

Inflationary potentials from the exact renormalisation group

Sašo Grozdanov, David Kraljić, Eirik Eik Svanes

To cite this version:

Sašo Grozdanov, David Kraljić, Eirik Eik Svanes. Inflationary potentials from the exact renormalisation group. Nuclear Physics B, 2016, $10.1016/j.nuchphysb.2016.06.001$. hal-01332374

HAL Id: hal-01332374 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01332374v1>

Submitted on 15 Jun 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

JID:NUPHB AID:13762 /FLA [m1+; v1.232; Prn:8/06/2016; 14:37] P.2 (1-20)

2 *S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B* ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*•••

2 2

1 1 **1. Introduction**

 3 Inflation [1–3] is an exponentially fast expansion in the early universe that is claimed to re-⁴ solve a number of problems of the standard Big Bang cosmology (e.g. the horizon, flatness, ⁴ ⁵ smoothness, relic problems). It also provides a mechanism for generation of the density pertur-⁶ bations [4] that have left an imprint on the cosmic microwave background and grown into the ⁶ ⁷ observed large-scale structure of the universe [5]. Models of inflation are typically discussed $\frac{7}{10}$ ⁸ within the slow-roll paradigm, where an inflaton field evolves along a nearly flat potential to its ⁸ ⁹ minimum, during which it sources a quasi-exponential growth of the cosmological scale factor. ⁹ ¹⁰ Hence, in the slow-roll regime, the spatial and time derivatives are negligible compared to the ¹⁰ ¹¹ approximately constant vacuum energy.

¹² Many potentials driving the inflationary fields have been proposed that are either phenomeno-¹² ¹³ logical or inspired by various UV theories [6]. In this paper, we propose a different approach to ¹³ ¹⁴ deriving a scalar field theory suitable for the slow-roll inflation: We will argue that inflation can ¹⁴ ¹⁵ be understood as a very generic, non-perturbative prediction of the exact renormalisation group¹⁵ ¹⁶ (ERG), in a way that is largely insensitive to the details of the UV physics which we take to be 16 ¹⁷ at a scale somewhere below the Planck scale, $M_{UV} < M_{Pl}$, in order to ignore quantum gravity ¹⁸ effects. We add that the observation that renormalisation group dynamics can produce experi-¹⁹ mentally viable inflation scenarios has been noted in the literature before $[7,8]$. Moreover, exact ¹⁹ ²⁰ RG has also been applied in the context of inflation before, see e.g. $[9-11]$.

²¹ To have a valid potential for the description of inflation, we must ensure that the effective ²¹ ²² theory is valid below some scale *M*, where *M* is roughly on the order of the inverse Hubble ²² ²³ radius at the start of inflation. This is the IR of our theory. Thus, $1/M^4$ will be approximately ²³ ²⁴ the volume of the patch of spacetime of the resulting effective theory, which we assume resides ²⁴ ²⁵ within the Hubble radius. We will assume that the UV theory at $M_{UV} >> M$ contains a scalar ²⁵ ²⁶ mode *φ* that couples very weakly to other fields. Hence, during the RG flow from M_{UV} to *M*, ²⁷ the corrections to the effective potential of such a scalar from the couplings to other UV fields²⁷ ²⁸ would remain small. In the RG analysis, ϕ can therefore be treated independently.

²⁹ The second assumption will be the validity of the mean-field approximation (MFA) near the ²⁹ ³⁰ scale M ¹. That is, we will treat the constant mode of the theory separately when we do our IR ³⁰ $\frac{31}{2}$ analysis. In the paper, we will give an argument for the plausibility of this assumption, given that $\frac{31}{2}$ 32 a field can be expanded around its constant value. We work in the local potential approximation, 32 33 where higher derivative couplings are ignored. The argument will then rely on the particular form 33 34 of the ERG equation. As a result, we will only be interested in the constant mode of the theory, 34 ³⁵ which will be sufficient to describe the effective potential. Without justification, this assumption $\frac{35}{2}$ $\frac{36}{2}$ may at first seem peculiar, but we note that for inflation to begin, the inflaton field has to be suf-³⁷ ficiently smooth to overcome the gradient energy preventing the exponential expansion. Indeed, ³⁷ ³⁸ the MFA is a common feature of many other inflationary scenarios, where the field is assumed $\frac{38}{2}$ $\frac{39}{15}$ constant at the scale where inflation begins [15].

⁴⁰ Lastly, we will also ignore effects of gravity in the ERG. We start at a scale M_{UV} somewhere ⁴¹ below the Planck scale, so that quantum gravity effects can be ignored. For the most part of the ⁴² RG flow, we are at an energy scale where the vacuum energy is negligible in comparison, and 42 ⁴³ we can work in Minkowski space where gravitational effects are unimportant. Indeed, the de ⁴⁴ Sitter value of the vacuum energy of the universe is roughly comparable with the scale at which $\frac{44}{\epsilon}$ \sim 45

⁴⁷ ¹ For other recent implementations of the ERG within the MFA, in particular in de Sitter space, see [12–14].

Please cite this article in press as: S. Grozdanov et al., Inflationary potentials from the exact renormalisation group, Nucl. Phys. B (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.06.001

¹ inflation begins, which is where we end our flow. Flows beyond this point would require us to ¹ 2 2 take gravitational effects into account [12–14].

³ After introducing the ERG equation relevant for our setup and justifying the validity of ³ ⁴ the MFA, we will show that the MFA of the ERG equation gives rise to a one-dimensional ⁴ 5 5 Schrödinger-type equation for the resulting effective theory, which can be solved exactly. This ⁶ is similar to the stochastic approach of [16,17] as well as the study of renormalisation group ⁶ ⁷ in quantum mechanics $[18]$. In essence, we will solve a simple quantum mechanical problem ⁷ ⁸ in which the resulting "wave-function" will correspond to the effective theory, or potential, for ⁸ ⁹ the constant mode. Because in QFT the theories need not be normalisable functions of the field ⁹ ¹⁰ variable, we will only insist that the resulting potential is bounded from below. What is meant ¹⁰ ¹¹ by normalisable and un-normalisable solutions will become clear below. Our analysis will then ¹¹ ¹² result in non-perturbative IR effective potentials with shapes suitable for inflation. It will give ¹² ¹³ predictions that are fully consistent with current observations. We note that spectral methods ¹³ ¹⁴ have been applied in the context of ERG before, see e.g. [19–23] and references therein for more ¹⁴ 15 details. 15 details.

¹⁶ At the end of the paper, we provide two appendices: Appendix A is devoted to an ERG anal-¹⁷ ysis of scalar field theories, in particular the ϕ^4 -theory, which is an example of a normalisable ¹⁷ ¹⁸ theory. Appendix B is devoted to solving the Schrödinger equation in momentum space, where ¹⁸ 19 19 the solution takes a rather simple form.

20 20

22 22

21 21 **2. Exact renormalisation group analysis**

23 23 *2.1. The ERG equation* 24 24

²⁵ Let us begin by considering a UV quantum field theory of the early universe that contains $\frac{25}{10}$ ²⁶ some set of fields at the UV scale $M_{UV} < M_{Pl}$, including a scalar mode φ. By Φ, we collectively ²⁷ denotes the remaining UV fields. As the theory runs between M_{UV} and the IR scale M, we ²⁷ ²⁸ assume that *φ* and Φ interact very weakly so that the IR theory for *p* < *M* takes the form, 29 29

$$
Z = \int_{M} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-S_{\phi}[\phi]} \int_{M} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_{\Phi}[\Phi]}.
$$
 (1) 30
32 32

33 We can thus focus only on the decoupled renormalisation group flow for the scalar ϕ by following 33 34 the procedure of the exact Wilsonian renormalisation group $[24]$. The ERG equation for the 34 35 35 decoupled scalar mode takes the form

$$
\frac{36}{38} \qquad \partial_t S_{\phi} = \int\limits_p \left(\alpha(t) + 2p^2 \right) \left[\frac{\delta^2 S_{\phi}}{\delta \phi_p \delta \phi_{-p}} - \frac{\delta S_{\phi}}{\delta \phi_p} \frac{\delta S_{\phi}}{\delta \phi_{-p}} + \phi_p \frac{\delta S_{\phi}}{\delta \phi_p} \right],
$$
\n(2)

40 where $\alpha(t)$ depends on the choice of the cut-off and $t \sim 1/\Lambda$ denotes the RG time – an inverse 40 41 of the cut-off scale Λ .³ From an inflationary standpoint it is also convenient to measure the 41 42 dimensionless field ϕ in units of M_{Pl} , rather than M_{UV} ⁴

⁴⁷ ⁴ Throughout this paper, we use the reduced Planck mass, $M_{Pl} = 2.44 \times 10^{18}$ GeV.

