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INTRODUCTION

The development of nervous system connectivity is a multistage process that requires neuron specification and polarization, axon guidance and targeting, as well as refinement of synaptic connections. All stages require second messenger cascades involving cAMP. This cyclic nucleotide is required for the control of transcription (Pham et al., 2001; Root et al., 2008), involved in polarizing immature neurons (Shelly et al., 2010), promotes axon outgrowth (Roisen et al., 1972; Cai et al., 2001; Shewan et al., 2002; Corredor et al., 2012), and regulates the response of growing axons to guidance molecules (Song et al., 1997; Höpker et al., 1999; Nishiyama et al., 2003; Nicol et al., 2006b). Later during development, cAMP is crucial for the refinement of neuronal connectivity and the precise choice of synaptic partners (Welker et al., 1996; Ravary et al., 2003). It is also involved in a wide range of cellular processes that are not related to the development of neuronal connectivity including sugar and lipid metabolism. However, we still have a very partial understanding of how this second messenger can achieve specificity for each of its downstream pathways.

Although the influence of cAMP on the developing nervous system has been identified decades ago, the shape, duration or subcellular localization of its variations in living neurons is still elusive. The spatiotemporal attributes of cAMP signals might provide the bases of a code explaining the specificity of a given cAMP modulation for its proper downstream pathway. cAMP signaling is tightly related to two other second messengers, cGMP and calcium, and their intricate regulation contributes to enlarge the coding strategies leading to the activation of unique signaling pathways (Borodinsky and Spitzer, 2006; Zaccolo and Mocorre, 2007). A recent and expanding set of tools now enables a precise study of the features of cAMP signals. This includes a growing number of genetically-encoded FRET-based biosensors that have been improved over time (Zhang et al., 2001; Nikolaev et al., 2004; Ponsioen et al., 2004; Polito et al., 2013) and allow the monitoring of cAMP signals in living neurons. This toolbox is complemented with recently implemented optogenetic adenyl cyclases (ACs—the cAMP-synthesizing enzyme), providing full spatio-temporal control of cAMP concentration in living neurons (Schröder-Lang et al., 2007; Ryu et al., 2010; Hong et al., 2011; Stierl et al., 2011). Said utilities have recently been applied to the study of nervous system connectivity, revealing some of the cAMP coding strategies in developing neurons.

MECHANISMS UNDERLYING THE GENERATION AND CONTROL OF SPATIOTEMPORAL cAMP SIGNALS

The biological significance of cAMP signals during different steps of neuronal development relies on a tight control of both, their temporal and spatial features. Synthesis of cAMP is dependent on ACs, whereas the hydrolysis of cyclic nucleotides and
extinction of the signal relies on phosphodiesterases (PDEs). The diversity of regulation and localization of ACs (10 isoforms) and PDEs (more than 40 isoforms) offers a wide range of combination to shape specific signals in response to distinct stimuli (Omori and Kotera, 2007; Willoughby and Cooper, 2007; Kleppisch, 2009). The cooperation between ACs and PDEs is crucial to control the time of onset of cAMP elevation and to limit the spatial and temporal expansion of the signal, forming the relevant coding strategies controlling specific activation of cAMP downstream effectors (Figure 1).

