

Meiofauna distribution in a mangrove forest exposed to shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia)

L. Della Patrona, Cyril Marchand, C. Hubas, N. Molnar, J. Deborde, T.

Meziane

► To cite this version:

L. Della Patrona, Cyril Marchand, C. Hubas, N. Molnar, J. Deborde, et al.. Meiofauna distribution in a mangrove forest exposed to shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia). Marine Environmental Research, 2016, 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.028 . hal-01324892

HAL Id: hal-01324892 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01324892

Submitted on 1 Jun2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Meiofauna distribution in a mangrove forest exposed to shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia)

L. Della Patrona, C. Marchand, C. Hubas, N. Molnar, J. Deborde, T. Meziane

PII: S0141-1136(16)30097-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.028

Reference: MERE 4189

To appear in: Marine Environmental Research

Received Date: 19 February 2016

Revised Date: 20 May 2016

Accepted Date: 29 May 2016

Please cite this article as: Della Patrona, L., Marchand, C., Hubas, C., Molnar, N., Deborde, J., Meziane, T., Meiofauna distribution in a mangrove forest exposed to shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia), *Marine Environmental Research* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.028.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 2	Meiofauna distribution in a mangrove forest exposed to shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia).
3 4	Della Patrona L ¹ , Marchand C ² *, Hubas, C ³ , Molnar N ^{2,3} , Deborde J ² , and Meziane T ³
5	¹ Départment of Lagons, Ecosystems and Sustainable Aquaculture (LEAD/NC), Ifremer, 101,
6	Promenade Roger Laroque, Centre IRD, BP 2059–98846 Nouméa Cedex, New Caledonia, France
7	
8	² IRD, UR 206, UMR 7590–IMPMC, F-98848, New Caledonia, France
9	
10	³ Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR BOREA, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 6,
11	CNRS 7208, IRD 207, UCBN, UA, CP 53, 61 rue Buffon, 75231 Paris Cedex 5, France.

12 Abstract

13 Meiofauna abundance, biomass and individual size were studied in mangrove sediments subjected to 14 shrimp farm effluents in New Caledonia. Two strategies were developed: i) meiofauna examination 15 during the active (AP) and the non-active (NAP) periods of the farm in five mangrove stands 16 characteristics of the mangrove zonation along this coastline, ii) meiofauna examination every two 17 months during one year in the stand the closest to the pond (i.e. Avicennia marina). Thirteen 18 taxonomic groups of meiofauna were identified, with nematodes and copepods being the most 19 abundant ones. Meiofauna abundance and biomass increased from the land side to the sea side of the 20 mangrove probably as a result of the increased length of tidal immersion. Abundance of total 21 meiofauna was not significantly different before and after the rearing period. However, the effluent-22 receiving mangrove presented twice the meiofauna abundance and biomass than the control one. 23 Among rare taxa, mites appeared extremely sensitive to this perturbation.

24

25 Key words: mangrove, meiofauna, shrimp farming, environmental status, New Caledonia

27 1 Introduction

28 In New Caledonia, shrimp ponds cover 680 ha, producing ~ 2,000 metric tons of shrimps per 29 year (Della Patrona and Brun, 2009). In contrast to other parts of the world, farms are built on salt 30 flats, developing upstream the mangrove forests, and there were no direct losses of mangroves due to 31 pond construction. However, pond effluents are discharged into the adjacent mangroves, considered to 32 be a "natural biofilter" that can reduce or eliminate impacts on the surrounding World Heritage listed 33 lagoon and coral reef (Thomas et al., 2010; Molnar et al., 2013). The impact and fate of shrimp farm 34 effluents in mangrove ecosystems can be studied using physico-chemical parameters, nutrients 35 concentration, quantity and quality of organic matter. (McKinnon et al., 2002; Costanzo et al., 2004; 36 Lacerda et al., 2006; Mirto et al., 2007; Pusceddu et al., 2008). Benthic organisms, which are sensitive 37 to physical, chemical and biological disturbances, can also act as relevant ecological indicators of the 38 status of the receiving ecosystem (Lamparadariou et al., 2005). Actually, benthic trophic status based 39 on organic matter variables is not sufficient to provide a sound assessment of the environmental 40 quality of the ecosystem, which can be obtained combined with a study on meiofaunal variables 41 (Bianchelli et al., 2016). Meiofauna has been used as ecological descriptors in numerous studies 42 dealing with the impact of fish farms (Vezzulli et al., 2008; Grego et al., 2009; Mirto et al, 2010; 2012, 43 2014; Bianchelli et al., 2016) and to a lesser extent of mussel farms (Mirto et al., 2000; Danavoro et 44 al., 2004), oyster farms (Castel et al., 1989; Dinet et al., 1990), and algae farms (Olafsson et al., 1995). 45 The general outcome from the literature is that aquaculture farms biodeposition typically alter 46 meiofaunal abundance, diversity, biomass and species composition. The disappearance of the rare 47 taxa, representing <1% of the total meiofauna abundance, were usually also described under fish farm 48 influence (Mirto et al., 2010).

To understand the putative impact on effluents on meiofauna variables in mangrove, one has also to understand the natural distribution of meiofauna in this specific ecosystem. However, few references were interested in meiofauna distribution along a tidal gradient under semi-arid climate (Debenay et al., 2015). Environmental cues such as temperature, salinity, length of tidal immersion, redox conditions and sediment grain size are the most important factors regulating the zonation patterns of meiofauna in mangrove estuaries (Alongi, 1987a,b; Anzari et al., 1993; Thilagavathi et al., 2011).

These parameters can vary according to the mangrove stand (Vanhove et al., 1992; Marchand et al., 2004; Chinnadurai and Fernando, 2007) and its position in the tidal zone that induces difference in waterlogging, leading notably to different pore water salinity (Marchand et al., 2011).

58 In the mangrove studied herein, the influence of the effluents on C, N, and P dynamic as well as on the 59 physico-chemical characteristics of the sediment were already demonstrated (Molnar et al., 2013; 60 2015; Aschenbroich et al., 2015). Organic matter exported from shrimp farm stimulated oxygen 61 demand and nutrient regeneration rates. However, the major role of mangrove sediments was to 62 process the effluent PON loads and to export them directly in dissolved forms to the surrounding 63 lagoon waters, or indirectly by stimulating bacterial and phytoplankton biomass production. No sign 64 of saturation, eutrophication or anoxia of the effluent receiving mangrove was observed. Thus, we 65 suggested that the mangrove was only a partial filter for the shrimp farm effluent. In the specific context, our first hypothesis is that the abundance, biomass and composition of meiofauna collected in 66 67 the effluents receiving mangrove were not severely affected by shrimp farm effluents. Our second hypothesis is that the distribution, abundance, individual weight and biomass of meiofauna taxa will 68 differ between mangrove stands as a result of their specific physico-chemical properties. 69

70 Our objectives were thus: i) to assess the influence of shrimp farming effluents on meiofauna 71 distribution, ii) to determine the influence of the mangrove stand on this distribution. To reach our 72 goals, we developed two sampling strategies: i) collection of surface sediments during the active (AP) 73 and non-active periods (NAP) of the farm in the different mangrove stands characteristic of zonation 74 under semi-arid climate, ii) a one-year survey of the meiobenthos distribution in a stand where the 75 effluents are released (i.e. Avicennia marina) compared to a control one. Abundance and biomass of 76 meiobenthos were measured, as well as the Chl-a content of surface sediments. To our knowledge, this 77 study is the first one using meiofauna as ecological indicators in mangrove sediments receiving shrimp 78 farm effluents.

80 2 Material and methods

81

2.1 Study site and sampling strategy

The work was carried out in two mangroves of similar size located in Saint Vincent Bay (Boulouparis, New Caledonia) that display the same mangrove zonation: i) at the back edge of the mangrove swamp, the area is characterized by salt flats, a highly saline zone submerged only at high spring tides and covered sparsely in the most downstream stretches with *Sarcocornia quinqueflora* and *Suadea australis* bushes; ii) a second stand of vegetation, downstream, is characterized by the presence of *Avicennia marina*; iii) finally, the seaward edge is characterized by *Rhizophora stylosatrees*, which are always submerged at high tide.

89 The control mangrove area (21°54'S, 166°04'E) covered 22 ha (Figure 1) is free from any aquaculture 90 or agriculture influences. The effluent-receiving mangrove (21°56'S, 166°04'E; of total area 28 ha, 91 located 2 km from the control mangrove) receives effluent discharges from the 2 ponds (K and L) of 92 the "Ferme Aquacole de la Ouenghi" shrimp farm (FAO).



Figure 1 Map showing: i) the location of the effluent receiving (a) and control mangrove (b) in
Saint Vincent Bay (New Caledonia); ii) the effluent outlets: at the west and east side of the K and
L numbered Ponds (exposed site); iii) the locations of sampling sites b are symbolized by crosses.

