_{933}^{932}$$

940

941

<sup>909</sup> In the following we analyze the variations of the func-<sup>935</sup> <sup>910</sup> tion f(x) equal to the right hand side of Eq. 30. Its first<sup>936</sup> <sup>911</sup> derivative is: <sup>937</sup> <sup>938</sup>

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \ln \frac{x}{W(1-x)} + A_0(1-2x) - A_1(1-6x+6x^2) (32)^{939}$$

<sup>912</sup> and its second derivative is:

$$\frac{d^2 f(x)}{dx^2} = -2A_0 + 6A_1(1-2x) + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{1-x} \qquad (33)_{_{943}}^{_{942}}$$

There are regions of the parameter space  $\{A_0, A_1\}^{945}$ where  $d^2 f(x)/dx^2 > 0$  whatever x. In that case,  $f(x)^{946}$ is a convex function, with a single minimum. This hap-<sup>947</sup> pens, for example when  $A_1 = 0$  and  $A_0 < 2$ . Otherwise,<sup>948</sup> f(x) may display one minimum, or two minima and a<sup>949</sup> maximum, depending upon the value of W. Figure 11 exemplifies this latter case when  $A_0 = 2.5^{951}$ 

and  $A_1 = 0$ . At low or high values of W (typically less<sup>952</sup> 920 than 0.86 or more than 1.14), f(x) has a single minimum<sup>953</sup> 921 at x smaller or larger than 0.5, respectively. For inter- $^{954}$ 922 mediate values of W, f(x) has two minima. The compo-955 923 sition  $x_{st}$  which corresponds to stoichiometric saturation<sup>956</sup> 924 is the one for which f(x) is the lowest.  $x_{st}$  displays a dis-925 continuity between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  at a critical value  $W_c = 1$ 926 for which  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . This behavior is represented in 927 Figure 1 in the main text. 928

Similar reasoning for different values of  $A_0$  and  $A_{1957}$ leads to Figure 2, which shows that  $W_c$  remains equal<sub>958</sub>



FIG. 11: Curve representative of f(x) (right hand side of Eq. 30) for  $A_0 = 2.5$ ,  $A_1 = 0$  and W = 0.5, 1. and 1.5. The three curves exemplify the cases when f(x) presents a single minimum at small value of x, two degenerate minima or a single minimum at large x value. The diamonds on the curves mark the positions of the minima and the cross the maximum.

to 1 whatever the value of  $A_0 > 2$  if  $A_1 = 0$ , but varies with  $A_0$  when  $A_1 \neq 0$ .

Finally, the limiting values of  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  between regions of discontinuities in  $x_{st}$  and regions where it varies smoothly, are obtained from the condition that simultaneously  $d^2 f(x)/dx^2 = 0$  and, when increasing W, df(x)/dx = 0 has, for the first time, three roots. They are represented in Figure 3, bottom panel in the main text.

### Appendix B: Precipitation of particles with various shapes

The formalism associated with the homogeneous nucleation and growth of spherical particles has been presented in the main text. However, most solids, except amorphous ones, are non-isotropic and their external shape, which departs from the sphere, reflects the relative energies of their low index faces, as recognized by Wulff (Müller and Kern, 2000 and references therein). Indeed, Wulff theorem states that, at equilibrium, the distance from the center of a particle to its external facets is proportional to the surface energy of these facets. For example, according to Wulff theorem, the aspect ratio of tetragonal particles (basal dimensions  $l \times l$  and thickness e, Figure 12), is given by the ratio between the surface energies of the basal and lateral faces ( $\sigma_{bas}$  and  $\sigma_{lat}$ , respectively):

$$\frac{e}{l} = \frac{\sigma_{bas}}{\sigma_{lat}} \tag{34}$$

This result can be extended to the case of particles in equilibrium with a substrate on which they lie on their

basal face. In this case,  $\sigma_{bas}$  is relevant for the face in<sub>974</sub> 959 contact with the AS, and  $\sigma_{bas} - W_{adh}$  for the one in975 960 contact with the substrate  $(W_{adh}$  the adhesion energy). 976 961 Their aspect ratio is then given by the Wulff-Kaishev977 962 theorem: 963

$$\frac{e}{l} = \frac{\sigma_{bas} - W_{adh}/2}{\sigma_{lat}} \tag{35}_{g7}^{97}$$

If, instead of the basal face, one of the lateral  $\mathrm{faces}_{\scriptscriptstyle 981}$ 964 is in contact with the substrate, their equilibrium shape 965 involves three inequivalent dimensions l, l' et e. The ratio<sup>982</sup> 966 between these lengths is then equal to: 967

$$\frac{e}{\sigma_{bas}} = \frac{l}{\sigma_{lat}} = \frac{l'}{\sigma_{lat} - W_{adh}/2} \tag{36}_{get}^{get}$$

The corresponding expression for rhombohedral parti-986 968 cles lying on a substrate on their basal face is: 987 969

$$\frac{e}{l} = \sqrt{3} \frac{\sigma_{bas} - W_{adh}/2}{\sigma_{lat}}$$
 (37)<sup>989</sup>

Similar reasoning can be done for other particle shapes. 970



FIG. 12: Representation of rhombohedral, tetragonal  $and_{009}$ spherical cap particle shapes (from top to bottom). 1010

With these elements in mind, one can consider homo-1012 971 geneous as well as heterogeneous nucleation of particles<sub>1013</sub> 972 assumed to have the Wulff (homogeneous nucleation) OR014 973

