



**HAL**  
open science

## Phenotypical characterization of children with hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs

Mathias Cousin, Anca Chiriac, Nicolas Molinari, Pascal Demoly, Davide Caimmi

► **To cite this version:**

Mathias Cousin, Anca Chiriac, Nicolas Molinari, Pascal Demoly, Davide Caimmi. Phenotypical characterization of children with hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology*, 2016, 27 (7), pp.743-748. 10.1111/pai.12596 . hal-01361516

**HAL Id: hal-01361516**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01361516>**

Submitted on 7 Sep 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Phenotypical characterization of children with hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs**

2

3 **Authors:** Cousin Mathias, MD<sup>1</sup>, Chiriac Anca, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Molinari Nicolas, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Demoly Pascal,  
4 PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Caimmi Davide, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

5

6 **Affiliations:**

7 <sup>1</sup> Unité d'allergologie, Département de Pneumologie et Addictologie, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve,  
8 CHRU de Montpellier, France

9 <sup>2</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Paris 06, UMR-S 1136, IPLESP, Equipe EPAR, F-75013 Paris,  
10 France

11 <sup>3</sup> Département de Statistiques, IMAG UMR5149 S, CHRU de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

12

13 **Running title:** NSAIDs hypersensitivity in Pediatrics

14

15 **Corresponding Author:** Davide Caimmi, Service d'allergologie, CHRU de Montpellier, Hôpital  
16 Arnaud de Villeneuve, 371, Av. du Doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 Montpellier CEDEX 5, tel :  
17 +33630061134, fax : +33467330740, e-mail : [davide.caimmi@gmail.com](mailto:davide.caimmi@gmail.com)

18

19 **Word Count:** 2499 words

20 **4 Tables**

21 **Abstract**

22 Cousin M, Chiriac A, Molinari N, Demoly P, Caimmi D.

23

24 **Phenotypical characterization of children with hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs**

25 *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*

26

27 **Background.** Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the main cause of drug-induced  
28 hypersensitivity in children. Many classifications have been proposed, focusing on adults. So far, no  
29 large study has deeply investigated a pediatric cohort. The aim of the present study was to describe  
30 a population of NSAID hypersensitive patients reporting a reaction during their childhood, and to  
31 verify if it is possible to classify pediatric patients, following the EAACI/ENDA classification.

32 **Methods.** We conducted a historical-prospective study including patients evaluated from 1996 to  
33 2015 in the allergy unit of the Montpellier University Hospital. We included 635 patients. For each  
34 patient, we recorded clinical manifestations and possible co-morbidities, and tried to identify  
35 possible risk factors.

36 **Results.** NSAIDs hypersensitivity was diagnosed in 107 out of 635 patients (16.9%). In this group,  
37 43 patients (40.2%) could not be classified following the ENDA recommendations. The main  
38 discrepancies were on the patients' clinical manifestations and on their possible underlying diseases.  
39 We identified, on a multivariate analysis, some risk factors for NSAID hypersensitivity: chronic  
40 urticaria (OR 7.737, 3.375-18.296 95%CI), atopic status (OR 2.514, 1.504-4.364 95%CI) and  
41 allergic rhino-conjunctivitis (OR 1.799, 1.138-2.837 95%CI). On the basis of our results, we are  
42 proposing an adapted classification for NSAIDs hypersensitivity in children.

43 **Conclusions.** The current ENDA classification does not seem to be adapted for pediatric patients; a  
44 modified version does. Our study could help allergists better understand the differences between  
45 adults and children in developing hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs but further large-scale  
46 prospective longitudinal analyses are required to validate this new classification.

47

48 **Key Words:** drug hypersensitivity, NSAIDs, children, classification, drug allergy.

49

50 The Authors have no conflict of interest to declare for the present paper.

51 **Introduction**

52 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are widely used to treat fever and pain in  
53 children. Nevertheless, they are currently considered as the first cause of drug-induced  
54 hypersensitivity (HS) reactions in children (1, 2). Two different mechanisms are able to elicit HS  
55 reactions: immunological mechanisms responsible for allergic HS reactions (such as IgE and T-cells  
56 mediated reactions); and non-immunologic ones (mainly due to cyclooxygenases inhibition).  
57 Several classifications have been proposed for NSAIDs HS, but no large study has so far tried to  
58 classify NSAIDs HS focusing only on a pediatric population.

