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ABSTRACT

Avenaphora gallica sp. nov., second representative of this dolichopodid genus, and Cretomicrophorus piolencensis sp. nov., third representative of this genus, are described from a new Early Santonian amber outcrop in Southern France. The relationships of Avenaphora in the Empidoidea are discussed. As for the modern Parathalassiinae, Avenaphora gallica and Cretomicrophorus piolencensis were found in a marine littoral swamp palaeoenvironment.
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1. Introduction

The family Dolichopodidae is one of the five major lineages within the superfamily Empidoidea. The traditional concept of the family is referred to as Dolichopodidae sensu stricto. This concept was expanded to Dolichopodidae sensu lato to include the two small
subfamilies Microphorinae and Parathalassiinae (Sinclair and Cumming, 2006). The
dolichopodid characteristics comprise the Rs vein originating at or near the level of crossvein
h, crossvein r-m situated in the basal fourth of the wing, and the male terminalia rotated
forward beneath the preceding segments of the abdomen. The Dolichopodidae sensu lato are
not rare in the Cretaceous ambers, the most frequently encountered being Microphorinae. This
group comprises the modern genera *Microphor* Macquart, 1827 and *Schistostoma* Becker,
1902 and the extinct genera *Avenaphora* Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999 and *Microphorites*
Hennig, 1971 (Perrichot and Engel, 2014). Among these taxa, *Avenaphora* remains quite
enigmatic. Grimaldi and Cumming (1999) suggested that *Avenaphora* could have ‘closer
affinities to the Dolichopodidae and/or Parathalassiini’, rather than to the Microphorinae.
Cumming and Brooks (2002) proposed a sister-group relationship to the higher
Dolichopodidae for this genus, while Ulrich (2004) considered it could be ‘an early
dolichopodine’. This genus is currently known by a unique male specimen in Early
Cretaceous Lebanese amber (Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999). Here we describe the second
fossil attributable to this genus together with the third representative of the Cretaceous genus
*Cretomicrophorus* Negrobov, 1978, both from the Late Cretaceous amber of Piolenc (South
of France), a new insectiferous amber rediscovered in the beginning of the years 2000
(Gomez et al., 2003). Very few insects were recovered in this amber, mainly Diptera
(Choufani et al., 2013).

2. Material and method

The specimens are preserved in pieces of relatively clear, yellow amber. The amber
pieces were cut, shaped, and polished. Then they were prepared between two coverslips with
a Canada balsam medium as described in Azar et al. (2003), before being examined and
photographed. Fossil was examined and measured under incident light with Olympus SZX9
and Nikon SMZ1500 binocular microscopes, equipped with a Nikon D800 camera. We follow the terminology of Grimaldi and Cumming (1999) and the empidoid classification of Sinclair and Cumming (2006).

3. Systematic palaeontology

Family: Dolichopodidae Latreille, 1809

Subfamily: Parathalassinae Chvála, 1981:

Genus **Avenaphora** Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999

*Type species.* **Avenaphora hispida** Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999. Other species: **Avenaphora gallica** sp. n.

**Avenaphora gallica** sp. n.

(Fig. 1)

*Derivation of name.* Named after Gallica, the ancient Roman name for France

*Material.* Holotype MNHN.F.A53892, stored in the Laboratoire de Paléontologie, MNHN, Paris, France.

*Type locality and horizon.* Early Santonian, in amber, ancient sand quarry of Saint Louis, Piolenc, Vaucluse, France (Gomez et al., 2003).

*Diagnosis.* Body and wing very small (wing 0.7 mm long, body 0.7 mm long); veins M1 and M2 clearly reaching wing margin; anal lobe narrow.

*Description.* Body length ca. 0.7 mm; thorax length ca. 0.3 mm; wing length 0.7 mm. Known only from female holotype.

