New constraints on geomagnetic field intensity Variations in the Balkans during the Early Byzantine period from ceramics unearthed at Thasos and Delphi, Greece

A. Genevey¹, D. Kondopoulou², P. Pétridis³, E. Aidona², A. Muller⁴, F. Blondé⁵ and J. S. Gros⁶

¹Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, CNRS, UMR 8220, Laboratoire d'archéologie moléculaire et structurale (LAMS), 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France. agnes.genevey@upmc.fr, Corresponding author

²Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Faculty of Sciences, School of Geology, Department of Geophysics, GR-541 24 Thessaloniki, Greece.

³National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Department of Archaeology and the History of Art, GR-157 84 Athens, Greece.

⁴Institut universitaire de France & Université de Lille, UFR des Sciences Historiques, Artistiques et Politiques, Halma UMR 8164 (Université de Lille, CNRS, Ministère de la Culture et de la Communication), France.

⁵Halma UMR 8164 (Université de Lille, CNRS, Ministère de la Culture et de la Communication), France.

⁶British School at Athens, Souedias 52, 106 76 Athens, Greece.

Highlights:

- Archeointensity analysis performed on Early Byzantine ceramics unearthed in Greece
- 5 new high quality archeointensity data obtained using the Triaxe protocol
- Geomagnetic field intensity increase observed in the Balkans from 4th to 7th C.

Keywords:

Archeomagnetism; Archeointensity; Secular variation; Greece; Early Byzantine period; Pottery

Abstract

We report on five new archeomagnetic field intensity data obtained in Greece from groups of pottery fragments precisely dated to between the middle of the fourth century and the beginning of the seventh century CE. These potsherds were unearthed on the islands of Thasos (Northern Greece) and Delphi (Central Greece). Their dating is primarily ensured by typo-morphological arguments, combined with archeological and historical constraints. Archeointensity measurements were performed using the Triaxe protocol, which involves continuous magnetization measurements at high temperatures and which allows us to overcome the thermoremanent magnetization anisotropy and cooling rate effects. Magnetic mineralogy measurements such as low-field magnetic susceptibility versus temperature and thermal demagnetization of three orthogonal IRM components have identified magnetite with possible impurities as the main carrier of the magnetization. The new data range from $52.0\mu\text{T}$ to $61.5\mu\text{T}$ after reduction to Thessaloniki and show an increase in geomagnetic field intensity in Greece during the Early Byzantine period. They appear in good agreement with previous intensity results satisfying a set of quality criteria and obtained in a region of 700 km around Thessaloniki, therefore incorporating data from Bulgaria, Greece and South Italy. This study is part of an ongoing effort to better constrain the evolution in geomagnetic field intensity in the Balkans over the past few millennia, with potential use for dating in archeology. The rapid intensity variations documented here during the Early Byzantine period are clearly of interest in this respect.

1 Introduction

Archeomagnetic data constitute a valuable source of information on the Earth's magnetic field variations during the last few millennia. Data compilations from all over Europe, where the discipline has flourished over the last few decades, have resulted in the

51 construction of robust databases and the computation of secular variation curves for several
52 regions including France (Gallet et al., 2002; Hervé et al. 2013a,b; Genevey et al., 2016),
53 Germany (Schnepp and Lanos, 2005), Italy (Tema et al., 2006, 2013) and the UK (Zananiri et
54 al., 2007) among others. There are, however, usually more directional than intensity data
55 available, a feature related to the time-consuming and complicated protocols required to
56 determine the archeointensity, together with the frequently high rate of failure.

57 Numerous archeomagnetic investigations conducted within the last forty years in the
58 Balkans have resulted in a particularly well-documented secular variation of the Earth's
59 magnetic field, with a complete, though not continuous, recovery of the geomagnetic behavior
60 (i.e. both in direction and in intensity) over the past eight millennia. This largely relies on
61 datasets obtained in Bulgaria, where the compilation of archeomagnetic results has recently
62 been updated (Kovacheva et al., 2014), and includes full vector data, obtained exclusively
63 from in-situ burnt structures.