59 In 2001, Stevenson classified patients according to their clinical history but this classification did  
60 not take into account the reactions recorded during drug provocation tests (DPTs) (3). Thereafter,  
61 Quiralte proposed a classification with seven groups according to the patient's underlying disease  
62 (4). The most recent NSAIDs HS classification highlighted five groups of patients, and has been  
63 published by the European Network for Drug Allergy (ENDA) group, an EAACI drug allergy  
64 Interest Group (5). This classification was based on the authors' expertise in hypersensitivity  
65 reactions to NSAIDs. The publication does not differentiate between age groups, and it has to be  
66 underlined that most of the expertise comes from adolescents and adults. Since its publication,  
67 clinical observations and literature data (6) reported that some patients diagnosed with NSAIDs HS  
68 could not be classified into the possible phenotypes described by the ENDA group (5). Moreover,  
69 while the Widal's syndrome (or Samter's triad) is used as a reference phenotype for the first group  
70 of the ENDA classification, "NSAID's-exacerbated respiratory disease", it is known that such a  
71 syndrome has never been described before the age of 13 (7). In addition, a recent paper (1) reported  
72 that the association of cutaneous and respiratory symptoms is frequently observed in children with  
73 NSAIDs HS, which is a combination of different phenotypes proposed by the current classification,  
74 but is not highlighted as a single group.

75 Considering the hypothesis that HS phenotypes may differ between the adult and pediatric  
76 population, the aim of the present study was to describe a population of children with a diagnosis of

77 NSAIDs HS, and to try to classify them on the basis of the current ENDA recommendation. We also  
78 tried to identify possible risk factors for NSAIDs HS in children, and to propose a pediatric version  
79 of the ENDA classification.

80

81

## 82 **Methods**

83

### 84 *Patients included*

85 We conducted a historical-prospective study including all patients evaluated at the allergy unit of  
86 the University Hospital of Montpellier (France) for a clinical history of possible NSAIDs HS, which  
87 occurred during the pediatric age, from September 1996 to July 2015, and who underwent an oral  
88 DPT. Following the diagnostic work-up recommendations for NSAIDs HS (8), we classified  
89 patients on the basis of the clinical reaction reported and the one recorded at the time of the DPT;  
90 the different chemical classes of NSAIDs involved in their reaction; the presence of an underlying  
91 chronic disease (asthma, chronic urticaria, chronic rhinosinusitis, atopy as defined by the presence  
92 of at least one positive skin prick test to the common aeroallergens of Montpellier area); the delay  
93 of reaction; and the suspected HS mechanism.

94 Clinical data were collected through the validated ENDA drug allergy questionnaire (9). DPTs were  
95 performed under strict hospital surveillance at least four weeks after the last patient's HS reaction,  
96 according to the unit's protocol (5, 10). Patients had not been taking any H1-antihistamines or other  
97 drug possibly affecting the results of the test. Patients on  $\beta$ -blockers were asked to visit their  
98 specialist to stop the drug 2 days prior to the test. Administration was single-blinded and performed  
99 on the ward by a physician with full resuscitation back up. In case of a possible positive DPT,  
100 another molecule would be tested to find a safe alternative for the patient, at least 4 to 6 weeks after  
101 the previous DPT. All data were collected in the Drug Allergy and Hypersensitivity Database  
102 (DAHD<sup>®</sup>) using FileMaker Pro 13 software.

103 We excluded all patients who had experienced severe life-threatening skin reactions (toxic  
104 epidermal necrolysis and Stevens Johnson syndrome), drug-induced autoimmune diseases or severe  
105 organ involvements (such as cytopenias, hepatitis, DRESS-Drug Reaction with Eosinophilia and  
106 Systemic Symptoms), since DPTs are contra-indicated for such reactions. All patients refusing  
107 DPTs were excluded as well. Written consent was obtained before the test after informing the  
108 patients and the parents of children.

109

### 110 ***Clinical data***

111 For each patient, we looked for the following possible clinical entities, occurring both at the time of  
112 the reaction and of the DPT: urticaria, angioedema (AO), maculopapular eruption, fixed drug  
113 eruption, rhinitis, conjunctivitis, bronchospasm (defined by dyspnea associated with cough and/or  
114 wheezing and/or a fall of 20% of the patient's basal FEV1 value), ENT-related dyspnea,  
115 psychogenic dyspnea (diagnosis per exclusionem), gastrointestinal signs, anaphylaxis. Anaphylaxis  
116 was defined as a rapid allergic response involving two different organs with or without associated  
117 hypotension (11). Laryngeal angioedema associated dyspnea was considered as a muco-cutaneous  
118 symptom.

