

# Numerical models for continental break-up: Implications for the South Atlantic

Anouk Beniest, Alexander Koptev, Evgenii Burov

# ► To cite this version:

Anouk Beniest, Alexander Koptev, Evgenii Burov. Numerical models for continental break-up: Implications for the South Atlantic. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2017, 461, pp.176-189. 10.1016/j.epsl.2016.12.034 . hal-01467320

# HAL Id: hal-01467320 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01467320v1

Submitted on 14 Feb 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Numerical models for continental break-up: implications for the South Atlantic
2	
3	Beniest, A. <sup>1, 2</sup> , Koptev, A. <sup>1</sup> Burov, E. <sup>1†</sup>
4	Email corresponding author: a.beniest@gmail.com
5	1. Sorbonne Universités, UPMC University Paris 06, CNRS, Institut des Sciences de la Terre de
6	Paris (iSTeP), 4 Place Jussieu 75005 Paris, France
7	2. IFP Energies nouvelles, Geosciences, 1&4 Avenue du Bois-Préau 92852, Rueil-Malmaison,
8	France
9	
10	1 Deceased 9 October 2015
11	
12	Abstract
13	We propose a mechanism that explains in one unified framework the presence of continental break-up
14	features such as failed rift arms and high-velocity and high-density bodies that occur along the South
15	Atlantic rifted continental margins. We used 2D and 3D numerical models to investigate the impact of
16	thermo-rheological structure of the continental lithosphere and initial plume position on continental rifting
17	and breakup processes. 2D experiments show that break-up can be 1) "centred", mantle plume-induced and
18	directly located above the centre of the mantle anomaly, 2) "shifted", mantle plume-induced and 50 to 250
19	km shifted from the initial plume location or 3) "distant", self-induced due to convection and/or slab-
20	subduction/delamination and 300 to 800 km off-set from the original plume location. With a 3D, perfectly
21	symmetrical and laterally homogenous setup, the location of continental break-up can be shifted hundreds
22	of km's from the initial position of the mantle anomaly. We demonstrate that in case of shifted or distant
23	continental break-up with respect to the original plume location, multiple features can be explained. Its
24	deep-seated source can remain below the continent at one or both sides of the newly-formed ocean. This
25	mantle material, glued underneath the margins at lower crustal levels, resembles the geometry and location
26	of high velocity/high density bodies observed along the South Atlantic conjugate margins. Impingement of

vertically up-welled plume material on the base of the lithosphere results in pre-break-up topography variations that are located just above this initial anomaly impingement. This can be interpreted as aborted rift features that are also observed along the rifted margins. When extension continues after continental break-up, high strain rates can relocalize. This relocation has been so far attributed to rift jumps. Most importantly, this study shows that there is not one, single rift mode for plume-induced crustal break-up.

32

33

#### 1. Introduction

Over the last decades a large variety of rift features have been recognised and explained with different 34 35 methods and different concepts. These features include for example aborted rift structures, anomalous 36 topography or anomalously high velocity/high density bodies located in the lower crust. Explanations for 37 anomalous features often link one mechanism with one observed rift feature. For example, on plume 38 impingement, a stratified lithospheric rheology (e.g. D'Acremont et al., 2003; Burov et al., 2007;) would 39 result in topographic uplift, as has been modelled with thermo-mechanical modelling. Forward modelling 40 shows that magmatic underplating can cause topographic variations (Hirsch et al., 2010). Anomalously high 41 velocity/high density bodies have been observed on tomographic images below the continents, implying 42 that in some regions magmatic processes dominate rifting (Cornwell et al., 2006). The latter is also suggested by gravity modelling that revealed the presence of anomalously high-density bodies in e.g. the South 43 44 Atlantic domain, implying that volcanic activity played a key role in margin development (Blaich et al., 45 2011; Maystrenko et al., 2013).

Review papers combine all these studies on one specific topic. Examples are the role of the Moho in
extensional settings (Cloetingh et al., 2013), the effect of volcanism in rifting and continental break-up
(Franke, 2013) or the dynamic processes that control rifting (Ziegler and Cloetingh, 2004).

With this study we demonstrate how one break-up mechanism can explain a multitude of features. We use the South Atlantic break-up as our case study for plume-induced continental break-up. Since the South Atlantic developed diachronously and it is a very complex region requiring a 3D approach, we have not the intension to reproduce the South Atlantic evolution as such, including along-axis northward break-up 53 propagation to close to the plume (Franke, 2013), but rather to address general observations on continental 54 break-up. Our fully coupled lithospheric-grade 2D and 3D models have an explicit elasto-visco-plastic 55 rheology that accounts for realistic deformation of the lithosphere and a slip free surface that can calculate vertical motions. The 2D model has proven to be very useful to investigate plume-lithosphere interactions 56 57 (e.g. d'Acremont et al., 2003; Burov et al., 2007). We take it one step further by developing one scenario to 58 explain multiple anomalous features, such as high-velocity/high-density bodies and anomalous topographic 59 variations with one single model. The 3D model is used to include the lateral component in a very simple, 60 completely lateral homogeneous setting (Koptev et al., 2016).

- 61
- 62

#### 2. Geological and geophysical setting

63 2.1. South Atlantic opening

64 Initial extension between Africa and South America was accommodated along a former fold-and-thrust belt 65 (present-day location see Fig. 1), known as the Gondwana Fold Belt (GFB) or the Cape Fold Belt (CFB). 66 This fold-and-thrust-belt was reactivated during the Early Mesozoic as a strike-slip system before the opening of the South Atlantic (Cobbold et al., 1992). During this reactivation it weakened the South 67 68 American plate prior to the development of the Atlantic Mid-Oceanic Ridge, forming a first set of 69 extensional basins (Autin et al., 2013), their axes oriented obliquely to the present-day orientation of the 70 spreading centre (Fig. 1). Several extensional pulses caused the opening of the South Atlantic between 134 71 Ma and 113 Ma (e.g. Torsvik et al., 2009; Moulin et al., 2010). Voluminous volcanic activity, recognised 72 on seismic reflection profiles as 'Seaward Dipping Reflectors' (SDR's) in the form of aerial flood basalts 73 (extrusive) and/or underplating (intrusive) accompanied an episode of extension that created the South 74 Segment (Fig. 1), starting between 134 Ma and 132 Ma (Moulin et al., 2010). Another pulse contributed to 75 the formation of the Central Segment, starting around 112 Ma (Moulin et al., 2010) and is marked by 76 massive salt deposits that have not been found along the margins of the South Segment (Fig. 1, Torsvik et 77 al., 2009). Only minor volcanic activity has been recorded in this segment as the typical SDR's are mostly 78 absent, except just north of the Rio Grande Rise (Franke, 2013). The opening of the South Atlantic and 79 formation of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge is considered to be due to a combination of passive far-field forces 80 (Husson et al., 2012) and the presence of different hotspots (Torsvik et al., 2009). A major far-field stress 81 component that enhanced the growth of the South Atlantic domain during the Mesozoic is the subducting 82 and 'pulling' Nazca plate to the west of the South American continent, which also resulted in the faster west-ward migration of the South American plate with respect to the almost stationary African plate (e.g. 83 84 Husson et al., 2012). The South-African super plume rises from the core-mantle boundary (CMB) to below 85 the mantle transition zone (Hassan et al., 2015) which is reflected in present-day topography by a "superswell" at the margins of the south-west African continent (Nyblade and Robinson, 1994; Davaille et 86 87 al., 2005). As shown by a.o. Lithgow-Bertelloni and Silver (1998), this excess of topography elevation is dynamically supported by upwelling flow of buoyant material through the mantle. From this large-scale, 88 89 lower mantle low-velocity anomaly, the hotspots and their tracks (e.g. the Bouvet (Meteor), the Trinidad 90 and St Helena Hotspots (Torsvik et al., 2006,2009)) and the only deep rooted Tristan plume (Fig. 1, Torsvik 91 et al. (2009) and references therein) might develop over a long period of time (~200 Myr).

92

# 93 2.2. Lithosphere structure margins

The selected profile for our 2D model connects the offshore southwest Africa Orange Basin and its conjugate with the Colorado basin on the South American side (pink line, Fig. 1). The Tristan hotspot lies actually in the middle of the two transects (Fig. 1). The present-day crustal and lithosphere structure of these margins is constrained by combining published work on deep seismic refraction data, tomography, gravity and magnetic studies (Fig. 2).

