



**HAL**  
open science

## Measuring the wavenumber of guided modes in waveguides with linearly varying thickness

Ludovic Moreau, Jean-Gabriel Minonzio, Maryline Talmant, Pascal Laugier

### ► To cite this version:

Ludovic Moreau, Jean-Gabriel Minonzio, Maryline Talmant, Pascal Laugier. Measuring the wavenumber of guided modes in waveguides with linearly varying thickness. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 2014, 135 (5), pp.2614-2624. 10.1121/1.4869691 . hal-01394317

**HAL Id: hal-01394317**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01394317>**

Submitted on 9 Nov 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1     **Measuring the wavenumber of guided modes in waveguides with**  
2                                   **linearly varying thickness**

3

4

5     Ludovic Moreau,<sup>a)</sup> Jean-Gabriel Minonzio,<sup>a)</sup> Maryline Talmant,<sup>a)</sup> and Pascal Laugier<sup>a)</sup>

6

7     UPMC University Paris 06, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7623, LIP, F-75005, Paris,

8

9

10    Running title: guided modes in a thickness-varying waveguide

11

12    December 17<sup>th</sup> 2013

13    Revision February 20<sup>th</sup> 2014

14

---

<sup>a)</sup> Also at : CNRS, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7623, Laboratoire d'Imagerie Paramétrique, 15 rue de l'école de médecine F-75006, Paris, France.

15 **Abstract**

16 Measuring guided waves in cortical bone arouses a growing interest to assess skeletal status.  
17 In most studies, a model of waveguide is proposed to assist in the interpretation of the  
18 dispersion curves. In all the reported investigations, the bone is mimicked as a waveguide  
19 with a constant thickness, which only approximates the irregular geometry of cortical bone. In  
20 this study, guided mode propagation in cortical bone-mimicking wedged plates is investigated  
21 with the aim to document the influence on measured dispersion curves of a waveguide of  
22 varying thickness and to propose a method to overcome the measurement limitations induced  
23 by such thickness variations. The singular value decomposition-based signal processing  
24 method, previously introduced for the detection of guided modes in plates of constant  
25 thickness, is adapted to the case of waveguides of slowly linearly variable thickness. The  
26 modification consists in the compensation at each frequency of the wavenumber variations  
27 induced by the local variation in thickness. The modified method, tested on bone-mimicking  
28 wedged plates, allows an enhanced and more accurate detection of the wavenumbers.  
29 Moreover, the propagation in the directions of increasing and decreasing thickness along the  
30 waveguide is investigated.

31

32 PACS numbers 43.35 Cg, 43.80.Vj, 43.20.Ye, 43.20.Mv, 43.60.Fg, 87.15.La

33

34

## 35 **I. Introduction**

36           The cortical envelope of long bones has been reported to behave like a waveguide with  
37 respect to ultrasound propagation.<sup>1, 2</sup> The potential of guided waves as a diagnostic tool to  
38 assess bone status is now considered by several research groups mostly because the  
39 propagation characteristics of guided waves convey information on bone strength-relevant  
40 characteristics, such as cortical thickness and elasticity, that cannot be readily assessed by  
41 currently available X-ray imaging modalities.<sup>3, 4</sup> Moreover, compared to X-rays, ultrasound  
42 technology is also less expensive, non-ionizing and portable.

43           Guided mode propagation in cortical bone is investigated using the so-called axial  
44 transmission technique in which the signal propagating along the bone axis is recorded at  
45 multiple positions aligned along a same side of a skeletal site, by moving a receiver,<sup>5-8</sup> or  
46 moving both the transmitter and the receiver in parallel,<sup>9</sup> or using a multiple element array,<sup>10-</sup>  
47 <sup>12</sup>, or using photo-acoustic excitation and optical detection.<sup>13</sup> The first version of the axial  
48 transmission approach consisted in recording the time-of-flight of the earliest component of  
49 the signal recorded at the receivers, the so-called first arriving signal (FAS).<sup>14-18</sup> In subsequent  
50 developments, a multiple frequency approach in which FAS velocity is measured at different  
51 frequencies has also been described.<sup>9, 19, 20</sup>

52           A different approach, based on a more complete analysis of the recorded time signals,  
53 consists in detecting one or more particular guided modes which are then identified by  
54 coupling the experimental analysis to a model of the waveguide. For example, Moilanen *et al.*  
55 have proposed to specifically detect a thickness-sensitive fundamental flexural guided  
56 wave.<sup>12, 21</sup> Other authors analyze the full response of the waveguide using various signal  
57 processing techniques (*e.g.*, time-frequency distributions, two-dimensional spatio-temporal  
58 Fourier transform) to measure the dispersion curves of multiple guided modes.<sup>6, 22</sup>

59           A method combining an ultrasonic multi-element array with a singular value

60 decomposition (SVD)-based signal processing has recently been proposed by our group to  
61 measure the propagation of guided waves in cortical bone.<sup>11, 23</sup> A small array has been  
62 specifically designed for *in vivo* measurements and to accommodate to the limited access to  
63 cortical skeletal sites, such as the distal radius at the forearm. The method, extensively  
64 described in previous publications, has been tested successfully with bone mimicking plate-  
65 like waveguides<sup>11, 23-25</sup> and *ex vivo* human radius specimens.<sup>26, 27</sup>

66 In most studies, a model of waveguide is proposed to assist in the interpretation of the  
67 dispersion curves. Various models have been proposed,<sup>2</sup> including the free 2-D elastic plate,<sup>11,  
68 23, 28</sup> the free 2-D gradient elastic plate<sup>29, 30</sup> or the free 3-D elastic tube.<sup>6, 13, 27, 31</sup> They only  
69 approximate the complex heterogeneous and geometrically irregular structure of cortical  
70 bone. In particular, in all the reported investigations, the bone is mimicked as a waveguide  
71 with a constant thickness. However, experimental observations indicate that at the distal  
72 radius, the most frequently investigated skeletal site using axial transmission, the thickness of  
73 the cortical shell varies slowly, being thinner at the proximal end (epiphysis) and thicker in  
74 the mid section (diaphysis). To the authors' best knowledge, the effect of a varying bone  
75 cortical thickness on guided modes dispersion curves has not been reported so far.

76 Propagation in waveguide with variable thickness has been studied theoretically,<sup>32-34</sup>  
77 experimentally<sup>35-37</sup> or numerically<sup>36, 38</sup> in the context of non destructive testing,<sup>39</sup> ocean  
78 waveguide,<sup>40</sup> or study of musical instruments such as horns.<sup>34</sup> In the context of ultrasonic  
79 characterization of bone, the aim of this paper is first to document the influence on measured  
80 dispersion curves of a waveguide of varying thickness and second to propose a method to  
81 overcome the measurement limitations induced by such thickness variations. A free 2-D  
82 elastic plate waveguide *with slowly linearly varying thickness* (typical to the configuration  
83 encountered at the distal human radius), supporting "adiabatic" propagating waves, is  
84 considered. The main advantage of such a model rather than a more realistic cortical bone

85 geometry or a not-rigorously linear variation in thickness is its simplicity: it allows deriving  
86 simple analytic expressions to describe the impact on the wave numbers of a varying  
87 thickness and facilitates understanding of the effect of the varying thickness on guided mode  
88 propagation. The disadvantage, of course, is that it only approximates complex bone structure.  
89 However, 2-D elastic plate or tube models with constant thickness have previously  
90 demonstrated a high level of consistency with experimental observations in cortical bone  
91 measured *ex-vivo*.

92 The aims of this paper are twofold, (1) to gain insights into the influence of a slowly  
93 linearly varying thickness of the waveguide on guided modes, (2) to propose a method,  
94 adapted from the currently existing SVD-based signal processing, to overcome the guided  
95 mode measurement limitations induced by these thickness variations. The paper is organized  
96 as follows. A model is proposed to predict the effect on guided mode wavenumbers of a  
97 waveguide of slowly linearly varying thickness (Sec. II). The predicted variations are taken  
98 into account in the adapted signal processing technique (Sect. III). Both the model and the  
99 adapted signal processing are then validated on experimental data from bone-mimicking  
100 wedged plates (Sec. IV). Finally, the direction of propagation of the guided waves is  
101 investigated.