⁴⁴ $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$ For reviews on the exact renormalisation group, see [25–27].

⁴⁵ ³ Since we are only interested in solving the theory in the IR, we do not include the rescaling of the theory back to the ⁴⁶ original UV scale – blocking from M_{UV} to *M* suffices.

¹ The ERG equation (2) is a non-linear equation. However, if we instead consider the functional ¹ $\Psi[\phi] = e^{-S_{\phi}[\phi]},$ (3) $\frac{2}{3}$ $3 \hspace{2.5cm}$ 3 4 4 then Eq. (2) becomes a linear Schrödinger-type equation, *,* (3)

$$
\hat{\partial}_t \Psi[t, \phi] = \hat{\mathcal{H}} \Psi[t, \phi], \tag{4}
$$

7 7 with a Hamiltonian operator in position space,

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \int d^4x \left(\alpha(t) - 2\partial^2 \right) \left(\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \phi_x^2} + \phi_x \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_x} \right). \tag{5}
$$

11 This is the usual form of the Wilsonian ERG equation, written in terms of Ψ . Similar equations 11 12 have been derived in the literature, see e.g. [28,29]. Note that one common feature of these 12 13 equations is that the Hamiltonian has a kinetic part $\delta^2/\delta\phi^2$, together with a divergence term 13 $\phi \partial/\partial \phi$. 14 *φ δ/δφ*.

 15

 17 and 17

16 16 *2.2. Validity of the MFA*

 18 Before performing a detailed analysis of Eq. (4), we pause to discuss more precisely why the 19 MFA is a valid approximation of the ERG equation when we are only interested in the potential 20 of the theory for an approximately constant field. Working in the local potential approximation, 21 we find that the kinetic modes decouple faster as we move towards the IR, and we integrate them 22 out from the theory. This leaves us with an effective potential for the constant mode. We should 23 also note that our conventions are such that all fields and coordinates will be dimensionless 24 throughout the RG analysis. 24 and 24 and 24 analysis 24 and 25 a

25 We begin by expanding the functional Ψ as 25

$$
\Psi\left[\phi\right] = \Psi^0\left[\phi_0\right] + \int_p \phi_p \Psi_p^1\left[\phi_0\right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{p,q\}} \phi_p \phi_q \Psi_{p,q}^2\left[\phi_0\right] + \dots, \tag{6}
$$
\n
$$
\overset{26}{\underset{27}{28}} \tag{6}
$$

29 29 30 and $1\frac{p}{q}$ or $\frac{p}{q}$ can be assumed to be symmetric in p and q . We ignore terms 30 31 and the victor of ingles order in inclusive, this is electry related to the order town powertum 31 approximation. From hereon, we will denote the constant mode as $\phi_0 = x$. Moreover, where $\Psi_0^1 = \Psi_{0q}^2 = 0$, and $\Psi_{p,q}^2$ can be assumed to be symmetric in *p* and *q*. We ignore terms that are cubic or higher-order in momenta. This is closely related to the often used local potential

$$
\Psi^0[x] = e^{-S_0(x)},\tag{7}
$$

34 34 35 which gives the potential of the theory. Inserting this into Eq. (4), we can derive the following 35 $36 \quad \text{Set of equations}$ 36 set of equations,

 $\frac{q}{39}$ 39

$$
\partial_t \Psi^0 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \Psi^0 + \int\limits_q \left(\alpha(t) + 2q^2 \right) \Psi_{q,-q}^2, \tag{8}
$$

$$
\partial_t \Psi_p^1 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \Psi_p^1 + \left(\alpha(t) + 2p^2 \right) \Psi_p^1, \tag{9}
$$

$$
\partial_t \Psi_{p,q}^2 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \Psi_{p,q}^2 + 2 \left(\alpha(t) + p^2 + q^2 \right) \Psi_{p,q}^2, \tag{10}
$$

 44 WIEE where

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \alpha(t) \left(\partial_x^2 + x \partial_x \right). \tag{11}
$$

47 47 Eqs. (8) and (10) can then be put in the form

$JID:NUPHB$ $AlD:13762$ /FLA $[m1+1]$

S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*••• 5

$$
v1.232; Prn:8/06/2016; 14:37] P.5(1-20)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{1} & & \\
\mathbf{2} & & \\
\mathbf{3} & & \\
\end{array}\n\quad\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\mathbf{\Psi}^0 \\
\mathbf{\Psi}^2_{p,q}\n\end{pmatrix}\n=\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & \tilde{\mathbf{tr}} \\
0 & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{p,q}\n\end{pmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\mathbf{\Psi}^0 \\
\mathbf{\Psi}^2_{p,q}\n\end{pmatrix},\n\tag{12}\n\quad\n\begin{array}{ccc}\n\mathbf{1} & & \\
\mathbf{2} & & \\
\mathbf{3} & & \\
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{p,q} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + 2\left(\alpha(t) + p^2 + q^2\right),\tag{13}
$$

 $\frac{1}{7}$ while the trace tr is given by

$$
\tilde{w}(\Psi_{p,q}^2) = \int\limits_q (\alpha(t) + 2q^2) \Psi_{q,-q}^2.
$$
\n(14)

¹¹ As *t* → ∞, we can assume that *α*(*t*) → *α* becomes constant [27]. The solution of (12), as *t* → ∞, ¹¹ ¹² is therefore given by the highest eigenvalue eigenmodes of the matrix ¹²

$$
\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & \tilde{\text{tr}} \\ 0 & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{p,q} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{15}
$$

17 17 This matrix is upper-triangular, so the eigenmodes factor into the eigenmodes of ^H^ˆ ⁰ and ^H^ˆ *p.q* . 18 In particular, the dominant term in the potential will be given by the highest eigenmode of \hat{H}_0 .

19 19 For completeness, let us also consider what happens to the kinetic terms in the expansion (6) 20 as $t \to \infty$. Note first that the term linear in ϕ_p , in Eq. (6), integrates to zero, and we will hence 20 ²¹ ignore it. Note also that in order for eigenmodes of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ not to blow up at infinity, we will see ²¹ 22 below that the eigenvalue is required to be less than or equal to zero. Finally, note form (13) that 22 ²³ the kinetic terms $\Psi_{p,q}^2$ will blow up quicker than Ψ^0 , and will hence integrate out sooner. This ²³ 24 is true even for momenta close to zero due to the non-vanishing α . Ignoring higher-order kinetic ²⁴ 25 25 terms, the action reads

$$
S[\phi] = -\log(\Psi^0[x]) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{p,q\}} \phi_p \phi_q \tilde{\Psi}_{p,q}^2[x] + \dots,
$$
\n(16)

29 29 where $\tilde{\Psi}_{p,q}^2 [x] = \Psi_{p,q}^2 [x]/\Psi_0^0 [x]$. Note that in order to have a positive-definite kinetic term, we ³⁰ 31 require $\Psi_{p,q}^2 [\phi_0]$ to be negative. If we also assume that $\Psi_{p,q}^2$ saturates at the highest allowed 31 32 mode, which is constant, i.e. 32

$$
\Psi_{p,q}^2 \left[\phi_0 \right] = -C_{p,q},\tag{17}
$$

³⁵ then we can integrate out the momentum modes to get the effective action

$$
S(x) = S_0(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{q} (S_0(x) + \log(C_{q,q}))
$$
\n(18)

³⁹ We thus see that the correction only ends up multiplying the potential with an overall constant ³⁹ ⁴⁰ and adding an irrelevant constant to the potential. Beyond that, the potential remains the same. ⁴⁰ ⁴¹ Hence, we see that in the local potential approximation, we are justified in using the MFA and ⁴¹ ⁴² we will therefore ignore propagating modes for the remainder of the paper.