99 On the African side of the transect (Fig. 2a) the lithosphere thickness ranges from 120 km below the oceanic 100 crust to 200 km below the continent (Fishwick, 2010). With gravity modelling and seismic interpretation 101 the Moho-depth has been estimated to be less than 10 km below the oceanic crust of the Orange basin and 102 over 40 km below the continent (Maystrenko et al., 2013). Even though crustal movements have been 103 observed in central Africa, the southern African plate is considered relatively stable with a strong rheology 104 (Heine et al., 2013). On the South American side the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB) reaches depths of 160km below
the stable continent in Eastern Brazil and 120 km in below the continent in Central Argentina (Heit et al.,
2007). The Moho depth varies between 70 km below the plateau in the Andean orogeny to 25-35 km below
the flat continent (Van Der Meijde et al., 2013). For the Colorado basin specifically, deep refraction seismic
studies reveal a crustal thickness of the margins of 30 km (Franke et al., 2006).

We assume that before continental break-up, the lithosphere thickness of the South American plate was similar to that of the African plate. However, the South American plate underwent an earlier deformation phase prior to the formation of the South Atlantic domain (Autin et al., 2013). Extensional deformation does result in lithospheric thinning and weakening (Ziegler and Cloetingh, 2004). We, therefore, adopt a weaker strength compared to the African plate and a thickness of 180 km, which is the mean between the 200 km of the African lithosphere and the present-day 160 km South American lithosphere, to account for this earlier deformation phase.

117

# 118 2.3. High velocity/high density bodies and aborted rift structures

119 Along the South Atlantic conjugate margins high velocity/high density bodies have been described at lower 120 crustal depths below the continent and the margin (Fig. 1) using seismic data and gravity modelling. Anomalous gravity and velocity bodies have been noted in the Central segment on the African side from 121 122 Gabon (Dupré et al., 2007) to the Lower Congo (Contrucci et al., 2004) and the Kwanza Basin (Blaich et 123 al., 2011) and on the South American side from the Sergipe-Alagoas Basin (Mohriak et al., 2000), to the 124 Camamu-Atmada basin (Blaich et al., 2011) and the Santos basin (Blaich et al., 2011). In the South segment 125 on the African side these bodies have been observed in the Walvis Basin (Blaich et al., 2011) and the Orange 126 Basin (Dressel et al., 2015) and on the South American side in the Colorado basin, along the Uruguayan 127 margin (Clerc et al., 2015) and in the deep Argentina Basin (Franke et al., 2006; Schnabel et al., 2008). 128 These bodies differ from the seaward-dipping reflectors (SDR) as they are situated at the base of the 129 lithosphere or at lower crustal levels and do not necessarily have a magmatic origin. They could be 130 serpentinized mantle or mafic and ultramafic crustal rocks (Fig. 2, Blaich et al., 2011).

Graben structures or aborted rift structures onshore along the whole South American margin of the South 131 132 Atlantic domain (Burke, 1976), are located near the anomalously high velocity/high density bodies. In the 133 South Segment, graben structures and failed rift structures are less-abundant along the African margin, where they appear mainly along the south-African margin and in the Central segment along the Gabon 134 135 and Benin margins. On the South American side of the South Segment, the basins oriented perpendicular to 136 the present-day ridge extend onshore as aborted rift features (Burke, 1976). Another failed rift feature is observed in the southwestern part of the Santos Basin, where the now aborted Abimael ridge is located 137 138 parallel to the present-day Mid Oceanic Ridge (Heine et al., 2013).

139

#### 140 **3.** Model setup

141 The 2D thermo-mechanical numerical code FLAMAR, based on the FLAC-Para(o)voz algorithm (Cundall, 142 1989; Poliakov et al., 1993) has been used to investigate the effect of plume location on continental break-143 up using the South-Atlantic as an example of a fully developed rift-to-spreading system. We built our case 144 on the continuation of earlier parametric studies on the rheology of the lithosphere and plume-continental 145 lithosphere interactions (D'Acremont et al., 2003; Burov et al., 2007). Where needed, we adjust the parameters according to the geological and geophysical evidence described above. A symmetric simulation 146 147 that is not area-specific are carried out with the 3D viscous-plastic numerical code 3DELVIS (Gerya and 148 Yuen, 2007). All mechanical and thermo-rheological parameters are listed in Table 1. We have performed 149 a series of 36 experiments. Controlling parameters and principal results are summarized in Table 2.

150

# 151 *3.1. 2D numerical model*

The FLAMAR code has been updated and modified over the last 20 years (Burov and Diament, 1995; Burov and Poliakov, 2001; Le Pourhiet et al., 2004; Yamato et al., 2008). For the sake of coherency with previously published papers we only describe the main features and essentials of the model used for this study. Detailed descriptions of the code can be found in studies that have tested the code for many different geological cases (D'Acremont et al., 2003; Le Pourhiet et al., 2004; Yamato et al., 2008). FLAMAR is a fully explicit, finite element/finite difference code based on a Cartesian coordinate frame. It has a 2D strain formulation with a
Lagrangian mesh that consists of quadrilateral elements consisting of two couples of triangular sub-elements
containing tri-linear shape functions. It uses a large-strain, time-marching scheme. The code solves for full
Newtonian equations of motions in a continuum mechanics approximation (3.1)

$$161 \qquad <\rho\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}} > -\nabla\sigma - \rho\boldsymbol{g} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\rho$ ,  $\ddot{u}$ ,  $\sigma$  and g stands for density, acceleration of the object, stress and acceleration due to body forces or gravity, respectively.

164 It is coupled with constitutive laws (3.2) to quantify viscous, elastic and plastic characteristics by the heat 165 transfer equation (3.3), where the heat advection term ( $\dot{u}\nabla T$ ) is included in the Lagrangian derivative 166 (DT/Dt). Erosion and sedimentation is accounted for using a linear diffusion equation assuming 167 conversation of mass (3.4).

168 
$$\frac{D\sigma}{Dt} = F(\sigma, \boldsymbol{u}, \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}, \nabla \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}, T)$$
(3.2)

169 
$$\rho C_p DT / Dt - k \nabla^2 T - \sum_i^n H_i = 0; \qquad \rho = f(P, T)$$
 (3.3)

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = a\nabla^2 h \tag{3.4}$$

171 In this case, t stands for time, **u** is the displacement vector, and T is temperature. The heat transfer equation 172 relies on  $C_p$  for the specific heat, k for thermal conductivity respectively and H for the internal heat 173 production, including radiogenic heat and frictional heat dissipation. P stands for pressure that become 174 negative for compression. The linear diffusion equation uses a constant a and the height or thickness of the 175 sediments h.

176 The code is capable of calculating realistic visco-elasto-plastic rheologies explicitly. Pressure-dependent 177 deformation is maintained through the Mohr-Coulomb criteria for the plastic regime and the non-linear 178 viscous flow law at depth. The free surface upper boundary condition calculates high-resolution topographic 179 changes due to deformation of the lithosphere.

180

#### 181 *3.1.1. Model geometries*

182 The model box is 2000 km wide and 400 km deep. The grid size is 400 x 80 elements, resulting in a 183 resolution of 5 km x 5 km per element. We have tested three different lithospheric setups with diverse 184 complexities (see 3.1.2, Fig. 3) and three different locations of a 1700°C thermal and compositional mantle 185 anomaly at 400 km depth (D'Acremont et al., 2003). The initial locations vary laterally at the base of the 186 model with the center of the anomaly positioned 1) at the centre of the model (i.e. plume location at 1000 187 km, see Table 2), 2) at 200 km to the right of the model box's centre (i.e. plume location at 1200 km) and 188 3) at 200 km to the left of the model box's centre (i.e. plume location at 800 km). Each location is tested in 189 a separate calculation. Following previous studies the base of the anomaly lies at 400 km depth as the deeper 190 mantle phase does not have a large impact on the crustal evolution (D'Acremont et al., 2003; Ribe and 191 Christensen, 1994). The anomaly has a simplified, symmetric, spherical shape since at depth viscous bodies 192 take a spherical shape and this follows the line of 2D and 3D numerical modelling experiments on plume-193 lithosphere interaction (a.o. D'Acremont et al., 2003; Burov and Gerya, 2014; Koptev et al., 2016). In most 194 of the experiments, it has a diameter of 230 km. The effects of a mantle anomaly with a diameter of 100 km 195 were tested in a limited number of models. The composition of the mantle anomaly is olivine with a density 196 of 3250 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (except for several models where it is 3310 kg/m<sup>3</sup>) which has been determined to be an intermediate plume in previous studies (Turcotte and Schubert, 2002; D'Acremont et al., 2003). No 197 198 background density tests have been performed as the background density used for background calculations 199 is the same for the plume as well as the surrounding mantle. The thermal contrast between the plume and 200 the mantle varies as thermal exchanges happen between the plume and the mantle, decreasing the 201 temperature of the plume. The mantle also cools as the plume rises to shallower depths.