97

98 Like the majority of shrimp farms in New Caledonia, FAO operates a semi-intensive rearing system. Ponds were stocked with blue shrimp, *Litopenaeus stylirostris*, at an abundance of ~17 ind.m⁻² in 99 100 December 2008, and reared for ~8 months. The shrimp were fed with locally produced feed pellets 101 (35-40% protein), which were added daily throughout the rearing period, with inputs increasing from 102 ~0.25 to ~3.5 kg.ha⁻¹.d⁻¹ over the rearing cycle as the shrimps grew. The volume of water discharged 103 into the mangrove corresponded to the volume of the daily water renewed, and increased progressively 104 with the growth of postlarvae and adult organisms from 0 to about 20% of the volume of the pond per 105 day. The ponds were drained in July 2009 after the last shrimp harvest and allowed to dry for a period 106 of about three to four months prior to the start of the next breeding cycle.

107 The effect of shrimp effluents on mangrove meiofauna was investigated by means of two 108 complementary approaches: dual-season spatial studies in the whole effluent-receiving mangrove and 109 one-year monitoring in the *Avicennia* stand both in control and effluent receiving mangroves.

110 The spatial studies were carried out in the mangrove areas adjacent to FAO during two distinct periods 111 of farm activity: the non-active period (NAP, November 2009) one month before the beginning of 112 rearing, and the active period (AP, June 2010) characteristic of breeding running at full load.

Forty-five geo-referenced samples were collected throughout the whole mangrove area, subdivided in accordance with the objective of the study into five vegetation zones=stands in relation to their different immersion time, roots systems and suspected effluent plume effect: $n^{\circ}1$ salt flat "S", $n^{\circ}2$ *A*. *marina* "A", $n^{\circ}3$ mixed zone harboring *A. marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa* "MAR", $n^{\circ}4$ central zone with *R. stylosa* "CR", and $n^{\circ}5$ seaward edge with *R. stylosa* "ER".

118 Sediment samples were collected in triplicate for meiofaunal analysis by means of Plexiglas cores 119 (inner diameter 3.6 cm, corresponding to ~10.7 cm² surface area) to a depth of 2 cm. Sediment 120 samples were immediately fixed with buffered 4% formaldehyde solution until laboratory analyses 121 and stained with a few drops of Rose Bengal (0.5 g.l⁻¹).

In both *Avicennia* stands (control and effluent-receiving), eight sampling campaigns were conducted from February 2009 to February 2010. Sampling campaigns were conducted to cover the entire production cycle of the farm, with four campaigns during the rearing period, and four during the

125 "drying" period. Five sub-areas were defined for each *Avicennia* stand (effluent-receiving and 126 control), and five replicates were collected in each sub-area. One replicate was obtained by pooling 5 127 sub-samples.

- 128
- 129 **2.2 Analytical methods**
- 130

2.2.1 Meiofauna analysis

131 In the laboratory each sample was rinsed and filtered on 1000 and 45 µm mesh sieves. The 132 45μ m mesh residue sieve was centrifuged three times in the Ludox HS40 (d = 1.15). The animals were 133 counted on a 200-wells glass plate and identified to major groups through an adequate detailed 134 observation (microscopic ampliation or with a 80x binocular magnifier) according reference manuals 135 (Higgins and Thiel, 1988; Giere, 1993). Meiofaunal biomass was estimated from size measurements of 136 different animals. The length and width of up to 30 organisms per major taxon were measured using a 137 dissecting microscope fitted with a micrometer scale. These measurements were used for further 138 conversion into biomass, using the specific conversion factors for each taxonomic group following 139 Wieser (1960) and Warwick and Price (1979) for nematodes, Warwick and Gee (1984) and Riemann 140 et al. (1990) for copepods, Gradinger et al. (1999) for crustacean nauplii, Ruttner-Kolisko (1977) and 141 Bottrell et al. (1976) for rotifers, and Guo et al. (2005) and Nozais et al. (2005) for the other groups.

- 142
- 143

2.2.2. Chlorophyll a analysis

144 Chl-a was extracted from freeze-dried sediments using a 93% methanol solution and their 145 concentrations were determined fluorometrically (Yentsch and Menzel, 1963). The fluorometer used 146 was a Turner Designs TD700 equipped with an optical kit n°7000-961 including an excitation filter of 147 340-500 nm wavelength, and an emission filter up to 665 nm wavelength. Pigments in methanol were 148 then excited in the fluorometer with a 450 nm wavelength beam of light and fluorescence emitted at 149 664 nm. MPB is the microphytobenthic biomass (mg Chl-a.m⁻²), converted to autotrophic carbon (mg 150 C.m⁻²) assuming a C:Chl-a ratio of 40:1 (de Jonge, 1980 in Nozais et al., 2005).

152 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to analyze: i) the dual season spatial study data, in which observations (meiofauna abundance and biomass) are described by several inter-correlated quantitative dependent variables (i.e. spatial study, vegetation, period), ii) the one-year monitoring in effluent-receiving and controlled *A. marina* stand data (environmental status effect vs. control, campaign date).

158 PRIMER 6 software was used for multivariate analysis. Data matrices were used to create triangular 159 similarity matrices, based on the Bray-Curtis similarity coefficient. Differences in meiofauna 160 composition among factors were tested using one-way or two-ways analysis (as appropriate) of 161 similarity (ANOSIM) and the statistical test was computed after 5,000 permutations. No 162 transformation was applied to the data and factors used for analysis. Where differences in meiofauna 163 composition were detected between factors (Status, date), similarity of percentage tests (SIMPER) 164 were used to determine which meiofauna taxa drove the observed differences between the two sets of 165 data. Differences in abundance of meiofauna between sampling times and vegetation stands were 166 tested using analysis of variance. Prior to ANOVA, Chl-a data were log (x+1) transformed and all data 167 were tested for homoscedasticity (Bartlett test) and normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk). Tukey's HSD 168 post-hoc tests were then used to determine differences between groups. Chl-a data were, first, 169 analyzed by a non-parametric Kruskall-Wallis test, and then by a Wilcoxon test to compare mean 170 values for pairs (control mangrove vs. effluent-receiving mangrove, between campaigns). For 171 kinorhynchs and mites data homoscedasticity and normal distribution of residuals condition were not 172 fulfilled. So kinorhynch and mites data were tested using a non-parametric test (Kruskal-Wallis test). 173 Van Der Waerden test was used to convert the ranks from Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of 174 variance to quantiles of the standard normal distribution called normal scores and the test was 175 computed from these normal scores. Regression analysis were used to identify relationship between 176 MPB (Microphytobenthos) and total meiofauna biomass. All these tests were performed using the R 177 version 2.9.0 2009 software and for all tests the probability α was set at 0.05. The initial hypothesis Ho 178 (means of the groups are equal to one another) is rejected if the p-values $< \alpha$ i.e. at least one group is 179 different from the other one.

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
180	
181	3. Results
182	3.1. Dual-season spatial study in the different stands of the effluent-receiving mangrove
183	3.1.1. General characteristics of meiofauna distribution
184	Within the surface sediment of the mangrove receiving shrimp farm effluents, a total of 13
185	taxonomic groups of meiofauna was identified during the two spatial studies carried out in November
186	2009 and June 2010 (Table 1).
187	Table 1 Mean abundances (Nb x 10 cm ² ± Standard Deviation) of meiobenthic taxa recorded
188	during Non-Active and Active Periods in all (global) and different mangrove stands affected by
189	shrimp farm effluents for twenty-five years. "S" salt-marsh, "A" A.marina, "MAR" mixed zone
190	harboring A. marina and Rhizophora stylosa, "CR" central zone with R. stylosa and "ER"
191	seaward edge with R. stylosa.
	Non Active Period (NAP)
	Taxa <u>S A MAR CR ER</u> Abund, S.D Abund, S.D Abund, S.D Abund, S.D Abund, S.D

Taxa		S			A		MAR				CR				ER		
	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D		
Amphipoda	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.2	±	0.8	2.3	±	5.4		
Bivalvia	0.0	±	0.0	0.4	±	1.0	0.4	±	1.0	0.0	±	0.0	2.3	±	3.1		
Copepoda	17.4	±	32.6	45.3	±	33.4	54.6	±	66.8	52.4	±	48.2	72.3	±	98.3		
Gastropoda	2.5	±	5.5	0.1	±	0.3	0.0	±	0.0	0.3	±	0.5	2.1	±	2.1		
Halacaroidea	0.9	±	1.5	0.3	±	0.7	0.6	±	1.1	0.8	±	1.1	1.3	±	2.0		
Kinorhyncha	0.2	±	0.5	0.6	±	1.2	0.1	±	0.3	0.5	±	1.9	5.1	±	11.6		
Crustacean nauplii	23.6	±	37.4	4.1	±	4.7	16.9	±	28.4	4.0	±	9.8	19.0	±	27.5		
Nematoda	584.7	±	588.7	761.7	±	411.3	881.8	±	697.7	932.1	±	454.8	1255.3	1 ±	414.2		
Oligochaeta	1.3	±	1.7	7.8	±	18.8	1.1	±	1.7	2.3	±	3.4	7.3	±	5.9		
Ostracoda	1.8	±	3.9	1.1	±	1.5	0.3	±	0.4	0.4	±	1.5	2.1	±	2.9		
Polychaeta	0.5	±	0.7	5.4	±	12.4	5.9	±	15.6	12.0	±	17.9	51.1	±	44.8		
Rotifera	1.5	±	3.3	19.1	±	33.7	6.0	±	13.3	0.6	±	1.4	0.3	±	0.4		
Tardigrada	53.2	±	116.9	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		
Turbellarians	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0		