102

## 103 **II. Influence of the varying thickness on adiabatic mode wavenumbers**

104

### 105 **A. Cortical bone is considered as a plate with a linear varying thickness**

106 In order to illustrate the thickness variation of the cortical bone, two cross-sections images  
107 derived from 3-D X-ray computed tomography data (Siemens, Somaton 4 Plus, 200  $\mu\text{m}$ -  
108 voxel size) of a human distal radius are shown in Fig. 1(a). These images, excerpted from Ref.  
109 41, are illustrative of the general structure of the cortical shell of the 39 human radius  
110 specimens for which the FAS velocity was reported in Ref. 16. As illustrated on the

111 longitudinal cross-section, the cortical thickness decreases regularly with a moderate slope  
 112 from the mid-diaphysis (left) to the epiphysis (distal end, right). By reanalyzing the X-ray  
 113 computed tomography radius database, the thickness variation along the bone axis in the  
 114 measurement region, highlighted with a white square on Fig. 1(a), can reasonably be  
 115 approximated with a linear fit. The analysis of the 39 excised human radii evidenced a mean  
 116 cortical thickness of  $2.2 \pm 0.6$  mm with a mean cortical angle equal to  $1.2 \pm 0.7^\circ$ .

117 The relevance of a plate versus a tube model to represent the cortical shell of human  
 118 radius specimens has been discussed in several studies.<sup>6, 27, 31</sup> Predictions using a plate model  
 119 have been found to fit well the experimental data observe on *ex vivo* radius specimens.<sup>26, 28</sup>  
 120 Moreover, on bone mimicking phantoms covered by a soft tissue mimicking layer,<sup>13, 24, 42, 43</sup>  
 121 indicate that the measured guided modes can be interpreted using a free plate model. Thus, an  
 122 elastic plate model with a linear varying thickness, although it represents a simplification  
 123 compared to the complex structure of bone, is adopted here in order to evidence the effect of  
 124 the thickness variation on the guided mode measurement.

125

## 126 **B. Adiabatic guided modes**

127 The slowly varying thickness is associated with guided modes that are supposed to be  
 128 adiabatic: they locally correspond to guided modes of a plate of constant thickness. If  $k(e, f)$  is  
 129 a valid frequency-wavenumber curve for a free elastic plate whose thickness is  $e$ , then the  
 130 frequency-wavenumber curve  $k(\alpha e, f)$  for a plate of any thickness  $\alpha e$  can be deduced using  
 131 the equation

$$132 \quad k(\alpha e, f) = 1/\alpha k(e, \alpha f), \quad (1)$$

133 where  $\alpha$  is a generic waveguide thickness scaling factor. The two wavenumbers given in Eq.  
 134 (1) correspond to identical frequency  $\times$  thickness and wavenumber  $\times$  thickness products. They  
 135 also correspond to identical phase velocity and group velocity.

136 Consider two close positions  $x$  and  $x + dx$  along the waveguide that are associated with  
 137 thicknesses such that  $e(x + dx)$  is equal to  $e(x) + de$  [Fig. 1(a)]. In order to discuss the  
 138 expression given by Eq. (1), two particular plate modes A1 and S2 are illustrated on Figs. 1(b)  
 139 and (c). The transition from the modes  $k(x, f)$  associated with the largest thickness  $e(x)$  (shown  
 140 as thick lines) to the modes  $k(x + dx, f)$  associated with the smallest thickness  $e(x) + de$  ( $de$   
 141 chosen negative in this example, dashed lines) is manifested as a shift of the dispersion  
 142 curves towards higher frequencies. An opposite effect, *i.e.* a shift towards lower frequencies,  
 143 is observed when the thickness increases (positive  $de$ ). Figure 1(c) is a zoom of a small  
 144 portion of the  $(f, k)$  2-D space shown in figure 1(b) indicating the small variations  $df$ ,  $dk$  and  
 145  $\Delta k$  defined in Eqs. (3) and (4).

146 The scaling factor  $\alpha$  equal to  $e(x + dx) / e(x)$  can be expressed as  $1 + de/e(x)$ . The ratio  
 147  $de/e(x)$  is assumed to be small compared to 1 and thus further calculus will be done with the  
 148 perturbation method at the first order of the quantity  $de/e(x)$ . Equation (1) can be written as

$$149 \quad k(x + dx, f) = k(x, f - df) + dk. \quad (2)$$

150 The previous equation links two wavenumbers for two different positions  $x$  and  $x + dx$  at two  
 151 different frequencies,  $f$  and  $f - df$ . The small variations  $df$  and  $dk$ , induced by the thickness  
 152 variation  $de$ , satisfy

$$153 \quad df = -f \frac{de}{e(x)}, \quad (3a)$$

$$154 \quad dk = -k(x, f) \frac{de}{e(x)}. \quad (3b).$$

155 The first order Taylor expansion along frequency of wavenumber  $k(x, f - df)$  [right hand part  
 156 of Eq. (2)] writes as

$$157 \quad k(x, f - df) = k(x, f) - \frac{\partial k}{\partial f} df. \quad (4)$$

158 Using Eq. (3) and the definition of the phase and group velocities  $v_\phi$  and  $v_g$ , the derivative

159 term  $\frac{\partial k}{\partial f} df$  can be approximated by  $\frac{v_\phi(x, f)}{v_g(x, f)} dk$ . This term is shown as  $\Delta k$  on Fig. 1(c).

160 Combining Eqs. (2) to (4), the difference between  $k(x, f)$  and  $k(x + dx, f)$ , illustrated as a thick  
 161 arrow on Fig. 1(c), is equal to  $dk - \Delta k$ . Finally, the variation of the wavenumber at a fixed  
 162 frequency writes

$$163 \quad k(x + dx, f) = k(x, f) \left[ 1 + \frac{de}{e(x)} \left( \frac{v_\phi(x, f)}{v_g(x, f)} - 1 \right) \right]. \quad (5)$$

164 Next, we introduce the term  $\varepsilon(x, f)$  defined as

$$165 \quad \varepsilon(x, f) = \frac{de}{e(x)} \left( \frac{v_\phi(x, f)}{v_g(x, f)} - 1 \right). \quad (6)$$

166 This term is dimensionless and can be interpreted as a deviation rate measuring the  
 167 wavenumber variation in response to the thickness variation. Hereafter it will be referred to as  
 168 the “deviation term”. It depends on the waveguide thickness variation rate  $de/e(x)$ , on the  
 169 guided mode being considered and on its velocity dispersion.