Wulff-Kaishev (heterogeneous nucleation) shapes (Müller and Kern, 2000). The generalized expression of the change in Gibbs free energy for the formation of a critical nucleus then reads:

$$\Delta G(n,x) = -nk_B T \ln I(x) + n^{2/3} v(x)^{2/3} X \overline{\sigma} \qquad (38)$$

The geometric factor X and the average surface energy  $\overline{\sigma}$ have the following expressions for simple nucleus shapes and for homogeneous or heterogeneous nucleation (Fritz et al., 2009):

• spherical particles:

980

990

991

992

1001

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1011

$$X = (36\pi\Phi(\theta))^{1/3} \quad ; \ \overline{\sigma} = \sigma \tag{39}$$

 $\theta$  is the wetting angle with the substrate, given by the Young-Dupré equation  $-\sigma \cos \theta = \sigma - W_{adh}$ , and  $\Phi(\theta) = (1 - \cos \theta)^2 (2 + \cos \theta)/4$ . For a strong adhesion to the substrate, the wetting angle is equal to  $0^{\circ}$ , and it is equal to  $180^{\circ}$  when no wetting occurs (which is also the case for homogeneous nucleation).

• tetragonal particles lying on their basal face:

$$X = 6 \quad ; \quad \overline{\sigma} = \left(\sigma_{lat}^2(\sigma_{bas} - W_{adh}/2)\right)^{1/3} \qquad (40)$$

• tetragonal particles lying on their lateral face:

$$X = 6 \quad ; \ \overline{\sigma} = (\sigma_{lat}\sigma_{bas}(\sigma_{lat} - W_{adh}/2))^{1/3} \tag{41}$$

• hexagonal particles lying on their basal face:

$$X = 36^{1/3}\sqrt{3} \; ; \; \overline{\sigma} = \left(\sigma_{lat}^2(\sigma_{bas} - W_{adh}/2)\right)^{1/3} \quad (42)$$

To obtain the time evolution of all dimensions during 993 growth, one assumes that the particles keep their equilib-994 rium shape, which allows the use of equations similar to 995 20 and 22 of the main text for one dimension, deduction 996 of the others from the relationships written above for the 997 ratios between e, l and l', and estimation of the volume 998 V of the particle and finally the number of formula units 999 n = V/v.1000

#### Appendix C: Precipitation of a SS with composition dependent surface energy 1002

This appendix specifies the modifications to introduce in the formalism which describes SS precipitation when their surface energy depends on composition. The main difference from the simplified treatment, presented in the main text, comes from the existence of surface enrichment effects  $n_{ACs}$  and  $n_{BCs}$  of AC and BC composition. Starting from a reference state in which the nuclei have a sharp boundary with the aqueous solution (Gibbs dividing surface), such surface excess quantities have to be introduced, so that the Gibbs adsorption equation can be fulfilled (Adamson, 1960; Laaksonen et al., 1999; Noppel et al., 2002; Gaman et al., 2005).

The change in Gibbs free energy  $\Delta G(n, x)$  for nucle<sub>1050</sub> change the dimensions of a particle  $\delta \Delta G(x)$  reads: 1015 ation now reads: 1016

$$\Delta G(n,x) = -nk_B T \ln I(x) + n^{2/3} v(x)^{2/3} X \overline{\sigma(x)}$$
$$-n_{BCs} k_B T \ln(\frac{I_{BC}}{x\lambda_{BC}(x)}) - n_{ACs} k_B T \ln(\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x)\lambda_{AC}(x)})$$
$$(43)_{051}$$

1052

1063

The X parameter and the average surface energy  $\overline{q}_{1053}$ 1017 have been defined in Appendix B for particles of various  $_{1054}$ 1018 shapes. Here  $\overline{\sigma}$  is explicitly a function of x. The two last 1019 terms in Eq. 43 involve surface excess quantities  $n_{AC_{\frac{1}{9056}}}$ 1020 and  $n_{BCs}$ , multiplied by the corresponding changes in  $\frac{1}{1057}$ 1021 chemical potential  $\Delta \mu_{AC}$  and  $\Delta \mu_{BC}$ . The surface ex-1022 cesses are algebraic quantities, which can take positive  $\frac{1}{1059}$ 1023 as well as negative values. 1024

The expression of the critical nuclei size  $n_m(x)$ , ob-1025 tained from the maximum of  $\Delta G(n, x)$  with respect to 1026 x is the same as in Equation 13. In order to obtain the 1027 critical nucleus composition, via the maximum of the nu-1028 cleation rate, the derivative of  $\Delta G_m(x)$  with respect to 1029 x has to be performed, with  $\Delta G_m(x) = \Delta G(n_m(x), x)$ 1030 equal to: 1031

$$\frac{\Delta G_m(x)}{k_B T} = \frac{u(x)}{\ln^2 I(x)} - n_{BCs} k_B T \ln\left(\frac{I_{BC}}{x \lambda_{BC}(x)}\right) - n_{ACs} k_B T \ln\left(\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x) \lambda_{AC}(x)}\right)$$
(44)<sub>062</sub>

and u(x) given in Equation 13. The part which de<sub>1064</sub> 1032 pends on  $d\sigma(x)/dx$  and the excess quantities  $n_{ACs}$  and  $d_{065}$ 1033  $n_{BCs}$  vanishes, because it represents the Gibbs adsorp\_{1066} 1034 tion isotherm equation (Adamson, 1960): 1035

$$n(x)^{2/3}v(x)^{2/3}X\frac{d\overline{\sigma(x)}}{dx} = -n_{ACs}\frac{d\Delta\mu_{AC}}{dx} - n_{BCs}\frac{d\Delta\mu_{BC}}{dx}$$
(45)067

