

## A new phylogeny and environmental DNA insight into paramyxids: an increasingly important but enigmatic clade of protistan parasites of marine invertebrates

Georgia M. Ward, Martyn Bennett, Kelly Bateman, Grant D. Stentiford, Rose Kerr, Stephen W. Feist, Suzanne T. Williams, Cedric Berney, David Bass

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Georgia M. Ward, Martyn Bennett, Kelly Bateman, Grant D. Stentiford, Rose Kerr, et al.. A new phylogeny and environmental DNA insight into paramyxids: an increasingly important but enigmatic clade of protistan parasites of marine invertebrates. International Journal for Parasitology, 2016, 46 (10), pp.605-619. 10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.04.010. hal-01346477

#### HAL Id: hal-01346477 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01346477

Submitted on 19 Jul2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	A new phylogeny and environmental DNA insight into paramyxids: an increasingly
2	important but enigmatic clade of protistan parasites of marine invertebrates $\star$
3	Georgia M Ward <sup>a,b</sup> , Martyn Bennett <sup>a,c</sup> , Kelly Bateman <sup>a</sup> , Grant D Stentiford <sup>a,c</sup> , Rose Kerr <sup>a</sup> , Stephen
4	W Feist <sup>a</sup> , Suzanne T Williams <sup>b</sup> , Cedric Berney <sup>d</sup> , David Bass <sup>a,b,*</sup>
5	
6 7	
8	<sup>a</sup> Centre for Environment, Fisheries, and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), Barrack Road, The Nothe,
9	Weymouth, Dorset DT4 8UB, UK
10	<sup>b</sup> Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, UK
11	<sup>c</sup> School of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK
12	<sup>d</sup> EPEP team, UMR 7144, CNRS & Sorbonne Universités UPMC Paris 06, Station
13 14	Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France
15	
16	*Corresponding author. David Bass, Tel.: +44 1305 206752.
17	E-mail address: david.bass@cefas.co.uk
18	
19	*Note: Nucleotide sequence data reported in this paper are available in NCBI GenBank under
20	accession numbers KX259318-KX259327.

#### 22 Abstract

23 Paramyxida is an order of rhizarian protists that parasitise marine molluscs, annelids and 24 crustaceans. They include notifiable pathogens (Marteilia spp.) of bivalves and other taxa of 25 economic significance for shellfish production. The diversity of paramyxids is poorly known, 26 particularly outside of commercially important hosts, and their phylogenetic position is unclear due 27 to their extremely divergent 18S rDNA sequences. However, novel paramyxean lineages are 28 increasingly being detected in a wide range of invertebrate hosts, and interest in the group is 29 growing, marked by the first 'Paramyxean Working Group' Meeting held in Spain in February 2015. 30 We review the diversity, host affiliations, and geographical ranges of all known paramyxids, 31 present a comprehensive phylogeny of the order and clarify its taxonomy. Our phylogenetic 32 analyses confirm the separate status of four genera: Paramarteilia, Marteilioides, Paramyxa and 33 Marteilia. Further, as including M. granula in Marteilia would make the genus paraphyletic we 34 suggest transferring this species to a new genus, *Eomarteilia*. We present sequence data for 35 Paramyxa nephtys comb. n., a parasite of polychaete worms, providing morphological data for a 36 clade of otherwise environmental sequences, sister to Paramarteilia. Light and electron 37 microscopy analyses show strong similarities with both Paramyxa and Paramyxoides, and we 38 further discuss the validity of those two genera. We provide histological and electron microscopic 39 data for *Paramarteilia orchestiae*, the type species of that genus originally described from the 40 amphipod Orchestia; in situ hybridisation shows that Paramarteilia also infects crab species. We 41 present, to our knowledge, the first known results of a paramyxid-specific environmental DNA 42 survey of environmental (filtered water, sediment, etc.) and organismally-derived samples, 43 revealing new lineages and showing that paramyxids are associated with a wider range of hosts 44 and habitat types than previously known. On the basis of our new phylogeny we propose 45 phylogenetic hypotheses for evolution of lifecycle and infectivity traits observed in different 46 paramyxid genera.

Keywords: Paramyxida; Paramyxa; Marteilia; Marteilioides; Paramarteilia; Eomarteilia; eDNA; 18S
 rDNA phylogeny

#### 49 **1. Introduction**

50 Paramyxida (Rhizaria, Ascetosporea) are related to haplosporidians, paradinids and 51 mikrocytids (Bass et al., 2009; Hartikainen et al., 2014a,b), although the evolutionary relationships 52 among the five ascetosporean orders are currently unresolved. Paramyxids are apparently 53 exclusively parasites of marine invertebrates - annelids, crustaceans and molluscs. Five genera 54 have been recognised: Marteilia, Paramarteilia, Marteilioides, Paramyxa and Paramyxoides. 55 However, Feist et al. (2009) suggested that Marteilioides and Paramyxoides should be suppressed 56 and that Marteilioides chungmuensis be reassigned to Marteilia, Marteilioides branchialis to 57 Paramarteilia, and Paramyxoides to Paramyxa. One of the aims of the present study was to 58 assess this recommendation by applying the first molecular phylogenetic approach to the group as 59 a whole.

60 Paramyxids are increasingly recognised as pathogens causing economically significant 61 mortalities of bivalves. The best known of these are marteiliosis/Aber disease in the European 62 oyster Ostrea edulis and QX disease in the Sydney rock oyster Saccostrea glomerata, caused by 63 Marteilia refringens and Marteilia sydneyi, respectively (Perkins and Wolf, 1976; Berthe et al., 64 2004; both species are listed as notifiable to the World Organisation for Animal Health (World 65 Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) http://www.oie.int/en/international-standard-setting/aquatic-66 code/ (2015). Other significant bivalve diseases are caused by Marteilia cochillia in cockles 67 (Carrasco et al., 2012, 2013), Marteilioides chungmuensis in Crassostrea gigas in Korea and 68 Japan (Comps et al., 1986; Itoh et al., 2003), and Marteilia granula in the clam Venerupis 69 philippinarum in Japan (Itoh et al., 2014).

Paramyxids in crustaceans include *Paramarteilia canceri*, which causes diseases of the edible/brown crab *Cancer pagurus* (Feist et al., 2009), and *Paramarteilia orchestiae* in amphipods, where it has been investigated in relation to modification of their sexual status (Ginsburger-Vogel 1991; Short et al., 2012a,b). However, beyond these very few examples there are so far no other reports of paramyxids causing disease in crustaceans, although more recently copepods have been shown to be vectors in the lifecycle of *M. refringens* (Carrasco et al., 2007; Arzul et al., 2014).

76 Polychaetes are similarly understudied as potential hosts of paramyxids. Adlard and Nolan 77 (2015) recently demonstrated that *M. sydneyi* cycles through both the polychaete Nephtys australis 78 and the oyster S. glomerata, providing another example of the complexity of at least some 79 paramyxid lifecycles. Otherwise the only known annelid-infecting paramyxid is *Paramyxa*, of which 80 the only described species, Paramyxa paradoxa, was first described in a polychaete larva from 81 Banyuls-sur-Mer on the Mediterranean French coast by Chatton (1911). No similar organisms 82 were reported until a paramyxid parasite of the polychaete Nephtys caeca was described by 83 Larsson and Køie (2005) as Paramyxoides nephtys, distinguished from P. paradoxa on the basis 84 of spore shape and cytology. However, Feist et al. (2009) considered that the characters used to 85 distinguish these two genera were taxonomically invalid and transferred Paramyxoides to 86 Paramyxa.

87 Paramyxids are also commonly referred to as paramyxeans. This class/order discrepancy 88 deserves some explanation, to clarify the actual classification of the group and to ground its 89 nomenclature in a robust phylogenetic context, which is an important aim of this study. Like many 90 enigmatic micro-eukaryote groups, paramyxid taxonomy has been historically unstable, partly due 91 to high levels of phenotypic conservation and convergence commonly seen in protists, particularly 92 parasites (Boenigk et al., 2012; Hartikainen et al., 2014b; Neuhauser et al., 2014; Poulin and 93 Randhawa, 2015). The presence of haplosporosome-like bodies provided early evidence that 94 Marteilia and Paramarteilia were related to haplosporidans (Perkins, 1979), and ultrastructural 95 characteristics supported a relationship between these genera and the first described genus 96 eventually assigned to paramyxids, Paramyxa (Chatton, 1911; Desportes and Lom, 1981). 97 Marteilia and Paramarteilia were described later, in the 1970s (Perkins, 1976; Perkins and Wolf, 98 1976; Desportes and Ginsburger-Vogel, 1977; Ginsburger-Vogel and Desportes, 1979), as 99 detailed in Desportes and Perkins (1990) and Feist et al. (2009). All three genera are distinguished 100 from haplosporidans by the production of variable numbers of daughter cells endogenously formed 101 within a primary amoeboid stem cell, leading to their characteristic 'cell within cell' development. 102 This group has been treated as a class (Paramyxidea Levine, 1980), phylum (Paramyxea 103 Desportes and Perkins, 1990), and most recently as the order Paramyxida in Bass et al. (2009),

104 which is both the original and most stable taxonomy, concordant with both molecular and

105 morphological analyses (Cavalier-Smith and Chao, 2003a,b; Bass et al., 2009; Feist et al., 2009).

106 Environmental DNA (eDNA) sequencing studies (i.e. generating and sequencing PCR 107 amplicons or metagenetic fragments from DNA/RNA extracted from environmental samples to 108 assess their biodiversity) are beginning to reveal high levels of diversity within groups of known 109 parasites (Bass et al., 2009, 2015; Hartikainen et al., 2014a,b), providing powerful insights into 110 parasite lifecycles, environmental reservoirs and transmission routes, and previously unknown 111 parasitic lineages. These approaches are seen as increasingly important for disease monitoring 112 and prediction, and policy issues, as described in Stentiford et al. (2014) and Bass et al. (2015). 113 Paramyxid 18S rRNA genes are phylogenetically divergent and therefore usually missed in 114 broadly-targeted 18S sequencing surveys (Bass et al., 2015). In such cases PCR primers 115 designed specifically for the group under study can be very valuable (Hartikainen et al., 2014a,b). 116 One aim of this study was to design and optimise such a primer set to better understand 117 paramyxid diversity and phylogeny.

As well as generating new eDNA-based sequences as described above, we also analyse all available paramyxid 18S rDNA sequences, providing a comprehensive paramyxid phylogenetic tree, in order to rationalise paramyxid nomenclature and determine their evolutionary relationships. We show that *Marteilia, Paramarteilia* and *Marteilioides* form highly distinct and robustly supported phylogenetic clades, confirming their validity as separate genera, and that all three genera form a robustly supported clade that also includes *M. granula* (recently described by Itoh et al., 2014), and uncharacterised environmental sequences, confirming the monophyly of the order Paramyxida.

125

#### 126 **2. Materials and methods**

127 2.1. Sample collection

128 For invertebrates, 150 mussels, Mytilus edulis, were collected from the River Tamar 129 estuary mouth near Cremyll Ferry, Devon, UK in June and July 2013. The June individuals were 130 incubated in sterile artificial sea water (ASW; Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP) 131 recipe (www.ccap.ac.uk/media/documents/ASW.pdf)) in sets of 10 individuals (clustered according 132 to sampling proximity) for 1 h. Post-incubation, 50-100 ml of water were syringe-filtered through 133 Whatman GF/F filters (GE Healthcare, USA) and filters subsequently fixed in 100% molecular-134 grade ethanol. A further 150 individuals of *M. edulis* and 222 Ostrea edulis were similarly collected 135 from a nearby site, Jupiter Point (River Lynher, Tamar Estuary, UK), in September 2015. All 136 bivalves were dissected and tissue cross-sections including digestive gland and mantle were fixed 137 in Davidson's Solution for histology, glutaraldehyde for electron microscopy (EM), and 100% 138 ethanol (June samples) or flash frozen in liquid nitrogen (July samples) for molecular analyses. 139 Other invertebrates (polychaetes, amphipods, shrimp, barnacles) were also sampled from 140 sediments and under rocks in the mussel sampling areas. Animals were kept intact and preserved 141 in 100% molecular ethanol at -20 °C until DNA extraction. Amphipods, Orchestia gammarellus, 142 were collected at low tide in the intertidal zone above the high water mark at Castle Cove, 143 Weymouth, England (50°35' 45.6" N, 2°27' 36" W; n = 178) between September 2014 and 144 February 2015 and in the Gann Estuary, Dale, Wales (n = 197) during November 2014. For O. 145 gammarellus, morphological identity was confirmed, length was measured using calipers, sex was 146 determined and any external abnormalities, i.e. lost limbs or notable markings, were recorded. The 147 O. gammarellus were anaesthetised using clove oil (Eugenol 80-90%) at a dilution of 0.2 µl/ml of 148 seawater and were transversely sectioned into three using a stereomicroscope (Leica M125, Leica 149 Microsystems, Germany). One section was placed in 100% ethanol for molecular work; the second 150 section was placed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer for transmission 151 electron microscopy (TEM) and the final section was placed into a cassette in Davidson's Sea 152 Water Fixative for 24 h for histopathology and in situ hybridization (ISH).