202

### 203 *3.1.2. Density and rheological structure*

The 2D model consists of four horizontal rheological layers. For Setup 1 (Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b), a laterally homogeneous 40 km thick two-layered crust and a 160 km thick lithospheric mantle have been applied. We test the model's sensitivity for two different rheological properties of the crust. We use a "weaker" rheological strength envelope (Setup 1a; Fig. 3a), composed of 1) a wet quartz upper crust with a density of

2500 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 2) a diabase lower crust with a density of 2750 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Our second rheological strength 208 209 envelope has the characteristics of a "strong", cratonic crust that consists of: 1) a dry quartz upper crust with 210 a density of 2600 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 2) a strong diabase lower crust with a density of 2850 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The rheological 211 differences of the two strength envelopes represent a "weaker" crust that has been subject to an earlier 212 deformation phase, before the opening of the South Atlantic, which is the case for the South American side (Autin et al., 2013), and a "stronger" crust of cratonic nature that represents the stable southern African 213 214 continent(after Burov and Diament, 1995). Dry olivine flow law has been assumed for both lithospheric and 215 sub-lithospheric mantle in all our experiments. The initial density of the mantle decreases from  $3330 \text{ kg/m}^3$ 216 to 3310 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary. Specific values of the rheological parameters used 217 are given in Table 1.

218 For Setups 2 and 3 we apply a laterally non-homogeneous crustal rheology: a "weak" crustal rheology for the left half of the model and a "strong" crustal rheology for the right one (Fig. 3c-f). The crustal and 219 220 lithospheric thicknesses are laterally homogeneous in Setup 2a: 20 km for upper crust, 20 km for lower crust 221 and 160 km for lithospheric mantle (Fig. 3c). Setups 2b and 3 are characterized by laterally varying 222 lithospheric layers, based on the lithospheric scale structure described in section 2.2: the "weaker" left half has a 15 km-thick upper crust, 15 km-thick lower crust and a 150 km-thick lithospheric mantle, whereas the 223 224 "stronger" right half has a 20 km-thick upper crust, a 20-km think lower crust and a 160 km-thick 225 lithospheric mantle (Fig. 3c-f). Three different contacts between the rheological strengths are tested. Setup 226 2b has a straight vertical contact. For Setup 3 we have adopted a geometry resembling the old suture zone that is reactivated during the first extensional phase. The suture is dipping either 40 degrees towards the 227 228 'strong', African rheology (Setup 3a) or towards the 'weak' South American rheology (Setup 3b).

By the setups described above we have tested the following parameters: initial position of the plume, density

of the mantle plume (limited to Setup 3a) and different half-rate velocity boundary conditions (see Table 1).

231

### 232 3.1.3. Mechanical and thermo-rheological boundary conditions

We simulate tectonic forcing by applying a constant, time independent, extension rate along the vertical side of the box of 25 mm/yr. An equal half-rate velocity is applied on both sides of the box (12.5 mm/yr) to one set of models and 5 mm/yr on the right side and 20 mm/yr on the left side is applied to a second set of models (Table 1). The half-rate velocities are adopted from (Müller et al., 2008). The bottom of the box is defined by hydrostatic pressure with free slip in all directions. The upper side of the box is a free surface boundary, implying a free stress and a free slip condition in all direction, allowing the lithosphere to develop freely. A moderate erosion by diffusion is applied ( $a = 500 \text{ m}^2/\text{yr}$ ).

The upper and bottom thermal boundary condition is a fixed temperature 10 °C and 1400 °C respectively to represent a 'cold' geotherm. An old lithosphere of 500 Ma (Burov and Diament, 1995) has been assumed for the tectonic age used to represent the super-continent Pangea before break-up. The geotherm used for the models reaches 500 °C at Moho depth, 1330 °C at the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB) after which it becomes adiabatic until it reaches 1400 °C at the base of the model at 400 km (Ribe and Christensen, 1994).

246

# 247 *3.2. 3D numerical model*

A 3D model has been performed with the thermo-mechanical viscous-plastic 3DELVIS code (Gerya, 2010; 248 249 Gerya and Yuen, 2007) that combines the finite difference method with a marker-in-cell technique. The 3D 250 model box has the horizontal dimensions  $1500 \times 1500 \times 635$  km and consists of  $297 \times 297 \times 133$  nodes 251 offering spatial resolution of ca.  $5 \times 5 \times 5$  km per grid cell. Not area-specific initial setup consists of a 252 stratified three-layer (upper/lower crust and lithospheric mantle) continental lithosphere underlain by an 253 asthenosphere. The total thickness of the two-layer crust is 36 km; the depth of lithosphere-asthenosphere 254 boundary is 150 km. The mantle plume has been seeded at the base of the modelled domain by a spherical 255 thermal anomaly of 370 °C with a radius of 200 km. The initial geotherm is piece-wise linear with fixed 256 temperatures at the surface (0°C), at the Moho (700°C), at the base of the lithosphere (1300°C), and at the 257 bottom of the model box (1630°C). Weak tectonic forcing has been simulated by applying a constant ultra-258 slow divergent horizontal velocity of 3 mm/year along the sides of the model. More detailed information on the 3D model setup and rheological and material properties used in our 3D experiments can be found inBurov and Gerya (2014) and Koptev et al. (2015, 2016).

261

#### **4. Model results**

## 263 4.1. 2D model results

Three types of model scenarios result from our set of experiments. "Centred" break-up, when the mantle anomaly moves vertically upwards and break-up happens directly above the original location of the centre of the mantle anomaly. "Shifted" break-up, when the mantle anomaly first migrates vertically and, once it reaches the base of the lithosphere, migrates laterally until break-up occurs with a 50 to 250 km offset with respect to the initial anomaly position. "Distant" break-up, when a mantle anomaly rises to the base of the lithosphere and remains there, while the location of break-up takes place more than 300 km away from the initial site of the anomaly.

271 Experiment 6, characterized by a "strong" homogenous lithosphere, is an example of "centred" break-up 272 (Fig. 4a). The mantle anomaly reaches the base of the lithosphere rapidly within 2 Myr, after which it 273 penetrates into the lithosphere. The rising flow of plume material is strong enough to break apart the 274 overlying lithospheric mantle and crust between 7 and 8 Myr. The surface reacts by uplift, then subsidence 275 and alternating positive and negative vertical movements of the margins and the rift centre. Although the 276 initial position of break-up centre is situated directly above mantle plume, the continuous extensional 277 evolution, including strain relocation and changing temperature distribution, suggest a post-rift lateral shift 278 of the spreading axis. Note that after continental break-up mantle plume material reaches the surface where 279 it contributes to the formation of new oceanic lithosphere.

The "shifted" mode of continental break-up is illustrated by Experiment 12 where the mantle plume anomaly has been seeded below a stronger lithosphere composing the right half of the model domain (Fig. 4b). As in the case of Experiment 6, the onset of rifting starts with a rapid rise of the anomaly, impinging the lithosphere not later than 2 Myr. Surface topography associated with localized crustal strain is formed around 3-4 Myr with small offset (<50 km) from the point directly above mantle plume impingement. Further upslope migration plume material leads to continental break-up between 7 and 10 Myr. A principal difference from the "centred" experiment 6 is the lateral shift (50 to 250 km) of the newly formed spreading axis with respect to the initial position of the mantle plume. Lateral migration of the plume head to this break-up axis leads to an asymmetrical distribution of the plume material: some of the material reaches the surface at the spreading centre, another part remains glued beneath the highly thinned lithosphere at depths between 200 and 10 km. Similar to Experiment 6, the final stage of the "shifted" system development is the strain relocation corresponding to 200 km-wide jump of the spreading axis.