64 Archeomagnetic studies were initiated in Greece, in the 80's (Thomas, 1981; Walton,
65 1984; Aitken et al., 1989) and in contrast to the general overview in Europe, there is more
66 archeointensity than directional data. Nevertheless, the quality of several Greek
67 archeointensity data has often been criticized (for a review see De Marco et al., 2008a) while
68 several newly acquired results (Spatharas et al., 2011; Tema et al., 2012; Fanjat et al., 2013;
69 De Marco et al., 2014) have supplemented the database with reliable input. As a result, the
70 main trends of the geomagnetic field secular variation in the Balkans can now be used for the
71 dating of baked clay structures of uncertain age. Several examples exist in the literature where
72 archeomagnetic dating results are successfully compared with thermoluminescence or
73 radiocarbon data and archeological constraints (Aidona and Kondopoulou, 2012; Tema et al.,
74 2015; Kondopoulou et al., 2015).

In spite of its great potential, the precision of archeomagnetic dating in the Balkans is still limited by the dispersion seen in the data, which suggests that some of the published results are biased and/or their uncertainties underestimated (e.g. Pavón-Carrasco et al., 2014). As a consequence, the detailed recovery of the geomagnetic fluctuations in this region, although an achievable target given the richness of its archeological and historical heritage, still requires the acquisition of new, high-quality, data with a precise control of age. The present study is part of this effort.

We report on five new archeointensity data obtained from groups of ceramics unearthed in Greece on the islands of Thasos and Delphi, two historical excavation sites of the French School at Athens (Péntazos and Picard, 1992; Jacquemin, 2000; Mulliez, 2011; Muller and Mulliez, 2012). These ceramics are precisely dated to the Early Byzantine period, between the 4th and the 7th centuries CE. We will see that this time interval proves to be particularly interesting for both geomagnetic and archeological dating purposes as it was characterized by significant and rapid geomagnetic field intensity fluctuations.

2 Archeological collection

Our archeological collection is composed of six groups of ceramic fragments, three of them collected at Thasos (40.7°N, 24.6°E) and the other three at Delphi (38.5°N, 22.5°E; Fig. 1a). We underline that these groups were selected among the large amount of ceramic material unearthed from these two major archeological sites. The accuracy and reliability of the dating (an uncertainty of 100 years was fixed as a limit) and the precise knowledge of the location of the ceramic production site were a pre-requisite for our sampling in addition to considerations regarding the clay paste and the atmosphere of heating/cooling.

At Thasos, a joint project of the French School at Athens (represented by the University of Lille - UMR Halma - and the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens)

and the Greek Ministry of Culture (represented by the Ephorate of antiquities of Kavala-Thasos) has brought to light a Late Roman/Early Byzantine urban villa called *DOM5* (for the latest excavation report see Blondé et al., 2014). This villa was built at the end of the 4th/beginning of the 5th century CE and was destroyed no later than 619/620 CE. This date is attested to by numerous coins dated to 619 CE found in the destruction layers of the villa, whereas no later coins have been discovered. From the 5th century to its abandonment, the status of the villa had evolved from a luxurious *domus*, with the construction of private baths during the 5th or 6th century, to a more modest use after ca. 570 CE that saw a rearrangement of the house.

The three studied groups of pottery fragments were discovered in various occupation or dump layers of the villa. Each group is not associated to a single stratigraphic unit or context but in fact comes from different parts of the villa. The temporal homogeneity and the dating of the groups are, however, ensured by the typo-morphology of the fragments. Two of them belong to well-known and broadly distributed types of a famous Asia Minor production of Late Roman *Terra Sigillata* or *Phocaean Red Slip* ware (LI03 and LI04 groups, Fig. 1b; Hayes, 1972, LRC ware) with, for each group, one well-known shape selected (Table 1). The typo-chronology for the LRC ware was derived from the identification and classification of ten specific forms (and their variants) of this production and from their discovery in a number of archeological locations of the Eastern Mediterranean, which provided closed and well-dated (particularly from coins) contexts (Hayes, 1972, 1980). This type of production was widely distributed in the Eastern Mediterranean region from the 4th to the 7th century CE and its provenance was identified at the region of Phocaea (38.7°N, 26.8°E) in Asia Minor (for the workshops see Hayes, 1980; Empereur and Picon, 1986; Mayet and Picon, 1986). We underline that its recognition on archeological sites is largely used as a dating marker together with other imported fine wares such as the African Red Slip Ware (Hayes, 1972; Bonifay,

