



HAL
open science

Sequential Intensified Conditioning Regimen Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Adult Patients with Intermediate or High-Risk Acute Myeloid Leukemia in Complete Remission: a Study From the ALWP of the EBMT

Florent Malard, Myriam Labopin, Gernot Stuhler, Jörg Bittenbring, Arnold Ganser, Johanna Tischer, Mauricette Michallet, Nicolaus Kröger, Christoph Schmid, Anne Huynh, et al.

► To cite this version:

Florent Malard, Myriam Labopin, Gernot Stuhler, Jörg Bittenbring, Arnold Ganser, et al.. Sequential Intensified Conditioning Regimen Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Adult Patients with Intermediate or High-Risk Acute Myeloid Leukemia in Complete Remission: a Study From the ALWP of the EBMT. *Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation*, 2016, 10.1016/j.bbmt.2016.11.002 . hal-01393932

HAL Id: hal-01393932

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01393932>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

1 **Sequential intensified conditioning regimen allogeneic hematopoietic stem**
2 **cell transplantation in adult patients with intermediate or high-risk acute**
3 **myeloid leukemia in complete remission: a study from the ALWP of the**
4 **EBMT**

5 Florent Malard^{1,2,3} MD, PhD, Myriam Labopin¹ MD, Gernot Stuhler⁴ MD, Jörg
6 Bittenbring⁵ MD, Arnold Ganser⁶ MD, Johanna Tischer⁷ MD, Mauricette Michallet⁸
7 MD, PhD, Nicolaus Kröger⁹ MD, Christoph Schmid¹⁰ MD, Anne Huynh¹¹ MD,
8 Michael Hallek¹² MD, Bipin N Savani¹³ MD, Mohamad Mohty^{1,2,3,14} MD, PhD, Arnon
9 Nagler^{14,15} MD, MSc

10 1- Department of Haematology, Saint Antoine Hospital, Paris, France

11 2- INSERM UMRs 938, Paris, France

12 3- Pierre et Marie Curie University, Paris, France;

13 4- German diagnostic clinic, ZMT Center, Wiesbaden, Germany

14 5- University of Saarland, University Hospital, Dept. of Internal Med. BMT Unit,
15 Homburg, Germany

16 6- Hannover Medical School, Department of Haematology, Hemostasis, Oncology
17 and Stem Cell Transplantation, Hannover, Germany

18 7- Ludwig-Maximilians-University Hospital of Munich-Campus Grosshadern,
19 Department of Internal Medicine III, BMT unit, Munich, Germany

20 8- University Hospital Lyon Sud, Department of Haematology, Lyon, France

21 9- University Hospital Eppendorf, Bone Marrow Transplantation Centre,
22 Hamburg, Germany

23 10- Augsburg Hospital, Department of Internal Medicine, Augsburg, Germany

24 11- IUCT Oncopole, Hematology Department, Toulouse, France

25 12- University of Cologne, Dept of Medecine, Cologne, Germany

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

26 13- Vanderbilt University, Haematology and Transplantation, Nashville, USA

27 14- EBMT Paris Study Office/CEREST-TC, Paris, France

28 15- Hematology division, Chaim Sheba medical Center, Tel-Hashomer, Israel

29 **Running title:** FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

30 **Word count:** abstract: 229 words; main text: 2880; pages: 23; tables: 3; figure: 1.

31 **Author for correspondence:**

32 Florent Malard, M.D., Ph.D.; Service d'Hématologie Clinique et de Thérapie

33 Cellulaire, Hôpital Saint Antoine, APHP, Université Pierre et Marie Curie and

34 INSERM, UMRs 938, 184 rue du Faubourg Saint-Antoine, 75012, Paris, France.

35 Phone : +33 149282629 ; Fax : +33 149283375

36 Email : malardf@yahoo.fr

37

38 **Highlights**

- 39 • FLAMSA provides an efficient disease control in intermediate and high-
- 40 risk AML.
- 41 • Leukemia-free survival at 2 years was 52.8% (95%CI, 46.4-59.2).
- 42 • Overall-free survival at 2 years was 56.1% (95%CI, 49.7-62.6).

43

44 **Abstract**

45 Post-transplant relapse is the leading cause of treatment failure in acute myeloid
46 leukemia (AML) patients after reduced intensity conditioning allogeneic
47 hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT). In order to improve their
48 outcome, we evaluated the outcome of a sequential intermediate intensity
49 conditioning regimen combining fludarabine, cytosine arabinoside, amsacrine,
50 cyclophosphamide and either total body irradiation or busulfan (FLAMSA) in
51 patients with intermediate or high risk AML in first or second complete

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

52 remission (CR). A total of 265 patients (median age 55 years; range, 19-76 years)
53 with AML who underwent allo-HSCT using a FLAMSA regimen were included. At
54 the time of transplant, 216 (81.5%) were in CR1 and 49 (18.5%) in CR2.
55 Cytogenetic was intermediate in 114 (43%) and poor in 42 (15.8%) patients,
56 while 109 (41.1%) patients had a secondary AML. With a median follow-up of 46
57 months (range, 1-145), the Kaplan-Meier estimate of overall and leukemia-free
58 survival at 2 years were 56.1% (95%CI, 49.7-62.6) and 52.8% (95%CI, 46.4-
59 59.2), respectively. At 2 years, the cumulative incidences of relapse and non-
60 relapse mortality were 22.8% (95%CI, 17.6-28.4) and 24.0% (95%CI, 18.8-29.5),
61 respectively. In multivariate analysis, patients' age and cytogenetics were the
62 only parameters with a significant impact on overall survival. These data suggest
63 that the FLAMSA sequential intermediate conditioning regimen provides an
64 efficient disease control in intermediate and high-risk AML patients, including
65 those in CR2 and with secondary AML.