292 Finally, experiment 16 illustrates the "distant" break-up mode that starts with a rapid uplift of the mantle 293 plume to the bottom of the lithosphere, an observation typical for all performed models. This expectedly 294 results in associated topography variations (Fig. 4c). In contrast with the two previously discussed break-up 295 modes (experiments 6 and 12), mantle plume material remains glued beneath the base of the lithosphere 296 without localized ascent towards the formed break-up centre. Lithosphere thinning that will result in break-297 up occurs at large distance (more than 500 km) from the plume impingement. This appears to be related to 298 secondary mantle convection associated with plume-induced subduction of the lithospheric mantle that has 299 developed upon plume upwelling to the lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary on both sides of the plume 300 head. It is noteworthy that initial topographic changes created by the impingement of the plume remain 301 visible throughout the model evolution. Given the lack of near-surface plume material, this "distant" mode 302 cannot be considered as break-up directly induced by the impact of the mantle plume. Nevertheless, it might 303 reflect the implicit influence of the upwelled plume on "distant" break-up processes via plume-induced 304 subduction and mantle convection.

305 "Centred" break-up preferably takes place using initial Setup 1, where the crust and lithospheric mantle are 306 laterally homogeneous and no inherited structures are given (Fig. 4a, Table 1), but other setups can also 307 evolve according to this mode (Fig. 5a-c). Break-up occurs between 6 and 10 Myr, directly above the initial 308 location of the mantle anomaly. Mantle material reaches the surface at the point of impingement that evolves 309 into the break-up axis. Almost all plume material is involved in formation of new oceanic lithosphere. As a 310 result, after continuous (more than 10 Myr) calculations, only little material remains below the thinned 311 continental lithosphere. Note that, even though central located plumes are expected to develop to a 312 symmetric or "central" mode, a central located plume evolves the least likely into "central" type of break-313 up (table 1). "Shifted" break-up is favoured by Setup 2a where the thickness of the lithospheric layers is 314 laterally homogeneous but crustal rheology differs (Fig. 5d-f). The mantle anomaly rises and break-up also 315 occurs between 6 and 10 Myr, but in this case it is shifted from the initial point of impingement. Most mantle 316 material remains below the lithosphere, but through migration along the bottom of the lithosphere some 317 material still reaches the surface. This mode of break-up only occurs when the lithosphere properties 318 (rheology and/or thickness) varies laterally, but it does not completely control "shifted" break-up, because 319 not all laterally varying rheology experiments result in "shifted" break-up. Plume location is also not a 320 controlling factor for the model to result in "shifted" break-up as all three plume locations can result in 321 "shifted" break-up. The "distant" break-up experiments have a preference for Setup 2 and 3, where both the 322 lithospheric layers' thickness and the crustal rheology are laterally different (Fig. 5g-i). Crustal break-up 323 happens slightly later compared to the "centred" and "shifted" experiments: between 9 and 12 Myr. Mantle 324 anomaly material does not reach the surface, but remains completely glued to the bottom of the lithosphere. 325 Most of the continental break-up modelled with the "distant" experiments occurs in the lithospheric segment 326 that is characterized by a strong crust.

Almost half (12 out of 25) of the equal half-rate velocities boundary condition results in "centred" breakup. More than half (6 out of 11) of the unequal half-rate velocity boundary conditions result in "distant" break-up mode. The different velocity parameters do have a preference for a certain break-up style, but it is not a controlling factor.

The models that resulted in "shifted" break-up have a mantle anomaly that rises to the base of the lithosphere and upon arrival, migrates, in most cases, towards the weaker lithosphere to break through this less strong segment. This is in contrast with the "distant" model results that develop crustal break-up in the stronger lithosphere (11 out of 11 models) when the plume remains glued below the weaker lithosphere and does not break through. In case of "distant" break-up mode, the rheology is very important and strongly controls this mode of break-up. 337

# 338 4.2. 3D model results

Similarly to the 2D experiments, the 3D model shows a quick (<2 Myr) upwelling of the plume material up to lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (Fig. 6a). After this, the plume head starts to spread laterally within lower part of lithospheric mantle (Fig. 6b-d). When the mantle plume impinges on the base of lithosphere, almost all plume material is partially melted (Fig. 6a). Following spreading and cooling expectedly leads to gradual solidification of the plume (Fig. 6b-c), which has been completed at 50 Myr (Fig. 6d). The interplay between far-field forces and active mantle upwelling results in a "classical" single rift that

crosses the entire model domain in the direction perpendicular to external extension (Fig. 6a). Continuous
evolution shows the formation of a wide rift valley where localized brittle deformation is concentrated along
the boundary fault (Fig. 6b-c). This rift basin opens rapidly (Fig. 6b) reaching a width of 600 km in less than
35 Myr while passive extension applied at the boundaries would result in only 200 km width (Fig. 6c). This
highlights the important role of plume-related buoyancy forces in the context of studied "active-passive"
rifting.

351 The next stage of the system evolution (65 Myr) is a quick switch of deformation localization from rift-352 bounding faults to narrow zones inside the rift valley (Fig. 6e). This change in rifting style is caused by 353 initiation of localized upwelling of plume material along stretched zone(s) highlighted at the surface by 354 localized high strain rates (Fig. 6e). Further localized plume ascent associated with decompression melting 355 of plume material increases the rate of lithospheric thinning leading to continental break-up along a 356 spreading axis that has shifted laterally outwards from the centre of the plume head (Fig. 6f). This 357 asymmetrical position of the spreading zone arises spontaneously within initially symmetrical and laterally 358 homogenous lithosphere and is likely controlled by melting and cooling processes into head of mantle 359 plume.

Thus, a lateral shift of plume-induced break-up centres with respect to initial plume impingement revealed in certain 2D experiments (see for example Experiment 6, Fig. 4a) appears to be an intrinsic characteristic of self-induced plume-related processes that do not necessary requires fast (>1 cm/y) external extension nor any lateral lithospheric heterogeneity (see also Experiment 2 for a 2D example of "distant" break-up in thecontext of laterally homogenous lithosphere).

365

#### **5. Discussions**

#### 367 5.1. General aspects

368 The results of our models are important in the context of ongoing discussion on plume-induced continental 369 break-up. We show that continental break-up can be initiated by just one single mantle plume under different 370 initial and boundary conditions. In most of our 2D models (32 out of 36) continental break-up takes place 371 as a result of the direct ("centred" or "shifted" modes) or indirect ("distant" mode) impact of the mantle 372 plume (Fig. 8). Four remaining models do not result in break-up. On one hand, the models that develop 373 according to "centred" (Fig. 8b) or "shifted" (Fig. 8c) modes are directly induced by the plume anomaly 374 which results in penetration of plume material up to the surface. On the other hand, the "distant" mode is 375 characterized by secondary mantle convection associated with plume-induced subduction and/or 376 convection. In this case, the mantle plume is not involved directly in break-up processes and remains glued 377 at the base of adjacent unbroken lithosphere (Fig. 8d).

378 In a very early phase, strain rate localizes and topographic variations develop directly above the initial plume 379 impingement location (Fig. 8a). They remain visible only in the "shifted" and "distant" models and can be 380 interpreted as aborted rifts. It was commonly accepted and almost self-evident that in the case of plume-381 induced continental break-up, its axis should be situated directly above the initial plume impingement position (D'Acremont et al., 2003). However, observations such as failed rifts and deep-seated mantle 382 383 sources beneath a strong continent that are significantly remote from the mid-oceanic ridge, actually imply 384 that continental rifting and break-up are not a purely symmetric and "plume-centred" processes. Our 385 modelling demonstrates that symmetric development of mantle material ascent and subsequent continental 386 break-up are not a definite outcome. More than half of our models (19 out of 32) result in "shifted" and 387 "distant" break-up modes, suggesting that these modes should also be expected in a wide range of initial 388 and boundary conditions. Even so, our perfectly symmetric and lateral homogeneous 3D model shows that in a purely symmetric setting, with no lithospheric rheological heterogeneities, continental break-up shifted from the original centre of the mantle anomaly is possible. We argue here that "centred" symmetric continental break-up developed directly above mantle plume is only one particular case of possible evolutions of plume-induced break-up systems.

