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# Gadolinium oxysulfide nanoprobes with both persistent luminescent and magnetic properties for multimodal imaging 

C. Rosticher ${ }^{\text {a }}$, B. Viana ${ }^{b^{*}}$, M.-A. Fortin ${ }^{\text {c,d,e }}$, J. Lagueux ${ }^{\text {e }}$ and L. Faucher ${ }^{\text {c,d,e }}$, C. Chanéac ${ }^{\mathrm{a}^{*}}$

Persistent luminescence and magnetic properties of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ nanoparticles have been studied to attest the relevance of such nanoparticles as nanoprobes for multimodal imaging. The development of new imaging tools is required to improve the quality of medical images and then to diagnose some disorders as quickly as possible in order to ensure more effective treatment. Multimodal imaging agents here developed combine the high resolution abilities of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) with another more sensitive technique, like optical imaging, leading significant possibilities for early detection of diseases and a better understanding of pathologies. Recently, inorganic persistent luminescent nanoparticles (i-PLNPs) have been reported as suitable probes for in vivo imaging that meet the difficulties due to the biological environment. The i-PLNPs are first excited by a UV light for a few minutes outside the animal before injection and emit in the border of the red/NIR window for hours after the injection. In this paper, we explore a new chemical composition of host lattice doped with transition metal and lanthanide ions for persistent luminescence that contains a paramagnetic centre conferring additional magnetic properties for a use in MRI, and that can be obtained at the nanoscale. Thus, advanced $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles exhibiting both persistent luminescence and paramagnetic properties have been synthesized and fully characterized. Their luminescent properties were determined as well as their magnetic properties. One compound sample with composition $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%), \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$ presents both optical and magnetic properties suitable for a bimodal imaging probe. Indeed, it shows an afterglow in the red range at 620 nm and a relaxivity corresponding to $r_{2} / r_{1}$ ratio of 1.28 .

## Introduction

In the field of medical imaging, the development of new imaging tools is a challenging task to obtain more reliable and early diagnosis. There are many categories of in vivo imaging techniques, using different electromagnetic radiations, and each one shows some advantages and limits. Combining imaging techniques gives the opportunity to improve the diagnoses by generating more accurate images ${ }^{1-6}$. MRI is a non-invasive and widely used imaging technique that produces excellent soft tissue diagnosis and spatial resolution. However, for an imaging modality to be optimum, a high sensitivity is also needed, and MRI shows poor sensitivity. In contrast, optical imaging presents an exquisite sensitivity but has limited spatial resolution. The most attractive combinations are then