A particularly simple choice for the Gibbs dividing sur-1036 face is that for which the surface energy does not de<sup>4069</sup> 1037 pend upon the curvature of the surface, in which caseoro 1038 the surface excesses fulfill the relationship  $n_{ACs}v_{AC}$  +1071 1039  $n_{BCs}v_{BC} = 0$  (Laaksonen et al., 1999). Associated with<sup>072</sup> 1040 Eq. 45, it leads to the following values of  $n_{ACs}$  and  $n_{BCs}$ <sup>1073</sup> 1041 1074

$$n_{ACs}(x) = \frac{n(x)^{2/3} X x (1-x) v_{BC}}{k_B T v(x)^{1/3} [1-2x(1-x) \{A_0+A_1(6x-3)\}]} * \frac{d\overline{\sigma(x)}}{dx} \frac{1075}{1076}$$

$$n_{BCs}(x) = -\frac{n(x)^{2/3} X x (1-x) v_{AC}}{k_B T v(x)^{1/3} [1-2x(1-x) \{A_0+A_1(6x-3)\}]} * \frac{d\overline{\sigma(x)}}{dx}$$

$$(46)$$

They depend on x and are proportional to the critical<sup>077</sup> 1042 nucleus area, while n(x) is proportional to the nucleus 1043 volume. They vanish if the surface energy is composition 1044 independent. In the absence of detailed information on 1045 the x dependence of  $\sigma(x)$ , a linear law may be assumed 1046 between the end-member values of  $\sigma$ . 1047

Excess quantities also contribute to the variation of 078 1048 Gibbs free energy during growth. The energetic cost tao79 1049

$$\delta \Delta G(x) = -\frac{\delta V}{v(x)} k_B T \ln I(x) + \delta E_s$$
$$-\delta n_{BCs} k_B T \ln(\frac{I_{BC}}{x \lambda_{BC}(x)})$$
$$-\delta n_{ACs} k_B T \ln(\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x) \lambda_{AC}(x)})$$
(47)

It is related to its change of volume  $\delta V$ , its change of total surface energy  $\delta E_s$  (now a function of x through  $\overline{\sigma(x)}$ ), and its change in excess surface quantities  $\delta n_{ACs}$ and  $\delta n_{BCs}$ . In the minimization of  $\delta \Delta G(x)$ , the part which depends on  $d\sigma(x)/dx$  and the excess quantities  $\delta n_{ACs}$  and  $\delta n_{BCs}$  is formally similar to that written for nucleation, and yields similar expressions for  $\delta n_{ACs}$  and  $\delta n_{BCs}$  (Equation 46).

Finally, excess quantities have to be taken into account in the feed-back equations (M=AC or BC):

$$q_M(t) = \int_0^t F(t_1)(n^*(t_1) - 1)X_M(t_1)dt_1 + \int_0^t F(t_1)dt_1 \int_{t_1}^t dt_3 \frac{dn(t_1, t_3)}{dt_3}X_M(t_3) + \int_0^t F(t_1)n_{Ms}(t_1)dt_1$$
(48)

Appendix D: Composition of the critical nuclei The methodology to determine the composition  $x^*$  of the critical nucleus is very similar to that used to find the composition  $x_{st}$  of the SS at stoichiometric saturation (Appendix A). Indeed,  $x^*$  is obtained from the minimum of the nucleation barrier  $\Delta G_m(x)$ :

$$\frac{\Delta G_m(x)}{k_B T} = \frac{u(x)}{\ln^2 I(x)} \propto \left(\frac{v(x)}{\ln I(x)}\right)^2 \tag{49}$$

In the right hand side of this equality, we have evidenced the terms which depend on x. Minimizing  $\Delta G_m(x)$  with respect to x thus amounts to minimizing  $-[\ln I(x)]/v(x)$ .

By comparison with Appendix A, it first appears obvious that the composition  $x^*$  of the critical nucleus is equal to  $x_{st}$  when the formula unit volume v(x) of the SS is independent on x, because then only the minimization of  $-\ln I(x)$  must be found. Moreover, we note that the minimum of  $-[\ln I(x)]/v(x)$  coincides with that of the function g(x) equal to:

$$g(x) = -\frac{\ln I(x)}{v(x)} + \frac{\ln I_{AC}}{v_{AC}}$$
(50)

g(x) can also be written:

$$v(x)g(x) = x \ln x + (1-x) \ln(1-x) +x(1-x)[A_0 + A_1(2x-1)] - x \ln W'$$
(51)

Aside from the parameters  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ , it depends on the ratio  $z = v_{BC}/v_{AC}$  of the end-member formula unit

volumes  $(v(x) = v_{AC}[1-x+zx])$  and on the composition<sup>116</sup> which, after some algebra and using Eq. 54, may be 1080 of the aqueous solution, which enters in a compact way<sup>117</sup> recast under the following form: 1081 via the ratio  $W' = I_{BC}/I_{AC}^z$ . 1082