66

67 Keywords: Acute myeloid leukemia; complete remission; allogeneic
68 hematopoietic-stem-cell transplantation; sequential conditioning regimen;
69 intermediate intensity conditioning regimen

70

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

71 **Introduction**

72 Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (allo-HSCT) is an effective
73 post-remission consolidation treatment, potentially curative, in acute myeloid
74 leukemia (AML) patients[1, 2]. Reduced intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens
75 have been developed to control or overcome toxicity and non-relapse mortality
76 (NRM) associated with allo-HSCT[2]. RIC rely on the graft-versus-leukemia (GVL)
77 effect mediated by the graft's immune cells[3]. RIC allo-HSCT is now widely used
78 for AML patients with intermediate or high-risk cytogenetics, particularly in
79 older or heavily pretreated patients and in those with medical comorbidities[2].
80 While a significant proportion of patients are cured after RIC allo-HSCT, relapse
81 after transplant is still the leading cause of treatment failure in the RIC setting. In
82 patients transplanted in complete remission (CR), AML cytogenetic status and
83 prior myelodysplastic syndrome or cytotoxic therapy are strong predictors of
84 relapse. Therefore, the effectiveness of different intermediate intensity
85 conditioning regimens to enhance GVL, while safely minimizing NRM has been
86 evaluated[2, 4, 5]. One such strategy is the so-called "sequential conditioning
87 regimen", combining a short course of intensive chemotherapy followed by a RIC
88 allograft. Thus, the Munich group developed the FLAMSA sequential strategy
89 combining a short course of intensive chemotherapy to improve disease control
90 using fludarabine (Flu) 30 mg/m²/d, intermediate dose cytosine arabinoside
91 (Ara-C) 2 g/m²/d and amsacrine (Ams) 100 mg/m²/d from day -12 to -9,
92 followed, after a 3 days rest, by RIC using 4 Gy total body irradiation (TBI) on day
93 -5, cyclophosphamide (Cy) 40-60 mg/Kg/d on days -4 and -3 and anti-thymocyte
94 globulin (ATG) from days -4 to -2. This strategy has shown encouraging results in

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

95 relapsed or refractory AML patients'[6, 7]. In addition, Schmid et al. reported an
96 effective disease control and a low NRM with this strategy in 23 patients with
97 high-risk AML in CR[8]. Thereafter, 4 Gy TBI has been replaced by IV busulfan
98 (Bu) 6.4 mg/kg total dose (or equivalent oral dose) in order to decrease the
99 toxicity associated with TBI in elderly patients or in patients with severe
100 comorbidities[9, 10].

101 Larger studies are needed to evaluate the role of TBI or Bu based FLAMSA
102 sequential regimen in patients with AML in CR. We report here on 265 patients
103 with AML in first or second CR subjected to a FLAMSA sequential allo-HSCT, TBI
104 and Bu based FLAMSA are compared. In addition the contribution of
105 prophylactic DLI is assessed in the subgroup of patients alive and free of disease
106 at 6 months.

107

108 **Patients and methods**

109 *Study design and data collection*

110 This retrospective multicenter analysis was performed and approved by the
111 Acute Leukemia Working Party (ALWP) of the EBMT group registry. The EBMT is
112 a voluntary working group of more than 500 transplant centers; all centers are
113 required to report annually all stem cell transplantations and follow-up. Use of
114 patients' personal information for research purposes is authorized through the
115 signature of an informed consent by the patients. This study included all adult
116 patients (age >18 years) with AML in first or second morphological CR, who
117 underwent a bone marrow (BM) or G-CSF-mobilized peripheral blood stem cells
118 (PBSC) allo-HSCT, from an HLA matched-related (MRD) or unrelated (UD) donor
119 between 2002 and 2014. In addition, to be eligible patients must have available

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

120 cytogenetics data, or secondary AML and receive a so-called “sequential”
121 conditioning regimen. The latter was defined by the use of a short intensive
122 course of chemotherapy combining Flu, intermediate dose Ara-C and Ams,
123 followed after a 3 days rest by a RIC regimen combining Cy and either TBI 4 Gr or
124 IV Bu 6.4 mg/kg total dose (or equivalent oral dose of Bu). Cytogenetic was
125 classified according to the European Leukemia Net[11]. All allogeneic grafts were
126 obtained from HLA-A, HLA-B, HLA-C, HLA-DR, and HLA-DQ-matched donors. A
127 single HLA mismatch of 10 was allowed at the antigen or allele level. A list of the
128 participating centers is available online (supplemental file).

129 *Statistical analysis*

130 Endpoints included overall survival (OS), leukemia-free survival (LFS),
131 cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR), NRM, acute and chronic graft-versus-host
132 disease (GVHD). All outcomes were measured from the time of allo-HSCT. OS was
133 based on death, regardless of the cause. LFS was defined as survival with no
134 evidence of relapse. NRM was defined as death in CR. Patients alive without
135 relapse were censored at the time of last contact.

136 OS and LFS rates were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier estimator. Cumulative
137 incidence functions were used to estimate the probabilities of acute and chronic
138 GVHD, NRM, and relapse to accommodate competing risks. NRM and relapse
139 were the competing risks. For acute and chronic GVHD, the competing risk was
140 death without the event. For all prognostic analyses, patients’ median age and
141 median year of transplant were used as a cut-off point.