Different AC isoforms are targeted to distinct cellular microdomains, providing the basis of locally generated cAMP signals. sAC/AC10 is associated with intracellular compartments including mitochondria, centrosome and nucleus (Zippin et al., 2003; Valsecchi et al., 2014). The calcium-sensitive transmembrane ACs (AC1, 3, 5, 6 and 8) are tethered to lipid rafts, a cholesterol- and sphingolipid-enriched compartment of the plasma membrane. In contrast, the calcium-independent ACs (AC2, 4, 7 and 9) are targeted to the plasma membrane but excluded from lipid rafts (Willoughby and Cooper, 2007; Figure 1). Targeting or exclusion of specific AC isoforms from lipid rafts might be the crucial molecular correlate of distinct cAMP concentrations and signaling in compartments of the plasma membrane (Depry et al., 2011). Lipid rafts compartmentalize cAMP signals induced by beta adrenergic receptors in cardiomyocytes (Agarwal et al., 2011). In platelets, compartmentalized cAMP signals are created by lipid rafts and the cytoskeleton (Raslan and Naseem, 2014). This plasma membrane compartment is of particular interest to understand the development of neuronal connectivity. Its integrity is crucial for BDNF-, netrin-1- and Sema3A-induced, but not glutamate-dependent turning of X. laevis axons (Guirland et al., 2004). Evaluating whether lipid raft-restricted cAMP signals are required for axon pathfinding presents a promising approach to deciphering the second-messenger codes involved in axon guidance. Precise localization of ACs is also crucial for the coordination between second messengers. Interaction between AC8 and the pore component of the store operated calcium channels ensures a dynamic and coordinated relation between cAMP and calcium (Willoughby et al., 2012).

The cAMP turnover, balanced by synthesis and degradation, requires a tight regulation. Like ACs, PDEs are crucial for the spatio-temporal control of cAMP signaling. Their distinct intracellular localization, kinetics and regulatory mechanisms enable to shape a wide range of signals that differ in their spatiotemporal features and upstream regulators. Compartmentalization of PDEs is responsible for simultaneously generating multiple and contiguous cAMP domains, even far from the site of synthesis (Terrin et al., 2006). According to this model, synthesized cAMP is free to diffuse. The
specific activation of only a subset of its downstream pathways relies on the restricted subcellular localization of PDEs, acting locally as a cAMP sink to prevent the activation of downstream effectors (Figure 1). The cAMP-specific PDE4 family is crucial for this process in a wide number of cell types. In cardiomyocytes, the activation of PDE4D spatially limits the diffusion of cAMP, modulating cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) activation and the subsequent increase in calcium cycling required for contractile responses in the heart (Liu et al., 2012). In fibroblasts, PDE4B and PDE4D modulates cAMP concentration in discrete domains near the plasma membrane and are involved in distinct signaling pathways (Blackman et al., 2011). In neurons, the PDE4 family is involved in the functional compartmentation of cAMP, modulating the propagation of PKA activation from the plasma membrane to the nucleus (Castro et al., 2010; Vincent et al., 2012).

For ACs and PDEs to properly control the localization of cAMP signals, the targeting of these enzymes is tightly regulated. A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) are critical for this process. AKAP isoforms are targeted to distinct subcellular compartments and modulate the spatial spread of cAMP, binding at least some isoform of PDEs and ACs (Piggott et al., 2008; Willoughby et al., 2010; Delint-Ramirez et al., 2011; Terrin et al., 2012). In addition, AKAPs bind downstream effectors of cAMP including PKA and the cAMP-stimulated GDP exchange factors (Epacs), segregating distinct cAMP downstream pathways (Wong and Scott, 2004; McConnachie et al., 2006). Although to date there are only a few studies focusing on the spatial restriction of cAMP signals by AKAPs in developing neurons, these anchoring proteins have been extensively studied in other cell types. For instance, in airway smooth muscle cells, AKAPs modulates cAMP accumulation in response to β2-adrenergic agonists. PKA activation in turn phosphorylates PDE4, increasing its activity and reducing cAMP concentration in specific domains where AKAP proteins are localized (Horvat et al., 2012). In the nucleus, AKAPs have been proposed to control a PKA/PDE4A modulated cAMP signal different from that in the cytosol microdomain (Sample et al., 2012). Indeed, cAMP signaling at the plasma membrane followed by slow diffusion into the nucleus results into slow kinetics of PKA activity likely to be limited by the translocation of the catalytic domain of PKA from the cytosol to the nucleus. Indeed, PDEs keep the cAMP concentration in the nucleus too low to activate PKA. However, a direct activation of cAMP synthesis in the nucleus would result into fast kinetics of the nuclear PKA response. In this case, the spatio-temporal modulation of cAMP is responsible for a kinetically distinct activation of PKA, and the local negative regulator PDE4 contributes to establishing a local signaling threshold to convert spatial second messenger signals to temporal control of kinase activity. Finally, a dynamic and delicate control of cAMP signals has also been identified in the centrosome, a key subcellular structure for migration and cell cycle progression. In this subcellular domain, cAMP concentration is independent on cAMP levels in the cytosol and relies on PDE4D3 and PKA anchoring to AKAP 450 (Terrin et al., 2012).
concentration concomitantly increases the frequency of calcium spikes (Gorbunova and Spitzer, 2002; Figure 2). This reciprocal interaction between calcium and cAMP signal dynamics has been observed in a wide range of non-neuronal cell types (Landa et al., 2005; Borodinsky and Spitzer, 2006; Dyachok et al., 2006; Willoughby and Cooper, 2006). However, a possible direct relationship between cAMP transients and neurotransmitter specification has not been investigated. It would be of interest to evaluate if the frequency of cAMP transients influences neurotransmitter specification in developing neurons, like the frequency of calcium spikes.