Head relatively broad, slightly broader than thorax. Eye bare. Frons wide, margins of eyes diverging dramatically posteriad; frons with three pairs of frontal-orbital setae, anterior pair inclined and slightly cruciate, middle and posterior pairs reclinate; pair of small ocellar setae;
pair of cruciate postocellars, pair of lateroclinate outer verticals. Antenna with scape bearing two setae; cuplike pedicel, distal margin with ring of fine setulae; flagellomere 1 dropshaped, ventral surface with longer setulae; arista with two articles (suture between aristomerces well visible), distal article whiplike, with numerous microtrichia slightly longer than width of aristal trunk; total length of arista slightly more than three times length of flagellomere 1. Proboscis not easily discerned, retracted into oral cavity.

Thorax: notum hardly arched; dorsocentrals and acrostichals differentiated; acrostichals in two median rows, about six setae per row; dorsocentrals slightly larger, five per row, posterior pair of dorsocentrals twice length of anterior three pairs. One supra-alar, one postpronotal, two pairs of scutellar setae. Scutellar setae longer than posteriormost dorsocentrals, almost upright; apical scutellars cruciate. Legs of moderate length, bristly. Forelegs: femur with two long ventral setae, basitarsomere with ventral comb of fine, short setae; tibial anteroapical comb apparently present. Midlegs: trochanter appears unusually long; tibia with three apical and one preapical seta. Hind legs: femur slightly stouter than others, basitarsus with pair of ventro-apical setae; tibial posteroapical comb present; no dorso-medial projection on tarsomeres 5.

Wing relatively short, broad, length 2.7 x greatest width, anal lobe of relatively small size, alula absent. Costa extended to apex of R4+5; costal seta present, costal vestiture with a single row of spine-like setae. Sc very difficult to discern; R1 short, no pterostigma; Rs origin opposite humeral crossvein, R2+3 and R4+5 nearly straight and simple; rm crossvein very short, cell dm absent; M1+2 forked, both veins clearly reaching wing margin; CuA1 well developed; cells bm and cup slender, of subequal length; bm-cu vein complete, apex of CuA2 not looped into cell bm; vein A1 extended to midway between cell cup and wing margin.

Abdomen relatively short and stout. Female genitalia hardly visible, except for ca. 3-4 acanthophorite spines.
Discussion. This fossil corresponds to the diagnosis of the genus *Avenaphora*: eyes bare, broadly dichoptic (in male after Grimaldi and Cumming, 1999, but also present in this female); antenna with two-articled arista; frons with three pairs of frontal-orbital setae, anterior pair inclined. Wing with costal vein ending at R4+5; R1 short; cell dm absent (dm-cu vein absent); M1+2 forked; cell bm slender, bm-cu vein complete; cell cup narrow, subequal to length of cell bm, truncate apically; anal vein (A1) present, extended midway to wing margin; anal lobe of moderate size. Only the structures of the male genitalia are unknown because it is a female specimen. Thus we consider that our fossil belongs to this genus. Our fossil differs in very few points from the type species *Avenaphora hispida*, i.e., body and wing sizes much smaller than in *A. hispida* (wing 0.7 mm instead of 0.96 mm, body 0.7 mm long instead of 1.16 mm); veins M1 and M2 are clearly reaching the wing margin in *A. gallica*; anal lobe narrower than in *A. hispida*. The body chaetotaxy is the same in both species.

In the key to the families of Sinclair and Cumming (2006), the vein R4+5 unbranched would support an attribution to the Dolichopodidae sensu lato, Hybotidae, or Atelestidae. The base of Rs near the humeral vein and the basal cells shortened so that the crossvein r-m occurs in the basal fourth of wing are character states supporting an attribution to the Dolichopodidae sensu lato (Microphorinae + Dolichopodidae) (Sinclair and Cumming, 2006: 81), rather than to the Hybotidae and the Atelestidae. The apex of antenna usually with lengthened, bristle-like style would also exclude an attribution to the Atelestidae.

Nevertheless the Microphorinae have a discal cell, M1 and M2 arising independently on it, and costa running around the wing (Chvála, 1983; Sinclair and Cumming, 2006), unlike *A. gallica*.