142 Univariate analyses were performed using the log-rank test for OS and LFS, and
143 Gray’s test for cumulative incidences. Chronic GVHD was analyzed as a time-
144 dependent variable. For multivariate regression a Cox proportional hazards

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

145 model was build. Results were expressed as hazard ratio (HR) with 95%
146 confidence interval (CI). All tests were two-sided and the type-1 error rate was
147 fixed at 0.05. A landmark analysis was conducted 6 months after allo-HSCT on
148 patients alive and free of disease to evaluate the impact of preemptive donor
149 lymphocyte infusion (DLI) within the first 6 months on outcome. Patients
150 developing grade II-IV aGVHD or cGVHD before DLI (group DLI) or within the
151 first 6 months (group no DLI) were excluded from the landmark analysis.
152 Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 19 (SPSS Inc./IBM, Armonk, NY)
153 and R 3.0.1 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria) software packages.

154

155

Accepted Manuscript

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

156 **Results**

157 *Patient and donor characteristics*

158 A total of 265 patients were included in this study. Patients' and donors'
159 characteristics are summarized in **Table 1**. The median age of recipients was 55
160 (range, 19-76) years. At transplantation, 216 patients (81.5%) were in CR1 and
161 49 (18.5%) in CR2. The median time between AML diagnosis and transplantation
162 was 135 (43-225) days in patients with AML in CR1 and 627 (135-1701) in CR2.
163 One hundred and nine patients (41.1%) had a secondary AML and 156 (58.9%)
164 had a *de novo* AML including 114 (43.0%) with intermediate risk and 42 (15.8%)
165 with high-risk cytogenetics. Of note, no patients had low risk cytogenetic among
166 *de novo* AML. Seventy-four donors (27.9%) were MRD and 191 (72.1%) were UD.
167 The stem cell source was BM in 14 cases (5.3%) and G-CSF-mobilized PBSCs in
168 the remaining 251 (94.7%). All patients except for seven have received *in vivo* T
169 cell depletion using ATG. ATG was Thymoglobulin in 102 patients (median total
170 dose, 6 mg/kg; inter-quartile range [IQR], 5-7) and ATG Fresenius in 129
171 patients (median total dose 60 mg/kg; IQR, 30-60); ATG administered was
172 unknown in 24 patients.
173 One hundred and fifty-nine patients (60%) were treated with a TBI based (TBI
174 group) and 106 (40%) with a modified Bu based FLAMSA regimen (Bu group, 96
175 IV Bu and 10 oral Bu). The comparison between the TBI and Bu groups is shown
176 on **table 1**. Compared to the TBI group, patients in the Bu group were
177 significantly older [61 years (range, 25-74) *versus* 52 years (range, 19-76);
178 $p < 0.0001$], were transplanted more recently [2011 (2005-2014), *versus* 2009
179 (2002-2014); $p < 0.0001$] and included more secondary AML [59.4% *versus*
180 28.9%; $p < 0.0001$]. The median follow-up among surviving patients was 46

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

181 (range, 1-145) months, being significantly longer in the TBI group, 50 (range, 1-
182 145) months, compared to that in the Bu group, 27 (range, 3-106) months
183 (p=0.006).

184 *Engraftment and GVHD*

185 Engraftment was successful in 153 patients (96.2%) in the TBI and 101 (95.3%)
186 in the Bu group, respectively (p=0.56). The median time to neutrophil recovery
187 was significantly longer in the TBI group: 17 (range, 10-74) days compared with
188 14 (range, 8-112) in the Bu group (p<0.0001). The day-30 cumulative incidence
189 of absolute neutrophil count > 0.5 × 10⁹/L was 93.9% (95% confidence interval
190 [CI], 90.1-96.2).

191 The day-100 cumulative incidence of grade II-IV aGVHD was 28.5% (95% CI,
192 23.1-34.1), being 30.3% (95% CI, 23.2-37.7) in the TBI group and 25.7% (95%
193 CI, 17.6-34.6) in the Bu group (p=0.45). At 2 years, the cumulative incidence of
194 cGVHD was 31.8% (95% CI, 25.9-37.9), being 33.5% (95%CI, 25.7-41.3) in the
195 TBI group versus 29.1% (95% CI, 20-38.8) in the Bu group (p=0.79).

196 *Outcome*

197 Univariate and multivariate analyses of transplantation-related events are
198 summarized in **Tables 2 and 3**, respectively. At 2 years, the cumulative
199 incidence of NRM was 24.0% (95% CI, 18.8-29.5) (**Figure 1A**), being 19.4%
200 (95% CI, 13.5-26.2) in the TBI and 31.1% (95% CI, 24.0-38.4) in the Bu groups
201 (p=0.02). In multivariate analysis, there was no significant difference in NRM
202 between the TBI and the Bu group (HR, 1.11; 95%CI, 0.62-2.01; p=0.72). NRM
203 was related mainly to infection (n=31) and GVHD (n=19), others causes being
204 hemorrhage (n=5), sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS, n=2), cardiac toxicity
205 (n=2), secondary malignancy (n=1), others (n=9), unknown (n=9). At 2 years, the

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

206 cumulative incidence of relapse was 22.8% (95% CI, 17.6-28.4) (**Figure 1B**),
207 with 21.2% (95% CI, 15.7-26.8) in the TBI and 25.7% (95% CI, 20.8-30.6) in the
208 Bu group (p=0.77). In multivariate analysis, there was no significant difference in
209 relapse incidence between the two groups (HR, 1.27; 95%CI, 0.68-2.37; p=0.4).
210 The only parameter with a significant impact on relapse incidence in
211 multivariate analysis was cytogenetic status: relapse was significantly increased
212 in patients with poor as compared to intermediate cytogenetics (HR, 1.96;
213 95%CI, 1.03-3.72; p=0.04).