393

394 5.2. The case of the South Atlantic

395 The South Atlantic is considered to be a good example of plume-induced continental break-up (e.g. Torsvik 396 et al., 2009). Some of the observations such as failed rifts (Heine et al., 2013) and high velocity bodies (e.g. 397 Blaich et al., 2011) cannot be explained with conventional models, usually assuming a "centred"-like break-398 up style (e.g. Burov et al., 2007; D'Acremont et al., 2003). Yet, our experiments showing "shifted" break-399 up mode (Fig. 7; Fig. 8c) can be used to explain these features. In these models, initial crustal deformation 400 associated with mantle plume impingement (Fig.7a-b; Fig.8a) are formed within the first 5 Myr. Significant 401 topography variations developed during this initial stage of rift evolution (i.e. before break-up) can be 402 interpreted as very early failed rift features (e.g. the failed Abimael rift in the southwest of the Santos Basin). 403 The topographic plateaus that remain elevated long after break-up have also been observed with dynamic 404 topography studies (Nyblade and Robinson, 1994). Next, localized strain becomes concentrated close to the 405 boundary between strong and weak lithosphere that is laterally offset (~400 km) from the area of initial 406 plume impingement (Fig.7c). Transition from wide rift stage to lithospheric break-up is marked by 407 narrowing a broad rift region (over 1000 km width) down to narrow rift valley (Fig. 7c-d) with the width of 408 10's of kilometers between the two rift-shoulders. Associated lithospheric thinning leads to a separation of 409 the two plates along a spreading centre corresponding to South Atlantic ridge (Fig.7e; Fig.8c). Part of strong 410 crust that remains attached to the weaker lithosphere segment, could correspond to the Brazilian craton that 411 was once bordering the African continent (Heine et al., 2013).

Simultaneously with thinning of the lithosphere below the future break-up centre, the plume material
migrates along the base of the lithosphere and rises towards the deformed crust where it breaks through.
This migration can go as far as 300 km from the plume impingement point and only ceases when the material

16

415 that is still at the base of the lithosphere (at depths between 200 km and 10 km) reaches thermal equilibrium 416 (in this case after 22 Myr). This confirms the hypothesis that one mantle anomaly (or plume) can flow 417 laterally over significant distances below a slow-moving continent, after being risen to the base of the 418 lithosphere (e.g. Sleep, 2006). When thermal equilibrium is reached, the mantle material glued to the base 419 of the lithosphere at shallower depths corresponds geometrically and location-wise to high-velocity/high-420 density bodies observed on seismic data below the thinned continental lithosphere and the transition zone 421 of the South Atlantic domain (Clerc et al., 2015). During migration, products of partial melting of the mantle 422 material can move vertically to (shallow) lower crustal levels. They might resemble high density bodies 423 observed at lower crustal levels inside continental crust with similar geometries observed with gravity 424 modelling (Blaich et al., 2011). These processes cannot be reproduced by our 2D modelling, because no 425 melt production and extraction have been simulated.

426 Note that our 2D study has not the intention to capture such 3D features like along-axis northward
427 propagation of the break-up axis (Franke, 2013) up to the centre of the surface manifestation of Tristan
428 plume activity – the Paraná-Etendeka continental flood basalts province.

After continental break-up, the mantle plume anomaly continues to play an important role in the spreading evolution of the system. Strain rate relocation takes place around 18 Myr, when the spreading axis shifts another 200 km towards the left from the original position of the break-up centre (Fig. 7f). This phenomenon could correspond to a rift-jump that have also been both observed and modelled (Brune et al., 2014) in the South Atlantic domain.

The question we raised about the initial position of the mantle plume anomaly responsible for continental break-up in the South Atlantic remains open. On figure 8e, we show a reconstructed configuration of the slow velocity anomaly (corresponding to the South African Super Plume) at the CMB based on present-day seismic tomography model (after Davaille et al., 2005). The orange dots refer to the three possible principal locations of initial thermal anomaly at the upper/lower mantle boundary corresponding to the Tristan plume. The central point refers to the most evident "centered" scenario (Fig. 8b) when the deep-seated thermal anomaly in the upper mantle is supposed to be located directly below its surface manifestation and hints to

voluminous Paranà-Etendeka continental flood basalts province (Fig. 8e, e.g. Torsvik et al., 2009; Heine et 441 442 al., 2013). This scenario, however, is not consistent with the commonly considered concept that plumes 443 emerge from the edges of the large low-velocity anomalies at the CMB that has been confirmed by both 444 numerical modelling (Hassan et al., 2015) and by empirically established correlation between downward projected plume-associated large igneous provinces and the margins of the large low shear velocity province 445 446 beneath Africa (Torsvik et al., 2006). Moreover, with this 'centred' scenario we cannot explain additional 447 features such as failed rift arms and anomalous bodies at lower crustal levels. The 'distant' break-up mode (Fig. 8d), where the initial plume centre is located below the South American section and remains there after 448 "plume-independent" continental break-up, does not fit well with geological observations of the voluminous 449 450 Paranà-Etendeka continental flood basalts that are supposed to be related with direct influence of the Tristan 451 hot spot (Torsvik et al., 2009). Finally, initial plume position slightly moved to African side (right dot on 452 Fig. 8e) refers to "shifted" scenario that seems to be preferable (Fig.8c). The time length of the modelled 453 rift phase (10 Myr +/- 3 Myr) is much shorter than has been inferred from geological and geophysical 454 observations (160 Ma to 134 Ma, (Franke, 2013)) in the South Segment of the South Atlantic. Despite this, 455 with the eastward offset initial position of the mantle plume with respect to a heterogeneous thermorheological lithospheric structure we are able to explain not only plume induced flood basalts but also a set 456 457 of anomalous features such as failed rift systems, and deep crustal bodies.

458

#### 459 **6.** Conclusion

Different lithospheric strengths comparable to the South American and African continental crust, inherited structures, boundary velocity conditions corresponding to average spreading rates, and initial location of a thermal mantle anomaly (i.e. plume) have been tested to investigate the dynamics of plume induced continental break-up. A set of 36 models shows that with only one anomaly three very different scenarios for continental break-up can be realized depending on the rheological structure, anomaly location and inherited structures. Continental break-up does not necessarily occur above the centre of the initial location of a mantle anomaly. As mentioned above, our models show three types of break-up 1) "centred" break-up,
2) "shifted" break-up and 3) "distant" break-up.

468 "Centred" and "shifted" break-up types of models refer to plume-induced type of break-up. For the first 469 mode, mantle material rises vertically towards the bottom of the lithosphere after which it breaks through 470 the crust and reaches the surface directly above the initial plume position. The "shifted" type of break-up 471 shows continental break-up that is 50 to 200 km shifted from the initial location of the mantle anomaly. In 472 this case, the mantle plume rises and impinges the lithosphere, after that it migrates laterally and cuts through 473 the lithosphere reaching the surface at a break-up point considerably shifted from the area of initial, pre-474 break-up impingement. Some material remains glued underneath the lithosphere at depths between 200 and 475 10 km. These deep-seated bodies, at depths of 200 km, are not situated directly below the break-up centre, 476 but are spread over a large area below the continental margins. The shallower bodies geometrically resemble 477 high density/high velocity bodies detected by seismic profiling and gravity modelling along the margins of 478 the South Atlantic domain and at lower crustal levels.

The "distant" break-up mode refers to continental rupture that is indirectly induced by the presence of the mantle plume ponding at the bottom of the weaker continental lithosphere, when "plume-independent" break-up of adjacent stronger lithosphere appears to be considerably (from 250 and 800 km) displaced from the location of plume-lithosphere interaction. In this case, laterally widely spread plume material remains glued below unbroken segments of the lithosphere.

Topographic changes that occur very early during initial rifting stage remain visible for a long period and can possibly be interpreted as failed rift systems (in the cases of "shifted" and "distance" modes). Strain relocation after continuous post-break-up extension could be interpreted as rift jumps. A simple 3D model has been built to illustrate that even in a fully symmetric setup, rift-to-break-up processes are not by default symmetric and can very well evolve asymmetrically.

489 There is no controlling parameter for one of the three types of rifting, with a combination of parameters 490 determining the outcome, but the location of the mantle anomaly with respect to the rheology is the most

19

491 essential. The most important result of this study is that there is not one single rift mode for plume-induced492 crustal break-up.

493

#### 494 **7.** Acknowledgment

This study is co-funded by the Advanced ERC Grant 290864 RHEOLITH to A. Koptev and E.Burov. 3D numerical simulations were performed on the ERC-funded SGI Ulysse cluster of ISTEP. We thank William Sassi (IFPEn), Xavier Guichet (IFPEn) and Sylvie Leroy (UPMC) for fruitful discussions in the early phases of the project. We would also like to thank Sierd Cloetingh (Utrecht University) for constructive and thorough reading of the manuscript. The two anonymous reviewers are also thanked for their helpful remarks and critical points of view.

