



HAL
open science

Dolutegravir as monotherapy in HIV-1-infected individuals with suppressed HIV viraemia

C. Katlama, C. Soulié, F. Caby, A. Denis, C. Blanc, L. Schneider, M.-A. Valantin, R. Tubiana, M. Kirstetter, E. Valdenassi, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

C. Katlama, C. Soulié, F. Caby, A. Denis, C. Blanc, et al.. Dolutegravir as monotherapy in HIV-1-infected individuals with suppressed HIV viraemia. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 2016, 71 (9), pp.2646-2650. 10.1093/jac/dkw186 . hal-01340219

HAL Id: hal-01340219

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01340219>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

version
d'après)

1 **Dolutegravir as monotherapy in HIV-1 infected individuals**
2 **with suppressed HIV viremia**

3
4 C Katlama^{1,2}, C Soulié^{2,3}, F Caby^{1,2}, A Denis¹, C Blanc^{1,2}, L Schneider^{1,2}, MA Valantin^{1,2},
5 R Tubiana^{1,2}, M Kirstetter¹, E Valdenassi¹, Thuy Nguyen^{2,3}, G Peytavin⁴,
6 V. Calvez^{2,3}, AG Marcelin^{2,3}.

7 ¹Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Infectious diseases Department, 75013, Paris, France.

8 ²Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis
9 d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique (IPLESP UMRS 1136), 75013, Paris, France.

10 ³Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Department of Virology, Paris, France

11 ⁴Pharmaco-Toxicology Department, APHP, Bichat-Claude Bernard Hospital, Université Paris
12 Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, IAME, INSERM UMR 1137, Paris, France.

13 **Key words:** HIV, Antiretroviral therapy, Dolutegravir monotherapy

14 **Words count:** 1963 words

15 **Running title:** Dolutegravir monotherapy in HIV suppressed patients

16 **Corresponding author:**

17 Pr Christine Katlama, AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service des Maladies
18 Infectieuses et Tropicales, 75013, Paris, France.

19 E-mail: christine.katlama@aphp.fr

20 Phone: +33 (0) 1 42 16 01 42

21 Fax: +33 (0) 1 42 16 01 21

22
23

24

25

26

27 **Summary: 249 words (250)**

28 **Background:** Reducing drug burden is a key challenge for achieving long-life suppressive
29 therapy. Dolutegravir with a high potency, long half-life and high genetic barrier offers
30 potential for monotherapy.

31 **Methods:** This observational single center study enrolled all patients with HIV-RNA (VL) <
32 50 copies/ml for at least 12 months, CD4> 350 cells/mm³, with no failure under integrase
33 inhibitor who had switched suppressive antiretroviral therapy (ART) for dolutegravir
34 monotherapy 50 mg/day. Primary outcome was proportion of patients with VL < 50
35 copies/mL at W24.

36 **Results:** Twenty eight patients treated for a median ART duration of 17 years (IQR:11-20),
37 virally suppressed for 79 months (IQR:42-95) with a median 624 CD4 count (IQR:524-761)
38 were enrolled. Baseline ART consisted in a 3-drug (n=10), a 2-drug (n=10) or single drug
39 regimen (n=8) with integrase inhibitor exposure in 13 patients. The proportion of patients
40 maintaining VL< 50 copies/mL was: 96% (95% CI: 79-100) at W4,
41 100% (85-100) at W8, 93% (76-99) at W12 and 92 % (75-99) at W24.
42 Three patients (3.70%; 95% CI: 3.4-10.8) with prior integrase inhibitor experience had HIV-
43 RNA rebound with presence of resistance mutations. Genotypic resistance in HIV-DNA using
44 Sanger method or ultradeep sequencing showed no integrase inhibitor-RAM except for the
45 mutation 74I in one on a suppressive elvitegravir-regimen. The median within- and between-
46 subject variability of dolutegravir C24h was 25% and 34%, respectively.
47 Nine patients with a year follow up remained virally suppressed.

48 **Conclusion:** Dolutegravir has the potency to be further investigated as single ART in
49 randomized studies particularly in patients with no prior exposure to integrase inhibitor.

