

# On the morphological stability of multicellular tumour spheroids growing in porous media

Chiara Giverso, Pasquale Ciarletta

## ▶ To cite this version:

Chiara Giverso, Pasquale Ciarletta. On the morphological stability of multicellular tumour spheroids growing in porous media. European Physical Journal E: Soft matter and biological physics, 2016, 39 (10), pp.92. 10.1140/epje/i2016-16092-7. hal-01383173

## HAL Id: hal-01383173 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01383173

Submitted on 18 Oct 2016  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## On the morphological stability of multicellular tumour spheroids growing in porous media

Chiara Giverso<sup>1</sup> and Pasquale Ciarletta<sup>1,2a</sup>

Dipartimento di Matematica - MOX, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32 - 20133 Milano, Italy;

CNRS and Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7190, Institut Jean le Rond d'Alembert, 4 place Jussieu case 162, 75005 Paris, France

Received: date / Revised version: date

**Abstract** Multicellular tumour spheroids (MCTSs) are extensively used as *in-vitro* system models for investigating the avascular growth phase of solid tumours. In this work, we propose a continuous growth model of heterogeneous MCTSs within a porous material, taking into account a diffusing nutrient from the surrounding material directing both the proliferation rate and the mobility of tumour cells. At the time scale of interest, the MCTS behaves as an incompressible viscous fluid expanding inside a porous medium. The cell motion and proliferation rate are modelled using a non-convective chemotactic mass flux, driving the cell expansion in the direction of the external nutrients' source. At the early stages, the growth dynamics is derived by solving the quasi-stationary problem, obtaining an initial exponential growth followed by an almost linear regime, in accordance with experimental observations. We also perform a linear-stability analysis of the quasi-static solution in order to investigate the morphological stability of the radially symmetric growth pattern. We show that mechano-biological cues, as well as geometric effects related to the size of the MCTS subdomains with respect to the diffusion length of the nutrient, can drive a morphological transition to fingered structures, thus triggering the formation of complex shapes that might promote tumour invasiveness. The results also point out the formation of a retrograde flow in the MCTS close to the regions where protrusions form, that could describe the initial dynamics of metastasis detachment from the *in-vivo* tumour mass. In conclusion, the results of the proposed model demonstrate that the integration of mathematical tools in biological research could be crucial for better understanding the tumour's ability to invade its host environment.

PACS. 87.18.Hf Spatiotemporal pattern formation in cellular populations - 87.18.Gh Cell-cell communication; collective behavior of motile cells -46.32.+x Static buckling and instability

## **1** Introduction

- A multicellular tumour spheroid (MCTS) is an ensemble 2
- of tumour cells organized in a multi-layered structure<sup>1,2</sup>.
- In general, a MCTS consists of a central core of necrotic 4
- cells, surrounded by a layer of quiescent (*i.e.* dormant) 5 cells and an outer rim of proliferating cells  $^{1-4}$ . 6

MCTSs are widely used *in vitro* to study the early stages 7 of avascular tumour growth and to assess the efficacy of 8 anti-cancer drugs and therapies, since their growth and 9 structure resemble the in vivo avascular phase of solid 10 tumour invasion. Such an early growth phase is charac-11 terized by diffusion-limited growth, since the tumour ab-12 sorbs vital nutrients via diffusion from the external envi-13 ronment<sup>1,3,5</sup>. Thus, diffusion may become suddenly inef-14 fective in the center of the tumour mass, forming a charac-15 teristic necrotic core (see Fig. 1-a). At later stages, a solid 16 tumour is characterized by the occurrence of angiogenesis 17

(*i.e.* the process by which the tumour induces new blood 18 vessels formation from the nearby existing vasculature). 19 thus switching to a vascular growth phase  $^{6,7}$ . 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The analysis of the avascular growth phase in tumours has attracted a lot of interest in the mathematical and physical research communities, and a large number of *in silico* mathematical models has been proposed  $^{2,8-16}$ . Thanks to the controllability and the reproducibility of the experimental setting. MCTS has become a widely used system model for the development of theoretical models.

The classical approach of deterministic tumour model com-28 prised an ordinary differential equation (ODE), derived 29 from either mass conservation or population dynamics, 30 coupled with at least one reaction-diffusion equation, rep-31 resenting the spatio-temporal distribution of vital nutri-32 ents or chemical signals inside the tumour<sup>2,9-12,14,15,17</sup>. 33 Only recently, many authors have extended such models 34 including the pivotal role of mechanics in tumour growth. 35 In most cases, fluid-like constitutive equations have been 36 used to model the tumour mass  $^{18-26}$ . This choice is obvi-37

e-mail: pasquale.ciarletta@polimi.it

ously only an approximation of the by far more complex 38 behaviour of cellular aggregates, that also display solid-39 like properties related to the adhesive characteristics of 40 cells<sup>27</sup> and to the mechanical properties of the single cell 41 in the cluster. Thus, in some limiting cases, cell aggre-42 gates are better described as solids with linear or eventu-43 ally nonlinear elasticity, in which compressive and shear 44 loads are balanced by the solid stress in the body, depend-45 ing on the strain of its material points  $^{28-33}$ . A solid-like 46 constitutive equation has been advocated for its suitabil-47 ity of accounting for both residual stresses  $^{29,32,34}$  and the 48 plastic behaviour of cellular aggregates  $^{35-37}$ . Even though 49 these considerations support the idea that a cellular ag-50 gregate can behave as a solid at some extent, experimen-51 tal evidences  $^{26,38,39}$  have shown that aggregates behave 52 as elastic solids on short timescales (of the order of a few 53 minutes) but display a fluid-like behaviour at longer times. 54 Furthermore, it was shown that cellular aggregates behave 55 as an elastic solids at time scales short compared to that of 56 cell division and apoptosis, and as a fluid (with the trace-57 less stress that relaxes to zero) for long times  $^{40}$ . Thus the 58 description of MCTSs as a liquid is widespread. 59 Even though the existing mathematical models on both 60 solid tumours and MCTSs successfully reproduce the ex-61

perimentally observed growth dynamics <sup>2,9–12,14,15,17,41,42</sup>. 62 they poorly consider the mechanical and chemical inter-63 action with the surrounding environment. Furthermore, 64 most approaches assume that the initial spherical symme-65 try is preserved during the growth of the aggregate  $^{28-30}$ , whilst only in few cases  $^{11,12,15}$  the development of tumour 66 67 irregular contours has been taken into account. Indeed, it 68 is known that some solid tumours, e.g. carcinomas, grow 69 almost spherically only in the first stages of their progres-70  $\sin^{1,3,5}$ , while they might show a less defined and even 71 asymmetric outer boundary<sup>43</sup> (see Fig. 1-b). Since higher 72 irregular contours usually indicate aggressive tumours, the 73 capability to undergo a morphological transition might 74 promote tumour infiltration and invasion within the sur-75 rounding tissue  $^{2,11,12,15,44-46}$ . Thus, it has been proposed 76 that some measure of the irregularity of a tumour bound-77 ary (e.g. its fractal index measured via particular medi-78 cal imaging techniques such as computerized tomography 79 scans), may provide clinicians with useful information for 80 its prognosis and treatment<sup>44–46</sup>, being potentially useful 81 in predicting the efficacy of drug treatment or chemother-82  $apy^{47,48}$ . 83

In this work we go beyond the state-of-the art in the 84 field<sup>2,49,50</sup> by proposing a mathematical model that ac-85 counts for the presence of a surrounding porous media 86 with a finite thickness. Thus, nutrient diffusion from the 87 external environment creates a chemical gradient that di-88 rects both the proliferation rate and the motility of the 89 tumour cells. MCTS is modelled as a viscous fluid with 90 adhesive interactions at the border, expanding inside a 91 porous material. 92

This work is organized as follows. First, we introduce in
Section 2 the mathematical model describing the expansion of an initially spherical tumour. In Section 3, we derive the radially-symmetric solution of the quasi-stationary



Figure 1. (a) Morphological evolution of a multicellular tumour spheroid of HeLa cells, showing the development of an undulated contour and a necrotic core (reproduced with permission from<sup>51</sup>). HeLa cells were trypsinized, counted and grown as multicellular spheroids using the liquid overlay technique. The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize the cytoplasms of the cells. The multicellular spheroid section is reproduced at days 0, 4 and 12, from left to right. (b) Solid tumours extracted from mice after orthotopic implant of MCF10CA1a cell lines in the mammary fat pad of the nude mice (courtesy of T. Stylianopoulos, Cancer Biophysics Laboratory, University of Cyprus).

problem. Then, we perform a linear stability on the quasistatic tumour growth. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the modelling results with respect to the key chemo-mechanical and geometric parameters that govern the mathematical problems, highlighting the key mechano-biology effects that promote a morphological transition during tumour invasion.

