



HAL
open science

Two-component self-assemblies: investigation of a synergy between bis-urea stickers

Emilie Ressouche, Sandrine Pensec, Benjamin Isare, Jacques Jestin, Laurent Bouteiller

► **To cite this version:**

Emilie Ressouche, Sandrine Pensec, Benjamin Isare, Jacques Jestin, Laurent Bouteiller. Two-component self-assemblies: investigation of a synergy between bis-urea stickers. *Langmuir*, 2016, 32 (44), pp.11664-11671. 10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b03325 . hal-01383235

HAL Id: hal-01383235

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01383235>

Submitted on 18 Oct 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Two-component self-assemblies: investigation of a synergy between bis-urea stickers

Emilie Ressouche,[†] Sandrine Pensec,[†] Benjamin Isare,[†] Jacques Jestin,[‡] and Laurent Bouteiller^{†}*

[†] Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, Equipe Chimie des Polymères, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France

[‡] Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, UMR 12 CNRS-CEA, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

ABSTRACT. It is of interest to develop two-component systems for added flexibility in the design of supramolecular polymers, nanofibers or organogels. Bis-ureas are known to self-assemble by hydrogen bonding into long supramolecular objects. We show here that mixing aromatic bis-ureas with slightly different structures can yield surprisingly large synergistic effects. A strong increase in viscosity is observed when a bis-urea with the sterically demanding 2,4,6-trimethylbenzene spacer is combined with a bis-urea bearing no methyl group in position 2 of the aromatic spacer (i.e. 4-methylbenzene or 4,6-dimethylbenzene). This effect is the consequence of a change in supramolecular assembly triggered by the composition of the mixture. The mixture of complementary bis-ureas forms rod-like objects that are more stable by about 1 kJ/mol, and that are thicker than the rod-like objects formed by both parent systems.

Introduction

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Self-assembly of small molecules into large objects of various topologies is of interest in many fields. For instance, self-assembled 1D objects (supramolecular polymers,^{1,2} nanofibers,³ organogels^{4,5}) are often developed for their rheological properties or as templates in material science. On surfaces, self-assembled monolayers allow to create functional nanosystems with implications for surface chirality, nanoporous surfaces, optoelectronics or surface reactivity.^{6,7,8} Control of the self-assembly process in 3D can lead to composite crystals⁹ or to porous frameworks.^{10,11,12} While some of these systems may involve a single component bearing a self-complementary sticker that is designed to self-assemble into large objects, it is clearly of interest to develop two-component systems for added flexibility in the design of the properties and to be able to trigger the assembly at will.^{13,14} Usually the complementarity between stickers is obvious from their molecular structure, as in the case of metal/ligand,^{15,16} host/guest,^{17,18} acid/base,^{19,20} donor/acceptor^{21,22} or complementary hydrogen bonded^{23,24,25,26} systems. In contrast, we previously reported the fact that bis-ureas **T** and **M** (Figure 1) form 1D hydrogen bonded co-assemblies that are more stable than each homo-assembly.²⁷ In this case, the origin of the complementarity between the stickers is not obvious because they are both composed of the same urea moieties, but we actually showed that the complementarity results from subtle steric effects (see below).²⁷ We recently employed these complementary bis-urea stickers for the rational design of two-component gels,²⁸ and the aim of the present manuscript is to characterize in detail the structure and the stability of these co-assemblies.

48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Experimental Section

Synthesis. The synthesis of **T**,²⁹ **M**,²⁷ **X**,³⁰ **T**_{PIB},³¹ and **X**_{PIB}²⁸ was previously reported. The synthesis and the characterization of **M**_{PIB} are reported in Supporting Information.

1
2
3 **Viscosimetry.** Measurements were performed with an Anton Paar AMVn falling ball
4
5
6 microviscosimeter with a 0.16 mm \varnothing capillary, with 3 measurements at an angle of +20° and -
7
8 20°. Results are reported as an average of those 6 measurements.
9

10
11 **Small-angle neutron scattering.** Small-angle neutron scattering measurements were made at
12
13 the LLB (Saclay, France) on the Pace instrument, at two distance-wavelength combinations to
14
15 cover the $4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ to 0.24 \AA^{-1} q-range, where the scattering vector q is defined as usual, assuming
16
17 elastic scattering ($q=(4\pi/\lambda)\sin(\theta/2)$, where θ is the angle between incident and scattered beam).
18
19 Data were corrected for the empty cell signal and the solute and solvent incoherent background.
20
21 A light water standard was used to normalize the scattered intensities to cm^{-1} units.
22
23
24
25