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 **Introduction**

59 The need for long-term ART in an aging HIV infected population is a challenging
60 issue with a double objective: maintaining maximal viral suppression with a minimal
61 treatment-associated toxicity. Because long-term virological success has become more and
62 more frequent, and considering the high potency of the newest antiretroviral drugs, concepts
63 in antiretroviral strategy are moving: towards the use of lighter antiretroviral strategies to
64 maintain viral suppression, similarly to standard triple drug approach¹⁻³.

65 Dolutegravir is the most recently licensed integrase inhibitor indicated in both naïve
66 and pre-treated HIV infected patients^{4,5}. As with first generation integrase inhibitor,
67 dolutegravir has a high antiviral potency with an average 2 to 2.5 log₁₀ reduction in viral load
68 leading to viral suppression within few weeks⁶⁻¹¹. It exhibits a predictable pharmacokinetic
69 profile, a well-defined exposure–response relationship and has shown a good safety profile
70 with limited metabolic, renal or bone toxicity. In addition, dolutegravir has a minimal intra-
71 and inter-pharmacokinetic variability and a low potential for drug-drug interactions by
72 avoiding CYP 450 or UGT enzymes induction or inhibition¹². In addition, unlike the other
73 integrase inhibitor dolutegravir appears to have a more robust resistance profile. It remains
74 efficient on HIV-1 strains harbouring raltegravir or elvitegravir resistance associated mutation
75 and very few dolutegravir resistance associated mutation has been selected in patients failing
76 a dolutegravir-based regimen⁷⁻¹⁰.

77 Here, we wanted to report our experience of dolutegravir as monotherapy in heavily
78 pre-treated HIV-1 infected individuals.

79

80

81

82

83 **Patients and Methods**

84 This observational study evaluated all patients followed at the HIV clinical unit of Infectious
85 Diseases department at Pitie-Salpetriere hospital (Paris, France) who were switched from any
86 ART to dolutegravir 50 mg once daily from May 2014 to January 2015, with a plasma (p)
87 HIV-1 RNA below 50 copies/mL for at least 12 months on ART, without history of
88 virological failure on integrase inhibitor, and nor hepatitis B or C co-infection, nor pregnancy.

89 This observational study used the clinical and biological data of all patients who gave their
90 consent to have their clinical and biological data recorded in an electronic medical
91 record (NADIS). As part of routine clinical work under the responsibility of an HIV
92 specialist, all patients were informed and consented to ART modification.

93 The primary outcome was the proportion of individuals with pHIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL at
94 week 24. Secondary outcomes included resistance profile in case of viral rebound,
95 dolutegravir plasma concentrations. Virological failure was defined as 2 consecutive values of
96 pHIV-1 RNA \geq 50 copies/mL two weeks apart or one value > 200 copies/mL As part of
97 routine procedure in any antiretroviral regimen modification, pHIV-1 RNA and CD4 cells
98 count are routinely assessed at day 0, week 4-8, week 12, week 24 and every six months
99 thereafter. Plasma HIV-1 RNA was quantified using the Cobas AmpliPrep/CobasTaqMan
100 HIV-1 assay version 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics; lower detection limit of 20 copies/mL). Below
101 this cut-off, the assay indicates the qualitative detection of pHIV-1 RNA in the range of 1 to
102 20 copies/mL. Quantification of whole blood HIV DNA level and resistance testing were
103 performed retrospectively on frozen samples at day 0 and week 24 using a real-time PCR
104 method as previously described¹³. Genotypic resistance testing was performed in HIV DNA
105 and in HIV RNA in case of virological rebound using Sanger methods and was interpreted
106 using ANRS genotypic algorithm (<http://www.hivfrenchresistance.org>).

107 To search for resistant minority variants, Ultradeep sequencing was performed retrospectively
108 on HIV integrase gene using Illumina technology. Briefly, a 573 bp fragment was PCR-
109 amplified¹⁴ and the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina®, San Diego, USA)
110 allowed the preparation of sequencing-ready libraries rapidly sequenced with MiSeq Illumina
111 system. Presence of minority resistant variants (>5%) were analyzed with Smartgene®.