## 2 Mathematical Model

The MCTS is modelled as a three dimensional contin-105 uum growing inside a rigid porous structure, representing 106 the surrounding environment, usually extracellular matrix 107 (ECM) or matrigel. In this respect, the proposed model 108 refers to the in vitro case in which MCTS grows inside 109 a three dimensional either natural medium (e.g. agarose 110 gel, hyaluronic acid gel) or synthetic matrices scaffolds 111 (e.g. polylactide and polyglycolide biodegradable struc-112 tures mimicking a tissue-like environment)<sup>52</sup>. 113

104

The outer boundary of the tumour is considered as a freely moving material interface separating the tumour freely from the surrounding medium.

<sup>117</sup> In particular, we account for the presence of a central re-<sup>118</sup>gion of necrotic cells, surrounded by a layer of quiescent <sup>119</sup>and proliferating cells. Thus, the whole domain  $\Omega$  is di-<sup>120</sup>vided in different regions, depending on the residing cel-<sup>121</sup>lular population (see Fig. 2):

- the necrotic cells are located in the central core of the spheroid, in a region called  $\Omega_N(t)$ , with

$$\Omega_N(t) = \{ (r, \theta) : r < R_N(t), 0 < \varphi \le \pi, 0 < \theta \le 2\pi \} ,$$

- where  $R_N$  is the radius of the necrotic core, that might evolve in time;
  - the proliferative and quiescent tumour cells are located in the region

$$\Omega_T(t) = \{ (r, \theta) : R_N(t) < r < R_T(t), \ 0 < \varphi \le \pi, \ 0 < \theta \le 2\pi \} \,,$$

- where  $R_T$  is the radius of the spheroid, whose evolution in time represents the growth of the MCTS;
  - the healthy space, composed by either the in vitro scaffold or the extracellular matrix, the extracellular liquid and possibly healthy cells (in vivo),

$$\Omega_H(t) = \{ (r, \theta) : R_T(t) < r < R_{out}, \ 0 < \varphi \le \pi, 0 < \theta \le 2\pi \} \,,$$

being 
$$R_{out}$$
 the outer boundary of the whole domain.

126

The boundary between the necrotic core and the prolifer-127 ative region is called  $\partial \Omega_N(t)$ , whereas the moving inter-128 face between the tumour region and the healthy space 129 is denoted with  $\partial \Omega_T(t)$ . In the following we will con-130 sider that the interior boundary between the necrotic core 131 and the quiescent-proliferative region does not evolve in 132 time, since we are interested only in the evolution of the 133 MCTS boundary, which is related to tumour infiltration 134 inside the healthy region. Furthermore, we assume that 135 the porous material is homogeneously distributed in the 136 whole region  $\Omega = \Omega_N \cup \Omega_T(t) \cup \Omega_H(t)$  and it is neither 137 produced/degraded (*i.e.* behaves as inert matter), nor de-138 formed (*i.e.* structurally rigid) by the moving tumour cells. 139 We will consider a single nutrient species (e.q. oxygen)140 with volume concentration  $n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , diffusing from the fixed 141 outer boundary  $\partial \Omega$  through the porous material. Thus, 142 we assume that the vascular network providing the source 143 of nutrients is outside the modelled domain, and can be 144 represented by a boundary term at  $\partial \Omega$ . The diffusion coef-145 ficient is a constant value  $D_n$  everywhere, but the nutrient 146 is only consumed, with an uptake rate  $\gamma_n$ , in the region oc-147 cupied by the proliferative and quiescent cells. Indeed we 148 consider that the consumption of nutrients in the healthy 149 region is negligible. This is certainly the case of MCTS 150 growing inside artificial/natural scaffolds, but, in a first 151 approximation, it can be used also to model the in vivo 152 condition  $^{20,53}$ , since the net consumption of nutrients in 153 the extracellular healthy space is negligible compared to 154 the uptake by tumor  $\operatorname{cells}^{54}$ . 155

Thus, the 3D homogenized concentration per unit volume of this generic chemical species, indicated with  $n(\mathbf{x}, t)$ , obeys the following reaction-diffusion equation

$$\dot{n}(\mathbf{x},t) = \begin{cases} D_n \nabla^2 n(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{in } \Omega_N ,\\ D_n \nabla^2 n(\mathbf{x},t) - \gamma_n n(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{in } \Omega_T(t) ,\\ D_n \nabla^2 n(\mathbf{x},t) & \text{in } \Omega_H(t) . \end{cases}$$
(1)



Figure 2. Representation of the domain used for the analytical analysis. At time t = 0, the three domains  $\Omega_N$ ,  $\Omega_T$  and  $\Omega_H$  are concentric spherical shells, with radius  $R_N$ ,  $R_T$  and  $R_{out}$ , respectively. In this work, we consider that only the tumour boundary  $\partial \Omega_T$  evolves in time.

We remark that, in principle, the uptake rate  $\gamma_n$  should 159 depend on the tumour cell density, although, in the follow-160 ing, it will be considered homogeneous and constant over 161 time. Even the diffusion coefficient  $D_n$  can be affected by 162 the cell packing inside the tumor and by the extracellular 163 matrix alignment and distribution. However, coherently 164 with the hypothesis of an inert, rigid and homogeneous 165 extracellular matrix distributed in the whole domain, the 166 diffusion of nutrients can be assumed to be constant 53,55. 167 The diffusing nutrient notably not only affects the growth 168 of single individuals in the tumour but also directs cell movements, e.g. through chemotaxis<sup>56,57</sup>. Therefore, we 169 170 consider a non-convective mass flux term, m, taking into 171 account both tumour proliferation and chemotactic mo-172 tion, differently from the standard volumetric production 173 rate considered in literature<sup>2,11–15</sup>. Accordingly, the mass 174 balance inside  $\Omega_T(t)$  reads 175

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{m} \quad \text{in } \Omega_T(t). \tag{2}$$

where  $\rho$  is the tumour cell density, which is approximately 176 the same of water. Since mass transport phenomena in 177 MCTSs are driven by the local concentration of chemicals, 178 the mass flux vector appearing in Eq. (2) should depend 179 on nutrient availability. A simple constitutive law for  $\mathbf{m}$ 180 can be taken in the form of a chemotactic term  $^{56,58},\ i.e.$ 181  $\mathbf{m} = \chi \rho \nabla n$ , where  $\chi$  is the chemotactic coefficient, here 182 considered constant. Consequently, the mass flux m de-183 scribes the expansion of the tumour due to proliferation 184 and driven by chemotaxis towards higher concentration of 185 nutrients. 186

Assuming that the living aggregate can be macroscopically modelled as a Newtonian fluid, Darcy's law describes its motion inside the inert, porous surrounding medium<sup>2,18</sup>. Thus, the cell velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  is related to the pressure field p 190

Ś

through 191

$$\mathbf{v} = -K_p \nabla p \,, \tag{3}$$

where  $K_p$  is related to the permeability of the medium, k, 192 and the viscosity of the cellular material,  $\mu$ , by  $K_p = k/\mu$ . 193 Assuming the incompressibility of the cellular spheroid, 194 which is mostly composed by water, we impose  $d\rho/dt = 0$ 195 in Eq. (2), so that the relation between the pressure p and 196 the nutrient concentration n reads 197

$$\nabla^2 p = -\frac{\chi}{K_p} \nabla^2 n \quad \text{in } \Omega_T(t) \,, \tag{4}$$

which has been obtained substituting the Darcy's law (3)198 and the constitutive relations for  $\mathbf{m}$  in the mass balance 199 equation (2). In summary, the coupling of Eq. (1) with 200 Eq.(4), complemented by a proper set of boundary con-201 ditions (BCs), describes the macroscopic evolution of the 202 avascular tumour inside the healthy tissue. 203