				Active F	Period (AP)								
Таха	S		ļ	١		MA	R		CR			ER	
	Abund.	S.D	Abund.	S.D	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D	Abund.		S.D
Amphipoda	0.0 ±	0.0	0.0	± 0.0	0.3	±	0.9	0.0	±	0.0	0.8	±	1.7
Bivalvia	0.0 ±	0.0	0.0	± 0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	2.6	±	4.4
Copepoda	24.3 ±	32.2	58.1	± 36.6	44.4	±	77.3	37.7	±	37.4	79.7	±	41.3
Gastropoda	0.4 ±	0.6	0.4	± 0.5	0.2	±	0.5	0.2	±	0.5	2.7	±	4.4
Halacaroidea	1.0 ±	1.7	0.8	± 0.9	0.2	±	0.5	0.5	±	1.2	2.9	±	3.5
Kinorhyncha	0.0 ±	0.0	0.1	± 0.4	0.5	±	1.3	0.1	±	0.6	15.0	±	23.7
Crustacean nauplii	101.1 ±	114.0	18.6	± 30.3	13.6	±	25.9	0.5	±	1.0	6.3	±	8.9
Nematoda	235.0 ±	268.2	656.1	± 565.9	535.6	±	55.8	727.7	±	389.6	1798.8	±	1143.0
Oligochaeta	0.1 ±	0.3	0.7	± 1.4	0.6	±	1.0	1.5	±	2.4	4.5	±	5.7
Ostracoda	62.4 ±	130.0	10.8	± 20.6	2.9	±	8.5	0.0	±	0.0	6.3	±	8.3
Polychaeta	2.0 ±	4.5	8.9	± 12.9	1.4	±	2.5	8.1	±	14.7	49.9	±	36.7
Rotifera	0.0 ±	0.0	2.8	± 6.8	10.4	±	24.7	1.3	±	4.4	8.12	±	15.6
Tardigrada	0.7 ±	1.1	0.3	± 0.5	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.0	±	0.0
Turbellarians	0.0 ±	0.0	0.0	± 0.0	0.0	±	0.0	0.1	±	0.3	0.1	±	0.3

¹⁹⁴ 195

196 With regard to meiofauna abundance, PCA "inter" inertia was explained by spatial study (0.8%), 197 period (0.8%) and vegetation (15.2%). Both vegetation and period factors represent 22.8% of total 198 inertia. In terms of biomass, PCA "inter" inertia was explained by spatial study (2.3%), period (2.3%) 199 and vegetation (14.4%). Both vegetation and period represent 24.3% of total inertia (Figure 2). 200 Meiofauna abundance (ANOVA, p<0.05) and biomass (ANOVA, p<0.05) were significantly different 201 in the five mangrove stands. As expected, nematodes (70-94%) and copepods (3-8%) were the most abundant taxa, with 500-1,500 ind.10 cm⁻² and 50-100 ind.10 cm⁻², respectively. Bianchelli et al. 202 203 (2010) and Pusceddu et al. (2011) have used the term "rare meiofauna taxa" for taxa representing 204 <1% of the total meiofauna abundance. Thus, seven groups belong to this category "rare taxa" in the 205 effluent-receiving mangrove: turbellarians, tardigrada, kinorhyncha, halacaroidea, gastropoda, bivalvia 206 and amphipoda whereas pygnogonida has been found twice in one sample out of five.

Meiofauna abundance decreased from the land side to the sea side of the mangrove, the minimum being in the "S" salt flat with 427 ind.10 cm-², and the maximum in the "ER" seaward *Rhizophora* stand, with more than 1,420 ind.10 cm-². The grey mangroves *A. marina*, "A", the mixed grey and stilt mangrove, "MAR", and the inner *R.stylosa*, "CR", stands showed an abundance around 750 ind.10 cm-². Total meiofauna biomass exhibited similar spatial patterns as abundance.





Figure 2 Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of the dual-season spatial study in effluentreceiving mangrove stands using meiofauna biomass. Left panel: loadings representing the extent to which the varaibles are correlated to principal components. Right panel: component scores.

218

219

3.1.1.1 Distribution of the most abundant taxa: nematodes and copepods

Nematode and copepod abundance (ANOVA, p Nem<0.05; p Cop=0.05) and biomass
(ANOVA, p Nem<0.05; p Cop=0.05) were significantly different in the five mangrove stands
(p<0.05). Their abundance slightly increased towards the sea, i.e. from "S" to "ER".

Nematoda represented the largest biomass (37-74%) of meiofauna present in all the mangrove stands. With exception of 47% in salt flat "S" during AP, the proportion of copepods in terms of biomass was about 30% in all the mangrove stands. Relative biomass contribution of polychaeta (third biomass contributor) increased towards the sea, and was very significant in the outer stilt mangrove "ER" (17-23%). Individual mass of nematodes also showed a remarkably progressive increase towards the sea side, with individual mass increasing fourfold, from 0.5 to 2 μ g (Figure 3). Copepod individual mass followed a different pattern. Individual mass around 3 μ g was observed in 4/5 vegetation types: salt

- 230 flat, grey mangrove, mixed grey and stilt mangroves, and seaward stilt mangrove, whereas smaller
- 231 specimens were observed in the inner stilt mangrove (Figure 3).
- 232



Figure 3 Individual mass (μ g) of nematodes and copepods in the different stands of the effluentreceiving mangrove (mean ±S.D). "S" salt-marsh, "A" *A.marina*, "MAR" mixed zone harboring *A. marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa*, "CR" central zone with *R. stylosa* and "ER" seaward edge with *R. stylosa*. (average ± SD); N (numbers of weighted specimens)

- 239
- 240

3.1.1.2 Distribution of rare taxa

Turbellarians have been found in ER in three samples out of five during AP. Tardigrada (relative abundance = $0.79 \pm SD 7.13\%$) seemed to be restricted to less flooded sediments i.e S and to a lesser extent A sediments. They reached 53.2 and 0.7 ind.10 cm⁻² in S during non active period and active period, respectively, and 0.3 ind.10 cm⁻² in A during the active period.

Amphipoda ($0.00 \pm$ SD 0.03%) and bivalvia ($0.06 \pm$ SD 0.21%) rarely exceeded 1.0 ind.10 cm⁻² and have been found more abundant in sediments that are most often flooded (CR and ER). Halacaroidea

247 (mites) (0.06 \pm SD 0.13%) and gastropoda (0.04 \pm SD 0.40%) were ubiquitously collected in five stands in very low abundance <3 ind .10 cm⁻² whatever the period. In addition, anecdotal finding of 248 249 one pygnogonida has been done once in ER in one sample out of five during NAP. Kinorhynchs 250 represented only $0.16 \pm SD 0.52\%$ of the total meiofauna abundance. Kinorhynch abundance and 251 biomass were significantly different in the five mangrove stands (Kruskal-Wallis, p <0.05) with lowest 252 abundances in S, A, MAR, CR and highest in ER. They displayed their highest biomass in "ER" in 253 both spatial studies (van der Waerden test; Chisq= 41.83; p.chisq=3.52e-06) (Figure 4). Same results 254 were obtained with their abundance (not shown). Mites abundance and biomass were significantly 255 different in the five mangrove stands (p<0.05) with lowest abundances in S, A, MAR, CR and highest 256 in ER (van der Waerden test; Chisq= 17.56; p.chisq=0.0015).



257

Figure 4 Importance of kinorhynchs biomass (µg 10 cm⁻²) in different mangrove stands in both spatial studies according van der Waerden test. vdW test identified 3 groups. AP-ER belongs to 1st group; NAP-ER belongs to 2nd group while other pairwises farm "activity-stand" belong to 3rd and/or both two different groups. "S" salt-marsh, "A" *A.marina*, "MAR" mixed zone harboring *A. marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa*, "CR" central zone with *R. stylosa* and "ER" seaward edge with *R. stylosa*. "AP" Active Period. "NAP" Non active period.

264

266

3.1.2. Meiofauna response to crop effluent pressure over an 8-month period in the effluent-receiving mangrove (NAP vs. AP)

268

267

3.1.2.1 Total meiofauna abundance

269 Abundance of total meiofauna was not significantly different (p>0.05) before (1033 \pm SD 86 270 ind. 10 cm⁻²) and after (921 \pm SD 129 ind. 10 cm⁻²) farm activity (NAP vs. AP) in the whole 271 mangrove (p>0.05) or in each stand separately (p>0.05). Among thirteen meiofauna groups 272 determined during the two sampling seasons, ten, including the two major groups nematodes and 273 copepods, showed similar abundance and similar distribution in the different mangrove stands over the 274 two spatial studies. In addition, during the AP, the abundance of crustacean nauplii and ostracods was 275 up to 8 times higher compared to the NAP in the stand the closest to the ponds: the salt-flat "S" and 276 the grey mangrove "A".

