



HAL
open science

Community Structure of Tintinnid Ciliates of the Microzooplankton in the South East Pacific Ocean: Comparison of a High Primary Productivity with a Typical Oligotrophic Site

John R. Dolan, Audrey Gimenez, Veronique Cornet, Alain de Verneil

► **To cite this version:**

John R. Dolan, Audrey Gimenez, Veronique Cornet, Alain de Verneil. Community Structure of Tintinnid Ciliates of the Microzooplankton in the South East Pacific Ocean: Comparison of a High Primary Productivity with a Typical Oligotrophic Site. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 2016, 63 (6), pp.813-822 10.1111/jeu.12328 . hal-01325389

HAL Id: hal-01325389

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01325389>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

3 **Community structure of tintinnid ciliates of the microzooplankton in the South**
4 **East Pacific Ocean: comparison of a high primary productivity with a typical**
5 **oligotrophic site**

6 John R. Dolan^a, Audrey Gimenez^b, Veronique Cornet-Barthaux^b and Alain de Verneil^b

7 ^a Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS UMR 7093, Laboratoire
8 d'Océanographie de Villefranche de Villefranche-sur-Mer (LOV), 06230 Villefranche-sur-
9 Mer, France

10 Telephone number +33 4 93 76 38 22

11 FAX number +33 4 76 38 34

12 e-mail: dolan@obs-vlfr.fr

13 ^bMIO (Institut méditerranéen d'océanologie), Campus de Luminy, 13288 Marseille Cedex
14 9, France.

15 **Correspondence**

16 John R. Dolan, CNRS, UMR 7093, B.P. 28, Station Zoologique, Laboratoire
17 d'Océanographie de Villefranche-sur-Mer, 06230 Villefranche-sur-Mer, France;

18 dolan@obs-vlfr.fr

19 **ABSTRACT**

20 Transient 'hot spots' of phytoplankton productivity occur in the generally oligotrophic
21 Southern Pacific Ocean and we hypothesized that the population structure of tintinnid
22 ciliates, planktonic grazers, would differ from that of a typical oligotrophic sites. Samples
23 were collected over a 1 week period at each of two sites between Fiji and Tahiti: one of
24 elevated chlorophyll *a* concentrations and primary productivity with an abundance of N-
25 fixing cyanobacteria *Trichodesmium*, and a distant oligotrophic site. Tintinnid
26 abundance differed between the sites by a factor of 2. A single species (*Favella* sp.),
27 absent from the oligotrophic site, highly dominated the 'hot spot' site. However, total
28 species richness was identical (71 spp) as well as short-term temporal variability (2-4
29 days). At both sites species abundance distributions most closely fit a log-series or log-
30 normal distribution and the abundance distributions of ecological types, forms of
31 distinct lorica oral diameter, were the typical geometric. Morphological diversity was
32 only slightly lower at the high productivity site. We found that communities of these
33 plankton grazers in 'hot spots' of phytoplankton productivity in oligotrophic systems
34 differ little from surrounding oligotrophic areas.

35 **Keywords:** biogeography, biodiversity, plankton, species abundance distribution,
36 Choreotrichia, *Trichodesmium*

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 TINTINNIDS are loricate ciliates of the marine microzooplankton which groups
46 heterotrophic or mixotrophic planktonic organisms ranging in size from 20 to 200 µm.
47 Microzooplankton are thought to account for the consumption of most of the primary
48 production in the world ocean (Calbet & Landry 2004). While microzooplankton is

51 usually numerically dominated by ciliates and heterotrophic dinoflagellates, it is actually
a very heterogenous group taxonomically, ecologically, and morphologically (e.g., Beers
1982). It commonly includes many other protist taxa such as acantharia and radiolaria
54 as well as the larval forms of metazoan taxa. Within this heterogeneous group, tintinnid
ciliates are a species-rich group, coherent in terms of phylogeny, ecology and
morphology, and are also relatively well studied (Dolan 2013).

57 Tintinnids are characterized by the possession of a shell or lorica into which the
ciliate cell contracts when disturbed. Morphology and structure of the lorica is
classically the basis for distinguishing species and grouping higher-level taxa, although
its utility has been regularly disputed (e.g., Agatha et al. 2013; Santoferrara et al. 2016).
60 The aperture through which the ciliate cell extends when swimming and feeding, termed
the lorica oral opening, is a taxonomically conservative characteristic of the lorica
(Laval-Peuto & Brownlee 1986). It is also a key ecological character because the size of
63 the opening, the lorica oral diameter (LOD), is related not only to the maximum prey size
ingested, but also the optimum prey size in terms of maximum clearance rate, and the
maximum rate of cell division (Dolan 2010; Montagnes 2013). Tintinnid species of
66 similar LOD are then ecologically similar in terms of feeding characteristics and
maximum growth capacity. Not surprisingly perhaps, assemblages of tintinnids appear
to be organized by mouth size (Dolan et al. 2009; 2013).

69 The structure of tintinnid populations has been studied in a wide variety of
marine systems. In species-rich assemblages (tropical, subtropical and temperate
systems), species abundance distributions resemble a long-tailed lognormal or log-
72 series distribution, as is the case for most species-rich communities (e.g. Magurran
1974). Grouping organisms by the size of the LOD, rather than species identity, reveals a
geometric distribution. The most densely populated LOD size-classes contain not only
75 the dominant tintinnid species but generally several other species as well, species of
apparently similar ecological characteristics, ecological redundant or stand-in species,
accompanying the dominants. This pattern has been found in tropical and subtropical
78 assemblages (Dolan et al. 2007; Dolan et al. 2013; Sitran et al. 2007). In contrast, the
relatively species-poor assemblages of high latitudes (i.e., polar and sub-polar) do not
show the same pattern. Species richness, as well as species redundancy, is markedly
81 lower and dominant species are alone in their size classes. This pattern was been
reported for assemblages in both the Southern (Santoferrara & Alder 2012) and the
Northern Hemisphere (Dolan et al. 2016). While the patterns appear coherent among
84 similar systems, little is known with regard to temporal variability over short time-
scales or responses to transient changes in the environment. To our knowledge only the
sub-tropical N.W. Mediterranean tintinnid assemblages have been studied in this regard.
87 The assemblages were found to be fairly stable over a period of 4 weeks. There was an
invariant set of dominant core species accompanied by their redundants, and a variable
assemblage of transient species (Dolan et al. 2009). However, the study site was also
90 quite stable in terms of the hydrology and most biological parameters (Anderson et al.
2009). Variability is perhaps of most interest in tropical assemblages with the twin
puzzling characteristics of high species richness and low abundances (Kofoid 1930).