AXON OUTGROWTH
Once neurons are polarized, axons grow over long distances to reach their synaptic partners. Axon elongation is dependent on cAMP signaling and high concentration of this second messenger favors axon outgrowth (Roisen et al., 1972; Cai et al., 2001; Shewan et al., 2002; Corredor et al., 2012). In this process as well, cAMP signaling is tightly linked to calcium to regulate axon outgrowth. Transient calcium elevations, termed calcium waves, have been visualized in the growth cone of extending axons. Calcium waves cover the entire growth cone at once and their kinetics is relatively slow. The frequency is inversely proportional to the speed of axon outgrowth in a wide range of models: lower frequency of calcium transients correlates with a high rate of axonal growth, while a high frequency is observed in slow growing axons (Gomez et al., 1995; Gomez and Spitzer, 1999; Tang et al., 2003). For instance in the developing spinal cord of X. laevis, Rohon-Beard neurons, ventral motor neurons and ascending interneurons exhibit a low frequency of calcium transients and a rapid outgrowth. In contrast, the frequency of calcium transients is higher in the slow growing dorso-lateral ascending interneurons (Gomez and Spitzer, 1999). A direct link between cAMP signals modulating axon outgrowth and calcium waves in growth cones has not been investigated so far. However, cAMP transients have been detected in axonal growth cones exposed to netrin-1, a guidance molecule that modulates both, the direction and the speed of axon elongation. cAMP transients require extracellular calcium influx and coincide with an increased frequency of calcium waves, that are not dependent on transmembrane AC activity (Nicol et al., 2011; Figure 3). The transient change in cAMP suggests that a temporal control might contribute to the coding strategy of cAMP signals regulating axon outgrowth.

Only cAMP signals from a subset of ACs are able to modulate axon outgrowth. The synthesis of cAMP by soluble AC (sAC/AC10) but not transmembrane ACs (AC1 to 9) is required for the intrinsic ability of axons to grow (Corredor et al., 2012). sAC/AC10 is also critical for netrin-1-induced outgrowth (Wu et al., 2006), but does not contribute to the cAMP-dependent modulation of axon pathfinding by netrin-1 (Moore et al., 2008). This suggests that cAMP signals generated by different ACs are not identical, although the features allowing the distinction between diverse cAMP signals have not been identified. A likely hypothesis is that subcellular localization of signals would provide a code to link each cAMP signal to its appropriate downstream pathway. Indeed sAC/AC10 is restricted to intracellular compartments in non-neuronal cells (Zippin et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2006), whereas the other ACs are transmembrane proteins.