44 44 *2.3. Solution of the RG flow*

45 45 ⁴⁶ We can now use the MFA to solve the ERG equation (4) for the patch of the universe of the ⁴⁶ 47 size of $1/M^4$, i.e. in the IR regime of the theory. In the UV, we wish to remain as general as 47

43 43

¹ possible, so we do not specify the details of the theory. Let us then write the Euclidean partition ¹ 2 2 function (1) with its initial theory specified in the UV as

$$
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \Psi_{UV}[t,\phi]. \tag{19}
$$

⁶ In the MFA, an eigenmode of the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ evolves under the ERG equation (4) as z dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielnieg
Został dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzielniego dzie

$$
\Psi(t, x) = e^{Et} \Psi(x),
$$
\n(20)

 $_{10}$ where *E* are the eigenvalues of the RG time-independent equation $_{10}$

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi = E\Psi.
$$
 (21) 11

13 Note that we are using $\Psi = \Psi(x)$. In analogy with quantum mechanics, we assume that ¹³ ¹⁴ $\Psi_{UV}(t, x)$ can be expanded as ¹⁴

$$
\Psi_{UV}(t,x) = \sum_{i} \gamma_i e^{E_i t} \Psi_i(x),
$$
\n(22) 16

¹⁸ where Ψ_i have eigenvalues E_i . In the IR, where $t \to \infty$, the dominant contribution will therefore 19 19 come from the highest eigenvalue solution, *i* with max [*Ei*], that has a non-trivial overlap with 20 $\frac{1}{20}$ 20 21 the UV theory. The contribution of other eigenvalue solutions will decay exponentially fast with 21 22 110 and so we expect the inglust eigenvalue solution to dominate even what have no now note 22 that in Eq. (22), a functional integral over Ψ_i should be used instead of the sum when E_i are a Ξ_2 24 commission. 24 RG time so we expect the highest eigenvalue solution to dominate even with little RG flow. Note continuous set.

25 In Appendix A, we show an example of how the decomposition in Eq. (22) can be performed 25 26 in the mean-field approximation for a UV theory with a "normalisable", finite (path) integral of $\frac{26}{4}$ $\psi_{UV}(x)$ over *x*. We use the simplest example of an interacting field theory: the ϕ^4 theory. In $\frac{25}{27}$ ₂₈ general, however, such an explicit computation of $γ_i$ may be extremely challenging, especially ₂₈ $_{29}$ for potentials which give formally divergent integrals. Hereon, we will therefore only assume $_{29}$ ₃₀ that such a decomposition is possible and that we can treat the most general solution for the ₃₀ "ground state" theory (one with $max[E_i]$) as the dominant theory in the IR. See Appendix A for $_{31}$ 32 definitions and future details. 32 definitions and further details.

33 It is convenient to introduce a new functional ψ so that $\frac{33}{2}$

$$
\Psi \equiv e^{-\hat{x}^2/4}\psi. \tag{23}
$$

³⁶ The ERG eigenvalue equation in the MFA then becomes ³⁶

$$
\hat{H}\psi = E\psi. \tag{24}
$$

39 In terms of the familiar quantum mechanical notation, we can use $\hat{x} \equiv \phi / M_{Pl}$ for our initial $\frac{39}{40}$ ⁴⁰ UV field *φ*, where \hat{x} is measured in units of *M_{Pl}* as is convenient for computations involving ⁴⁰₄₁ 41 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{41}$ $\frac{1}{41}$ \hat{H} inflation. We also let \hat{p} ≡ −*i* $\partial_{\hat{x}}$, which gives the Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} :

$$
\hat{H} = -\left(\hat{p}^2 + \frac{\hat{x}^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right),\tag{25}
$$

46 where we have set $\alpha = 1$ without loss of generality. It is important to note that the solutions to 46 47 (21) and (24), i.e. Ψ_i and ψ_i , respectively, have the same eigenvalues, E_i . In the MFA, the two 47

$$
1
$$
 Hamiltonians are related by

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}} = e^{-x^2/4} \hat{H} e^{x^2/4} = \partial_x^2 + x \partial_x \,. \tag{26}
$$

⁴ The form of \hat{H} in Eq. (25) is highly reminiscent of the quantum harmonic oscillator in imag- $\frac{5}{g}$ inary (Euclidean) time. Indeed, by defining the ladder operators \sim 6

$$
\hat{a} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{x} + i\hat{p},
$$
\n
$$
\hat{a}^* = \frac{1}{2}\hat{x} - i\hat{p},
$$
\n(27)\n
$$
\hat{a}^* = \frac{1}{2}\hat{x} - i\hat{p},
$$
\n(28)\n
$$
\overset{9}{10}
$$

¹¹ the Hamiltonian takes the form ¹¹

$$
\hat{H} = -(\hat{a}^*\hat{a} + 1). \tag{29}
$$

 14 Eq. (29), which describes the renormalisation group evolution of the effective action thus only 14 ¹⁵ differs from the usual harmonic oscillator by an overall minus sign and the additive factor of 1 in ¹⁵ 16 place of $1/2$.

For modes of ψ which tend to zero as $x \to \pm \infty$, the operator a^* is the adjoint of $a, a^* = a^{\dagger}$. ¹⁷ ¹⁸ To see this, let α and β be modes that tend to zero at infinity and consider

$$
^{19}\qquad (a\alpha, \beta) = \int dx \, (a\alpha)\beta^* = \int dx \, \alpha(\hat{a}^*\beta)^* = (\alpha, \hat{a}^\dagger\beta), \qquad (30) \quad {}^{19}\n_{21}\n_{22}
$$

²² where we have performed an integration by parts. \hat{H} is negative definite for such modes, and the ²² 23 highest eigenvalue is the "vacuum energy", 23 24 24

$$
E_0 \equiv E = -1,\tag{31} \tag{32}
$$

²⁶ which corresponds to the vacuum of the theory, ψ_0 , 27 27

$$
\hat{a}\,\psi_0 = 0.\tag{32}
$$

 29 The corresponding re-scaled "original" theory reads 30 30

31
$$
\Psi_0(x) = C_0 e^{-x^2/4} \psi_0(x)
$$
31

$$
S_3^{32} = C_0 e^{-x^2/2},\tag{33}
$$

³⁴ which precisely corresponds to the potential of a free theory. Besides the free theory, there exists ³⁴ 35 a mode, $\tilde{\psi}_0$, with the same eigenvalue (31), 35

$$
\tilde{\psi}_0(x) = D_0 e^{-x^2/4} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right),\tag{34}
$$

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_0(x) = D_0 e^{-x^2/4} \tilde{\psi}_0(x) = D_0 e^{-x^2/2} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right),\tag{35}
$$

were erfi(x) denotes the imaginary error function. Note that while $\tilde{\psi}_0(x)$ diverges as $x \to \pm \infty$, $\frac{42}{42}$ as expected for an un-normalisable mode of the harmonic oscillator, the re-scaled wave-function, $43 - \frac{1}{2}$ 43 $\tilde{\Psi}_0$, exhibits the correct behaviour and tends to zero at infinity. $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ leads to a bounded potential, $\frac{43}{44}$ 45 45 as

$$
S_{\phi} [\phi] = -\log \tilde{\Psi}_0 = \frac{\tilde{V}_0(\phi)}{M^4},\tag{36}
$$

1 where the contract of the co where

$$
\tilde{V}_0(\phi) = M^4 \left[\log \left(D_0 \right) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \log \left[\text{erfi} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right] \right].
$$
\n(37)

5 5 In order to avoid considering theories of arbitrarily high eigenvalues, one reasonable assump-6 tion is that the UV theory has *no* overlap with modes Ψ_i of which the eigenvalues would be 6 τ higher than the "vacuum" energy E_0 , cf. Eq. (31). In physical terms, this means that we assume τ 8 that the IR limit of Ψ_{UV} is connected to the free theory – the state Ψ_0 .