In particular, for the pressure we impose the Young-Laplace 204 equation at the moving boundary  $\partial \Omega_T(t)$  and the null ve-205 locity of the tumour cells at the fixed boundary  $\partial \Omega_N$ , *i.e.* 206

$$p = p_0 - \sigma_b C \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_T(t) \,, \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega_N} \cdot \mathbf{n}_N = 0 \to (\nabla p)|_{\partial\Omega_N} \cdot \mathbf{n}_N = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_N \,, \ (6)$$

being  $\mathbf{n}_N$  the normal at the fixed boundary  $\partial \Omega_N$ , C the 207 local curvature of the free boundary  $\partial \Omega_T(t)$ ,  $p_0$  the con-208 stant pressure in the outer healthy domain and  $\sigma_b$  the sur-209 face tension at the moving interface. The surface tension 210  $\sigma_b$  arises from the collective adhesive interaction among 211 tumour cells at the MCTS boundary, primarily mediated 212 by cadherins,  $^{59}$  and from the differential contractility be-213 tween the cell-cell and cell-medium interfaces, mainly me-214 diated by  $\alpha$ -catenin<sup>60</sup>. Even if, in principle the surface 215 tension  $\sigma_b$  depends on the density of cells, the distribu-216 tion of cadherins and the presence of  $\alpha$ -catenin<sup>60</sup>, we will 217 assume that it can be considered constant, for the chosen 218 cellular population composing the aggregate. 219

For what concerns the chemical species, in absence of an 220 interfacial structure, the continuity for the nutrient con-221 centration and flux can be assumed (both in  $\partial \Omega_T(t)$  and 222 in  $\partial \Omega_N$ , and the concentration at the outer boundary 223 can be assumed constant (to model the source of nutri-224 ents from the external vascular network), so that 225

$$n \mid_{\partial \Omega} = n_{out} \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \,, \quad (7)$$

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket |_{\partial \Omega_T} = 0, \quad \llbracket \nabla n \rrbracket |_{\partial \Omega_T} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_T, \quad (8)$$

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket |_{\partial \Omega_N} = 0, \quad \llbracket \nabla n \rrbracket |_{\partial \Omega_N} \cdot \mathbf{n}_N = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N, \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward normal vector at the boundary 226  $\partial \Omega_T$  and  $\llbracket (\cdot) \rrbracket |_{\partial \Omega_i}$  denotes the jump of the quantity be-227 tween brackets across the boundary  $\partial \Omega_j$ , with j = N, T. 228 Finally, the compatibility condition at the free interface 229 imposes 230

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\partial\Omega_T}}{\mathrm{d}t} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega_T} \cdot \mathbf{n} \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega_T \,. \tag{10}$$

In the following we will work with dimensionless equations, obtained writing the system of Eqs. (1)-(4) in terms of the dimensionless chemical concentration,  $\bar{n} = n/n_c$ , and the dimensionless pressure,  $\bar{p} = p/p_c$  and referring to the geometry outlined in Fig. 2. The dimensionless quantities are obtained using the following characteristic time  $t_c$ , length  $l_c$ , velocity  $v_c$ , pressure  $p_c$  and chemical concentration  $n_c$ :  $t_c = \gamma_n^{-1}$ ,  $l_c = \sqrt{D_n \gamma_n^{-1}}$ ,  $v_c = \sqrt{D_n \gamma_n}$ ,  $p_c = D_n K_p^{-1}$ ,  $n_c = n_{out}$ . Finally, the resulting dimensionless systems of equations reads

$$\dot{\bar{n}} = \begin{cases} \bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{n} & \text{for } \bar{r} < \bar{R}_N \\ \bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{n} - \bar{n} & \text{for } \bar{R}_N < \bar{r} < \bar{R}_T(t) \\ \bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{n} & \text{for } \bar{R}_T(t) < \bar{r} < \bar{R}_{out} \end{cases}$$
(11a)
$$\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{p} = -\beta \bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{n} & \text{for } \bar{R}_N < \bar{r} < \bar{R}_T(t) \end{cases}$$
(11b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{n} \end{bmatrix} |_{\bar{R}_N} = 0, \ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\nabla} \bar{n} \end{bmatrix} |_{\bar{R}_N} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_N = 0, \ (\bar{\nabla} \bar{p}) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_N = 0$$
  
for  $\bar{r} = \bar{R}_N$  (11c)

$$\llbracket \bar{n} \rrbracket|_{\bar{R}_T} = 0, \quad \llbracket \bar{\nabla} \bar{n} \rrbracket|_{\bar{R}_T} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \bar{p} = \bar{p}_0 - \bar{\sigma} \bar{C}$$
  
for  $\bar{r} = \bar{R}_T(t)$   
(11d)

$$\bar{n}(\bar{t},\bar{R}_{out}) = 1$$
 for  $\bar{r} = \bar{R}_{out}$  (11e)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\bar{R}_T}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{t}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\bar{R}_T} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} = -\left. \bar{\nabla}\bar{p} \right|_{\bar{R}_T} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \quad \text{for } \bar{r} = \bar{R}_T(t) \,.$$
(11f

The nondimensionalization leads to the definition of five 231 dimensionless parameters, classified into two broad cate-232 gories: 233

- $-\beta := \chi n_c/D_n$  and  $\sigma := \sigma_b K_p \gamma_n^{1/2} D_n^{-3/2} = \sigma_b K_p l_c^{-1/2} D_n^{-1}$  define mechano-biology effect on the aggregate expan-234 235 sion, and are called *motility* parameters; 236
- $\bar{R}_N$ ,  $\bar{R}_T$  and  $\bar{R}_{out}$  (*i.e.* the dimensionless radii of the 237 necrotic core, of the MCTS and the whole domain, 238 respectively) define the geometrical properties of the 239 system with respect to the diffusive length  $l_c$ , and are 240 denoted as *size* parameters. 241

In particular, the dimensionless parameter  $\beta$  represents 242 the chemical effects associated to the expansion of MCTSs. 243 since it can be regarded as the ratio between the typical 244 time-scales of mass production over nutrient diffusion. On 245 the other hand, the parameter  $\sigma$  defines the influence of 246 mechanical cues over tumour development, representing 247 the ratio of the surface tension of the aggregate over the 248 characteristic viscous pressure of the fluid ensemble. 249 250

For sake of simplicity, in the following we will omit the barred notation to denote dimensionless quantities, e.g.  $R_T$  stands for  $\bar{R}_T$  and so on.

251

252

254

#### 3 Linear stability analysis of the quasi-static 253 solution

In this Section, we first derive the quasi-static solution of 255 the proposed model in order to mimic the early avascular 256

257 growth. We later perform a linear stability analysis to in-258 vestigate the occurrence of a morphological instability at 259 later growth stages.

#### 260 3.1 Quasi-stationary solution

At early stages of avascular growth MCTSs maintain a 261 spherical shape<sup>1,3,5</sup>. Thus, we look for a radially symmet-262 ric quasi-stationary solution, assuming that the diffusive 263 process is much faster than the MCTS expansion, so that 264 it is possible to drop the time derivative in Eq. (11a). 265 This assumption is valid in many biological conditions, 266 since a fast-growing tumour may expand at a rate of up 267 to  $0.5 \,\mathrm{mm/day}$ , whereas a typical diffusion time scale is 268 about 1 min (considering a typical length scale  $L \approx 10^{-2}$  cm 269 and a typical diffusion coefficient  $D \approx 10^{-6} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )<sup>11</sup>. 270 Thus, it is clear that the diffusion timescale of nutrients 271 is much shorter than the growth timescale, so that the 272 quasi-stationary assumption can be effectively formulated. 273 Furthermore for such long time scale the MSC can be ac-274 tually treated as a viscous fluid. 275

<sup>276</sup> Specializing our analysis to the case of a spherical tu-<sup>277</sup> mour of radius  $R_T$ , we will denote with  $n^* = n^*(r,t)$ <sup>278</sup> the quasi-stationary solution of Eq. 11a and with  $p^* =$ <sup>279</sup>  $p^*(r,t)$  the quasi-stationary pressure field satisfying (11b). <sup>280</sup> Given the boundary conditions (11c)-(11d)-(11e) and con-<sup>281</sup> sidering that  $n^*$  and  $p^*$  should be bounded, the quasi-<sup>282</sup> stationary fields read

$$n^{*} = \begin{cases} \frac{2R_{out}e^{R_{T}+R_{N}}}{e^{2R_{T}}w_{T}^{+}-e^{2R_{N}}w_{T}^{-}} & \text{if } r \leq R_{N} \\ -\frac{e^{2R_{N}}\left[e^{2(r-R_{N})}(R_{N}+1)R_{out}+(R_{N}-1)R_{out}\right]}{rw_{T}^{-}e^{r-R_{T}+2R_{N}}-rw_{T}^{+}e^{r+R_{T}}} & \text{if } R_{N} < r \leq R_{T}(12) \\ \frac{R_{out}\left[e^{2R_{T}}(R_{N}+1)(r-R_{T}+1)-e^{2R_{N}}(R_{N}-1)(r-R_{T}-1)\right]}{r\left(e^{2R_{T}}w_{T}^{+}-e^{2R_{N}}w_{T}^{-}\right)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$p^{*} = p_{0} + \frac{\sigma}{P} + \beta\left(n_{R_{T}}^{*}-n^{*}\right), \qquad (13)$$