93 Once thought to be stable 'desert areas' of the world ocean, tropical oligotrophic
gyres are now known to be subject to transient phytoplankton blooms, many associated
with blooms of the N-fixing cyanobacteria *Trichodesmium* (Westbury & Siegel 2006;
96 Wilson & Qiu 2008). While *Trichodesmium* itself is apparently subject to low grazing
rates (Capone et al. 1997), field experiments have shown that a large portion (up to
47%) of the nitrogen fixed by *Trichodesmium* may be transferred to co-occurring

99 primary producers thus fueling blooms of other species (e.g., Mulholland et al. 2014).
Consequently, *Trichodesmium* blooms are considered to be likely of considerable
102 importance in overall budgets of energy and matter in the oligotrophic gyres (Dore et al.
2008).

The OUTPACE cruise was designed specifically to sample a variety of trophic
conditions across a large zone in the SE Pacific Ocean in which blooms of *Trichodesmium*
105 have been reported. Here we present data from sampling at two contrasting stations
separated by 500 km between Fiji and Tahiti (Fig. 1), each occupied for a one-week
period. To our knowledge these are first data on microzooplankton in a *Trichodesmium*
108 bloom and the first data on short-term temporal variability in tropical oceanic waters.
We hypothesized that the tintinnid assemblage in the *Trichodesmium* bloom station,
with relatively high concentrations of food resources, would support a larger population
111 but of reduced diversity, based on observations from the SE Pacific (Dolan et al. 2007).
Data from a wide range of conditions encountered across the SE Pacific overall
suggested that tintinnid diversity was negatively related to chlorophyll concentration
114 and positively related to chlorophyll dispersion as the depth of the chlorophyll
maximum layer (Dolan et al. 2007). We predicted then that the 'hot spot' of productivity
would show lower species richness, and lower species turnover compared to the
117 assemblage in a typical oligotrophic site.

=> insert Figure 1 here at 1 column width file

120 "F1_Outpace_B_Cchlorophyll_map.jpeg"

123 MATERIALS AND METHODS

126 Sampling and sample analysis

126 Sampling was conducted through the oceanographic project 'OUTPACE'. The program
was centered around observing variability in water column processes at sites across the
South West Pacific by sampling stations between New Caledonia and Tahiti
129 (<https://outpace.mio.univ-amu.fr/spip.php?rubrique26>). Here we report data from two
'long' stations, each occupied for a 7 day period in March 2015: Station "B" 18.24 °S,
170.8 °E and approx. 500 km distant, Station "C" 18.42 °S, 165.94°E. Analysis of satellite
132 images preceeding, throughout the cruise, and shortly after were compiled to allow
assessment of the short-term history of the study areas (De Verneil, in prep.). Samples
were obtained on days 1, 3, & 5; On each day 6 depths were sampled between the
135 surface and the bottom of the chlorophyll maximum depth (based on data from a CTD
equipped with a fluorescence probe) using 20 l Niskin bottles. For each discrete-depth
sample, a 10 l volume was concentrated to 50 ml by slowly and gently pouring the water
138 through a 20 µm mesh Nitex screen fixed to the bottom of a 10 cm dia. PVC tube.
Concentrated water samples were fixed with Lugol's solution (2 % final conc.), 2-3 ml
aliquots were settled in sedimentation chambers and examined using an inverted
141 microscope at 200x total magnification. Thus for each date, material from 60 l of water
was examined, yielding a total of over 1,000 tintinnids for each of the stations. To assess
the abundance and composition of the entire ciliate community, whole water samples
144 from same Niskin bottles sampled on days 1 & 5 were fixed with Lugol's (2 % final
conc.); 100 ml aliquots were settled in sedimentation chambers and examined using an
inverted microscope at 200x total magnification. Phytoplankton composition was
147 assessed in a single surface water sample from each station. A 50 ml aliquot of Lugol's-

fixed whole water was settled and examined using an inverted microscope at 200x total magnification. Abundance and lengths of *Trichodesmium* filaments were determined along with abundances of dinoflagellates and diatoms. All microscopic examinations employed an Olympus inverted microscope, model IX51 equipped with DIC optics, an Olympus DP71 digital camera and Olympus Cell Sense Image Analysis software (Olympus, Rungis, France) used for cell measurements and imaging. For data reported here as average water column concentrations we employed a trapezoidal integration from the surface to the lowest depth sampled.

Tintinnid identifications were made based on lorica morphology and following Kofoid & Campbell (1929; 1939), Marshall (1934) and Hada (1938). Species of certain tintinnid genera are known to display different lorica morphologies (e.g., Laval-Peuto, 1983; Bachy et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2013; Santoferrara et al. 2015). However, only a few of the species encountered in this study appeared variable and may or may not represent single species (e.g. *Dadayiella ganymedes-acuta-bulbosa*). We adopted a 'conservative diversity' approach, considering very similar 'species' of the same genus and near identical LOD to be a single taxon. Empty lorica were not enumerated. Morphological categories consisted of size-classes of lorica oral diameter (LOD). Each species was assigned the average dimensions reported in Kofoid & Campbell (1929, 1939) and Marshall (1969). Size-class diameters were binned over 4 μm intervals beginning with the overall smallest diameter (12 μm) and continuing to the largest diameter encountered in a given sample.

Data Analysis

Taxonomic diversity was estimated for each sample as the Shannon index (ln-based, e.g., Magurran, 2004) and species richness. Morphological diversity was estimated by placing species into size-classes of lorica oral diameter (LOD). For each sample, morphological diversity was estimated as the number of size-classes and a Shannon index of morphological diversity calculated using numbers and proportional importance of different size classes (ln-based).