9 In the absence of such a restriction, it is clear that the eigenstates of (24), and thus also Ψ , 9 10 10 could have arbitrarily high eigenvalues. However, we want our dominant IR theory, denoted 11 temporarily by $\Psi = \Psi_E$, with some eigenvalue *E*, to tend to zero as *x* → ±∞, as required by a 11 12 stability condition that potentials need to be bounded from below. The eigenvalue equation (21) 12 13 Inch reads to the contract of the contract then reads

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi_E = E\Psi_E
$$
\n
$$
= \partial_x^2 \Psi_E + x \partial_x \Psi_E.
$$
\n(38) 16

¹⁷ If we multiply this equation by Ψ_E and integrate over *x*, we get after integration by part, 18 **18 and 20 and 20**

$$
E\Psi_E = -\int\limits_x (\partial_x \Psi_E)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_x \Psi_E^2. \tag{39}
$$

22 The main point is that integration by parts can only be performed for Ψ_E that tend to zero at 22 23 infinity. Since the integrands on the right-hand-side of (39) are negative-definite, it follows that 23 theories with bounded potentials must have $E < 0$. 24

25 In the remainder of this work, we will focus on two classes of stable effective potentials: the $_{25}$ 26 IR effective theories with *discrete* and *continuous* spectra of $E_i < 0$. In the deep IR limit where $_{26}$ $t \to \infty$, the existence of a discrete spectrum with integral eigenvalues implies that the state with ₂₇ $_{28}$ the highest eigenvalue, $E_0 = -1$, will dominate the first class of theories. In the continuous case, $_{28}$ 29 theories with E_i close to 0 will dominate.

 30 We can now restate the above results in the following way: By assuming that Ψ_{UV} has a 30 31 non-zero overlap only with the states of integral eigenvalues $E = −n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the IR limit of 31 32 32 the theory takes the generic form

$$
\Psi_0(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \left[C_0 + D_0 \operatorname{erfi} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right],\tag{40}
$$

 36 where C_0 and D_0 are arbitrary constants. Importantly, the IR effective theory is continuously 36 37 37 connected to the free Gaussian IR limit, as is often the case in perturbative RG.

38 If we lift the restriction of including the free Gaussian potential in our IR solution, then it 38 39 becomes more natural to consider the second, continuous class of theories for which the Eq. (24) 39 40 (or Eq. (21)) gives 40

$$
\Psi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \bigg[C_{01} F_1 \left(\frac{1+E}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2} \right) + D_0 x \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} {}_1 F_1 \left(\frac{2+E}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \bigg],
$$
\n(41)

44 where ${}_1F_1(a;b;x^2/2)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind. The solution in 44 45 Eq. (41) is valid for all *E* and reduces to (40) at $E = -1$.

46 A simple complete set of orthogonal polynomials (the Hermite polynomials) can be formed 46 47 from the $\Psi(x)$ functions in (41) by restricting the eigenvalues E to be negative integers, 47

1 $E \in \{-1, -2, -3, \ldots\}$. In this way, we can form an infinite series of finite and "normalisable" ¹ 2 contributions to the expansion of Ψ_{UV} in (22). Here, we define normalisable to mean that the 2 3 integral over *x* of $\Psi(x)$ is finite for some choice of *E*:

$$
\int_{6}^{4} dx \Psi_E(x) = \text{finite.}
$$
 (42)

8 As already noted above, we explore this possibility in detail in Appendix A where we use this 8 9 basis to decompose the ERG flow of normalisable scalar theories, in particular the ϕ^4 theory. 9 10 However, in general, we expect that the integrals over $\Psi(x)$ need not converge to give us a 10 ¹¹ physically acceptable effective potentials. In the remainder of this paper, we will study such ¹¹ 12 12 "un-normalisable" theories, for which the integrals diverge:

$$
\int_{15}^{13} dx \Psi_E(x) \to \infty.
$$
 (43) $1^{\frac{13}{15}}$

17 17 In particular, the potentials that we will use as candidates for inflation will be of the un-18 normalisable type. 18

 19 It is important to keep in mind that both MFA solutions, (40) and (41), can be non-perturbative 20 in the coupling constants of the original UV theory. However, the dependence of the effective po- 21 tential on the couplings could only be computed if we had specified the UV theory and somehow computed the relevant overlap integrals that would reveal the true weights of Ψ_i in Ψ . We will not 22 23 pursue this direction in this work and will only consider IR effective potentials with unspecified 24 coupling constant dependence.

 25 Before we move on to considering the phenomenological implication of the two solutions, 26 we note that our approach resembles that of the stochastic approach discussed in [16,17]. It is 27 also similar to the approach of Halpern and Huang [30] and Periwal [31], where a linearisation 27 28 of the ERG equation (2) was performed. Those works showed that there are non-polynomial 29 deformations of the free Gaussian theory for which the Gaussian is IR-unstable. Such cases are 30 also included in our analysis, within the MFA. Furthermore, this gives credence to the inclusion 31 of the extra mode $\tilde{\psi}_0$ in addition to the Gaussian when considering theories of eigenvalue −1. 31 32 It also prompts us to consider in detail theories with eigenvalue greater than −1, which can be 33 argued to be preferable over the free theory from the exact renormalisation group point of view. 34 Finally, we also note that our non-perturbative result differs from the conventional perturbative

 35 approach where the effective Lagrangian is expanded in the powers of the field suppressed by 36 some mass scale. Our approach is also different to what is known as the Effective Field Theory 37 of Inflation [32], where the background dynamics sourced by a potential is assumed as given and 38 the effective theory refers to the fluctuations around that background.

зэрэг тогтоосоо нь тали завдал
Зэрэг тогтоосоо нь тали нь тал

40 40 **3. Inflation**

41 41

42 42 *3.1. Inflationary potential*

44 44 Having found solutions that are likely to dominate the non-perturbative IR regimes of scalar ⁴⁵ theories, we now turn our attention to studying the phenomenological implications of such the-⁴⁶ ories. In particular, we will show that solutions of Eq. (4) naturally lead to potentials capable 46 ⁴⁷ of sustaining inflation in the early universe. The physical requirement in the patch of spacetime ⁴⁷

 $_{14}$ Fig. 1. The dependence of the potential from Eq. (44) on the parameter *c*. Note that the shape does not change for $_{14}$ $c \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Here, we choose $E \sim -10^{-3}$.

¹⁷ where inflation starts is that the temporal derivative of the field is small and the field is homo- 18 geneous [15,33]. To describe inflation, we are therefore primarily interested in the potentials for 19 the constant mode of the theory, which given the argument in section 2.2 means that we can use 20 our MFA results, where we effectively ignored the higher momentum modes in order to derive 21 equation (24) and its solutions.

22 We begin by restating the un-normalisable solution with a continuous spectrum of E_i , i.e. Eq. 22 23 (41), as an effective potential of ϕ . Restoring the mass dimensions,

 $\left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2$

$$
\frac{V(\phi)}{M^4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2
$$

 $\left(\frac{1+E}{2}\right); \frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$; $\frac{1}{2}$ 2

27 and $(1 + E + 1)$ and (27) $\frac{27}{28}$ - log $\left[1F_1\left(\frac{1+E}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\phi}{M}\right)^2\right)\right]$ $\frac{27}{28}$

$$
\overline{25}
$$

29 29 30 $(2 \mid \phi)$ $(2+E-3 \mid \phi)$ ²) (Cc) 30 30
 $+ c \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\frac{2+E}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{C(c)}{M^4},$ (44) 30 32 *π* $\left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)$ 1 F_1 $\left(\frac{2+E}{2};\frac{3}{2}\right)$ $\frac{3}{2}$; $\frac{1}{2}$ 2 $\left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2\right)\left| + \frac{C(c)}{M^4},\right.$ (44)

 $_{33}$ where M_{Pl} is the reduced Planck mass. The potential has an overall factor expressed in terms of $_{33}$ $_{34}$ some mass scale *M*. Furthermore, we have two integration constants *c* and *C*. Our universe has a $_{34}$ ₃₅ very small cosmological constant, therefore the constant *C* needs to be fixed so that the vacuum ₃₅ $_{36}$ energy at the minimum of the potential is zero, $V_{min} = 0$. This constrains C to be a function of ₃₆ 37 c, i.e. C (c). 33 *c*, i.e. *C(c)*.