170

171

## 172 **C. Adiabatic condition**

173 The “adiabatic condition”, introduced in paragraph II.A, is satisfied if the deviation  
 174 term  $\varepsilon(x, f)$ , given by Eq. (6), is small compared to 1. This case is satisfied for moderate  
 175 dispersion and weak thickness variation. If mode dispersion is large, *i.e.*  $v_\phi$  is large compared  
 176 to  $v_g$ , *e.g.*, for frequencies close to cut-off frequencies,  $\varepsilon$  could be non negligible even if the  
 177 thickness variation  $de/e(x)$  is small. On the contrary, if mode dispersion is null, *i.e.*  $v_g$  and  $v_\phi$   
 178 are equal,  $\varepsilon$  is null and the thickness variation has not effect on the wavenumber. This is the  
 179 case for example for the Rayleigh wave which corresponds to a surface wave and is not  
 180 influenced by the opposite interface. Moreover, the dispersion term  $v_\phi / v_g - 1$  is mostly

181 positive. It implies that  $de$  and  $\varepsilon$  have the same sign: thus, an increase (respectively a  
 182 decrease) in thickness leads to an increase (respectively a decrease) in the wavenumber.  
 183 Exceptions are mode A0, for which  $v_g$  is inferior to  $v_\phi$ , and modes associated with ZGV (zero  
 184 group velocity) resonances, for which group and phase velocities have opposite signs.<sup>44</sup>

185 Consider that the adiabatic condition is satisfied along the propagation path of  $n$  close  
 186 positions  $x_i$  shown in Fig. 1(a), associated with  $n$  local thicknesses  $e(x_i)$ . At a fixed frequency  
 187  $f$ , along its propagation the wavenumber  $k(x, f)$  undergoes a series of homothetic transforms  
 188 given by Eqs. (5) and (6). The  $n^{\text{th}}$  position is linked to the first one with the following  
 189 relationship

$$190 \quad k(x_n, f) = k(x_1, f) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \{1 + \varepsilon(x_i, f)\}, \quad (7)$$

191 with  $i$  the position index ranging from 1 to  $n$  [Fig 1(a)]. As all the deviation terms  $\varepsilon$  are  
 192 assumed to be small compared to 1, the previous equation can be approximated to the first  
 193 order in  $\varepsilon(x_i, f)$  with

$$194 \quad k(x_n, f) = k(x_1, f) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon(x_i, f) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

195 At each step, a small variation  $\varepsilon(x_i, f).k(x_1, f)$  is added to the reference wavenumber  $k(x_1, f)$ .  
 196 The measurement of the spatial variations of the wavenumber has been proposed to  
 197 reconstruct the profile variation  $de(x)$  of the waveguide in case of moderate dispersion.<sup>45</sup>

198

#### 199 **D. Wavenumber variation for a linearly varying thickness waveguide**

200 Consider a linear array with a group of receivers surrounded with two groups of transmitters.  
 201 The array is in contact with a waveguide with a linearly varying thickness (Fig. 2). The  
 202 receivers are equally spaced, with an array pitch denoted  $p$ . The reference of axis ( $Ox$ ), *i.e.* the  
 203 position  $x = 0$ , is located at the center of the receiving array. This position is associated with  
 204 the reference thickness  $e_0$ . Thus, the varying thickness  $e(x)$  is given by

$$205 \quad e(x) = e_0 + x \cdot \tan \alpha, \quad (9)$$

206 with the subscript 0 associated with values at position  $x = 0$ . Notation “+” (respectively “-“)   
 207 denotes the increasing (respectively decreasing) thickness direction. By convention, the first   
 208 receiver is placed on the thickest side, *i.e.*, at a negative position (Fig. 2). The variation of the   
 209 wavenumbers along the receivers at a fixed frequency can be obtained using Eq. (7), as long   
 210 as the adiabatic condition  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  [Eq. (6)] is satisfied at each adiabatic transform, *i.e.*, from   
 211 one receiver to the next one in this case. Moreover, the variation of the wavenumber can be   
 212 expressed as

$$213 \quad k(x, f) = k(x_0, f) \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon(x_0, f)}{p} x \right), \quad (10)$$

214 The term  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$ , being the deviation term at the array center, is given by Eq. (6). In order to   
 215 discuss the validity of Eq. (10), two frequencies, corresponding to two different deviation   
 216 terms  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$ , respectively, are considered in the following.

217 Examples are given in Fig. 3 for two modes, A1 and S2 shown in Fig. 1, propagating   
 218 at frequencies of interest in a bone-mimicking wedged plate with an angle  $\alpha = 2^\circ$  and a   
 219 thickness  $e_0 = 2.25$  mm. The geometrical characteristics of the wedged plate are representative   
 220 of the typical values estimated from Ref. 16. The typical thickness variation  $de/e(x)$ , equal to   
 221  $p \tan(\alpha)/e_0$ , is about 1.4 %, with  $p = 0.892$  mm. Figure 3(a) shows first the dispersion curves   
 222  $k(x_0, f)$  of this plate at  $x = 0$ . The corresponding variations of the deviation terms  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$  are   
 223 shown in Fig. 3(b). Two selected frequencies are marked with symbols in Figs. 3(a) and (b).   
 224 The first case marked with a star (mode A1 at  $f_1$  equal to 0.8 MHz), corresponds to a deviation   
 225 term  $\varepsilon(x_0, f_1)$  equal to 0.9 %. The second case marked with a circle (mode S2 at  $f_2$  equal to 0.8   
 226 MHz), is associated with a more pronounced deviation term  $\varepsilon(x_0, f_2)$  equal to 7.7 %. Finally,   
 227 figures 3(c) and (d) show the variation in  $x$  of the wavenumbers  $k(x, f_1)$  and  $k(x, f_2)$  and their   
 228 corresponding amplitudes  $\sin[ k(x, f_1) x]$  and  $\sin[ k(x, f_2) x]$  for the two modes at the two

229 selected frequencies  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The receiver positions are marked with black dots.

230 It can be observed that both wavenumbers  $k(x, f_1)$  and  $k(x, f_2)$  decrease in the direction  
231 of decreasing thickness (direction “-“). On the contrary, for the opposite direction “+”, *i.e.*,  
232 when thickness increases, the wavenumbers increase. Moreover, the variation of the  
233 wavenumbers along the axis ( $Ox$ ) are well described by the linear approximation, given by  
234 Eq. (10) and shown with thin lines, even for the deviation  $\varepsilon(x_0, f_2) = 7.7\%$ . These modes with  
235 varying wavenumber can be seen as being spatially modulated, as for example classical  
236 chirps, but in the spatial domain instead of the temporal domain [Fig. 3(d)].

237

### 238 **III. Material and methods**

#### 239 **A. Experimental set up**

240 The axial transmission setup is composed of a 1 MHz-centre frequency cMUT  
241 ultrasonic array (Vermon, Tours, France), a multi-channel array controller (Althais, Tours,  
242 France) and a custom made graphic interface. The cMUT array has been described in Ref. 46.  
243 Its configuration is detailed in Fig. 2: it consists of two sets of 5 transmitters on each side of a  
244 group of 24 receivers located at the centre of the array. This array with its two sets of  
245 transmitters was initially designed for soft tissues bidirectional correction.<sup>10, 42</sup> In the present  
246 work, this configuration allows waves propagation to be studied in both opposite directions,  
247 denoted “+” and “-” in Fig. 2. The array is controlled by the array controller and the graphic  
248 interface allows real-time visualization of the calculated ( $f-k$ ) diagrams. The pitch of the  
249 elements, denoted  $p$ , is equal to 0.892 mm. The frequency bandwidth of the emitted signal, a  
250 one period burst of 1MHz corresponds to a -6 dB power spectrum spanning the frequency  
251 range of 0.5 to 1.6 MHz. Signals are recorded at a sampling frequency of 20 MHz and a 12-bit  
252 resolution with 16 time averages. The signals corresponding to all possible transmit-receive  
253 pairs in the array are recorded. The probe is placed in contact with the wedged plate using a

254 coupling gel (Aquasonic, Parker Labs, Inc, Fairfield, NJ, USA).

255         The bone-mimicking plate is made of glass fibres embedded in epoxy (Sawbones  
256 Pacific Research Laboratories, Vashon, WA, USA). The following mechanical properties,<sup>47</sup>  
257 obtained with resonant ultrasound spectroscopy,<sup>48</sup> were used to compute the guided wave  
258 dispersion curves in the bone-mimicking plate using a 2-D transverse isotropic free plate  
259 model:<sup>24, 25, 49</sup> mass density  $1.64 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$  and stiffness coefficients (in GPa)  $c_{11} = 13.9$ ;  $c_{33} =$   
260  $20.9$ ;  $c_{55} = 4.3$ ;  $c_{13} = 6.9$ . The guided modes are labelled  $A_n$  and  $S_n$  considering their  
261 symmetry and their apparition order in frequency. In the following, measurements were  
262 performed on a bone-mimicking wedged plate, the angle of which is denoted  $\alpha$ . Two wedged  
263 plates with  $\alpha$  equal to  $1^\circ$  and  $2^\circ$  were measured. A plate without angle was also measured as a  
264 reference.