26
27 The data was fitted with the DANSE software SasView. The simplest form factor that allowed
28
29 to fit all the mixtures at both temperatures was the form factor of a cylinder with an elliptical
30
31 cross-section and a homogeneous contrast. The scattering length densities for toluene- D_8 (5.66
32
33 10^{-6} \AA^{-2}) and for the low molar mass bis-urea **M** ($6.78 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ \AA}^{-2}$) were calculated from the atomic
34
35 bound coherent scattering lengths. The other samples contained the polymeric bis-urea **X_{PIB}**, so
36
37 that the cylinder can be considered to contain a significant amount of solvent. Therefore, the
38
39 scattering length density for all the mixtures containing **X_{PIB}** was adjusted during the
40
41 simultaneous fit of all the data. The common value that allowed to fit all the systems was 2.00
42
43 10^{-6} \AA^{-2} . Three parameters were adjusted for each sample: the length of the cylinder and the
44
45 minor and major radii of the elliptical cross-section.
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Differential scanning calorimetry.** Solution-phase differential scanning calorimetry
52
53 measurements were performed on a high sensitivity TA Instruments nDSC III system (baseline
54
55 noise $\pm 15 \text{ nW}$). The sample cell (0.3mL) was filled with the bis-urea solution and the reference
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 cell with the corresponding solvent. The capillary cells were not capped, and a constant pressure
4 of $5 \cdot 10^5$ Pa was applied. The solutions (2 g/L), were analyzed between 10 and 80 °C, using 3 full
5
6 heating/cooling cycles, at 1 °C min^{-1} . The transition temperature, T^{**} , was measured from the
7
8 second heating scan.
9
10

11
12
13 **Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy.** Solution spectra were measured on a Nicolet
14
15 iS10 spectrometer in KBr cells of 1.0 mm pathlength and are corrected for air, solvent and cell
16
17 absorption.
18
19

20
21
22 **Isothermal titration calorimetry.** Data were recorded on a Microcal VP-ITC calorimeter,
23
24 injecting a 0.05 mM toluene solution of **M** into 0.05 mM toluene solutions of **M**_{PIB} or **X**_{PIB}.
25
26 Injections of 10 μL over 20 seconds were performed every 600 seconds at a stirring rate of 260
27
28 rpm.
29
30

31 32 33 **Results and Discussion**

34
35
36 **Comparison of the stickers.** Bis-urea **T** (Figure 1) was shown to self-assemble by hydrogen
37
38 bonding into two distinct supramolecular structures of high molar masses. In toluene both
39
40 structures are in competition, and it is possible to switch the assembly from one structure to the
41
42 other by changing the temperature.³² The high temperature structure is a long filament with a
43
44 single bis-urea in the cross-section,³³ that is responsible for the formation of viscous solutions.
45
46 The low-temperature structure is a very long and rigid tube with three bis-ureas in the cross-
47
48 section, that forms viscoelastic solutions (see Figure 2a for a schematic representation).³⁴ Bis-
49
50 ureas **X** and **M** self-assemble into the same supramolecular structures, but their relative stabilities
51
52 are strongly affected by the substitution on the aromatic spacer. The presence of the methyl
53
54 groups in positions 4 and 6 stabilizes the tube form of **X** because the repulsion between the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 methyl and carbonyl groups enforces a noncoplanar conformation of the urea and phenyl
4
5 moieties and better preorganizes the monomer for hydrogen bonding in the tube structure.³⁰ In
6
7
8 contrast, the additional presence of a methyl group in position 2 destabilizes the tube form of **M**
9
10 because this methyl group is placed within the tube cavity, where it competes with the entry of
11
12 solvent molecules.²⁷ As a consequence, at 10 mM in toluene, the tube structure is stable up to
13
14 43°C for bis-urea with tolyl spacer (**T**),³² up to 110°C for bis-urea with xylyl spacer (**X**)³⁰ and
15
16 only below -5°C for bis-urea with mesityl spacer (**M**),²⁷ so that at room temperature both **T** and
17
18 **X** form viscoelastic solutions but not **M** (Figure 1). Mixing bis-ureas **T** and **M** significantly
19
20 improves the stability of the viscoelastic solution (up to 73°C at 10 mM in toluene),²⁷ which
21
22 shows that the tubes with a mixed composition are considerably more stable than any of the pure
23
24 tubes. This synergistic effect was attributed to the enhanced preorganization of bis-urea **M** and to
25
26 the wider cavity created by bis-urea **T**: addition of bis-urea **M** to the **T/M** mixture is favorable
27
28 only if its content is low enough, so that the tubular cavity is still large enough to accommodate
29
30 the solvent molecules.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 1. Bis-urea structures (top). Appearance of bis-urea solutions and their 1/1 mixtures, at 10 mM (ca 4 g/L) in toluene. From left to right: **T**, **M**, **X**, **T/M**, **M/X**, **T/X** (middle: immediately after turning the vials upside down; bottom: 6 days later).