214 The Kaplan-Meier estimate of OS at 2 years was 56.1% (95% CI, 49.7-62.6)
215 (**Figure 1C**), being 62.0% (95% CI, 54.0-70.0) in the TBI and 46.7% (95%CI,
216 36.1-57.3) in the Bu group (p=0.14). In multivariate analysis, there was no
217 significant difference in OS between the TBI group and the Bu group (HR, 1.09;
218 95%CI, 0.70-1.69; p=0.70). The only parameters with a significant impact on OS
219 in multivariate analysis were cytogenetic status and patients' age. OS was
220 significantly lower in patients with poor as compared to intermediate
221 cytogenetics (HR, 1.26; 95%CI, 1.06-2.92; p=0.03) and in older patients (HR,
222 1.21; 95%CI, 1.01-1.45; p=0.04). The Kaplan-Meier estimate of LFS at 2 years
223 was 52.8% (95% CI, 46.4-59.2) (**Figure 1D**): 58.8% (95% CI, 50.7-66.8) in the
224 TBI and 43.2% (95%CI, 32.8-53.7) in the Bu group (p=0.14). In multivariate
225 analysis, there was no significant difference in LFS between the TBI group and
226 the Bu group (HR, 1.16; 95%CI, 0.76-1.78; p=0.48). No parameter had a
227 significant impact on LFS in multivariate analysis.

228 *Prophylactic DLI*

229 Ninety-six patients alive and disease free at 6 months and without a history of
230 grade II-IV aGVHD or cGVHD before DLI were eligible for the landmark analysis.

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

231 Of these, 21 received preemptive DLI within the first 6 months, based on
232 physician decision, while 75 did not. The outcome was significantly improved in
233 the former group of patients. The 2-year LFS was 95% (95% CI, 86-100) in the
234 DLI group versus 76% (95% CI, 67-86) in the no DLI group ($p=0.03$), and the 2-
235 year OS was 100% (95% CI, 100-100) in the DLI group versus 81% (95% CI, 72-
236 91) in the no DLI group ($p=0.10$). The cumulative incidence of NRM and relapse
237 were 0% and 5% (95% CI, 0-20), respectively, in the DLI group, versus 4% (95%
238 CI, 1-11) and 19% (95% CI, 11-29), respectively, in the no DLI group, ($p= 0.18$
239 and 0.11 respectively). The 2-year cumulative incidence of cGVHD was
240 significantly higher in the DLI group, 26% (95% CI, 9-46) versus 15% (95% CI, 8-
241 24) in the no DLI group ($p=0.48$).

242

243 **Discussion**

244 Intermediate intensity conditioning regimens have been developed to decrease
245 disease recurrence while minimizing NRM after RIC regimen. This retrospective
246 study is the largest so far evaluating the so-called FLAMSA sequential
247 intermediate intensity conditioning regimen in AML in CR.

248 The risk of relapse was notably high in our patients: 57% had an unfavorable
249 karyotype or a secondary AML, and 18.5% were in CR2. Notably, the cumulative
250 incidences of relapse and LFS were 22.8% and 52.8%, respectively. These results
251 compare favorably with previous studies evaluating RIC regimens for AML, with
252 relapse incidences up to 41% and LFS below 50%[12-17], but moreover with the
253 results of myeloablative conditioning regimens, with relapse incidences ranging
254 from 24% to 29%[12, 16]. Our results are also comparable to those of other
255 intermediate conditioning regimens, combining Flu, ATG and 3 days of Bu[4],

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

256 associated with a cumulative incidence of relapse of 29.1% and a LFS of 57%[5].
257 Overall, this regimen is associated with a good disease control in intermediate
258 and high risk AML, as compared to that achieved in previous studies.
259 In patients with a median age of 55 years, and aged up to 76 years, we reported a
260 2-year cumulative incidence of NRM of 24%. This may seem high for a RIC
261 regimen, Russel et al. reported a reduced NRM of 6% after RIC compared to 22%
262 after MAC in non-favorable AML in first CR[16], however, RIC regimen was non-
263 myeloablative with low-dose TBI in most patients, leading to a cumulative
264 incidence of relapse of 36% after RIC transplant, compare to only 22.8% in our
265 study. Scott et al. recently reported the preliminary results of the BMT CTN 0901
266 randomized protocol comparing MAC versus RIC in AML and myelodysplastic
267 syndrome in CR[18]. NRM was significantly lower after RIC allo-SCT: 4.4%,
268 versus 15.8% after MAC, while corresponding relapse rate were 48.3% versus
269 13.5%. NRM rate are lower in this study, including in the MAC group, consisting
270 of a majority of reduced toxicity regimen combining Flu and Bu. However, this
271 study includes only selected patients up to 65 years, while in our cohort patients
272 up to 76 years were treated. Therefore our NRM is comparable to the NRM
273 reported in some of the largest studies on RIC regimen for AML[12, 13].
274 Regarding conventional MAC regimen, similar rate of NRM have been reported in
275 prospective studies: 22% in the study by Russel et al. [16] and 25/7% in the
276 study by Lee et al.[19]. However, in these prospective studies, patients were
277 selected and younger with a median age of 42 and 41 years old respectively,
278 compare to a median age of 55 years in our study. Therefore our NRM rate
279 compare favorably with traditional myeloablative regimen. Previous report
280 evaluating FLAMSA sequential regimen reported a 2-year NRM of 22% both in

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

281 relapse/refractory AML[7] and in AML in CR[8]. Of note, we reported only 2
282 deaths related to cardiac toxicity, a known side effect of amsacrine[20] and there
283 was no increase in the mortality related to sinusoidal obstruction syndrome,
284 with only 2 deaths reported.