Edible crabs, *Cancer pagurus*, were captured in baited traps from the commercial fishery in Weymouth Bay area in January 2004. A total of 30 crabs were transported back to the Weymouth laboratory, where they were anaesthetised on ice for 30 min before dissection. Hepatopancreas, heart, gill, muscle and gonad tissues were fixed in Davidson's sea water fixative for histology and

157 hepatopancreas and gonad samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium

158 cacodylate buffer for EM.

159 Spider crabs, Maja squinado, were captured using a Granton trawl on board the Cefas 160 Endeavour from the Cardigan Bay area, Wales, in July 2008. As for edible crabs, 30 spider crabs 161 were anaesthetised on ice for 30 min before dissection; hepatopancreas, heart, gill, muscle and 162 gonad tissues were fixed in Davidson's sea water fixative for histology and hepatopancreas 163 samples were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer for EM. 164 Polychaete worms (100 specimens, mostly N. caeca) were collected from the tidal, 165 brackish Fleet Iagoon, Weymouth, Dorset, UK (10-30 ppt salinity) on 15 May 2015. Each worm 166 was dissected into three sections in the field and fixed for molecular analyses, histology and EM. 167 For environmental samples, 150 L water samples collected at three sites in the Tamar 168 estuary: Cremyll Ferry, Wilcove, and Neal's Point, were passed serially though 50 µm and 20 µm 169 meshes. Material collected on the meshes (filtrand) was transferred to 2 ml cryotubes and fixed in 170 100% ethanol. A 50 L aliquot of water from each site was kept cool and in the dark and transported 171 to the laboratory within 24 h, where aliquots were filtered under pressure onto 142 mm, 0.45 µm 172 cellulose acetate filters (Sartorius, Germany) and immediately stored at -80 ℃. Littoral sediment 173 samples (0.5 -1 g), from the areas in which mussels were sampled, were taken from the Cremyll 174 site and fixed in 100% ethanol. Water and sediment samples were collected using the same 175 protocols from Newton's Cove and the Fleet lagoon in June and October 2011, and April 2012. 176 Filtered freshwater and littoral marine water were similarly sampled (but without the 0.45 µm-177 filtering step) and benthic sediments from sites in the Western Cape, South Africa 10x water 178 samples, 14x sediment and samples), Sabah, Borneo, Malaysia in December 2011 (38x 179 water samples) and various sites in Florida, USA in June 2014 (47x water samples, 34x 180 invertebrate incubations (as for *M. edulis* incubations, above). Water from shrimp hatchery tanks at 181 the Borneo Marine Research Institute (University of Malaysia, Sabah) was sampled (5x) also as for 182 M. edulis incubations.

183

184 2.2. Sample processing and DNA extraction

185 Sediment and 50 µm and 20 µm fraction filtrand samples were freeze-dried at -40 °C until 186 dry. DNA was extracted from these and the 0.45 µm fraction filtrand using the PowerSoil DNA 187 Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA was extracted from invertebrate (apart 188 from amphipod) tissue from all sites using the DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany). 189 Flash frozen mussel tissue was defrosted into RNAlater (Qiagen) before DNA extraction using the 190 96-well DNEasy Bood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). 191 For the amphipods, the 100% ethanol-preserved samples were suspended in a solution of 192 Lifton's buffer (Sucrose 2.3% w/v, 1M Tris pH 8.0, SDS, 0.5 M EDTA pH 8.0) containing 193 Proteinase K (100 mg/ml). Following incubation overnight at 55 ℃, DNA was extracted using a 194 phenol chloroform extraction method with ethanol precipitation (Nishiguchi et al., 2002). The 195 resulting DNA was suspended in 40 µl of water and the DNA concentrations of each sample (ng/µl) 196 were quantified via spectrophotometry (NanoDrop ND-1000) and the QuantiFluor®DS-DNA 197 system and Quantus Fluorimeter (Promega, UK) by following the kit manufacturer's instructions. 198 Filters from invertebrate incubation samples were freeze-dried at -40 °C for 2 h to remove 199 ethanol. Dried filters were subsequently kept on ice and cut into small pieces using sterile scissors. 200 prior to DNA extraction using the DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen).

201

#### 202 2.3. PCR and sequencing

203 A nested primer set targeting regions V7 and V8 of the paramyxid 18S rRNA gene was 204 designed, based on all available paramyxid sequence data in June 2013. The first round PCR 205 used primers Para1+fN (5'- GCG AGG GGT AAA ATC TGA T -3') and ParaGenrDB (5'- GTG TAC 206 AAA GGA CAG GGA CT-3'). Second round PCR used primers Para3+fN (5'- GGC TTC TGG GAG 207 ATT ACG G -3') and Para2+rN (5'- TCG ATC CCR ACT GRG CC-3') (primer set A). All PCRs 208 were conducted in 20 µl final volumes with 1 µl of template DNA and a final concentration of 0.5 209  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.4 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1x Promega Green Buffer and 0.5 U of 210 Promega GoTaq. Cycling conditions for first round PCR consisted of a 3 min denaturation at 94 °C, 211 followed by 42 cycles of 95  $^{\circ}$ C for 30 s, 67  $^{\circ}$ C annealing for 1 min and 72  $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min. Amplicons 212 were extended by final incubation at 72°C for 5 min and stored at 4°C. Second round PCR used 1

 $\mu$  I of first round product as template DNA, and the cycling conditions were altered to an annealing

temperature of 62°C. These primers were used to screen environmental and invertebrate

tissue/incubation samples from the Newton's Cove, Fleet, Tamar estuary, Florida, and Borneo,

216 except those detailed in the following paragraph.

217 Following the publication of sequence data for 'Marteilia' granula (Itoh et al., 2014) primer 218 set A was modified to include this sequence type (primer set B). The resulting hemi-nested PCR 219 protocol used Para1fGW (5'- GGG CGA GGG GTA AAA TCT -3') and ParaGENrGW (5'- GTG 220 TAC AAA GGR CAG GGA CT -3') (first round), followed by Para3fGW (5'- GGC TTY TGG GAG 221 AKT ACG GC -3') and ParaGENrGW (second round). PCR mixtures were prepared as above. 222 Cycling conditions consisted of a 5 min denaturation at 95 ℃, followed by 30 cycles of 95 ℃ for 1 223 min, 58 °C annealing for 1 min and 72 °C extension for 1 min. Amplicons were extended by a final 224 incubation at 72 ℃ for 10 min and stored at 4 ℃. The same cycling conditions were used for both 225 rounds of the hemi-nested PCR. These primers were used to screen the polychaete worms from 226 the Fleet lagoon in May 2015, O. edulis and M. edulis tissues from Jupiter Point (Tamar, UK) 227 collected in September 2015, and eDNA from South Africa. A panel of samples comprising 228 representatives from each sample set screened using primer set A was screened with primer set B 229 to test for additional diversity not detected by primer set A. No differences between the diversity 230 detected and frequency of paramyxid-positive PCRs were detected in these samples.

Fragments were visualised on 1.5% agarose gels stained with GelRed. Amplicons were Sanger sequenced in one direction using primer Para3+fN or Para3fGW. Where direct sequencing produced a mixed product (Cremyll sediment, Wilcove water samples), amplicons were pooled from all PCR-positive samples and clone libraries were prepared using the Stratagene cloning kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Eight clones from each sample were sequenced in one direction using the M13R primer.

237

238 2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

239 All available paramyxid sequences were downloaded from National Center for 240 Biotechnology Information, (USA) GenBank, including Blastn searches to identify uncharacterised 241 (including environmental) sequences related to known taxa. These were aligned with sequences 242 generated in this study using Mafft version 7, e-ins-i algorithm (Katoh and Standley, 2013). The 243 resulting alignment, (47 sequences, including haplosporidian outgroup; 1812 positions analysed) 244 was refined manually and analysed using Maximum Likelihood (ML) in RAxML BlackBox version 8 245 (Stamatakis, 2014) (Generalized time-reversible (GTR) model with CAT approximation (all 246 parameters estimated from the data); an average of 10,000 bootstrap values was mapped onto the 247 tree with the highest likelihood value). A Bayesian consensus tree was constructed using MrBayes 248 v 3.2.5 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Two separate MC<sup>3</sup> runs with randomly generated starting trees 249 were carried out for 2 million generations each with one cold and three heated chains. The 250 evolutionary model applied included a GTR substitution matrix, a four-category autocorrelated 251 gamma correction and the covarion model. All parameters were estimated from the data. Trees 252 were sampled every 1,000 generations. The first 500,000 generations were discarded as burn-in 253 (trees sampled before the likelihood plots reached stationarity) and a consensus tree was 254 constructed from the remaining sample. Sequences generated by this study are available from 255 NCBI GenBank (Accession numbers KX259318-KX259327), and are indicated in Fig. 1. 256

#### 257 2.5. Histology and in-situ hybridisation (ISH)

258 Following 24 h fixation, samples were suspended in 70% industrial methylated spirits (IMS) 259 before being dehydrated and infiltrated with paraffin wax using a Vacuum Infiltration processor 260 (Peloris, Leica Microsystems UK). Wax embedded samples were trimmed along the sagittal plane 261 using a rotary microtome (Shandon Finesse 325, Thermo Fisher, UK) to expose tissue. Once 262 trimmed, sections (3-4  $\mu$ m thick) were mounted onto glass slides and stained using H&E in an 263 auto-stainer (Surgipath, UK) and then cover-slipped (ClearVue, Thermo Fisher, UK). Screening of 264 samples for pathogens was performed using a Nikon Eclipse E800 light microscope (Nikon, UK). 265 Digital images and measurements were captured using the integrated LEICA™ (Leica, UK) camera 266 and LuciaG software (Nikon).

267 ISH was carried out on O. gammarellus slides to localise P. orchestiae, and C. pagurus, 268 and M. squinado slides for Paramarteilia. Probes were generated by PCR using Paramarteilia-269 specific primers Porchest298f (5'-CTG ATG AGC CTG GCA AGA CCA C-3') and Porchest396r (5'-270 TGG GGC ACA CCG ATA CTG GG-3'), producing a 98 bp amplicon specific to the clade marked 271 'Paramarteilia' on Fig. 1. The process was also carried out on N. caeca slides for Paramyxa 272 nephtys; Paramyxa-specific probes were generated using primers Paramyxa240f (5'- AGC AGA 273 CCA ATC GCT CGA C -3') and Paramyxa449r (5'- GAC TCA TTC GTG GCG CGT TT -3'). 274 producing a 209 bp amplicon. In each case probes were digoxigenin (DIG)-labelled using 275 digoxigenin-11-dUTP in PCRs of 100 µl volume with a final concentration of 1x Promega 276 colourless buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 µM PCR DIG labelling mix (Roche, Switzerland), 0.5 µM of 277 each primer, 0.5 U of Promega GoTaq and 6 µl of template DNA. Amplifications were performed 278 on a Peltier PTC-225 thermal cycler. Cycling conditions consisted of a 5 min denaturation at 94 °C, 279 followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, taxon-specific annealing temperature for 45 s (60 °C for 280 Paramarteilia; 55 °C for Paramyxa), and 72 °C for 1 min. Amplicons were extended by final 281 incubation at 72 °C for 5 min and stored at 4 °C. Tissue sections (4 µm thick) from histologically-282 positive individuals were mounted on Poly-L lysine slides. These were deparaffinised, rehydrated 283 and then treated with Proteinase K solution (10 mg/ml) for 30 min at 37  $^{\circ}$ C in a humid chamber. 284 Proteolysis was terminated by incubating the slides in 100% industrial methylated spirits for 5 min 285 and rinsing slides with 2x SSC buffer for 5 min at room temperature. Sections were overlaid with a 286 hybridization solution (4 x SSC buffer, 50% formamide, 1 x Denhardt's solution, 10% dextran 287 sulfate, 250 µg/ml Yeast tRNA) containing the probe DNA (50:50 v/v). Slides were heated to 95 °C 288 for 5 min and hybridized overnight at 42 °C. After hybridization, sections were washed with 1x SSC 289 buffer and 0.5x SSC buffer for 15 min at 42 °C. Slides were blocked with 6% non-fat milk in Tris 290 buffer (pH 7.5) for 1 h at room temperature. The reactions were then developed with anti-DIG 291 antibody conjugated with an alkaline phosphatase, nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-292 chloro-3-indoylphosphate (X-phos). The sections were counterstained with Nuclear Fast Red and 293 examined under light microscopy. Negative controls lacked the DIG-labelled probe in the 294 hybridization buffer.