119 We tested children with the culprit drug and, in case of a positive DPT, patients were tested, at least  
120 4 weeks after the previous DPT, with a different NSAID, belonging to another chemical subgroup.  
121 All together, 14 different NSAIDs have been tested, belonging to different chemical subgroups:  
122 acetylsalicylic acid, celocoxib, diclofenac, etoricoxib, ibuprofen, ketoprofen, meloxicam,  
123 metamizole, niflumic acid, nimesulide, piroxicam, trofecoxib, tiaprofenic acid.  
124 Paracetamol/acetaminophen was included as well in the list of the 14 tested drugs.

125

### 126 ***Patients' classification***

127 In order to evaluate the accuracy of the ENDA classification (Table 1) in our pediatric cohort, we  
128 tried to attribute each patient to the different ENDA groups, numbered from 1 to 5:

- 129 1. NSAIDs-exacerbated respiratory disease
- 130 2. NSAIDs-exacerbated cutaneous disease
- 131 3. NSAIDs-induced urticaria/angioedema
- 132 4. Single-NSAID-induced urticaria/angioedema or anaphylaxis
- 133 5. Single-NSAID-induced delayed reactions

134 Whenever a phenotype did not strictly correspond to one of the 5 groups, the patient was classified  
135 as “divergent” according to the following criteria:

- 136 - the patient was included in the group that seemed the closest to his phenotype;
- 137 - we used a letter to highlight for which feature of the group the patient did not properly fit in  
138 the ENDA classification: A – clinical manifestations; B – delay of the reaction; C –  
139 underlying disease; D – cross-reactivity (for example, an asthmatic patient who developed  
140 urticaria to several NSAIDs was classified as 3C);
- 141 - a patient divergent for more than one feature of the group was considered as “not possible to  
142 classify”.

143

#### 144 *Statistical analysis*

145 Categorical data were expressed in frequencies and percent, continuous data in median and  
146 quartiles. To evaluate possible risk factors, we analyzed crude and adjusted odds ration, with a 95%  
147 confidence interval. A multivariate analysis was conducted using a logistic regression model to  
148 determine independent risk factors of NSAIDs, in which we included all variables associated with a  
149 p value below 0.20 in the univariate analysis. Then, a stepwise procedure allowed obtaining the  
150 final multivariate model. We considered as statistically significant a p-value < 0.05.

151

152

## 153 **Results**

### 154 *Patients’ characteristics, DPT and symptoms*

155 635 patients fulfilled our inclusion criteria. In 107 out of 635 (16.9%) a reaction was recorded  
156 through the DPT, and a diagnosis of NSAIDs HS was reached. 62 out of 107 patients were female  
157 (57.9%). The characteristics of the age distribution of our patients are shown in Table 2.

158 In the group of the 107 diagnosed patients, 77 (72.0%) were atopic and 47 (43.9%) were sensitized  
159 to house dust mites. We are missing these data in 8 patients, that were therefore considered as non-  
160 atopic nor sensitized to mites. Also, 19 patients (17.8%) suffered from chronic urticaria, 45 (42.1%)  
161 reported symptoms of allergic rhino-conjunctivitis, and 37 (34.6%) were asthmatic. Two of the  
162 asthmatic patients, who were nevertheless adults at the time of their first DPT, presented with nasal  
163 polyposis as well. Other allergic diseases included atopic dermatitis (7 patients, 6.5%), food allergy  
164 (6 patients, 5.6%), chronic rhino-sinusitis (2 patients, 1.9%), other drug allergies (2 patients, 1.9%),  
165 and hymenoptera venom allergy (1 patient, 0.9%).

166 On a multivariate analysis, four risk factors were highlighted for NSAIDs HS: urticaria (adjusted  
167 OR 7.737, 3.375-18.296, 95%CI, *p-value* <0.001), atopy (adjusted OR 2.514, 1.504-4.364 95%CI,  
168 *p-value* <0.001), allergic rhino-conjunctivitis (adjusted OR 1.799, 1.138-2.837 95%CI, *p-value*  
169 0.011), and the age at the first reaction (adjusted OR 1.083, 1.033-1.137 95%CI, *p-value* <0.001).

