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Objective: To investigate the incidence of serious adverse events (SAE) of subthalamic deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS) in elderly patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD).

Methods: We investigated a group of 26 patients with PD who underwent STN-DBS at mean age 63.2 ± 3.3 years. The operated patients from the EARLYSTIM study (mean age 52.9 ± 6.6) were used as a comparison group. Incidences of SAE were compared between these groups.

Results: A higher incidence of psychosis and hallucinations was found in these elderly patients compared to the younger patients in the EARLYSTIM study (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: The higher incidence of STN-DBS-related psychiatric complications underscores the need for comprehensive psychiatric pre- and postoperative assessment in older DBS candidates. However, these psychiatric SAE were transient, and the benefits of DBS clearly outweighed its adverse effects.
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INTRODUCTION

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is widely used as a neurosurgical treatment for Parkinson’s disease (PD), because it improves the motor manifestations of PD and reduces the need for antiparkinsonian medication (Fasano and Lozano, 2015). The intraoperative, short-term, and long-term adverse effects of DBS in PD are well-known (Falowski et al., 2015). However, when candidates for DBS are appropriately selected, the benefit of the procedure in terms of an improved quality of life generally justifies its small risk. Moreover, it was concluded in the EARLYSTIM study (Schuepbach et al., 2013) that DBS in the subthalamic nucleus (STN-DBS) yielded a better outcome than drug treatment alone in patients with early (rather than advanced) motor complications of PD. In that study, the incidence of serious adverse events (SAE) was compared in patients in the medical versus the surgical arms of the study. The mean age of the 124 patients who underwent surgery was 52.9 years, and they were followed up for 2 years. Today, no consensus exists regarding an age cutoff for DBS as a treatment of PD (Vesper et al., 2007; Floden et al., 2014). In the present study, we...
retrospectively determined the incidence of SAE after STN-DBS in a group of patients whose mean age was 63.2 years and compared it to the incidence of SAE among the patients in the EARLYSTIM study.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

We retrospectively analyzed the medical records of PD patients who underwent STN-DBS in our institution, which were extracted from the clinical and research databases of the University Hospital Basel. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethikkommission beider Basel). The records were analyzed for a period of 2 years after STN-DBS. The inclusion and exclusion criteria from the EARLYSTIM study (Schuepbach et al., 2013) were used to select cases for the analysis, with the exception of age: in the present study we focused on a group of relatively old operated patients (see Supplement 1 for the criteria of selection). The age of the patients in the EARLYSTIM study ranged from 18 to 60 years; in the group of patients from our database, the age ranged from 58 to 70 years.

Twenty-six patients with PD (11 women, 15 men) who underwent STN-DBS from January 1, 2008 to June 30, 2013, were selected for the analysis (i.e., the “BASEL group” of patients in what follows, as opposed to the “EARLYSTIM group”).

**Operative Procedure for STN-DBS**

In each patient, Medtronic 3389 electrodes were stereotactically implanted into the STN bilaterally under local anesthesia with the aid of intraoperative microelectrode recording and test stimulation, after coordinate- and visually-based target selection and trajectory calculation with the aid of preoperative CT and MRI scans. The pulse generator was implanted subsequently under general anesthesia.

**Analyses of the Cases**

Patients with PD who were scheduled for DBS underwent interdisciplinary assessment (including detailed neurological and neuropsychological examinations) before and, in general, every 6 months after the procedure. The results of these assessments were stored in the hospital’s clinical and research databases and were compiled for this analysis. Whenever a patient needed his/her family doctor’s assistance for problems potentially related to Parkinson’s disease or to DBS, but was not admitted to the hospital for these problems, the family doctor reported such cases to the clinical and research database. The interdisciplinary assessment performed within the 14 days before the STN-DBS will be referred to as the “baseline assessment,” while that performed 24 months later will be referred to as the “2-year assessment.”

The following variables were analyzed: subscales II and III of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS), the Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI II), and the levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD). In some cases, diagnoses made by other medical specialists (e.g., a cardiologist) were used in addition as an indication of the patient’s general medical condition.