AXON GUIDANCE
To reach their synaptic partners, axons follow stereotypic paths, guided by attractive and repulsive cues, which they encounter...
on the way to their final targets. cAMP signaling is a crucial modulator of axonal response to guidance molecules and enables the conversion of attractive cues into repulsive ones and vice versa (Ming et al., 1997; Song et al., 1997; Höpker et al., 1999; Murray et al., 2009). However, the spatio-temporal dynamics of cAMP signals regulating axon guidance have been investigated only recently. As during neurotransmitter specification and axon outgrowth, cAMP signaling involved in axon pathfinding is linked to calcium. Calcium signaling is critical for axonal response to guidance molecules (Hong et al., 2000; Nishiyama et al., 2003; Li et al., 2009). In addition to slow calcium waves regulating axon outgrowth, fast calcium transients restricted to filopodia have been visualized in developing axons (Gomez et al., 2001). An asymmetric frequency of calcium transients in filopodia from both sides of the growth cone is able to orient axon outgrowth (Gomez et al., 2001; Robles et al., 2003). Guidance molecules such as netrin-1 modulate the frequency of filopodial calcium transients. Transient elevations of cAMP precede and are required for the increase in frequency of local filopodial calcium transients (Nicol et al., 2011), making cAMP crucial to orient netrin-1-induced axon outgrowth. Although netrin-1 exposure also drives an increase in cAMP concentration in the central domain of the growth cone, this signal is not involved in the regulation of axon growth direction (Nicol et al., 2011). This suggests that axon guidance molecules can induce cAMP synthesis in a restricted area of the growth cone for a limited duration. This idea is supported by observations of axon turning generated by exogenous spatially- and temporally-restricted cAMP signals in vitro (Munck et al., 2004; Nicol et al., 2011). In vivo, transient cAMP elevations are sufficient to restore outgrowth of commissural axons towards the ventral midline of the spinal cord when DCC-dependent netrin-1 signaling is blocked. In contrast, sustained increase or decrease of cAMP concentration leads to abnormal trajectories of spinal commissural axons in X. laevis (Nicol et al., 2011), suggesting that spatio-temporal features of cAMP signals are crucial for the modulation of axonal pathfinding (Figure 3).

The regulation of axon pathfinding by cAMP signaling tightly controlled in space and time is most likely not the only cAMP-dependent process regulating axon guidance. Axon turning can be modulated by sustained and global manipulations of PKA activity that are sufficient to modify the amplitude of imposed fast and local calcium transients dependent on ryanodine receptors (Ooashi et al., 2005). The resting cAMP concentration is tuned...
by adhesion molecules such as laminin that also regulate axon guidance (Höpker et al., 1999; Ooashi et al., 2005). In addition, cAMP interacts with cGMP signaling, often counteracting its effects. Whereas high cAMP concentration favors attraction, cGMP is associated with axonal repulsion. A high cAMP/cGMP ratio in spinal axons leads to attraction by netrin-1, whereas a low ratio converts this attraction into repulsion. This highlights the relevance of relative cAMP levels compared to cGMP rather than an absolute concentration of one or the other cyclic nucleotide (Nishiya et al., 2003; Figure 3). The antagonizing effect of cAMP and cGMP might be due to their opposite regulation of common downstream effectors. Both second messengers modulate the amplitude of the ryanodine receptor-dependent local calcium transient. The cAMP/PKA pathway promotes ryanodine receptor-mediated calcium induced calcium release, whereas cGMP and its downstream effector protein kinase G (PKG) reduces the ryanodine receptor-dependent mobilization of internal calcium stores (Ooashi et al., 2005; Tojima et al., 2009). Simultaneous imaging of cAMP and cGMP in growing axons emphasized a temporally correlated regulation of cAMP and cGMP in growth cones. As during axonogenesis, an increase of cAMP correlates with a reduction in cGMP concentration (Kobayashi et al., 2013). The mechanisms underlying these opposite modulations are unclear. In other systems, inverse regulation of both cyclic nucleotides are related to PDE activity (Shelly et al., 2010; Polito et al., 2013). So far, not much attention has been attributed to evaluating the impact of these cAMP/cGMP degrading enzymes, which might be crucial players in cyclic nucleotide oscillations in axon guidance.