501

#### 502 **8. References**

- 503 Autin, J., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., Loegering, M.J., Anka, Z., Vallejo, E., Rodriguez, J.F., Dominguez, F.,
- 504 Marchal, D., Reichert, C., di Primio, R., Götze, H.-J., 2013. Colorado Basin 3D structure and
- evolution, Argentine passive margin. Tectonophysics 604, 264–279. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.05.019
- 506 Blaich, O.A., Faleide, J.I., Tsikalas, F., 2011. Crustal breakup and continent-ocean transition at South
- 507 Atlantic conjugate margins. J. Geophys. Res. 116, 1–38. doi:10.1029/2010JB007686
- 508Brune, S., Heine, C., Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Sobolev, S. V, 2014. Rift migration explains continental margin
- asymmetry and crustal hyper-extension. Nat. Commun. 5, 1–9. doi:10.1038/ncomms5014
- 510 Burke, K., 1976. Development of graben associated with the initial ruptures of the Atlantic Ocean.
- 511 Tectonophysics 36, 93–112.
- Burov, E., Gerya, T., 2014. Asymmetric three-dimensional topography over mantle plumes. Nature 513,
  85–89. doi:10.1038/nature13703
- 514 Burov, E., Guillou-Frottier, L., D'Acremont, E., Le Pourhiet, L., Cloetingh, S., 2007. Plume head-
- 515 lithosphere interactions near intra-continental plate boundaries. Tectonophysics 434, 15–38.

20

516 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2007.01.002

- 517 Burov, E., Poliakov, A., 2001. Erosion and rheology controls on synrift and postrift evolution: Verifying
  518 old and new ideas using a fully coupled numerical model. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 16461–16481.
- 519 doi:10.1029/2001JB000433
- Burov, E.B., Diament, M., 1995. The effective elastic thickness (Te) of continental lithosphere: What does
  it really mean? J. Geophys. Res. 100, 3905–3927. doi:10.1029/94JB02770
- 522 Clerc, C., Jolivet, L., Ringenbach, J.C., 2015. Ductile extensional shear zones in the lower crust of a
  523 passive margin. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 431, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.08.038
- 524 Cloetingh, S., Burov, E., Matenco, L., Beekman, F., Roure, F., Ziegler, P.A., 2013. The Moho in
- 525 extensional tectonic settings: Insights from thermo-mechanical models. Tectonophysics 609, 558–
- 526 604. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.06.010
- 527 Cobbold, P.R., Gapais, D., Rossello, E.A., Milani, E.J., Szatmari, P., 1992. Permo-Triassic
- intracontinental deformation in SW Gondwana. Invers. Tectonics Cape Fold Belt, Karoo Cretac.
  Basins South. Africa 23–26.
- 530 Contrucci, I., Matias, L., Moulin, M., Géli, L., Klingelhofer, F., Nouzé, H., Aslanian, D., Olivet, J.L.,
- 531 Réhault, J.P., Sibuet, J.C., 2004. Deep structure of the West African continental margin (Congo,
- 532 Zaïre, Angola), between 5°S and 8°S, from reflection/refraction seismics and gravity data. Geophys.
- 533 J. Int. 158, 529–553. doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02303.x
- 534 Cornwell, D.G., Mackenzie, G.D., England, R.W., Maguire, P.K.H., Asfaw, L.M., Oluma, B., 2006.
- 535 Northern Main Ethiopian Rift crustal structure from new high-precision gravity data. Geol. Soc.

536 London, Spec. Publ. 259, 307–321. doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.259.01.23

- 537 Cundall, P.A., 1989. Numerical experiments on localization in frictional materials. Ingenieur-Archiv 59,
  538 148–159.
- 539 D'Acremont, E., Leroy, S., Burov, E.B., 2003. Numerical modelling of a mantle plume: the plume head-
- 540 lithosphere interaction in the formation of an oceanic large igneous province. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.
- 541 206, 379–396. doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)01058-0

- 542 Davaille, A., Stutzmann, E., Silveira, G., Besse, J., Courtillot, V., 2005. Convective patterns under the Indo-Atlantic « box ». Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 239, 233-252. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.07.024 543 544 Dressel, I., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., Cacace, M., Lewerenz, B., Götze, H.-J., Reichert, C., 2015. 545 Reconstruction of the southwestern African continental margin by backward modelling. Mar. Pet. Geol. 67, 544–555. doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.06.006 546 547 Dupré, S., Bertotti, G., Cloetingh, S., 2007. Tectonic history along the South Gabon Basin: anomalous early post-rift subsidence. Mar. Pet. Geol. 24, 151–172. doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2006.11.003 548 549 Fishwick, S., 2010. Surface wave tomography: Imaging of the lithosphere – asthenosphere boundary 550 beneath central and southern Africa? Lithos 120, 63-73. doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2010.05.011 551 Franke, D., 2013. Rifting, lithosphere breakup and volcanism : Comparison of magma-poor and volcanic 552 rifted margins. Mar. Pet. Geol. 43, 63-87. doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2012.11.003 553 Franke, D., Neben, S., Schreckenberger, B., Schulze, A., Stiller, M., Krawczyk, C.M., 2006. Crustal 554 structure across the Colorado Basin, offshore Argentina. Geophys. J. Int. 165, 850-864. 555 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.02907.x 556 Gerya, T., 2010. Dynamical instability produces transform faults at mid-ocean ridges. Science (80-.). 329, 1047-1050. 557 558 Gerya, T. V, Yuen, D.A., 2007. Robust characteristics method for modelling multiphase visco-elasto-559 plastic thermo-mechanical problems. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 163, 83–105.
- 560 doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2007.04.015
- 561 Hassan, R., Flament, N., Gurnis, M., Bower, D.J., Müller, M., 2015. Geochemistry, Geophysics,

562 Geosystems. Geochemistry Geophys. Geosystems 18, 1541–1576. doi:10.1002/2014GC005684.Key

- Heine, C., Zoethout, J., Müller, R.D., 2013. Kinematics of the South Atlantic rift. Solid Earth 4, 215–253.
  doi:10.5194/se-4-215-2013
- Heit, B., Sodoudi, F., Yuan, X., Bianchi, M., Kind, R., 2007. An S receiver function analysis of the
- lithospheric structure in South America. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, 1–5. doi:10.1029/2007GL030317
- 567 Hirsch, K.K., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., Wees, J.-D. Van, Kuhlmann, G., Paton, D.A., 2010. Tectonic

- subsidence history and thermal evolution of the Orange Basin. Mar. Pet. Geol. 27, 565–584.
- 569 doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2009.06.009
- 570 Husson, L., Conrad, C.P., Faccenna, C., 2012. Plate motions, Andean orogeny, and volcanism above the
- 571 South Atlantic convection cell. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 317–318, 126–135.
- 572 Koptev, A., Burov, E., Calais, E., Leroy, S., Gerya, T., Guillou-Frottier, L., Cloetingh, S., 2016.
- 573 Contrasted continental rifting via plume-craton interaction: Applications to Central East African Rift.
- 574 Geosci. Front. 7, 221–236. doi:10.1016/j.gsf.2015.11.002
- 575 Koptev, A., Calais, E., Burov, E., Leroy, S., Gerya, T., 2015. Dual continental rift systems generated by
- 576 plume lithosphere interaction. Nat. Geosci. 8, 388–392. doi:10.1038/NGEO2401
- 577 Le Pourhiet, L., Burov, E., Moretti, I., 2004. Rifting through a stack of inhomogeneous thrusts (the
- 578 dipping pie concept). Tectonics 23, 1–14. doi:10.1029/2003TC001584
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Silver, P., 1998. Dynamic topography, plate driving forces and the African
  superswell. Nature 395, 345–348. doi:10.1038/26212
- 581 Maystrenko, Y.P., Scheck-Wenderoth, M., Hartwig, A., Anka, Z., Watts, A.B., Hirsch, K.K., Fishwick, S.,
- 582 2013. Structural features of the Southwest African continental margin according to results of
- 583 lithosphere-scale 3D gravity and thermal modelling. Tectonophysics 604, 104–121.
- 584 doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.04.014
- 585 Mohriak, W.U., Mello, M.R., Vieira, I.S., Bassetto, M., Koutsoukos, E.A.M., 2000. Crustal architecture,
- sedimentation, and petroleum systems in the Sergipe-Alagoas Basin, Northeastern Brazil. AAPG
- 587 Spec. Vol. 273–300.
- Moulin, M., Aslanian, D., Unternehr, P., 2010. A new starting point for the South and Equatorial Atlantic
  Ocean. Earth-Science Rev. 98, 1–37. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2009.08.001
- 590 Müller, R.D., Sdrolias, M., Gaina, C., Roest, W.R., 2008. Age, spreading rates, and spreading asymmetry
- 591of the world's ocean crust. Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 9, 1–19.
- 592 doi:10.1029/2007GC001743
- 593 Nyblade, A.A., Robinson, S.W., 1994. The African Superswell. Geophys. Res. Lett. 21, 765–768.