Figure 2. a) Schematic supramolecular structures for low molar mass bis-ureas, where the red dotted lines represent the hydrogen bonds between urea groups.^{32,33,34} b) Proposed schematic supramolecular structures for the $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ mixtures.

Building on these observations, we first decided to investigate if similar or even stronger synergistic effects could be obtained with the other combinations. Figure 1 shows the appearance of solutions of \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{X} and their equimolar mixtures: although qualitative, this test shows that the composition of the solutions has a strong effect on their flow behavior. The solutions flow in the following order: $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{T} < \mathbf{T}/\mathbf{X} \ll \mathbf{T}/\mathbf{M} \ll \mathbf{X} \ll \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}$, which means that both \mathbf{T}/\mathbf{M} and \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X} mixtures flow more slowly than their pure components, but not the \mathbf{T}/\mathbf{X} mixture. This indicates that the presence of the bis-urea with the mesityl spacer (\mathbf{M}) is essential to obtain a clear-cut synergy, which is in line with the previous explanation that the methyl group in position 2 of the aromatic spacer allows to stabilize the tube assembly, but only if mixed with a less sterically demanding bis-urea. Among the various systems tested, the \mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X} mixture forms a particularly strong gel that is worth investigating in detail. However, bis-urea \mathbf{X} forms metastable

1
2
3 solutions that yield a fine precipitate after several weeks,³⁵ which complicates structural and
4 thermodynamic studies. Therefore, we considered the bis-ureas made of the same stickers linked
5 to small polyisobutene (PIB) side-chains in the hope that the PIB chains would improve the
6 solubility in non-polar solvents: bis-ureas \mathbf{T}_{PIB} , \mathbf{M}_{PIB} and \mathbf{X}_{PIB} (Figure 1) are indeed perfectly
7 soluble in toluene. Table S2 summarizes the appearance of the mixtures combining any low
8 molar mass bis-urea (\mathbf{T} , \mathbf{M} or \mathbf{X}) to any polymeric bis-urea (\mathbf{T}_{PIB} , \mathbf{M}_{PIB} or \mathbf{X}_{PIB}). This table shows
9 that $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{T}_{\text{PIB}}$ and $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ are systems of interest since they form gels, unlike their pure
10 components. Some of the other mixtures may also be of interest, but the effect may be hidden by
11 the fact that bis-ureas \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{X} already form a gel in these conditions.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Therefore, infrared spectroscopy was used to discriminate more sensitively the systems of
27 interest, because the shape of the N-H vibration band is known to be a characteristic signature for
28 the filament and tube structures.³² Figure 3 shows the relevant part of the spectra for the $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$
29 mixture. The three spectra show two bands at 3330 and 3270 cm^{-1} that are due to hydrogen
30 bonded N-H urea groups (aliphatic N-H and aromatic N-H, respectively). The broadness of these
31 bands for \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{X}_{PIB} is characteristic for the filament structure, whereas the narrower bands in
32 the case of their mixture is characteristic for the tube structure.^{27,32} Therefore, the nature of the
33 assemblies can be estimated from the ratio of absorbances between the maximum (at 3330 cm^{-1})
34 and the minimum (at 3300 cm^{-1}). From the data on Figure 3, it is clear that the $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ and $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{T}_{\text{PIB}}$
35 mixtures form synergistic co-assemblies because their $A_{\text{max}}/A_{\text{min}}$ ratio is larger than for both pure
36 components. The effect is less obvious, but may also be present in the case of $\mathbf{T}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$, $\mathbf{T}/\mathbf{M}_{\text{PIB}}$,
37 $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{T}_{\text{PIB}}$, $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ and $\mathbf{X}/\mathbf{M}_{\text{PIB}}$ mixtures for which the $A_{\text{max}}/A_{\text{min}}$ ratio is larger than the average
38 values of the pure components, meaning that the component that forms the tube structure on its
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

own (**T** or **X**), converts at least part of the other component (**T**_{PIB}, **X**_{PIB} or **M**_{PIB}) from the filament to the tube structure.