The derivative of g(x) is such that: 1083

$$\frac{v(x)^2}{v_{AC}} \frac{dg(x)}{dx} = \ln x - z \ln(1-x) - \ln W' +A_0 \left[ (1-x)^2 - zx^2 \right] +A_1 \left[ 4x^3(1-z) + 3x^2(z-3) + 6x - 1 \right]$$
(52)

The terms which depend on  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  turn out to be 1084 equal to  $\ln \lambda_{BC} - z \ln \lambda_{AC}$ , so that equating dg(x)/dx to zero leads to the implicit equation which determines  $x^{*}_{122}$ 1085 1086 1122 (Equation 16 in the main text): 1087 1123

$$\left(\frac{I_{AC}}{(1-x^*)\lambda_{AC}(x^*)}\right)^{v_{BC}} = \left(\frac{I_{BC}}{x^*\lambda_{BC}(x^*)}\right)^{v_{AC}} \quad (53)_{^{1126}}^{^{1124}}$$

The composition  $x^*$  of the critical nucleus is thus ob<sub>1127</sub> 1088 tained when simultaneously dg(x)/d(x) = 0 and g(x) is<sup>128</sup> 1089 minimal. The discussion proceeds along steps similar to<sup>129</sup> 1090 those relevant for  $x_{st}$ . Depending upon the regions of pa<sup>1130</sup> 1091 rameter space  $\{A_0, A_1\}$  (which now depends on z), g(x)1092 may be a convex function with a single minimum. Al-1093 ternatively, it may display one minimum, or two minima 1094 and a maximum, depending upon the value of W'. When 1095 the latter case occurs, the composition of the critical nu-1096 clei is equal to the root x which corresponds to the lowest 1131 1097 value of g(x). 1098

#### Appendix E: Conditions of occurrence of the 1099 various scenarios of precipitation 1100

In this appendix, we derive formal relationships allow-1101 ing the determination of dW'/dt, a crucial quantity to 1102 assess which scenario will take place. Moreover, we spec-1103 ify the relative percentage of each phase when phase sep $^{1133}$ 1104 aration takes place in Scenario #4. 1105

We recast the feed-back equation under the following  $^{1135}_{1136}$ 1106 form: 1107 1137

$$\frac{d[A]}{dt} = -D_0(t)(1 - x^*(t)) + D_A(t)$$
<sup>1138</sup>

$$\frac{d[B]}{dt} = -D_0(t)x^*(t) + D_B(t)$$

$$\frac{d[C]}{dt} = -D_0(t) + D_C(t)$$
<sup>1140</sup>
<sup>114</sup>

In these expressions,  $D_0(t)$  represents the time deriva<sub>1141</sub> 1108 tive of the number of formula units with drawn from the  $_{\!\!142}$ 1109 AS with composition  $x^*$ , while  $D_A(t)$ ,  $D_B(t)$  and  $D_C(t)_{143}$ 1110 are the variations of A, B and C activities due to either<sub>1144</sub> 1111 particle redissolution (thus with a surface composition 1112 different from  $x^*(t)$  or dissolution/precipitation of other 1113 minerals present in the AS. 1114

The time derivative  $\ln W'$  reads: 1115

$$\frac{1}{W'}\frac{dW'}{dt} = \frac{1}{[B]}\frac{d[B]}{dt} - \frac{z}{[A]}\frac{d[A]}{dt} + \frac{(1-z)}{[C]}\frac{d[C]}{dt} \quad (55)_{1145}$$

$$\frac{1}{W'}\frac{dW'}{dt} = W'' - D_0(t)\left(\frac{x^*(t)}{[B]} - \frac{z(1-x^*(t))}{[A]}\right)$$
(56)

with:

$$W'' = \frac{D_B}{[B]} - \frac{zD_A}{[A]} + \frac{(1-z)D_C}{[C]} - D_0(t)\frac{(1-z)}{[C]}$$
(57)

We have separated the contribution W" to dW'/dt which does not present a discontinuity, from the one (second term on the right hand side of Eq. 56) which does present a discontinuity, due to the jump of  $x^*(t)$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . In Eqs. 54, 56 and 57, all terms relative to [C] have to be skipped when precipitation of bimetallic  $A_{1-x}B_x$ particles is considered.

Whenever Scenario #4 takes place, the cancellation of dW'/dt when  $W' = W_c$  yields the relative amounts  $D_0(t)\alpha$  and  $D_0(t)(1-\alpha)$  of the two SSs with composition  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  when phase separation occurs. From Eq. 56, one obtains:

$$\frac{1}{W'}\frac{dW'}{dt} = 0 = W'' - D_0(t)\alpha \left(\frac{x_1}{[B]} - \frac{z(1-x_1)}{[A]}\right) -D_0(t)(1-\alpha) \left(\frac{x_2}{[B]} - \frac{z(1-x_2)}{[A]}\right)$$
(58)

and thus:

$$\alpha = \frac{W'' - D_0(t) \left(\frac{x_2}{[B]} - \frac{z(1-x_2)}{[A]}\right)}{D_0(t)(x_1 - x_2) \left[\frac{1}{[B]} + \frac{z}{[A]}\right]}$$
(59)

All these expressions may be easily evaluated numerically to assess which is the scenario relevant for the case under study and, in the case where Scenario #4 applies, Eq. 59 gives the extent of phase separation and its time dependence.