170 In the group of the 107 patients, we ran a total of 192 DPT: 46 patients (43.0%) only took one, and  
171 they did not come back to find a safe alternative, 44 (41.1%) underwent 2 DPT, 12 patients (11.2%)  
172 were tested for 3 different molecules, 3 (2.8%) for 4, and 2 patients (1.9%) came back 5 times to be  
173 tested.

174 Overall clinical symptoms presented by patients during their reported reaction and during DPT  
175 were: urticaria/angioedema (104 patients, 97.2%), bronchospasm (33 patients, 30.8%),  
176 conjunctivitis (31 patients, 29.0%), anaphylaxis (27 patients, 25.2%), ENT-related dyspnea (27  
177 patients, 25.2%), maculopapular eruption (11 patients, 10.3%), rhinitis (8 patients, 7.5%), and  
178 gastro-intestinal symptoms (1 patient, 0.9%).

179

180 ***Classification of hypersensitive patients, according to the ENDA classification***



181 Among the 107 patients with NSAIDs HS, 43 patients (40.2%) could not be completely classified in  
182 the current ENDA classification and were divergent for one criterion, while 4 patients (3.7%) were  
183 considered as “not possible to classify” (divergent for more than one criterion), as shown in Table 3.  
184 Patients were divergent mainly for the feature A, “clinical manifestation” and C, “underlying  
185 disease”. No patient was divergent for the feature B, “delay of reaction”. No patient strictly  
186 corresponded to the group 1, “NSAIDs-exacerbated respiratory disease” of the ENDA classification  
187 (Table 3).

188 Among the 22 patients belonging to the group 4, “single-NSAID-induced urticaria/angioedema or  
189 anaphylaxis”, the main culprit drugs were paracetamol/acetaminophen (7 patients, 31.8% of the  
190 group) and ibuprofene (7 patients, 31.8% of the group). All these patients had a negative DPT to at  
191 least one other NSAID. The rest of the results are shown in Table 3.

192

### 193 *New possible pediatric classification*

194 On the basis of our results, we proposed a new classification in three groups, strictly derived from  
195 the ENDA one. This classification remains focused on the pathophysiologic HS mechanism, but we  
196 also included, as a feature, the impact of risk factors (urticarial, atopy, allergic rhino-conjunctivitis,  
197 and age at first reaction). We excluded the presence of underlying diseases (which could occur later  
198 in life), and modified the possible clinical manifestations (Table 4).

199 In this new classification, all our patients could be classified and no patient was divergent. We  
200 included 91 patients (85.1%) in the group I, “non-allergic NSAIDs hypersensitivity”, 15 patients  
201 (14.0%) in the group II, “single NSAID-induced urticarial/angioedema/anaphylaxis”, and 1 patient  
202 (0.9%) in the group III, “single NSAID-induced delayed reactions”. The group I contains actually  
203 groups 1 through 3 of the ENDA classification, and it has to be considered as a transitory group,  
204 since patients may develop underlying chronic diseases throughout age. Even though a recent paper  
205 did not highlight a correlation between NSAID-induced urticaria and evolution toward chronic  
206 urticaria (12), these data refer to adult patients over a 12-years follow-up, and might differ from

207 what we might record in a strictly pediatric population. Therefore, so far, the evolution of the  
208 immune system and the possible appearance of chronic diseases seem not to allow, in children, a  
209 differentiation between the first 3 ENDA groups.

210

211

## 212 **Discussion**

213 Drug hypersensitivity is acquiring more and more importance in patients' everyday life. The  
214 EAACI and the ENDA group in particular have proposed several protocols and tools to guide  
215 allergists to perform a correct diagnosis and a complete allergy work-up in patients presenting with  
216 a suspected hypersensitivity reaction to drugs. As for NSAIDs, a classification has been proposed in  
217 2013, but it focused on adult populations. The fact that it is now clear that NSAIDs HS is a key  
218 issue in the pediatric population as well, made it imperative to evaluate the appropriateness of such  
219 a classification in this group of patients.