Figure 3. Top: FTIR spectra for solutions of **M**, **X**_{PIB} and their 1/1 mixture in toluene (5 mM, 25°C) showing the different structure for the mixture. Bottom: ratio of FTIR bands (A_{\max}/A_{\min}) for mixtures in toluene (5 mM). Ratio values $A_{\max}/A_{\min} \leq 1.2$ (resp. $1.3 \leq A_{\max}/A_{\min}$) are characteristic for the filament (resp. tube) structure.³² See Table S3 for the tabulated values.

While all the previous tests were performed with equimolar amounts of both components, it is of interest to see if unbalanced mixtures can also display some synergistic co-assembly. Figure 4 shows capillary viscosity measurements of **T**_{PIB}, **M**_{PIB} or **X**_{PIB} solutions at a fixed concentration

(40 g/L) in which a low amount (less than 10 mol%) of **T**, **M** or **X** was added. In these conditions, only two systems display a strong increase in viscosity ($\mathbf{M/X_{PIB}}$ and $\mathbf{X/X_{PIB}}$), and the former system is the most interesting because the viscosity of the mixture is much larger than the viscosity of both pure components. Therefore, this system was selected for further characterization.



1
2
3 **Figure 4.** Relative viscosity of 40 g/L (16 mM) solutions of \mathbf{T}_{PIB} , \mathbf{M}_{PIB} or \mathbf{X}_{PIB} with low amounts
4 of \mathbf{T} (a), \mathbf{M} (b) or \mathbf{X} (c) (toluene, 20°C). The points marked with an asterisk indicate solutions
5
6 that were too viscous for capillary viscosity measurement.
7
8
9

10
11 **Structural and thermodynamic study of the $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ mixture.** First of all, the temperature
12 stability of the supramolecular structures was probed by a combination of VT-FTIR spectroscopy
13 and DSC. Figure 5 shows the typical broadening of the FTIR spectra at high temperatures that is
14 characteristic of the transition from the tube to the filament structure (see above). The transition
15 temperature ($T^{**} = 70^\circ\text{C}$) was measured as the mid-point in the plot of the $A_{\text{max}}/A_{\text{min}}$ ratio.
16
17 Figure 6 shows the DSC trace obtained for the same system: the transition is detected through an
18 endothermic peak ($T^{**} = 68^\circ\text{C}$, $\Delta H^{**} = 6.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$). A significant hysteresis is noticed between
19 the heating and cooling curves, but this transition is perfectly reversible, with heating curves that
20 superimpose over at least four heating-cooling cycles. This calorimetric data was obtained for
21 various compositions and is plotted on Figure 6: it shows that the addition of \mathbf{X}_{PIB} to \mathbf{M} stabilizes
22 the tube structure by about $\Delta T^{**} = 75^\circ\text{C}$.³⁶ Moreover, the curve has a very broad maximum,
23 which indicates that the optimal composition is close to 1/1, but that deviation from this
24 stoichiometry is not critical. From this calorimetric data, it is also possible to estimate the free
25 energy gain of the $\mathbf{M}/\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}$ tube of 1/1 composition compared to the pure \mathbf{M} tubes through
26 equation 1.³⁷
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

$$48 \Delta\Delta G = \Delta H^{**} \frac{\Delta T^{**}}{T^{**}} \sim 1 \text{ kJ/mol (1)}$$

49
50
51 This value can be compared to the free energy for the formation of such hydrogen bonded
52 supramolecular polymers: in the case of bis-urea \mathbf{T} , the association constant for the chain
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 elongation (K) was measured by calorimetry in toluene at 25°C.³⁰ This association constant is
4
5
6 related to the free energy of tube growth through equation 2.
7

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K = -34 \text{ kJ/mol} \quad (2)$$

8
9
10 Therefore, the stabilization induced by the complementarity between stickers represents only a
11
12 small fraction of the overall system.
13
14




Figure 5. Top: FTIR spectra of a M/X_{PIB} solution at 4g/L in toluene (1/1 molar fraction).
Bottom: ratio of FTIR bands (A_{\max}/A_{\min}) versus temperature for the same solution, compared to the pure M and X_{PIB} solutions.