where we called  $n_{R_T}^* = n^*(R_T)$  the concentration of the 283 nutrient at the boundary of the aggregate and we defined 284  $w_T^+ = (R_N + 1)(R_{out} - R_T + 1)$  and  $w_T^- = (R_N - 1)(R_{out} - R_T + 1)$ 285  $(R_T - 1)$ , being  $w_T = (R_{out} - R_T)$  the width of the region 286 occupied by the tumour. Then, using Eq. (11f), it is pos-287 sible to compute the quasi-stationary velocity of the front, 288 which is directed along the radial direction for symmetry 289 considerations, *i.e.*  $\mathbf{v}^* = v_r^* \mathbf{e}_r$ , with 290

$$v_r^*(R_T) = \beta \frac{R_{out}(e^{2R_N}(R_N-1)(R_T+1) - (R_N+1)e^{2R_T}(R_T-1))}{R_T^2(e^{2R_N}(R_N-1)(w_T^-) - (R_N+1)e^{2R_T}(w_T^+))} .(14)$$

Equation (14) can be integrated numerically to determine 291 the evolution of the spheroid border over time. The re-292 sult, reported in Fig. 3 for different value of the parame-293 ter  $\beta$ , highlights the existence of an initial phase in which 294 the growth of the aggregate is nearly exponential and a 295 subsequent one in which the expansion of the tumour is almost linear, as observed in  $^{32,61}$ . Indeed, in standard 296 297 MCTS free-growth (i.e. without the introduction of an298 external stress) in liquid suspension or at moderate agaro-299 sis gel concentration, the plot of the tumor diameter over 300



Figure 3. Quasi-stationary solution of the proposed model, depicting the radius of the tumour over time for different values of the motility parameter  $\beta$ . At early stages the growth is exponential, as a consequence of the bulk availability of nutrients. At later stages, the growth law is almost linear, reflecting the higher nutrient concentration on the outer surface of the growing spheroid.

time exhibits an early stage of exponential growth, corresponding to spheroid volumetric growth, since nutrients are available everywhere in the spheroid bulk <sup>32,61</sup>. Subsequently, when the diameter of the spheroid becomes much larger than the penetration length of the nutrient, the cellular growth becomes mainly localized on the surface of the tumor, leading to a linear growth in time.

### $^{(2)}$ 3.2 Perturbation of the quasi-stationary solution

In this paragraph, we investigate the stability of the steady, radially-symmetric solution by applying small perturbations of the MCTS boundary.

Let  $R_T^*$  be the unperturbed position of the moving interface, we consider a small perturbation ( $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ) of the kind 313

$$R(\theta, \varphi, t) = R_T^*(t) + \varepsilon e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{R}e\left[Y_\ell^{\rm m}(\theta, \varphi)\right].$$
(15)

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is the amplification rate (or time-growth rate) 314 of the perturbation and  $Y_{\ell}^m(\theta,\varphi)$  is the spherical harmonic 315 of degree  $\ell$  and order m, with  $m \in \mathbb{N}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $|m| \leq \ell$ . 316 The spherical harmonics  $Y_{\ell}^m(\theta,\varphi)$  form a complete set 317 of orthonormal functions and thus any square-integrable 318 function can be expanded as a linear combination of spher-319 ical harmonics. For physical consistency, the variations of 320 n and p from the quasi-stationary solutions  $n^*$  and  $p^*$ 321 should be in the form 322

$$n(r,\theta,\varphi,t) = n^*(r,t) + \varepsilon n_1(r) e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{R} e\left[Y_{\ell}^{\mathrm{m}}(\theta,\varphi)\right] \quad (16)$$
  
$$p(r,\theta,\varphi,t) = p^*(r,t) + \varepsilon p_1(r) e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{R} e\left[Y_{\ell}^{\mathrm{m}}(\theta,\varphi)\right] , \quad (17)$$

**、**.

Using Eq. (11a) and the relation  $\nabla_{\Omega}^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} + \ell(\ell+1) Y_{\ell}^{m} = 0$ , 323 where we set the angular part of the Laplacian operator as  $\nabla_{\Omega}^{2}(\cdot) = 1/\sin\theta \,\partial/\partial\theta(\sin\theta \,\partial(\cdot)/\partial\theta) + 1/\sin^{2}\theta \,\partial^{2}(\cdot)/\partial\phi^{2}$ , the term  $n_{1}$  must obey the following ODE

$$r^{2}n_{1}^{\prime\prime}(r) + 2rn_{1}^{\prime}(r) - \left(\ell(\ell+1) + (\lambda+\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{T}})r^{2}\right)n_{1}(r) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where primes denote derivatives on r and  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_T} = 1$  if  $R_N < r \leq R_T^*, \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_T} = 0$  otherwise. The solution of Eq. (18), for  $\lambda \neq \{0, -1\}$  is

$$n_{1}(r) = \begin{cases} C_{1}i_{\ell}(\sqrt{\lambda}r) & \text{if } r \leq R_{N} \\ B_{1}i_{\ell}(\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) + B_{2}k_{\ell}(\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) & \text{if } R_{N} < r \leq R_{T}^{*}(19) \\ A_{1}i_{\ell}(\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) + A_{2}k_{\ell}(\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) & \text{if } R_{T}^{*} < r \leq R_{out}, \end{cases}$$

where  $i_{\ell}(r)$  and  $k_{\ell}(r)$  are the modified spherical Bessel function of the first and second kind, respectively, evaluated in r. The coefficients  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, C_1$  appearing in the expression of  $n_1(r)$  can be determined imposing the incremental boundary conditions for the concentration field (11c), (11d) and (11e), being

$$\llbracket n_1 \rrbracket |_{R_N} = 0, \quad \left[ \left[ \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial r} \right] \right]_{R_N} = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$[n_1]|_{R_T^*} = 0, \quad \left[ \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial r} \right] \Big|_{R_T^*} = n_0, \quad n_1(R_{out}) = 0.$$
 (21)

The perturbed pressure field  $p_1$  in  $\Omega_T$  is obtained from Eq.(11b) that leads to

$$p_1(r) = Qr^{\ell} + Wr^{-\ell-1} - \beta \left( B_1 i_{\ell} (\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) + B_2 k_{\ell} (\sqrt{\lambda+1}r) \right) \left( 22 \right)$$

where the constants Q and W can be determined from the boundary conditions on the pressure field (11c) and (11d), considering only the first order terms, *i.e.* 

$$p_1(R_T^*) = -\sigma \frac{2}{R_T^{*2}} \left(2 - (\ell+1)\ell\right) - \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial r} \mid_{R_T^*}, \ \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial r} \mid_{R_N} = 0 \ (23)$$

perturbation theory<sup>62</sup> Finally, using standard procedures in perturbation theory<sup>62</sup>, imposing the boundary condition (10) at the perturbed interface and neglecting the terms of order higher than the first in the series expansion, it is possible to obtain the following dispersion equation

$$\lambda = -p^{*''}(R_T^*) - p'_1(R_T^*), \qquad (24)$$

which has the same form of the relation found for the 346 rectilinear front on an infinite domain  $^{63}$  or an expand-347 ing circular colony  $^{64,65}$ . The dispersion equation (24) is 348 an implicit function of the time-growth mode  $\lambda$  and the 349 spherical harmonic degree  $\ell$ , depending on the five dimen-350 sionless parameters  $\beta_i, \sigma, R_N, R_T^*$  and  $R_{out}$ . Interestingly, 351  $\lambda$  does not depend on the azimuthal component of the 352 model solutions  $Y_{\ell}^m(\phi,\theta), \ i.e.$  the solutions are indepen-353 dent of the order m, as observed also in previous works 354 based on different models<sup>15,50</sup>. 355