SAE were defined according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities, version 14.1, as any registered events that led to death, disability, or prolonged or new hospitalization with serious health impairment. The list of SAE was adjusted to the list of SAE described in the EARLYSTIM study. Thus, the SAE were grouped in the following categories: (1) suicide, (2) life-threatening events, (3) events related to medication or stimulation, (4) events related to surgery or device, (5) events related to PD. We calculated the number of reported SAE in each category for each patient within the 24 months after STN-DBS.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical calculations were done with the R version 3.1.2 open source software. Baseline mean and standard deviations of the demographic and clinical parameters of the groups were compared with an unpaired Student’s t-test. The numbers of patients with SAE were compared with the chi-squared test with Yates correction to prevent overestimation of statistical significance for small data; significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Linear regression models were applied to adjust for potential confounders in statistically significant differences.

**RESULTS**

The results of the comparison of the demographic and clinical features of the two groups are shown in Supplement 2. The patients in the BASEL group were older than those in the EARLYSTIM study who underwent surgery (mean difference 10.3 years, 95% confidence interval (CI) 7.7 to 12.9). Disease duration in the BASEL group was longer (mean difference 2.7 years, CI: 1.3 to 4.1).

The results of the comparison of SAE incidence between the two groups are shown in Table 1. Significant differences in the incidence of SAE were found in the category “Event related to medication or stimulation” (Chi-squared $= 4.5, p = 0.03$) and in its subcategory “psychosis and hallucinations” (Chi-squared $= 24.7, p < 0.01$). The characteristics of psychosis and hallucination of the patients in the BASEL group are shown in Table 2. Regression models showed no influence of clinical and demographic parameters on the incidence of psychosis.

**DISCUSSION**

We found a higher incidence of psychosis and hallucinations after STN-DBS in a sample of PD patients who were about 10 years older than those of the EARLYSTIM study.

The effects of DBS on mental functioning are not clear, and the pattern and expression of neuropsychiatric symptoms in operated patients with PD are highly variable (Volkmann et al., 2010). Some researchers have reported various types of psychiatric side effects of DBS, ranging from apathy and emotional lability to visual hallucinations, hypersexuality, and aggressive behavior (Soulas et al., 2008; Le Jeune et al., 2009; Bickel et al., 2010; Daniele et al., 2012; Qureshi et al., 2015). In a meta-analysis of 808 publications covering the years 1996–2005, the most common psychiatric side effect associated with DBS was delirium, making up 4 to 8% of all psychiatric complications.
TABLE 1 | Serious adverse events in the first 2 years after STN-DBS surgery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>EARLYSTIM STN-DBS (n = 124)</th>
<th>BASEL group (n = 26)</th>
<th>Chi-square test, p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
<td>No. of events</td>
<td>No. of patients (%)</td>
<td>No. of events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total serious adverse events</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>68 (54.8)</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Death, all by suicide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Life-threatening event</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12 (9.7)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Event related to medication or stimulation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24 (19.4)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening of mobility*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 (4.0)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor fluctuations</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyskinesia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis or hallucinations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulse control disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 (4.8)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal attempt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disorder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 (2.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory or thoracic disorder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Event related to surgery or device</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22 (17.7)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired wound healing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral abscess or edema</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dislocation of device**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 (3.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation necessary***</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10 (8.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Event related to PD</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39 (31.5)</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Worsening of mobility was defined as tremor, rigidity, akinesia, wearing off of medication effect, dystonia, or worsening of symptoms of PD. **Dislocation of device was defined as dislocation of the stimulator, cable, or lead. ***Reoperation was necessary in order to repair the stimulator or lead.