- 277
- 278

3.1.2.2 Total meiofauna biomass

279 Biomass of total meiofauna was significantly different before $(635 \pm SD \ \mu g \ 10 \text{cm}^{-2})$ and after 280 $(383 \pm SD 40 \ \mu g \ 10 \ cm^2)$ farm activity (norma.residu.p.value= 0.84; bartlett.p.value= 0.19) in 281 effluent-receiving mangrove (Stand: F.value =12.04; Pr..F.= 9.46e-08; Spatial study: F.value = 15.96; 282 Pr..F.= 1.40e-04). During the NAP, meiobenthic biomass was up to 2 times higher compared to the AP 283 in the CR (Tukey.p.value= 3.06e-02) and MAR (p= 8.49e-02; n.s). Significant larger specimens of 284 nematodes, copepods and polychaetes (p<0.05) were observed in "MAR", "CR" and "ER" during non-285 active period NAP of shrimp farm waste release, partially explaining total meiofauna biomass 286 difference (Figure 5).



Figure 5 Individual mass (µg) of nematodes, copepods and polychetes in "MAR" mixed zone
harboring *A. marina* and *Rhizophora stylosa*, "CR" central zone with *R. stylosa* and "ER" seaward
edge with *R. stylosa* recorded during active period AP and non-active period NAP of shrimp farm
waste release. (average ± SD); N (numbers of weighted specimens).

295

296

3.1.2.3 Rare taxa

There were three times more kinorhynchs in "*ER*" during the AP (Kruskal-Wallis for Spatial study/Vegetation, p<0.05). The abundance of waterbears (tardigrada) in "*S*" was 50 times higher during the NAP (p<0.05). Turbellarians, halacaroidea, gastropoda, bivalvia and amphipoda did not showed significant differences in their abundance during AP and NAP. Pygnogonida were found in "*ER*" only during the NAP.

303 3.2. One-year monitoring in effluent-receiving and controlled A. marina stand 304 3.2.1 Meiofauna 305 3.2.1.1 Total meiofauna abundance in both control and effluent-receiving 306 A. marina stands 307 On average, mean total meiofauna abundance in the effluent-receiving mangrove stand (305.3 308 \pm S.D 38.3 ind.10 cm⁻²) was twice the control mangrove stand (165.2 \pm S.E 29.1 ind.10 cm⁻²). 309 (p<0.05). With regard to meiofauna abundance, PCA "inter" inertia was explained by environmental 310 status (3.7%) and campaign dates (15.2%). Both status and dates represent 30.2% of total inertia. In 311 the sediment of the control A. marina stand, total meiofauna abundance values were fairly stable from 312 February to June 2009, with an average value around 50 ind.10 cm-2, without any significant 313 differences during the 4 sampling campaigns (Wilcoxon Test, p>0.05). Then, abundance increased 314 sharply until September, reaching a maximum of $439.2 \pm SD \ 219.2$ ind 10 cm⁻². From September 2009 to November 2009, it decreased quickly and stabilized at values around 125 ind.10 cm⁻² (24 November 315 316 2009 to 8 February 2010). In the sediment of the effluent-receiving mangrove, when the farm was 317 active, total meiofauna abundance increased significantly from February ($100.1 \pm$ SD 0.3 ind. 10 cm⁻²) to June 2009 (347.4 \pm SD 266.1 ind.10 cm⁻²) and stabilized at around 325 ind.10 cm⁻² from June to 318 August $(312.9 \pm \text{SD } 106.5 \text{ ind.} 10 \text{ cm}^{-2})$. After the final drain (August), i.e during the non-active 319 320 period, abundance increased again and reached a maximum in September (538.9 \pm SD 285.8 ind.10 cm⁻²). It then decreased sharply to stabilize at around 300 ind.10cm⁻² (24 November 2009 to 8 321 322 February 2010).

- 323
- 324
- 325

3.2.1.2 Total meiofauna biomass in both control and effluent-receiving *A*. *marina* stands

The difference was also significant with regard to biomass (p<0.05). On average, effluentsreceiving *A.marina* sediments had a meiofaunal biomass twice as large as the control sediments with $211.2 \pm \text{SD}$ 34.3 and $118.5 \pm \text{SD}$ 19.2 µg.10cm⁻², respectively. In terms of biomass, PCA "inter" inertia was explained by environmental status (3.0%) and campaign dates (17.6%). Both status and dates represent 30.7% of total inertia (Figure 6). Total meiofauna biomass differed significantly in

331 terms of Environmental status (F.value=15.75; Pr..F. 2.04e-04) and campaign date (F.value= 16.22; 332 Pr.F= 1.54e-11) in both control and effluent-receiving A.marina stands. In the control mangrove, the 333 total biomass was low and stable from February to June 2009 without any significant differences 334 during the 4 sampling campaigns (Wilcoxon Test, p>0.05), with values around 35 µg.10cm⁻². It then 335 increased, peaking at 302.7 \pm SD 91.0 μ g.10cm⁻² in September, and eventually decreased to 109.5 \pm 336 SD 33.2 µg .10cm⁻² in February 2010. In the sediment of the effluent-receiving mangrove, when the 337 farm was active, total meiobenthic biomass values were fairly stable from February to June, with an 338 average value around 90 μ g.10 cm⁻², without any significant differences during the 4 sampling 339 campaigns (Wilcoxon Test, p>0.05). In August after the final drain, the biomass increased, reaching 340 $282.4 \pm$ SD 124.1 µg.10cm⁻². During the non-active period of the farm, from August to February, total 341 meiobenthic biomass increased, with a mean value of $300.6 \pm \text{SD} 219.9 \,\mu\text{g}.10 \text{cm}^{-2}$ (Figure 9).

342 ANOSIM showed significant differences (R=0.4199, p<0.05) between meiofauna biomass 343 compositions in both control and exposed A. marina stands (factor "status") during the 8 sampling 344 campaigns from February 2009 to February 2010 (factor "Date"). Similarity of percentage tests 345 (SIMPER) of cumulative contributions of most influential species showed that composition is mainly 346 driven by nematodes and copepods. Actually, copepods (62 and 64% of total biomass in effluent-347 receiving and control mangroves) and nematodes (36% and 34%, respectively) were the most 348 influential groups in terms of biomass (SIMPER analysis) and contributed at least 75% to the 349 difference between groups (cumulative dissimilarity contribution) i.e. 0.786 and 0.774, respectively 350 for nematodes and copepods in effluents-receiving sediments, 0.768 and 0.772 for nematodes and 351 copepods in control sediments, and 0.797 and 0.787 for nematodes and copepods in both sediments 352 (Effluents-receiving vs. control same date).





Figure 6 Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of the one-year monitoring in exposed and controlled *A. marina* stands using meiofauna biomass. Left panel loadings representing the extent to which the variables are correlated to principal components. Right panel: component scores.

359

3603.2.1.3 Temporal changes of nematodes and copepods abundances and361biomass in both control and effluent-receiving A. marina stands

362 The temporal variations of abundance of nematodes and copepods differed between the 363 control and the effluent-receiving A. marina vegetation. During the year, nematode abundance varied between 200 and 350 ind.10cm⁻², except for a peak at 450 ind.10cm⁻² in September in the effluents-364 365 receiving sediments. In the control mangrove, nematodes abundance remained low from February to June ($< 100 \text{ ind}.10 \text{ cm}^{-2}$), and then increased to the same values as those measured in the effluent-366 367 receiving mangrove. From February to June, the abundance of copepods was low and stable with no 368 more than 10 ind.10 cm-² in both sites. From July, a dramatic 900% increase occurred synchronously in both sites, with abundances reaching 100 ind.10cm⁻² in August. However, after this increase, the 369

- abundance of copepods slightly decreased but remained high in the effluent-receiving mangrove (60 to
- 371 80 ind.10 cm⁻²), whereas it gradually decreased to 25 ind.10 cm⁻² in the control site (Figure 7).



372



Figure 7 Nematoda abundance (above) and copepoda abundance (below) (ind. 10 cm⁻²) measured within sediment in the effluent-receiving mangrove and in the control mangrove during 8 campaigns between February 2009 and February 2010. The impact of effluents (February to June) barely registers on copepods while it is very noticeable on nematodes (Control sediment: dotted line with black circle; Effluents receiving sediment: solid line with stars; (average \pm SD)

380

373

382

383

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

3.2.1.4 Temporal changes of rare taxa abundances in both control and

effluent-receiving A. marina stands

384 Three rare taxa were found in very low quantities in the sediments of effluent-receiving and control 385 vegetations. Turbellarians have been observed in February 2010 in the effluent-receiving A.marina 386 stand (0.6 ± SD 1.4 ind.10 cm⁻²) and in September 2009 in the control A.marina stand (0.4 ± SD 0.4 387 ind.10 cm⁻²). Gastropoda have been found in February 2010 in the effluent-receiving A.marina stand $(0.4 \pm \text{SD } 0.5 \text{ ind.} 10 \text{ cm}^{-2})$ and in November 2009 in the control A.marina stand $(0.1 \pm \text{SD } 0.3 \text{ ind.} 10)$ 388 cm⁻²). Pygnogonida have been observed only in February 2010 in the effluent-receiving A.marina 389 390 stand $(4.3 \pm \text{SD } 9.5 \text{ ind.}10 \text{cm}^{-2})$. Neither bivalves nor amphipods have been observed. Kinorhynchs 391 have been found only in the effluent receiving A.marina sediments during 2009 and 2010 hot seasons i.e $0.3 \pm$ SD 0.6 ind.10 cm⁻² (Feb.2009); $0.1 \pm$ SD 0.3 ind.10 cm⁻² (Nov.2009) and $0.1 \pm$ SD 0.3 ind.10 392 393 cm⁻² (Feb.2010). Mites (halacoidea) and waterbears (tardigrada) have been found almost all year round 394 in both control and effluent-receiving A. marina mangroves (Figure 8). Seasonal patterns of their 395 abundance in receiving-effluents sediments mirrored those observed in the control mangrove. On 396 average over the year, waterbears abundances did not differ significantly in effluents receiving and 397 control A.marina sediments (p>0.05), whereas mites were significantly more abundant in control 398 sediments (p<0.05).