265

## 266 **B. SVD-based signal processing**

267 The experimental setup described in section III.A allows the measurement of 2 sets of  $M \times N$   
268 temporal signals, each of which consisting of all the signals that correspond to one of the 2  
269 directions of propagation.  $M$  and  $N$  are the number of receivers and transmitters, respectively.  
270 Waveguides with varying thickness have been already studied in non-destructive evaluation  
271 (NDE), where materials generally have light damping and large dimensions compared to the  
272 wavelength. Thus, large propagation paths can be recorded and analyzed using a standard  
273 post-processing technique, the so-called spatio-temporal Fourier transform,<sup>50</sup> with the  
274 assumption that the thickness of the waveguide remains constant along the receiving  
275 aperture.<sup>35, 36</sup> Time-frequency analysis<sup>33, 36</sup> and analysis of the reflection coefficient<sup>39</sup> have  
276 also been proposed. Correction in the time domain have been recently investigated to  
277 compensate the pulse dispersion caused by a varying thickness.<sup>51</sup> In contrast to the materials  
278 investigated in NDE, cortical bone and specifically the bone mimicking material investigated  
279 here are highly damping materials. The combination of absorption and of the limited

280 receiving length of the array makes that specific signal processing is therefore required to  
 281 enhance the wavenumber evaluation.

282 A SVD-based signal processing technique was recently developed for this purpose and  
 283 has been extensively detailed in our previous publications.<sup>11, 23</sup> Briefly, it takes advantage of  
 284 the multi-transmit, multi-receive configuration of the ultrasonic array. If  $s_{nm}(t)$  denotes the  
 285 temporal signal recorded at the receiver positioned at  $x_m^R$  after transmission by the  $n^{\text{th}}$   
 286 transmitter, the main steps of the SVD-based signal processing can be summarized as follows:

287 1) computation of the temporal Fourier transform  $S_{nm}(f)$  of the  $N$  by  $M$  responses  
 288  $s_{nm}(t)$ .

289 2) singular value decomposition of the transfer matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  at each frequency:  $\mathbf{S}$  is  
 290 decomposed on  $N$  reception singular vectors  $\mathbf{U}_n$ , and we denote by  $\sigma_n$  the associated singular  
 291 value.

292 3) separation of signal from noise by identifying the singular values larger than a  
 293 heuristically determined threshold, and by keeping only the corresponding number of singular  
 294 vectors. This sets the rank of the matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  at each frequency.

295 4) definition of appropriate test vectors  $\mathbf{e}^{test}$  with a norm equal to 1, expressed in the  
 296 receivers basis. The projection of these test vectors onto the signal subspace (*i.e.* the reception  
 297 singular vectors) leads to the so-called normalized *Norm* function, defined by<sup>11, 23</sup>

$$298 \quad Norm(f, k) = \sum_{n=1}^{rank} \left| \langle \mathbf{U}_n | \mathbf{e}^{test} \rangle \right|^2. \quad (11)$$

299 5) extraction of the guided mode wavenumbers corresponding to the maxima of the  
 300 *Norm* function. To this end, a second threshold is heuristically defined.

301

302 These operations are performed on matrices of signals recorded with both sets of transmitters,  
 303 so that two *Norm* functions are calculated, one for each propagation direction. This signal  
 304 processing technique significantly enhances the identification of the branches in the  $f - k$

305 diagrams, for two reasons: first, the signal is separated from noise; second, the matrix *Norm* is  
 306 normalized, *i.e.* all points have their values between 0 and 1. The maxima values do not  
 307 depends on the mode energy. Maxima of the *Norm* function close to 1 mean that the testing  
 308 vector is close to a measured mode.

309 However, the choice of the test vectors is critical to enhance the guide mode  
 310 wavenumber measurement. In Ref. 11, the test vectors are plane waves

$$311 \quad e_m^{test}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} e^{ikx_m^R}, \quad (12)$$

312 where  $k$  is a wavenumber corresponding to a plane wave. These test vectors are appropriate  
 313 for modes propagating in a waveguide of constant thickness. Indeed, the projection of plane  
 314 waves onto the basis of the singular vectors is equivalent to performing the spatial Fourier  
 315 transform of the singular vectors. The method has been extended to dissipative waveguides by  
 316 using a complex wavenumber.<sup>23</sup> While this approach is appropriate for modes propagating in  
 317 a waveguide of constant thickness, the developments presented in section II indicate that the  
 318 approach may no longer be adapted in case of a thickness-varying waveguide.

319

### 320 C. Test vector with varying wavenumber

321 Paragraph II.C shows that the wavenumbers of the guided modes is affected by changes in the  
 322 thickness of the waveguide. However, in the current SVD-based signal processing, the test  
 323 vectors include a constant wavenumber. It may be preferable to use test vectors that fit better  
 324 the physics of the problem. Towards this goal, the plane waves [Eq. (12)] are replaced by  
 325 waves with a varying wavenumber following

$$326 \quad e_m^{test}(k, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} e^{ik^{test}(x_m^R)x_m^R}, \quad (13)$$

327 with  $k^{test}(x)$  defined with coefficients  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$  of a first order Taylor expansion following  
 328 Eq. (10) as

$$329 \quad k^{test}(x) = k \left( 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} x \right). \quad (14)$$

330 The example of a simple case of a single propagating mode associated with a single singular  
 331 vector  $\mathbf{U}_1$  is given to illustrate this adaptation of the signal processing. The singular vector is  
 332 defined using Eqs. (10) and (14) with two arbitrary values  $k_0$  and  $\varepsilon_0$ . In this case, the scalar  
 333 product  $\langle \mathbf{e}^{test}(k, \varepsilon) | \mathbf{U}_1 \rangle$  writes as

$$334 \quad \langle \mathbf{e}^{test}(k, \varepsilon) | \mathbf{U}_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \exp \left[ i \left( (k_0 - k) + \left( k_0 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{p} - k \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) x_m^R \right) x_m^R \right]. \quad (15)$$

335 The *Norm* function [Eq. (11)] expresses as

$$336 \quad Norm(k, \varepsilon) = \left| \langle \mathbf{e}^{test}(k, \varepsilon) | \mathbf{U}_1 \rangle \right|^2. \quad (16)$$

337

#### 338 **D. Comparison with the spatial Fourier transform and validity domain**

339 The two examples shown in Fig. 3 are discussed. They correspond to guided waves  
 340 propagating in a bone-mimicking wedged plate with an angle  $\alpha = 2^\circ$  and a thickness  $e_0 =$   
 341 2.25 mm. The propagation of modes A1 and S2 was computed using Eq. (7) at a frequency of  
 342 0.8 MHz. In the first example, the propagation of mode A1 is investigated. It is represented  
 343 with a star in the figures, and one can see that it corresponds to  $\varepsilon_0 = 0.9\%$  and  
 344  $k_0 = 1.5 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ . This is considered to be a moderate wavenumber variation between the first  
 345 and the last receivers, the term  $M\varepsilon_0 k_0$  having a value of about  $0.2 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$  [Eq. (10)]. In the  
 346 second example, we consider the propagation of mode S2, represented with a circle in the  
 347 figures. Although the frequency is the same as for mode A1, in this case  $\varepsilon_0 = 7.7\%$  and  $k_0 =$   
 348  $0.5 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ . This corresponds to a larger wavenumber variation of about  $1 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ . The  
 349 spatial Fourier transform of these two examples is shown in Figs. 4(a) and (b) with a thick  
 350 gray line. In the first example (mode A1), the peak is located at  $k = k_0$ , and thus the  
 351 corresponding moderate wavenumber variation does not affect the ability of the spatial

352 Fourier transform to evaluate the wavenumber at the center of the array. On the other hand, in  
 353 the second example (mode S2), the spatial Fourier transform exhibits two maxima that are  
 354 shifted compared to the pick value located at  $k_0$ . Thus, the larger wavenumber variation  
 355 prevents the evaluation of an accurate estimate of the wavenumber. In the single mode case  
 356 discussed here, the spatial Fourier transform corresponds to the plane wave test vectors  
 357 [Eq. (12)] as described in Ref. 11. It is then calculated with  $\varepsilon = 0$  in Eq. (16) and therefore it is  
 358 indicated as  $Norm(k, 0)$  in Figs. 4(a) and (b).