295

#### 296 2.6. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

297 Selected parasite-positive animals were removed from glutaraldehyde and sectioned into 1 mm<sup>3</sup> tissue blocks. The samples were fixed in 2.5% gluteraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate 298 299 buffer (pH 7.4) for 2 h and post-fixed by rinsing them in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M sodium 300 cacodylate buffer (1 h). The samples received two rinses in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer (10 301 min) before being dehydrated through a graded acetone series (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90% and 302 100%) with 10 min in each solution. Samples were then infiltrated by Agar 100 epoxy resin (Agar 303 Scientific, UK) Agar 100 pre-mix kit medium) and embedded by polymerising the samples at 60 °C 304 overnight. Semi-thin sections (0.5  $\mu$ m – 2  $\mu$ m) were taken from resulting blocks and stained with 305 Toluidine Blue. Stained semi-thin sections were surveyed using a light microscope to identify target 306 regions, and 70-90 nm ultra-thin sections of these regions were mounted on uncoated copper 307 grids. Finally, the samples were stained with 2% uranyl acetate solution followed by Reynolds' 308 Lead Citrate (Reynolds, 1963) before being examined using a transmission electron microscope 309 (JEOL JEM 1210, Japan). Digital images were obtained using Gatan Digital Micrograph™ software 310 with a Gatan Erlangshen ES500W camera. All raw images files are accessible via Mendeley Data: http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/ivphfxw32t.1. 311

312

#### **313 3. Results**

#### 314 *3.1. Paramyxid diversity*

Table 1 summarises all paramyxid genera and species for which 18S rDNA sequence data are available in public databases and/or are robustly identified morphologically (as a result of our literature survey), indicating their known host ranges and geographical distributions. The new data generated by the study are also included in this table.

319

320 *3.2. Paramyxid 18S rDNA phylogeny* 

321 Bayesian and ML analyses of all currently known and newly generated paramyxid 18S 322 rDNA data shows that the genera Marteilia, Paramarteilia and Marteilioides, and a newly 323 sequenced parasite of *N. caeca* and other polychaetes, group separately from each other, each in 324 robustly supported clades of congeners and/or environmental sequences (Fig. 1A). Eomarteilia 325 (previously Marteilia) granula does not branch with other Marteilia spp, but is sister to all other 326 known paramyxeans with moderate to strong support in ML and Bayesian analyses with maximal 327 taxon sampling (Fig. 1A). We therefore re-assign this to the new genus *Eomarteilia*. Two lineages 328 exclusively comprising environmental sequences are described in section 3.4. Although diversity 329 within each of the genus clades is not high, some other relevant points arise from the phylogenetic 330 analyses. AL

331

332 3.2.1. Marteilioides

333 The *Marteilioides* clade has two distinct, known sister lineages, one (*M. chungmuensis*) 334 from two Crassostrea spp. (C. gigas and C. ariakensis from Japan and South Korea; a total of five 335 sequences in GenBank), and the other (undescribed Marteilioides sp.) from two independent 336 studies in the Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum (two GenBank sequences) (Yanin et al., 2013, 337 first observed by Lee et al., 2001).

338

#### 339 3.2.2. Marteilia clade

340 The *Marteilia* clade contains sequences which cluster in rough agreement with their 341 geographical provenance: *M. refringens* and *M. cochillia*, sampled on many independent occasions 342 from Europe (Kerr et al., unpublished data) and *M. octospora* from Spain (Ruiz et al., 2016) share 343 very similar 18S sequences, and form a strongly supported clade with the highly distinct M. 344 sydneyi sequence from Saccostrea glomerata from Queensland, Australia, 'Marteilia sp. MC' from 345 *Ruditapes philippinarum* in South Korea (Kang et al., unpublished data; sequence has GenBank 346 accession number <u>AB823743</u>), and another distinct sequence derived from a shrimp hatchery tank

347 at the Borneo Marine Research Institute. As noted above, *M. granula* does not belong to this clade. 348 A further sequence (not in GenBank) from Mytilus sp. from China was manually copied from Wang 349 et al. (2012) and aligned with the Marteilia sequences in Fig. 1A. This is presented separately (Fig. 350 1B) as the 638 bp fragment does not overlap with the Bornean shrimp tank sequence (with which it 351 groups but with no support), but otherwise optimising the alignment between other *Marteilia* clade 352 sequences. This tree does not differ significantly from the comparable part of Fig. 1A but does 353 show that the Chinese Mytilus-derived sequence does not group with named Marteilia spp. This 354 reduced taxon-sampled tree is also interesting in that, in the absence of other genera, *Eomarteilia* 355 and Marteilia form a clade (see Discussion). After our analyses (Fig. 1) had been performed, 356 Marteilia octospora was described by Ruiz et al. (2016). The short 18S fragment available for M. 357 octospora (within <u>KU641125</u>), although not in the most variable region of the gene, is almost 358 identical to the corresponding region of *M. cochillia* (Fig. 1). 359

360 3.2.3. Paramarteilia clade

361 Sequences in the Paramarteilia clade were recovered from mussel-, amphipod- and crab-362 associated material, and comprise two distinct but closely related sequences types - one only from 363 crustaceans to date (amphipods from the genera Echinogammarus (Short et al., 2012a,b, 2014) 364 and Orchestia (this study)), and in incubation water from C. pagurus and Cerastoderma edule. The 365 other 18S-type has to date only been detected in *M. edulis* incubation water. ISH probes designed 366 for the two *Paramarteilia* sequences (it was not possible to design different probes for each 367 sequence type) also hybridised to Paramarteilia-infected tissue in crabs C. pagurus (connective 368 tissue within hepatopancreas, heart, ovary, testicular follicles) and *M. squinado* (hepatopancreas). 369 The histology and TEM of *Paramarteilia* in *C. pagurus* and *M. squinado* are reported in Section 370 3.6.

371

372 3.2.4. Paramyxa clade

373 A paramyxid found in the polychaete N. caeca in this study (assigned to Paramyxa nephtys 374 as described in Section 3.3, and labelled as such in Fig. 1A) shares an identical sequence from 375 0.45 µm-filtered water from Wilcove on the Tamar estuary (not separately shown in Fig. 1A). A 376 related but clearly distinct sequence was detected in eDNA from an estuarine fish farm in Borneo. 377 A further two sequences, labelled 'Paramyxa' to tentatively assign them to this genus pending 378 ongoing morphological analysis, were detected in DNA extracted from bivalve digestive gland (DG) 379 samples from the Tamar, UK. One of these was detected at relatively high frequency in O. edulis 380 (62/222; 28%) and *M. edulis* (9/150; 6%), but only in samples taken from Jupiter Point (Tamar) in 381 September 2015. The other sequence type was detected only in 1/150 M. edulis DG from the 2013. 382 Tamar sampling.

383

#### 384 3.3. Paramyxid parasite of N. caeca and other polychaetes

385 Histological analysis showed that 23/71 N. caeca specimens sampled from the Fleet 386 lagoon (Weymouth, UK) in May 2015 were infected with an unknown paramyxid (Fig. 2). Three of 387 these exhibited heavy infections of an ellipsoid spore-forming parasite typically restricted to the 388 intestinal tract of the worm, including the mouth, the intestinal epithelium and lumen along the full 389 length of the worm. TEM analyses of these heavily infected individuals revealed spore sacs with 390 striated projections and containing four spores, very similar to those shown for *P. nephtys* in 391 Larsson and Koie (2005). Also concordant with the description of *P. nephtys*, the developmental 392 stages of the parasite had penetrated the intestinal epithelium and replicated to replace a large 393 proportion of the host tissue. Mature stages were released from the intestinal cells into the lumen. 394 No host response to infection was noted in the epithelium or lumen. Pre-spore stages were also 395 similar to those described for P. nephtys. When all 71 N. caeca samples were screened using 396 paramyxid-specific primers (see Section 2) a further 13 (i.e. total of 36 Nephtys individuals) were 397 PCR-positive. Small samples of other polychaete species were collected from the same site as the 398 N. caeca specimens. DNA from tissue of some of these was also paramyxid PCR-positive and 399 yielded the same 18S sequence type in 3/5 Nereis sp. individuals, 2/14 Nemertea-like worms, 1/1

400 *Ophelia*-like worms, and three unidentified polychaete individuals. We refer to this parasite as

401 Paramyxa nephtys, rather than Paramyxoides, for reasons discussed further in this report.

402

#### 403 *3.4. Paramyxid-specific eDNA analysis*

404 Two lineages in Fig. 1, marked PARAM-1 and -2, have no characterised members, i.e. they 405 have been detected only in eDNA samples. PARAM-1 comprises three identical sequences from 406 marine sites in Florida, USA: one from a littoral filtered water sample and two from filtered water 407 sampled within a Crassostrea virginica bed at Seahorse Key. In PARAM-2, two identical 408 sequences (represented by GU824205 on Fig. 1) were sequenced from the same sample (and 409 possibly the same organism) in a eukaryote-wide survey of filtered water from the Cariaco Bay, 410 Venezuela (Edgcomb et al., 2011). The other very closely related sequence in PARAM-2 was 411 amplified by our paramyxean-specific PCR protocol from 20 µm filtered water from a bed of C. 412 virginica adjacent to the Whitney Laboratory for Marine Bioscience, Florida, USA. We cannot 413 assume that PARAM-1 or -2 belong to the genus Paramyxa as we have no morphological data for 414 them (see Discussion).

Some of the other paramyxid clades were also represented in our eDNA screening, as shown in Fig. 3. *Marteilia refringens* 18S rDNA was amplified from sediment and filtered water column samples from Wilcove and Cremyll in the Tamar estuary (but not Neal's Point, furthest from the sea), where it was also detected in *M. edulis* tissue samples (5/144 mantle; 37/287 DG) and filtered incubation water (2/17) samples. However, no sequences corresponding to *M. cochillia, M. sydneyi, E. granula* or either *Marteilioides* sequence type were recovered from the eDNA screens.

The *P. orchestiae* 18S type was detected most frequently in amphipod tissue samples (whole animals) but the same sequence type was also detected in *C. pagurus* incubation water. A closely related sequence (98% similarity) was recovered from *M. edulis* incubation water (1/17 samples). In the *Paramyxa* clade, the only PCR amplifications from 'environmental' samples were of the *P. nephtys* 18S-type in *M. edulis* incubation water.

427

#### 428 *3.5. Geographical distribution of paramyxids*

429 Fig.3 suggests strong biogeographical structuring of paramyxid diversity, and that this to an 430 extent reflects the phylogenetic clustering. Paramarteilia and Paramyxa spp are known only from 431 Europe, Eomarteilia from Japan, and Marteilioides from the Far East (southern. Korea, Japan, 432 eastern Australia). The Marteilia clade is apparently more widely distributed: M. refringens, M. 433 cochillia and M. octospora mostly from Europe (other than one record from the Pacific coast of 434 Mexico (Grijalva-Chon et al., 2015) and another from Kuwait), M. sydneyi from Australia, and many 435 additional 'Marteilia sp.' records unconfirmed by sequencing in the literature therefore not included 436 in Table 1 or Fig. 3. The *Marteilia* clade is also represented in Borneo by an environmental 437 sequence. The environmental clades PARAM-1 and -2 were also only detected in a small number 438 of samples – PARAM-1 in multiple samples from a single site in Florida, USA, and PARAM-2 from 439 low latitude American continent sites (Florida and Venezuela), despite the fact that paramyxid-440 specific PCR was carried out on eDNA samples from Europe, the Americas, South Africa, and 441 Borneo. None of the South African eDNA samples were paramyxid-positive.