Using the pooled data set from the three sampling dates, we constructed log-rank abundance curves for the tintinnid assemblages by calculating relative abundance for each species and ranking species from highest to lowest and plotting ln(relative abundance) vs. rank. In parallel we also examined the abundance distributions of morphological categories by substituting the category 'species x' with 'LOD size-class x'. For both species and LOD size-classes, we constructed hypothetical log-rank abundance curves that could fit the data by using parameters of the particular assemblage. We constructed curves for three different models of community organization: geometric series, log-series, and log-normal, as in several previous studies (Raybaud et al. 2009; Claessens et al. 2010; Doherty et al. 2010; Dolan et al. 2007, 2009, 2013, 2016; Dolan & Stoeck 2011).

A geometric series distribution represents the result of the priority exploitation of resources by species arriving sequentially in a community (Whittaker, 1972), and is modeled by assuming that each species' abundance is proportional to a fixed proportion p of remaining resources. Thus the relative abundance of the i th species is $(1-p)p^{i-1}$. For the tintinnid samples we used the relative abundance of the most abundant species to estimate p .

A log-series distribution represents the result of random dispersal from a larger community, a metacommunity in Hubbell's neutral theory (Hubbell, 2001). In a community exhibiting a log-series distribution, species having abundance n occur with

198 frequency $\alpha x/n$, where x is a fitted parameter and α is Fisher's alpha, a measure of
species diversity that is independent of total community abundance. For a given
community with N total individuals and S species, x can be found (Magurran, 2004) by
iteratively solving the following equation for x : $S/N = -\ln(1-x)(1-x)/x$ and then finding
201 Fisher's alpha as $\alpha = N(1-x)/x$. For the tintinnid assemblages, we simply used the
observed S and N for each sample to calculate x and α .

Log-normal species abundance distributions are thought to result from either a
204 large number of species of independent population dynamics with randomly varying (in
either space or time) exponential growth. Consequently, $N(i) \propto e^{r_i}$ where r_i is a
random variable. Since $N(i)$ is a function of an exponential variable, $\ln(N(i))$ should be
207 normally distributed (May 1975). Species in a community that are limited by multiple
factors that act on population size in a multiplicative fashion should also exhibit a
lognormal distribution of abundances. We calculated the expected log-normal species
210 abundance distribution for each tintinnid sample by calculating the mean and standard
deviation of $\ln(\text{abundance})$ and using these parameters to generate expected abundance
distributions for the S species in the sample using the NORMSINV function in an Excel®
213 spreadsheet. We then calculated the mean abundance for each species, ranked from
highest to lowest, and then calculated relative abundance. For each of the model
distributions we also examined the abundance distributions of morphological categories
216 by substituting the category 'species x ' with 'LOD size-class x '.

For the assemblages from the two stations, the observed rank abundance
distributions were compared to the hypothetical models using a Bayesian approach: an
219 Akaike Goodness of fit test (Burnham & Anderson, 2004). In this test, an Akaike
Information Criterion (AIC) was determined as the natural logarithm of the mean (sum
divided by S) of squared deviations between observed and predicted $\ln(\text{relative}$
222 abundance) for all ranked S species plus an additional term to correct for the number of
estimated parameters, k (1 for geometric series and 2 each for log-series and log-normal
distributions): $(S + k)/(S-k-2)$. The lower the calculated AIC value, the better the fit. A
225 difference of 1 in AIC corresponds roughly to a 1.5 evidence ratio. Following Burnham
and Anderson (2002) we consider differences in AIC of less than 1 to represent
indistinguishable fits among modeled distributions.

228

Chlorophyll Determinations

Seawater samples from 6 depths were collected from the Niskin bottles of the rosette
231 sampler. Volumes between 5.6 and 1 L (depending on the trophic conditions) were
filtered onto 25mm GF/F filters, and the filters stored in liquid nitrogen at -80°C until
analysis on land. The samples were extracted in 3mL methanol for a minimum of 1 h,
234 with filter disruption by ultra-sonication. The clarified extracts were injected onto an
Agilent Technologies 1100 series High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)
system equipped with a refrigerated auto sampler and a column thermostat,
237 according to a modified version of the method described by Van Heukelem and Thomas
(2001). Separation was achieved within 28 min during a gradient elution between a
Tetrabutylammonium acetate: Methanol mixture (30:70) and 100% methanol. The
240 chromatographic column, a Zorbax-C8 XDB (3×150 mm) was maintained at 60°C .
Chlorophyll a, divinyl chlorophyll a and derived products were detected at 667 nm and
the other accessory pigments at 450 nm using a diode array detector. (Detection limits
243 for chlorophyll a were $0.0001 \mu\text{g l}^{-1}$, injection precision was 0.4%). The different

pigments were identified using both their retention times and absorption spectra. Quantification involved an internal standard correction (Vitamin E acetate) and a calibration with external standards provided by DHI Water and Environment (Denmark).

249 **Primary production**

252 Carbon fixation estimates followed the experimental protocol recommended by France-JGOFS-P.F.O. (1988) and given in detail in Moutin and Raimbault (2002). Description of the full experimental protocol and all of the in situ incubations conducted throughout the cruise will appear elsewhere (Gimenez, in prep.); here only data from two of the long stations (B & C) are reported. Briefly, samples were obtained with 12-l Niskin bottles at 255 9 depths chosen according to the CTD fluorescence profiles. Each sample (320-ml polycarbonate bottle, 3 light and one dark sample per depth) was collected before sunrise, inoculated with 150 µl of the ¹⁴C working solution just before sunrise, and then 258 incubated in situ on a mooring line for 24 hours. After incubation, the samples were filtered on GF/F filters to measure net absorption (AN mg C m⁻³). Filters were immediately covered with 500 µl of HCl 0.5 M and stored for subsequent analysis in the 261 laboratory. Before each incubation, 3 samples were filtered immediately after inoculation and 250 µl of sample was taken at random from 3 bottles and stored with 250 µl of ethanolamine to determine the quantity of added tracer (Qi). In the laboratory, 264 samples were dried for 12 h under and extractor hood, 10 ml of Ultimagold-MV (Packard) were added to the filters and samples then analysed using a Packard Tri carb 2100 TR liquid scintillation counter. Data reported here are average integrated primary 267 production, a trapezoidal integration from the surface to 110 m depth.