220 To our knowledge, we described the largest pediatric cohort with a diagnosis of NSAIDs  
221 hypersensitivity. The first aspect highlighted by our results is that the ENDA classification did not  
222 allow us to classify more than 40% of the patients, and it seems therefore clear that the current  
223 recommendations are not suitable for the pediatric population.

224 According to a recent pediatric study by Cavkaytar et al. (13), who analyzed a cohort of 30 NSAIDs  
225 hypersensitive patients, phenotypes are different in children and adults and pediatric subjects cannot  
226 completely be classified in the latest classifications (5, 6). In the same study, 27% of patients were  
227 diagnosed as NSAID hypersensitive and only one patient was classified in the group "NSAIDs-  
228 exacerbated respiratory disease" of ENDA classification (13). Our results are similar to those  
229 highlighted by Cavkaytar et al: in fact, the main problem we found in our cohort was related to the  
230 first group proposed by ENDA, "NSAIDs-exacerbated respiratory disease", since none of our  
231 patients could perfectly fit in this group. Indeed, this group was initially created for adults suffering  
232 from late onset asthma, which is frequently associated with chronic rhino-sinusitis and nasal

233 polyposis and with a possible progression towards severe asthma (recurrent respiratory  
234 exacerbations and corticosteroid-dependence) (14, 15).

235 Considering that NSAIDs HS, and drug HS in general, tends to persist over the years, we could  
236 speculate that the evolution of children's immune system and the possible appearance of new and  
237 persistent underlying diseases make it difficult to include patients in this group, even though the  
238 same patients may belong to it once grown. Nevertheless, in a pediatric classification, it seems  
239 inappropriate to classify children according to their underlying disease.

240 An interesting aspect of our results is that most of our patients (97.2%) reacted to NSAIDs  
241 presenting urticaria and/or angioedema as a symptom. Therefore, mucocutaneous symptoms are not  
242 specific during the pediatric age and not sufficient to differentiate and classify patients. Also, only  
243 very few patients (1.9%) presented isolated bronchospasm as a symptom, and such a result is  
244 confirmed by other authors (2, 16).

245 In the literature, physical exercise is often considered as a risk factor to develop an HS reaction to  
246 NSAIDs; also, NSAIDs are known as a potential trigger for developing food allergy reactions (1).  
247 However, recent NSAIDs HS classification did not include these risk factors. We did not find a  
248 correlation between food allergy and NSAIDs HS, and we did not have patients who presented a  
249 pediatric clinical history in which physical exercise was related to the appearance of HS symptoms  
250 after NSAID intake (data not shown). In the literature, a significant association has been found  
251 between house dust mites' sensitization and NSAIDs hypersensitivity (14). In our study, atopy (but  
252 not particularly house dust mites sensitization), urticaria, allergic rhino-conjunctivitis, and an early  
253 reaction in life were found as significant risk factors for hypersensitivity reactions to NSAIDs.

254 Taking into account all of these considerations, we proposed a new classification for NSAIDs HS in  
255 children, in which we included the presence of the previously mentioned risk factors, and we  
256 neglected the presence of possible underlying chronic diseases. This classification could actually be  
257 a helpful tool to understand the mechanisms leading to the HS reaction and to guide allergists in  
258 their work-up.

259 A limitation of this study was that we didn't perform any skin test for the patients who presented  
260 anaphylactic reaction or reaction to a single NSAID in their clinical history. Such data could help  
261 proving the possible IgE mediated mechanism. Nevertheless, skin tests are not standardized nor  
262 validated in clinical practice for NSAIDs and, more importantly, we preferred to use DPT as the  
263 gold standard to reach a diagnose of hypersensitivity reaction. Also, we did not test many patients  
264 with aspirin. This is due to the fact that such a drug is rarely prescribed in children, due to the risk  
265 of Reye's syndrome, and, therefore, a clinical approach to NSAIDs hypersensitivity in children  
266 cannot focus on such molecule, which, on the other hand, is often administered in the adult  
267 population. Another possible limitation of the present study concerns the diagnosis of rhino-sinusitis  
268 and nasal polyposis. Indeed, these diagnoses are mostly done in adult patients and require  
269 endoscopic and/or imaging techniques. Therefore, we could not exclude an underestimation of these  
270 diseases, even though, at least for nasal polyposis, such a diagnosis is rare during childhood and  
271 generally related to other diseases.