285 Taken together, the low cumulative incidence of relapse and the NRM led to an
286 OS of 56.1% at 2 years, which compare favorably with result of the previously
287 cited studies evaluating both RIC (OS range from 36% to 55%)[12-16] and
288 myeloablative regimens (OS range from 57% to 53%)[12, 16].

289 The patients' outcome was similar using either the TBI or the Bu based FLAMSA
290 regimen. Although NRM was higher in patients receiving Bu in univariate
291 analysis, after adjustment for patient age, among others, there was no difference
292 in NRM between the two conditioning regimens in multivariate analysis. Given
293 TBI is a the major risk factor of long-term complications [21] and the favorable
294 safety profile associated with the use of IV Bu[22], IV Bu appears as an effective
295 alternative to TBI in the FLAMSA sequential approach.

296 Attention must be paid to elderly patients when using FLAMSA sequential
297 regimen. While McClure et al. reported no impact of patients' age on the outcome
298 after RIC regimen allo-SCT[23], older age at transplant was associated with a
299 significantly lower OS in our multivariate analysis. This difference seems to be
300 related to an increased NRM in elderly patients; however, given the retrospective
301 nature of this analysis, we were not able to identify the exact nature of those
302 deaths. Ultimately, careful screening of co-morbidities must be performed in
303 elderly patients before using intermediate intensity conditioning such as the
304 FLAMSA sequential regimen.

305 In multivariate analysis, poor risk cytogenetic status was associated with a

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

306 significant increase in relapse and a decrease in OS. Therefore, despite the
307 increased cytotoxicity, the FLAMSA sequential regimen does not overcome the
308 bad prognosis of poor-risk cytogenetic. Development of a new strategy to
309 decrease relapse risk in those patients remains indispensable. In our study, some
310 patients received prophylactic DLI based on physician decision and, while we
311 recognize that given the retrospective nature of our study, exact reason guiding
312 decision to give prophylactic DLI is unknown, use of prophylactic DLI seems to
313 be a valuable option to decrease relapse risk. Indeed, in a landmark analysis of
314 patients alive and disease-free at 6 months, the LFS was significantly improved
315 in patients who received prophylactic DLI, compared to those who did not.
316 Furthermore, other strategies, such as early administration of the
317 hypomethylating agent azacytidine or of FLT3-specific tyrosine kinase inhibitor
318 seem promising[24, 25].
319 Given his retrospective nature, our study does have several obvious biases.
320 Molecular marker and minimal residual disease before transplant were not
321 available for all patients, precluding the evaluation of their prognostic value. As it
322 was not a prospective study, the choice of the allocation to the FLAMSA regimen
323 was based on physicians' preferences and we cannot exclude a bias in patients'
324 selection. However, the homogeneity of the data in term of disease and
325 transplant characteristics' strengthen our study and make the conclusion more
326 robust.
327 Overall, our results suggest that the sequential FLAMSA conditioning regimen
328 using either TBI or Bu may be a valid approach for intermediate or high risk AML
329 transplanted in CR. This regimen is associated with a low incidence of relapse,
330 although disease progression is still expected in patients with high-risk

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

331 cytogenetic. However, advanced age patients have an increased risk of NRM and
332 particular attention should be paid to comorbidities, supportive care and dose of
333 TBI or Bu used in this patients. Our result pave the way for future studies that
334 should compare such sequential approaches with standard approached and
335 include minimal residual monitoring to decipher the exact impact of the
336 chemotherapy include in our sequential regimen before the RIC allo-SCT. Overall,
337 our study provides a framework for further refinement of intermediate intensity
338 conditioning designed to improve disease control without increasing toxicity in
339 AML in CR.

340 **Acknowledgements:**

341 The study was supported by a grant from the “Association for Training,
342 Education and Research in Hematology, Immunology and Transplantation”
343 (ATERHIT, Nantes, France).

344 **Authors' contributions**

345 F.M., M.L., B.N.S., M.Mohty and A.N. designed the research and/or analyzed data;
346 G.S., J.B, A.G., J.T., M.Michallet, N.K., C.S., A.H., M.H. and M.Mohty provided
347 important clinical data; F.M. wrote the first draft of the manuscript; and all
348 authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