**AXON BRANCHING AND TARGETING (TOPOGRAPHIC MAPS)**

Once axons have reached their targets, dense terminal axonal arbors develop. The precise positioning of this termination zone is tightly controlled and crucial for appropriate wiring of the nervous system. This process has been extensively studied in sensory systems, in which projections are topographically organized. Axonal arbors are organized in their target regions in correlation with the information they carry. For instance, axons originating from neighboring retinal ganglion cells arborize in neighboring areas in their targets. Similarly, olfactory neurons expressing the same olfactory receptor target the same region of the olfactory bulb. cAMP signaling is crucial for the development of topographic maps. Among the ACs tested, only two are required for topographic map formation: AC1 in the visual and somatosensory systems (Welker et al., 1996; Ravary et al., 2003; Nicol et al., 2006a), and AC3 in the olfactory projections (Wong et al., 2000; Col et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2007). In AC1 and AC3 knock-out mice, ectopic axonal branches fail to be pruned during development resulting in larger termination zones. In contrast, AC1 and AC3 are not involved in axon outgrowth, a process requiring sAC/AC10 (Wu et al., 2006; Corredor et al., 2012). The distinct roles of each AC suggest that they generate cAMP signals with unique features.

AC1-dependent cAMP signaling involved in the development of topographic maps requires calcium. Retinal axons lacking this calcium-activated AC (Fagan et al., 2000) or exposed to calcium-free extracellular medium show the same phenotype when exposed to the axon repellent ephrin-As: in both conditions axons fail to retract (Nicol et al., 2006b, 2007). This reduced retraction is likely to contribute to the impaired axonal pruning seen in AC1−/− mice since ephrin-As are crucial for the development of retinal topography (Frisén et al., 1998; Feldheim et al., 2000). Ephrin-A-induced retraction also requires electrical activity. In absence of electrical activity, short and repeated cAMP pulses in growth cones are sufficient to rescue axonal retraction. In contrast, global and sustained elevation of cAMP does not rescue axon retraction. Sustained high or low cAMP concentration is sufficient to prevent ephrin-A-induced repulsion, suggesting that the temporal features of cAMP signaling is crucial for axonal retraction and the development of topographic maps (Nicol et al., 2007; Figure 3).

AC3 is required for the correct antero-posterior position in terminal zones of olfactory projections and for the convergence of olfactory axons expressing the same olfactory receptor into the same glomerulus (Wong et al., 2000; Col et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2007). The cAMP-dependent signaling pathways involved differ in these two developmental processes, only one of them interacting with calcium signaling. AC3-dependent cAMP signaling regulates the expression of the axon guidance molecule semaphorin-3A and its receptor neuropilin-1 to modulate the position of axonal arbors along the antero-posterior axis in a CREB-dependent mechanism (Imai et al., 2006). In contrast, AC3-dependent activation of the cyclic nucleotide gated calcium channel α2 is required for the development of glomeruli receiving axons expressing the same olfactory receptor (Serizawa et al., 2006). The spatiotemporal analysis of cAMP modulation in developing olfactory neurons revealed that activation of olfactory receptors at axonal growth cones induces a local increase of cAMP and calcium, followed by the nuclear translocation of the catalytic subunit of PKA (Maritan et al., 2009). Exposure of olfactory axon terminals to odorants also leads to a local cAMP- and calcium-dependent increase of cGMP (Pietrobon et al., 2011). Activation of downstream cGMP signaling is sufficient but not necessary to induce CREB phosphorylation in the nucleus of olfactory neurons (Pietrobon et al., 2011), suggesting that cGMP might be involved in the modulation of gene expression during olfactory map development.