442

#### 443 3.6. Paramarteilia: confirmation of type species and infections in crab spp.

444 We present the first known 18S rDNA sequence for the Paramarteilia type species P. 445 orchestiae from the type species host O. gammarellus, with histopathology and TEM analyses of 446 the corresponding material (confirmed by Paramarteilia-specific ISH) (Fig. 4C inset). Our light and 447 ultrastructural observations were entirely concordant with the original description of P. orchestiae 448 (Ginsburger-Vogel and Desportes, 1979). The parasite's primary cells (Fig. 4D) were between 5 -449 12 µm in diameter and contained multivesicular bodies with spherical vacuoles and electron dense, 450 cylindrical bacilliform haplosporomes (Fig. 4D inset). Up to nine secondary cells (sporonts, C2) 451 were observed, each individually between 3 - 7 µm in diameter, and unlike the primary cells lacking 452 haplosporosomes and with increased ribosome density. Within the tertiary cell, two spores were

453 present (Fig. 4D). Developmental stages of the parasite were dispersed throughout O. 454 gammarellus tissues and organs including the epidermal tissue (Fig. 4C,D), the connective tissue, 455 heart and ganglia of the nerve cord (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the cells apparently 'migrate' between 456 organs and were present in the oocytes of two females (Fig. 4B), which supports the original trans-457 ovarial transmission hypothesis (Ginsburger-Vogel, 1979). Although the bi- or tri-cellular stages of 458 the spore were not observed, the host species, sites of infection and morphology of the parasite 459 unambiguously confirm this parasite as Paramarteilia orchestiae. A total of 369 O. gammarellus 460 were prepared for histology and examined for the presence of P. orchestiae; infection was 461 observed in 12 (3.25%) of these: one from Weymouth and 11 from Dale. A total of 222 of the 369 462 O. gamarellus individuals were screened by PCR using the Paramartellia primers from Short et al. 463 (2012), including those analysed for histology; 24 of these were positive (10.81%); eight from 464 Weymouth and 16 from Dale. No obvious pathology was displayed in 15 of these 24 samples.

465 We also present the first known histopathology, ISH and TEM images of Paramarteilia 466 canceri in edible crabs and Paramarteilia in spider crabs C. pagurus and M. squinado, respectively 467 (Fig. 5). The morphology and infection characteristics of *Paramarteilia* in *C. pagurus* were 468 consistent with those described for P. canceri in Feist et al. (2009) and the Paramarteilia infection 469 in M. squinado was also very similar structurally. Paramarteilia canceri was observed in one of the 470 30 edible crabs sampled and *Paramarteilia* sp. was observed in two out of the 30 spider crabs 471 sampled. Developmental stages of the parasite were dispersed throughout the connective tissues 472 (Fig. 5A), hepatopancreas (Fig. 5B) and gonad (Fig. 5E, G). The parasite is shown to infect the 473 connective tissues surrounding the oocytes and the oocytes themselves (Fig. 5 E, F) as well as the 474 testicular follicles (Fig. 5G, H). The parasite in both crabs was similar to that observed in the O. 475 gammarellus (see above), and that recorded from Echinogammarus marinus by Short et al. 476 (2012b), the sequence of which is shown in Fig. 1A (JQ673484). However, more advanced 477 developmental stages present in the crab species were not observed in amphipods. At present, 478 based on morphological grounds it is not possible to propose that the same species infects these 479 hosts. Because no 18S sequence for P. canceri exists (although the P. orchestiae 18S sequence 480 was also detected in C. pagurus incubation water, and may correspond to Paramarteilia infecting

edible crabs), and the known sequence variation with the *Paramarteilia* clade is very low (Fig. 1A),

482 we used the same ISH probe as for *P. orchestiae* to successfully probe for *Paramarteilia* in both

483 crab species (Fig. 5 insets).

484

#### 485 4. Discussion

486 To our knowledge the phylogenetic analysis in this paper (Fig. 1A) is the first to show the 487 relative branching positions of all paramyxid genera and species for which sequence data are 488 available. The laterally compressed appearance of the tree in Fig. 1 may misleadingly imply low 489 18S sequence differences. In fact all the terminal branches represent distinct lineages, with the 490 possible exceptions of the two bivalve-derived Paramyxa sp. sequences at the top of Fig. 1A, and 491 the Venezuelan and Floridean 18S-types in PARAM-2. For example, the clearly distinct M. cochillia 492 and M. refringens have 99% similar 18S sequences (1733/1742 identical nucleotide positions) and 493 are very obviously different species based on phenotype. Many protistan species are identical, or 494 nearly so, at the 18S level (Bass et al., 2009; Boenigk et al., 2012), yet show very different host 495 associations and sporulation characteristics.

Other phylogenetic distinctions in Fig. 1A may reflect different host affiliations – for example the *M. chungmuensis* lineage is to date exclusively associated with the clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* and the distinct *Marteilioides* sp. with *Crassostrea* spp. The two closely related *Paramarteilia* 18S types may also have different host associations (molluscs versus crustaceans), although the 'crustacean' sequence has also been detected in *Cerastoderma* incubation water (but may not correspond to a parasite of the cockle), and the *Mytilus*-associated 18S type has to date only been detected once, also in (*Mytilus*) incubation water.

503 The only morphological characteristics thought to be useful to distinguish between 504 paramyxid genera are the numbers of tertiary cells (C3; which becomes the spore) produced and 505 the number of cells constituting the spores (Feist et al., 2009). However, we show here that these 506 are not taxonomically reliable; the unrelated *Marteilioides* and *Marteilia* both form tri-cellular

507 spores, although from different numbers of tertiary precursor cells - two in M. sydneyi, four in M. 508 refringens, six in M. cochillia, and eight in M. octospora. The only Marteilioides sp. for which the 509 number of tertiary cells is known (*M. chungmuensis*) has a single tertiary precursor cell; the 510 possibility that more tertiary cells occur in other *Marteilioides* lineages is too great for this character 511 to be used to distinguish them from each other or from Marteilia. Furthermore, Paramarteilia, 512 grouping between Marteilia and Marteilioides (Fig. 1A), forms bi-cellular spores while Paramyxa 513 has tetra-cellular spores. Therefore there is no systematic variation in either tertiary cell number or 514 numbers of cells constituting spores with the phylogenetic branching order.

515 We provide the first known molecular evidence for the phylogenetic position of 516 Paramyxa/Paramyxoides. The parasite of N. caeca that we analysed was ultrastructurally 517 inseparable from the description of *Paramyxoides nephtys* by Larsson and Koie (2005). However, 518 as the only consistent difference between P. nephtys and the earlier description of P. paradoxa 519 (Chatton, 1911) is the presence of striated radiations on the mature spore tetrads, we agree with 520 Feist et al. (2009) that *Paramyxoides* is a junior synonym of *Paramyxa* and now recognise two 521 species within the latter (original) genus: P. nephtys (this study) and P. paradoxa, which remains to 522 be sequenced, but we suggest is likely to group with the 'Paramyxa' sequences from bivalves, or 523 clades PARAM-1/-2 (Fig. 1A). A morphological description of the Paramyxa sp. sequences found 524 in bivalve tissue (Tamar) will be published separately.

525 In the absence of morphological data we refrain from assigning PARAM-1 or -2 to 526 *Paramyxa*, the characterised genus to which these lineages are most closely and strongly related. 527 PARAM-1 may turn out to be *P. paradoxa*; however, the relationship between genotypic and 528 phenotypic distance is not straightforward, and decisions about taxonomic boundaries should be 529 made taking into account both kinds of evidence (Boenigk et al., 2012). A good illustration of this is 530 that three morphologically different Marteilia spp., M. refringens, M. cochillia and M. octospora, are 531 extremely similar at the 18S level, yet *Marteilia* has been considered morphologically 532 indistinguishable from *Marteilioides* and *Eomarteilia* spp., all three genera being very different from 533 each other in terms of 18S sequence similarity (Fig. 1A). Marteilia and the morphologically similar 534 but even more basally branching *Eomarteilia* may reflect the ancestral state for the whole order.

535 Paramyxa and Marteilioides form a robustly supported clade with PARAM-1 and -2, 536 separated by maximal bootstrap support from other paramyxid genera. Therefore the suppression 537 of Marteilioides as recommended by Feist et al. (2009) and assumed by Carrasco et al. (2015) is 538 invalid. However, *Marteilioides* remains a poorly sampled genus with only one described species. 539 Sequence data are required to confirm whether 'Marteilioides' branchialis groups with M. 540 chungmuensis or in the Paramarteilia clade, as suggested by Feist et al. (2009). Paramarteilia 541 itself is sister to Paramyxa + Marteilioides with robust support, and is therefore not directly related 542 to Marteilia.

543 We provide molecular (ISH) confirmation of Paramarteilia infection of edible and spider 544 crabs. The description of *P. canceri* by Feist et al. (2009) is concordant with the parasite cells 545 observed in both edible and spider crabs in this study (two bi-cellular spores). Our ISH results 546 confirm that the parasite infecting both crab species belongs to Paramarteilia, and may be the 547 same species of Paramarteilia. However, material was not available to generate an 18S sequence 548 for P. canceri or the Paramarteilia from M. squinado, so although the parasite of the latter was 549 morphologically indistinguishable from that in C. pagurus (P. canceri) we cannot yet confirm it is P. 550 canceri until sequence data are available. Sequence data are required from both crab-infecting 551 parasites also to confirm whether their sequence is identical to P. orchestiae. The role of 552 paramyxids in crab disease has received almost no attention, and will almost certainly reward 553 future research investment.

554 Itoh et al. (2014) and Carrasco et al. (2015) tacitly concur with the Feist et al. (2009) report 555 of suppression of Marteilioides, and include 'Marteilia' granula within the genus Marteilia. In the 556 Neighbour-Joining (NJ) phylogeny of Itoh et al. (2014) (Fig. 7A) '*M. granula* is separated from 557 other Marteilia spp by Marteilioides, and in an ML analysis of the same taxon sample in the same 558 paper (Fig. 7B) and Carrasco et al. (2015; Fig. 3A), 'M. granula forms a weakly supported clade 559 with other Marteilia spp. However, our phylogenetic analyses, which additionally include Paramyxa 560 (sister to Marteilioides) and Paramarteilia, show that including 'M. granula within Marteilia would 561 produce a paraphyletic Marteilia, as 'M. granula branches separately with strong support from the 562 clade comprising *M. refringens*, *M. cochillia* and *M. sydneyi* in Fig. 1A. Therefore we suggest that

*'M'. granula* should be assigned to a new genus (*Eomarteilia*). Similarly, *Marteilioides* cannot be
considered congeneric with *Marteilia* (see Feist et al., 2009; Carrasco et al., 2015) without
incurring paraphyly of *Marteilia* and requiring that all other paramyxid genera be subsumed into *Marteilia*. It would be clearly undesirable and nonsensical to represent such a biological diversity of
paramyxids as that illustrated in this study by a single genus.

It is worth noting that if an incomplete sampling of paramyxid diversity is used for phylogenetic analyses, the illusion may be given that *Eomarteilia* and *Marteilia* form a holophyletic clade (Fig. 1B); however this has very weak support compared with the more complete taxon sampling in Fig. 1A, emphasising the general desirability of comprehensive taxon sampling as a basis for the best possible phylogenetic interpretation. All of these phylogenetic relationships should be tested further by including more genes in phylogenetic analyses, when available.