TABLE 2 | Patients with serious psychosis and hallucinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Clinical presentation</th>
<th>Time of manifest after STN-DBS</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Visual illusions, sense of presence, fear</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>New hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Delusion of spousal infidelity, suspicions of harmful thoughts</td>
<td>8 weeks</td>
<td>New hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Passage hallucinations, delusion</td>
<td>16 weeks</td>
<td>New hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Paranoia with aggression</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>Prolonged hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Visual illusions, sense of presence, fear</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>New hospitalization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Appleby et al., 2007). The psychiatric side effects of DBS are usually transient and treatable (Voon et al., 2006), but there have been case reports of very severe side effects with long-term consequences (Zonana et al., 2011; Piccoli et al., 2015). Other researchers reported an improvement of psychiatric symptoms after DBS (Funkiewicz et al., 2004). Vesper et al. analyzed the outcomes of DBS in PD as a function of age (Vesper et al., 2007), comparing patients over and under age 65 1 year after surgery. Transient neuropsychiatric impairment was a common finding in both groups (the adverse events were not stratified with regard to severity). In another observational study by Shiina et al. a sample of PD patients with a mean age of 65 years was followed up for 12 months after DBS (Shiina et al., 2015): some experienced an improvement of pre-operative psychiatric symptoms, some had psychiatric side effects, and others had no changes in psychiatric state. Piasecki et al. proposed three possible mechanisms of mental disturbance after DBS: effects of the electrode placement itself, neurotransmitter changes induced by stimulation, and worsening of a pre-existing mental disorder by DBS (Piasecki and Jefferson, 2004). Three regions have been described in the STN in which the neurons participate in the following functional circuits: sensorimotor (dorsolateral), motor (ventromedial), and limbic (medial) (Romanelli et al., 2004). Limbic circuits play a role in emotional and behavioral control. Spread of electrical current from the subthalamic DBS electrodes may cause a disturbance in the limbic circuit, producing psychiatric symptoms. Another
explanatory hypothesis for psychiatric complications after DBS is based on an imbalance of two neuromodulators, y-aminobutyric acid (GABA), and glutamate. DBS leads to an increased activity of nigral GABAergic neurons and decreased activity of glutamate flow from the STN (Malhi and Sachdev, 2002; Piatecki and Jefferson, 2004). Dysfunction of these transmitters is known to be involved in psychiatric disorders (Drevets et al., 1997). Another possible explanation of post-DBS psychosis is the reduction or withdrawal of dopaminergic medication after surgery (Voon et al., 2006). Finally, some researchers have seen a relation between post-DBS psychosis and pre-existing mental disturbances and have therefore stressed the importance of a thorough psychiatric assessment as a prerequisite for DBS surgery (Kalteis et al., 2006). We hypothesize that the psychiatric SAE in our study were of multifactorial origin and that their higher incidence in older patients may be explained by these patients’ lower resistance to surgical stress and their decreased neuroplasticity, resulting in a poorer ability to reset the functional limbic circuit affected by PD and DBS (Saint-Cyr et al., 2000).

It should be noted that the STN is not the only possible target for DBS in the treatment of PD. In particular, DBS in the internal segment of the globus pallidus (GPI) has been found to be comparable to STN-DBS in terms of efficacy and safety (Honey et al., 2016). However, GPI-DBS has its own benefits and limitations (Groiss et al., 2009). Some centers favor the pallidal target for certain groups of patients (Okun et al., 2009).

Our analysis has several limitations. First, the patients from the BASEL group were not very old, but still within the generally accepted age limits for the operation (Okun and Foote, 2010). Second, while the EARLYSTIM data were obtained in a multicenter trial, the findings we report here are derived from a single center. Single-center analyses have a role in planning and powering of subsequent larger studies (Bellomo et al., 2009); thus, this report may serve as a starting point for further research into the age-dependent effects of DBS in PD. Finally, a detailed comparison of the cognitive performance of the patients in the two groups is impossible on the basis of the information available in the EARLYSTIM report.

In spite of these limitations, a comparison of these two groups enabled us to estimate the influence of age on the neuropsychiatric and neuropsychological outcome of STN-DBS surgery in patients with PD. The apparently higher incidence of psychiatric complications after STN-DBS in older patients underscores the need for comprehensive pre- and postoperative psychiatric assessment in older DBS patients. However, the psychiatric SAE that arose in our patients (the BASEL group) were transient, occurring mainly in the early postoperative period. The benefits of DBS clearly outweighed its adverse effects in this group of patients.
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