Figure 6. Top: DSC thermogram for the M/X_{PIB} mixture (1/1 molar fraction) in toluene, at a total concentration of 2 g/L. Two cycles of heating and cooling are displayed (1°C/min). Bottom: Transition temperature T^{**} (heating scan) versus composition measured by DSC (black) or FTIR (blue). Hollow points represent the enthalpy of the transition.

The evolution of the transition temperature versus composition (Figure 6) is actually confirmed at the macroscopic level by viscosity measurements (Figure 7) that show a strong increase of viscosity for compositions centered around 1/1. The higher the temperature, the narrower the composition range where a gel is formed, and at 80°C (i.e. above the maximum of T^{**}) no increase in viscosity is detected for the mixtures.

1
2
3
4 In order to directly evaluate the enthalpic gain of combining **M** and **X_{PIB}** molecules, we
5
6 attempted an Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC) experiment where a solution of **M** in
7
8 toluene is injected into a solution of **X_{PIB}** at the same concentration. The concentration of the
9
10 experiment had to be lowered to 0.05 mM to reduce the baseline noise due to the viscosity of the
11
12 solution. Figure 8 shows the corresponding heat flow measured in comparison with a blank
13
14 experiment where the **M** solution is injected into a **M_{PIB}** solution at the same concentration, i.e.
15
16 where no structural transition is expected because the bis-urea sticker is the same and at the same
17
18 concentration in the cell and in the syringe. The blank experiment yields comparably small and
19
20 constant exothermic heat effects that are probably mainly due to the friction during the
21
22 injections. In contrast the injection of the **M** solution into the **X_{PIB}** solution triggers a significant
23
24 exothermic signal when the composition in the calorimetric cell is rich enough in **M**
25
26 ($[\mathbf{M}]/([\mathbf{M}]+[\mathbf{X}_{\text{PIB}}]) > 0.14$). The magnitude of this exothermic signal is unfortunately difficult to
27
28 analyze quantitatively because of the continuously increasing viscosity during the experiment,
29
30 which entails an increasing contribution of the friction. Nevertheless, the result is in good
31
32 agreement with the data of Figure 6: the addition of **M** to the **X_{PIB}** solution produces a significant
33
34 heat effect only above a critical composition, which probably corresponds to the composition of
35
36 the filament to tube transition (at 20 °C and 0.05 mM).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60






Figure 7. Relative viscosity of 2 g/L solutions of M/X_{PIB} in toluene, at various temperatures. The points marked with an asterisk indicate solutions that were too viscous for capillary viscosity measurement.



1
2
3 **Figure 8.** ITC data. Top: heat effect produced by injecting 10 μL aliquots of a 0.05 mM solution
4 of **M** in toluene into a 0.05 mM solution of **M**_{PIB} (blue) or **X**_{PIB} (red) in toluene ($T = 20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).
5
6
7
8 Bottom: corresponding enthalpograms.
9

10
11 Finally, the structure of the assemblies was probed directly by Small Angle Neutron Scattering
12 (SANS) to confirm our interpretation of the transition between two supramolecular structures.
13
14 Figure 9 shows the scattered intensity for various **M/X**_{PIB} compositions at 20 and at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$. At 20
15
16 $^\circ\text{C}$, all curves are characterized at low angles by a q^{-1} dependence of the scattered intensity over
17
18 one decade. This feature is typical of long and rigid objects. In addition, at larger angles, the
19
20 systems that contain at least 10% of **X**_{PIB} show a q^{-2} dependence of the scattered intensity that is
21
22 typical of solvated polymer chains. The combination of the q^{-1} dependence at low q and the q^{-2}
23
24 dependence at large q is a signature for the presence of rod-like objects that are decorated with
25
26 solvated chains, i.e., hairy rods.³⁸ Importantly, the fact that the scattered intensity for the
27
28 mixtures containing 25% or 50% **M** is higher than the intensities for both pure solutions proves
29
30 that **M** and **X**_{PIB} co-assemble into a different and thicker structure than the pure components.
31
32 Indeed, the scattered intensity in the q^{-1} region depends only on the contrast and on the mass per
33
34 unit length of the rods. Since the contrast of the mixture is the average of the contrast for the pure
35
36 components, only a change in mass per unit length of the objects can explain the experimental
37
38 data.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 9. SANS intensity (I) versus scattering vector (q) for solutions of \mathbf{M} or \mathbf{X}_{PIB} at a concentration of 6 g/L in toluene- d_8 and for their mixtures (molar fraction). Top: 20 °C. Bottom: 80 °C. The continuous lines are fits according to the form factor of a rod-like cylinder with an elliptical cross-section and homogeneous contrast. See Figures S1 to S6 for the other fits.