270 **RESULTS**

270 **Station Phytoplankton Characteristics**

273 Station B was located in an area of high surface layer chlorophyll as shown in satellite images (Fig. 1.). Analysis of satellite images preceding and throughout the cruise showed the bloom developed in mid-February and disappeared in late March (De Verneil, in prep.). The March 15th surface sample (from 6 m) contained a dense population of 276 filaments and tufts of *Trichodesmium* c.f. *erythraeum* (Fig. 2). Filaments ranged in length from 40 - 1500 µm with a total concentration, in linear terms, equal to about 2 m of *Trichodesmium* filament l⁻¹. Also present were dinoflagellates, dominated by small cells 279 (20 µm dia.) found in abundances of about 2000 l⁻¹ and large diatoms (> 50 µm), approx. 500 cells l⁻¹. Chlorophyll concentrations were maximal near the surface with a second peak at about 75m depth (Fig. 3). Primary production averaged 4.0 µg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Table 1).

282 => insert Fig. 2 here at 1 column width file
"F2_10xTrichodesmiumOutpaceCTD110.jpeg"

285

288 Station C was located in an area of very low surface layer concentrations of chlorophyll (Fig. 1). The chlorophyll maximum layer was located at about 150 m depth (Fig. 3). The surface sample from Station C, taken on March 27 (from 6.5 m), contained few *Trichodesmium* filaments with a total concentration, in linear terms, equal to about 291 0.2 m of *Trichodesmium* filaments l⁻¹. Compared to Station B, dinoflagellates and

diatoms were found in lower concentrations of about 1000 l⁻¹ and 100 l⁻¹, respectively. Primary production averaged 2.0 µg C⁻¹ l⁻¹, half the rate found at Station B (Table 1).

294

Ciliate Abundances & Vertical Distributions

297 At Station B average water column concentrations of both tintinnids, and all planktonic
ciliates, ranged narrowly: 9.0-11.0 tintinnids l⁻¹ and 570-710 total ciliates l⁻¹. However
vertical distributions were quite irregular either comparing days or groups (Fig. 3). At
300 Station C average water column concentrations also ranged narrowly: 5.7- 6.2 tintinnids
l⁻¹ and 670-730 total ciliates l⁻¹. In contrast to Station B, vertical distributions were
similar between groups and with time (Fig. 3). The marked differences in the vertical
303 distributions suggest that Station B populations were dynamic compared to the
apparent steady state of Station C populations. For both Station B and Station C there
were no clear differences in the species composition of the tintinnid assemblages with
306 depth in accordance with findings from previous studies (e.g., Dolan 2000; Dolan et al.
2009). Water column profiles of temperature, salinity and density were nearly invariant
and identical at the 2 stations (data not shown) supporting the hypotheses that
309 variability in vertical distributions found in Station B populations reflected biological
interactions rather than physical dynamics.

=> Insert here Figure 3 at full page width file "F3_tin&CilProfiles.jpeg"

312

Tintinnid Assemblages

315 At Station B the assemblage was highly dominated (about 30% of total cells) by a single
species, *Favella* sp. (Fig. 4a), not found in Station C, and it alone accounted for about half
of the twofold difference in the overall abundance of tintinnids comparing the two
stations (Table 1). In contrast, the most abundant species at the oligotrophic Station C,
318 *Steenstrupiella steenstrupii* (Fig. 4b) accounted for only 12% of the population. At both
stations the species richness was high, ranging from day to day from 46 to 54 at Station
B and 43 to 56 at Station C. Numbers of 'trace species', found as one cell in the 60 l
321 examined for each day, were also of similar magnitude at the two sites ranging from 7 to
16 and 7 to 17, respectively, at Stations B and C. Total species richness at the two sites
was numerically identical at 71 species. Metrics of morphological diversity, H' values of
324 LOD size-classes, and the numbers of size-classes found, were only slightly lower in the
Station B assemblage (Table 1). Analysis of abundance distribution patterns (Table 2 &
Fig. 5) indicated similar population structure in Stations B and C. Species abundance
327 distributions were lognormal or log-series (i.e., not significantly different fits) and the
size-class abundance distributions were both best modeled by a geometric distribution.
However, there were some differences in the two assemblages other than the presence
330 of a relatively dense population of *Favella* sp. at Station B.

=> insert here Figure 4 at 1 column width file "F4_FavSteen.jpeg" and Figure 5 at 1
333 column width "F5_abundanceDistrib.jpeg"

336

=> Insert Here Table 1 and Table 2.

336

339 There were differences in the size-structure of the two assemblages. In the
Station C assemblage, the number of species in an LOD size-class was positively related
to the number of cell in the size class. In the Station B assemblage, there was no
significant relationship between the number of species in a given size-class of LOD and

342 the number of cells in that size class. This was the case both with and without including
the *Favella* sp. data (Fig. 6). The species inventory also differed in composition between
the two sites. There were 13 species found in Station B absent from Station C, and 23
345 species found in Station C absent from Station B. There were interesting similarities in
the characteristics of the species found in one site only. The 'one site only' species were
mostly those with an LOD size close to that of the Station B dominant *Favella* sp. (Fig. 7).
348 Most of the species found with *Favella* at Station B, but not at Station C, had an LOD close
to that of the *Favella* sp. Similarly, the species found in Station C, but absent from the
Favella-dominated assemblage, have an LOD close to that *Favella*. Thus most of the
difference in the species inventories of the high productivity and oligotrophic sites
351 concerned forms with of an LOD close to the *Favella* sp. of the high productivity site. The
tintinnid data by date is provided in a Supplemental File.