359 In order to illustrate the signal processing using a modified test vector [Eqs. (13) to  
 360 (16)], the  $Norm$  function is shown in the  $(k, \varepsilon)$  plane in Figs. 4(c) and (d). The  $Norm$  function  
 361 presents a single peak centered at the point  $(k_0, \varepsilon_0)$ , shown with a star (A1) and a circle (S2).  
 362 In order to compare with the spatial Fourier transform, the line corresponding to  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$  is  
 363 shown as a thin black line, and is indicated as  $Norm(k, \varepsilon_0)$  in Figs. 4(a) and (b). It can be  
 364 observed that the value of the maxima is 1. A maximum value close to 1 suggests that the  
 365 correction proposed in Eq. (14), using the modified test vector, has succeeded to compensate  
 366 for the wavenumber variation due to the varying thickness.

367 The measurement limit domains are indicated with thick lines. The lowest measurable  
 368 wavenumber,  $\pi/L$ , corresponds to a wavelength equal to half the extent of the receiving area  
 369 equal to  $L$  or  $Mp$ . The highest measurable wavenumber,  $2\pi/p$ , corresponds to the sampling  
 370 wavenumber, denoted  $k_s$ . In this case, the wavelength is equal to the array pitch  $p$ . Indeed, as  
 371 the guided modes are recorded in only one propagation direction, the measured wavenumber  
 372 have only one (positive) sign and thus the Nysquist limit ( $k$  inferior to  $k_s/2$ ) can be exceeded  
 373 until  $k$  equal to  $k_s$ . The line  $\varepsilon = 10\%$  corresponds to the upper limit of the adiabatic condition  
 374 discussed in paragraph II.C. Thus below 10%, the deviation term  $\varepsilon(x, f)$  given by Eq. (6) is  
 375 considered small compared to 1, and the linear variation of the wavenumber [Eq. (10)] is  
 376 valid.

377 The resolution is given by the mid peak values. Resolutions in  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$ , denoted  $\delta k$  and  
 378  $\delta\varepsilon$ , respectively, are equal to

$$379 \quad \delta k = 2\pi/L, \quad (17)$$

$$380 \quad \delta\varepsilon = 4\pi/(M k_0 L), \quad (18)$$

381 These values are illustrated in Fig. 4 with horizontal and vertical thin arrows around the  
 382 peaks. The resolution  $\delta k$  is the same as in the case of the plane wave test vectors and depends  
 383 only on the receiving length  $L$ .<sup>11</sup> In addition to  $L$ , the resolution  $\delta\varepsilon$  depends on the  
 384 wavenumber  $k_0$  and the number of receivers  $M$ . The domain of validity is divided into two  
 385 zones, denoted I and II. If the couple  $(\varepsilon_0, k_0)$  is located in zone I, the associated peak  
 386 intercepts the  $\varepsilon = 0$  line. Thus following Eq. (18), the limit between the two zones corresponds  
 387 to  $\varepsilon k$  less than  $4\pi/(M L)$ . Thus in zone I, is it possible to localize the position of the maxima  
 388 (*i.e.*,  $k = k_0$ ) using the spatial Fourier Transform as illustrated with the A1 mode. The peaks  
 389 given by the two methods are located at the same wavenumbers equal to  $k_0$ , but the peak  
 390 maximum given by the spatial Fourier transform is lower than the value given by the  
 391 proposed method (about 0.8 instead of 1). On the contrary, if the couple  $(\varepsilon_0, k_0)$  is located in  
 392 zone II, *i.e.*,  $\varepsilon_0 k_0$  larger than  $4\pi/(M L)$ , then the peak does not intercept the  $\varepsilon = 0$  line and  
 393 therefore it is not possible to localize the maxima using the spatial Fourier Transform as  
 394 illustrated with the S2 mode. However, the correction proposed in Eq. (14), allows the  
 395 detection of the modes, even in zone II as long as the deviation term is less than 10 %, and the  
 396 function  $Norm(k, \varepsilon)$  exhibits a unique peak associated with a maximum value close to 1 and  
 397 located at  $(k_0, \varepsilon_0)$ .

398 In conclusion, these examples illustrate that the proposed approach where the plane  
 399 wave vector has been changed to a test vector with a varying wavenumber leads to a better  
 400 mode detection, and consequently to a more accurate wavenumber measurement.

401

#### 402 **IV. Results and discussion**

403 In this section, experimental data are collected on three wedged plates made of bone-  
 404 mimicking material. The thickness  $e_0$  of the plates at the center of the receiving area is equal  
 405 to 2.25 mm. The wedge angles  $\alpha$  are equal to 0, 1 and 2°. All calculations have been done  
 406 keeping the five singular vectors [*i.e.*, the rank is equal to 5 in Eq. (11)] and with a second  
 407 threshold equal to 0.6. Whereas the *Norm* function computed with a plane wave test vector  
 408 depends on two parameters ( $f, k$ ) using the plane wave test vector [Eq. (12)], the new *Norm*  
 409 function computed with a test vector with varying wavenumber [Eqs. (13) and (14)] depends  
 410 on the three parameters  $f, k$  and  $\varepsilon$ . The results are represented in Fig. 5 for the bone-  
 411 mimicking wedged plates with a wedge angle  $\alpha = 1^\circ$  [Fig. 5(b) and (c)] and  $\alpha = 2^\circ$  [Fig. 5(d)  
 412 and (e)]. Results calculated with the modified test vector  $k^{\text{test}}(x)$  (circles) are compared with  
 413 those obtained with the plane wave test vector (dots) for both directions “+” and “-”. The case  
 414 of the plate of constant thickness ( $\alpha = 0^\circ$ ), is shown in Fig. 5(a) for reference. The main  
 415 observations are as follows:

- 416 1. Incomplete portions of branches of guided modes are detected in the wedged plates  
 417 compared to the plate of constant thickness.
- 418 2. This effect is more pronounced in the direction “+” compared to the opposite  
 419 direction.
- 420 3. The length of the detected branches with the plane wave test vector decreases when  
 421 the angle increases.
- 422 4. Several branches of guided modes in the wedged plates that are incompletely detected  
 423 with the plane test vector (*e.g.*, S0, A3 plate with  $\alpha = 1^\circ$ , direction “-“; S0, A1, S1, A3  
 424 plate with  $\alpha = 1^\circ$ , direction “-“) can be detected using the modified test vector.  
 425 Guided mode branches measured only with the modified method are indicated with  
 426 thin arrows. It corresponds to wavenumbers located in zone II of Fig. 4.

427 5. Some modes are even not detected at all (e.g., A3; directions “+”) with either the  
 428 plane wave or modified test vector. Guided mode branches not measured with any of  
 429 the two methods are marked with thick black arrows.

430

431 Results are now discussed in details. Five guided modes are measured in the reference  
 432 case [Fig. 5(a)]. The two first modes A0 and S0 do not have cut-off frequencies unlike the  
 433 three following modes A1 (0.4 MHz), S2 (0.7 MHz) and A3 (1.3 MHz). Modes A2 and S3 are  
 434 not measured. Let us consider first the results obtained with the plane wave test vectors (dots).  
 435 Direction “+” (right panels) is more severely affected compared to direction “-”. At 1°, the  
 436 mode A3 is no longer detected. For modes A1 and S2, low wavenumbers with values below  
 437  $0.5 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ , are missing. The mode A1 also disappears at high wavenumbers, with values  
 438 above  $2 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ . For the 2° wedged plate, in addition to the mode A3, the mode S2 also  
 439 completely disappears. The mode A1 is not measured for wavenumbers below  $1 \text{ rad.mm}^{-1}$ .  
 440 For direction “-” (left panels), similar but less important alterations of the branches can be  
 441 observed. For example, at 1°, A3 is partially detected while A1 and S2 seem to be correctly  
 442 detected. At 2°, A3 is no longer detected and S2 is partially detected. For high wavenumbers,  
 443 modes S0 and A1 are not detected. Mode A0 is the only mode that does not seem to be  
 444 affected for both directions.