2004) or Late Roman D Ware (Hayes, 1972). We note that group LI03 includes also a few potsherds retrieved from the southern sector of the *Agora* of Thasos, identified as the *Macellum* (Marc, 2008; Excavations carried out by the French School at Athens). These fragments display the characteristic shape of the LI03 group, i.e. the 3C form dated to the second half of the 5th century.

The third group from Thasos is composed of potsherds identified as *Central Greek Painted Ware* (LI05 group; Hayes, 1972; Pétridis, 1997, 2009). The classification and dating of this production rely also on cross-data obtained on different archeological dated contexts from consumption sites among which we may cite Argos, Athens, Delphi, Thessaloniki or even more distant sites such as Abu Mena in Egypt and Constantinople. Its production site was identified at Nea Anchialos (39.3°N, 22.8°E) in Thessaly (Fig.1a, Pétridis, 1997, 2009)

Late Roman/Early Byzantine remains were unearthed at Delphi as early as the end of the 19th century but they had not received the attention they deserved (Pétridis, 1997). The restarting of excavations of Late Roman/Early Byzantine layers at Delphi in the 1990's by the French School at Athens allowed the uncovering of two artisanal districts with evidence of pottery activity. The first, dated to the second half of the 4th century is located close to the *Gymnasium* of ancient Delphi, while the second, that was precisely dated by coins and imported pottery between 590 and 620 CE, is located South East of the *Peribolos*, in a place once occupied by the so-called *South-Eastern Villa* (Déroche et al., 2014). These excavations revealed a ceramic production of good quality, with a large corpus of shapes, covering the needs of a local and regional clientele (Pétridis, 2010). The two younger groups, referred to as DEL01 and DEL02, are associated with the *South-Eastern Villa* area. They were found in pottery deposits located close to the kilns and were identified as ceramic wasters (Fig. 1c). The dating interval is first constrained by stratigraphical arguments. The workshop indeed settled over a villa whose abandonment as a habitation unit is dated at about 580 CE by coins

150 and imported ceramics. A *half follis* of Maurice struck in 588/589 CE, which was discovered
151 in one of the structures of the workshop further helps to date the beginning of its activity. This
152 activity stopped some thirty years later as evidenced by coins all dated before 620 CE and
153 imported vessels (in particular by African Red Slip Wares), none of which can be dated to
154 after 620 CE. Finally, the dating also relies on the hypothesis of the capacity and lifetime of
155 the deposit (Pétridis, 2010). We note that the two groups were named differently to
156 distinguish between fragments of pottery bases and fragments of pottery lips. They however
157 come from exactly the same context and share the same age. Therefore their intensity results
158 will be discussed together.

159 The last group from Delphi (DEL03) is from a large ensemble of ceramic fragments
160 discovered in a shop, possibly a workshop, in the North-Eastern corner of the *Roman Agora*.
161 The fragments were in fact used to fill-in the space after its partial destruction, probably due
162 to the earthquake of July 21, 365 CE (Petridis, 2010). This devastating seism was described
163 by the historian Ammianus Marcellinus (330-395) in his *Res gestae* and was felt throughout
164 the eastern Mediterranean region (Guidoboni et al., 1994). The dating of the DEL03 ensemble
165 is constrained by imported *terra sigillata* wares and reinforced by the study of the coins found
166 in this context (most of them belonging to the Constantinian dynasty). All the fragments
167 examined belong to the local production, which has already been an object of both a chemical
168 and a petrographic analysis (see Kouzéli in Pétridis, 2010). We note that these combined
169 experiments of XRF, XRD, and thin section observations allowed the distinction of two clay-
170 paste groups with as a common feature their high content in iron oxides (Fe_2O_3) with a
171 percentage of 7.90%.