### **4 Results and Discussion**

The dispersion equation (24) has been solved numerically in order to investigate the global stability of the solutions depending on the system parameters. The corresponding dispersion diagrams are reported in Fig. 4 for differents values of both the size and the motility parameters. Ass in classical perturbation theory<sup>62</sup>, a positive real part of 362 the growth rate  $\lambda$  implies global instability, thus high-363 light a critical spatial mode of the perturbation defined by 364 the degree  $\ell$  associated with the highest positive growth 365 rate. Interestingly, Fig. 4 shows that the spheroid front 366 is linearly unstable at small  $\ell$ , with  $\ell = 1$  being always 367 unstable. Indeed, whilst for a spheroid growing inside an 368 infinite homogeneous domain with constant chemical con-369 centration, one would expect to find  $\lambda = 0$  for  $\ell = 1$ , due 370 to translational symmetry  $^{11,15,50}$ , we must remind that in 371 our case, due to the presence of the external environment 372 the translational symmetry is no longer preserved. 373

Furthermore, the dispersion diagrams in Fig. 4 also indi-374 cate the emergence of a characteristic mode different from 375  $\ell = 1$  in the cases of bigger size parameters (see Fig. 4-376 a), as well as of small values of the motility parameters  $\sigma$ 377 (see Fig. 4-c) and  $\beta$  (see Fig. 4-d). Interestingly, the char-378 acteristic mode is not significantly affected by varying only 379 the dimension of the external domain, while keeping the 380 necrotic radius  $R_N$  and the initial tumour radius  $R_T$  fixed 381 (Fig. 4-b) Moreover, whether the range of unstable modes 382 is highly influenced by the sizes parameters and by the 383 motility parameter  $\sigma$  (Fig. 4-a-c), it is not deeply influ-384 enced by variations of  $R_{out}$  and  $\beta$  (Fig. 4-b-d). Indeed as 385 either the size of the domains decreases (Fig. 4-a) or  $\sigma$ 386 increases, the range of unstable modes decreases, up to a 387 range where only  $\ell = 1$  is unstable. The dependency on 388 the size of the domains states that smaller diffusive lengths 389 (*i.e.* smaller diffusion coefficient or higher absorption rate 390 of the nutrients) lead to highly irregular contours during 301 the growth of the tumour. On the other hand, the effect 392 on the mechanical parameter  $\sigma$  on the dispersion diagram 393 shows that, as expected, the surface tension  $\sigma_b$ , along with 394 an high permeability of the surrounding porous environ-395 ment k act a stabilizing effect on the front (see Fig. 4-c), 396 whereas the viscosity of the tumour cluster destabilize the 397 border of the MCTS leading to more aggressive tumours. 398 As  $\beta$  settles the velocity of the quasi-stationary moving 399 front (see Eq. (14)), the dispersion diagram in Fig. 4-d 400 shows that the tumour developed highly irregular contour 401 only in the case of slowly-moving front (*i.e.* small chemo-402 tactic coefficient and proliferation), since for fast moving 403 front the characteristic mode decrease, until only  $\ell = 1$  is 404 unstable. 405

Moreover, it is interesting to consider the role played by 406 the radius of the growing tumour in the development of 407 instabilities, while keeping all the other parameters fixed 408 (see Fig. 5). Fig. 5-a reports the results for a set of pa-409 rameters  $R_{out}$ ,  $R_N$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  for which, independently from 410  $R_T$ , the most unstable mode is always  $\ell = 1$ . This situ-411 ation corresponds to a sort of translation of the spheroid 412 inside the domain (see Fig. 5-a on the right). On the other 413 hand, the characteristic mode depends on the MCTS size 414 in a certain range of material parameters (see Fig. 5-b). 415 Indeed, it increases for increasing  $R_T$ , so that bigger tu-416 mours show more irregularities at their border. Therefore, 417 **a**ogrowing MCTS can undergo a morphological transition that may significantly affect the invasion pattern towards

 $\mathbf{6}$ 



Figure 4. Dispersion diagrams for different values of the model parameters (a)  $R_{out}$  keeping  $q = R_{out}/R_N$  constant, (b)  $R_{out}$  keeping  $R_N$  constant, (c)  $\sigma$  and (d)  $\beta$ . The solid lines in the graphs are obtained by interpolating the discrete values (see the dots on the curves) of the time growth rate of the perturbation,  $\lambda$ , calculated for integer values of  $\ell$  from eq. (24). In (a) the shapes of the tumour corresponding to the characteristic mode ( $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 6$ ) is reported.

the typical finger-like structures observed for invasive car-cinomas (see Fig. 5-b).

Finally, Fig. 6 depicts the perturbed pressure and ve-422 locity fields for a linearly unstable perturbation, given by 423 a spherical harmonic of the kind  $Y_{10}^6(\theta,\varphi)$ . The highest 424 variation of the pressure is located in a thin shell closer 425 to the interface of the tumour, so that in the bulk of the 426 tumour the velocity is almost null. In the region just at 427 the rear of small protrusions (due to the perturbation of 428 the boundary), the pressure field increases, so that the 429 velocity at the border of the MCTS where a protrusion form, for the unstable modes (such as the one reported in Fig. 6), is higher than the velocity in the invagination on the contour. Furthermore, from the perturbed field it

is possible to appreciate small negative radial velocities in 434 the bulk, just at the rear of the region where protrusion 435 forms. Thus, while the spheroid surface moves outward, 436 some cells inside the cluster move inward. This result con-437 firms the existence of a radial convergent flow, in addition 438 to the divergent flow that makes the aggregate expand, as 439 pointed out in  $^{66,67}$ . This effect combined with the higher 440 velocity associated to the protrusion border could explain 441 the possible detachment of carcinoma cells that lead to metastasis and thus the higher invasivity of tumours with irregular contours.

 $\vec{E}$ ven though the onset of irregular contours and the de- $\vec{v}$  alopment of a retrograde flow are in qualitative agree- $\vec{m}$  at with biological experiments  $^{66-68}$ , a direct quantita-



Figure 5. Evolution of the time growth rate of the perturbation  $\lambda$  with respect to the dimensionless tumour radius,  $R_T$ , for different values of  $\ell$  (with  $\ell = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$ ). (a) For the chosen set of parameters,  $\ell = 1$  is the most unstable mode, whatever the tumour radius is. The deformed shapes corresponding to  $R_T = 2, 5, 8$  are reported aside (the gray region represents the outer environment). (b) The characteristic mode  $\ell$  changes for different values of the tumour radius. Aside the dispersion curves, the section of the tumour perturbed shapes are reported for  $R_T = 2.5, 8, 12.5, 20, 26, 35$  to which the corresponding characteristic modes are  $\ell = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$  respectively.

tive comparison between our predictions and the biologs 448 ical experiments is not straightforward. First, not all the 449 data required by the mathematical model, even though 450 measurable in principle, are reported in literature. Sease 451 ond, most of the work in the vast literature on MCTSs 452 focus on the effect of nutrients availability and stress OMB 453 the growth of the spherical tumor aggregate, whereas lites 454 tle attention have been paid on the systematic mapping of 455 contour instabilities onset and evolution. Therefore, fur91 456 ther morphological data on MCTS, combined with esti-457 mates of the underlying biological parameters involved in 458 the process (i.e. nutrients diffusion and uptake, surface 459 tension of the aggregate and permeability of the porous 460 medium), are highly required for the future validation of 461 the proposed model. 462

#### 5 Conclusions 463

In this work we have presented a continuum model for 464 describing the avascular growth of a multicellular tumour 465 spheroid, comprising a fixed necrotic core surrounded by 466 a region of proliferative cells, guided by the uptake of a 467 diffusing nutrient. The proposed model encapsulates the 468 diffusion of a chemical species from the vasculature of the 469 healthy region and the tumour cell response to nutrients, 470 via their proliferation and their chemotactic migration in-471 side the extracellular space. The proposed model differs from existing approaches  $^{2,49,50}$  since it considers a growth 472 473 though a rigid, porous surrounding material. Moreover, 474 the MCTS expansion is guided not only by cell prolifer-ation as  $\ln^{2,49,50}$ , but also by the chemotactic motion of 475 476 cells, through a non-convective mass flux term. Differently 477 from  $^{2,50}$ , that assumed a Gibbs-Thompson relation  $^{69}$  on 478 the moving boundary for the chemical potential, we con-479 sidered a mechanical effect in term of a surface tension at 480 the MCTS outer boundary, leading to the Young-Laplace 481 equation at the interface. 482

The proposed model is governed by five dimensionless parameters: two of them,  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  are called motility parameters and representing the mechano-biology cues, the other three are denoted size parameters and are related to the typical sizes of the domains with respect to the diffusive length. The analytic results predicted the existence of a quasi-stationary radially-symmetric tumour configuration that is always linearly unstable to asymmetric perturbations involving spherical harmonics  $Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \phi)$ , with the range of the unstable modes depending on the dimension of the domain with respect to the diffusive length and on the motility parameter  $\beta$ , related to the chemotactic growth of the tumour. We remark that, whilst a MCTS growing inside an infinite homogeneous domain is marginally stable, *i.e.*  $\lambda = 0$  for  $\ell = 1^{11,15,50}$ , the proposed model is always linearly unstable, since translational symmetry is broken by considering a finite dimension of the surrounding media. Furthermore, differently from existing works  $^{2,8,9,14,15,17}$ , the perturbation analysis is conducted here without neglecting the diffusion timescale in the unstable growth rate.