- Poliakov, A.N.B., Podladchikov, Y., Talbot, C., 1993. Initiation of salt diapirs with frictional overburdens:
  numerical experiments. Tectonophysics 228, 199–210. doi:10.1016/0040-1951(93)90341-G
- 596 Ranalli, G., 1995. Rheology of the Earth, 2nd edition. Chapmann and Hall, 413 pp.
- Ribe, N.M., Christensen, U.R., 1994. Three-dimensional modeling of plume-lithosphere interaction. J.
  Geophys. Res. 99, 669–682.
- Sandwell, D.T., Smith, W.H.F., 2009. Global marine gravity from retracked Geosat and ERS-1 altimetry:
- Ridge segmentation versus spreading rate. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 114, 1–18.
- 601 doi:10.1029/2008JB006008
- 602 Schnabel, M., Franke, D., Engels, M., Hinz, K., Neben, S., Damm, V., Grassmann, S., Pelliza, H., Dos
- 603 Santos, P.R., 2008. The structure of the lower crust at the Argentine continental margin, South
- 604 Atlantic at 44°S. Tectonophysics 454, 14–22. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2008.01.019
- Sleep, N.H., 2006. Mantle plumes from top to bottom. Earth-Science Rev. 77, 231–271.
- 606 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.03.007
- 607 Torsvik, T.H., Rousse, S., Labails, C., Smethurst, M.A., 2009. A new scheme for the opening of the South
- Atlantic Ocean and the dissection of an Aptian salt basin. Geophys. J. Int. 177, 1315–1333.
- 609 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04137.x
- 610 Torsvik, T.H., Smethurst, M.A., Burke, K., Steinberger, B., 2006. Large igneous provinces generated from
- 611 the margins of the large low-velocity provinces in the deep mantle. Geophys. J. Int. 167, 1447–1460.
- 612 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03158.x
- Tsenn, M.C., Carter, N.L., 1987. Upper limits of power law creep of rocks. Tectonophysics 136, 1–26.
- 614 doi:10.1016/0040-1951(87)90332-5
- 615 Turcotte, D.L., Schubert, G., 2002. Geodynamics. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 616 Van Der Meijde, M., Julià, J., Assumpção, M., 2013. Gravity derived Moho for South America.
- 617 Tectonophysics 609, 456–467. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.03.023
- 618 Yamato, P., Burov, E., Agard, P., Le Pourhiet, L., Jolivet, L., 2008. HP-UHP exhumation during slow
- 619 continental subduction: Self-consistent thermodynamically and thermomechanically coupled model

- 620 with application to the Western Alps. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 271, 63–74.
- 621 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.049
- 622 Ziegler, P.A., Cloetingh, S., 2004. Dynamic processes controlling evolution of rifted basins. Earth-Science
- 623 Rev. 64, 1–50. doi:10.1016/S0012-8252(03)00041-2
- 624

#### 625 Figure captions

626

627 Figure 1. Map of the South Atlantic domain with the location of large fracture zones, high velocity bodies 628 (red ellipsoids), onshore graben structures (black dashed lines), the outline of the African Super Plume 629 (dashed green line, after Davaille et al. (2015)) and the African superswell (dashed red line, after Nyblade 630 and Robinson, 1994). Also shown are the extent of the Seaward Dipping Reflectors (SDR's, blue after Moulin et al., 2010 and green after Torsvik et al., 2009) and the Aptian salt (yellow, after Torsvik et al., 631 632 2009) deposits. The orange line gives the location of the Gondwana Fold-and-Thrust-Belt. Pink solid lines mark locations of the lithosphere-scale cross-sections (South America: A-A'; South Africa: B-B', Fig. 2). 633 Hotspots (red stars): Tr = Trinidad hotspot; StH = Saint Helena hotspot; Bv = Bouvet (Meteor) hotspot; 634 635 Deep-rooted mantle plume (yellow star): TdC = Tristan deep-rooted hotspot. 636 Figure 2. Lithosphere scale cross-sections of present-day South Atlantic margins. The Moho depth varies 637 638 from 10 to 30 km on the South American side from ocean to continent (Schnabel et al., 2008). On the 639 African side the depth varies from less than 10 km to over 40 km, ocean to continent (Maystrenko et al., 640 2013). The lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary (LAB) varies from 50 km to 120 km, ocean to continent for

646

645

641

642

643

644

Figure 3. Six tested numerical setups. a) Setup 1a: 4-layered weak rheology, crust 40 km thick, lithosphere
200 km thick. b) Setup 1b: strong 4-layered rheology, crust 40 km thick, lithosphere 200 km thick. c) Setup
2a: combined rheological profiles (weak on the left side, strong on the right side), crust 40 km thick, and

gravity map of Sandwell and Smith v18.1 (Sandwell and Smith, 2009).

Colorado basin on the Argentinean margin (Heit et al., 2007). The Orange Basin on the South African

margin has a LAB depth ranging between 80 km and 200 km from ocean to continent (Fishwick, 2010). The

location of anomalous bodies is depicted (in green) for the Colorado Basin (Schnabel et al., 2008) and the

Orange Basin (Maystrenko et al., 2013). The gravity profile has been extracted from the global marine

650 lithosphere 200 km (equal for both rheologies). d) Setup 2b: combined rheological strength envelopes, 651 (weak on the left side, strong on the right side), crust 30 km thick on the right side and 40 km thick on the 652 left side, lithosphere 180 km thick on the left side and 200 km thick on the right side, no complex contact 653 geometries. e) Setup 3a: combined rheological strength envelopes, (weak on the left side, strong on the right 654 side), crust 30 km thick on the right side and 40 km thick on the left side; lithosphere 180 km thick on the 655 left side and 200 km thick on the right side. The contact between the two different crustal thicknesses is a 656 low-angle geometry, dipping towards the right. f). Setup 3b: combined rheological strength envelopes, 657 (weak on the left side, strong on the right side), crust 30 km on the right side and 40 km thick on the left 658 side, lithosphere 180 km thick on the left side and 200 km thick on the right side. The contact between the 659 two different crustal thicknesses is a low-angle geometry dipping towards the left.

660

661 Figure 4. Models with different rheology and plume location showing the most representative examples of 662 the three modes of continental break-up. a) "Centred": Experiment 6, Setup 1, with a strong rheology and 663 the anomaly located at 1200 km (200 km offset from the centre towards the right). At 2.1 Myr the first 664 topographic response occurs. The break-up axis develops directly above the initial mantle plume position 665 and mantle material reaches the surface. b) "Shifted": Experiment 12, Setup 2, with a laterally varying 666 rheology and the anomaly positioned in the centre at 1000 km. At 2.1 Myr the first topographic variation 667 shows with a larger extend than the "centred" break-up model. The break-up axis develops offset from the 668 original mantle plume location and mantle material migrates towards the spreading centre, reaching the 669 surface. c) "Distant": Experiment 23, Setup 3, has a laterally varying rheology and the anomaly is positioned 670 in the centre at 1000 km. At 2.1 Myr minor topographic variation. The break-up axis develops far offset 671 from the original mantle plume location and the mantle plume remains glued to the base of the lithosphere. 672 The initial topographic variations remain visible after break-up.

673

Figure 5. Examples of models with different setup, plume location rheological structure showing the
different modes of break-up; a-c) "centred" examples, d-f) "shifted" examples, g-i) "distant" examples. In

red the initial location of the mantle anomaly is drawn. The graphs below show the normalised, statisticallikelihood of a mode ("centred", "shifted" or "distant") for a given setups.