[^0]made of a highly resolved modality (MRI) and a complementary highly sensitive one (optical imaging or PET). Therefore, multimodal imaging probes are also required to combine the advantages of several techniques and overcome their limits. The development of such sophisticated imaging agents has greatly increased the ability to diagnose diseases and provide image guided therapy or track efficacy of treatments ${ }^{7-9}$.
In literature, MRI contrast agents have been already coupled with fluorescent molecules to create multimodal imaging probes ${ }^{10-13}$ but these fluorescent agents are not very stable and the optical imaging by fluorescence of biological tissue is hampered by absorbance of incident and emitted light ${ }^{14}$ and tissue's autofluorescence ${ }^{15}$. These two phenomena reduce the detection limits and restrict the depth of photons penetration into tissues ${ }^{15}$. Using persistent luminescent probes open the path to enhanced signal-to-noise ratio ${ }^{16,17}$ and the possible imaging of deep organs by removing absorption and autofluorescence phenomena ${ }^{16,17}$. Indeed new long luminescent nanoparticles that emit in the red-near infrared range inside the biological tissue wavelength therapeutic window (600-1350 nm) were recently proposed for in vivo imaging ${ }^{16-23}$ as these i-PLNPs avoid tissue's absorption and prevent tissue's autofluorescence since they are excited before the injection or by long wavelengths. Silicates nanoparticles, including doped silicates $\left(\mathrm{CaMgSi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right.$ doped
$\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ or $\left.\mathrm{Pr}^{3+}\right)$, gallates $\left(\mathrm{ZnGa}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}\right)$ and others were reported ${ }^{17-29}$. In order to obtain a bimodal agent for magnetic resonance and optical imaging, gadolinium oxysulfide nanoparticles, $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ were developed within this work. Indeed, gadolinium compounds are well-known to improve the $T_{1}$-weighted contrast in the same way as $T_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ based contrast agents ${ }^{30-}$ ${ }^{32}$. Both gadolinium compounds and iron oxide achieve highly sensitive MRI and can be used selectively as white and black dual label to detect two cell types simultaneously at any tissue depth ${ }^{33}$. In addition, gadolinium oxysulfide is also well known to be a good matrix for rare earth (RE) emission. $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles exhibit a strong emission band centred at 624 nm after excitation at 363 nm usual for in vitro fluorescence microscopy, whereas $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Er}, \mathrm{Yb}$ compounds are applicable for deep in vivo fluorescence imaging since both excitation ( 980 nm ) and emission ( 670 nm ) are located inside the "transparency window" of biological tissues ${ }^{34}$. Persistent luminescence properties require codoping of the matrix. Zhang et al. proved that $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ codoping $\mathrm{Er}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ in bulk materials also allows to obtain a long luminescence time compared to $\mathrm{Er}^{3+}$ single doping ${ }^{35}$. The first long afterglow oxysulfide was produced with the matrix $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, whose luminescence time in the red could reach 3 hours ${ }^{36}$. At present, no persistent luminescent nanoprobes based on $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ matrix have been reported in the literature. However, several rare earth ( $\mathrm{RE}=\mathrm{Eu}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Dy}, \mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Er}$ and Tm) cations were proposed in $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solid state compounds with $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ as codoping to be relevant to obtain afterglow ${ }^{37,38}$. Here the focus will be on the nanoparticles preparation and, as in vivo imaging application required red/ near infrared persistent luminescence detected by the silicon detector, we focused our attention on $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ compounds, trivalent europium being the recombination center with intensive emission in the red.

Despite these effective MRI contrast enhancements, the use of gadolinium compounds as MRI agent is still controversial and less popular than iron oxides, generally known to be biocompatible, because of their potential toxicity ${ }^{39}$. It has been shown that the release of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ ions inside organs causes toxic effect such as nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) ${ }^{40}$. Nevertheless, several studies have shown that the control of the size of gadolinium oxide nanoparticles can significantly reduce the risk of release of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ ions ${ }^{41}$ as well as the encapsulation of the magnetic core by a biocompatible shell ${ }^{42}$. For example, using small size ( $<5 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), the fast excretion of nanoparticles via a renal clearance minimizes its toxicity. Such "safe by design" approach is especially required for the development of gadolinium based probes for imaging. In the case of gadolinium oxysulfide, toxic issue is reduced compared to oxide due to the lower solubility ${ }^{34}$ opening new opportunities for such compounds.

In this paper, the development of persistent luminescent and paramagnetic $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles as multimodal imaging agents used in MRI and optical imaging is described. Imaging
abilities are explored alone and successively. In order to obtain nanoprobes, a hydrothermal synthesis using a chemical sulfurated agent was used, therefore allowing a fast and secure synthesis. Indeed, most of the $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles syntheses using hydrothermal route require an annealing step under inert ( Ar or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ )/CS 2 /sulfur/carbon atmosphere at high temperature for the sulfuration of oxide matrix ${ }^{43,44}$. Using a sulfured flow to anneal the materials is quite dangerous and difficult to use safely in a laboratory. Therefore, we propose to synthesize $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles using a three-steps hydrothermal route. In the second step, thioacetamide, a chemical sulfured agent is added to the solution that decomposes during heating following the reaction: $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CS}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. This way, the synthesis is safer and faster. These nanosensors can act as multimodal agents for possible in vivo optical imaging and MRI imaging.

## Experimental

## Synthesis

In a typical synthesis, 0.9 mM of $\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were dissolved in 16 ml of deionized water to form a clear solution with precursors $\mathrm{EuCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 8 by adding NaOH solution under vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred and heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. A white precipitate is obtained, corresponding mainly to $\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ phase. An excess of thioacetamide was added to the mixture and then transferred to a Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. A yellowish precipitate was collected, purified, and dried in air at ambient temperature. At this moment, the sulfuration process is not subsequent and an annealing step is needed. Therefore, in order to convert all the product to the formation of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$, $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ - doped gadolinium oxysulfide, the collected powder is heated at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under inert ( Ar ) atmosphere.
In the aim of improving the persistent luminescence, we vary the doping ratio of the samples: $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(2.5 \%$ or $5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1 to $4 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (2 to $8 \%$ ).