The analysis of the perturbed field also pointed out a possible mechanism that could lead to the detachment of metastasis from the primary tumour mass, based on the development of higher velocity at the border of the MCTS and a convergent flow inside where protrusions form. This mechanism could explain why the propensity for asymmetric invasion and the installation of irregular morphology characterize the growth of aggressive carcinomas in vivo. Thus, this approach has the potential to foster our understanding on the process of transition from the benign to the aggressive tumour stage and might provide also some indications for improving therapeutic treatments. In-515 deed, more blurred and irregular contours detected in vivo 516 can be related to more malignant tumour, with respect to 517 smoother and clearer contours that can be associated to 518 benign carcinomas. 519

498



Figure 6. Evolution of the quasi-stationary and perturbed pressure and velocity fields for  $R_n = 1$ ,  $R_T^* = 35$ ,  $R_{out} = 50$  for a perturbation of the kind  $e^{\lambda t} Y_{10}^6(\theta, \varphi)$ . Since higher changes in the velocity and pressure field occurs only at the interface, we use a logarithmic scale in the velocity plot in order to show small variations of the perturbed field inside the bulk of the tumour. The perturbed velocity field highlights the existence of negative radial velocities (*i.e.* radial convergent flow), as pointed out in  ${}^{66,67}$ .

However, the present model considers a really simplified geometry and adopts some simplifications in order to obtain a model that can be studied analytically. Thus, future improvements of the proposed mathematical model should focus on the explicit description of quiescent cell region (that in the present model corresponds to the region of the spheroid in which we have an almost null velocity. and on tracking the evolution of the inner necrotic coresp occurring, for instance, when the nutrients concentration attains a specific value<sup>2,12</sup> (whereas in the present work the fixed radius  $R_N$  of the necrotic core is a parameter in the sensitivity analysis). Then, the stability analysis came be enriched by considering the weakly nonlinear interacoa tions of the asymmetric modes, as well as their evolutions depending on the order m of the spherical harmonic perturbation (as done for example in 15 in a simplified case) and numerical techniques should be developed in order take simulate the fully nonlinear evolution of the morphologies cal transition. 570

From the modelling point of view, future studies should also consider the effect of the cells populating the sum rounding healthy environment on the consumption of num trients and the effect of varying local densities (both inside the healthy tissue and inside the different tumor regions)s on the nutrient diffusion coefficient. Then, the effect of solid mechanical stresses on the growth dynamics of tum mours<sup>32,61,67,70–73</sup> and the effect of the possible deformance tion, degradation and reorganization of extracellular man trix fibres<sup>74–76</sup> should be included to move towards a more realistic representation of the problem. Indeed, for tumon growing both *in vivo* and in xenograft animal models, the description of the system evolution is far more complexes

than the one proposed in this work, referred to MCTS growth inside inert and rigid ECM scaffolds. In particubar, it has been shown that the geometrical and mechanical properties of the ECM<sup>74,75</sup> play an important role for the possible formation of metastasis, since they can lead to growth arrest (i.e. spheroid compartmentalization) or, on the contrary, foster the detachment of invasive cells. Along with the rigidity of the matrix, its density, and the tenside forces generated in the ECM  $^{74,75}$ , more recent studies identify the matrix pore size as the critical property modulating cancer cell invasion<sup>77,78</sup>. Based on these biological observations, some recent mathematical models have been developed to take into account, on one hand, MCTS segregation by thick porous (but still rigid and homogemeous) structures<sup>79-81</sup> and, on the other, ECM deformation<sup>82</sup>. Furthermore, not only ECM fibers can accumulate or being degraded at the host-MCTS interface, but they strongly reorganize, aligning parallel to the tumor border, in a first stage, and then perpendicular to the tumor boundary<sup>74</sup>.

Thus, to take into account all these aspects and more ealistically describe tumor growth in vivo, an anisotropic pero-elasto-visco-plastic model with a threshold (based on microscopic arguments) for cell motion should be developed. However in that case tumor irregular contours will likely arise for inhomogeneity and anisotropy in the ECM, whereas this work demonstrates that mechano-biological and (macroscopic) geometrical cues can determine the occurrence of a morphological transition in growing tumours that can promote invasiveness, even in an homogeneous environment. The theoretical results push towards the developments of further biological experiments for accu552

553

554

555

rate characterization of MCTS morphology and careful measures of the surface tension and the interstitial pressure within MCTSs <sup>59,83</sup>, as well as growth and mobility properties of the tumour cells to validate the predictions of the model. Indeed, the integration of mathematical tools in biological research could be crucial for estimating the tumour's ability to invade its host environment.

### 591 6 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the "Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro" (AIRC) through My First AIRC Grant 17412, partially supported by the "Start-up Packages and PhD Program" project, co-funded by Regione Lombardia through the "Fondo per lo sviluppo e la coesione 2007-2013 -formerly FAS", and by the "Progetto Giovani GNFM 2016".

### 599 References

- I. J. Folkman and M. Hochberg, "Self-regulation of growth in three dimensions," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 138, pp. 745–753, 1973.
- 2. H. Byrne and M. Chaplain, "Free boundary value problems associated with the growth and development of multicellular spheroids," *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, pp. 639–658, 1997.
- 3. R. Sutherland and R. Durand, "Growth and cellular characteristics of multicell spheroids," in *Spheroids ime Cancer Research* (H. Acker, J. Carlsson, R. Durandee and R. M. Sutherland, eds.), vol. 95 of *Recent Results in Cancer Research*, pp. 24–49, Springer Berlin Heir delberg, 1984.
  - K. Groebe and W. Mueller-Klieser, "Distributions of oxygen, nutrient and metabolic waste concentrations, in multicellular spheroids and their dependence on spheroid parameters," *European Biophysics Journal* vol. 19, pp. 169–181, 1991. 677
  - R. Sutherland, "Cell and environment interactions interactions interactions interactions interactions interactions: the multicell spheroid model," Science, vol. 240, no. 4849, pp. 177–184, 1988.
  - J. Folkman, "Tumour angiogenesis," Advances ign Cancer Research, vol. 19, pp. 331–358, 1974.
  - V. R. Muthukarruppan, L. Kubai, and R. Auerbach<sub>33</sub>
     "Tumour-induced neovascularisation in the mouse<sub>4</sub> eye," *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, vol. 69<sub>55</sub> pp. 699–705, 1982.
  - J. A. Adam, "A simplified mathematical model of tumor growth," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 81, pp. 229–244, 1986.
  - J. A. Adam, "A mathematical model of tumouso growth. ii. effects of geometry and spatial unifog<sub>91</sub> mity on stability," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 8692 pp. 183–211, 1987.
  - J. A. Adam and S. A. Maggelakis, "Diffusion regu<sub>54</sub> lated growth characteristics of a spherical prevasculags carcinoma," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 5296 pp. 549 – 582, 1990.

 H. Byrne and M. A. J. Chaplain, "Growth of non-necrotic tumours in the presence and absence of inhibitors," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 130, pp. 151–181, 1995.