272 In conclusion, during childhood every child is exposed at least once to a NSAID, and there are no  
273 alternative, on a routine base, to treat fever and/or pain. An accurate classification of NSAIDs  
274 hypersensitive patients could help better understand the pathology of these reactions and guide the  
275 management of these patients since their early age. We believe that our study could help allergists  
276 better understand the differences between adults and children in developing HS reactions to  
277 NSAIDs and could indicate a possible way to modify the present classification.

278 **Bibliography**

- 279 1. Blanca-Lopez,N, Cornejo-García JA, Plaza-Serón MC et al. Hypersensitivity to nonsteroidal  
280 anti-inflammatory drugs in children and adolescents: cross-Intolerance reactions. *J Investig*  
281 *Allergol Clin Immunol* 2015; 25: 259-69.
- 282 2. Liew WK, Chiang WC, Goh AE. Paediatric anaphylaxis in a Singaporean children cohort:  
283 changing food allergy triggers over time. *Asia Pac Allergy* 2013; 3: 29-34.
- 284 3. Stevenson DD, Sanchez-Borges M, Szczeklik A. Classification of allergic and  
285 pseudoallergic reactions to drugs that inhibit cyclooxygenase enzymes. *Ann Allergy Asthma*  
286 *Immunol* 2001; 87: 177-80.
- 287 4. Quiralte J, Blanco C, Delgado J et al. Challenge-based clinical patterns of 223 Spanish  
288 patients with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory-drug-induced-reactions. *J Investig Allergol*  
289 *Clin Immunol* 2007; 17: 182-8.
- 290 5. Kowalski ML, Asero R, Bavbek S et al. Classification and practical approach to the  
291 diagnosis and management of hypersensitivity to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.  
292 *Allergy* 2013; 68: 1219-32.
- 293 6. Caimmi S, Caimmi D, Bousquet PJ, Demoly P. How can we better classify NSAID  
294 hypersensitivity reactions? – Validation from a large database. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol*  
295 2012; 159: 306-12.
- 296 7. Ameratunga R, Randall N, Dalziel S, Anderson BJ. Samter's triad in childhood: a warning  
297 for those prescribing NSAIDs. *Pediatr Anesth* 2013; 23: 757-9.
- 298 8. Kowalski, M. L. and J. S. Makowska. Seven steps to the diagnosis of NSAIDs  
299 hypersensitivity: how to apply a new classification in real practice? *Allergy Asthma*  
300 *Immunol Res* 2015; 7: 312-20.
- 301 9. Demoly P, Kropf R, Bircher A, Pichler WJ. Drug hypersensitivity: questionnaire. *Allergy*  
302 1999; 54: 999-1003.
- 303 10. Messaad D, Sahla H, Benahmed S, Godard P, Bousquet J, Demoly P. Drug provocation tests

- 304 in patients with a history suggesting an immediate drug hypersensitivity reaction. *Ann Intern*  
305 *Med* 2004; 140: 1001-6.
- 306 11. Sampson HA, Muñoz-Furlong A, Campbell RL. Second symposium on the definition and  
307 management of anaphylaxis: summary report-Second National Institute of Allergy and  
308 Infectious Disease/Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network symposium. *J Allergy Clin*  
309 *Immunol* 2006; 117: 391-7.
- 310 12. Doña I, Blanca-López N, Torres MJ et al. NSAID-induced urticaria/angioedema does not  
311 evolve into chronic urticaria: a 12-year follow-up study. *Allergy* 2014; 69: 438-44.
- 312 13. Cavkaytar O, Arik Yilmaz E, Karaatmaca et al. Different Phenotypes of Non-Steroidal Anti-  
313 Inflammatory Drug Hypersensitivity during Childhood. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* 2015:  
314 167: 211-21.
- 315 14. Ayuso P, Blanca-López N, Doña I et al. Advanced phenotyping in hypersensitivity drug  
316 reactions to NSAIDs. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 1097-109.
- 317 15. Berges-Gimeno MP, Simon RA, Stevenson DD. The natural history and clinical  
318 characteristics of aspirin-exacerbated respiratory disease. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*  
319 2002; 89: 474-8.
- 320 16. Zambonino MA, Torres MJ, Muñoz C et al. Drug provocation tests in the diagnosis of  
321 hypersensitivity reactions to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in children. *Pediatr*  
322 *Allergy Immunol* 2013; 24: 151-9.