## Materials and methods

As obtained solids were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (PW-XRD) using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer using Cu$\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.5418 \AA \AA$ ) at 45 kV and $40 \mathrm{~mA}, 0.0046^{\circ} 2 \theta$ step size and 0.5 s step time over a range of 10 to $80^{\circ}-2 \theta$. FourierTransform Infra-Red (FT-IR) spectroscopy has been operated on a Perkin Elmer 400 spectrometer using the universal ATR sampling holder. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images were acquired with a FEI Tecnai 120 Twin microscope operating at 120 kV and equipped with a high resolution Gatan Orius CCD 4 k 64 k numeric camera. Excitation and emission spectra were measured with a Varian Cary Eclipse Fluorescence spectrophotometer at room temperature. Persistent luminescence spectra were recorded after 2 minutes irradiation with UV light ( $365 \mathrm{~nm}-6 \mathrm{~W}$ ) and the resulting signal was collected via an optical fiber by Roper

Scientific Pixis 100 CCD camera cooled at $-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ coupled with an Acton SpectraPro 2150i spectrometer for spectral analysis. Thermoluminescence ( TL ) measurements were performed with a Ris $\varnothing$ TL/OSL reader model TL/OSL-DA-15A/B with an EMI 9635QA PM tube. TL glow curves were measured at heating rate of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$. TL emission spectra were measured using an UV-VIS spectrometer (Avantes, PC2000). For the emission spectra measurements the samples were irradiated with 340 nm UV light for 2 minutes.
The relaxometric properties of the suspensions of magnetic nanoparticles were studied by relaxometric and MRI analysis. For this, the dried particles ( 30 mg ) were dispersed in 10 mL of nanopure water ( $18.2 \mathrm{M} \Omega \cdot \mathrm{cm}$ ), followed by vortexing ( 30 sec ) and sonication ( 30 min ). To eliminate agglomerates, all suspensions were centrifuged ( $3000 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ). From this suspension, 1.5 mL of sample was extracted for relaxometric experiments ( $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ measurements) and MRI analysis.
Relaxometric analysis: $400 \mu$ L dilutions (100, 90, 80, 70, $50 \%$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) of the previous suspension in pure water were distributed in 6.0 mm NMR tubes. Longitudinal and transversal relaxation times ( $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ ) were measured with a dedicated TD-NMR relaxometer (Bruker Minispec $60 \mathrm{MHz}, 37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The relaxation rates $\left(1 / T_{1}\right.$ and $\left.1 / T_{2}\right)$ were then plotted against Gd concentration values, and relaxivities ( $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ ) were calculated from the slope of the graphs. To quantify the concentration of Gd in each suspension, the $100 \%$ and $50 \%$ $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ samples were digested in nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide, and analyzed in ICP-MS.
MRI analysis: Dilutions of the samples were imaged with a 1 T small-animal MRI system (M2M, Aspect Imaging, Netanya, Israel) using $T_{1}$-weighted spin-echo sequences (repetition time: 400 ms ; echo time: 10.8 ms ; dwell time: 16; matrix: $200 \times 200$; slice thickness: 1.9 mm ; interslice: 0.1 mm ; field of view: 70 $\mathrm{mm} ; 3$ excitations; $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The suspensions were stable in time according to MRI measurements (for a few days), even after centrifugation.

## Results and discussion

## Structure and morphology of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$

 nanostructuresMain characterizations reported in this paper correspond to $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped with $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ (5\%), $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1\%), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (2\%). FTIR spectrum shows an important absorption around 3500 and $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which corresponds to the presence of hydroxyl groups (Fig. 1a). $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ products showed pronouncing features in the range $1700-600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The intense absorption peak at $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ may be attributed to ( $\mathrm{Gd} / \mathrm{Eu}-\mathrm{O}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{S}$ ) groups. Absorption bands at 1400 and $1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ may indicate the presence of ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ ) modes respectively ${ }^{43,44}$.