401 Figure 8 Rare taxa abundances (halacaroidea: mites, tardigrada: waterbears) (ind. 10 cm⁻²)
402 measured within sediments in the effluent-receiving and in the control *A.marina* vegetations
403 during 8 campaigns between February 2009 and February 2010 (Control sediments: dotted line
404 with black circle; effluent-receiving sediments: solid line with stars).

405 406

407

3.2.2 Microphytobenthos

3.2.2.1 Microphytobenthos temporal evolution

408 Microphytobenthic Chl-a concentrations were significantly different between the two sites 409 (p<0.05). The surface sediment of the effluent-receiving *Avicennia* stand presented three times higher 410 Chl-a concentration than the control sediment, with on average 198.0 \pm SD 14.9 mgChl-a.m⁻² and 73.5 411 \pm SD 4.2 mgChl-a.m⁻², respectively. From February to June, microphytobenthic Chl-a concentrations 412 were relatively stable in the control mangrove (Wilcoxon Test, p>0.05), while they increased and 413 peaked in September and decreased to February. The seasonal change of microphytobenthic Chl-a 414 concentrations in the effluent-receiving mangrove was different to that in the control mangrove. From

February to June, when the farm was active, concentrations ranged between $119.3 \pm SD \ 60.3$ and 110.2 ± SD 54.4 mgChl-a.m⁻², without any significant differences during the 4 sampling campaigns (Wilcoxon Test, p>0.05). In August after the final drain, the concentrations increased, reaching 217.2 ± SD 92.7 mgChl-a.m⁻². During the non-active period of the farm, from August to February, microphytobenthic Chl-a concentrations increased, with a mean value of 269.0 ± SD 113.5 mgChla.m⁻² (Figure 9).



Figure 9 Temporal variations in total meiofauna biomass (μg 10 cm⁻²) and microphytobenthic
 Chl-a (mg m⁻²) (average ± SD) in control mangrove and effluent receiving mangrove. Seasonal
 patterns in meiofaunal biomass mirror the patterns of microphytobenthic Chl-a.

- 427
- 428

3.2.2.2 Parallel microphytobenthos and meiofauna temporal evolution

429 Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient indicated that meiofauna and microphytobenthos 430 biomass were positively correlated and followed very similar patterns at both sites (control t = 4.2159, 431 df = 6, p-value = 0.005586, r= 0.8646534; impacted t = 5.9269, df = 6, p-value = 0.001028, r = 432 0.9241838) during the same period.

4	3	3

434 **4 Discussion**

435 4.1. General characteristics of meiofauna distribution in the whole mangrove area
 436 receiving shrimp farm effluents

437 In the whole mangrove area that has received shrimp farm effluents over a period of 25 years, 438 meiofauna abundance ranged between 70 and 5,137 ind.10 cm^{-2} , which is similar to natural mangrove 439 sediments worldwide (Coull, 1999). The top three contributors to biomass identified in the effluent-440 receiving mangrove were nematodes (57.3%), copepods (31.3%) and annelids (6.3%) confirming that 441 such taxa are the most ubiquitous taxa in mangroves, as observed in Brazil (Netto and Galluci, 2003), 442 in Vietnam (Xuan et al., 2007; Mokievsky et al. 2011), and in India (Chinnadurai and Fernando, 2006; 443 Chinnadurai and Fernando, 2007; Thilagavathi et al., 2011). Consequently, we suggest that 25 years of 444 release of aquaculture effluents into the mangrove has not caused any severe changes in benthic 445 meiofauna in terms of total abundance or biomass. This conclusion is in agreement with that of 446 Molnar et al. (2014), who did not find any signs of saturation, eutrophication or anoxia of the sediment 447 of the same effluents receiving mangrove.

448

449 4.2. Meiofauna distribution in the whole mangrove in relation to mangrove stand and 450 farm activity

451

452

4.2.1. Meiofaunal distribution in the whole mangrove during the non-active period: the influence of mangrove zonation.

453 Meiofauna abundance and biomass increased from the land side to the sea side of the 454 mangrove, i.e. from the closest to the furthest point of the effluent discharge. At first glance, this result 455 may suggest a situation of hyper-eutrophication (Environment Canada, 2010). Nevertheless, individual 456 mass and consequently total biomass did not show any specific changes in relation to the distance 457 from the discharge point. In New Caledonia, from the salt flat to the *Rhizophora* stand, the physic-458 chemical parameters of the sediment present different gradients, notable salinity, water content, and 459 organic matter (Deborde et al., 2015). We thus suggest the abundance and biomass increases towards 460 the sea was rather related to the decreasing salinity of pore waters from the land side to the sea side of

461 the mangrove (Molnar et al., 2014), salinity being recognized as a key parameter of meiofauna 462 distribution. In mangrove ecosystems, salinity is mainly driven by the length of tidal immersion and 463 thus by the elevation of the soil, and thus increases towards the land. The salinity gradient is also 464 responsible for the mangrove species distribution along the tidal zone, the ability of mangrove trees to 465 cope with high salinity differs among species (Marchand et al., 2011). Actually, in New Caledonia, 466 pore-water salinity in salt flats can reach more than 80 ‰ (Marchand et al., 2011), and sediment 467 temperature can be as high as 43°C or more, inducing high evaporation (Leopold et al., 2015). 468 Meiofauna biomass and abundance differed between vegetation, but some differences were also 469 observed within sediments of the same mangrove species. The fringing R. stylosa presented higher 470 abundance and biomass than the inner Rhizophora stand. In fact, Rhizophora trees, growing at the 471 edge of the sea, present higher abundance and more developed root system than inland, and this can 472 create a favorable environment for the development of numerous taxa. Furthermore, this particular 473 sediment consists of a coarser grain size linked to the high energy of the sea side zone, as well as a 474 lower organic content of the sediment linked to tidal flushing (Marchand et al., 2004), which may 475 induced better sediment oxygenation than in the inner Rhizophora zone, which is known to be strongly 476 anoxic (Deborde et al., 2015). With regard to the Avicennia stand, which is situated between the salt 477 flat and the Rhizophora stand, its sediments have high biomass and the highest abundance of 478 meiofauna. In addition to sediment grain size and food availability, Avicennia pneumatophores 479 probably act as a more effective barrier than the *Rhizophora* stilts for meiofauna (Chinnadurai and 480 Fernando (2007). Furthermore, Avicennia's specific root system, by diffusing oxygen into the 481 sediment (Marchand et al., 2004), may create more favorable conditions for meiofauna development. 482 Eventually, Avicennia sp. leaves, which have high nitrogen content and low C/N ratios, decompose 483 faster (Robertson, 1988), and may be more easily accessible to meiofauna than *Rhizophora* leaves that 484 are rich in tannins, which by acidity and/or toxicity adversely affect meiofauna (Alongi, 1987c).