112 Dolutegravir plasma concentrations were determined on frozen sample (C24h) at week 4-8,
113 week 12 and week 24 using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (Waters
114 Acquity UPLC-TQD; lower limits of quantification 5 ng/mL). Any value above 1,000 ng/mL
115 was considered as adequate as it has been reported associated with a 80% probability of
116 reaching HIV-RNA < 50 copies/mL at week 48¹⁵.

117 Continuous variables were expressed as median and interquartile range. Nominal variables
118 were expressed as percentages. Statistics analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS
119 Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

120 **Results**

121 *Study population*

122 Twenty-eight individuals were evaluated. All were followed up to week 24 and all
123 except one remained on dolutegravir monotherapy during this period. Baseline characteristics
124 are shown in table 1. They were middle-aged patients with a long ART history of 17 years
125 [11-20], virologically suppressed for a median of 6.6 years [3.5 -7.9]. Two thirds of patients
126 (n=19) had a pHIV-1 RNA < 1 copy/mL. Baseline antiretroviral therapy consisted in triple
127 drug strategy (36%), dual therapy (32%) and monotherapy (32%). Thirteen had been
128 previously exposed to integrase inhibitor (raltegravir n=12, elvitegravir n=1) and six of them
129 were on an integrase inhibitor containing regimen before switching to dolutegravir
130 monotherapy.

131

132 *Efficacy*

133 At week 24, the proportion of patients with a pHIV-1 RNA < 50 copies/mL was 89%
134 (25/28; 95% CI 72-98). It was 100% (85-100) at week 4 (23/23), 100% (85-100) at week 8
135 (22/22) and 96% (82-99) at week 12 (27/28). All the 19 patients with a baseline pHIV-1 RNA
136 < 1 copy/mL remained so over the study period. Three patients had virological failure (Figure
137 1).

138 - Patient 1 is a 35 year-old female with a CD4 nadir of 262 cells/mm³, on ART for 7
139 years, with a plasma HIV-1 viremia suppressed for 6.7 years, a baseline CD4 count of 525
140 cells/mm³ and HIV DNA of 310 copies/10⁶ cells. She had been suppressed on raltegravir and
141 etravirine during seven months then switched to tenofovir/ emtricitabine/ ritonavir-boosted
142 darunavir because of pregnancy. After delivery, she was switched to dolutegravir
143 monotherapy. Dynamics of viral load is shown on Figure 1. Virological failure occurred at
144 week 24 (2220 copies/mL) with emergence of resistance mutations to all integrase inhibitors
145 (Figure 1). No mutation was detected in the HIV-1 DNA at baseline nor at week 13.
146 Dolutegravir plasma concentrations were above adequate values at week 4, 12 and 24.

147 - Patient 2 is a 56 year-old man, with a CD4 nadir of 60 cells/mm³, on ART for 18
148 years with a pHIV-1 viremia suppressed for 17 months, a baseline CD4 count of 1108
149 cells/mm³ and a total HIV-1 DNA of 1459 /10⁶ cells. Prior to dolutegravir monotherapy, he
150 received tenofovir/ emtricitabine/ cobicistat-boosted elvitegravir for seven months with three
151 assessments of pHIV-1 RNA < 20 copies/mL. At week 12, virological failure was detected
152 (pHIV-1 RNA=138 then 469 copies/mL) with presence of the E92Q mutation on the integrase
153 gene that confers resistance to raltegravir and elvitegravir (Figure 1) and L74I. Baseline
154 genotyping resistance test on HIV-1 DNA revealed retrospectively the presence of the L74I
155 mutation.

156 - Patient 3 is a 57 year-old man, with a CD4 nadir of 233 cells/mm³, on ART for 6 years
157 with a pHIV viremia suppressed for 5.8 years, a baseline CD4 count of 940 cells/mm³ and a
158 total HIV-1 DNA of 174 copies/10⁶ cells. He received tenofovir/emtricitabine/ raltegravir for
159 72 months with a pHIV-1 RNA < 20 copies on 23 measurements except for one value of 37
160 copies. Virological failure occurred at week 24, (pHIV-1 RNA=291 copies/mL) associated
161 with emergence of the N155H mutation, conferring resistance to raltegravir, elvitegravir and
162 dolutegravir 50 mg (Figure 1).