349 **Competing interests**

350 The authors declare that they have no competing interests in relation with the
351 content of this analysis.

352 **Funding**

353 Not applicable.

354

355 **References**

- 356 [1] Dohner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *N Engl J*
357 *Med.* 2015;373:1136-1152.
- 358 [2] Sengsayadeth S, Savani BN, Blaise D, Malard F, Nagler A, Mohty M. Reduced
359 intensity conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for adult
360 acute myeloid leukemia in complete remission - a review from the Acute
361 Leukemia Working Party of the EBMT. *Haematologica.* 2015;100:859-869.
- 362 [3] Horowitz MM, Gale RP, Sondel PM, et al. Graft-versus-leukemia reactions
363 after bone marrow transplantation. *Blood.* 1990;75:555-562.
- 364 [4] Mohty M, Malard F, Blaise D, et al. Reduced-toxicity conditioning with
365 fludarabine, once-daily intravenous busulfan, and antithymocyte globulins prior
366 to allogeneic stem cell transplantation: results of a multicenter prospective
367 phase 2 trial. *Cancer.* 2015;121:562-569.
- 368 [5] Oudin C, Chevallier P, Furst S, et al. Reduced-toxicity conditioning prior to
369 allogeneic stem cell transplantation improves outcome in patients with myeloid
370 malignancies. *Haematologica.* 2014;99:1762-1768.
- 371 [6] Schmid C, Schleuning M, Ledderose G, Tischer J, Kolb HJ. Sequential regimen
372 of chemotherapy, reduced-intensity conditioning for allogeneic stem-cell
373 transplantation, and prophylactic donor lymphocyte transfusion in high-risk
374 acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.*
375 2005;23:5675-5687.
- 376 [7] Schmid C, Schleuning M, Schwerdtfeger R, et al. Long-term survival in
377 refractory acute myeloid leukemia after sequential treatment with
378 chemotherapy and reduced-intensity conditioning for allogeneic stem cell
379 transplantation. *Blood.* 2006;108:1092-1099.
- 380 [8] Schmid C, Schleuning M, Hentrich M, et al. High antileukemic efficacy of an
381 intermediate intensity conditioning regimen for allogeneic stem cell
382 transplantation in patients with high-risk acute myeloid leukemia in first
383 complete remission. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2008;41:721-727.
- 384 [9] Kröger N, Zabelina T, Wolschke C, et al. Induction Chemotherapy Followed
385 Immediately by Busulfan-Based Reduced Conditioning and Allografting in
386 Elderly Patients with Advanced MDS or sAML. *Blood.* 2015;114:3387-3387.
- 387 [10] Christopheit M, Badbaran A, Alawi M, et al. Correlation of somatic mutations
388 with outcome after FLAMSA-busulfan sequential conditioning and allogeneic
389 stem cell transplantation in patients with MDS. *Eur J Haematol.* 2015.
- 390 [11] Dohner H, Estey EH, Amadori S, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute
391 myeloid leukemia in adults: recommendations from an international expert
392 panel, on behalf of the European LeukemiaNet. *Blood.* 2010;115:453-474.
- 393 [12] Aoudjhane M, Labopin M, Gorin NC, et al. Comparative outcome of reduced
394 intensity and myeloablative conditioning regimen in HLA identical sibling
395 allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients older than 50
396 years of age with acute myeloblastic leukaemia: a retrospective survey from the
397 Acute Leukemia Working Party (ALWP) of the European group for Blood and
398 Marrow Transplantation (EBMT). *Leukemia.* 2005;19:2304-2312.
- 399 [13] Valcarcel D, Martino R, Caballero D, et al. Sustained remissions of high-risk
400 acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome after reduced-intensity
401 conditioning allogeneic hematopoietic transplantation: chronic graft-versus-host
402 disease is the strongest factor improving survival. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:577-584.

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

- 403 [14] Warlick ED, Paulson K, Brazauskas R, et al. Effect of postremission therapy
404 before reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic transplantation for acute
405 myeloid leukemia in first complete remission. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.*
406 2014;20:202-208.
- 407 [15] Yeshurun M, Labopin M, Blaise D, et al. Impact of postremission
408 consolidation chemotherapy on outcome after reduced-intensity conditioning
409 allogeneic stem cell transplantation for patients with acute myeloid leukemia in
410 first complete remission: a report from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the
411 European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Cancer.* 2014;120:855-
412 863.
- 413 [16] Russell NH, Kjeldsen L, Craddock C, et al. A comparative assessment of the
414 curative potential of reduced intensity allografts in acute myeloid leukaemia.
415 *Leukemia.* 2015;29:1478-1484.
- 416 [17] Schmid C, Labopin M, Nagler A, et al. Treatment, risk factors, and outcome of
417 adults with relapsed AML after reduced intensity conditioning for allogeneic
418 stem cell transplantation. *Blood.* 2012;119:1599-1606.
- 419 [18] Scott BL, Pasquini MC, Logan B, et al. Results of a Phase III Randomized,
420 Multi-Center Study of Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation after High Versus
421 Reduced Intensity Conditioning in Patients with Myelodysplastic Syndrome
422 (MDS) or Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML): Blood and Marrow Transplant Cl...
423 *Blood.* 2015;126:LBA-8-LBA-8.
- 424 [19] Lee JH, Joo YD, Kim H, et al. Randomized trial of myeloablative conditioning
425 regimens: busulfan plus cyclophosphamide versus busulfan plus fludarabine. *J*
426 *Clin Oncol.* 2013;31:701-709.
- 427 [20] Pai VB, Nahata MC. Cardiotoxicity of chemotherapeutic agents: incidence,
428 treatment and prevention. *Drug safety.* 2000;22:263-302.
- 429 [21] Mohty M, Malard F, Savani BN. High-Dose Total Body Irradiation and
430 Myeloablative Conditioning before Allogeneic Hematopoietic Cell
431 Transplantation: Time to Rethink? *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2015;21:620-
432 624.
- 433 [22] Russell JA, Kangaroo SB. Therapeutic drug monitoring of busulfan in
434 transplantation. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2008;14:1936-1949.
- 435 [23] McClune BL, Weisdorf DJ, Pedersen TL, et al. Effect of age on outcome of
436 reduced-intensity hematopoietic cell transplantation for older patients with
437 acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission or with myelodysplastic
438 syndrome. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28:1878-1887.
- 439 [24] Goodyear OC, Dennis M, Jilani NY, et al. Azacitidine augments expansion of
440 regulatory T cells after allogeneic stem cell transplantation in patients with acute
441 myeloid leukemia (AML). *Blood.* 2012;119:3361-3369.
- 442 [25] Schiller GJ, Tuttle P, Desai P. Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell
443 Transplantation in FLT3-ITD-Positive Acute Myelogenous Leukemia: The Role
444 for FLT3 Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors Post-Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow*
445 *Transplant.* 2016;22:982-990.
- 446
- 447

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

448 **Figure legend:**

449 **Figure 1.** Outcome after allo-HSCT. Cumulative incidence of non-relapse

450 mortality (A); cumulative incidence of relapse (B); overall survival (C); and

451 leukemia-free survival (D). NRM indicates non-relapse mortality.