354 => Insert Figure 6 at 1 column width file "F6_sppLOD_SC.jpeg" and Figure 7 at 1 column
width file "F7_AbsentSpp.jpeg"

357

DISCUSSION

360 We expected to find distinct differences between the population structure of a tintinnid
assemblages in a 'hot spot' of productivity site compared to a typical oligotrophic site.
Specifically, we expected the high productivity site to harbor a larger population but of
363 lower species richness and show lower variability in the total species inventory from
day to day. These expectations were based on the patterns found in the SE Pacific where
across a large gradient of stations tintinnid diversity was negatively related to average
366 chlorophyll concentration and positively related to the depth of the chlorophyll
maximum layer (Dolan et al. 2007). It is unclear exactly what mechanism is behind the
relationship. The two parameters, depth of the maximum concentration of chlorophyll
369 and average water column concentration, are themselves usually strongly and inversely
related. The depth of the surface mixed layer often, but not always, corresponds with the
depth of the chlorophyll maximum layer (Cullen 1982). The large-scale pattern of
372 diversity in planktonic foraminifera has been related to the depth of the surface mixed
layer. In planktonic foraminifera assemblages diversity increases with the depth of the
surface mixed layer; high diversity corresponding with a mixed layer of a larger volume
375 (Rutherford et al. 1999). Regardless of the mechanism behind the large-scale geographic
pattern relating depth of the chlorophyll maximum layer and diversity, our data show
that a site with a shallow chlorophyll maximum (St B) can harbor high species diversity.

378 Our comparison of communities from sites differing in primary productivity,
chlorophyll concentration, and chlorophyll vertical distribution revealed some
differences. The variability in the vertical profiles of both total ciliates and tintinnids
381 (Fig. 3) suggested that the microzooplankton overall was highly dynamic in St. B
compared to St. C. The 'hot spot' of productivity had a tintinnid community highly
dominated by one species. The two populations differed in abundance by about a factor
384 of 2, roughly corresponding to the differences in rates of primary productivity (pooled
data, Table 1). There were also differences in the temporal variability of vertical
distributions (Fig. 3), species inventories, and the strength of size-structuring in the
387 assemblages i.e., the relation ship of species richness of an LOD size-class and the
number of cells in the size-class (Fig. 6). Overall however the populations were largely
similar in terms of species richness, patterns of abundance distributions (Fig. 4) and

390 showed the same turn over or temporal variability in species inventories. Furthermore
the metrics of morphological diversity were quite similar as well (Table 1). The overall
393 characteristics of both assemblages were very much like those found in the oligotrophic
Tropical Pacific with deep (> 150 m) chlorophyll maximum layers and low chlorophyll
396 concentrations were found to harbor assemblages of 21-41 spp with Shannon Index
values of 2.6 -3.2, values quite similar to those reported here (Table 1). Furthermore,
there were no obvious differences in the communities of non-tintinnid ciliates; at both
stations the numerically dominant form was a small (15 µm dia.) oligotrichid form. Our
399 results suggest that tropical microzooplankton populations do not change dramatically
in a transient period of high primary productivity.

The effects of transient phytoplankton blooms on other components of the
402 planktonic food web have been investigated as part of large-scale iron fertilization
experiments. However data are quite sparse with regard to effects on microzooplankton.
The experiments mostly have been conducted in high latitude sites in both the southern
405 and northern hemispheres. These studies have yielded contradictory results with regard
to effects on other components of the plankton. In the EIFEX experiment in the Antarctic
circumpolar current, the experimentally induced bloom yielded large shifts in the
408 protozooplankton assemblage, including tintinnid assemblages (Assmy et al. 2014).
However, during the EisenEx experiment in the Southern Ocean no major changes
occurred in the composition of the bacterial community based on phylogenetic
411 signatures (Arrieta et al. 2004). Similarly, in the LOHAFEX iron fertilization experiment
in the South Atlantic, while chlorophyll concentrations increased by a factor of 3, the
414 prokaryote populations were "remarkably constant" (Thiele et al. 2012) as was the
composition of eukaryotic nanoplankton (Thiele et al. 2014). In the Subarctic Pacific,
iron enrichment in the SERIES study, in NE Subarctic Pacific, resulted in little change in
the community composition of the mesozooplankton (Sastri & Dower 2006). In the
417 SEEDS experiment, in the western Subarctic Pacific, the only notable shift in the
microzooplankton was an increase in the abundance of heterotrophic dinoflagellates
(Saito et al. 2005).

420 Our findings of overall similarity in assemblages of tropical tintinnids in a
productivity 'hot spot', compared to a non-hot spot, may reflect the fact that the
productivity of the 'hot spot' we sampled was not sufficiently elevated compared to the
423 reference site to provoke a marked change in the tintinnid assemblage other than the
development of a single species. Alternatively perhaps as the bloom was relatively old
when we were sampling, having begun about a month earlier, the tintinnid assemblage
426 was in transition towards typical oligotrophic site assemblage. Clearly it would be
desirable to sample more stations and survey populations throughout the occurrence of
a phytoplankton bloom. Unfortunately, oceanographic expeditions are complex, costly
429 and infrequent limiting severely our access to natural populations in remote sites of
oligotrophic tropical systems. We can only hope that future expeditions will provide
additional opportunities to investigate these fascinatingly diverse assemblages.

432

CONCLUSIONS

435 We examined the characteristics of tintinnid ciliate assemblages in the South West
Pacific Ocean. We analyzed 2 assemblages, comparing one found in a site with high
primary productivity associated with a bloom of the nitrogen-fixing cyanobacterium
438 *Trichodesmium* with the assemblage found in a typical oligotrophic site. Tintinnid

abundance differed between the sites by a factor of 2 and a species absent from the
oligotrophic site, highly dominated the 'hot spot' site. Despite some differences in the
441 species inventories of the two assemblages, total species richness was identical. Both
morphological diversity and temporal variability were similar as well. For both
444 assemblages, the species abundance distributions were most closely fit by a log-series or
log-normal distribution, and the abundance distributions of ecological types, forms of
distinct lorica oral diameter, were the typical geometric as found in assemblages from
447 other tropical and subtropical sites. Despite large differences in population size and
dominance, the two populations were similar by most measures. We found that
populations of these plankton grazers in 'hot spots' of phytoplankton productivity in
oligotrophic systems may not differ from those in surrounding oligotrophic areas.