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

- H. Byrne and M. A. J. Chaplain, "Growth of necrotic tumours in the presence and absence of inhibitors," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 135, pp. 187–216., 1996.
- M. A. J. Chaplain, Experimental and Theoretical Advances in Biological Pattern Formation, ch. The development of a spatial pattern in a model for cancer growth., pp. 45–60. Plenum Press, 1993.
- H. P. Greenspan, "Models for the growth of a solid tumour by diffusion," *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 52, pp. 317–340, 1972.
- H. Byrne, "A weakly nonlinear analysis of a model of avascular solid tumour growth," *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 59 – 89, 1999.
- S. A. Maggelakis and J. A. Adam, "Mathematical model of prevascular growth of a spherical carcinoma," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 13, pp. 23–38, 1990.
- D. L. S. McElwain and L. E. Morris, "Apoptosis as a volume loss mechanism in mathematical models of solid tumour growth," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 39, pp. 147–157, 1978.
- D. Ambrosi and L. Preziosi, "On the closure of mass balance models for tumor growth," *Mathemati*cal Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 737–754, 2002.
- 19. R. P. Araujo and D. L. S. McElwain, "A history of the study of solid tumour growth: The contribution
- of mathematical modeling," Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, vol. 66, pp. 1039–1091, 2004.
- <sup>611</sup> Biology, vol. 66, pp. 1039–1091, 2004.
   20. J. S. Lowengrub, H. B. Frieboes, F. Jin,
- 20. J. S. Lowengrub, H. B. Frieboes, F. Jin, Y. L. Chuang, X. Li P. Macklin, S. M. Wise and V. Cristini, "Non-
- <sup>613</sup> X. Li, P. Macklin, S. M. Wise, and V. Cristini, "Non<sup>614</sup> linear modelling of cancer: Bridging the gap between
  <sup>615</sup> cells and tumours," *Nonlinearity*, vol. 23, pp. R1–R91,
  <sup>616</sup> 2010.
- 21. D. McElwain and G. Pettet, "Cell migration in mul-
- ticell spheroids: Swimming against the tide," Bulletin
  of Mathematical Biology, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 655–674,
  1993.
- 22. C. Chen, H. Byrne, and J. King, "The influence of growth-induced stress from the surrounding medium
  on the development of multicell spheroids," *Journal*of *Mathematical Biology*, vol. 43, pp. 191–220, 2001.
- **23.** K. A. Landman and C. P. Please, "Tumour dynamics
- and necrosis: surface tension and stability," *Mathematical Medicine and Biology*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 131–
- <sup>628</sup> 158, 2001.
  <sup>624</sup> M. Steinberg, "Reconstruction of tissues by dissoci<sup>630</sup> ated cells. some morphogenetic tissue movements and
  <sup>631</sup> the sorting out of embryonic cells may have a common
  <sup>632</sup> explanation," *Science*, vol. 141, no. 3579, pp. 401–408,
  <sup>633</sup> 1963.
- **25**. R. Foty, G. Forgacs, C. Pflegerand, and M. Stein-<sup>635</sup> berg, "Liquid properties of embryonic tissues: Mea-<sup>636</sup> surement of interfacial tensions," *Physical Review Let*-<sup>637</sup>

ters, vol. 72, no. 14, pp. 2298-2301, 1994.

697

- 26.G. Forgacs, R. Foty, Y. Shafrir, and M. Steinberg, 698 "Viscoelastic properties of living embryonic tissues: 699 a quantitative study," Biophysical Journal, vol. 74, 700 no. 5, pp. 2227–2234, 1998. 701
- 27. G. Vitale and L. Preziosi, "A multhiphase model 702 of tumor and tissue growth including cell adhesion 703 and plastic reorganization," Mathematical Models and 704 Methods in Applied Sciences, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1901– 705 1932, 2011. 706
- 28. M. A. J. Chaplain and B. D. Sleeman, "Modelling 707 the growth of solid tumours and incorporating a 708 method for their classification using nonlinear elastic-709 ity theory," Journal of Mathematical Biology, vol. 31, 710 pp. 431–473, 1993. 711
- 29.R. Skalak, S. Zargaryan, R. K. Jain, P. A. Netti, and 712 A. Hoger, "Compatability and the genesis of residual 713 stress by volumetric growth," Journal of Mathemati-714 cal Biology, vol. 34, pp. 889-914, 1996. 715
- D. Ambrosi and F. Mollica, "The role of stress in the 30.716 growth of a multicell spheroid," Journal of Mathemat-717 ical Biology, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 477-499, 2004. 718
- T. Roose, P. A. Netti, L. L. Munn, Y. Boucher, and 31. 719 R. K. Jain, "Solid stress generated by spheroid growth 720 estimated using a linear poroelasticity model," Mi-721 crovascular Research, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 204–212, 2003. 722
- 32. C. Voutouri, F. Mpekris, P. Papageorgis, A. Odysseos, 723 and T. Stylianopoulos, "Role of constitutive behavior 724 and tumor-host mechanical interactions in the state of 725 stress and growth of solid tumors," PLoS ONE, vol. 9, 726 no. 8, p. e104717, 2014. 785
  - 33. R. Vandiver and A. Goriely, "Morpho-elastodynamicses The long-time dynamics of elastic growth," Journal of Biological Dynamics., vol. 3, pp. 180–195, 2009. 788
  - 34. T. Stylianopoulos, J. D. Martin, V. P. Chauhan, S. R<sup>89</sup> Jain, B. Diop-Frimpong, N. Bardeesy, B. L. Smitha C. R. Ferrone, F. J. Hornicek, Y. Boucher, L. L. Munna and R. K. Jain, "Causes, consequences, and remedies for growth-induced solid stress in murine and human tumors," PNAS, vol. 109, no. 38, pp. 15101–151084 2012.795
  - 35. D. Ambrosi and L. Preziosi, "Cell adhesion mechano nisms and stress relaxation in the mechanics of tu<sub>97</sub> mours," Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiolog ogy, vol. 8, pp. 397-413, 2009.
  - 36. D. Ambrosi, L. Preziosi, and G. Vitale, "The interes play between stress and growth in solid tumors," Men chanics Research Communications, vol. 42, pp. 87–9102 2012. Recent Advances in the Biomechanics of Growth and Remodeling.
  - 37. C. Giverso, M. Scianna, and A. Grillo, "Growing avasscular tumours as elasto-plastic bodies by the theory of evolving natural configurations," Mechanics Research Communications, vol. 68, pp. 31–39, 2015. 808
  - 38. B. Aigouy, R. Farhadifar, D. Staple, A. Sagnerso J. Röper, F. Jülicher, and S. Eaton, "Cell flow reorin ents the axis of planar polarity in the wing epithelium of drosophila," Cell, vol. 142, no. 5, pp. 773-786, 2010

- 39. T. Vasilica Stirbat, S. Tlili, T. Houver, J. P. Rieu, 755 C. Barentin, and H. Delanoë-Ayari, "Multicellular ag-756 gregates: a model system for tissue rheology," The Eu-757 ropean Physical Journal E, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 1–14, 758 2013.
- 40. J. Ranft, M. Basan, J. Elgeti, J. Joanny, J. Prost, and F. Jülicher, "Fluidization of tissues by cell division and apoptosis," PNAS, vol. 107, no. 49, pp. 20863-20868, 2010.
- 41. J. J. Casciari, S. V. Sotirchos, and R. M. Sutherland, "Mathematical modelling of microenvironment and growth in emt6/r0 multicellular tumour spheroids," Cell Proliferation, vol. 25, pp. 1–22, 1992.
- 42. M. Marusic, Z. Bajzer, J. P. Freyer, and S. Vuk-Pavlovic, "Analysis of growth of multicellular tumour spheroids by mathematical models," Cell Proliferation, vol. 27, pp. 73-94, 1994.
- 43. R. Muir, Muir's Textbook of Pathology. CRC Press, 15th edn ed., 2012.
- 44. S. S. Cross and D. W. K. Cotton, "The fractal dimension may be a useful morphometric discriminant in histopathology," The Journal of Pathology, vol. 166, pp. 409-411, 1992.
- 45. S. S. Cross, J. P. Bury, P. B. Silcocks, T. J. Stephenson, and D. W. K. Cotton, "Fractal geometric analvsis of colorectal polyps," The Journal of Pathology, vol. 172, pp. 317-323, 1994.
- 46. G. Landini and J. W. Rippin, "How important is tumour shape?," The Journal of Pathology, vol. 179, pp. 210-17, 1996.
- **4**7. R. Sutherland and R. E. Durand, "Radiation response
- of multicell spheroids: An in vitro tumour model," 728
- International Journal of Radiation Biology, vol. 23, 729
- pp. 235–246, 1973. 730
- 48. M. Tubiana, "The kinetics of tumour cell proliferation
- and radiotherapy," The British Journal of Radiology, 732 vol. 44, pp. 325–347, 1971. 733
- 49. H. P. Greenspan, "On the growth and stability of cell
- cultures and solid tumours," Journal of Theoretical 735 *Biology*, vol. 56, pp. 229–242, 1976. 736
- H. Byrne and M. Chaplain, "Modelling the role of 50. cell-cell adhesion in the growth and development of 738 carcinomas," Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 739
- vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 1–17, 1996. 740
- M. Espinosa, G. Ceballos-Cancino, R. Callaghan, 541.
- V. Maldonado, N. Patiño, V. Ruíz, and J. Meléndez-742 Zajgla, "Survivin isoform delta ex3 regulates tumor 743 spheroid formation," Cancer Letters, vol. 318, no. 1, 744 pp. 61-67, 2012. 745
- 52.A. Nyga, U. Cheema, and M. Loizidou, "3D tumour models: novel in vitro approaches to cancer studies," 747
- 748 Journal of Cell Communication and Signaling, vol. 5,
- 749 pp. 239–248, 2011.