574 Using paramyxid group-specific primers to screen e- and organismal DNA samples for 575 'hidden' diversity is a powerful technique, revealing novel lineages and suggesting new 576 ecological/host associations for verification (Moreira and Lopez-Garcia, 2002; Bass et al., 2015). 577 We detected a Paramarteilia sequence in C. pagurus sampled from the English Channel coast and 578 incubated in sterile ASW, the histopathology and TEM of which was consistent with the description 579 of *P. canceri* from the same site by Feist et al. (2009). We then used ISH to confirm the presence 580 of this sequence type in both C. pagurus and M. squinado. This approach can be used to 581 determine whether, for example, our detection of *Paramyxa* in *Mytilus* tissue represents actual 582 infection or is more likely trophic passage or accumulated by filtration, and to investigate different 583 lifecycle stages and alternative hosts (Bass et al., 2015). In fact, some aspects of our eDNA results 584 for different paramyxid lineages may be explained by life history traits, for example sites of 585 infection and modes of transmission. Paramarteilia orchestiae and Marteilioides spp are vertically 586 transmitted (via host eggs); neither has been detected by eDNA methods on environmental 587 samples. On the other hand P. nephtys, M. refringens, M. sydneyi, M. cochillia and Eomarteilia 588 granula infect host digestive gland/gut tissue and are likely or known to be released from these 589 tissues into the environment – the first two taxa in this list have been detected in environmental 590 and well as organismal samples, and *M. refringens* has been shown to use planktonic crustacean

591 hosts. The low current sampling levels preclude any generalisations being made from these

592 observations, but future results and experimental design should take them into account.

593 eDNA methods are also very useful for detecting true geographical range of lineages, 594 which as noted above, is of particular interest in paramyxean studies. However, negative eDNA 595 results are not conclusive, and the fact that we did not detect M. cochillia, M. sydneyi, E. granula or 596 either *M. chungmuensis* 18S types in our screens from the UK, Borneo, South Africa or Florida do 597 not signify that these taxa are not more widely distributed than implied in Fig. 3. Further probing of 598 organismal and environmental samples from more areas is required, ideally using even more 599 tightly lineage-specific primers than we used in this study to maximise detection sensitivity and 600 specificity. In general, the group-specific eDNA results from paramyxeans contrasts with that 601 earlier obtained for Haplosporida (Hartikainen et al., 2014a), which revealed higher levels of 602 diversity and higher detection frequencies from environmental samples. The paramyxid results are 603 perhaps more akin to those for mikrocytids (Hartikainen et al., 2014b), which were more limited in 604 environmental samples and detection was often more strongly associated with potential hosts or 605 particular environmental compartments. It may be that paramyxids and mikrocytids are more tightly 606 host-associated than haplosporidans and/or they less frequently infect small planktonic animals 607 (and are consequently less likely to be captured by sampling of planktonic habitats) either as 608 primary or alternative hosts.

609 A further complexity in the use of eDNA and host screening methods for the detection of 610 novel and existing paramyxid diversity is a lack of understanding surrounding the role played by 611 environmental conditions in the prevalence and pathogenicity of most lineages. Microscopy-based 612 studies suggest the minimum water temperature of 18-20 °C necessary for gonad maturation in C. 613 gigas is similar to that required for development of M. chungmuensis within host oocytes (Kang et 614 al., 2000; Ngo et al., 2013), and follow-up studies utilising both microscopy and PCR seem to 615 confirm this (Tun et al., 2008). Temperature has also been shown to be a key parameter in the life 616 cycle of *M. refringens* (Berthe et al., 1998) and *M. sydneyi* (Rubio et al., 2013). Therefore it is 617 essential to account for environmental conditions and seasonality in eDNA (and other) sampling 618 efforts. The 'window of infection' (i.e. the timespan over which infection is observable in the bivalve

host) also varies between taxa, and within the same taxa across several years. Onset of the
infection window of *M. sydneyi* in *S. glomerata* often follows a rapid decrease in water salinity, and
can last between 8 and 18 weeks, though the exact environmental conditions determining this
window remain unknown (Rubio et al., 2013).

623 Our attempt to collate distribution and host association data from all recorded paramyxid 624 species to date has, in tandem with the phylogenetic analysis, suggested a strong biogeographical 625 structuring of paramyxid lineages. The closely related *M. refringens*, *M. cochillia* and *M. octospora* 626 have only been recorded in Europe (the latter two only from Spain to date), whereas the more 627 distantly related *M. sydneyi* has been reported only from Australia (both east and west coasts 628 when non-sequenced records are considered), suggesting that geographically distant lineages are 629 likely to be more distantly related. Further highly distinct lineages were sampled in Malaysian 630 Borneo (shrimp larvae hatchery tank), South Korea (Marteilia sp. MC), and the Yellow Sea and 631 East Sea coasts of China (paramyxid ex. Mytlius), where both the native mussel Perna viridis and 632 the non-native Mytilus edulis were infected (Fig. 1B). The infection of M. edulis at these sites 633 suggests that other populations of this mussel are potentially threatened by 'new' parasite lineages 634 in other regions of the world, at least where ecological conditions permit. Marteilioides reports 635 confirmed by sequence data are restricted to South Korea and Japan, with non-sequenced records 636 from Australia. Paramyxa (including Paramyxoides) has only been reported from Europe 637 (Denmark, UK, France), and Paramarteilia only from the UK and (the original unsequenced type 638 material) from northern France.

639 Patchy and low sampling effort explains at least some of these geographical observations. 640 Paramyxa and Paramarteilia have only been studied at a small number of sites by very few 641 researchers. An informed and more widely distributed sampling effort (including eDNA methods; 642 Bass et al., 2015 and this study), is necessary and very likely to prove broader distributions for 643 many paramyxid lineages. However, lineages that have been more intensively studied, for 644 example *M. refringens* and *M. sydneyi*, are more likely to have been found without and within 645 Europe, respectively, if they were present. Even so, there are many discoveries to be made, as 646 demonstrated by the very recent findings of *M. refringens* in the oysters *C. gigas* and *Crassostrea* 

647 corteziensis in Mexico (Grijalva-Chon et al., 2015), and *M. octospora* in Spain (Ruiz et al., 2016).
648 Limited 18S data show *M. octospora* to be very closely related to *M. cochillia*, and while 18S data
649 are not available for the Mexican *M. refringens*, their IGS rDNA sequences are only 0.3-2.2%
650 dissimilar to *M. refringens* from a Spanish clam, *Chamelea gallina* (<u>AM292652</u>); with such similar
651 sequences in the highly variable IGS region their 18S sequences are likely to be extremely similar
652 or identical to the *M. refringens* sequences represented in Fig. 1.

653 There exist many potential paramyxid lineages for which inadequate microscopic or 654 molecular data are available to establish their taxonomic affinity. For example, a Marteilia sp. has 655 been observed at low prevalence (2% of 140 sampled) infecting the digestive gland of cultured 656 rock oysters Saccostrea forskali in Chonburi Province, Thailand (Taveekijakarn et al., 2008). 657 Similarly, unidentified Marteilioides sp. and Marteilia sp. have been observed (in the oocytes and 658 digestive gland, respectively) of the Manila clam, R. philippinarum, in Japan (Itoh et al., 2005). 659 These parasites are present at very low prevalence and have yet to be linked to any significant 660 pathogenicity in their hosts. A further undescribed potential Marteilia sp. was also observed 661 infecting the digestive diverticulum of the calico scallop, Argopecten gibbus, off Cape Canaveral, 662 Florida, USA in 1988-1989 (Moyer et al., 1993). This parasite resulted in the rapid decimation of 663 the scallop population, but further attempts to collect material for ultrastructural analysis were 664 unsuccessful. Although the taxonomic affiliations of this parasite remain unknown, a recent survey 665 of calico scallop abundance in this area and the Gulf of Mexico shelf suggests the parasite is still 666 prevalent at both sites, with late stage infections common (Geiger et al., 2015). Further 667 investigation is needed to ascertain the identity of the parasite and its effect upon the commercial 668 viability of the scallop populations in these areas.

These reports prove that even if some paramyxids are much more geographically localised than is true for many protists (Bass and Boenigk, 2011), the diversity and distribution of the order as a whole is greater than shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. A more complete understanding of the distributions of these pathogens is increasingly important as new lineages (often with economically significant effects) are being discovered, and known paramyxids are being found in new hosts and/or locations (e.g. *M. chungmuensis*, Itoh et al., 2004; *P. canceri*, Feist et al., 2009; *M*.

*refringens*, Arzul et al., 2014; *M. sydneyi*, Adlard and Nolan, 2015). Human-mediated transport of
these pathogens around the world could introduce them into areas in which they could become
active if suitable environmental and/or lifecycle conditions arise. This also applies to other
understudied parasites with similar apparently restricted geographical ranges, even though more
intensive sampling facilitated by modern molecular screening methods also shows these to be
more widespread and diverse than previously thought (e.g. haplosporidians and mikrocytids;
Harikainen et al., 2014a,b).

682 Our new data and literature survey indicate that paramyxid lineages are being discovered 683 on a regular basis, and perhaps with increasing frequency as knowledge of the group and methods 684 to detect them improve. Initiatives such as the new Paramyxean Working Group 685 (http://paramyxeanworkingroup.org/) demonstrate that the international community has become 686 more aware and interested in paramyxid research and there is a requirement and appetite for 687 developing this neglected field. However, it remains difficult to estimate the potential emergence 688 and impact of paramyxids on animal hosts of human concern, and their even more hidden roles as 689 parasites in diverse and interacting marine ecosystems because so little is known of their true 690 diversity and distribution. In this study we provide some molecular tools for targeted detection of 691 the full range of known paramyxids in environmental and organismal matrices. Our phylogenetic 692 analysis provides an evolutionary context for understanding how the group has evolved in terms of 693 morphology, distribution and lifecycle. The recent demonstrations that paramyxids occur in a wider 694 range of hosts and environments than previously thought encourage us to study them and their 695 pathogenesis in an ecological context, in addition to their individual effects on key host taxa. There 696 is a need for greater genomic sampling of paramyxids, both to increase the power of phylogenetic 697 (multi-gene) analyses of the group, and to better understand the nature of host-parasite 698 interactions.

699

#### 700 Acknowledgements

701 GW was supported by a Natural Environment Research Council GW4+ Doctoral Training 702 Programme PhD studentship held at Exeter University, UK, The Natural History Museum (NHM), 703 UK and Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), UK; DB, GDS and KB 704 by Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), UK contract FC1212 and SWF by 705 Defra contract FB002 to Cefas; DB also by Natural Environment Research Council, UK grant -706 NE/H000887/1. SW was supported by Grant-in-Aid funding from the Department of Life Sciences 707 at the NHM. MB was supported by a Defra student placement at Cefas. CB was supported by the 708 'Investissements d'Avenir' programme OCEANOMICS (ANR-11-BTBR-0008) awarded by the 709 French Government via Agence Nationale de la Recherche. We thank Stuart Ross and Matthew 710 Green for invaluable assistance with sampling, and Julian Ransangan for hosting and assistance 711 in Borneo, Cecile Reed (South Africa), Mark Martindale and Maria Romerlo (Florida, USA), and 712 Rachel Foster at NHM for assistance with sample processing.

713

714

715	References
716	
717	Adlard, R.D., Nolan, M.J., 2015. Elucidating the life cycle of Marteilia sydneyi, the aetiological
718	agent of QX disease in the Sydney rock oyster (Saccostrea glomerata). Int. J. Parasitol. 45,
719	419-426.
720	
721	Anderson, T.J., Lester, R.J.G., 1992. Sporulation of Marteilioides branchialis N. sp. (Paramyxea) in
722	the Sydney Rock Oyster, Saccostrea commercialis: An Electron Microscope Study. J.
723	Protozool., 39, 502-508.
724	9
725	Arzul, I., Chollet, B., Boyer, S., Bonnet, D., Gaillard, J., Baldi, Y., Robert, M., Joly, J-P., Garcia, C.,
726	Bouchoucha, M., 2014. Contribution to the understanding of the cycle of the protozoan
727	parasite Marteilia refringens. Parasitology 141, 227-40.
728	
729	Audemard, C., Le Roux, F., Barnaud, A., Collins, C., Sautour, B., Sauriau, P-G., de Montaudouin,
730	X., Coustau, C., Combes, C., Berthe, F., 2001. Needle in a haystack: involvement of the
731	copepod Paracartia grani in the life-cycle of the oyster pathogen Marteilia refringens.
732	Parasitology 124, 315-323.
733	
734	Bass, D., Chao, E.E.Y., Nikolaev, S., Yabuki, A., Ishida, K-i., Berney, C., Pakzad, U., Wylezich, C.,
735	Cavalier-Smith, T., 2009. Phylogeny of novel naked filose and reticulose Cercozoa:
736	Granofilosea cl. n. and Proteomyxidea revised. Protist 160, 75–109.
737	
738	Bass, D., Boenigk, J. 2010. Everything is Everywhere: a 21 <sup>st</sup> Century De-/Reconstruction. In:
739	Fontaneto, D. (Ed.), Biogeography of Microscopic Organisms: Is Everything Everywhere?
740	The Systematics Association, Cambridge University Press, UK, pp. 88-110.
741	
742	Bass, D., Stentiford, G.D., Littlewood, D.T.J., Hartikainen, H., 2015. Diverse applications of
743	environmental DNA methods in parasitology. Trends Parasitol. 31, 499-513.