450

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

453 This is a contribution of the OUTPACE project (Oligotrophy to UTRa-oligotrophy PACific
Experiment) funded by the French national research national agency (ANR) through the
project ANR-14-CE01-0007-01 with additional funding provided by the LEFE-CyBER
456 program (CNRS-INSU), the GOPS program (IRD) and the CNES. The OUTPACE project
was managed by T. Moutin and S. Bonnet from the MIO (OSU Institut Pytheas, AMU,
Marseilles, France). The authors thank the crew of the *RV L'Atalante* for outstanding
459 shipboard operation. G. Rougier and M. Picheral are warmly thanked for their efficient
help in CTD rosette management and data processing. David Montagnes provided
comments on an earlier draft of this paper. The reviewers provided helpful advice on an
462 earlier version of the paper. We retain full credit for all errors of fact, interpretation and
omission.

465

468

LITERATURE CITED

471

Agatha S., Laval-Peuto M. & Simon, P. 2013. The tintinnid lorica. In J.R. Dolan, D. J.S. Montagnes, S. Agatha & D.K. Stoecker (eds): *The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid Ciliates: Models for Marine Plankton*. pp 17-41. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.

474

477

Andersen, V., Goutx, M., Prieur, L. & Dolan, J.R. 2009. Short-scale temporal variability of physical, biological and biogeochemical processes in the NW Mediterranean Sea: an introduction. *Biogeosciences*, 6:453-461.

480

Arrieta, J.M., Weinbauer, M.G., Lute, C. & Herndl, G.J. 2004. Response of bacterioplankton to iron fertilization in the Southern Ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 49:799-808.

483

Assmy, P., Cisewski, B., Henjes, J., Klaas, C., Montresor, M. & Smetacek, V. 2014. Response of the protozooplankton assemblage during the European Iron Fertilization Experiment (EIFEX) in the Antarctic circumpolar current. *J. Plank. Res.*, 36: 1175-1189.

486

489

Bachy, C., Dolan, J.R., López-García, P., Deschamps, P., & Moreira, D. 2013. Accuracy of protist diversity assessments: morphology compared to cloning and direct pyrosequencing of 18S rRNA genes and ITS regions using the conspicuous tintinnid ciliates as a case study. *ISME J.*, 7: 244-255.

492

Beers, J.R. 1982. An introduction and historical overview. *Ann. Inst. Océanogr. Paris*, 58: 5-14.

495

Burnham K.P. & Anderson, D.R. 2002. *Model selection and multi-model inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. Springer, New York, NY.

498

Calbet, A. & Landry, M.R. 2004. Phytoplankton growth, microzooplankton grazing, and carbon cycling in marine systems. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 49: 51-57.

501

Capone, D.C., Zehr, J.P., Paerl, H.W., Bergman, B. & Carpenter, E.J. 1997. *Trichodesmium*, a globally significant marine cyanobacterium. *Science*, **267**: 1221-1229.

504

Claessens, M., Wickham, S.A., Post, A.F. & Reuter, M. 2010. A paradox of the ciliates? High ciliate diversity in a resource-poor environment. *Mar. Biol.* 157:483-494.

507

Cleve, P.T. 1899. Some Atlantic Tintinnodea. *Ofversigt af Kongl. Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar* 56: 969-975.

510

Cullen, J.J. 1982. The deep chlorophyll maximum: comparing vertical profiles of chlorophyll *a*. *Can. J. Fisher. Aquat. Sci.*, 39:791-803.

513

Doherty, M., Tamura, M., Costas, B.A., Ritchie, M.E., McManus, G.B. & Katz, L. A. 2010. Ciliate diversity and distribution across an environmental and depth gradient in Long Island Sound, USA. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12:886-898.

- 516 Dolan J. R. 2000. Tintinnid ciliate diversity in the Mediterranean Sea: Longitudinal
519 patterns related to water column structure in late spring-early summer. *Aquat. Microb.
Ecol.* 22: 69-78
- Dolan, J.R. 2010. Morphology and ecology in tintinnid ciliates of the marine plankton:
522 correlates of lorica dimensions. *Acta Protozool.* 49, 235-344.
- Dolan, J.R. 2013. Introduction to tintinnids. In Dolan, J.R., Montagnes, D.J.S., Agatha, S. &
525 Stoecker, D.K. (eds) *The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid Ciliates: Models for Marine
Plankton*. Wiley-Blackwell: Oxford, pp 1-16.
- 528 Dolan, J.R. & Stoeck, T. 2011. Repeated sampling reveals differential variability in
measures of species richness and community composition in planktonic protists.
Environ Microbiol. Rep. 3:661-666.
- 531 Dolan, J.R., Jacquet, S. & Torreton, J.-P. 2006. Comparing taxonomic and morphological
534 biodiversity of tintinnids (planktonic ciliates) of New Caledonia. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51:
950-958.
- Dolan, J.R., Ritchie, M.R. & Ras, J. 2007. The neutral community structure of planktonic
537 herbivores, tintinnid ciliates of the microzooplankton, across the SE Pacific Ocean.
Biogeosciences 4: 397-410.
- 540 Dolan, J.R., Ritchie, M.E., Tunin-Ley, A. & Pizay, M.-D. 2009. Dynamics of core and
occasional species in the marine plankton: tintinnid ciliates in the north-west
Mediterranean Sea. *J. Biogeogr.* 36, 887-895.
- 543 Dolan, J.R., Landry, M.R. & Ritchie, M.E. 2013. The species-rich assemblages of tintinnids
(marine planktonic protists) are structured by mouth size. *ISME J.*, 7: 1237-1243.
- 546 Dolan, J.R., Yang, E.J., Kang, S.-H. & Rhee, T.S. 2016. Declines in both redundant and trace
549 species characterise the latitudinal diversity gradient in tintinnid ciliates. *ISME J.*, 10: in
press (doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.19)
- Dore, J.E., Letelier, R.M., Church, M.J., Likas, R. & Karl, D.M. 2008. Summer phytoplankton
552 blooms in the oligotrophic North Pacific Gyre: Historical perspective and recent
observations. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 76: 2-38.
- 555 Hada, Y. 1938. Studies on the Tintinnoinea from the Western Tropical Pacific. *J. Fac. Sci.
Hokkaido Imperial Univ., Series 6, Zoology*, 6: 87-190.
- 558 Hubbell, S.R. 2001 *The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography*.
Princeton, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 561 Laval-Peuto, M. 1983. Sexual reproduction in *Favella ehrenbergii* (Ciliophora,
Tintinnina). Taxonomical implications. *Protistologica*, 19, 503-512.