172

173

174

3 Archeointensity measurements

Our analyses aim at recovering the intensity of the ancient geomagnetic field recorded by ceramics during their manufacture, more precisely during their cooling in the kilns. This information is recorded through the acquisition of a Thermo-Remanent Magnetization (TRM) whose direction is parallel to that of the ambient Earth's magnetic field and whose moment is proportional to its intensity. When ceramics are removed from the kiln, the information on the geomagnetic direction is lost, while that of the intensity is retained and may be retrieved.

The main principle of the archeointensity measurements is to replace the Natural Remanent Magnetization (NRM) recorded by the fragments by a new TRM acquired in known field conditions (direction and intensity). In this study the archeological artifacts were analyzed using a tailored experimental protocol designed for the Triaxe magnetometer (Le Goff and Gallet, 2004). The Triaxe protocol derives from the method of Thellier and Thellier (1959). Its originality relies on the fact that the magnetization measurements are performed continuously at high temperatures. This protocol was described in detail in previous publications (e.g. Le Goff and Gallet, 2004, Gallet and Le Goff, 2006; Gallet et al., 2014) and only a concise overview is given below.

The entire sequence of measurements is achieved within a little more than 2 hours and is performed on one specimen of 1 cm in length and with a thickness/diameter depending on the shape of the potsherd. It involves 4 successive steps:

- Step 1: Almost complete demagnetization of the NRM up to a high temperature referred to as T2, here comprised between 450 °C and 530 °C depending on the fragments.
- Step 2: The specimen is cooled to a low temperature referred to as T1 (set to 150 °C) and again heated to T2 in order to measure the temperature dependence of the spontaneous magnetization of the small fraction of the NRM remaining above T2.

• Step 3: Acquisition of a TRM by cooling the specimen from T2 to T1. Its direction is precisely set parallel to that of the NRM. The intensity of the applied field is also chosen to be close to the expected one.

• Step 4: Demagnetization of the newly acquired laboratory TRM between T1 and T2.

For each temperature T_i running from T1 to T2 by steps of $\sim 5^\circ\text{C}$, the $R'(T_i)$ parameter is computed (Le Goff and Gallet, 2004). This parameter is given by the ratio between the NRM and TRM fractions demagnetized between T1 and T_i multiplied by the intensity of the laboratory field. A mean intensity value is finally estimated at the specimen level from the averaging of all $R'(T_i)$ values. When a secondary magnetization component partly overprints the original TRM, the $R'(T_i)$ values are then computed between a higher temperature $T1'$ and T2, i.e. the temperature range where the original TRM is reliably isolated.

In the Triaxe protocol, it is worth stressing that the laboratory field is applied so that the direction of the new TRM is parallel to that of the NRM. This allows us to overcome the TRM anisotropy effect, which originates from the stretching of the clay during the manufacture of the object (e.g. Aitken et al., 1981). Furthermore, it has been experimentally and repeatedly observed on archeological artifacts of different types and origins that the intensity data obtained using the $R'(T_i)$ parameters took into account the cooling rate effect (Le Goff et Gallet, 2004; Genevey et al., 2009; Hartmann et al., 2010; Genevey et al., 2016). We briefly recall that this effect is related to the dependence of the TRM acquisition on the cooling rate (e.g. Fox and Aitken, 1980) and to the fact that there is generally a large difference between the original cooling rate, during which the original TRM/NRM is recorded in the kiln and the cooling rate applied for the laboratory TRM acquisition (e.g. Genevey et al., 2008).

4 Rock magnetism investigations

Possible alteration due to heating was first investigated through susceptibility measurements performed from 50 °C up to ~500-525 °C, i.e. on the temperature range used for intensity determinations. Only pottery fragments showing reversible heating and cooling susceptibility curves were retained for further analyses (Fig. 2a,b,c,d). For these selected fragments, a second series of susceptibility measurements were carried out up to ~680 °C. For the *Terra Sigillata* produced at Phocaea (LI03 and LI05) and for the *Central Greek Painted Ware* production (LI04), the heating at higher temperature induced a strong alteration of the magnetic mineralogy, with higher susceptibilities observed on the cooling curves, most probably originating from the formation of new magnetite (Fig. 2f,g). These results highlight the advantage of investigating the magnetic mineral stability on the same temperature range as the one used for the intensity determinations rather than on a wider temperature interval. In the latter case, this would have led to the rejection of these three groups of pottery fragments. In contrast, the ceramics from Delphi appear more stable upon heating (Fig. 2e,h). Whatever the production, the heating susceptibility curves usually exhibit two inflexion points, at low (200-300 °C) and medium-high temperatures (450-550 °C).