163 *Ultra-deep sequencing*

164 Twenty-five patients were evaluated at baseline for presence of integrase inhibitor
165 minority resistant variants (MRV) in HIV DNA. None of the three patients with virological
166 rebound had MRV in integrase gene. Two patients had MRV in integrase gene: E138K
167 (6.6%); G140S (5.4%); N155H (8.1%) leading to resistant for all integrase inhibitor in one
168 patient and S147G (8.2%) leading to elvitegravir resistance in the second patient. Both had
169 been exposed to integrase inhibitor in the past with no virological failure. Importantly none of
170 them experienced virological failure on dolutegravir monotherapy had MRV with a follow up
171 of 15 months.

172 *Plasma drug concentrations*

173 Median (IQR25-75%) values for dolutegravir plasma C24h were 1,411 ng/mL (1,014-
174 1,745), 1,699 ng/mL (1,441-1,912) and 1,571 ng/mL (1,252-1,913) in the 28 patients, at Week
175 4-8, Week 12 and Week 24, respectively. All C24h were above the *in vitro*, protein-adjusted
176 90 % inhibitory concentration (IC₉₀) of dolutegravir for wild-type virus (64 ng/mL). Five
177 patients had 6 measurements below threshold (1,000 ng/mL). The median within- and
178 between-subject variability of dolutegravir C24h determined in the 28 patients with
179 approximately 3 values per patient over the follow up were 25% and 34%, respectively.

180

181 **Discussion**

182 This study reports our experience of using dolutegravir as monotherapy in highly
183 treatment-experienced HIV infected patients with long-term suppressed viremia. Indeed, there
184 is currently a need, in real life, for lighter antiretroviral regimen leading to less cumulative
185 drug exposure. There are two main findings in our study: first, a potential for using
186 dolutegravir as a monotherapy with a large proportion of patients maintaining viral
187 suppression with a suppression rate of 89% at week 24. Secondly, as resistance mutations
188 were evidenced in three patients, the suggestion that the genetic barrier to resistance for
189 dolutegravir, high in naïve patients ⁷, can be challenged when used as a single agent in this
190 population of patients with an ART history of over 15 years and integrase inhibitor experience
191 for nearly half of them.

192 None of the three patients with virological rebound, had compliance issues. There was no co-
193 medications that could induce drug-drug interactions as attested by dolutegravir plasma
194 concentrations. Finally no mutation conferring resistance to dolutegravir was found in HIV
195 DNA neither by standard Sanger assay nor by Ultradeep sequencing assay. However, the
196 mutation L74I, evidenced in one patient prior to switch, associated to a possible low
197 resistance level according to Stanford interpretation (<http://hivdb.stanford.edu/>) contrarily to
198 the ANRS one, may have favored virological rebound. One possible explanation for virologic
199 rebound could be the acquisition at low level of integrase resistance mutations during the
200 previous raltegravir or elvitegravir containing regimen. However, our results using ultradeep
201 sequencing for those patients do not favor this hypothesis. Indeed, we know that raltegravir
202 can select resistance mutations even at low level of replication ^{16,17} and dolutegravir can also
203 select integrase resistance mutations, such as N155H and R263K, in experienced patients, but
204 integrase inhibitors naïve ¹⁸. In this study, the R263K mutation was not evidenced at failure as
205 previously described^{18,19}. The patients reported in the SAILING study ¹⁸ were integrase
206 inhibitor-naïve and on a triple dolutegravir-containing regimen once daily while the three
207 patients here were integrase inhibitor-experienced (raltegravir or elvitegravir-experienced but
208 dolutegravir-naïve), which could explain the difference in integrase resistance mutation
209 selection.

210 With regards to dolutegravir pharmacologic monitoring, we confirm here the pharmacologic
211 robustness of dolutegravir with a low coefficient of variation of 25% compared to raltegravir
212 and elvitegravir with values of 212 % and 33–72 % respectively ^{20,21}.