744	
745	Berthe, F.C.J., Pernas, M., Zerabib, M., Haffner, P., Thébault, A., Figueras, A.J., 1998.
746	Experimental transmission of Marteilia refringens with special consideration of its life cycle.
747	Dis. Aquat. Org. 34, 135-144.
748	
749	Berthe, F.C.J., Le Roux, F., Peyretaillade, E., Peyret, P., Rodriguez, D., Gouy, M., Vivarès, C.P.,
750	2000. Phylogenetic analysis of the Small Subunit Ribosomal RNA of Marteilia refringens
751	Validates the Existance of Phylum Paramyxea (Desportes and Perkins, 1990). J. Eukaryot.
752	Microbiol. 47, 288-293.
753	9
754	Berthe, F.C.J., Le Roux, F., Adlard, R.D., Figueras, A., 2004. Marteiliosis in molluscs: A review.
755	Aquat. Living Resour. 17, 433–448.
756	
757	Bhaby, S., Belhsen, O.K., Errhif, A., Tojo, N., 2013. Seasonal dynamics of parasites on
758	Mediterranean mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis and ecological determinants of the
759	infections in Southern Alboran Area, Morocco. Int. J. Parasitol. Res. 5, 116-121.
760	
761	Bignell, J.P., Stentiford, G.D., Taylor, N.G.H., Lyons, B.P., 2011. Histopathology of mussels
762	(Mytilus sp.) from the Tamar estuary, UK. Mar. Environ. Res. 72, 25-32.
763	
764	Boenigk, J., Ereshefsky, M., Hoef-Emden, K., Mallet, J., Bass, D., 2012. Concepts in protistology:
765	species definitions and boundaries. Eur. J. Protistol. 48, 96-102.
766	
767	Boyer, S., Chollet, B., Bonnet, D., Arzul, I., 2013. New evidence for the involvement of Paracartia
768	grani (Copepoda, Calanoida) in the life cycle of Marteilia refringens (Paramyxea). Int. J.
769	Parasitol. 43, 1089-1099.
770	
771	Carella, F., Aceto, S., Marrone, R., Maiolino, P., De Vico, G., 2010. Marteilia refringens infection in
772	cultured and natural beds of mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) along the Campanian coast

773	(South of Italy): first observation. EAFP Bull. 30, 189-196.
774	
775	Carrasco, N., Lópes-Flores, I., Alcaraz, M., Furones, M.D., Berthe, F.C.J., Arzul, A., 2007a. First
776	record of a Marteilia parasite (Paramyxea) in zooplankton populations from a natural
777	estuarine environment. Aquaculture 269, 63-70.
778	
779	Carrasco, N., Lópes-Flores, I., Alcaraz, M., Furones, M.D., Berthe, F.C.J., Arzul, I., 2007b.
780	Dynamics of the parasite Marteilia refringens (Paramyxea) in Mytilus galloprovincialis and
781 782	zooplankton populations in Alfacs Bay (Catalonia, Spain). Parasitology 134, 1541-1550.
782	Carrasco N Arzul I Berthe E.C.I. Eurones M.D. 2008 <i>In situ</i> hybridization detection of initial
781	infortive stages of Martellia refringens (Paramyyea) in its best Mutilus galleprovincialis.
704	
785	FISH. DIS. 31, 153-157.
780	
787	Carrasco N. P., Andree K.B., Lacuesta B., Roque A., Rodgers C. and Furones M.D., 2012.
788	Molecular characterization of the <i>Marteilia</i> parasite infecting the common edible cockle
789	Cerastoderma edule in the Spanish Mediterranean coast: A new Marteilia species affecting
790	bivalves in Europe? Aquaculture 324-325, 20-26.
791	
792	Carrasco N., Hine, P.M., Durfort M., Andree K.B., Malchus N., Lacuesta B., González M., Roque A.,
793	Rodgers C., Furones M.D., 2013. Marteilia cochillia sp. nov., a new Marteilia species
794	affecting the edible cockle Cerastoderma edule in European waters. Aquaculture 412-413,
795	223-230.
796	
797	Carrasco, N., Green, T., Itoh, N., 2015. Marteilia spp. parasites in bivalves: A revision of recent
798	studies. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 131, 43-57.
799	
800	Cavalier-Smith T., Chao E.E.Y., 2003a, Phylogeny of Choanozoa, Apusozoa, and other protozoa
801	and early eukaryote megaevolution. J. Mol. Evol. 56, 540-563.

802	
803	Cavalier-Smith, T., Chao E.E.Y., 2003b, Phylogeny and classification of phylum Cercozoa
804	(Protozoa). Protist 154, 341-358.
805	
806	Chatton, E., 1911. Sur une Cnidosporidie sans cnidoblaste (Paramyxa paradoxa n. g., n. sp.). C.
807 808	R. Acad. Sc. Paris 152, 631-633
809	Comps, M., Grizel, H., Tige, G., Duthoit, J-L., 1975. Parasites nouveaux de la glande digestive des
810	Mollusques marins Mytilus edulis L. et Cardium edule L. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 281, 179.
811	5
812	Comps, M., 1976. Marteilia lengehi n. sp. parasite de l'huitre Crassostrea cucullata Born. Rev.
813	Trav. Inst. Pêches marit. 40, 347-349.
814	
815	Comps, M., Grizel, H., Papayanni, Y., 1982. Infection parasitaire causée par Marteilia maurini sp.
816	n. chez la moule Mytilus galloprovincialis. J. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer 1982/F, 24, 1-3
817	
818	Comps, M., 1983. Etude morphologique de Marteilia christenseni sp. n., parasite du Lavignon
819	Scrobicularia piperata P. (Mollusque Pélécypode). Rev. Trav. Inst. Pêches Marit. 47, 99-
820	104.
821	
822	Desportes, I., Ginsburger-Vogel, T., 1977. Ultrastructure du centriole de Marteilia sp., protiste
823	parasite d'Orchestia gammarellus (Pallus) (Crustacea, Amphipod). Protistologica 13: 607-
824	610.
825	
826	Desportes, I., Lom, J., 1981. Affinitiés de Paramyxa paradoxa Chatton 1911, parasite de
827	Poecilochaetus serpens (Annélide Polychaete) avec les Marteiliidae Sprague, parasites
828	d'Huîtres et du Crustacé Orchestia gammarellus (Pallus). C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 152: 627-
829	632.
830	

831	Desportes I., Perkins F.O., 1990, Phylum Paramyxea. In: L. Margulis, J.O. Corliss, M. Melkonian,
832	D.J. Chapman (Eds.), Handbook of Protoctista. Jones and Bartlett Publishing, Boston, USA,
833	pp. 30-35.
834	
835	Edgcomb,V., Orsi,W., Bunge,J., Jeon,S., Christen,R., Leslin,C., Holder,M., Taylor,G.T., Suarez,P.,
836	Varela, R. and Epstein, S., 2011. Protistan microbial observatory in the Cariaco Basin,
837	Caribbean. I. Pyrosequencing vs Sanger insights into species richness. ISME J 5(8), 1344-
838	1356.
839	
840	Elgharsalli, R., Aloui-Bejaoui, N., Salah, H., Chollet, B., Joly, J-P., Robert, M., Couraleau, Y., Arzul,
841	I., 2013. Characterisation of the protozoan parasite Marteilia refringens infecting the dwarf
842	oyster Ostrea stentina in Tunisia. J. Inv. Pathol. 112, 175-183.
843	
844	Feist S.W., Hine M. S., Bateman K.S., Stentiford G.D. and Longshaw M., 2009. Paramarteilia
845	canceri sp. n. (Cercozoa) in the European edible crab (Cancer pagurus) with a proposal for
846	the revision of the order Paramyxida Chatton, 1911. Folia Parasit. 56, 73–85.
847	
848	Geiger, S.P., Arnold, W.S., Stephenson, S., Fischer, K., 2015. Calico scallop Argopecten gibbus
849	abundance on the Cape Canaveral bed and on Florida's Gulf of Mexico shelf. Mar. Coast.
850	Fish. 7, 497-513.
851	
852	Ginsburger-Vogel, T., Desportes, I., Zerbib, C., 1976. Présence chez l'amphipode Orchestia
853	gammarellus (Pallas). d'un protiste parasite, ses affinités avec Marteilia refringens agent de
854	l'épizootie de l'huître plate. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 283, 939-942.
855	
856	Ginsburger-Vogel T., Desportes I., 1979. Étude ultrastructurale de la sporulation de Paramarteilia
857	orchestiae gen. n., sp. N., parasite de l'amphipode Orchestia gammarellus (Pallus). J.
858	Protozool. 26, 390-403
859	

860	Ginsburger-Vogel, T., 1991, Intersexuality in Orchestia mediterranea Costa, 1853, and Orchestia
061	
801	aestuarensis Wildish, 1987 (Amphipoda): A consequence of hybridization of parasitic
862	infestation? J. Crust. Biol. 11, 530-539.
863	
864	Gombac, M., Kušar, D., Ocepek, M., Pogačnik, M., Arzul, I., Couraleau, Y., Jenčič, V., 2014.
865 866	Marteiliosis in mussels: a rare disease? J. Fish Dis. 37, 805-814.
867	Grijalva-Chon, J.M., Castro-Longoria, R., Enriquez-Espinosa, T.L., Maeda-Martinez, A.N.,
868	Mendoza-Cano, F., 2015. Molecular evidence of the protozoan parasite Marteilia refringens
869	in Crassostrea gigas and Crassostrea corteziensis from the Gulf of California. Lat. Am. J.
870	Aquat. Res. 43, 776-780.
871	
872	Grizel, H., Comps, M., Bonami, J.R., Cousserans, F., Duthoit, J.L., Le Pennec, M.A., 1974.
873	Recherche sur l'agent de le maladie de la glande digestive de Ostrea edulis Linné. Science
874	et Peche. Bull. Inst. Pêches Marit. 240, 7-30.
875	
876	Hartikainen, H., Ashford, O.S., Berney, C., Okamura, B., Feist, S.W., Baker-Austin, C., Stentiford
877	G.D., Bass, D., 2014a. Lineage-specific molecular probing reveals novel diversity and
878	ecological partitioning of haplosporidians. ISME J 8, 177-186.
879	
880	Hartikainen, H., Stentiford, G.D., Bateman, K.S., Berney, C., Feist, S.W., Longshaw, M., Okamura,
881	B., Stone, D., Ward, G., Wood, C., Bass, D., 2014b. Mikrocytids are a broadly distributed
882	and divergent radiation of parasites in aquatic invertebrates. Curr. Biol. 24, 807-812.
883	
884	Itoh, N., Oda, T., Yoshinaga, T., Ogawa, K., 2003. Isolation and 18S ribosomal DNA gene
885	sequences of Marteilioides chungmuensis (Paramyxea), an ovarian parasite of the Pacific
886	oyster Crassostrea gigas. Dis. Aquat. Org. 54, 163-169.
887	