452

Accepted Manuscript

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

453 **Table 1.** Study population and transplant characteristics
 454

Characteristic (%)	Total (n = 265)	FLAMSA TBI 4 Gy (n=159)	FLAMSA Bu (n=106)	P
Patient age, median (range)	55 (19-76)	52 (19-76)	61 (25-74)	<0.0001
Patients < 55 years	132 (49.6%)	104 (65.4%)	28 (26.4%)	
Patients ≥ 55 years	133 (50.4%)	55 (34.6%)	78 (73.6%)	
Year of transplant, median (range)	2010 (2002-2014)	2009 (2002-2014)	2011 (2005-2014)	<0.0001
Patient gender (female)	122 (46.2%)	82 (51.9%)	40 (37.7%)	0.02
Donor gender (female)	80 (30.5%)	52 (33.3%)	28 (26.4%)	0.23
Female donor to male patient	26 (10.0%)	16 (10.3%)	10 (9.4%)	0.81
Karnofsky performance status				
≥90%	179 (70%)	107 (71%)	72 (70%)	
80%	65 (26%)	39 (26%)	26 (25%)	0.82
≤70%	10 (4%)	5 (3%)	5 (5%)	
Unknown	11	8	3	
Donor CMV negative to patient CMV positive	51 (19.6%)	34 (21.8%)	17 (16.0%)	0.41
Disease status				
CR1	216 (81.5%)	127 (79.9%)	89 (84.0%)	0.40
CR2	49 (18.5%)	32 (20.1%)	17 (16.0%)	
Median time from diagnosis to transplant				
CR1 patients, days (range)	135 (43-225)	130 (43-948)	154 (43-827)	0.02
CR2 patients, days (range)	627 (135-1701)	631 (135-1508)	547 (166-1701)	0.36
Cytogenetic risk				
Intermediate	114 (43.0%)	82 (51.6%)	32 (30.2%)	
Poor	42 (15.8%)	31 (19.5%)	11 (10.4%)	<0.0001
Secondary AML	109 (41.1%)	46 (28.9%)	63 (59.4%)	
Donor type				
Matched related donor	74 (27.9%)	50 (31.4%)	24 (22.6%)	0.12
Unrelated donor*	191 (72.1%)	109 (68.6%)	82 (77.4%)	
Stem cell source				
BM	14 (5.3%)	10 (6.3%)	4 (3.8%)	0.37
PBSC	251 (94.7%)	149 (93.7%)	102 (96.2%)	
<i>In vivo</i> T-cell depletion				
No	7 (2.6%)	5 (3.1%)	2 (1.9%)	0.53
Yes	258 (97.4%)	154 (96.9%)	104 (98.1%)	
GVHD prophylaxis				
CsA + MMF	216 (81.8%)	129 (81.6%)	87 (82.1%)	0.93
Others	48 (18.2%)	29 (18.4%)	19 (17.9%)	

455 Abbreviations : CMV indicates cytomegalovirus; CR1. first complete remission; CR2. second
 456 complete remission; AML. acute myeloid leukemia; BM. bone marrow; PBSC. peripheral blood stem
 457 cells; TNC. total nucleated cells; Bu. busulfan; TBI. total body irradiation; GVHD. graft-versus-host
 458 disease; CsA. Cyclosporine A; MMF. mycophenolate mofetil.
 459 * 49 patients (%) received a mismatched unrelated donor, being 27 in the TBI group and 22 in the
 460 Bu group.