In particular, under the assumptions made in Sections VIB and VII, dW'/dt takes the simplified form:

$$\frac{1}{W'}\frac{dW'}{dt} \propto \left(\frac{x^*(t)}{[B]} - \frac{z(1-x^*(t))}{[A]}\right)$$
(60)

which allows the slope discontinuity between  $W' < W'_c$ and  $W > W'_c$  to be evaluated by replacing  $x^*$  by  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ , respectively. Moreover, under the same assumptions, when phase separation takes place, the relative percentages  $\alpha$  and  $(1 - \alpha)$  of the two SSs with composition  $x_1$ and  $x_2$  are given by:

$$\alpha = -\frac{\left(x_2 - (1 - x_2)\frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}}\right)}{\left(1 - 2x_2\right)\left(1 + \frac{K_{BC}}{K_{AC}}\right)}$$
(61)

and are independent of time.

1202

Adamson, A.W., 1960. Physical chemistry of surfaces<sup>1204</sup> 1147 1205 Interscience Publishers. 1148

Astilleros, J.M., Pina, C.M., Fernández-Díaz, L., Put<sup>1206</sup> 1149 nis, A., 2003. Nanoscale growth of solids crystallising<sup>207</sup> 1150 from multicomponent aqueous solutions. Surface Science<sup>208</sup> 1151 545, L767-L773. 1209 1152

Astilleros, J.M., Pina, C.M., Fernández-Díaz, L., Pri<sup>1210</sup> 1153 eto, M., Putnis, A., 2006. Nanoscale phenomena during<sup>211</sup> 1154 the growth of solid solutions on calcite  $10\overline{1}4$  surfaces<sup>1212</sup> 1155 Chemical Geology 225, 322-335. 1213 1156

Aziz, M.J., 1988. Non-equilibrium interface kinet<sup>1214</sup> 1157 ics during rapid solidification: theory and experiment.<sup>1215</sup> 1158 1216 Mater. Sci. Eng. 98, 369-372. 1159

Baronnet, A., 1982. Ostwald ripening in solution. The<sup>217</sup> 1160 case of calcite and mica. Estudios Geol. 38, 185-198. 1218 1161

Benisek, A., Dachs, E., 2012. A relationship to esti<sup>1219</sup> 1162 mate the excess entropy of mixing; Application in silicate<sup>220</sup> 1163 solid solutions and binary alloys. J. of Alloys and Com<sup>1221</sup> 1164 1222 pounds 527, 127-131. 1165

Blanc, Ph., Lassin, A., Piantone, P., Azaroual, M.<sup>1223</sup> 1166 Jacquemet, N., Fabbri, A., Gaucher, E.C., 2012. Ther<sup>1224</sup> 1167 moddem: A geochemical database focused on low tem<sup>1225</sup> 1168 perature water/rock interactions and waste materials.<sup>1226</sup> 1169 Applied Geochemistry Vol. 27, Issue 10, 2107-2116. 1227 1170

Börjesson, S., Emren, A., Ekberg, C., 1997. A ther<sup>1228</sup> 1171 modynamic model for the calcium silicate hydrate gel<sup>229</sup> 1172 modelled as non-ideal binary sold solutions. Cement and<sup>230</sup> 1173 Concrete Research Vol. 27, N° 11, 1649-1657. 1231 1174

Brandt, F., Curti, E., Klinkenberg, M., Rozov<sup>1232</sup> 1175 K., Bosbach, D., 2015. Replacement of barite by a<sup>233</sup> 1176 (Ba,Ra)SO<sub>4</sub> solid solution at close-to-equilibrium condi<sup>1234</sup> 1177 tions: A combined experimental and theoretical study<sup>1235</sup> 1178 Geochimica et Cosmochim. Acta 155, 1-15. 1236 1179

Burton, W.K., Cabrera, N., Frank, F., 1951. The<sup>237</sup> 1180 growth of crystals and the equilibrium structure of their<sup>238</sup> 1181 surfaces. Philos Trans R Soc 243, 299-358. 1239 1182

Casey, W.H., Chai, L., Navrotsky, A., Rock1240 1183 P.A., 1996. Thermochemistry of mixing strontian4241 1184 ite  $[SrCO_3(s)]$  and aragonite  $[CaCO_3(s)]$  to form242 1185  $Ca_x Sr_{1-x}(CO_3)(s)$  solid solutions. Geochim. Cos1243 1186 mochim. Acta 60, 933-940. 1187 1244

Chai, L., Navrotsky, A., 1996. Synthesis, characteri+245 1188 zation, and enthalpy of mixing of the (Fe,Mg)CO3 solid<sup>246</sup> 1189 solution. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 60, 4377-4383. 1247 1190

Crocket, J.H., Winchester, J.W., 1966. Coprecipita<sup>4248</sup> 1191 tion of zinc with calcium carbonate. Geochim. et Cos+249 1192 mochim. Acta. 30, 1093-1109. 1250 1193

Doerner, H.A., Hoskins, W.M., 1925. Coprecipitation251 1194 of radium and barium sulfates. J. Amer. Chem. Soc1252 1195 47, 662-675. 1196 1253

Drever, J.I., 1984. The Chemistry of Weathering. D<sub>1254</sub> 1197 Reidel Publishing Co. 324p. 1255 1198

Fernández-González, A., Andara, A., Alía, J.M.<sup>1256</sup> 1199 Prieto, M., 2006. Miscibility in the CaSO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>1257</sub> 1200 CaSeO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O system: Implications for the crystallisa<sub>1258</sub> 1201

tion and dehydration behaviour. Chem. Geol. 225, 256-265.