38 At the minimum of the potential, the mass of the scalar field is independent of the shape 38 39 parameter c , 39 parameter *c*,

$$
\frac{40}{41} \qquad \qquad \partial_{\phi}^2 V(\phi_{min}) = -\frac{EM^4}{M_{Pl}^2}.\tag{45}
$$

43 When $c \gtrsim 1$, the potential and its derivatives do not depend on c (see Fig. 1). Hence, the potential 43 44 has a stable shape and the exact value of *c* for $c \geq \mathcal{O}(1)$ does not matter.

⁴⁵ We are particularly interested in the cases that dominate the IR regime of the RG flow, where ⁴⁵ 46 $E \rightarrow 0^-$. For small |*E*|, the plateau region of the potential is not only flat, but also small (see 46 ⁴⁷ Fig. 1). Such a potential can support slow-roll inflation as well as result in small amplitude of the ⁴⁷

section).

. (50)

S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*••• 11

¹ scalar perturbations required by the observations, thus alleviating the fine-tuning of *M* (see next ¹ 2 section). 2

³ Let us explore the plateau region. We can use the asymptotic expansion of the hypergeometric ³ 4 functions to obtain the leading behaviour that is logarithmic: $\frac{4}{3}$ 5 5 6 $V(\phi) \sim M'^4 \left[1 + \alpha \log \left(\frac{\Psi}{\phi} \right) \right]$ (46) 6 ⁶
 γ (φ) ≈ *M*^{'4} $\left[1 + \alpha \log \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right]$. (46) ⁶⁷ ⁸
This potential shape is reminiscent of the 'Loop Inflation' model⁵ where the logarithmic depen- $\frac{9}{20}$ dence arises from the loop corrections that "spoil" the flatness of the inflationary potential (i.e. 10 $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ the *η*-problem). This has been studied in the context of the *F*- and *D*-term inflation.⁶¹¹ 14 33 34 Inflation proceeds when $\epsilon_V \ll 1$ and $|\eta_V| \ll 1$, and ends when $\epsilon_V(\phi_{end}) \approx 1$. During the expan-
34 35 35 sion, the universe grows by a number of e-folds: 36 36 $\frac{37}{2}$ 37 38 $N(\phi) \equiv \log |\cdots\rangle = |\cdot| H dt \approx |\cdot| \rightarrow \cdots$ (50) 38 39 39 40 40 ₄₁ The Cosmic Microwave Background fluctuations are created about 40 to 60 e-folds before the ₄₁ $_{42}$ end of inflation [34]. The precise value depends on the details of reheating, post-inflationary ther- $_{43}$ mal history and the energy scale of inflation. The integral constraint $N(\phi_{cmb}) \approx 40-60$ provides $_{43}$

V

 $N(\phi) \equiv \log \left(\frac{a_{end}}{a} \right)$

.

 $=$ *tend*

t

 $H dt \approx \int_{0}^{\phi}$

φend

 $_{44}$ us with the field value when the CMB fluctuations are created. This in turn can be used to find $_{44}$ 45 45

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon_V}} \frac{d\phi}{M_P}$ M_{Pl}

 12 13 13 *3.2. The slow-roll analysis in theories with a continuous spectrum of E*

₁₅ The background dynamics of the homogeneous scalar field in the FRW universe is governed ₁₅ 16 by the continuity and Friedmann equations: 16 by the continuity and Friedmann equations:

$$
\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \partial_{\phi}V = 0, \tag{47}
$$

$$
H^{2} = \frac{1}{3M_{Pl}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^{2} + V(\phi) \right).
$$
 (48) 19

21 21 Here, $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter and $a(t)$ is the scale factor that depends on time, not ₂₂ the RG time that we used in Sec. 2. It follows then that the accelerated expansion of the universe $_{23}$ $\frac{22}{24}$ ($\ddot{a}/a > 0$) is achieved when $\dot{\phi}^2 < V(\phi)$, that is, the potential energy of the scalar field dominates $\frac{24}{24}$ $_{25}$ over the kinetic energy. Sustaining the accelerated expansion for long enough also requires the $_{25}$ second derivative of the field $\ddot{\phi}$ to be small. These conditions can be encoded in the smallness of $\frac{26}{26}$ ₂₇ two potential dependent slow-roll parameters: 27

28 and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{$ 29 $\epsilon_V(\phi) \equiv \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial_\phi V}{V}\right)^2$, 29 30 30 $\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}\right)^3$ 31
 $\eta_V(\phi) \equiv M_{Pl}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2_\phi V}{V} \right)$. (49)

32

32 2 *∂φV V* 2 *,* \setminus (49)

⁴⁷ ⁶ For a review of these approaches, see Ref. [6] and references therein. ⁴⁷

13 13 Fig. 2. Predictions for the *(ns,r)* plane for −10−¹ *E <* 0 against the observations (*Planck* TT + lowP data). 14 14

15 and the contract of the con the main observables related to the power spectrum of the fluctuations on the sky:

²² where the scalar amplitude A_s , the scalar spectral index n_s , and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r are ²² 23 evaluated at ϕ_{cmb} . 23

²⁴ We see from Eqs. (49) and (51) that n_s and r do not depend on the overall factor M^4 . Scanning ²⁴ ²⁵ the interesting parameter ranges, $-10^{-1} \lesssim E < 0$ and $0 \le c < \infty$, the primordial tilt n_s changes ²⁵ 26 26 by at most 0*.*5% and the tensor-to-scalar ratio *r* by a couple of per cent. Thus the slow-roll results ²⁷ stemming from the potentials considered here are fairly universal as well as completely consistent ²⁷ ²⁸ with the current observational constraints coming from *Planck* [35]. We plot the predictions for ²⁸ ²⁹ the (n_s, r) pair in Fig. 2.

30 On the plateau, the potential of the field is $V \sim |E|M^4$. As a result the amplitude of the ³⁰ 31 scalar perturbations $A_s \sim M^4 |E| / M_{Pl}^4$ is degenerate in parameters *E* and *M*. Observationally, ³¹ ³² the amplitude is given by $A_s \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-9}$. For the ranges of *E* and *c* considered here, *M*³² ³³ can range from about two orders of magnitude below the GUT scale all the way to the Planck ³³ 34 scale where our formalism breaks down as there is very little RG flow and gravitational effects 34 ³⁵ become important. As a limiting case the potential in Eq. (44) gives the correct size of A_s for the ³⁵ 36 combination *M* ∼ *M_{Pl}* and E ∼ −10⁻¹⁰.

 37

39 39

 $38\text{ }3.3.$ *The discrete case with* $E = -1$ 38

40 In the remainder of this paper, we will limit ourselves to the theory with $E = -1$ in Eq. (38). ⁴¹ As argued above, this special choice of the eigenvalue corresponds to the IR theory that is ⁴¹ ⁴² connected to the free theory. In terms of our quantum mechanical problem, this is the un-⁴³ normalisable solution that contains the lowest-state normalisable mode with a discrete spectrum ⁴³ 44 of integral eigenvalues. Eq. (40) now gives us the potential for ϕ , which is

$$
\frac{45}{47} \qquad \frac{V(\phi)}{M^4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)^2 - \log\left[1 + c\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}M_{Pl}}\right)\right] + \frac{C(c)}{M^4}.
$$
\n(52)

JID:NUPHB AID:13762 /FLA [m1+; v1.232; Prn:8/06/2016; 14:37] P.13 (1-20)

S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*••• 13

Fig. 3. The potential from Eq. (52) depending on the parameter *c*. Note that the shape doesn't change for $c \geq \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\frac{14}{15}$ 15 15 that for small *c* a kink appears in the potential.