888	Itoh, N., Komiyama, H., Ueki, N., Ogawa, K., 2004. Early developmental stages of a protozoan
889	parasite, Marteilioides chungmuensis (Paramyxea), the causative agent of the ovary
890	enlargement disease in the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas. Int. J. Parasitol. 34, 1129-
891	1135.
892	
893	Itoh, N., Momoyama, K., Ogawa, K., 2005. First report of three protozoan parasites (a
894	haplosporidian, Marteilia sp. and Marteilioides sp.) from the Manila clam, Venerupis (=
895	Ruditapes) philippinarum in Japan. J. Inv. Path. 88, 201-206.
896	
897	Itoh N., Yamamoto T., Kang H.S., Choi K.S., Green T.J., Carrasco, N., Awaji M. and Chow, S., 2014.
898	A novel paramyxean parasite, Marteilia granula sp. nov.(Cercozoa), from the digestive gland
899	of Manila clam, Ruditapes philippinarum, in Japan. J. Fish Pathol. 49, 181-193.
900	
901	Kang, C-K., Park, M-S., Lee, P-L., Choi, W-J., Lee, W-C., 2000. Seasonal variations in condition,
902	reproductive activity, and biochemical composition of the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas
903	(Thunberg), in suspended culture in two coastal bays of Korea. J. Shellfish Res. 19, 771-
904	778.
905	
906	Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
907	improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772-780.
908	
909	Larsson, J.I.R., Køie, M., 2005. Ultrastructural study and description of Paramyxoides nephtys gen.
910	n., sp. n. a parasite of Nephtys caeca (Fabricius, 1780)(Polychaeta:Nephtydae). Acta
911	Protozool. 44, 175-187.
912	Y Contraction of the second seco
913	Lee, A.K., Cho, B-Y., Lee, S-J., Kang, J-Y., Jeong, H.D., Huh, S.H., 2001. Histopathological
914	lesions of Manila clam, Tapes philippinarum, from Hadong and Namhae coastal areas of
915	Korea. Aquaculture 201, 199-209.
916	

917	Lópes-Flores, I., Robles, F., Valencia, J.M., Grau, A., Villalba, A., de la Herrán, R., Garrido-Ramos,
918	M.A., Ruiz-Rejón, C., Ruiz-Rejón, M., Navas, J.I., 2008a. Detection of Marteilia refringens
919	using nested PCR and in situ hybridisation in Chamelea gallina from the Balearic Islands
920	(Spain). Dis. Aquat. Org. 82, 79-87.
921	
922	Lópes-Flores, I., Garrido-Ramos, M.A., de le Herran, R., Ruiz-Rejón, C, Ruiz-Rejón, M., Navas,
923	J.I., 2008b. Identification of Marteilia refringens infecting the razor clam Solen marginatus
924 925	by PCR and <i>in situ</i> hybridisation. Mol. Cell. Probes 22, 151-155.
926	Mover, M.A., Blake, N.J., Arnold, W.S., 1993, An Ascetosporan disease causing mass mortality in
927	the Atlantic calico scallop Argopecten gibbus (Linnaeus, 1758). J. Shellfish Res, 12, 305-
928	310.
929	
930	Neuhauser S., Kirchmair M., Bulman S., Bass D., 2014 Cross-kingdom host shifts of phytomyxid
931	parasites. BMC Evol. Biol. 14, 33.
932	
933	Ngo, T.T.T., Berthe, F.C.J., Choi, K-S., 2003. Prevalence and infection intensity of the ovarian
934	parasite Marteilioides chungmuensis during an annual reproductive cycle of the oyster
935	Crassostrea gigas. Dis. Aquat. Org. 56, 259-267.
936	
937	Nishiguchi, M.K., Doukakis, P., Egan, M., Kizirian, D., Phillips, A., Predini, L., Rosenbaum, H.C.,
938	Torres, E., Wyner, Y., DeSalle, R., Giribet, G., 2002. DNA Isolation Procecures. In: DeSalle,
939	R., Giribet, G., Wheeler, W.C. (Eds), Techniques in Molecular Systematics and Evolution.
940	Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, Switzerland, pp. 249-187.
941	
942	Pascual, S., Villalba, A., Abollo, E., Garci, M., González, A.F., Nombela, M., Posada, D., Guerra,
943	A., 2010. The mussel Xenostrobus secures: a well-established alien invader in the Ria de
944	Vido (Spain, NE Atlantic). Biol. Invasions 12, 2091-2103.
945	

946	Perkins, F.O., 1976. Ultrastructure of sporulation in the European flat oyster pathogen Marteilia
947	refringens – taxonomic implications. J Protozool. 27, 64-74.
948	
949	Perkins, F.O. & Wolf, P.H., 1976. Fine structure of Marteilia sydneyin. sp haplosporidian
950	pathogen of Australian oysters. J. Parasitol. 62, 528–538.
951	
952	Perkins, F.O., 1979. Cell structure of shellfish pathogens and hyperparasites in the genera
953	Minchinia, Urosporidium, Haplosporidium, and Marteilia – taxonomic implications. In:
954	Perkins F.O., (Ed.), Haplosporidian and Haplosporidian-like Diseases of Shellfish. Mar.
955	Fish. Rev. 41, 25-37.
956	
957	Poulin, R., Randhawa, H.S., 2015. Evolution of parasitism along convergent lines: from ecology to
958	genomics. Parasitology 142(Suppl 1), S6–S15.
959	
960	Ronquist F., Teslenko M., van der Mark P., Ayres D.L., Darling A., Höhna S., Larget B., Liu L.,
961	Suchard M.A., Huelsenbeck J.P., 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic
962	inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst. Biol. 61, 539-42.
963	
964	Rubio, A., Frances, J., Coad, P., Stubbs, J., Guise, K., 2013. The onset and termination of the QX
965	Disease window of infection in Sydney rock oyster (Saccostrea glomerata) cultivated in the
966	Hawkesbury River, NSW, Australia. J. Shellfish Res. 32, 483-496.
967	
968	Ruiz, M., López, C., Lee, R-S., Rodríguez, R., Darriba, S., 2016. A novel paramyxean parasite,
969	Marteilia octospora n. sp. (Cercozoa) infecting the grooved razor shell clam Solen
970	marginatus from Galicia (NW Spain). J. Inv. Path. 135, 34-42.
971	
972	Short, S., Guler, Y., Yang, G., Kille, P., Ford, A.T., 2012a. Paramyxean-microsporidian co-infection
973	in amphipods: is the consensus that Microsporidia can feminize their hosts presumptive?
974	Int. J. Parasitol. 42, 683-691.

975	
976	Short S., Yang G., Kille P., Ford A.T., 2012b. A widespread and distinctive form of amphipod
977	intersexuality not induced by known feminising parasites. Sex. Dev. 6, 320-324.
978	
979	Short, S., Yang, G., Guler, Y., Green, T., Etxabe, A., Kille, P., Ford, A.T., 2014. Crustacean
980	intersexuality is feminization without demasculinization: implications for environmental
981	toxicology. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 13520-13529.
982	
983	Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML Version 8: A tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of
984	Large Phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312-1313.
985	
986	Stentiford, G.D., Feist, S.W., Stone, D.M., Peeler, E.L., Bass, D., 2014. Policy, phylogeny and the
987	parasite. Trends Parasitol. 30, 274-281.
988	
989	Taveekijakarn, P., Somsiri, T., Puttinaowarat, S., Tundavanitj, S., Chinabut, S., Nash, G., 2008.
990	Parasitic Fauna of Rock Oyster (Saccostrea forskali) cultured in Thailand. In: Bondad-
991	Reantaso, M.G., Mohan, C.V., Crumlish, M. and Subasinghe, R.P. (Eds). Diseases in
992	Asian Aquaculture VI. Fish Health Section, Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, Philippines.
993	pp.335-342.
994	
995	Tun, K.L., Shimizu, Y., Yamanoi, H., Yoshinaga, T., Ogawa, K., 2008. Seasonality in the infection
996	and invasion of Marteilioides chungmuensis in the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas. Dis.
997	Aquat. Org. 80, 157-165.
998	
999	Villalba, A., Mourelle, S.G., López, M.G., Carballal, M.J., Azevedo, C., 1993. Marteiliasis affecting
1000	cultured mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis of Galicia (NW Spain). I. Etiology, phases of the
1001	infection, and temporal and spatial variability in prevalence. Dis. Aquat. Org. 16, 61-72.
1002	

1003	Villalba, A., Iglesias, D., Ramilo, A., Darriba, S., Parada, J.M., No, E., Abollo, E., Molares, J.,
1004	Carballal, M.J., 2014. Cockle Cerastoderma edule fishery collapse in the Ría de Arousa
1005	(Galicia, NW Spain) associated with the protistan parasite Marteilia cochillia. Dis. Aquat.
1006	Org. 109, 55-80.
1007	
1008	Wang, Z., Lu, X., Liang, Y., Zheng, Z., 2012. A Marteilia-like parasite in blue mussels Mytilus edulis
1009	in China. J. Aquat. Anim. Health 24, 161-164.
1010	
1011	Yanin, L., Kang, H-S., Hong, H-K., Jeung, H-D., Kim, B-K., Le, T.C., Kim, Y-O., Choi, K-S., 2013.
1012	Mulecular and histological identification of Marteilioides infection in Suminoe Oyster
1013	Crassostrea ariakensis, Manila Clam Ruditapes philippinarum and Pacific Oyster
1014	Crassostrea gigas on the south coast of Korea. J. Invertebr. Path. 114, 277-284.
1015	
1016	Zrnčić, S., Le Roux, F., Oraić, D., Šoštarić, B., Berthe, F.C.J., 2001. First record of Marteilia sp. in
1017	mussels Mytilus galloprovincialis in Croatia. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 44, 143-148.
1018	
1019	

#### 1020 Figure and Table legends

1021

1022

1023 Fig. 1. 18S rDNA phylogenies of paramyxids and relevant GenBank accession numbers. (A) 1024 Phylogeny of paramyxids generated using Bayesian Inference. Values on nodes indicate Bayesian 1025 Posterior Probabilities (BPP); filled circles on nodes indicate maximal support (BPP = 1.0). 1026 Coloured/shaded squares indicate lineages detected in environmental samples, and circles those 1027 detected in host-associated (tissue or incubation) samples. Triangles indicate lineages for which 1028 only environmental sequences exist. Values in shapes indicate prevalence (number of positive 1029 samples/number of samples screened). Where no value is present, the lineage was not detected in 1030 this study. (B) More complete phylogeny of Marteilia and Eomarteilia with Paramarteilia orchestiae 1031 as an outgroup, generated using Bayesian Inference, showing Maximum Likelihood bootstrap and 1032 BPP values. 1033 Fig. 2. Transmission Electron Micrographs (TEMs) and supporting light micrographs (H&E), in-situ 1034 1035 hybridisation (ISH) of developing and fully matured morphological Paramyxa nephtys cells. (A) 1036 Developing P. nephtys cells detailing the third to sixth nuclei (N3 - N6) of a developing P. nephtys 1037 spore. TEM. Scale bar = 2 µm. (B) Longitudinal view of two mature P. nephtys spores, encased 1038 within spore sacs possessing striated projections (SP). TEM. Scale bar =  $2 \mu m$ . (C) Top-down 1039 view of P. nephtys spore sac terminal striated projection (SP) showing the projections form a single 1040 structure. TEM. Scale bar = 2 µm. (D) Longitudinal view of two mature P. nephtys spores. H&E 1041 Stain. Scale bar = 25 µm. (E) Longitudinal view of two mature P. nephtys spores. ISH. Scale bar = 1042 25 µm. (F) Transverse section of four coupled P. nephtys spores demonstrating the four 1043 tetracellular spore arrangement (C1 - C4). TEM. Scale bar =  $2 \mu m$ . (G) Transverse section of P. 1044 *nephtys* spores. H&E Stain. Scale bar = 25  $\mu$ m. (H) Transverse section of *P. nephtys* spores. Inset 1045 ISH. Scale bar =  $25 \,\mu$ m.