Ferrando, R., Jellinek, J., Johnston, R.L., 2008. Nanoalloys: From Theory to Applications of Alloy Clusters and Nanoparticles. Chem. Rev. 108, 845-910.

Fritz, B., Clément, A., Amal, Y., Noguera, C., 2009. Simulation of the nucleation and growth of simple clay minerals in weathering processes : the NANOKIN Code. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta. 73, 1340-1358.

Gaman, A.I., Napari, I., Winkler, P.M., Vehkamäki, H., Wagner, P.E., Strey, R., Viisanen, Y., Kulmala, M., 2005. Homogeneous nucleation of n-nonane and npropanol mixtures: A comparison of classical nucleation theory and experiments. J. Chem. Phys. 123, 244502-244512.

Ganguly, J., 2001. in Solid Solutions in Silicate and Oxide systems, Ed. Geiger C. (EMU notes in Mineralogy, Eötvös University Press, Budapest), chapter 3, 37-69.

Geiger, C., 2001. Solid Solutions in Silicate and Oxide systems. (EMU notes in Mineralogy, Eötvös University Press, Budapest).

Glynn P. D., 1991. MBSSAS: a code for the computation of Margules parameters and equilibrium relations in binary solid-solution aqueous solution systems. Computers and Geosciences 17, 907-966.

Glynn, P.D., Reardon, E.J., 1990. Solid-solution aqueous-solution equilibria: Thermodynamic theory and representation. Am. J. Sci. 290, 164-201.

Glynn, P.D., Reardon, E.J., Plummer L. N., Busenberg E., 1990. Reaction paths and equilibrium end-points in solid-solution aqueous-solution systems. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 54, 267-282.

Guggenheim, E.A., 1937. Theoretical basis of Raoult's Law. Trans Faraday Soc. 33, 151-159.

Hummel, W., Berner, U., Curti, E., Pearson, F.J. and Thoenen, T., 2002 Nagra/PSI chemical thermodynamic data base 01/01. Nagra Technical Report 02-16.

Katsikopoulos, D., Fernandez-Gonzalez, A., Prieto, M., 2009. Precipitation and mixing properties of the "disordered" (Mn,Ca)CO3 solid solution. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 73, 6147-6161.

Kulik, D.A., Vinograd, V.L., Paulsen, N., Winckler, B., 2010.  $(Ca,Sr)CO_3$  aqueous solid-solution systems : From atomistic simulations to thermodynamic modelling. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth 35, 217-232.

Laaksonen, A., McGraw, R., Vehkamäki, H., 1999. Liquid-drop formalism and free-energy surfaces in binary homogeneous nucleation theory. J. Chem. Phys. 111, 2019-2027.

Lasaga, A.C., 1984. Chemical kinetics of water-rock interactions. J. Geophys. Research 86, B6, 4009-4025.

Lichtner, P.C., Carey, J.W., 2006. Incorporating solid solutions in reactive transport equations using a kinetic discrete-composition approach. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 70, 1356-1378.

Lifschitz, I.M., Slyozov, V.V., 1961. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 19, 35.

1146

Lippmann, F., 1980. Phase diagrams depicting the<sup>317</sup>
aqueous solubility of binary mineral systems. N. Jahrbi<sup>318</sup>
Mineral. Abh. 139, 1-25.

Lippmann, F., 1982. Stable and metastable solubility<sub>320</sub> diagrams for the system CaCO<sub>3</sub>-MgCO<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O at ordi<sub>1321</sub> nary temperature. Bull. Mineral. 105, 273-279.

Lyapunov, A.M., 1992. The General Problem of the<sup>323</sup> Stability of Motion (A. T. Fuller translation.) Taylor &<sup>4324</sup> Francis, London.

Madé, B., Clément, A., Fritz, B., 1994. Modelling<sub>326</sub>
mineral/solution interactions : the thermodynamic and<sub>327</sub>
kinetic code KINDISP. Comp. Geosci. 20 (9), 1347-163<sub>1328</sub>
Major, K.J., De, C., Obare, S.O., 2009. Recent Ad<sub>1329</sub>
vances in the Synthesis of Plasmonic Bimetallic Nanopar<sub>1330</sub>

ticles. Plasmonics 4, 61-78.

Markov, I.V., 1995. Crystal growth for Beginners1332
fundamentals of nucleation, crystal growth and epitaxy1333
World Scientific (Singapore, New Jersey, London, Hong334
Kong).

1331

Matsumoto, N., Kitamura, M., 2001. Effective distribution coefficients of a binary ideal solid solution controlled by kink kinetics. J. of Crystal Growth 222, 667-676.

Matsumoto, K. , Irisawa, T., Kitamura, M.<sup>1340</sup>
Yokoyama, E., Kumagai, Y., Kouhkitu, A., 2005. Effect<sup>1341</sup>
tive distribution of an ideal solid solution crystal : Mont<sup>6342</sup>
Carlo simulation. J. of Crystal Growth 276, 635-642. <sup>1343</sup>

Meunier, A., Velde, B., 1989. Solid solutions in illite/semctite mixed layer minerals and illite. Amer. Min-1345 eralogist 74, 1106-1112.

Millot, G., 1970. Geology of Clays. Translated from<sub>347</sub> french. Springer-Verlag, 425p. 1348

Müller, P., Kern, R., 2000. Equilibrium nanoshape<sup>349</sup>
changes induced by epitaxila stress (generalized Wulff<sup>350</sup>
Kaishef theorem). Surf. Sci. 457, 229-253.