445 Some branches of guided modes that are not detected with the plane test vector can be  
 446 measured using the modified test vector  $k^{\text{test}}(x)$  [Eq. (14)]. These branches are indicated with  
 447 thin arrows. This effect is particularly visible for the 2° wedged plate and direction “-” [Fig.  
 448 5(d)] on modes S0, A1, S2, A3. Almost all branches lost using the plane test vector can be  
 449 recovered. Similar effect is observed for the 2° wedged plate and direction “+” [Fig. 5(e)].  
 450 Note that mode A3 cannot be measured in both wedged plates with any of the two methods.

451 These observations are in agreement with the comments of Figs. (3) and (4). First, the

452 effect of the varying thickness increases with the wedge angle and with mode dispersion.  
453 Remember that the mode dispersion is high close to cut off frequencies, particularly for  
454 modes S2 and A3. The effect also increases with the wavenumber values as observed for  
455 modes S0 and A1. Secondly, the observation of direction “+” being more affected than  
456 direction “-” can be interpreted by the fact that, the plate being too thin under the  
457 transmitters, some modes such as S2 and A3 cannot be excited and subsequently cannot be  
458 measured by the receivers. On the contrary, for direction “-”, these modes are excited under  
459 the transmitters and can propagate and can be measured. However these modes may vanish  
460 before the end of the receiving length, as for example S2 at 0.8 MHz and  $x$  about 10 mm  
461 [Fig. 3(c)]. This is similar to the phenomenon described as “acoustic black holes” for the  
462 flexural waves propagating in wedges with thickness decreasing with a power law exponent  
463 larger than 2.<sup>52</sup> Moreover in our case, as the bone-mimicking material is absorbing, no  
464 reflections are observed.<sup>53</sup>

465 Using the modified test vector allows the estimation not only of the wavenumbers as  
466 discussed above, but also of the deviation term  $\varepsilon$  as shown in Fig. 4. The theoretical value of  
467  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$  is plotted versus frequency for modes A1, S1 and A3 in Fig. 6 for both angles  $\alpha = 1$   
468 and  $\alpha = 2^\circ$ . Experimental values (shown with symbols), measured in direction “-“, are  
469 compared to the theoretical ones, showing good agreement for both plates. The experimental  
470 deviation term  $\varepsilon$  is slightly underestimated. Moreover, close to cut off frequencies, the  
471 detection of the maxima can become unstable because the dispersion term  $v_\phi / v_g - 1$  tends  
472 towards infinity. This suggests that the limit to the linear approximation for the variations of  
473 the wavenumbers is  $\varepsilon$  of the order of 10 % (Fig. 4). Above this value, the test vectors defined  
474 in Eq. (14) are not adapted anymore and another type of variation should be considered (*e.g.*  
475 polynomial approximation of higher order). Attenuation could be also taken into account as  
476 the imaginary part of the wavenumber also varies spatially.

477           Given the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio in axial transmission measurements of  
478 cortical bone, we believe that the improvement in the detection of branches brought by the  
479 modified version of the test vector may represent a significant progress. Moreover, previous  
480 results suggest that direction “-” is potentially better for cortical bone characterization. As a  
481 consequence, further assessment of the method on *ex vivo* specimens as well as in *in vivo*  
482 measurement conditions is warranted.

483

## 484 **V. Conclusion**

485 This paper introduces a modified signal processing approach adapted to the measurement of  
486 guided wave propagation in waveguides of variable thickness. The method is based on an  
487 equation that describes the evolution of the guided modes wavenumbers with respect to  
488 position along the direction of propagation in the wedged plate. Both the equation and the  
489 signal processing were validated using experimental data recorded with bone-mimicking  
490 wedged plates. This new approach to detect guided waves in wedged plates exhibits enhanced  
491 sensitivity and accuracy compared to the previous one that does not account for the thickness-  
492 related variations of the wavenumbers. Indeed, typical angles of approximately  $1^\circ$  to  $2^\circ$   
493 observed in the cortical layer of the radius affect the propagation of the guided waves and  
494 prevent large parts of the guided mode branches to be detected with the current signal  
495 processing. The modified signal processing has therefore a better potential for investigation of  
496 the inverse problem aiming at retrieving estimates of thickness and elastic properties of the  
497 cortical bone waveguide.

498

## 499 **Acknowledgments**

500 This work was supported by the ANR grant COSTUM 09-TECS-005-03 (2009-2012).

501 Authors would like to acknowledge Pascal Dargent for his help for preparing the wedged  
502 plates.

503 Jean-Gabriel Minonzio would like to dedicate the paper to the memory of Franck David  
504 Philippe who passed away during the preparation of the manuscript.

505  
506

507

508 1. P. Moilanen, "Ultrasonic guided waves in bone," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr.*  
509 *Freq. Control* **55**, 1277–1286 (2008).

510 2. M. Talmant, J. Foiret, and J. G. Minonzio, *Bone Quantitative ultrasound Chap. 7*  
511 *Guided waves in cortical bones* (Springer, New York, 2010).

512 3. R. M. D. Zebaze, A. Ghasem-Zadeh, A. Bohte, S. Iuliano-Burns, M. Mirams, R. I.  
513 Price, E. J. Mackie, and E. Seeman, "Intracortical remodelling and porosity in the  
514 distal radius and post-mortem femurs of women: a cross-sectional study," *Lancet* **375**,  
515 1729–1736 (2010).

516 4. P. Laugier, "Instrumentation for in vivo ultrasonic characterization of bone strength,"  
517 *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* **55**, 1179–1196 (2008).

518 5. P. Moilanen, P. H. F. Nicholson, T. Karkkainen, Q. Wang, J. Timonen, and S. Cheng,  
519 "Assessment of the tibia using ultrasonic guided waves in pubertal girls," *Ost. Int.* **14**,  
520 1020–1027 (2003).

521 6. D. Ta, W. Q. Wang, Y. Y. Wang, L. H. Le, and Y. Q. Zhou, "Measurement of the  
522 Dispersion and Attenuation of Cylindrical Ultrasonic Guided Waves in Long Bone,"  
523 *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **35**, 641–652 (2009).

524 7. K. L. Xu, D. Ta, P. Moilanen, and W. Q. Wang, "Mode separation of Lamb waves  
525 based on dispersion compensation method," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **131**, 2714–2722  
526 (2012).

527 8. X. J. Song, D. A. Ta, and W. Q. Wang, "A Base-Sequence-Modulated Golay Code  
528 Improves the Excitation and Measurement of Ultrasonic Guided Waves in Long  
529 Bones," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* **59**, 2580–2583 (2012).