1
2
3 At 80 °C, all curves (except for pure **M**) reach a constant intensity at low angles showing that
4 the objects are much shorter than at 20°C.
5
6

7
8 At the concentration of the experiment (6 g/L), the interactions between objects can be
9 neglected.²⁹ Therefore, the fit of the data was attempted with various form factors of rigid
10 cylinders. The simplest one that can describe the whole data set is the form factor for a rigid
11 cylinder with an elliptical cross-section and a uniform contrast. Only three parameters were
12 adjusted for each curve: the length of the cylinder and the minor and major radii of the elliptical
13 cross-section. The other parameters (the scattering length densities and the volume fraction) were
14 *a priori* evaluated from the known composition, as explained in the Experimental Section. The
15 fits are displayed on Figures S1 to S6 while the evolution of the geometrical parameters deduced
16 from these fits is shown on Figure 10. At 80°C, both the length of the objects and the dimensions
17 of the cross-section evolve gradually with the composition between those of the pure systems.
18 Therefore, there is no indication of any change in structure with the composition, which is in
19 agreement with the DSC data that showed that 80°C lies above the transition for all
20 compositions. Nevertheless, the addition of the low molar mass bis-urea **M** to the polymeric bis-
21 urea **X_{PIB}** induces an increase of the length of the objects, but this is probably simply due to a
22 reduced steric hindrance. In contrast, at 20°C, the cross-section of the objects is larger for some
23 intermediate compositions (25 and 50 mol% of **M**) than for the pure systems. This feature can
24 only be explained if the structure of the assembly at the molecular scale changes with the
25 composition. Following the spectroscopic data discussed above, we propose that all the systems
26 at 80°C and the pure systems at 20°C are assembled into the filament structure that contains a
27 single molecule in the cross-section (Figure 2). In contrast, the 50/50 mixed system at 20°C is
28 assembled into the tube structure that contains three molecules in the cross-section. The
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 coherence with the SANS data is obvious for the filament structure where the elliptical shape of
5
6 the cross-section comes from the shape of the monomers. Moreover, the major radius measured
7
8 for M and X_{PIB} correspond to the respective size of the molecules. At first glance, in the case of
9
10 the tube structure, one could expect a circular cross-section rather than an elliptical one.
11
12 However, the presence of two X_{PIB} molecules at the same level along the tube axis is probably
13
14 disfavored because of steric repulsion between the PIB chains. Therefore, locally, the cross-
15
16 section is probably not circular. Of course, at larger length scale the orientations of X_{PIB}
17
18 molecules along the tube axis are not expected to be correlated, so that the projection of the
19
20 assembly along its axis is probably isotropic. For a given composition, the increase in both major
21
22 and minor radii on lowering the temperature from 80 to 20°C is then probably due to the
23
24 increased thickness of the structure at the scale of the urea functions (one versus three bis-ureas
25
26 in the cross-section) and also to a more extended conformation of the PIB chains because of the
27
28 shorter distances between X_{PIB} molecules in the tube structure.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36






Figure 10. Plot of the parameters obtained by fitting the SANS data of Figure 9 with the form factor of a rod-like cylinder with an elliptical cross-section. See Figures S1 to S6 for the fits. Top: major radius (full symbol) and minor radius (hollow symbol) of the cross-section. Bottom: length of the cylinder. Blue: data at 20°C; red: data at 80°C. Note that the transition temperature between the tube and filament structure lies between 20 and 80°C only for the mixtures (see Figure 6).

Conclusion

Mixing bis-ureas with slightly different structures can yield surprisingly large synergistic effects, as shown here in the case of bis-ureas with an aromatic spacer. A strong increase in viscosity is observed when a bis-urea with the sterically demanding 2,4,6-trimethylbenzene spacer is combined with a bis-urea bearing no methyl group in position 2 of the aromatic spacer (i.e. 4-methylbenzene or 4,6-dimethylbenzene). This effect is the consequence of a change in supramolecular assembly triggered by the composition of the mixture. The mixture of complementary bis-ureas forms rod-like objects that are more stable by about 1 kJ/mol, and that are thicker than the rod-like objects formed by both parent systems.