1294 Mullin, J.W., 1993. Crystallization. (Butterworth-1352 1295 Heinemann). 1353

Noguera, C., Fritz, B., Clément, A., Baronnet, A.<sup>354</sup>
2006a. Nucleation, growth and ageing in closed systems<sup>355</sup>
I: a unified model for precipitation in solution, conden<sup>4356</sup>
sation in vapor phase and crystallization in the melt. J<sup>1357</sup>
of Crystal Growth 297, 180-186.

Noguera, C., Fritz, B., Clément, A., Baronnet, A.,<sup>359</sup>
2006b. Nucleation, growth and ageing in closed systems<sup>360</sup>
II : dynamics of formation of a new phase. J. of Crystal<sup>361</sup>
Growth 297, 187-198.

Noguera,, C., Fritz, B., Clément, A., Amal, Y., 20101363
 Simulation of the nucleation and growth of binary solid364
 solutions in aqueous solutions. Chem Geol. 269, 89-99. 1365

Noguera, C., Fritz, B., Clément, A., 2012. A Theoreti<sup>4366</sup>
cal Treatment of the Precipitation of Doubly Substituted<sup>367</sup>
Solid Solutions in Aqueous Solutions. Cryst. Growth & 368
Des. 12, 3444-3457. 1369

Noppel, M., Vehkamäkia, H., Kulmala, M., 2002. An<sup>370</sup>
improved model for hydrate formation in sulfuric acid<sup>1371</sup>
water nucleation. J. Chem. Phys. 116, 218-228.

Nourtier-Mazauric, E., Guy, B., Fritz, B., Brosse, E., 1373
Garcia, D., Clément, A., 2005. Modeling the dissolutionare

tion/precipitation of ideal solid solutions. Oil Gas Sci. Technol. Re. IFP 60, 401-415.

Ostwald, W.Z., 1900. Phys. Chem. Stoechiom. Verwandsch 34, 495.

Parbhakar, K., Lewandowski, J., Dao, L.H., 1995. Simulation model for Ostwald ripening in liquids. J. Colloid Interf. Sci. 174, 142-147.

Parkhurst, D.L., Appelo, C.A.J., 1999. User's guide to PHREEQC (version 2). A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4259 (312 pp.).

Peng, Z., Yang, H., 2009. Designer platinum nanoparticles: Control of shape, composition in alloy, nanostructure and electrocatalytic property. Nano Today 4, 143-164.

Pina, C.M., Enders, M., Putnis, A., 2000. The composition of solid solutions crystallising from aqueous solutions: The influence of supersaturation and growth mechanisms. Chem. Geol. 168, 195-210.

Pina, C.M., Putnis, A., 2002. The kinetics of nucleation of solid solutions from aqueous solutions: A new model for calculating non-equilibrium distribution coefficients. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta Vol. 66, No. 2, 185-192.

Prieto, M., Putnis, A., Fernández-Díaz., L., 1993. Crystallization of solid solutions from aqueous solutions in a porous medium: zoning in (Ba,Sr)SO<sub>4</sub>. Geol. Mag. 130, 289.

Prieto, M., Fernández-Gonzalez, A., Putnis, A., Fernández-Díaz, L., 1997. Nucleation, growth, and zoning phenomena in crystallizing  $(Ba,Sr)CO_3$ ,  $Ba(SO_4,CrO_4)$ ,  $(Ba,Sr)SO_4$ , and  $(Cd,Ca)CO_3$  solid solutions from aqueous solutions. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta Vol. 61, No. 16, 3383-3397.

Prieto, M., 2009. Thermodynamics of Solid Solution-Aqueous Solution. In : Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Water-Rock Interaction. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry vol. 70, 47-85. Mineralogical Society of America and Geochemical Society (Eds).

Putnis, A., Pina, C.M., Astilleros, J.M., Fernández-Díaz, L., Prieto, M., 2002. Nucleation of solid solutions crystallizing from aqueous solutions. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 361, 615-632.

Reiss, H., Shugard, M., 1976. On the composition of nuclei in binary systems. J. Chem. Phys. 65, 5280-5293.

Rhada, A.V., Navrotsky, A., 2013. Thermodynamics of Carbonates, Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 77, 73-121.

Roozeboom, H.W.B., 1904. Die Heterogenen Gleichgewichte vom Standpunkte der Phasenlehre II. Friedrich Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig.

Sánchez-Pastor, N., Pina, C.M., Fernández-Diaz, L., 2006. Relationships between crystal morphology and composition in the (Ba,Sr)SO<sub>4</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O solid solution-aqueous solution system. Chem. Geol. 225, 266-277.

Shtukenberg, A.G., Punin, Y.O., Azimov, P.Y., 2010.

1375Crystallization in Solid Solution-Aqueous Solution Sys<br/>tass1376tems: Thermodynamic and Kinetic Approaches. Crys<br/>tass1377tallography Reports Vol. 55, No. 2, 328-341.1385

<sup>1378</sup> Vinograd, V.L., Brandt, F., Rozov, K., Klinkenberg<sub>386</sub>
<sup>1379</sup> M., Refson, K., Winkler, B., Bosbach, D., 2013. Solid<sub>1387</sub>
<sup>1380</sup> aqueous equilibrium in the BaSO<sub>4</sub>-RaSO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system<sub>1388</sub>
<sup>1381</sup> first principles calculation and a thermodynamic assess<sub>1389</sub>
<sup>1382</sup> ment. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta 122, 398-417. <sup>1390</sup>

Walker, C.S., Savage, D., Tyrer, M., Ragnarsdottir, K.V., 2007. Non-ideal solid solution modeling for synthetic calcium silicate hydrate. Cement and Concrete Research 37, 502-511.