¹⁷ We expect the overall scale *M* to be low, as we are examining the dominant solution of the ERG ¹⁷ ¹⁸ in the IR. As in the general case, fixing the constant *C* makes the potential only depend on the 19 19 overall scale parameter *M* and the shape parameter *c*.

Again, at the minimum of the potential, $\partial_{\phi} V(\phi_{min}) = 0$, the mass of the scalar field is inde- $\frac{21}{2}$ pendent of the shape parameter *c*, 22 22

$$
\partial_{\phi}^{2} V(\phi_{min}) = M^{4} / M_{Pl}^{2}.
$$
 (53) 23

²⁴ Furthermore, when $c \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$, the potential and its derivatives do not depend on *c* and the poten-²⁵ tial has a stable shape (see Fig. 3). The plateau of the potential and the quadratic behaviour near ²⁵ ²⁶ the minimum are also fairly independent of c . The parameter governs how sharp the transition is 26 ²⁷ between the two regimes and where it happens. For smaller *c* the transition happens at higher ϕ . ²⁷ ²⁸ At $c = 0$ we restore the familiar quadratic potential of the free theory.

²⁹ In the plateau region we use the asymptotic expansion of the error function, ²⁹

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sim e^{\phi^2/2} \left(\frac{1}{\phi} + \dots\right),\tag{54}
$$

33 which is approximately valid for $\phi \gtrsim 4M_{Pl}$. As before, in Eq. (46), we recover the logarithmic ³³ ³⁴ behaviour reminiscent of the radiative corrections to a flat potential. In the special case considered ³⁴ 35 here $(E = -1)$, the corrections can be turned off for $c = 0$, when the free Gaussian theory is ³⁵ 36 36 recovered.

³⁷ The slow-roll predictions of our model are plotted in Fig. 4 against the observational con-38 38 straints. The spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are independent of the overall scale *M*. 39 39 For the given shape of the potential (i.e. fixed *c*) the values of *ns* and *r* are determined. Then *M* ⁴⁰ is determined such that the amplitude of fluctuations matches the observations. Hence, the infla-⁴¹ tionary model described by the potential in Eq. (52) would fall in the class of 'One parameter' ⁴¹ $42 \text{ models } [6]$. 42

43 43 For the 'theoretically preferred' values of the shape parameter, *c* ∼ O*(*1*)*, we are in very good 44 44 agreement with the observations. Parameter *c* measures the deformation of the potential away 45 from the free theory ($c = 0$). For the small values ($10^{-8} \lesssim c \lesssim 10^{-14}$) the scalar to tensor ratio *r* 45 ⁴⁶ can be reduced by several orders of magnitude while being consistent with the observations. This ⁴⁶ 47 47 results in the further lowering of the scale of the potential, *M*, to about three orders of magnitude

JID:NUPHB AID:13762 /FLA [m1+; v1.232; Prn:8/06/2016; 14:37] P.14 (1-20)

14 *S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B* ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*•••

14 Fig. 4. Predictions for the (n_s, r) plane against the observations (*Planck* TT + lowP data) when $E = -1$. ¹⁴ 15 15

16 16 $_{17}$ below the GUT scale. This provides more room for the RG flow and adds to the validity of our $_{17}$ 18 \ldots 18 analysis.

19 19 For the cases of small *c* the potential we study develops a kink (see Fig. 3) that introduces ₂₀ non-negligible derivatives of the field ϕ . This makes the slow-roll prediction less accurate. The ₂₀ $_{21}$ transient violation of the slow-roll conditions in the potential can give rise to observable fea- $_{22}$ tures in the primordial power spectrum. This motivates further study with the exact integration $_{22}$ ₂₃ of the inflationary background and perturbations together with the relaxation of the mean-field ₂₃ ₂₄ approximation in our derivation of the potential.

25 $26 \rightarrow$. Conclusion 26 **4. Conclusion**

27 27 28 In this paper, we studied non-perturbative scalar field potentials derived as an IR limit of the 28 ₂₉ exact renormalisation group equation within the mean-field approximation. We demonstrated ₂₉ ₃₀ that this approximation is valid when considering the potentials of an almost constant field. This ₃₀ 31 setup is precisely what is required to start the exponential expansion of space-inflation. The po-₃₂ tentials we derived were capable of supporting slow-roll inflation with the values of the spectral ₃₂ 33 index and tensor-to-scalar ratio fully consistent with the recent observations. Despite our very 33 ₃₄ general treatment of the scalar field potentials, the slow-roll results are largely independent on ₃₄ 35 35 the constants parameterising the potentials and thus result in fairly universal predictions. For the 36 36 special case where we required that the IR theory was continuously connected to a free Gaussian 37 37 fixed point, we identified a parameter range that led to transient violation of the slow-roll con-38 38 ditions. Hence, this results in a possibly observable feature in the primordial power spectrum, 39 39 which should be further studied in the future.

- 40 40
- 41 41 **Acknowledgements**

42 42

43 43 The authors would like to thank Atish Dabholkar, Edward Hardy, Mark Hertzberg, Janos 44 44 Polonyi, Subir Sarkar and Koenraad Schalm for useful conversations and correspondence. SG 45 45 is supported in part by a VICI grant of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research ⁴⁶ (NWO), and by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research/Ministry of Science and ⁴⁶ ⁴⁷ Education (NWO/OCW). DK is supported by the STFC. EES is supported by the ILP LABEX ⁴⁷

¹ (under reference ANR-10-LABX-63), and by French state funds managed by the ANR within ¹ 2 2 the Investissements dAvenir program under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02.

4 4 **Appendix A. Decomposition of the UV theory in the mean-field approximation for scalar** <u>5</u> theories 5 theories 5 theories 5 theories 5 theories for the state of the state 5 **theories**

6 6

⁷ In this appendix, we consider in greater detail the decomposition of UV scalar theories in ⁷ ⁸ terms of the ERG equation *basis* presented in Eq. (22). We will assume the space-time deriva-⁹ tives of ϕ to be very small, so that we can use the mean-field approximation in which we can ¹⁰ treat *φ* as a constant real variable *x*. In this approximation, the path integral (19) becomes a ¹⁰ ¹¹ one-dimensional integral ¹¹

$$
Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \Psi_{UV} [\phi] \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-S(x)},
$$
\n(A.1) 13

¹⁶ where the Euclidean action *S(x)* equals the potential *V(x)* of the UV scalar theory.¹⁶

¹⁷ In order for the expression $(A.1)$ to be well-defined, we assume that the theory is normalisable, ¹⁷ ¹⁸ i.e. that the integral over *x* in $(A.1)$ is finite, cf. Eq. (42). It is important to note that in a general ¹⁸ ¹⁹ quantum field theory, i.e. in the absence of a precise definition of the path integral, this need not ¹⁹ ²⁰ be the case. All that is usually assumed is that the potential is bounded from below. As a simple ²⁰ ²¹ example of a normalisable theory, we can think of the ϕ^4 theory, which gives²¹

$$
Z_{\phi^4} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}m^2x^2 - \frac{1}{4!}\lambda x^4}
$$

26 26 $\frac{27}{2}$ $\frac{27}{4}$ $\frac{27}{4}$ $\frac{27}{4}$ $\frac{27}{4}$ $\frac{27}{4}$ (A 2) $\frac{27}{4}$ $= \sqrt{\frac{2R}{\lambda}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{4\lambda}} K_{1/4} \left(\frac{3R}{4\lambda} \right)$. (A.2) 27 (A.2) 27 (a.2) 28 $\sqrt{\frac{3m^2}{\lambda}}e^{\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda}}K_{1/4}\left(\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda}\right)$ 4*λ .* (A.2)

29 In the expression, $K_{\alpha}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. In the small λ and ²⁹ ³⁰ *m* expansions, ³⁰

$$
Z_{\phi^4} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{m} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\lambda}{4m^5} + \mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2/m^9\right),\tag{A.3}
$$

$$
Z_{\phi^4} = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2\lambda}\right)^{1/4} + \mathcal{O}\left(m^2/\lambda^{3/4}\right),\tag{A.4}
$$

 37 respectively. The expansions imply that at $m = 0$, a perturbative treatment around $λ = 0$ would 37 38 38 be ill-defined as the partition function is divergent.