The magnetic mineralogy of this collection was further investigated through the thermal demagnetization of three-axis Isothermal Remanent Magnetization (2 T, 0.4 T, and 0.2 T) acquired in three perpendicular directions (Lowrie, 1990). These experiments were carried on two to four fragments per group among those that provided reliable intensity results. In most fragments, they show the predominance of a magnetic phase, likely from the magnetite family (with possible impurities), of low coercivity (<0.2 T) and with unblocking temperatures below 550 °C (Fig. 3). Another phase characterized by low unblocking temperatures (200-250 °C) and high coercivity is also systematically observed, but in various proportions (Fig. 3). The very same phase has been widely documented in archeomagnetic

studies, while its clear identification remains an open question (McIntosh et al., 2007, 2011). Finally, a small fraction of hematite is also observed, which, we note, was not detected from the susceptibility measurements (Figs. 2,3). In summary, the magnetic mineralogy hence appears as a combination of magnetite (in majority), a mineral of low-unblocking temperatures (200-250°C) and high coercivity ($>2T$), and a small fraction of hematite. Such a combination is in fact rather common in baked clay archeological artifacts (e.g. Hartmann et al., 2010; Genevey et al., 2016).

5 Intensity Results

In addition to the required stability of the magnetic mineralogy constrained by susceptibility measurements, a set of selection criteria was applied on the archeointensity data in order to only retain the most reliable results. These criteria are the same as those used in our previous investigations using the Triaxe protocol (e.g. Genevey et al., 2009, 2013; Hartmann et al., 2011; Gallet et al., 2014). These criteria aim to test the quality of the intensity determinations at the specimen level, with analyses strictly performed on the same temperature range where the primary TRM was reliably isolated. Over this temperature interval, the slope of the $R'(T_i)$ values must be of less than 10% and must concern more than 50% of the magnetization fraction with an unblocking temperature larger than T_1 (or T_1' , K% parameter; Supplementary Table S1; Gallet et Le Goff 2006). At the fragment level, two to three specimens were analyzed and the results must agree to within 5%. Finally, at least three fragments are required to define a mean value at the group level and its standard deviation must be of less than 10% and $5\ \mu T$.

A total of 63 specimens from 23 fragments were successfully analyzed and used to derive five new archeointensity values encompassing the Early Byzantine period in Greece (Table 1 and supplementary Table S1). All retained intensity determinations were reported at

the specimen level in Fig. 4, with one panel per group. For each group, an example of thermal demagnetization is also presented in Fig. 4. These diagrams illustrate the different behaviors observed; that is, for part of the fragments, two components of magnetization observed above 150°C (Fig. 4b,f,j) and an intensity determination performed on the temperature range where the primary component was isolated (Fig. 4a,e,i). The thermal demagnetization of the other fragments revealed only one component of magnetization above 150 °C (Fig. 4d,h) and the intensity determination therefore concerned the entire temperature range above T1 (Fig. 4c,g). The percentage of success is relatively low, between 33% and 50%, on the understanding that this percentage relates to the number of fragments whose magnetic moment was strong enough to be measured on the Triaxe (supplementary Table S1). For these fragments, the main causes of rejection were either related to their unstable magnetic mineralogy upon heating, or because the slope of the $R'(T_i)$ values was too strong over the temperature range where the TRM was isolated, or because it was not possible to reliably isolate this primary magnetization component (i.e. the magnetization acquired during the manufacturing of the artifacts).