486

487

488

4.2.2. Evolution of meiofauna distribution in the whole mangrove between the active and the non active periods.

Over the course of the 8 month rearing cycle, the total N and P loads to the mangrove were

- 489 approximately 2.3 and 0.5 tons of N and P, respectively, which are equivalent to loads of 79 kg N ha⁻¹ 490 and 19 kg P ha⁻¹ (Molnar et al., 2013). Short-term effects of effluent release on total meiofaunal was 491 expected, and thus samples were collected during the farm's active and non-active periods. At the 492 whole mangrove scale, we did not observe any significant differences in terms of abundance but in 493 terms of biomass between AP and NAP periods, which may seem paradoxical. It is known that 494 intraspecific variation of animal size may be correlated with organic enrichment (Weston, 1990; Grall 495 and Chauvaud, 2002). In fact, significant smaller specimens of nematodes, copepods and polychaetes 496 were found during the active period. In the present study, releasing effluents into the mangrove led to 497 a decrease in the length of sediment air exposure, a reduced availability of dissolved oxygen in pore 498 waters, and thus to more hypoxic conditions (Molnar et al., 2014). One explanation would be that the 499 transient combination of moderate organic enrichment and reduced availability of dissolved oxygen in 500 pore waters during the AP may selectively promote the smaller species. Additionally, effluent release 501 occurred during the cold season, when the metabolism of benthic organisms is at its minimum (Santos 502 et al., 1996), and the final drain occurred just before the seasonal temperature increase, a period during 503 which the microphytobenthos biomass increased in the control mangrove. The seasonal variations may 504 be responsible for this difference in biomass. A reversal of the NAP (June) vs. AP (November) 505 situation from the one studied in the present study would have been extremely informative to 506 distinguish the respective influence of farm and seasons. However, owing to reduced profits, New 507 Caledonian shrimp farmers no longer stock their ponds in the cold season (May-June) with a view to 508 harvest in the hot season (November-December). Consequently, this sampling strategy cannot be 509 developed in New Caledonia. Hence, we have carried out a parallel one-year monitoring of meiofauna 510 distribution in the Avicennia stand, the closest vegetated stand to the ponds, both in the effluent-511 receiving mangrove and in a control stand.
- 512

4.3. Respective influence of season and farm activity on meiofauna in the *A. marina* stand receiving the effluents.

515

516

4.3.1 Response of specific taxa

4.3.1.1 Most abundant taxa: nematodes and copepods

517 At the crop scale, the impact of effluents was not identifiable on copepods but was noticeable 518 on nematodes in the Avicennia stand (Figure 7). Copepods abundance remained low throughout the 519 farm's active period. Consequently, the massive arrival of water enriched in potential food sources did 520 not stimulated copepods development. We suggest that main changes displayed by copepods 521 populations are mainly related to their natural cycle (reproduction), as their blooms occurred 522 simultaneously in the effluent-receiving and in the control mangroves characterized by significant 523 different microphytobenthos biomass. Nematode populations displayed an opposite trend than that of 524 copepods. Shrimp farm effluents seemed to highly stimulate their development, while they remained 525 low in the control site over the period February to June 2009. Shrimp farm wastes contain highly 526 diversified phytoplankton cells up to 20 millions cel.ml⁻¹ (Della Patrona and Brun, 2009) that 527 constitute a very important food source for epistrate feeders, that are known to directly assimilate it 528 (Olafsson and Elmgren, 1997). We thus suggest that these phytoplankton-rich effluents directly and 529 specifically enhance epistrate-feeder populations that are the dominant trophic nematofauna group in 530 the A.marina stand (Chinnadurai and Fernando, 2007). Under the influence of anoxic conditions, the 531 general pattern consists of an increase in "less sensitive" nematodes in conjunction with a decrease in 532 "very sensitive" copepods (Vezzulli et al. 2003; Moreno et al., 2008). However, the semi-intensive 533 rearing system of New Caledonia did not led to such severe conditions and did not disrupt copepods 534 life cycle as reported in sediments subjected to mussel farm biodeposition (Danovaro et al., 2004) or 535 to some peculiar well managed fish farms (Holmer et al., 2008; Mirto et al., 2010).

536

537

4.3.1.2 Rare taxa

The large dominance of nematodes, copepods and polychaetes can mask the presence or the evolution of other taxa (Bianchelli et al., 2010; Pusceddu et al., 2011). Some rare taxa are recognized as providing more reliable and clear results on the degree of eutrophication than ubiquitous groups

541 (Mirto et al., 2010; Gambi et al., 2010. In this regard, kinorhynchs, is the most frequently rare taxon 542 examined in aquaculture studies (Mazzola et al., 1999; Mazzola et al., 2000; Nadjek et al., 2007; 543 Holmer et al., 2008; Grego et al., 2009). In the present study, kinorhynchs populations displayed an 544 opposite trend to what was expected. They were present in impacted sediments and absent in control 545 ones. Actually, we assume that owing to its characteristics (low water and OM contents, high pore 546 water salinity and elevated insolation), the sediment of the control site had probably insufficient trophic capacity for kinorhynchs development. Furthermore, this taxon was only observed during the 547 548 hot season (November to February), confirming their elevated requirements. Mites, which are usually 549 observed in intertidal environments (Marshall et al., 2001), may be very abundant in tropical estuaries 550 (Nozais et al., 2005). In this study, mites abundance peaked during the cold season (June to August) 551 while usually maximum abundance of meiofauna peaks in the warm months (Giere, 1993). However, 552 individual taxa or species may reach maximum abundance at different periods (Higgins and Thiel, 553 1988) a fortiori in tropical conditions where differences in temperatures are less pronounced. As stated 554 for tardigrades, seasonal shrimp farm activity (AP) did not disturb the natural cycle of mites in 555 A.marina sediments. However, mites were found three times less abundant in the effluents-receiving 556 A.marina stand than in the control one. Unlike kinorhynchs, mites were ubiquitously found in the 557 different mangrove stands and almost all year round. Consequently, we suggest that this rare taxon 558 may be a useful indicator of long term shrimp farm biodeposition in mangrove.

- 559
- 560

4.3.2. Total meiofauna and microphytobenthos parallel changes

561

4.3.2.1 Response of microphytobenthos to shrimp farm effluents

In addition to the meiofauna distribution, we were also interested in the Chl-a content of the surface sediment in the *A.marina* stand. Actually, along the mangrove zonation in New Caledonia, Leopold et al. (2013) showed that the *A.marina* stand, with its intermediate position in the tidal zone, was the preferential zone for the development of MPB, because i) the canopy cover was not dense and enabled solar radiation to reach the soil and ii) ideal soil water content, not immerged all the time and never dry. In the present study, the surface sediment of the effluent-receiving *A.marina* stand had a Chl-a concentration three times higher and a meiofaunal biomass, as well as a total abundance, twice

as large as the control sediment, demonstrating the influence of shrimp farming on this mangrove. However, Chl-a concentrations never exceeded a threshold above which, it is possible to consider an eutrophication of the ecosystem, which is consistent with previous results showing the light evolution of the effluent-receiving mangrove (Molnar et al., 2013; 2014; Debenay et al., 2015). Thus, microphytobenthos (MPB) biomass can be a good descriptor of shrimp farm effluents disturbance in mangrove.

575

576

4.3.2.2 Complex interaction between meiofauna and microphytobenthos

577 Seasonal patterns in meiofaunal biomass mirrored the patterns of microphytobenthic Chl-a 578 highlighting a possible causal trophic relationship. Actually, most of meiofauna taxa are important 579 consumers of microphytobenthos (Nozais et al., 2005). In open areas, contradictory results have been 580 observed (Mirto et al., 2007). La Rosa et al. (2001) reported that meiofaunal and microphytobentic 581 biomass increased synchronously in response to organic enrichment under fish cages. At the opposite, 582 Vezzulli et al. (2003) reported that meiofauna abundance was not correlated to the microphytobenthos 583 or that of bacteria. In our study site, Aschenbroich et al. (2015) have shown that mangrove benthic 584 organic matter is qualitatively and quantitatively affected by shrimp farm effluent release and that 585 responses to environmental condition changed depend on mangrove stand characteristics. 586 Additionally, it was demonstrated that i) the OM exported from the ponds stimulated oxygen demand 587 and nutrient regeneration rates in sediments of the closest mangrove stand, resulting in large effluxes 588 of dissolved organic and inorganic nutrients into the overlying water, ii) benthic primary productivity 589 at sediment surface was enhanced, even after the cessation of the release, iii) microalgal communities 590 shifted (Aschenbroich et al., 2015; Molnar et al., 2013; 2014; Debenay et al., 2015). We thus suggest 591 that qualitative and quantitative changes in MPB can directly influence meiofauna development in 592 A.marina stand, however it is difficult to determine the respective influence of natural seasonal 593 evolution and effluents on MPB development. In fact, trophic interaction between different benthic 594 components as organic matter quality (carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, Chl-a contents), meiofauna, 595 bacteria and protozoa are very complex (Danovaro et al., 2004; Vezzulli et al., 2003).

597 **5.** Conclusions

598

599 This study suggests that abundance, biomass and individual mass of meiofauna taxa rather 600 vary according mangrove zonation than under the influence of shrimp farm effluents. Meiofauna sea-601 land gradients cannot be attributed to an eventual flume effect of shrimp farm wastes but rather to 602 different biogeochemical properties of sediments induced by different mangrove roots systems and/or 603 length of tidal immersion. The *Rhizophora* stand was characterized by the highest meiofauna biomass, 604 however the stand with the largest ecological interest was the A.marina stand, which harbored both 605 higher meiofaunal abundance and higher microphytobenthic biomass. We suggest that the meiofauna 606 development was linked to the quantity and quality of the MPB, which were driven both by the release 607 of effluents and the climatic seasonal evolution. The fact that the final drain of the shrimp ponds 608 occurred just before the seasonal temperature increase induced a boosted algal bloom and meiofauna 609 development. It is thus difficult to conclude on the influence on releasing shrimp farm effluents on 610 meiofauna distribution in mangrove on a short term. However, the long-term (25 years) effect of effluents led to a situation where mangrove sediments presented higher meiofaunal abundance and 611 612 biomass that the control one, and more interestingly, were characterized by additional taxonomic 613 groups compared to the control site. Consequently, our results suggest that semi-intensive farming in 614 the investigated system (FAO) has a low impact on the environmemental quality of the adjacent 615 mangrove. However, it does not seem appropriate to extrapolate this result to all New Caledonian 616 farms, because the amount of effluents released in the mangrove per area unit, and the way they are 617 released may differ from one farm to another. Shrimp farming is one of the main cause of mangrove 618 destruction worldwide, this study shows that other practices exist, and that mangrove and shrimp-619 farming can co-exist.