39 39 As an example of a well-known and well-defined QFT, which is "un-normalisable" from the 40 40 point of view of our analysis, one can think of the Sine-Gordon potential. In this case,

$$
Z_{SG} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left\{\frac{m^4}{\lambda} \left[\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}x}{m}\right) - 1\right] \right\}
$$
 (A.5)
$$
44
$$

45 45 is divergent and a more general approach would be needed to treat such theories in the MFA ⁴⁶ of the ERG. In such cases, the simple procedure of Eq. (A.1) is insufficient for computational ⁴⁶ 47 purposes. 47 purposes.

Please cite this article in press as: S. Grozdanov et al., Inflationary potentials from the exact renormalisation group, Nucl. Phys. B (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.06.001

∞

16 *S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B* ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*•••

¹ To show how the computation of the ERG-basis decomposition (22) can be performed in ¹ ² simple example of scalar UV theories, we restrict our attention to normalisable theories with ² 3 3 polynomial potentials and consider a single scalar field theory in the MFA with

$$
S(x) = \frac{1}{2}m^2x^2 + \mathcal{U}(x).
$$
 (A.6)

6 6 We assume that *S(x)* gives a finite *Z*, as for example in the ϕ^4 theory case computed in (A.2), $\overline{7}$ λ_8 for which $U(x) = \lambda x^4/4!$.

9 The general solution to the ERG equation (21) was presented in Eq. (41). For completeness, θ 10 we restate it field. 10 we restate it here:

$$
\Psi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \bigg[C_{01} F_1 \left(\frac{1+E}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2} \right) + D_0 x \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} {}_1 F_1 \left(\frac{2+E}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \bigg].
$$
 (A.7) $\frac{11}{12}$

¹³ 13 13 To form a complete set of functions out of $(A.7)$, we will restrict ourselves to the basis of normalisable solutions for which *E* are negative integers, i.e. $E \in \{-1, -2, -3, ...\}$, with $C_0 = 0$ 15 15 for *E* ∈ {−2*,*−4*,...*} and *D*⁰ = 0 for *E* ∈ {−1*,*−3*,...*}. Since the first parameter of the hyper-16 16 geometric function is now always an integer, it is useful to rewrite the confluent hypergeometric $\frac{17}{12}$ functions in terms of the Hermite polynomials: $\mathbf{18}$ 18

$$
{}_{19}^{19} \t {}_{20}^{1}F_1\left(-n;\frac{1}{2};\frac{x^2}{2}\right) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{(2n)!} H_{2n}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right),
$$
 (A.8) ${}_{20}^{19}$

$$
\sqrt{2}x_1F_1\left(-n;\frac{3}{2};\frac{x^2}{2}\right) = \frac{(-1)^n n!}{(2n+1)!}H_{2n+1}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right). \tag{A.9}
$$

24 The Hermite polynomials multiplied by $exp{-x^2/4}$, i.e. the functions in the set of 24

$$
e^{-x^2/4}H_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad n \ge 0, \tag{A.10}
$$

28 form a complete orthonormal basis on the Hilbert space of L^2 -integrable functions on the entire 28 z^2 real axis $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The completeness relation has the form⁷

$$
\int_{32}^{30} dx \, e^{-x^2/2} H_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) H_m\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \sqrt{2\pi} 2^n n! \delta_{nm},\tag{A.11}
$$

where $n, m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. Using the Hermite polynomial basis, we can now write the ERG flows 34 35 of normalisable Ψ_{UV} theories as 35

$$
\Psi_{UV}(t,x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n e^{-t(n+1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} H_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right).
$$
\n(A.12) 37
\n38
\n39
\n30
\n30
\n31
\n32

39 Note also that $\Psi_{UV}(x) = \Psi_{UV}(t=0, x)$. Using the completeness relation (A.11), we can express ³⁹ ⁴⁰ the coefficients γ_n of the Ψ_{UV} expansion (computed at $t = 0$) as ⁴⁰

$$
\gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} 2^n n!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \Psi_{UV}(x) H_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right).
$$
\n(A.13)

45 45

⁴⁶ ⁷ For a detailed discussion of Hermite and Laguerre polynomials, their orthogonality relation and the proof of com-47 pleteness, see Chapter V of the reference [36]. 47

¹ In our prototypical example of the ϕ^4 theory, for which Ψ_{UV} is even in *x* (and *y*), it is clear that ² only γ_n with even *n* contribute to Ψ_{UV} . Similarly, this would be true in any theory with an even ² ³ $U(x)$. To solve for the γ_n coefficients in such theories, it is particularly convenient to write the ³ 4 4 Hermite polynomials in terms of associated Laguerre polynomials,

$$
H_{2n}(y) = (-1)^n 2^{2n} n! L_n^{(-1/2)}(y^2),
$$
\n(A.14) $\frac{5}{6}$

$$
H_{2n+1}(y) = (-1)^n 2^{2n+1} n! L_n^{(1/2)}(y^2), \tag{A.15}
$$

⁹ since they obey the recurrence relation

$$
L_{n+1}^{(\alpha)}(y^2) = \left(\frac{2n+1+\alpha-y^2}{n+1}\right)L_n^{(\alpha)}(y^2) - \left(\frac{n+\alpha}{n+1}\right)L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)}(y^2).
$$
 (A.16) 11

13 Note that $y = x/\sqrt{2}$. Using Eq. (A.16) with $\alpha = -1/2$ and $\alpha = 1/2$, we can find the recurrence ¹³ ¹⁴ relations for *all* even and odd γ_n from the knowledge of (γ_0, γ_2) and (γ_1, γ_3) , respectively:

$$
\gamma_{n+2} = \frac{1}{(n+2)(n+1)} \left[\frac{\partial \gamma_n}{\partial m^2} + \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \gamma_n + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{n-2} \right].
$$
\n(A.17) 15

18 18 To see how this works on a specific example, let us again return to the case of the *φ*⁴ theory, ¹⁹ for which ¹⁹ for which

$$
\gamma_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3m^2}{2\pi\lambda}} e^{\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda}} K_{1/4} \left(\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda}\right),
$$
\n(A.18)

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{m^2 \lambda^3}} e^{\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda}} \left[\left(3m^4 + \left(2 + m^2 \right) \lambda \right) I_{1/4} \left(\frac{3m^4}{4\lambda} \right) \right]^{23} \right]^{23} \tag{23}
$$

$$
-m^{2}\left(3m^{2}+\lambda\right)I_{-1/4}\left(\frac{3m^{4}}{4\lambda}\right)+3m^{4}\left(I_{5/4}\left(\frac{3m^{4}}{4\lambda}\right)-I_{3/4}\left(\frac{3m^{4}}{4\lambda}\right)\right)\bigg].
$$
 (A.19)

²⁸ The rest of the coefficients γ_n can then be generated using (A.18). Note that $I_\alpha(x)$ is the modified ²⁸ ²⁹ Bessel function of the first kind. As a check on the results, we note that in the UV (at $t = 0$), ²⁹ 30 we need to integrate over the entire real axis, $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. In that case, only the $n = 0$ term ³⁰ 31 contributes to the integral over the sum (A.12). In the extreme IR limit of $t \to \infty$, the dominant ³¹ 32 contribution to the RG flow of $\Psi_{UV}(t, x)$ comes from the $n = 0$ (or $E = -1$) term, i.e. the ³² ³³ Gaussian effective action. ³³

³⁴ We note that the effective IR potential of the ϕ^4 theory is not of the form we used to study ³⁴ ³⁵ inflation. This is because in this appendix we restricted ourselves to only the basis of hyper-
³⁵ ³⁶ geometric functions for which the first parameter was an integer. In case of the ϕ^4 theory and ³⁶ ³⁷ other normalisable theories, this is sufficient to decompose the entire theory and solve the RG ³⁷ ³⁸ flow. However, we expect that for more general theory, in particular those with un-normalisable ³⁸ ³⁹ potentials, this type of a decomposition would not suffice and a more general basis would be ³⁹ 40 required 40 required.