.213 This study has several limitations. It is an observational, single center study, on a
214 limited number of patients with a short follow-up. However, our routine management of
215 patients with blood storage and standardized monitoring is close to clinical trials assessments
216 allowing assessments of dolutegravir concentrations and genotypic testing in HIV DNA both
217 using Sanger method and Ultradeep sequencing.

218 Gubavu et al ²² reported the successful switch to dolutegravir monotherapy over a
219 median follow-up of 32 weeks in 21 patients very similar to our study population except for
220 much less frequent exposure to integrase inhibitor. Similarly, Rojas et al reported ²³ their
221 experience of 31 patients, heavily pretreated mostly under a protease inhibitor-containing
222 regimen of successful switch to dolutegravir 50 mg once daily monotherapy.

223 In summary, these pilot experiences from real life suggesting potential for using dolutegravir
224 monotherapy have to be evaluated in randomized clinical trials. According to our results, pre-
225 exposure to integrase inhibitors should be viewed with great caution in this context as
226 episodes of viral replication could have enhanced the emergence of integrase resistance
227 mutations.

228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247

.248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

Acknowledgements

This work was presented in part at the Fifteenth European AIDS Conference EACS, Barcelona Spain 2015 (oral presentation PS 4/4)

Funding

This study was carried out as part of our routine work with internal fund for ultradeep sequencing analysis.

Transparency declarations

CK had served on advisory board for Merck-Sharpe-Dohme MSD, Janssen and clinical investigator for MSD, Janssen, ViiV Health Care.

All other authors: none to declare.

Author contributions

CK, CS, FC, AD, AGM, VC participated in the conception and design of the study.

CK, FC, LS, MAV, RT, MK included the patients in the study.

CB, LS collected the clinical data. C.K C.S, AG.M analysed and interpreted the data.

CS, AG. M, VC performed the virology analysis.

GP performed the pharmacology analysis.

CK, CS, FC, AG. M wrote the manuscript.

All the authors reviewed, revised for content and approved the final version of this paper.

All the authors reviewed, revised for content and approved the final version of this paper.

284 **References**

- 285 1. ENCORE1 Study Group. Efficacy and safety of efavirenz 400 mg daily versus 600 mg
286 daily: 96-week data from the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, non-
287 inferiority ENCORE1 study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 793–802.
288
- 289 2. Cahn P, Andrade-Villanueva J, Arribas JR, *et al*. Dual therapy with lopinavir and
290 ritonavir plus lamivudine versus triple therapy with lopinavir and ritonavir plus two
291 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in antiretroviral-therapy-naive adults with
292 HIV-1 infection: 48 week results of the randomised, open label, non-inferiority GARDEL
293 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; **14**: 572–80.
294
- 295 3. Raffi F, Babiker AG, Richert L, *et al*. Ritonavir-boosted darunavir combined with
296 raltegravir or tenofovir-emtricitabine in antiretroviral-naive adults infected with HIV-1:
297 96 week results from the NEAT001/ANRS143 randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*
298 2014; **384**: 1942-51.
299
- 300 4. European AIDS Clinical Society, Guidelines, Version 8.0 October 2015.pdf.
301 <http://www.eacsociety.org/guidelines/eacs-guidelines/eacs-guidelines.html>
302
- 303 5. Adult and Adolescent ARV Guidelines | AIDSinfo.
304 <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/1/adult-and-adolescent-treatment-guidelines/0>.
305
- 306 6. Min S, Sloan L, DeJesus E, *et al*. Antiviral activity, safety, and
307 pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of dolutegravir as 10-day monotherapy in HIV-1-
308 infected adults. *AIDS* 2011; **25**: 1737–45.
309
- 310 7. Stellbrink H-J, Reynes J, Lazzarin A, *et al*. Dolutegravir in antiretroviral-naive adults
311 with HIV-1: 96-week results from a randomized dose-ranging study. *AIDS* 2013; **27**:
312 1771–8.
313
- 314 8. Walmsley SL, Antela A, Clumeck N, *et al*. Dolutegravir plus abacavir-lamivudine for the
315 treatment of HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**: 1807–18.
316
- 317 9. Raffi F, Jaeger H, Quiros-Roldan E, *et al*. Once-daily dolutegravir versus twice-daily
318 raltegravir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (SPRING-2 study): 96
319 week results from a randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*
320 2013; **13**: 927–35.
321
- 322 10. Clotet B, Feinberg J, van Lunzen J, *et al*. Once-daily dolutegravir versus darunavir
323 plus ritonavir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (FLAMINGO): 48 week
324 results from the randomised open-label phase 3b study. *Lancet* 2014; **383**: 2222–31.
325
- 326 11. Cahn P, Pozniak AL, Mingrone H, *et al*. Dolutegravir versus raltegravir in
327 antiretroviral-experienced, integrase-inhibitor-naive adults with HIV: week 48 results
328 from the randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority SAILING study. *Lancet* 2013; **382**:
329 700–8.
330
- 331 12. Cottrell ML, Hadzic T, Kashuba ADM. Clinical pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic

- 332 and drug-interaction profile of the integrase inhibitor dolutegravir. *Clin Pharmacokinet*
333 2013; **52**: 981–94.
334
- 335 13. Avettand-Fènoël V, Chaix M-L, Blanche S, *et al.* LTR real-time PCR for HIV-1 DNA
336 quantitation in blood cells for early diagnosis in infants born to seropositive mothers
337 treated in HAART area (ANRS CO 01). *J Med Virol* 2009; **81**: 217–23.
338
- 339 14. Malet I, Delelis O, Soulie C, *et al.* Quasispecies variant dynamics during emergence of
340 resistance to raltegravir in HIV-1-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **63**:
341 795–804.
342
- 343 15. Jung BH, Rezk NL, Bridges AS, *et al.* Simultaneous determination of 17 antiretroviral
344 drugs in human plasma for quantitative analysis with liquid chromatography-tandem
345 mass spectrometry. *Biomed Chromatogr BMC* 2007; **21**: 1095–104.
346
- 347 16. Malet I, Fourati S, Morand-Joubert L, *et al.* Risk factors for raltegravir resistance
348 development in clinical practice. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**: 2494–500.
349
- 350 17. Lambert-Niclot S, George EC, Pozniak A, *et al.* Antiretroviral resistance at virological
351 failure in the NEAT 001/ANRS 143 trial: raltegravir plus darunavir/ritonavir or
352 tenofovir/emtricitabine plus darunavir/ritonavir as first-line ART. *J Antimicrob*
353 *Chemother* 2016; **71**: 1056–62.
354
- 355 18. Underwood M, DeAnda F, Dorey D, *et al.* Resistance Post Week 48 in ART-
356 Experienced, Integrase Inhibitor-Naive Subjects With Dolutegravir (DTG) vs. Raltegravir
357 (RAL) in SAILING (ING111762). 13th EU Meeting on HIV and Hepatitis; 2015, Barcelona,
358 Spain. *Abstract 6*.
359
- 360 19. Quashie PK, Mesplède T, Han Y-S, *et al.* Characterization of the R263K mutation in
361 HIV-1 integrase that confers low-level resistance to the second-generation integrase
362 strand transfer inhibitor dolutegravir. *J Virol* 2012; **86**: 2696–705.
363
- 364 20. Adams JL, Greener BN, Kashuba AD. Pharmacology of HIV Integrase Inhibitors. *Curr*
365 *Opin HIV AIDS* 2012; **7**: 390–400.
366
- 367 21. DeJesus E, Berger D, Markowitz M, *et al.* Antiviral activity, pharmacokinetics, and
368 dose response of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor GS-9137 (JTK-303) in treatment-naïve
369 and treatment-experienced patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2006; **43**: 1–5.
370
- 371 22. Gubavu C, Prazuck T, Niang M, *et al.* Dolutegravir-based monotherapy or dual
372 therapy maintains a high proportion of viral suppression even in highly experienced
373 HIV-1-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2016; **71**:1046-50.
374
- 375 23. Rojas J, Blanco J.L, Lonca M, *et al.* Dolutegravir monotherapy in HIV-infected patients
376 with sustained viral suppression : a 24-week pilot study. 15th European AIDS
377 Conference; 2015; Barcelona, Spain. *Abstract LBPS 4/2*.
378