1046

Fig. 3. World map showing distribution of paramyxid clades. Coloured/shaded squares indicate
 detection of a lineage in environmental samples, and circles those detected in confirmed hosts or

1049 host-associated samples. Triangles indicate lineages for which only environmental sequences

1050 exist. Shapes labelled with asterisks represent lineages detected in this study. The inset shows the

- 1051 distribution of paramyxids within Europe.
- 1052

1053 Fig. 4. Light and electron micrographs depicting Paramarteilia orchestiae cells in Orchestia 1054 gammarellus tissue. (A) Multiple groups of P. orchestiae cells (arrows) within connective tissues 1055 surrounding ganglion of nerve cord. H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. (B) Intracellular P. orchestiae in 1056 oocytes (black arrow) and the connective tissue capsule surrounding the oocytes (white arrow). 1057 H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. (C). Cluster of P. orchestiae cells at different stages of development (\*) 1058 within the connective tissue of the leg. H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. Inset: in situ hybridisation (ISH) 1059 labelling of the *P. orchestiae* cells within the connective tissue of the leg (arrow). Scale bar = 10 1060 um. (D) Individual *P. orchestiae* cell showing the cell-within-cell arrangement of the parasite. 1061 Primary cell (\*) contains secondary cells (C2). H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. Inset: Transmission 1062 Electron Micrograph of *P. orchestiae* cell demonstrating primary cell (C1) and a secondary cell 1063 (C2) containing nucleus (N2). Scale bar =  $2 \mu m$ .

1064

1065 Fig. 5. Paramarteilia canceri infecting edible crab (Cancer pagurus) tissues and Paramarteilia sp. 1066 infecting spider crab (Maja squinado) tissues. (A) Paramarteilia canceri infecting the connective 1067 tissue cells (arrows) within the haemal spaces of the hepatopancreas. Edible crab. H&E. Scale bar 1068 = 25 µm. Inset: in situ hybridisation (ISH) labelling the P. canceri cells within the connective tissues 1069 in the hepatopancreas. Edible crab. Scale bar =  $25 \mu m$ . (B) Paramarteilia sp. within the 1070 hepatopancreatic tubule epithelial cells (arrows). Spider crab. H&E. Scale bar = 25 µm. Inset: ISH 1071 labelling of Paramarteilia sp. (arrow) at the base of the hepatopancreatic tubule epithelium (\*). 1072 Spider crab. Scale bar = 10 µm. (C) Transmission electron micrograph (TEM) of P. canceri 1073 infecting the connective tissue cells within the heart detailing the cell-within-cell arrangement of the 1074 parasite. Primary cell (C1) contains secondary (C2) and tertiary (C3) cells, electron dense 1075 haplosporosomes (black arrows) and multivesicular bodies (white arrows). Edible crab. Scale bar = 1076 1 µm. (D) Paramarteilia canceri infecting the connective tissue cells (arrow) within the heart. 1077 Edible crab. H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. Inset: ISH labelling of *P. canceri* infecting the connective

1078 tissue cells (arrow) within the heart. Edible crab. Scale bar = 10 µm. (E) Intracellular P. canceri 1079 infecting the ovary (black arrow) and the connective tissues of the ovary (white arrow). H&E. Edible 1080 crab. Scale bar = 10 µm. Inset: ISH labelling of *P. canceri* infecting the oocytes themselves (black 1081 arrow) and the connective tissues of the ovary (white arrow). Edible crab. Scale bar = 10  $\mu$ m. (F) 1082 TEM of P. canceri within a vitellogenic oocyte. The oocyte is almost completely filled with yolk 1083 globules (white arrow) the multicellular P. canceri parasites (black arrow) are clearly visible within 1084 the oocyte. Edible crab. Scale bar =  $2 \mu m$ . (G) Paramarteilia canceri infecting the testicular follicles 1085 (arrow). Edible crab. H&E. Scale bar = 10 µm. Inset: ISH labelling of *P.canceri* infecting the 1086 testicular follicles. Edible crab. Scale bar = 10 µm. (H) TEM of P. canceri within the testicular 1087 follicles. Parasite appears to be attached to the epithelium of the testicular follicle (arrow). Edible 1088 crab. Scale bar =  $2 \mu m$ . MAT

1089

1090

**Table 1.** Review of paramyxids known prior to this study for which sequence data and/or microscopy evidence unambiguously identifies the lineage identity to at least genus level. Where shown in bold, GenBank accession numbers are 18S sequences used in our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 1). Where not in bold, sequences are either identical duplicates, or a different region (Internal Transcribed Spacer 1 (ITS1), Intergenic Spacer (IGS) rDNA) from the same lineage, or in a few cases unambiguously identified (e.g. confirmed by subsequent sequencing). Bold geographical locations/citations represent findings confirmed by sequence data. Underlined entries indicate data generated in this study.

Parasite genus	Species	Host	Geographical Location	Citation	GenBank Accession
Paramyxa	Paramyxa nephtys	Nephtys caeca	Øresund, Denmark	Larsson and Koie,	KX259324 (partial 18S)
			Portland, UK	2005	
		Environmental (water)	<u>Tamar estuary, UK</u>	<u>Ward et al., 2016</u>	
	Paramyxa paradoxa	Poecilochaetus serpens	Banyuls-sur-Mer, France	Chatton 1911	
	<u>Paramyxa sp.</u>	<u>Mytilus edulis</u>	Tamar estuary, UK	Ward et al., 2016	KX259326 (partial 18S)
	<u>'Paramyxa' sp.</u>	Environmental (water)	Malaysian Borneo	Ward et al., 2016	KX259325 (partial 18S)
	<u>'Paramyxa' sp.</u>	<u>Ostrea edulis</u>	Tamar estuary, UK	Ward et al., 2016	KX259323 (partial 18S)
	<u>'Paramyxa' sp.</u>	<u>Mytilus edulis</u>	Tamar estuary, UK	Ward et al., 2016	KN259327 (partial 18S)
		<u>Ostrea edulis</u>			
Paramarteilia	Paramarteilia canceri	Cancer pagurus	Guernsey, UK	Feist et al., 2009	
			Weymouth and Portland,		
			UK		
			South Kimmeridge Bay, UK		
	Paramartellia	Orcnestia gammarellus	Taule-Penze, France	Ginsburger-vogei et	
	UICHESUAE			Mard at al 2016	
			Weymouth LIK	<u>waiu et al., 2010</u>	
		Echinogammarus	Inverkeithing, UK	Short et al., 2012a	JQ673484 (partial 18S)
		marinus	Portsmouth. UK	011011 01 all, 2012a	
		Environmental (mollusc	Weymouth and Tamar	Ward et al., 2016	
		and crustacean	estuary, UK		
		incubations)			
	Paramarteilia sp.	Environmental (Mytilus	<u>Tamar estuary, UK</u>	Ward et al., 2016	<u> KX259320 (partial 18S)</u>
		edulis incubation)			
Marteilioides	Marteilioides branchialis	Saccostrea glomerata	New South Wales, Australia	Anderson and Lester,	
				1992	
	Marteilioides	Crassostrea ariakensis	Okayama, Japan	Itoh et al., 2003	AB110795 (18S)
	cnungmuensis		Seomijn River, South	Yanın et al., 2013	GU132548(18S)
			Korea		

	Martailiai		Crassostrea gigas Crassostrea nippona Duditopos philippinarum	Tongyoung, South Korea Japan	Yanin et al., 2013 Itoh et al., 2004	GU132457(18S)
	Martelliol	ues sp.	Ruditapes prilippinarum	Korea	Yanin et al., 2001	GU132549 (18S)
Marteilia	Marteilia	christenseni	Scrobicularia piperata	Marennes-Oléron. France	Comps et al., 1983	
	Marteilia	cochillia	Cerastoderma edule	Ebro Delta, Catalonia, Spain Ria de Arousa, Galicia, Spain	Carrasco et al., 2013 Villalba et al., 2014	KF314809 (IGS) <b>KF278722 (18S)</b>
	Marteilia	lengehi	Saccostrea cucullata	Bandar-Lengeh, Iran Qeshm. Iran	Comps, 1976	
	Marteilia	octospora	Solen marginatus	Ría de Arousa, Galicia, Spain	Ruiz et al., 2016	KU641125 (partial 18S) KU641126 (ITS1)
	Marteilia	refringens	Ostrea edulis	Bassin d'Arcachon, France Île d'Oléron, France Corsica, France	Grizel et al., 1974 <b>Berthe et al., 2000</b> Pichot, 2002	AJ250699 (18S)
			Mytilus edulis	Brittany, France Brittany, France Tamar estuary, UK	Comps et al., 1975 Berthe et al., 2000 Bignell et al., 2011	AJ250699 (18S)
			Mytilus galloprovincialis	Venice, Italy Galicia, Spain Istrian Peninsula, Croatia Ebro Delta, Catalonia.	Comps et al., 1982 Villalba et al., 1993 Zrncic et al., 2001 Carrasco et al., 2008	
				Spain Campania, Italy	Carella et al., 2010 Arzul et al., 2014	AB534169-70 (ITS1)
				Corsica, France Slovene Adriatic Sea, Slovenia	Gombac et al., 2014	JQ898012-14 (ITS1)
			Ostrea stentina	Monastir Bay, Tunisia	Elgharsalli et al., 2013	JX119018-22 (IGS)
			Chamelea gallina	Bay of Palma, Mallorca, Spain	Lopes-Flores et al., 2008a	AM292652 (IGS)
			Solen marginatus	Huelva, Spain	Lopes-Flores et al., 2008b	AM748037-41 (IGS)
			Ruditapes decussatus Xenostrobus securis Paracartia grani	<b>Thau Lagoon, France</b> Galicia, Spain <b>Marennes-Oléron, France</b>	Boyer et al., 2013 Pascual et al., 2010 Audemard et al., 2001	
		C	Paracartia latisetosa	Diana Lagoon, Corsica, France	Arzul et al., 2014	

		ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT					
		(Crassostrea gigas) (Crassostrea corteziensis)	Sonora, Gulf of California, Mexico	Grijalva-Chon et al., 2015	JQ066723-4 (IGS) JQ066725-6 (IGS)		
		(Acartia clausi) (Acartia discaudata) (Acartia italica) (Euterpina acutifrons) (Oithona sp.)	Ebro Delta, Catalonia, Spain	Carrasco et al., 2007b	AM504139 (IGS) AM504140 (IGS) AM504141 (IGS) AM504137 (IGS) AM504138, AM504145 (IGS)		
		<u>Environmental (water,</u> sediment)	Tamar estuary, UK	Ward et al., 2016			
	Marteilia sydneyi	Saccostrea glomerata	Queensland, Australia <b>Queensland, Australia</b> <b>Pimpama River,</b>	Perkins and Wolf, 1976 <b>Kleeman and Adlard</b> ,	AF159248 (ITS1)		
			Queensland, Australia	2000 Itoh et al., 2014	AB823742 (18S)		
		Nephtys australiensis	Hawkesbury River, NSW, Australia	Adlard and Nolan, 2015			
	<i>Marteilia</i> sp. MC	Ruditapes philippinarum	South Korea	Kang et al., (unpublished)	AB823743 (18S)		
	<i>Marteilia</i> sp.	Mytilus edulis	China	Wang et al., 2012	KX259319		
	<u>Marteilia sp.</u>	<u>Environmental (Penaeus</u> hatchery tank water)	<u>Malaysian Borneo</u>	Ward et al., 2016	KX259318 (partial 18S)		
Eomarteilia	Eomarteilia granula	Ruditapes philippinarum	Kanagawa, Japan	Itoh et al., 2014	AB856587 (18S)		
	PARAM-1	<u>Environmental (water)</u>	<u>Gulf coast, Florida, USA</u>	Ward et al., 2016	KZ259322 (Partial 18S)		
	PARAM-2	Environmental (water)	Cariaco Basin, Venezuela	Edgcomb et al., 2011	GU824205 (18S)		
		Environmental (water)	Gulf coast, Florida, USA	<u>Ward et al., 2016</u>	KX259321 (Partial 18S)		









Δ C 















0.1 subs/site

#### Highlights

- Phylogeny of the Paramyxida confirms five genera: *Marteilia*, *Eomarteilia*, *Paramarteilia*, *Paramyxa*, and *Marteilioides* 

- Specific primers and environmental DNA approaches reveal novel diversity and distribution of paramyxids

- Paramyxids are parasites of diverse marine molluscs, crustaceans and polychaetes

- Paramyxa nephtys was identified in Nephtys caeca and the first 18S rDNA sequence reported for this genus

- Paramarteilia was identified in amphipods Orchestia, Echinogammarus, and crabs Cancer, Carcinus, Maja

MP