- 564 Laval-Peuto, M. & Brownlee, D.C. 1986. Identification and systematics of the Tintinnina
(Ciliophora): evaluation and suggestion for improvement. *Ann. Institut Océanogr. Paris*
62: 69-84.
- 567 Kim, S.Y., Choi, J.K., Dolan, J.R., Shin, H.C., Lee, S. & Yang, E.J. 2013. Morphological and
ribosomal DNA-based characterization of six Antarctic ciliate 5 morphospecies from the
570 Amundsen Sea with phylogenetic analyses. *J. Eukary. Microbiol.*, 60: 497-513.
- Kofoed, C.A. 1930. Factors in the evolution of the Tintinnoinea. in Contributions to
573 Marine Biology. Stanford University Press, Palo Alto, CA. pp 1- 39.
- Kofoed CA, Campbell AS. 1929. A conspectus of the marine and freshwater ciliata
576 belonging to the suborder Tintinnoinea, with descriptions of new species principally
from the Agassiz Expedition to the Eastern Tropical Pacific 1904-1905. *Univ. Calif. Publ.*
Zool., 34: 1-403.
- 579 Kofoed, C.A., and Campbell, A.S. 1939. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition
to the Eastern Tropical Pacific, in charge to Alexander Agassiz, by U.S. Fish Commission
582 Steamer "Albatross" from October 1904 to March 1905, Lieut. Commander L.M. Garrett,
U.S.N. commanding. 37. The Ciliata: the tintinnoinea. *Bull. Mus. Compar. Zool, Harvard*,
84, 1-473.
- 585 JGOFS. 1988. Core measurements protocols : report of the core measurement working
group. *JGOFS report n°6*, Joint Global Ocean Flux Study, SCOR 1-40.
- 588 Magurran, A.E. 2004. Measuring biological diversity, 2nd Ed. Blackwell, Oxford, U.K.
- 591 Marshall, S.M. 1934. The silicoflagellata and Tintinnoinea. *Great Barrier Reef Expedition*
1928-1929. Sci. Rep., 6: 623-664.
- 594 Marshall, S.M. 1969. Protozoa, order Tintinnia. *Conseil International pour l'Exploration*
de la Mer, Fiches d'Identification de Zooplancton, fiches 117-127.
- 597 May, R.M. 1975. Patterns of species abundance and diversity. In: Cody, M.L. & Diamond,
J.M. (eds) Ecology and evolution of communities, Harvard University Press, Boston MA,
pp. 81-120.
- 600 Montagnes, D.J.S. 2013. Ecophysiology and behavior of tintinnids. In: Dolan, J.R.,
Montagnes, D.J.S., Agatha, S., Stoecker, D.K. (eds) The Biology and Ecology of Tintinnid
603 Ciliates: Models for Marine Plankton. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, U.K. pp 85-121.
- Moutin, T., & P. Raimbault. 2002. Primary production, carbon export and nutrients
606 availability in western and eastern Mediterranean Sea in early summer 1996. MATER
Special Issue. *J. Mar. Syst.*, 33-34: 273-288.
- 609 Mulholland, M.R., Bernhardt, P.W., Ozmon, I., Procise, L.A., Garrett, M., O'Neil, J.M., Heil,
C.A., & Bronk, D.A. 2014. Contribution of diazotrophy to nitrogen inputs supporting
Karenia brevis blooms in the Gulf of Mexico. *Harmful Algae*, 38:20-29
- 612

- 615 Raybaud, V., Tunin-Ley, A., Ritchie, M. E., & Dolan, J.R. 2009. Similar patterns of
community organization characterize distinct groups of different trophic levels in the
plankton of the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Biogeosciences*, 6:431-438.
- 618 Rutherford, S., D'Hondt, S. & Prell, W. 1999. Environmental controls on the geographic
distribution of zooplankton diversity. *Nature*, 400: 749-752.
- 621 Saito, H., Suzuki, K., Hinuma, A., Ota, T., Fukami, K., Kiyosawa, H., Saino, T. & Tusuda, A.
2005. Responses of microzooplankton to in situ iron fertilization in the western
subarctic Pacific (SEEDS). *Progr. Oceanogr.* 64: 223-236.
- 624 Santoferrara, L.F. & Alder, V.A. 2012. Abundance and diversity of tintinnids (planktonic
ciliates) under contrasting levels of productivity in the Argentine Shelf and Drake
627 Passage. *J. Sea Res*, 71: 25–30.
- 630 Santoferrara, L.F., Tian, M., Alder, V.A. & McManus, G.B. 2015. Discrimination of closely
related species in tintinnid Ciliates: new insights on crypticity and polymorphism in the
genus *Helicostomella*. *Protist*, 166:78-92.
- 633 Santoferrara, L. F., Bachy, C., Alder, V. A., Gong, J., Kim, Y.-O., Saccà, A., da Silva Neto, I. D.,
Strüder-Kypke, M. C., Warren, A., Xu, D., Yi, Z. & Agatha, S. 2016. Updating biodiversity
studies in loricate protists: the case of the tintinnids (Alveolata, Ciliophora,
Spirotrichea). *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, doi:10.1111/jeu.12303
- 636
- 639 Sastri, A.R. & Dower, J.F. 2006. Mesozooplankton community response during the
SERIES iron enrichment experiment in the subarctic NE Pacific. *Deep-Sea Res. II*, 53:
2268-2280.
- 642 Sitran, R., Bergamasco, A., Decembrini, E. & Guglielmo, L. 2007. Temporal succession of
tintinnids in the northern Ionian Sea, Central Mediterranean. *J. Plank. Res.*, 29: 495-508.
- 645 Thiele, S., Fuchs, B.M., Ramalah, N., & Amann, R. 2012. Microbial community response
during the iron fertilization experiment LOHAFEX. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 78:8803-
8812.
- 648 Thiele, S., Wolf, C., Schulz, I.K., Assmy, P., Metfies, K. & Fuchs, B.M. 2014. Stable
Composition of the Nano- and Picoplankton Community during the Ocean Iron
Fertilization Experiment LOHAFEX. *PLoS ONE* 9: e113244.
- 651 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113244
- 654 Van Heukelem, L., & Thomas, C. S. 2001. Computer-assisted high performance
liquid chromatography method development with applications to the isolation and
analysis of phytoplankton pigments. *J. Chromatogr.* 910: 31–49.
- 657 Westberry, T.K. & Siegel, D.A. 2006. Spatial and temporal distribution of *Trichodesmium*
blooms in the world's oceans. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 20: GB4016,
doi:10.1029/2005GB002673
- 660