**ACTIVITY-DEPENDENT AXONAL AND SYNAPTIC COMPETITION**

Once axonal branches are positioned in their targets, they carefully choose their synaptic partners, often through an axonal or synaptic competition process. cAMP has a crucial role in modulating this competition that represents a critical process for the development of a properly organized neuronal connectivity (Luo and O’Leary, 2005; Luo and Flanagan, 2007). Competition is dependent on electrical activity, and its regulation by cAMP often relates to spontaneous activity generated during development (Penn et al., 1998; Stellwagen and Shatz, 2002; Zhang et al., 2012; Furman et al., 2013). In the developing retina, spontaneous calcium waves appear prior to vision. The frequency and propagation of these calcium waves are modulated by cAMP, with a higher
frequency and a propagation over longer distances when cAMP is increased (Stellwagen et al., 1999; Stellwagen and Shatz, 2002). Interactions between cAMP and calcium waves in the developing retina are bidirectional: depolarization-induced calcium influx is sufficient to produce brief cAMP elevation, and cAMP transients are spontaneously generated in post-natal retinal ganglion cells (Dunn et al., 2006; Figure 4). Like the cAMP elevations observed in X. laevis spinal neurons, spontaneous CAMP / PKA activity transients in the developing retina are observed upon long but not short bursts of electrical activity (Gorbunova and Spitzer, 2002; Dunn et al., 2006). Surprisingly, the genetic removal of AC1, the main calcium-stimulated AC expressed in retinal ganglion cells, is not sufficient to perturb depolarization-induced cAMP elevations. In AC1/AC8 double knock-out mice, cAMP transients only exhibit a reduced amplitude and are abolished only with pharmacological blockade of both, transmembrane (AC1 to 9) and soluble (sAC/AC10) ACs, suggesting that several ACs cooperate to generate brief CAMP / PKA activity transients in the retina (Dunn et al., 2009).

During development, cAMP-dependent spontaneous electrical activity in the retina is crucial for the precise organization of retinal axons in their targets. Retinal axons from each eye arborize in non-overlapping regions of their targets in a competition-dependent mechanism. When retinal calcium waves are perturbed, the normally well segregated territories occupied by axons from each eye overlap (Rossi et al., 2001; Muir-Robinson et al., 2002). Although AC1 is not required for the generation of cAMP transients or calcium waves in the developing retina (Dunn et al., 2009; Dhande et al., 2012), its genetic removal prevents the segregation of retinal projections in eye-specific territories in the dorso-lateral geniculate nucleus and the superior colliculus (Ravary et al., 2003; Nicol et al., 2006a), revealing that other cAMP signals are dependent on this particular AC. The stimulation of AC1 by calcium influx (Fagan et al., 2000), and the phenotypic similarity between AC1−/− mice and models displaying altered spontaneous retinal waves or impaired electrical activity suggests that AC1 and calcium waves are part of the same signaling pathway regulating the development of eye-specific territories (Rossi et al., 2001; Muir-Robinson et al., 2002; Dhande et al., 2011). Converging evidence indicates that the deficit in the segregation of eye-specific territories in AC1−/− mice is dependent on AC activity in retinal ganglion cells but not their targets (Nicol et al., 2006b; Dhande et al., 2012). Since cAMP signaling is not affected in the soma of retinal ganglion cells in AC1-deficient mice, it is probable that cAMP signaling restricted to the axonal/synaptic compartment plays a role in the competition leading to segregation of eye-specific territories. AC1 is required for synaptic maturation and potentiation, a process required for the refinement of retinal connections (Lu et al., 2006; Iwasato et al., 2008; Figure 4). However, the features of CAMP signaling required for synaptic competition need to be characterized in more detail to improve our understanding of the signaling code involved in synaptic competition.

CONCLUSION

CAMP is involved in a wide range of processes influencing the development of nervous system connectivity. We summarize the recent progress in investigating the specific modulation of these multiple processes by spatio-temporally distinct CAMP signals. Temporal control of CAMP signals in subcellular compartments is a potential coding strategy to translate distinct CAMP signals into activation of specific downstream pathways in developing neurons. Crosstalk with other second messenger systems (cGMP and calcium) are of special importance to gain new insight into the spatiotemporal control of CAMP signals. Pursuing the analysis of these coding strategies will provide a better understanding of the regulatory second messenger networks shaping neuronal connectivity.
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