The five new intensity results are reported in Fig. 5, together with a selection of intensity results available inside a region of 700 km around Thessaloniki (see below). These new data are well defined with standard deviations between 1% and 5% of the corresponding means, the latter ranging from 52.0 μ T to 61.5 μ T after reduction to Thessaloniki (Table 1). They clearly show an increase of the geomagnetic field intensities in the Balkans between the middle of the 4th century and the beginning of the 7th century CE.

6 Discussion

Archeomagnetism has largely been developed in the Balkan Peninsula, in particular through the numerous archeomagnetic studies carried out in Bulgaria since the late seventies

(Kovacheva et al., 2014 and references therein) and with the development of this discipline in Greece over the past fifteen years (Spatharas et al., 2000; De Marco et al, 2008a,b; Aidona and Kondopoulou, 2012; De Marco et al., 2014 among others). Regarding intensity, the available dataset allows a description of the geomagnetic variations over nearly the past eight millennia, making it, together with the one from the Middle East (Gallet et al., 2015), one of the longest archeomagnetic records.

The Balkan archeointensity dataset for the past three millennia was evaluated by Pavón-Carrasco et al. (2014), who underlined the rather small percentage of results satisfying modern quality criteria (see also the discussion in Tema and Kondopoulou, 2011). Taking advantage of the recent update of the Bulgarian archeomagnetic database (Kovacheva et al., 2014), we explore here again the Balkan results available for the first millennium CE, which is the period of interest of our study. The quality criteria considered below are those used in our previous studies conducted in Western Europe (e.g. Genevey et al., 2016) and can be summarized as follows. We only retain the data obtained using the original and derived versions of the method of Thellier and Thellier (1959) or the original method of Shaw (1974). The mean intensity must be defined by at least three independent values and the associated error must $\leq 15\%$ of the corresponding mean. The TRM anisotropy effect must be taken into account for objects generally regarded as particularly anisotropic, such as pottery and tiles. The data respecting these criteria are reported in Fig. 5a. In this figure, a distinction was also made between the data whose reliability was further constrained by partial-TRM checks (pTRM-checks; solid symbols), allowing to better control the magnetic mineralogy stability on heating of the studied fragments, and the data with no such stability test (empty symbols).

As a whole, Fig. 5a shows a rather large variability of the archeointensity results. When the data are close in age, this scatter may, in some cases, raise questions about their accuracy, either regarding their experimental determinations or their dating. This is

particularly the case for the data belonging to the first four centuries CE (see below). However, taken as a whole, the data support the occurrence of rapid and large intensity variations during the first millennium CE. For the Early Byzantine period, all results converge to indicate a significant increase in intensity from the 4th century CE until about the first half of the 7th century. Our new data are in good agreement with this evolution (Fig. 5a). A relative minimum in intensity is then observed around the transition between the 7th and 8th century CE, the intensity increasing again afterwards. It is interesting to note that a similar evolution was recently documented in Western Europe (Gómez-Paccard et al., 2012, Genevey et al., 2016), even though the fluctuations appear to be more intense in Eastern Europe.

The use of the pTRM-checks as a selection criterion has a strong impact for the time interval covering the first four centuries CE (compare Fig. 5a and b). For instance, there is at present no result constrained by pTRM-checks available for the period between the end of the second century CE and the end of the third century CE (Fig. 5b). Using only the most reliable archeointensity data obtained over Europe, Pavón-Carrasco et al. (2014) developed an archeomagnetic field model which predicts for the Balkans a decrease in intensity during the first three centuries followed, as previously mentioned, by an increase during the Early Byzantine period (Fig. 5b). Our new result dated to the second half of the 4th century (DEL03) is in good agreement with this trend, and so it is with two precisely dated results obtained in southern Italy (Tema et al., 2013). We note however that a few recent data (Spatharas et al. 2011; Kondopoulou et al. 2015), whose dating remains poorly defined, and which were not retained to derive the archeomagnetic field model A of Pavón-Carrasco et al. (2014), could also support the occurrence of rapid variations during the 4th century.