The purity and crystallinity of the products were examined using powder XRD. PW-XRD patterns obtained from the $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ products are shown in Fig. 1b. All peaks can be indexed as the pure hexagonal $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ phase and


Fig. 1 (a) FTIR spectrum and (b) XRD pattern of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ compound after 2 h annealing at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon.
they are in good agreement with standard $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ data ([P3m1, 164], JCPDS \# 27-1422; lattice constant: a=b= $3.784 \AA$ Å, $c=6.589 \AA \AA$ ). Zhang et al. showed that the insertion of doping elements such as $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ or $\mathrm{Er}^{3+}$, in a gadolinium oxysulfide does not perturb the crystalline structure of the matrix in the long range ${ }^{35}$. Particles size and crystalline structure observed by XRD are not modified by the presence of doping elements in the oxysulfide matrix.

The morphology of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ nanoparticles greatly depends on the pH during the hydrothermal synthesis ${ }^{43,44}$. At $\mathrm{pH}=8$, most of the obtained nanoparticles exhibit a facetted shape (Fig. 2a) with smaller spherical nanoparticles (Fig. 2.b) with size below 20 nm . Shaped nanoparticles diameter is between 50 and 100 nm . In good agreement with Ref. 44, such morphologies were favoured by the $\mathrm{pH}=8$ value


Fig. 2 TEM images of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles after 2 h annealing at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. Scale bars are 20 nm .
chosen for the synthesis. These morphologies and sizes well below 100 nm are well adapted to in vivo application.

The main synthesis step that influences the size of the nanoparticles is the thermal treatment under argon. In order to study the effect of the annealing treatment on the particle size, the heating time and temperature were varied. Compounds obtained after the second step of hydrothermal synthesis were annealed from 700 to $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 or 4 hours. The main objective was to determine the most efficient thermal treatment in terms of crystallinity, purity, size and luminescence. TEM images of the annealed samples are shown in Fig. 3. The particles size increases with the annealing temperature from 50 nm to 100 nm for temperatures comprised between 700 and $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. The sintering becomes more and more important with the increase of annealing time and temperature. Therefore, for a temperature of $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, bigger nanoparticles and many aggregates are obtained because of the sintering effect (Fig. 3e-j). The aggregates are more abundant for thermal treatments of 4 hours. For in vivo applications, small nanoparticles are needed and aggregates have to be avoided at the most. Therefore the most efficient annealing treatment for gadolinium oxysulfide in terms of size, crystallinity and dispersity is a treatment at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 2 h under argon. Spherical and facetted nanoparticles with a diameter ranging between $50-80 \mathrm{~nm}$ were then obtained as seen in Fig. 3.

## Emission

Fig. 4 represents the emission and excitation spectra of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$, $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles (annealed at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 2 h ) recorded at room temperature after 100 $\mu s$ delay. The emission spectrum (Fig. 4b) under excitation at 325 nm shows emission bands peaking between 580 nm and 720 nm , attributed to $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ emission. The peaks located at 584 $\mathrm{nm}, 595 \mathrm{~nm}, 618 \mathrm{~nm}$, and 715 nm correspond to the well known ${ }^{5} D_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} F_{j}$ radiative transitions of Eu ${ }^{3+}$. Because of the 100us delay, no emission could be observed form the ${ }^{5} D_{1}$ or ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ levels.
This reveals that $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ ions are indeed the luminescent centers in the $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ compound. The excitation spectrum (Fig. 4a) for an emission at 618 nm consists of two intensive bands peaking at 330 nm and 227-250 nm corresponding to the charge transfer from $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ to $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ and to the host $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ absorption respectively ${ }^{45,46}$. In addition the peaks at 395 nm are characteristic of the $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+} 4 f-4 f$ transitions. It can be noticed that the excitation spectrum of gadolinium oxysulfides as observed Fig. 4a is closer to the one of oxides reported in the literature than sulfides. This may be linked to the direct environment of cations in oxysulfides composed of 4 oxygen atoms and 3 sulfur atoms with oxygen much closer to gadolinium than sulfur. Then, the energy levels of trivalent rare earth cations in $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ energy diagram as reported in Ref ${ }^{47}$ is more similar to oxide host rather than sulfide matrix.


Fig. 3 TEM images of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles depending on annealing treatment under argon: (a) $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~h}$; (i) $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-$ 4 h ; (j) $1100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{~h}$. All scale bars are 100 nm .