Zhang, H., Okuni, J., Toshima, N., 2011. One-pot synthesis of Ag-Au bimetallic nanoparticles with Au shell and their high catalytic activity for aerobic glucose oxidation. J. Colloïds and Interf. Sci. 354, 131-138.

### LIST OF SYMBOLS

1392

Latin characters	
$A_0, A_1$	First and second coefficients of the Guggenheim expansion (dimensionless)
[A]	Activity of the aqueous species A
$D_0$	Time derivative of the number of formula units with drawn from the AS with composition $x^*$
$D_A, D_B, D_C$	Contributions to the time derivative of [A], [B] or [C] which are continuous at $W'_c$
$E_s$	Total surface energy (J)
F(x)	Nucleation frequency (number of nuclei/s/liter of solution)
$F_0$	Prefactor of the nucleation frequency (number of nuclei/s/liter of solution)
$\Delta G_M$	Standard changes in Gibbs free energy for the dissolution of the M end-member (M=AC or BC)
$\Delta G(x)$	Change of Gibbs free energy for a SS of composition $x$ during precipitation or growth
$\Delta G_M^E(x)$	Excess change of Gibbs free energy of mixing for a SS of composition $x$
$\Delta G(n,x)$	Change in Gibbs free energy for the formation of a nucleus containing $n$ formula units
	of composition x
$\Delta G_m(x)$	maximum of $\Delta G(n, x)$ with respect to n
$\Delta H_M(x)$	Enthalpy of mixing for a SS of composition $x$
$I_{\rm M}$	Saturation state of the AS with respect to the pure end-member M (M=AC or BC)
I(x)	Stoichiometric saturation state of the AS with respect to a SS of composition $x$
$I_c(x^*)$	Critical saturation state of the AS with respect to a SS of composition $x$
$k_B$	Boltzmann constant (1.3806504 $\times$ 10 <sup>-25</sup> J/K)
$K_{\rm M}$	Solubility product of the end-member M (M=AC or BC)
K(x)	Stoichiometric solubility product of a SS of composition $x$
$l(t_1, t)$	Lateral length at time t of a rhomooedral or a tetragonal particle created at time $t_1$ (m) Number of month units in a particle of composition mat the maximum of $AC(m, m)$
$n_m(x)$	Number of growth units in a particle of composition x at the maximum of $\Delta G(n, x)$ with respect to x
n(t, t)	Number of growth units at time $t$ in a particle created at time $t$ .
$n(c_1, c)$ $n_M(r)$	Surface excess quantities of end-member M in a particle
Q(x)	Ionic activity product of a SS of composition $x$
$q_{\rm M}(t)$	Amount of end-member M withdrawn at time t from the AS (formula unit/liter of solution)
R	Gaz constant (8.314472 J/K/mol)
T	Temperature (K)
$x_0$	Composition of a SS at thermodynamic equilibrium with an AS
$x_{st}$	Composition of a SS at stoichiometric saturation with an AS
$x^*$	Critical nucleus composition
$x_1, x_2$	Values of the SS composition at the limit of the discontinuity in strongly non-ideal SSs
$\overline{x}$	Mean composition value of the SS when phase separation occurs
$v_{\mathrm{M}}$	Volume of one formula unit of end-member M (m <sup>3</sup> )
v(x)	Volume of one formula unit of a SS of composition $x$ (m <sup>3</sup> )
W	Ratio of the saturation states of the pure end-members $W = I_{BC}/I_{AC}$
$W_c$	Critical value of W at the composition discontinuity $\frac{W}{U} = \frac{U}{U} \frac{U}{U}$
W' 117/	$W' = I_{BC}/(I_{AC})^{\sim}$
$W_c$ dW'/dt dW'/dt	Critical value of $W$ at the composition discontinuity Slopes of $dW'/dt$ on the left and wight of the discontinuity
$W''_1/a\iota, aw_2/a\iota$	Solution to $dW'/dt$ which is continuous at $W'$
W	Adhesion energy in the case of heterogeneous nucleation $(1/m^2)$
X adh	Geometric factor entering the total surface energy of the particles
<i>z</i>	Ratio of end-members formula unit volumes $z = v_{BC}/v_{AC}$
Greek characters	
lpha	Percentage of the two SSs of composition $x_1$ and $x_2$ when phase separation occurs
$\Delta \mu_M$	Change in chemical potential of one formula unit of the M end-member during precipitation
	(M=AC or BC)
$\kappa$	Linear growth constant (m/s)
$\lambda_{\rm M}$	Activity coefficient of the end-member M in the SS ( $M=AC$ or BC)
$\frac{\rho(\iota_1, \iota)}{\langle \cdot \rangle}$	Reduces at time t or a spherical particle created at time $t_1$ (m)
$\sigma(x)$	Mean surface energy per unit area of SS particles of composition $x (J/m^2)$
$\sigma_{lat}, \sigma_{bas}$	Lateral and basal surface energies per unit area of non-spherical particles $(J/m^2)$
$\theta$	Wetting angle