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

461 **Table 2.** Transplant-related events: univariate analysis
462

	NRM	RI	OS	LFS
Conditioning				
TBI group	19.4% (13.5-26.2)	21.2 % (15-28.2)	62 % (54-70)	58.8 % (50.7-66.8)
Bu group	31.1% (24-38.4)	25.7 % (17-35.2)	46.7 % (36.1-57.3)	43.2 % (32.8-53.7)
P value	0.02	0.77	0.14	0.14
Patient age				
< 55 years	17.8% (11.5-25.3)	23.9 % (16.4-32.1)	62.2 % (53.2-71.2)	57.9 % (48.8-67.1)
≥ 55 years	29.7% (21.8-38)	21.8 % (14.9-29.5)	50.6 % (41.6-59.7)	48.1 % (39.2-57)
P value	0.008	0.90	0.005	0.02
Status at transplant				
CR1	23.2% (17.6-29.4)	23 % (17.3-29.3)	57.5 % (50.4-64.6)	53.2 % (46.1-60.4)
CR2	27.1% (21.1-33.5)	22 % (11.2-35.2)	50.6 % (36.1-65.2)	50.8 % (36.3-65.3)
P value	0.68	0.70	0.98	0.99
Patient gender				
Male	28.2 % (20.8-36.1)	23.8 % (16.8-31.4)	49.4 % (40.7-58.1)	47.6 % (38.9-56.2)
Female	18 % (12-25.1)	21.7 % (14.4-30.1)	65.4 % (56.2-74.5)	59.8 % (50.3-69.3)
P value	0.052	0.54	0.049	0.10
Donor gender				
Male	22.9 % (16.9-29.5)	23.7 % (17.5-30.5)	55.1 % (47.4-62.8)	53.4 % (45.7-61.1)
Female	27.5 % (21-34.3)	21.6 % (12.7-32)	56.6 % (44.7-68.4)	49.4 % (37.5-61.4)
P value	0.22	0.58	0.39	0.48
Female to male				
Yes	23.6% (18.1-29.5)	23 % (17.5-29)	56 % (49.1-62.8)	53.2 % (46.3-60)
No	27.7% (21.9-33.7)	24 % (9.4-42.2)	54.5 % (34.6-74.4)	46.2 % (26.3-66.1)
P value	0.32	0.95	0.28	0.34
Donor				
MRD	13.8% (7-22.8)	30.6 % (20.3-41.5)	62.5 % (51-73.9)	54.4 % (42.7-66.1)
UD	28.4% (18.5-39.1)	19.5 % (13.8-26)	53.5 % (45.8-61.2)	52.1 % (44.4-59.8)
P value	0.04	0.047	0.54	0.94
Year				
< 2010	13.9% (8.3-20.9)	23.5 % (16.2-31.6)	66.3 % (57.6-75)	61.9 % (53-70.9)
≥ 2010	33.3% (25-41.8)	23.3 % (16-31.6)	46.3 % (37.1-55.5)	43.4 % (34.2-52.5)
P value	0.0008	0.61	0.003	0.02
Cytogenetics				
Intermediate	20.6 % (12.6-30)	21.7 % (14.5-29.8)	61.2 % (52-70.4)	56.9 % (47.5-66.2)
Poor	19.6 % (11.8-28.9)	35.2 % (20.6-50.2)	47.3 % (31.6-62.9)	45.2 % (29.6-60.7)
Secondary AML	30.3 % (20.9-40.3)	19.1 % (11.4-28.3)	53.7 % (42.9-64.5)	50.6 % (39.8-61.4)
P value	0.10	0.08	0.46	0.69

463 Abbreviations : NRM indicates non-relapse mortality; RI, relapse incidence; OS, overall survival;

464 LFS, leukemia-free survival; TBI, total body irradiation; Bu, busulfan; CR1, first complete

465 remission; CR2, second complete remission; MRD, matched related donor; UD, unrelated donor;

466 CMV, cytomegalovirus; AML acute myeloid leukemia.

467 Bold denotes statistically significant.

468

469

FLAMSA RIC for AML in CR

470 **Table 3.** Transplant-related events: multivariate analysis
 471

Outcome	Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)	P value
Non-relapse mortality		
Busulfan versus TBI based conditioning	1.11 (0.62-2.01)	0.72
Age at transplant (per 10 years)	1.18 (0.93-1.50)	0.18
Status at transplant (CR2 versus CR1)	1.31 (0.69-2.50)	0.41
Unrelated donor versus MRD	1.77 (0.92-3.39)	0.09
Cytogenetic		
Poor versus intermediate	1.07 (0.47-2.41)	0.87
Secondary AML versus intermediate	1.32 (0.73-2.39)	0.35
Patient gender (female versus male)	0.68 (0.39-1.19)	0.18
Female donor to male patient versus others	1.45 (0.65-3.26)	0.37
Year of transplant	1.11 (0.99-1.24)	0.07
Relapse incidence		
Busulfan versus TBI based conditioning	1.27 (0.68-2.37)	0.44
Age at transplant (per 10 years)	1.23 (0.96-1.58)	0.11
Status at transplant (CR2 versus CR1)	1.24 (0.62-2.48)	0.54
Unrelated donor versus MRD	0.62 (0.36-1.07)	0.08
Cytogenetic		
Poor versus intermediate	1.96 (1.03-3.72)	0.04
Secondary AML versus intermediate	0.89 (0.48-1.68)	0.73
Patient gender (female versus male)	0.82 (0.48-1.41)	0.48
Female donor to male patient	0.81 (0.34-1.95)	0.64
Year of transplant	0.98 (0.87-1.09)	0.69
Overall survival		
Busulfan versus TBI based conditioning	1.09 (0.70-1.69)	0.70
Age at transplant (per 10 years)	1.21(1.01-1.45)	0.04
Status at transplant (CR2 versus CR1)	1.35 (0.83-2.19)	0.23
Unrelated donor versus MRD	1.11 (0.73-1.69)	0.63
Cytogenetic		
Poor versus intermediate	1.76 (1.06-2.92)	0.03
Secondary AML versus intermediate	1.17 (0.75-1.84)	0.49
Patient gender (female versus male)	0.71 (0.48-1.07)	0.10
Female donor to male patient versus others	1.15 (0.63-2.10)	0.65
Year of transplant	1.08 (0.99-1.18)	0.07
Leukemia-free survival		
Busulfan versus TBI based conditioning	1.16 (0.76-1.78)	0.48
Age at transplant (per 10 years)	1.19 (1.00-1.41)	0.05
Status at transplant (CR2 versus CR1)	1.23 (0.77-1.98)	0.39
Unrelated donor versus MRD	0.98 (0.66-1.47)	0.94
Cytogenetic		
Poor versus intermediate	1.50 (0.92-2.47)	0.11
Secondary AML versus intermediate	1.09 (0.71-1.68)	0.68
Patient gender (female versus male)	0.76 (0.52-1.11)	0.16
Female donor to male patient versus others	1.10 (0.61-1.99)	0.76
Year of transplant	1.04 (0.97-1.13)	0.28

472 Abbreviations: Bu indicates busulfan; CR2, second complete remission; CR1, first complete
 473 remission; MRD, matched related donor; AML, acute myeloid leukemia.
 474 Bold denotes statistically significant.

475
 476