## Persistent luminescence properties

Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the persistent luminescence recorded at room temperature depending on $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping ratio in $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ after switching off the UV source at room temperature, before and after annealing treatment at $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 2 h , and UV excitation (at 340 nm for 2 min ). In addition one should indicate that the non-annealed sample shows no persistent luminescence.
The sulfuration step which takes place during the annealing treatment at high temperature is therefore compulsory to allow persistent luminescence. During this thermal treatment, anionic vacancies could be created such as $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ vacancies (see after the TSL glow curves), that can explain the necessity of the thermal treatment as these vacancies have a key role in the persistent luminescence mechanism. After annealing, the persistent luminescence spectra of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1\%), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{x} \%)$ exhibit the usual three emission bands at 585 $\mathrm{nm}, 620 \mathrm{~nm}$ and 705 nm which indicate that trivalent europium is the recombination center. These emission bands are attributed to the radiative ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{j}}$ transitions of $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$. The
most intense centred at 620 nm , corresponds to ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ transition.


Fig. 4 (a) Excitation of the 618 nm emission and (b) emission spectrum under 325 nm excitation of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu} 5 \%, \mathrm{Ti} 1 \%, \mathrm{Mg} 8 \%$ nanoparticles at room temperature after 100 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ time delay.

Increasing $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping ratio has an important effect on persistent luminescence in $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. Therefore higher is $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping ratio, more intense is the persistent luminescence in agreement with the stoichiometry of the compounds, divalent magnesium required charge compensation that can be done by sulfur vacancies and increased the TSL signal presented in the following part of the paper. This indicates the major role of the divalent magnesium in the persistent luminescence mechanism, creating intermediate traps levels in the bandgap of the material. Such traps creation, is an important step to enhance the persistent luminescence.
Decay curves were recorded during $z$ minutes few seconds after switching off the UV excitation (Fig. 5b). Their nonexponential profiles show that several types of traps are present within $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ compounds. Again decrease of persistent luminescence intensity is noted when $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping ratio decreases. The optimal doping ratio for $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ compounds was determined to be $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%), \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$.

The thermoluminescence curve of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%)$, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$ is displayed in Fig. 6. The sample was heated up to $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior excitation to empty all the charges traps. Then it
was excited under UV light for 2 minutes. The emission spectrum is recorded after switching off the excitation while heating the sample up to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by a $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{sec}$ step. This heating step empties the charges traps filled during the excitation step.


Fig. 5 (a) Persistent luminescence spectra of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu} 5 \%, \mathrm{Ti} 1 \%, \mathrm{Mgx} \%$ compounds $\lambda$ exc $=365 \mathrm{~nm}$ - excitation time $=2 \mathrm{~min}$. Spectra recorded few seconds after the end of the excitation. (b) Luminescence decay curves depending on Mg ratio for $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu} 5 \%, \mathrm{Ti} 1 \%, \mathrm{Mgx} \%$ compounds $-\lambda$ exc $=365 \mathrm{~nm}-$ excitation time $=2 \mathrm{~min}$.

One strong peak is observed between 30 and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a maximum at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The trap depth E with respect to the conduction band edge can be roughly estimated by the simple expression $E \approx 0.002 T_{M}{ }^{48}$, where $T_{M}$ is the temperature of the peak maximum. Experimental value of trap depth was found to be 0.65 eV . This value is coherent with literature data ${ }^{37,38}$. This indicates that these shallow traps are at the origin of the persistent luminescence and the shape of the glow curve observed in Fig. 6 also indicates that there are no deeper traps in the sample. In oxysulfide matrix, there are possibly three types of trapsdefects: isoelectronic traps due to $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$, charges traps resulting of the substitution of nonequivalent ions $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}\right)$ and anionic vacancies (such as $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$, and possibly also $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ). Trivalent europium is the recombination center and can also act as electron trap in the $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ matrix $\left(\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Eu}^{2+^{*}}\right.$ ). Titanium (IV) could also be an electron trap ( $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ti}^{3+{ }^{*}}$ ). The role of the divalent magnesium can be to favour the stoichiometry variation as divalent cation replaces a trivalent one with a charge compensation, which in turns favours anionic vacancies. Furthermore $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping induces the formation of intermediate energy levels, allowing important
energy storage inside the matrix and thus longer decay ${ }^{36}$. Finally anionic vacancies such as $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$, and possibly also $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ are also well known electron traps ${ }^{49}$. Distances between gadolinium cations and sulfur or oxygen anions in the matrix are $d_{G d-S}=2.852 \AA$ and $d_{G d-O}=2.329 \AA / 2.399 \AA$ respectively. Thus sulfur vacancies should be easier to form as Gd-S distances are larger in comparison to the Gd-O ones. However, even knowing the role of each ion in the persistent luminescence mechanism, one cannot fully conclude which traps $\left(\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}\right.$ or anionic vacancies $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ) are responsible for the peak observed in TSL glow curve (Fig. 6) in the $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}, \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ compounds.