678

679 Figure 6. Evolution of 3D model a) rapid plume uplift leading to formation of linear extension-perpendicular 680 rift at the crustal level; b-c) development of wide rift basin with localized crustal high strain along bounding 681 normal faults; gradual cooling and solidification of plume head material; d) widely distributed rift above 682 completely crystallized plume head ponding lithosphere-asthenosphere boundary; e) rapid transition from 683 deformation localized in normal faults bounding wide rift valley to localized strain within narrow zones 684 associated with localized plume ascent; f) breakup of the continental lithosphere along spreading zone 685 considerably shifted from centre of the mantle plume. Bulk of plume material is shown in pale orange. Green 686 to red colours indicate strain rate at the level of 10 km (i.e. within upper crust). Component distribution is 687 shown for vertical cross-sections trough central part of the model domain.

688

Figure 7. Detailed display of experiment 12. Setup 2 is the base for this experiment with a laterally varying rheology. The anomaly is positioned in the centre of the model at 1000 km. At 2.1 Myr the first topographic variation shows with a larger extend than the "centred" break-up model. Strain rate localizes slightly shifted from the plume impingement point at 3.1 Myr. Strain localizes, topography variations grow and crustal break-up occurs at 8.1 Myr. Mantle material slowly migrates towards the spreading centre reaching the surface until the material that remains below the lithosphere reaches thermal equilibrium around 22 Myr.

695

Figure 8. Schematic representation of the three modes of break-up. a) The very early phase of rifting (0 – 5 Myr) is very similar for all three modes after which they develop into b) "Centred"; c) "shifted" and d) "distant". An example of a simplified interpretation of the Uruguayan margin (after Clerc et al., 2015) is used to demonstrate the resemblance of the "shifted" mode of break-up, like experiment 12, with the South Atlantic domain. e) Map showing the outline of the plate configuration at the moment of break-up

- between Africa and South America on the lower mantle low velocity zone (South African Super Plume)
- 702 (from Davaille et al., 2005). The Parana-Etendeka flood basalts are depicted in green (after Torsvik et al.,
- 2009). The orange dots refer to the three possible principal locations of initial thermal anomaly at the
- v upper/lower mantle boundary corresponding to the Tristan plume
- 705

### 706 Table caption

- Table 1. Summary of the thermal and mechanical parameters used for this study. 1) Turcotte and Schubert
- 708 (2002), 2) Ranalli (1995); 3) d'Acremont et al. (2003) and references therein; 4) Tsenn and Carter (1987);
- **709 5**) Burov and Poliakov (2001).
- 710
- 711 Table 2. Controlling parameters and principal results of the experiments.





b. Southwest Africa



"Central" break-up "Shifted" break-up "Distant" break-up  $\Sigma$ Distance (km) Distance (km) Distance (km) Depth (km) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 600 1600 Depth (km) 007 007 007 007 1600 1600 600 600 0 40 0 0 400 400 400 , \_\_\_\_\_\_0 000 Δσ[MPa] ---1400 0001 1200 000 0 500 0  $\Delta\sigma$ [MPa]  $\Delta\sigma$  [MPa] Phase Phase Topography Topography Phase Topography Depth (km) Depth (km) (kg) 0 100-00 00-00 00-00 00-00 00-00 2.1 Myr 005-pth (km) 007-m) Deptho -400\_600 -400 -6<u>|</u> 600 -400 -6 L 600 600800 1600 800 1600 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 600 1000 1200 1400 1600 600 1000 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 1600 0.100 0.100 0.100 0.100 0.200 0.400 Depth (km) Depth (km) 0 4.1 Myr 00-700 -400 -400 -400 Depth (km) -6 <u>600</u> <sup>1</sup>-400 -6 -6 1000 1200 1400 1000 1600 1400 1600 1000 600 800 1600 600 600 800 1000 1200 600 1600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 1600 600 Depth (km) 9.1 Myr 007-001 (km) 007-001 (km) Depth (km) Depth (km) -400\_600 -400 -6<u>|</u> 600 -400 600 1000 <sup>-6</sup>600 1400 1600 1000 600800 1000 1200 1000 1600 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1600 (km) 9 Depth (km) Depth (km) 20.1 Myr 20.1 Myr 20.1 Myr 20.1 Myr 20.1 Myr 20.1 Myr 22.1 Myr 005-Depth (km) 00<sup>-00-1</sup> Depth (  $\tilde{\Box}$ -400,000 -400600 600 -6<u>|</u> 600 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 1600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 1600 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1000 1600 b. Experiment 12

a. Experiment 6

c. Experiment 23







30%

20%

10% 0%

2

Setup







# 712 Tables

# **Table 1**

Thermal parameters	Thermal property	Value	Unit	Ref.
	Surface temperature	10	°C	1
	Temperature at the base of the thermal lithosphere	1330	°C	
	Temperature at the base of the upper mantle	1400	°C	
	Temperature mantle anomaly	1700	°C	
	Thermal conductivity crust	2.5	W/m °C	
	Thermal conductivity mantle	3.5	W/m °C	
	Radiogenic heat production at the surface	1.5E-9	W/kg	
	Radius radiogenic heat	10	km	
	Thermo-tectonic age of the lithosphere	500	myrs	
	Surface heat flow	40	$mW/m^2$	
	Mantle heat flow	15	mW/m^2	
Mechanical parameters	Mechanical property			
Strong upper crust	density	2600	kg/m^3	2
Dry quarts	Viscosity parameter N	3		
	Viscosity parameter A	6.8e-6	MPa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	1.56e5	J/mol	
Strong lower crust	density	2850	kg/m^3	3
Strong diabase	Viscosity parameter N	3.05		
	Viscosity parameter A	6.3e-2	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	2.76e5	J/mol	
Weak upper crust	density	2500	kg/m^3	2
Wet quartz	Viscosity parameter N	2.3		
	Viscosity parameter A	3.2e-4	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	1.54e5	J/mol	
Weak lower crust	density	2750	kg/m^3	4
Weak diabase	Viscosity parameter N	4.7		
	Viscosity parameter A	1.9e2	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	4.85e5	J/mol	
Lithospheric mantle	density	3330	kg/m^3	2
Peridotite	Viscosity parameter N	3.5		
	Viscosity parameter A	2.5e4	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	5.32e5	J/mol	
Asthenosphere	density	3310	kg/m^3	3
Olivine	Viscosity parameter N	3.2		
	Viscosity parameter A	7.0e3	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	5.1e5	J/mol	
Plume	density	3250	kg/m^3	3
Olivine	Viscosity parameter N	3.5		
	Viscosity parameter A	5.e14	Mpa^-n/s^-1	
	Viscosity parameter E	5.2e5	J/mol	
	Friction angle	30	0	
	Lamé elastic constant $\lambda$ =G	25	GPa	
	Cohesion	20	MPa	
	Erosion coefficient (a)	500	m^2/yr	5

# **Table 2**

	<b>Controlling parameters</b>					~	Results			-
	Boundary conditions		Mantle plume properties			Setup				1
	Extension rate (left)	Extension rate (right)	Plume location	Density (kg/m^3)	Diameter (km)	Initial geometry	Break-up point	Above center anomaly?	Break-up mechanism	Figure
1	12.5 mm/vr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 1a	800 km	ves	Central	5a
2	12.5  mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 1a	1500 km	no	Distant	
3	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 1a	1200 km	ves	Central	
4	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 1b	800 km	ves	Central	
5	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 1b	1000 km	yes	Central	
6	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 1b	1200 km	yes	Central	4a
7	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	850 km	no	Shifted	
8	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	800 km	no	Shifted	5e
9	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	1200 km	yes	Central	5b
10	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	750 km	no	Shifted	
11	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	800 km	no	Shifted	5d
12	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 2a	1000 km	no	Shifted	4b/7
13	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	1600 km	no	Distant	
14	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	1450 km	no	Distant	5g
15	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	XXX	XXX	no break-up	
16	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	1200 km	no	Distant	
17	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	1000 km	yes	Central	5c
18	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 2b	1700 km	no	Distant	
19	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1200 km	no	Distant	
20	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1000 km	yes	Central	
21	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1200 km	yes	Central	
22	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1200 km	no	Distant	5h
23	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1300 km	no	Distant	4c
24	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 3a	1250 km	yes	Shifted	
31	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	800 km	yes	Central	
32	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	1000 km	yes	Central	
33	12.5 mm/yr	12.5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	1200 km	yes	Central	
34	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	800 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	850 km	no	Shifted	5f
35	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1000 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	1400 km	no	Distant	5i
36	20 mm/yr	5 mm/yr	1200 km	3250	230	Setup 3b	1700 km	no	Distant	