Whittaker, R.H.1972. Evolution and measurement of species diversity, *Taxon*, 21, 213-251.

663

Wilson, C., & Qiu, X. 2008. Global distribution of summer chlorophyll blooms in the oligotrophic gyres. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 78: 107-134.

666

FIGURE LEGENDS

669

Figure 1. Surface chlorophyll-a concentration during the OUTPACE cruise. The ocean color satellite products were produced by Collecte Localisation Satellites (<http://www.cls.fr/en/>).

672

Figure 2. A tuft of the N-fixing *Trichodesmium* c.f. *erythraeum* which was abundant in Station B.

675

Figure 3. Vertical profiles of the abundances of tintinnid ciliate and the total planktonic ciliate populations at Stations B and C plotted by date (2015); chlorophyll data were available for a single date for each site and did not include a near surface sample. Satellite data (Fig. 1) showed a surface concentration of over 1 μg chlorophyll l^{-1} for Station B (over 5 times the maximum concentration at Station C) and a surface concentration of 0.03 μg chlorophyll l^{-1} for Station C. Note the relatively irregular distributions found at the high primary productivity Station B compared to the nearly invariant and coherent distributions and abundances found in the Station C.

678

681

684

Figure 4. The left panel, A, shows the Station B dominant tintinnid form, *Favella* sp.; it represented over 30% of the abundant tintinnid population found in the 'hot spot' station. The form closely resembles the species depicted as *Favella azorica* by Marshall (1934) in both shape and dimensions, with an LOD of about 45 μm . However, Cleve's original description as *Undella azorica*, gave an LOD of 66 μm (Cleve 1899). Hence we term the form found in Station B *Favella* sp.. The right panel, B, shows the Station C dominant species *Steenstrupiella steenstrupii*; it represented about 12% of the Station C tintinnids.

687

690

693

Figure 5. Plots of the rank abundance distributions of the tintinnid assemblages from Station B (St B) and Station C (St C). Right panel shows the species rank abundance distribution. Note that with the exception of the first ranked species and size class for for St B, the distributions for St B and St C are nearly identical.

696

699

Figure 6. Size structure of the tintinnid assemblages found in Stations B and C. The tintinnid assemblages were binned in categories of lorica oral diameter. Shown are only lorica size categories which contained at least 10 cells. The upper panel, data from Station B, shows a near invariant number of species per size-class. In contrast, in the Station C assemblage, the number of cells within a size-class appears positively related to the number species in the size class. Simple linear correlation analysis confirmed these patterns. For Station C data there was a significant linear relationship between the number of cells and species in a size class: $r = 0.70^{**}$ $n = 14$. For Station B data there was no significant relationship between the number of cells in a size class and the

702

705

708

number of species in the size class: $r = 0.50$ ns, $n = 13$; excluding the dominant *Favella* sp did not improve the relationship: $r = 0.44$ ns, $n = 13$.

711

Figure 7. Morphological characteristics (lorica oral diameter) of tintinnid species found in only one of the two sites sampled. Note that most of the ‘one station only’ species were of LOD sizes close to that of the Station B dominant, *Favella* sp..

714

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

717

Tintinnid Supplementary Data, Video of time-course changes in surface concentrations of chlorophyll a based on satellite image data.

720

Table 1. Summary data for tintinnid populations sampled and primary production. Data from discrete depth samples were pooled to yield total number of individuals encountered (Σ cells), number of species (# spp), number of species found as a single individual (# trace spp), Shannon diversity index for species (spp H'), numbers of LOD size-classes (# LODs), and Shannon diversity index of LOD size-classes present (LOD H'). Primary production (PP) in $\mu\text{g C l}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ average integrated for the 0-110 m segment of the water column.

729

population	date sampled	Σ cells	# spp	# trace spp	spp H'	# LODs	LOD H'	PP
Stat B CTD 110	Mar 15 2015	572	46	7	3.01	14	2.16	3.9
Stat B CTD 128	Mar 17 2015	578	54	12	3.25	16	2.3	4.6
Stat B CTD 146	Mar 19 2015	771	52	16	2.41	15	1.84	3.3
Stat C CTD 159	Mar 23 2015	374	43	7	3.22	14	2.24	1.4
Stat C CTD 176	Mar 25 2015	356	56	17	3.47	16	2.32	1.4
Stat C CTD 194	Mar 27 2015	337	49	17	3.33	16	2.24	1.4
Stat B Pooled	Mar 15-19	1921	71	9	2.98	17	2.14	avg. 4.0
Stat C Pooled	Mar 23-27	1051	71	11	3.51	17	2.29	avg 1.4

732

735

738 **Table 2.** Results of modeling abundance distribution patterns. Lowest AIC values (red) indicate the best model fit. Multiple values in red indicate indistinguishable fits (differences <1).

741

Species Abundance Distribution fits

population	Log-Normal	Geometric	Log-Series
Stat B	1.4	3.5	0.1
Stat C	1.2	2.6	1.0

744


Size-Class Abundance Distribution fits

population	Log-Normal	Geometric	Log-Series
Stat B	2.4	0.3	2.2
Stat C	1.7	-0.1	1.3


747

750


753



Station B







Station C





1 mm











Station B Species Absent from Station C



Station C Species Absent from Station B