Fig. 6 TSL glow curve of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : Eu5\%, $\mathrm{Ti} 1 \%, \mathrm{Mg} 8 \%$ nanoparticles. (rise temperature of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}$ )

## Relaxometry and magnetic properties (MRI)

Longitudinal and transversal relaxation times ( $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ ) of diluted suspensions of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ (5\%), $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1\%), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (8\%) were measured with a dedicated TD-NMR relaxometer. Then, these suspensions were imaged with a 1 T small-animal MRI system. Fig. 7 shows the longitudinal and transversal relaxation curves of diluted suspensions of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%)$, $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%), \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$ recorded at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In vitro results show that doped $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ nanoparticles present a longitudinal relaxivity of $6.7 \mathrm{mM}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is close to the reported values for $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ nanoparticles of similar size ${ }^{30-31,50-51}$. The relaxometric ratio $\left(r_{2} / r_{1}\right)$, which indicates the capacity of the contrast agent to provide "positive" contrast in $T_{1}$-weighted imaging (close to 1), or "negative" contrast, in $T_{2}$-weighted imaging (typically higher than 2), is 1.28 . This clearly indicates the capacity of $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ (5\%), $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%), \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$ to provide strong "positive" contrast enhancement in $T_{1}-\mathrm{w}$. imaging. The strong $T_{1}$ effect on signal was confirmed on MRI coronal images (Fig. 7b). The signal from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ protons in the vicinity of a "positive" contrast agent is usually greatly enhanced using short echo times and spin echo $T_{1}$-weighted sequences (as in Fig. 7b). Although more refined relaxometric characterization would be necessary, and in particular NMRD (nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion) profiles, to confirm the exact mechanism of relaxivity, it is clear that a strong exchange occurs between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ protons and surface paramagnetic ions. As reflected by the moderate $T_{2}$ values, the nanoparticles are clearly paramagnetic, without evidence of
superparamagnetism that would have lead to much higher $r_{2}$ values.


Fig. 7 (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ relaxation rates measured with $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{Eu5} \%, \mathrm{Ti} \%$, $\mathrm{Mg} 8 \%$ aqueous suspensions. (b) Resulting MR-images ( $1.5 \mathrm{~T}, 21^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; T_{1}$-weighted fast spin-echo; $\mathrm{TR}, 400$ $\mathrm{ms} ; \mathrm{TE}, 10.8 \mathrm{~ms}$ ).

## Conclusions

$\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+,} \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ nanoparticles were prepared using a three-steps hydrothermal synthesis. Nanoparticles morphology and size were controlled by pH and annealing treatment. In the aim of improving the red-near infrared persistent luminescence at about 620 nm , different doping ratios were studied. We obtained an optimal composition with larger magnesium content: $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1\%), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (8\%). In that case persistent luminescence can be observed within several minutes for the first time in the gadolinium oxysulfide nanoparticles. Relaxometric and magnetic resonance imaging properties were investigated, and $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}(5 \%), \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}(1 \%), \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(8 \%)$ showed a high $T_{1}$ effect with a relaxation ratio of 1.28 . This compound proved to be a promising positive contrast agent. Then $\mathrm{Gd}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ doped $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ (5\%), $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ (1\%), $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (8\%) nanoparticles combine optical imaging agent with persistent luminescence properties and a good MRI contrast agent because of its relaxation properties. In vivo study of the bimodal properties will be the next research step for this compound.
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