620

621 Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the ZONECO Program. We thank the shrimp farming company
FAO, and Christophe Canel, which kindly made this study possible. The authors would like to thank
the two anonymous reviewers, who made valuable suggestions for improving the manuscript.

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
625	
626	References
627	
628	Alongi, D.M., 1987a. Inter-estuary variation and intertidal zonation of freeliving nematode
629	communities in tropical mangrove systems. Marine Ecology Progress Series 40, 103-114.
630	
631	Alongi, D.M., 1987b. Intertidal zonation and seasonality of meiobenthos in tropical mangrove
632	estuaries. Marine Biology 95, 447-448.
633	
634	Alongi, D.M., 1987c. The influence of mangrove-derived tannins on intertidal meiobenthos in tropical
635	estuaries. Oecologia 71, 537-540.
636	
637	Ansari, Z.A., Sreepada, R.A., Matondkar, S.G.P., Parulekar, A.H., 1993. Meiofauna stratification in
638	relation to microbial food in a tropical mangrove mudflat. Tropical Ecology 34, 63-75.
639	
640	Aschenbroich, A., Marchand, C., Molnar, N., Deborde, J., Hubas, C., Rybarczyk, H., Meziane, T.,
641	2015. Spatio-temporal variations in the composition of organic matter in surface sediments of a
642	mangrove receiving shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia). Science of the Total Environment, 512,
643	296-307.
644	
645	Bianchelli, S., Gambi, C., Zeppilli, D., Danovaro, R., 2010. Metazoan meiofauna in deep-sea canyons
646	and adjacent open slopes: a large-scale comparison with focus on the rare taxa. Deep Sea Research I
647	57, 420-433.
648	
649	Bianchelli, S., Pusceddu, A., Buschi, E., Danovaro, R., 2016. Trophic status and meiofauna
650	biodiversity in the Norther Adriatic Sea : Insights for the assessment of good environmental status.
651	Marine Environmental Research 113, 18-30.

653	Bottrell, H.H., Duncan, A., Gliwicz, Z.M., Grygierck, E., Herzig, A., Hillbricht-Ilkowska, A.,
654	Kurusawa, H., Larsson, P., Wegelnska, T., 1976. A review of some problems in zooplankton
655	production studies. Norwegian Journal Zoology 24, 419-456.
656	
657	Castel , J., Labourg, P.J., Escavarage, V., Auby, I., Garcia, M.E., 1989. Influence of seagrass beds and
658	oysters park on the abundance and biomass patterns of meio-and macrobenthos in tidal flats.
659	Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 28, 71-85
660	
661	Chinnadurai, G., Fernando, O.J., 2006. Meiobenthos of Cochin Mangroves (Southwest Coast of India)
662	with emphasis on free living marine nematode assemblages. Russian Journal of Nematology 14, 127-
663	137.
664	
665	Chinnadurai, G., Fernando, O.J., 2007. Meiofauna of Mangroves of the Southeast Coast of India with
666	special reference to the free-living marine nematode assemblage. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
667	72, 329-336.
668	
669	Coull, B.C, 1999. Role of meiofauna in estuarine soft bottoms Habitats. Australian Journal of Ecology
670	24, 327-343
671	
672	Costanzo, S.D., O'Donohue, M.J., Dennison, W.C., 2004. Assessing the influence
673	and distribution of shrimp pond effluent in a tidal mangrove creek in North-East
674	Australia. Marine Pollution Bulletin 48, 514-525.
675	
676	Danovaro, R., Gambi, R., Luna, G.M., Mirto, S., 2004. Sustainable impact of mussel farming in the
677	Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea): evidence from biochemical, microbial and meiofaunal indicators.
678	Marine Pollution Bulletin, 49, 325-333.
679	

- Debenay, J.-P., Marchand, C., Molnar, N., Aschenbroich, A., Meziane, T., 2015. Foraminiferal
 assemblages as bioindicators to assess potential pollution of mangroves acting as a natural biofilter for
 shrimp farm effluents (New Caledonia). Marine Pollution Bulletin 93, 103-120.
- 683
- Deborde, J., Marchand, C., Molnar, N., Della Patrona, L., Meziane, T., 2015. Concentrations and
 fractionation of carbon, iron, sulfur, nitrogen and phosphorus in mangrove sediments along an
 intertidal gradient (semi-arid climate, New Caledonia). Journal of Marine Science and Engineering 3,
 52-72.
- 688
- 689 Della Patrona, L., Brun, P., 2009. Elevage de la crevette bleue en Nouvelle-Calédonie Litopenaeus
 690 stylirostris Bases biologiques et zootechnie.LEAD/NC 320 p.7
- 691

692 Dinet, A., Sornin, J.M., Sablière, A., Delmas, D., Feuillet-Girard, M., 1990. Influence de la
693 biodéposition de bivalves filtreurs sur les peuplements méiobenthiques d'un marais maritime. Cahiers
694 de Biologie Marine 31, 307-322.

695

Environnement Canada., 2010. Guide technique pour l'étude du suivi des effets sur l'environnement
aquatique par les fabriques de pâtes et papiers. Aperçu du programme 2010. Environnement Canada,
Bureau national des ESEE, Institut national de recherche sur les eaux, Gatineau (Québec), Canada.

- Gambi, C., Lampadariou, N., Danovaro, R., 2010. Latitudinal, longitudinal and bathymetric patterns
 of abundance, biomass of metazoan meiofauna : importance of the rare taxa and anomalies in the deep
 Mediterranean Sea. Advances in Oceanography and Limnology 1, 167-198.
- 703
- Giere, O., 1993. Meiobenthology. The microscopic fauna in Aquatic Sediments. Springer-Verlag.329p.
- 706

707	Gradinger, R., Friedrich, C., Spindler, M., 1999. Abundance, biomass and composition of the sea ice
708	biota of the Greenland Sea pack ice. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography 46,
709	1457–1472.
710	
711	Grall, J., Chauvaud, L., 2002. Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and
712	concepts. Global Change Biology, 8, 813-830.
713	
714	Grego, M., De Troch, M., Forte, J., Malej, A., 2009. Main meiofauna taxa as an indicator for assessing
715	the spatial and seasonal impact of fish farming. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58, 1178-1186.
716	
717	Guo, Y., Della Patrona, L., Reznik-Orignac, J., Boucher, G., 2005. La méiofaune des bassins à
718	crevettes Litopenaeus stylirostris du syndrome d'hiver en Nouvelle-Calédonie. Rapport de contrat
719	universitaire Ifremer/CNRS N°2004 7 7523400. 20pp.
720	
721	Higgins, R.P., Thiel, H., 1988. Introduction to the study of Meiofauna. Published by the Smisthsonian
722	Institution Press. Washington, D.C. London. 488p. Published by the Smisthsonian Institution Press.
723	Washington, D.C. London. 488p.
724	
725	Holmer, M., Frederiksen, M., Pusceddu, A., Danovaro, R., Mirto, S., Perez, M., Marbà, N., Duarte,
726	C.M., Diaz-Almela, E., Tsapakis, M., Karakassis, Y. 2008. Effects of fish-farm waste on Posidonia
727	oceanica meadows: synthesis and provision of management tools. Marine Pollution Bulletin 56, 1618-
728	1629
729	
730	Lacerda, L.D., 2006. Inputs of Nitrogen and Phosphorus to Estuaries of Northeastern Brazil from
731	Intensive Shrimp Farming. Brazilian Journal of Aquatic Science and Technology 10,13-27.
732	
733	Lamparadariou N., Karakassis, I., Terasche, S., Arlt, G., 2005. Changes in the benthic meiofaunal
734	assemblages in the vicinity of fish farms in the eastern Mediterranean. Vie et Milieu 55, 61-69.