813

- 53.S. Wise, J. Lowengrub, H. Frieboes, and V. Cristini,
- 751 "Three-dimensional multispecies nonlinear tumor
- growth-I Model and numerical method," Journal of 752
- Theoretical Biology, vol. 253, no. 3, pp. 524–543, 2008. 753
- A. Ramanathan, C. Wang, and S. Schreiber, "Pertur-54.bational profiling of a cell-line model of tumorigenesis

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

by using metabolic measurements," *PNAS*, vol. 102, no. 17, pp. 992–7, 2005.

55. H. Byrne and L. Preziosi, "Modelling solid tumour growth using the theory of mixtures," *Mathematical Medicine and Biology*, vol. 20, pp. 341–366, 2003.

12

814

815

- 56. K. J. Painter, "Continuous models for cell migration in tissues and applications to cell sorting via differential chemotaxis," *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 1117–1147, 2009.
- <sup>823</sup> 57. E. T. Roussos, J. S. Condeelis, and A. Patsialou, <sup>824</sup> "Chemotaxis in cancer," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, <sup>825</sup> vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 573–587, 2011.
- <sup>826</sup> 58. E. Keller and L. Segel, "Model for chemotaxis," *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, vol. 30, pp. 225–234, 1971.
- 59. A. Fathi-Azarbayjani and A. Jouyban, "Surface tension in human pathophysiology and its application as
  a medical diagnostic tool," *Bioimpacts*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 29–44, 2015.
- 60. T. Stirbat, A. Mgharbel, S. Bodennec, K. Ferri, H. Mertani, J.-P. Rieu, and H. Delanoë-Ayari, "Fine Tuning of Tissues' Viscosity and Surface Tension through Contractility Suggests a New Role for α-Catenin," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. e52554, 2013.
- 61. G. Helmlinger, P. A. Netti, H. C. Lichtenbeld, R. J.
  Melder, and R. K. Jain, "Solid stress inhibits the growth of multicellular tumor spheroids," *Nature Biotechnology*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 778–783, 1997.
- 841 62. A. Nayfeh, *Perturbation Methods*. John Wiley and
  842 Sons, 2000.
  - P. Ciarletta, "Free boundary morphogenesis in lives ing matter," *European Biophysics Journal*, vol. 4103 pp. 681–686, 2012.
  - C. Giverso, M. Verani, and P. Ciarletta, "Branchings instability in expanding bacterial colonies," *Journal* of *The Royal Society Interface*, vol. 12, no. 104, 2015a
  - 65. C. Giverso, M. Verani, and P. Ciarletta, "Emerges ing morphologies in round bacterial colonies: compares ing volumetric versus chemotactic expansion," *Biometric chanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*, pp. 1–19, 2015.
  - 66. M. Dorie, R. Kallman, D. Rapacchiettau D. Van Antwerp, and Y. Huang, "Migration and internalization of cells and polystyrene microsphenes in tumor cell spheroids," *Experimental Cell Researchy* vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 201–209, 1982.
  - M. Delarue, F. Montel, O. Caen, J. Elgeti, J. Mus Siaugue, D. Vignjevic, J. Prost, J. F. Joanny, and G. Cappello, "Mechanical control of cell flow in multized cellular spheroids," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 1102 p. 138103, 2013.
  - H. Frieboes, X. Zheng, C.-H. Sun, B. Tromberg<sub>33</sub> R. Gatenby, and V. Cristini, "An integrated computared tional/experimental model of tumor invasion," *Cancers Research*, vol. 66, pp. 1597–1604, 2006. 926
  - J. Langer, "Instabilities and pattern formation in crys<sup>27</sup> tal growth," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 52, no. <sup>1</sup>/<sub>28</sub> pp. 1–30, 1980.
  - 70. G. Cheng, J. Tse, R. Jain, and L. Munn, "Micross environmental mechanical stress controls tumon

spheroid size and morphology by suppressing proliferation and inducing apoptosis in cancer cells," *PLoS* 874 *ONE*, vol. 4, no. 2, p. e4632, 2009. 875

- 71. F. Montel, M. Delarue, J. Elgeti, L. Malaquin, M. Basan, T. Risler, B. Cabane, D. Vignjević, J. Prost, G. Cappello, and J. F. Joanny, "Stress clamp experiments on multicellular tumor spheroids," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 107, no. 18, p. 188102, 2011.
- 72. K. Alessandri, B. R. Sarangi, V. V. Gurchenkov, 881 B. Sinha, T. R. Kie $\beta$ ling, L. Fetler, F. Rico, S. Scheur-882 ing, C. Lamaze, A. Simon, S. Geraldo, D. Vign-883 jević, H. Doméjean, L. Rolland, A. Funfak, J. Bi-884 bette, N. Bremond, and P. Nassoy, "Cellular capsules 885 as a tool for multicellular spheroid production and 886 for investigating the mechanics of tumor progression 887 in vitro," PNAS, vol. 110, no. 37, pp. 14843–14848, 888 2013. 889
- 73. R. K. Jain, J. D. Martin, and T. Stylianopoulos, "The role of mechanical forces in tumor growth and therapy," *Annual review of biomedical engineering*, vol. 16, pp. 321–346, 2014.

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

- 74. K. Kopanska, Y. Alcheikh, R. Staneva, D. Vignjevic, and T. Betz, "Tensile Forces Originating from Cancer Spheroids Facilitate Tumor Invasion," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 11, no. 6, p. e0156442, 2016.
- 75. P. Provenzano, K. Eliceiri, J. Campbell, D. Inman, J. White, and P. Keely, "Collagen reorganization at the tumor-stromal interface facilitates local invasion," *BMC Med*, vol. 4, p. 38, 2006.
- 76. L. Kaufman, C. Brangwynne, K. Kasza, E. Filippidi,
- <sup>844</sup> V. Gordon, T. Deisboeck, and D. Weitz, "Glioma Ex-
- <sup>845</sup> pansion in Collagen I Matrices: Analyzing Collagen
- 846 Concentration-Dependent Growth and Motility Pat-
- <sup>847</sup> terns," *Biophysical Journal*, vol. 89, p. 635650, 2005.
- 747. K. Wolf, M. Te Lindert, M. Krause, S. Alexander,
- J. Te Riet, A. Willis, R. Hoffman, C. Figdor, S. Weiss,
- and P. Friedl, "Physical limits of cell migration: Con-
- trol by ECM space and nuclear deformation and tuning by proteolysis and traction force." *The Journal of*
- ing by proteolysis and traction force," *The Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 201, pp. 1069–1084, 2013.
- A. Haeger, M. Krause, K. Wolf, and F. P., "Cell jamming: collective invasion of mesenchymal tumor cells
   imposed by tissue confinement," *Biochimica et Bio-*
- <sup>857</sup> *physica Acta*, vol. 1840, no. 8, pp. 2386–95, 2014.
- 79. C. Giverso, A. Grillo, and L. Preziosi, "Influence of nu-
- clear deformability on cell entry into cylindrical struc-
- tures," Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiol-
- <sup>861</sup> ogy, vol. 13, pp. 481–502, 2014.
- **&O.** A. Arduino and L. Preziosi, "A multiphase model of tumour segregation in situ by a heterogeneous extra-
- cellular matrix," International Journal of Non-Linear
  Mechanics, vol. 75, pp. 22–30, 2015.
- **&1**. C. Giverso, A. Arduino, and L. Preziosi, "How Nucleus Mechanics and ECM Microstructure Influence
- the Invasion of Single Cells and Multicellular Aggregates," [submitted], 2016.
- 82. G. Sciumé, R. Santagiuliana, M. Ferrari, P. Decuzzi,
- <sup>871</sup> and B. Schrefler, "A tumor growth model with de-
- <sup>872</sup> formable ECM," *Physical Biology*, vol. 11, no. 6,

Chiara Giverso, Pasquale Ciarletta: On the morphological stability of multicellular tumour spheroids

- p. 065004, 2014. 932
- R. K. Jain, "Barriers to drug delivery in solid tu-mours," *Scientific American*, vol. 271, no. 1, pp. 58– 933
- 934
- 65, 1994.935