530 9. A. Tatarinov, V. Egorov, N. Sarvazyan, and A. Sarvazyan, "Multi-frequency axial  
531 transmission bone ultrasonometer," *Ultrasonics*,

- 532 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2013.09.025> (date last viewed 02/20/14).
- 533 10. E. Bossy, M. Talmant, M. Defontaine, F. Patat, and P. Laugier, "Bidirectional axial  
534 transmission can improve accuracy and precision of ultrasonic velocity measurement  
535 in cortical bone: A validation on test materials," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr.*  
536 *Freq. Control* **51**, 71–79 (2004).
- 537 11. J. G. Minonzio, M. Talmant, and P. Laugier, "Guided wave phase velocity  
538 measurement using multi-emitter and multi-receiver arrays in the axial transmission  
539 configuration," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **127**, 2913–2919 (2010).
- 540 12. P. Moilanen, M. Maatta, V. Kilappa, L. Xu, P. H. F. Nicholson, M. Alen, J. Timonen,  
541 T. Jamsa, and S. Cheng, "Discrimination of fractures by low-frequency axial  
542 transmission ultrasound in postmenopausal females," *Ost. Int.* **24**, 723–730 (2013).
- 543 13. P. Moilanen, Z. Zhao, P. Karppinen, T. Karppinen, V. Kilappa, J. Pirhonen, R.  
544 Myllylä, E. Hæggström, and J. Timonen, "Photo-acoustic Excitation and Optical  
545 Detection of Fundamental Flexural Guided Wave in Coated Bone Phantoms,"  
546 *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **40**, 521–531 (2014).
- 547 14. G. Lowet and G. VanderPerre, "Ultrasound velocity measurement in long bones:  
548 Measurement method and simulation of ultrasound wave propagation," *J.*  
549 *Biomechanics* **29**, 1255–1262 (1996).
- 550 15. M. Dauschies, K. Rohde, C.-C. Glüer, and R. Barkmann, "The preliminary  
551 evaluation of a 1 MHz ultrasound probe for measuring the elastic anisotropy of human  
552 cortical bone," *Ultrasonics* **54**, 4–10 (2014).
- 553 16. E. Bossy, M. Talmant, F. Peyrin, L. Akrou, P. Cloetens, and P. Laugier, "An in vitro  
554 study of the ultrasonic axial transmission technique at the radius: 1-MHz velocity  
555 measurements are sensitive to both mineralization and intracortical porosity," *J. Bone*  
556 *Mineral Res.* **19**, 1548–1556 (2004).

- 557 17. J. Foiret, Q. Grimal, M. Talmant, R. Longo, and P. Laugier, "Probing heterogeneity of  
558 cortical bone with ultrasound axial transmission," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr.*  
559 *Freq. Control* **60**, 187–193 (2013).
- 560 18. K. I. Lee and S. W. Yoon, "Correlations between ultrasonic guided wave velocities  
561 and bone properties in bovine tibia in vitro," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **131**, EL375–EL381  
562 (2012).
- 563 19. A. Sarvazyan, A. Tatarinov, V. Egorov, S. Airapetian, V. Kurtenok, and C. J. Gatt,  
564 "Application of the dual-frequency ultrasonometer for osteoporosis detection,"  
565 *Ultrasonics* **49**, 331–337 (2009).
- 566 20. V. Egorov, A. Tatarinov, N. Sarvazyan, R. Wood, L. Magidenko, S. Amin, S. Khosla,  
567 R. J. Ruh, J. M. Ruh, and A. Sarvazyan, "Osteoporosis detection in postmenopausal  
568 women using axial transmission multi-frequency bone ultrasonometer: Clinical  
569 findings," *Ultrasonics*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2013.08.017> (date last viewed  
570 02/20/14).
- 571 21. V. Kilappa, K. Xu, P. Moilanen, E. Heikkola, D. Ta, and J. Timonen, "Assessment of  
572 the Fundamental Flexural Guided Wave in Cortical Bone by an Ultrasonic Axial-  
573 Transmission Array Transducer," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **39**, 1223–1232 (2013).
- 574 22. V. C. Protopappas, D. I. Fotiadis, and K. N. Malizos, "Guided ultrasound wave  
575 propagation in intact and healing long bones," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **32**, 693–708  
576 (2006).
- 577 23. J. G. Minonzio, J. Foiret, M. Talmant, and P. Laugier, "Impact of attenuation on  
578 guided mode wavenumber measurement in axial transmission on bone mimicking  
579 plates," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **130**, 3574–3582 (2011).
- 580 24. J. A. Chen, J. Foiret, J. G. Minonzio, M. Talmant, Z. Q. Su, L. Cheng, and P. Laugier,  
581 "Measurement of guided mode wavenumbers in soft tissue-bone mimicking phantoms

- 582 using ultrasonic axial transmission," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **57**, 3025–3037 (2012).
- 583 25. J. A. Chen, J. Foiret, J. G. Minonzio, Z. Q. Su, L. Cheng, and P. Laugier,  
584 "Corrigendum: Measurement of guided mode wavenumbers in soft tissue" "bone  
585 mimicking phantoms using ultrasonic axial transmission," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **58**, 8593–  
586 8595 (2013).
- 587 26. J. Foiret, J. Minonzio, M. Talmant, and P. Laugier, "Cortical bone quality assessment  
588 using quantitative ultrasound on long bones," *Engineering in Medicine and Biology*  
589 *Society (EMBC), Annual International Conference of the IEEE*, 1121–1124 (2012).
- 590 27. J. Foiret, J.-G. Minonzio, P. Laugier, and M. Talmant, "Determination of bone  
591 properties from Lamb type of waves," *POMA* **19**, 075091 (2013).
- 592 28. P. Moilanen, P. H. F. Nicholson, V. Kilappa, S. Cheng, and J. Timonen, "Measuring  
593 guided waves in long bones: Modeling and experiments in free and immersed plates,"  
594 *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **32**, 709–719 (2006).
- 595 29. M. G. Vavva, V. C. Protopappas, L. N. Gergidis, A. Charalambopoulos, D. I. Fotiadis,  
596 and D. Polyzos, "Velocity dispersion of guided waves propagating in a free gradient  
597 elastic plate: Application to cortical bone," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **125**, 3414–3427  
598 (2009).
- 599 30. A. Papacharalampopoulos, M. G. Vavva, V. C. Protopappas, D. I. Fotiadis, and D.  
600 Polyzos, "A numerical study on the propagation of Rayleigh and guided waves in  
601 cortical bone according to Mindlin's Form II gradient elastic theory," *J. Acoust. Soc.*  
602 *Am.* **130**, 1060–1070 (2011).
- 603 31. P. Moilanen, P. H. F. Nicholson, V. Kilappa, S. L. Cheng, and J. Timonen,  
604 "Assessment of the cortical bone thickness using ultrasonic guided waves: Modelling  
605 and in vitro study," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **33**, 254–262 (2007).
- 606 32. V. Pagneux and A. Maurel, "Lamb wave propagation in elastic waveguides with

- 607 variable thickness," *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and*  
608 *Engineering Science* **462**(2068), 1315–1339 (2006).
- 609 33. L. De Marchi, A. Marzani, N. Speciale, and E. Viola, "Prediction of pulse dispersion  
610 in tapered waveguides," *NDT & E International* **43**, 265–271 (2010).
- 611 34. N. Amir, V. Pagneux, and J. Kergomard, "A study of wave propagation in varying  
612 cross-section waveguides by modal decomposition .2. Results," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*  
613 **101**, 2504–2517 (1997).
- 614 35. M. E. C. El-Kettani, F. Luppe, and A. Guillet, "Guided waves in a plate with linearly  
615 varying thickness: experimental and numerical results," *Ultrasonics* **42**, 807–812  
616 (2004).
- 617 36. P. Marical, M. E. C. El-Kettani, and M. V. Predoi, "Guided waves in elastic plates  
618 with Gaussian section variation: Experimental and numerical results," *Ultrasonics* **47**,  
619 1–9 (2007).
- 620 37. Z. Hamitouche, M. E. C. El-Kettani, J. L. Izbicki, and H. Djelouah, "Reflection at the  
621 Cut-off and Transmission by Tunnel Effect in a Waveguide with Linear Section  
622 Variation," *Acta Acustica United with Acustica* **95**, 789–794 (2009).
- 623 38. J. B. Doc, S. Felix, and B. Lihoreau, "Coarse-grid computation of the one-way  
624 propagation of coupled modes in a varying cross-section waveguide," *J. Acoust. Soc.*  
625 *Am.* **133**, 2528–2532 (2013).
- 626 39. R. Carandente, J. Ma, and P. Cawley, "The scattering of the fundamental torsional  
627 mode from axi-symmetric defects with varying depth profile in pipes," *J. Acoust. Soc.*  
628 *Am.* **127**, 3440–3448 (2010).
- 629 40. J. M. Arnold and L. B. Felsen, "Intrinsic Modes in a Nonseparable Ocean  
630 Waveguide," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **76**, 850–860 (1984).
- 631 41. E. Bossy, M. Talmant, and P. Laugier, "Three-dimensional simulations of ultrasonic