1
2
3 ASSOCIATED CONTENT
4
5

6
7 **Supporting Information.** Synthetic procedure and additional FTIR and SANS data.
8
9

10
11
12 AUTHOR INFORMATION
13
1415 **Corresponding Author**
16

17
18 * E-mail: laurent.bouteiller@upmc.fr
19
20

21 ACKNOWLEDGMENT
22
23

24 LLB (Saclay) is acknowledged for providing beamtime for SANS experiments. We thank
25
26
27 BASF company for the gift of a Kerocom PIBA sample. This work benefited from DANSE
28
29 software developed under NSF award DMR-0520547.
30
31

32
33 REFERENCES
34

- 35 (1) De Greef, T. F. A.; Smulders, M. M. J.; Wolffs, M.; Schenning, A. P. H. J.; Sijbesma, R.
36 P.; Meijer, E. W. Supramolecular Polymerization. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 5687–5754.
37
38 (2) Zhao, D.; Moore, J. S. Nucleation-Elongation: A Mechanism for Cooperative
39 Supramolecular Polymerization. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, *1*, 3471–3491.
40
41 (3) Stupp, S. I.; Palmer, L. C. Supramolecular Chemistry and Self-Assembly in Organic
42 Materials Design. *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 507–518.
43
44 (4) Weiss, R. G. The Past, Present, and Future of Molecular Gels. What Is the Status of the
45 Field, and Where Is It Going?. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7519–7530.
46
47 (5) Ajayaghosh, A.; Praveen, V. K.; Vijayakumar, C. Organogels as Scaffolds for Excitation
48 Energy Transfer and Light Harvesting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 109–122.
49
50 (6) Elemans, J. A. A. W.; Lei, S.; De Feyter, S. Molecular and Supramolecular Networks on
51 Surfaces: From Two-Dimensional Crystal Engineering to Reactivity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*
52 **2009**, *48*, 7298–7332.
53
54 (7) Lin, N.; Stepanow, S.; Ruben, M.; Barth, J. V. Surface-Confined Supramolecular
55 Coordination Chemistry. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2009**, *287*, 1–44.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (8) Ciesielski, A.; El Garah, M.; Masiero, S.; Samori, P. Self-Assembly of Natural and Unnatural Nucleobases at Surfaces and Interfaces. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 83–95.
- (9) Hosseini, M. W. Self-Assembly and Generation of Complexity. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5825–5829.
- (10) Inokuma, Y.; Kawano, M.; Fujita, M. Crystalline Molecular Flasks. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 349–358.
- (11) Seoane, B.; Castellanos, S.; Dikhtiarenko, A.; Kapteijn, F.; Gascon, J. Multi-Scale Crystal Engineering of Metal Organic Frameworks. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *307*, 147–187.
- (12) Shimizu, L. S.; Salpage, S. R.; Korous, A. A. Functional Materials from Self-Assembled Bis-Urea Macrocycles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2116–2127.
- (13) Hirst, A. R.; Smith, D. K. Two-Component Gel-Phase Materials - Highly Tunable Self-Assembling Systems. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 5496–5508.
- (14) Buerkle, L. E.; Rowan, S. J. Supramolecular Gels Formed from Multi-Component Low Molecular Weight Species. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 6089–6102.
- (15) Lindoy, L. F.; Park, K.-M.; Lee, S. S. Metals, Macrocycles and Molecular Assemblies - Macrocyclic Complexes in Metallo-Supramolecular Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 1713–1727.
- (16) Barboiu, M.; Stadler, A.-M.; Lehn, J.-M. Controlled Folding, Motional, and Constitutional Dynamic Processes of Polyheterocyclic Molecular Strands. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4130–4154.
- (17) Dong, S.; Zheng, B.; Wang, F.; Huang, F. Supramolecular Polymers Constructed from Macrocyclic-Based Host-Guest Molecular Recognition Motifs. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 1982–1994.
- (18) Chen, G.; Jiang, M. Cyclodextrin-Based Inclusion Complexation Bridging Supramolecular Chemistry and Macromolecular Self-Assembly. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 2254–2266.
- (19) Dastidar, P. Supramolecular Gelling Agents: Can They Be Designed?. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 2699–2715.
- (20) Hardy, J. G.; Hirst, A. R.; Smith, D. K. Exploring Molecular Recognition Pathways in One- and Two-Component Gels Formed by Dendritic Lysine-Based Gelators. *Soft Matter* **2012**, *8*, 3399–3406.
- (21) Das, A.; Ghosh, S. Supramolecular Assemblies by Charge-Transfer Interactions between Donor and Acceptor Chromophores. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2038–2054.
- (22) Ikkanda, B. A.; Iverson, B. L. Exploiting the Interactions of Aromatic Units for Folding and Assembly in Aqueous Environments. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 7752–7759.