The Dolichopodidae sensu stricto have no M2 and the costa ending on M1, unlike *A. gallica* (Chvála, 1983; Sinclair and Cumming, 2006). Among the Parathalassinae (see list of modern genera in Sinclair and Cumming (2006), only some species (viz. *E. platypalpus*...
Shamshev and Grootaert, 2005) of the genus *Eothalassius* Shamshev and Grootaert, 2005 have no discal cell and a vein M2 (Negrobov, 1978; Ulrich, 1991, 2004; Cumming and Brooks, 2002; Shamshev and Grootaert, 2002; Brooks and Cumming, 2011). *E. platypalpus* has a venation very close to that of *Avenaphora*. But *Eothalassius* differs from *Avenaphora* in the one-segmented style (Shamshev and Grootaert, 2005). The recent genus *Neothalassius* Brooks and Cumming, 2016 has also no discal cell but differs from *Avenaphora* in the absence of the vein M2 (Brooks and Cumming, 2016).

*Avenaphora* remains a Dolichopodidae (sensu lato) of uncertain affinities, but it shows more similarities with the Parathalassinæ than with the Microphorinae or the Dolichopodidae s.str.

Genus *Cretomicrophorus* Negrobov, 1978


*Cretomicrophorus piolencensis* sp. nov.

(Figs. 2-3)

*Derivation of name.* Named after Piolenc, type locality.

*Material.* Holotype MNHN.F.A53893, stored in the Laboratoire de Paléontologie, MNHN, Paris, France.

*Locality and horizon.* Early Santonian, in amber, ancient sand quarry of Saint Louis, Piolenc, Vaucluse, France (Gomez et al., 2003).
Diagnosis. Hind tibiae bearing three anterodorsal setae and five stout apicals; middle tibiae bearing six stout apicals; six pairs of acrostichals; scutellum with only long, apical pair of setae; C extending to R4+5; no pterostigma.

Description. Body ca. 1.8 mm long. Head strongly deformed in its anterior part, 0.3 mm long, 0.4 mm high; ocellar tubercle not displaced forward; frons and face not visible between eyes due to the deformation of this part of head; two stout ocellar setae, several setae around ocellar tubercle; two stout inner verticals, two stout postverticals; eyes bare, not contacting; setae along posterior eye margin (postocular bristles) arranged in one row from above to below; genae not developed; antennae located on apical third of head; scape very short, pedicel lacking apical process, but with apical circlet of setae, first flagellomere bulbiform, apically pointed; its length exceeding its thickness; arista apical one-segmented; proboscis hardly visible, short; palpus small. Thorax short, 0.6 mm long with the following distinct setae: 1 propleural, 1 postpronotal, 2 notopleurals, 1 supra-alar, 6 pairs of acrostichals, 8 pairs of dorsocentrals, 2 stout scutellars; mesopleura bare. Legs comparatively short, with well differentiated stout setae; femora not thickened; tarsi not broadened. Fore tibia bearing several stout antero- and posterodorsal setae, but lacking stout apicals; mid coxa with two stout setae; mid trochanter with several long apical setae; mid tibia with 2 antero- and posterodorsals, 6 stout apicals; hind coxa with 1 stout seta; hind tibia with 3 anterodorsals and 5 stout apicals; no dorso-medial projection on tarsomeres 5. Wings hyaline, 1.26 mm long; venation nearly identical to that of the modern Microphorella praecox (Loew); no pterostigma; C extending to R4+5, Sc entering C; costal cell large; R2+3 simple; simple R4+5 terminating at wing tip; vein bm-cu not complete; anal lobe weakly developed. Abdomen cylindrical, short, 0.9 mm long, bearing one stout dorsal seta on the first five segments; segment 7 clearly seen externally, not reduced; segment 8 located at apex of abdomen; hypopygium displaced onto right side, its apex extending onto abdominal tergite 5.
Discussion. Following Sinclair and Cumming (2006), *Cretomicrophorus piolencensis* sp. nov. can be attributed to the Dolichopodidae sensu lato for the following diagnostic characters: Rs originating at or near level of crossvein h; basal cells shortened (less than half length of cell dm) so that crossvein r-m occurs in the basal fourth of wing; vein R4+5 unbranched; male terminalia rotated (lateroflexed) forward beneath the preceding segments with the pregenital segments partially twisted or rotated. It shares with the Parathalassiinae the following diagnostic characters: antennae inserted high on head; dichoptic males, narrow wing (anal lobe not developed); crossvein bm-cu incomplete; single segmented arista. The Microphorinae are excluded because they have holoptic male, broad wings (i.e., anal lobe developed), two-segmented arista, and costa circumambient. The modern Parathalassiinae includes the following genera: *Amphithalassius* Ulrich, 19991, *Chimerothalassius* Shamshev and Grootaert, 2003, *Eothalassius* Shamshev and Grootaert, 2005, *Microphorella* Becker, 1909, *Parathalassius* Mik, 1891, *Plesiothalassius* Ulrich, 1991, *Thalassophorus* Saigusa, 1986, and *Neothalassius* Brooks and Cumming, 2010. Ulrich (2004) listed the Cretaceous genus *Archichrysotus* Negrobov, 1978 in this group and maybe also *Avenaphora* (see above), while Sinclair and Cumming (2006) listed the genera *Cretomicrophorus* Negrobov, 1978, *Archichrysotus*, and *Retinitus* Negrobov, 1978 in this group on the basis of the single articled stylus.