Although our new results appear to agree with the trend in intensity fluctuations, they seem to be systematically lower than the other mentioned data, in particular for the 5th and 6th centuries (Fig 5b). It is noteworthy that the Bulgarian data are not corrected for the cooling

rate effect, which, when taken into account, usually tends to decrease the intensity values. This issue was discussed in Kovacheva et al. (2014) who acknowledged that this effect might indeed affect intensity values, at least for the data obtained on bricks. In Fig. 5b, the Bulgarian data are reported with different symbols depending on the type of analyzed objects (i.e. with a distinction between bricks, kilns, baked clays and baked soils). A cooling rate correction of a 5% decrease was applied on the Bulgarian data obtained from bricks, which represent the majority of the dataset for the 5th and 6th centuries (Fig. 5c), the other data remaining unchanged. Such a correction is a reasonable educated guess (e.g. Genevey et al., 2008), although we recognize that the cooling rate effect may in fact vary from one object to another. Clearly a 5% decrease of the Bulgarian intensity values would improve their agreement with our new results (Fig. 5c). At this stage, however, the question of the exact cooling rate correction factors required for the Bulgarian data remains unresolved and any further discussion on the significance of possible shifts between different datasets would require a systematic evaluation of the cooling rate effect for all data.

In spite of the complexity described above, we further selected the data with age uncertainties of less than 100 years. This smaller dataset, that includes our five new archeointensity data, was used to derive a mean archeointensity variation curve spanning the first millennium using a sliding windows of 75 years shifted every 10 years (Fig. 5c). We emphasize the fact that this curve, presented with its 2-s envelope, provides a likely accurate picture of the present knowledge on the secular geomagnetic field intensity variations in the Balkans during the first millennium CE, as constrained by using only the most reliable regional data.

7 Concluding remarks

This study reports on 5 new archeointensity data obtained from Greek pottery fragments precisely dated to the Early Byzantine period. These data allow to better constrain a significant geomagnetic field intensity increase in the Balkans between the fourth and seventh centuries CE. Such a feature appears particularly well established, even though the Balkan archeointensity dataset is severely penalized by a large scatter in the available results. It is worth pointing out that our study highlights the great potential of precisely dated potsherds for archeomagnetism, which have been, surprisingly, rarely exploited until now in this broad area.

Beside its implication for the regional geomagnetic field behavior, i.e. with the occurrence of rapid, centennial-scale intensity variations, the Early Byzantine intensity increase may also have interesting implications for archeological purposes. Archeointensity analyses may indeed help in deciphering the duration of certain ceramic productions in the Balkans such as the production of the Late Roman *Phocaeen Terra Sigillata*, which may have persisted during the 7th century. Such issues would clearly strengthen effective collaboration between archeologists and archeomagnetists.

Acknowledgments

The excavations of the French School at Athens (EfA) established at Thasos and Delphi were indicated to us for their potential by Prof. D. Mulliez, Director of EfA in 2010, who included our emerging project as part of the 2012-2016 five-year contract. Prof. A. Farnoux, the current Director of the School has continued the support of our study, together with J. Fournier, the previous Director of Studies. We address our deep acknowledgements to all. The visits to the storage rooms of both Museums and the permissions to obtain the selected materials for study were provided by the Ephorates of Kavala-Thasos and Fokis. It is our

pleasure to thank their Directors, Ms. S. Dadaki and Ms. A. Psalti respectively. We also thank Dr. D. Malamidou and Dr. N. Petrocheilos for their help in accessing the material in Thasos and Delphi.

We are grateful to Yves Gallet for his helpful comments on the manuscript, to Maxime Le Goff for his support regarding the Triaxe measurements, and to Ruven Pillay for his corrections to the manuscript's English. Finally we thank two anonymous reviewers for a careful reading that has helped to improve the manuscript.

This study was partly financed by the INSU-CNRS program "PNP".