- 632 axial transmission velocity measurement on cortical bone models," *J. Acoust. Soc.*  
633 *Am.* **115**, 2314–2324 (2004).
- 634 42. L. Moreau, J. G. Minonzio, J. Foiret, E. Bossy, M. Talmant, and P. Laugier, "Accurate  
635 measurement of guided modes in a plate using a bidirectional approach," *J. Acoust.*  
636 *Soc. Am.* **135**, EL15–EL21 (2014).
- 637 43. T. Tran, L. Stieglitz, Y. J. Gu, and L. H. Le, "Analysis of Ultrasonic Waves  
638 Propagating in a Bone Plate over Awater Half-Space with and without Overlying Soft  
639 Tissue," *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* **39**, 2422–2430 (2013).
- 640 44. C. Prada, D. Clorennec, and D. Royer, "Local vibration of an elastic plate and zero-  
641 group velocity Lamb modes," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **124**, 203–212 (2008).
- 642 45. M. E. C. El Kettani, P. Marical, and Z. Hamitouche, "Inverse Problem for the  
643 Geometry Profile Determination of Waveguides With Varying Section Using  
644 Adiabatic Behavior of Guided Waves," *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq.*  
645 *Control* **56**, 2023–2026 (2009).
- 646 46. D. Certon, R. Ternifi, A. Boulme, M. Legros, J. G. Minonzio, M. Talmant, F. Patat,  
647 and J. P. Remenieras, "Low frequency cMUT technology: Application to  
648 measurement of brain movement and assessment of bone quality," *IRBM* **34**, 159–166  
649 (2013).
- 650 47. S. Bernard, Q. Grimal, S. Hauptert, and P. Laugier, "Assessment of anisotropic  
651 elasticity of small bone samples with resonant ultrasound spectroscopy: Attenuation  
652 does not prevent the measurements," *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), IEEE*  
653 *International*, 1599–1602, (2011).
- 654 48. S. Bernard, Q. Grimal, and P. Laugier, "Accurate measurement of cortical bone  
655 elasticity tensor with resonant ultrasound spectroscopy," *Journal of the Mechanical*  
656 *Behavior of Biomedical Materials* **18**, 12–19 (2013).

- 657 49. S. H. Rhee, J. K. Lee, and J. J. Lee, "The group velocity variation of Lamb wave in  
658 fiber reinforced composite plate," *Ultrasonics* **47**, 55–63 (2007).
- 659 50. D. Alleyne and P. Cawley, "A 2-Dimensional Fourier-Transform Method for the  
660 Measurement of Propagating Multimode Signals," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **89**, 1159–1168  
661 (1991).
- 662 51. L. De Marchi, A. Marzani, and M. Miniaci, "A dispersion compensation procedure to  
663 extend pulse-echo defects location to irregular waveguides," *NDT & E International*  
664 **54**, 115–122 (2013).
- 665 52. V. V. Krylov and F. J. B. S. Tilman, "Acoustic 'black holes' for flexural waves as  
666 effective vibration dampers," *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **274**, 605–619 (2004).
- 667 53. V. V. Krylov and R. Winward, "Experimental investigation of the acoustic black hole  
668 effect for flexural waves in tapered plates," *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **300**, 43–  
669 49 (2007).
- 670
- 671
- 672

673 Figure 1. X-ray computed tomography cross sections of a human distal radius (Siemens,  
 674 Somaton 4 Plus) excerpted from Ref. 41, illustrating cortical bone thickness variations in a  
 675 typical ultrasound measurement region (a), wavenumber  $k$  vs frequency  $f$  for two particular  
 676 guided modes A1 and S2 (b) associated with the thickness  $e$  at position  $x$  (thick lines) and  
 677  $e+de$  at position  $x+dx$  (thin lines), panel (c) is a zoom of (b) showing the small variations  $df$ ,  
 678  $dk$  and  $\Delta k$  used in Eqs. (3) to (6).

679

680 Figure 2. Configuration of the wedged plate with the elements of the ultrasonic array.

681

682 Figure 3. Wavenumbers  $k(x_0, f)$  of modes A1 and S2 vs frequency in a 2.25 mm-thick bone  
 683 mimicking plate (a), corresponding deviation terms  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$  [Eq. (6)] for  $\alpha = 2^\circ$  (b),  
 684 wavenumbers  $k(x, f_1)$  and  $k(x, f_2)$  (c) and associated spatial variations  $\sin[k(x, f_1)x]$  and  
 685  $\sin[k(x, f_2)x]$  (d) of the two modes with respect to the propagation distance  $x$  at two particular  
 686 frequencies shown with symbols for A1 at  $f_1 = 0.8$  MHz (star) and S2 at  $f_2 = 0.8$  MHz (circle)  
 687 in (a) and (b) (points indicate the position of the receivers of the array).

688

689 Figure 4. *Norm* functions given by Eq. (16) in the  $(k, \varepsilon)$  2-D space in a case of a single mode  
 690 given by Eq. (10) for the two examples shown in Fig. 3: mode S2 at 0.8 MHz with  $\varepsilon_0$  equal to  
 691 7.7% and  $k_0$  equal to  $0.5 \text{ rad}\cdot\text{mm}^{-1}$  (a) and (c) and mode A1 at 0.8 MHz with  $\varepsilon_0$  equal to 0.9%  
 692 and  $k_0$  equal to  $1.5 \text{ rad}\cdot\text{mm}^{-1}$  (b) and (d). The *Norm* functions are also represented for  $\varepsilon = 0$   
 693 (thick gray lines) and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$  (thin black lines) in (a) and (b). The case  $\varepsilon = 0$  corresponds to the  
 694 previous signal processing using plane wave test vectors [Eq. (12)] and is equivalent to the  
 695 spatial Fourier transform in the single mode case. The resolution values in the  $(k - \varepsilon)$  2-D space  
 696 given by Eqs. (17) and (18) are shown with thin arrows. The thick lines corresponds to  
 697 validity domains of zone I (spatial Fourier transform) and zone II (modified test vector).

698

699

700 Figure 5. (color online) Experimental wavenumbers obtained with the plane wave test vector  
701 for a plate of constant thickness  $e = 2.25$  mm (a). Experimental wavenumbers obtained with  
702 the plane wave test vector (dots) and the modified test vectors  $k^{\text{test}}(x)$  (circles) on wedged  
703 bone mimicking plates with central thickness  $e_0$  equal to 2.25 mm and  $\alpha$  equal to  $1^\circ$  (b) and  
704  $2^\circ$  (d) and (e). Experimental wavenumbers are compared with the theoretical modes of  
705 the free plate of constant thickness  $e_0$  (continuous and dashed lines). Results of the  
706 propagation in the decreasing thickness direction (direction “-”) are shown in (b) and (d).  
707 Results of the propagation in the increasing thickness direction (direction “+”) are shown in  
708 (c) and (e). Thick arrows indicate portions of branches of guided mode not measured with any  
709 of the two methods. Thin arrows indicate portions of branches of guided modes measured  
710 only with the modified method. It corresponds to wavenumbers located in zone II of Fig. 4.  
711 The two examples shown in Figs. 3 and 4 are reported in (d) with the same symbols (large  
712 circle and star).

713

714 Figure 6. Theoretical (continuous and dashed lines) and experimental values of the deviation  
715 term  $\varepsilon(x_0, f)$  for  $\alpha = 1^\circ$  (a) and  $\alpha = 2^\circ$  (b), for modes A1 (circles), S2 (stars) and A3 (dots) for  
716 direction “-”.