*C. piolencensis* differs from *Microphorella*, *Thalassophorus*, and *Parathalassius* in the bare eye, and the presence of several strong setae on the legs (Smith, 1972; Chvála, 1983; Saigusa, 1986). *Chimerothalassius, Eothalassius, Plesiothalassius, Amphithalassius*, and *Neothalassius* have also no strong and long setae on legs (Ulrich, 1991, Shamshev and Grootaert, 2002, 2005; Brooks and Cumming, 2016). *Chimerothalassius* has also distinctly shorter setae on mesoscutum. *Eothalassius* has distinctly longer arista than in *C. piolencensis*. 
Archichrysotus and Retinitus differ from *C. piolencensis* in the presence of very few setae on tibiae (Negrobov, 1978).

*C. piolencensis* fits quite well with the diagnosis of *Cretomicrophorus* (Negrobov, 1978). Differences with *C. rohdendorfi* (Taymyr amber, Siberia, Santonian) are very few: hind tibiae bearing three anterodorsal setae (instead of two) and five stout apicals (instead of three); mid tibia bearing six stout apicals instead of four; scutellum with only long, apical pair of setae, no pair of smaller, anterior ones; C extending to R4+5 instead of M1+2. *C. piolencensis* differs from *C. novemundus* (New Jersey amber, Turonian) in the presence of six pairs of acrostichals (vs. four); no pterostigma.

### 4. Palaeoecology

After Ulrich (2004), the Microphorinae (recent and fossil) are supposed to be terrestrial, while the modern Parathalassiinae and the most ‘basal’ representatives of the ‘higher’ Dolichopodidae are living in the marine littoral. Interestingly the outcrop of lignites and sands of Piolenc is considered as resulting from marine littoral swamps and sediments (Gomez et al., 2003). Thus the presence of two Parathalassiinae would fit well with Ulrich’s hypothesis.
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Fig. 1. Avenaphora gallica sp. n., holotype MNHN.F.A53892. A. Habitus, ventral view; B. Wing. Scale bar = 0.1 mm (A,B).

Fig. 2. Cretomicrophorus piolencensis sp. nov., holotype MNHN.F.A53893. Habitus. Scale bar = 0.5 mm.
Fig. 3. *Cretomicrophorus piolencensis* sp. nov., holotype MNHN.F.A53893. A. Head; B. wing. Scale bar = 0.1 mm (A), 0.5 mm (B).