- 1
2
3 (23) Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Polymer Chemistry-Scope and Perspectives. *Polym. Int.*
4 **2002**, *51*, 825–839.
5
6
7 (24) Yang, S. K.; Zimmerman, S. C. Hydrogen Bonding Modules for Use in Supramolecular
8 Polymers. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2013**, *53*, 511–520.
9
10 (25) Marangoni, T.; Bonifazi, D. Nano- and Microstructuration of Supramolecular Materials
11 Driven by H-Bonded uracil·2,6-Diamidopyridine Complexes. *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 8837–8851.
12
13 (26) Elacqua, E.; Lye, D. S.; Weck, M. Engineering Orthogonality in Supramolecular
14 Polymers: From Simple Scaffolds to Complex Materials. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2405–2416.
15
16 (27) Isare, B.; Linares, M.; Lazzaroni, R.; Bouteiller, L. Engineering the Cavity of Self-
17 Assembled Dynamic Nanotubes. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 3360–3364.
18
19 (28) Ressouche, E.; Pensec, S.; Isare, B.; Ducouret, G.; Bouteiller, L. Rational Design of
20 Urea-Based Two-Component Organogelators. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2016**, *5*, 244–247.
21
22 (29) Lortie, F.; Boileau, S.; Bouteiller, L.; Chassenieux, C.; Deme, B.; Ducouret, G.; Jalabert,
23 M.; Laupretre, F.; Terech, P. Structural and Rheological Study of a Bis-Urea Based Reversible
24 Polymer in an Apolar Solvent. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 7218–7222.
25
26 (30) Isare, B.; Pembouong, G.; Boue, F.; Bouteiller, L. Conformational Control of Hydrogen-
27 Bonded Aromatic Bis-Ureas. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 7535–7541.
28
29 (31) Pensec, S.; Nouvel, N.; Guilleman, A.; Creton, C.; Boue, F.; Bouteiller, L. Self-Assembly
30 in Solution of a Reversible Comb-Shaped Supramolecular Polymer. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*,
31 2529–2534.
32
33 (32) Bouteiller, L.; Colombani, O.; Lortie, F.; Terech, P. Thickness Transition of a Rigid
34 Supramolecular Polymer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 8893–8898.
35
36 (33) Brocorens, P.; Linares, M.; Guyard-Duhayon, C.; Guillot, R.; Andrioletti, B.; Suhr, D.;
37 Isare, B.; Lazzaroni, R.; Bouteiller, L. Conformational Plasticity of Hydrogen Bonded Bis-Urea
38 Supramolecular Polymers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 5379–5386.
39
40 (34) Shikata, T.; Nishida, T.; Isare, B.; Linares, M.; Lazzaroni, R.; Bouteiller, L. Structure and
41 Dynamics of a Bisurea-Based Supramolecular Polymer in N-Dodecane. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2008**,
42 *112*, 8459–8465.
43
44 (35) Bis-ureas **T** and **M** form homogeneous solutions in toluene by simply stirring at room
45 temperature, and these solutions are stable for unlimited periods of time. In contrast, bis-urea **X**
46 only dissolves at high temperature and remains optically clear at room temperature for a few
47 weeks only.
48
49 (36) Based on FTIR measurement plotted of Figure 6.
50
51
52 (37) Roman, M.; Cannizzo, C.; Pinault, T.; Isare, B.; Andrioletti, B.; van der Schoot, P.;
53 Bouteiller, L. Supramolecular Balance: Using Cooperativity To Amplify Weak Interactions. *J.*
54 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16818–16824.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (38) Pedersen, J. S. Form Factors of Block Copolymer Micelles with Spherical, Ellipsoidal
4 and Cylindrical Cores. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2000**, *33*, 637–640.
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

TOC graphic