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
735	
736	La Rosa, T., Mirto, S., Mazzola, A., Danovaro, R., 2001. Differential responses of benthic microbes
737	and meiofauna to fish-farm disturbance in coastal sediments. Environmental Pollution 112, 427-434.
738	
739	Leopold, A., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Chaduteau, C., Allenbach, M., 2013. Influence of mangrove
740	zonation on CO 2 fluxes at the sediment-air interface (New Caledonia). Geoderma 202, 62-70,
741	
742	Leopold, A., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Allenbach, A., 2015. Temporal variability of CO2 fluxes at
743	the sediment-air interface in mangroves (New Caledonia). Science of The Total Environment 502,
744	617-626
745	
746	McKinnon, A.D., Trott, L.A., Alongi, D.M., Davidson, A., 2002. Water column production
747	and nutrient characteristics in mangrove creeks receiving shrimp farm effluent. Aquaculture Research
748	33, 55-73.
749	
750	Marchand, C., Baltzer, F., Lallier-Vergès, E., Albéric, P., 2004. Pore water chemistry in mangrove
751	sediments: relationship with species composition and developmental stages (French Guiana). Marine
752	geology 208, 361-381.
753	
754	Marchand, C., Lallier-Vergès, E., Allenbach, M., 2011. Redox conditions and heavy metals
755	distribution in mangrove forests receiving effluents from shrimp farm effluents (Teremba bay, New
756	Calodenia). J Soils and Sediments 11, 529-541
757	
758	Marshall, D.J., Perissinotto, R., Nozais, C., Haines, C.J., Proche, S., 2001. Occurrenceof the
759	astigmatid mite Tyrophagusin estuarine benthic sediments. Journal of the Marine Biological
760	Association of the United Kingdom 81, 889-890.
761	

- 762 Mazzola, A., Mirto, S., Danovaro, R., 1999. Initial Fish-Farm impact on meiofaunal assemblages in
- 763 coastal sediments of the Western Mediterranean. Marine Pollution Bulletin 38, 1126-1133.
- 764
- 765 Mazzola, A., Mirto, S., La rosa, T., Fabiano, M., Danovaro, R., 2000. Fish-farming effects on benthic
- 766 community structure in coastal sediments: analysis of meiofaunal recovery. ICES Journal of Marine

767 Science 57, 1454-1461.

768

- Mirto, S., Pusceddu, A., Gambi, C., Holmer, M., Danovaro, R., 2007. Ecological assessment of
 aquaculture impact in the Mediterranean Sea. Impact of mariculture on coastal ecosystems 32, 21-28.
 Workshop Monographs CIESM.
- 772

Mirto, S., Bianchelli, S., Gambi, C., Krzelj, M., Pusceddu, A., Scopa, M., Holmer, M., Danovaro, R.,
2010. Fish-farm impact on metazoan meiofauna in the Mediterranean Sea : Analysis of regional vs.
habitat effects. Marine Environmental Research 69, 38-47.

776

Mirto, S., Gristina, M., Sinopoli, M., Maricchiolo, G., Genovese, L., Vizzini, S., Mazzola, A., 2012.
Meiofauna as an indicator for assessing the impact of fish farming at an exposed marine site.
Ecological Indicators, 18, 468-476.

780

- Mirto, S., Arigò, C., Genovese, L., Pusceddu, A., Gambi, C., Danovaro, R., 2014. Nematode
 assemblage response to fish-farm impact in vegetated (*Posidonia oceanica*) and non-vegetated
 habitats. Aquaculture Environment Interactions, 5, 17-28.
- 784
- Mokievsky, V.O., Tchesunov, A.V., Udalov, A.A., Nguen Duy Toan., 2011. Quantitative distribution
 of meiobenthos and the structure of the free-living nematode community in the mangrove intertidal
 zone in Nha Trang Bay (Vietnam) in the South China Sea. Russian Journal of Marine Biology 37,
 272-283.

790	Molnar, N.,	Welsh,	D.T, M	larchand,	C., 1	Deborde,	J.,	Meziane,	Т.,	2013	3.]	Impacts	of	shrimp	farm
791	effluents on	water	quality,	benthic	met	abolism	and	l N-dynai	nics	in	a	mangrov	ve	forest	(New
792	Caledonia). E	stuarine	e Coasta	1 and She	lf Sci	iences 11'	7, 12	2-21.							

793

Molnar, N., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Della Patrona, L., Meziane, T., 2014. Seasonal pattern of the

biogeochemical properties of mangrove sediments receiving shrimp farm ef- fluents (New Caledonia).

Jounal of Aquaculture Research and Development 5, 262-270.

797

Moreno, M., Vezzulli, L., Marin, V., Laconi, P., Albertelli, G., fabiano, M., 2008. The use of
meiofauna diversity as an indicator of pollution in harbours. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 65:
1428-1435.

801

Najdek, M., Travizi, A., Bogner, D., Blazina, M., 2007. Low impact of marine fish farming on
sediment and meiofauna in Limski Channel (Northern Adriatic, Croatia). Fresenius Environmental
Bulletin 16, 784-791.

805

Netto, S.A., Gallucci, F., 2003. Meiofauna and macrofauna communities in a mangrove from the
island of Santa Catarina, South Brazil. Hydrobiologia 505, 159-170.

808

Nozais, C., Perissinotto, R., Tita, G., 2005. Seasonal dynamics of meiofauna in a South African
Temporarily-open Estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Sciences62, 325-338.

811

812 Olafsson, E., Elmgren, R., 1997. Seasonal dynamics of Sublittoral meiobenthos in relation to
813 phytoplankton sedimentation in the Baltic Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 45, 149-164.

814

815 Olafsson, E., Johnston, R.W., Ndaro, S.G.M., 1995. Effects of intensive seaweed farming on the 816 meiobenthos in a tropical lagoon. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 191, 101-117.

818	Pusceddu, A., Dell'Anno, A., Fabiano, M., Danovaro, R. 2008. Quantity, biochemical composition
819	and bioavailability of sediment organic matter as complementary signatures of benthic trophic status.
820	Marine Ecology Progress Series, 375, 41-52
821	
822	Pusceddu, A., Bianchelli, S., Gambi, C., Danovaro, R., 2011. Assessment of benthic trophic status of
823	marine coastal ecosystems: significance of meiofaunal rare taxa. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science
824	93, 420-430.
825	Q
826	Riemann, F., Ernst, W., Ernst, R., 1990. Acetate uptake from ambient water by the free-living
827	nematode Adoncholaimus thalassophygas. Marine Biology 104, 453-457.
828	
829	Robertson, A.I., 1988. Decomposition of mangrove leaf litter in tropical
830	Australia. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 116, 235-247.
831	
832	Ruttner-Kolisko, A., 1977. Suggestions for biomass calculation of plankton rotifers. Archiv für
833	hydrobiology 8, 71-76.
834	
835	Santos, P., Castel, J.P., Souza-Santos, L.P., 1996. Seasonal variability of meiofaunal abundance in the
836	oligo-mesohaline area of the Gironde Estuary, France. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 43, 549-
837	563.
838	
839	Thilagavathi, B., Das, B., Saravanakumar, A., Raja, K., 2011. Benthic meiofaunal composition and
840	community in the Sethukuda mangrove area and adjacent open Sea, East Coast of India. Ocean
841	Science Journal 46, 63-72.
842	
843	Thomas, Y., Courties, C., El Helwe, Y., Herbland, A., Lemonnier, H., 2010. Spatial and temporal
844	extension of eutrophication associated with shrimp farm wastewater discharges in the New Caledonia

845 lagoon. Marine Pollution Bulletin 61, 387-398.

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
846	
847	Vanhove, S., Vincx, M., Gansbeke, D.V., Gijselinck, W., Schram, D., 1992. The miobenthos of five
848	mangrove vegetation types in Gazi Bay, Kenya. Hydrobiologia, 247, 99-108.
849	
850	Vezzulli, L., Marrale., D., Moreno, M.P., Fabiano, M., 2003. Sediment organic matter and meiofauna
851	community response to long-term fish farm impact in the Ligurian Sea (Western Mediterranean).
852	Chemistry and Ecology 19, 431-440.
853	
854	Vezzulli, L., Moreno, M., Marin, V., Pezzati, E., Bartoli, M., Fabiano, M., 2008. Organic waste impact
855	of capture-based Atlantic Bluefin Tuna aquaculture at an exposed site in the Mediterranean Sea.
856	Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 78, 369-384.
857	
858	Warwick, R.M., Price, R., 1979. Ecological and metabolic studies on free living Nematodes from an
859	estuarine mud flat. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 9, 257-271.
860	
861	Warwick, R.M., Gee, J.M., 1984. Community structure of estuarine Meiobenthos. Marine Ecology
862	Progress Series18, 97-111.
863	
864	Weston, D.P., 1990. Quantitative examination of macrobenthic community changes along an organic
865	enrichment gradient. Marine Ecology Progress Series 61, 233-244.
866	
867	Wieser, W., 1960. Benthic studies in Buzzards Bay.II. The meiofauna. Limnology and Oceanography
868	5, 121-137.
869	
870	Xuan, Q.N., Vanreusel, A., Thanh, N.V., Smol, N., 2007. Biodiversity of Meiofauna in the Intertidal
871	Khe Nhan Mudflat, Can Gio Mangrove Forest, Vietnam with special emphasis on free living
872	nematodes. Ocean Science Journal 42, 135-152.

- 874 Yentsch, C.S., Menzel, D.W., 1963. A method for the determination of phytoplankton chlorophyll and
- 875 phaeophytin by fluorescence. Deep-Sea Research 10, 221-231.
- 876
- 877
- 878

- 13 taxonomic groups of meiofauna were identified in mangrove sediments
- Meiofauna abundance and biomass increased from the land side to the sea side
- Meiofauna distribution was controlled by the mangrove zonation
- Short term effect of aquaculture effluents on meiofauna distribution were not evidenced
- Long term effects were higher meiofaunal diversity, abundance and biomass