42 42 **Appendix B. Momentum space solution**

⁴⁴ In this appendix, we solve the system in momentum space, where the solution takes a much ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ simpler form. We first recall the equation ⁴⁵

41 41

43 43

$$
\partial_{\tilde{t}} \Psi(x) = \hat{H} \Psi(x) = \left(\partial_x^2 + x \partial_x\right) \Psi(x),\tag{B.1}
$$

¹ where \tilde{t} is the appropriately rescaled RG time. Note that the Hamiltonian is symmetric in *x*, so ¹ ² that solutions decompose into symmetric solutions $\Psi_s(x)$ and anti-symmetric solutions $\Psi_a(x)$, ² 3 3 respectively. 4 4 Let us go to momentum space and write 5 5 6 $\Psi(x) = \int \Psi(p) e^{ipx}$ (B.2) 6 7 $$ 7 8 8 9 WICIC 10 ∞ 10 $11 \qquad I = -1$ dn 12 12 12 13 13 14 Plugging this into Eq. (B.1), we find the contract of the c 15 $\qquad \qquad$ 15 16 $\int (\partial_{\tilde{t}} \Psi(p) + p \Psi(p) - \Psi(p) p \partial_p) e^{i\theta} = 0.$ (B.4) 16 $\frac{17}{p}$ 17 ¹⁸ This gives the RG equation in momentum space after an integration by parts on the last term, 19 \sim 1 20 $∂_tΨ(p) = −(p² + 1 + p ∂_p) Ψ(p).$ (B.5) $\frac{20}{21}$ 21 and $\sqrt{2}$ 21 22 Note that the equation is a first-order differential equation. Let us perform a redefinition of coor-23 dinates. 23 23 24 24 25 $P \circ P - \circ q$, (1.0) 25 26 which is satisfied by 26 27 27 28 $p - K e^{-t}$, (**D.** *i*) 28 ²⁹ for some constant *K*. We can set $K = 1$ without loss of generality. The RG equation then reads ²⁹ 30 31 $\partial_{\tilde{t}} \Psi(q) = -\left(e^{2q} + 1 + \partial_q\right) \Psi(q).$ (B.8) ³¹ 32 33 **Rescaling the theory as 33** 33 $\frac{34}{1}$ 34 $\tilde{\Psi}(q) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}e^{2q} + q\right)\Psi(q),$ \sim 36 36 37 we find 37 38 $\partial_{\tau} \tilde{\Psi}(q) = -\partial_{q} \tilde{\Psi}(q).$ (B.9) ³⁸ 39 $4 \cdot 9$ 9 39 40 We can now expand $\tilde{\Psi}(q)$ as usual in a basis $\{\psi_k = e^{-E_k q}\},$ 40 41 41 42 $\tilde{M}(a) = \int C(F) e^{-Eq}$ (R 10) 42 $\tilde{\Psi}(q) = \int \overline{C(E)} e^{-Eq}$. (B.10) ⁴²

43 44 44 45 Note that $\tilde{\Psi}(q)$ is closely related to the Laplace transform of $C(E)$. Indeed, we will see below 45 46 that we need $\overline{C}(E) = 0$ for $E \ge 0$. The basis $\{\psi_k = e^{-E_k q}\}\$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner 46 47 product 47 and 200 million $\Psi(x) =$ *p* $\Psi(p)e^{ipx}$, (B.2) where *p* $=\frac{1}{2\pi}$ -∞ −∞ d*p.* (B.3) $\int (\partial_{\tilde{t}} \Psi(p) + p^2 \Psi(p) - \Psi(p) p \partial_p) e^{ipx} = 0.$ (B.4) *p* dinates, $p \, \partial_p = \partial_q$, (B.6) $p = K e^q$, (B.7) $\Psi(q)$. (B.8) we find *E* product

JID:NUPHB AID:13762 /FLA [m1+; v1.232; Prn:8/06/2016; 14:37] P.19 (1-20)

S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*••• 19

$$
\iota_{2} \qquad (\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dq \, e^{q(E_{1} - E_{2})} = \delta(E_{1} - E_{2}).
$$
\n(B.11)

Note that in terms of *p*, restricting ourselves to $E \in \mathbb{Z}$, the expansion (B.10) is just the regular $\frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{5}{2}$ Laurent expansion of $\tilde{\Psi}(p)$.

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 6 & \text{w} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$ We can now solve the re-defined RG equation $(B.9)$. That is, we assume that the UV theory $8 \hspace{2.5cm} 8$ has the expansion

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{UV}(p) = \int_{E} C(E) p^{-E}.
$$
\n(B.12) $\frac{9}{10}$

¹² The solution of (B.9) then reads ¹²

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_t(p) = \int\limits_E C(E) \, e^{tE} \, p^{-E} \,. \tag{B.13}
$$

16 16 17 11 17 17 18 The "eigen-theories" are therefore given by 17

$$
\Psi(p) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}p^2}}{p^{1+E}}.
$$
\n(B.14) 18

21 As $t \to \infty$, we expect that the eigen-theories with largest *E*, for which $C(E) \neq 0$, will dominate. 22 Fourier transforming $(B.14)$ back to position space and taking the symmetric part then gives 22

$$
\Psi_s(x) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{1+E}{2};\frac{1}{2};\frac{x^2}{2}\right),\tag{B.15}
$$

 26 while taking the anti-symmetric part gives 26

$$
\Psi_a(x) \propto x \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{2+E}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2}\right),\tag{B.16}
$$

³⁰ as expected. Moreover, we find that the inverse transform is ill-defined for $E \ge 0$. That is, we 31 require that the UV theory has $C(E) = 0$ for $E \ge 0$. 32 32

27 27

$\mathbf{33}$ $\mathbf{58}$ $\mathbf{6}$ $\mathbf{73}$ $\mathbf{83}$ 34 34 **References**

- ³⁵ [1] A.H. Guth, Inflationary universe: a possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981)³⁵ 36 36 347–356, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347>, URL [http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347.](http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347)
- 37 37 [2] A.A. Starobinsky, A new type of isotropic cosmological models without singularity, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 99–102, 38 38 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(80\)90670-X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(80)90670-X)
- ₃₉ [3] A.D. Linde, A new inflationary universe scenario: a possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, ₃₉ 40 40 isotropy and primordial monopole problems, Phys. Lett. B 108 (1982) 389–393, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9) [2693\(82\)91219-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9).
- 41 [4] V.F. Mukhanov, G.V. Chibisov, Quantum fluctuations and a nonsingular universe, JETP Lett. 33 (1981) 532. ⁴¹
- 42 42 [5] D.H. Lyth, A.R. Liddle, The Primordial Density Perturbation, 2009.
- 43 43 [6] J. Martin, C. Ringeval, V. Vennin, Encyclopædia inflationaris, Phys. Dark Universe 5 (2014) 75–235, [http://dx.doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2014.01.003) 44 44 [org/10.1016/j.dark.2014.01.003,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2014.01.003) arXiv:1303.3787.
- 45 45 [7] A. Salvio, A. Strumia, Agravity, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2014) 080, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2014\)080,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)080) arXiv:1403.4226.
- ⁴⁶ [8] K. Kannike, G. Hütsi, L. Pizza, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, A. Salvio, A. Strumia, Dynamically induced Planck scale ⁴⁶ 47 47 and inflation, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2015) 065, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2015\)065,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2015)065) arXiv:1502.01334.

Б n Δ

20 *S. Grozdanov et al. / Nuclear Physics B* ••• *(*••••*)* •••*–*•••