References

- Aidona, E., Kondopoulou, D., 2012. First archaeomagnetic results and dating of neolithic structures in Northern Greece, *Stud. Geophys. Geod.* 56, 827–844.
- Aitken, M.J., Alcock, P.A., Bussell, G.D., Shaw, C.J., 1981. Archaeomagnetic determination of the past geomagnetic intensity using ancient ceramics: allowance for anisotropy. *Archaeometry* 23, 53–64.
- Aitken, M.J., Allsop, A.L., Bussell, G.D., Liritzis, Y., Winter, M.B., 1989. Geomagnetic intensity measurements using bricks from Greek churches of the first and second millennia A.D. *Archaeometry* 31, 77–87.
- Blondé F., Dadaki S., Muller A., Pétridis P., Sanidas G., 2014. Thasos. Les abords Nord de l'Artémision (THANAR). Campagnes 2012-2013 - Collaboration XVIII e EPKA – 12 e EBA – EFA, *Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique* 138.2, pp 613-661.
- Bonifay M., 2004. Études sur la céramique romaine tardive d'Afrique, BAR International Series 1301, Oxford. (525p).

423 De Marco, E., Spatharas, V., Gómez-Paccard, M., Chauvin, A., Kondopoulou, D., 2008a.
 424 New archaeointensity results from archaeological sites and variation of the geomagnetic
 425 field intensity for the last 7 millennia in Greece. *Phys. Chem. Earth* 33, 578–595.

426 De Marco, E., Spassov, S., Kondopoulou, D., Zananiri, I., Gerofoka E., 2008b.
 427 Archaeomagnetic study and dating of a Hellenistic site in Katerini (N. Greece), *Phys.*
 428 *Chem. Earth* 33, 481–495, doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2008.02.025.

429 De Marco, E., Tema, E., Lanos, P., Kondopoulou, D., 2014. An updated catalogue of Greek
 430 archaeomagnetic data for the last 4500 years and a directional secular variation curve.
 431 *Stud. Geophys. Geod.* 58, 127–147.

432 Déroche V., Pétridis P., Badie A., 2014. Fouilles de Delphes II. Topographie et Architecture
 433 15 : Le Secteur au Sud-est du Péribole, © École française d’Athènes.

434 Empereur, J.-Y., Picon, M., 1986. A propos d’un nouvel atelier de Late Roman C, *Figlina* 7,
 435 143–150.

436 Fanjat, G., Aidona, E., Kondopoulou, D., Camps, P., Rathossi, C., Poidras, T., 2013.
 437 Archaeointensities in Greece during the Neolithic period: new insights into material
 438 selection and secular variation curve, *Phys. Earth Planet Inter.* 215, 29–42.

439 Fox, J.M.W., Aitken, M.J., 1980. Cooling rate dependance of thermoremanent magnetization,
 440 *Nature* 283, 462–463.

441 Gallet, Y., Genevey, A., Le Goff, M., 2002. Three millennia of directional variation of the
 442 Earth's magnetic field in Western Europe as revealed by archaeological artefacts. *Phys.*
 443 *Earth Planet. Inter.* 131, 81–89.

444 Gallet, Y., Le Goff, M., 2006. High-temperature archeointensity measurements from
 445 Mesopotamia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 241, 159–173.

446 Gallet, Y., D’Andrea, M., Genevey, A., Pinnock, F., Le Goff, M., Matthiae, P., 2014.
 447 Archaeomagnetism at Ebla (Tell Mardikh, Syria). New data on geomagnetic field

intensity variations in the Near East during the Bronze Age. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 42, 295-304.

Gallet, Y., Molist Montaña, M., Genevey, A., Clop Garcia, X., Thébault, E., Gómez Bach, A., Le Goff, M., Robert, B., Nachasova, I., 2015. New Late Neolithic (c. 7000–5000 BC) archeointensity data from Syria. Reconstructing 9000 years of archeomagnetic field intensity variations in the Middle East. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 238, 89–103.

Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Constable, C.G., Korte, M., Hulot, G., 2008. ArcheoInt: an upgraded compilation of geomagnetic field intensity data for the past ten millennia and its application to the recovery of the past dipole moment. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9, Q04038, doi:10.1029/2007GC001881.

Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Rosen, J., Le Goff, M., 2009. Evidence for rapid geomagnetic field intensity variations in Western Europe over the past 800 years from new French archeointensity data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 284, 132-143.

Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Thébault, E., Jesset, S., Le Goff, M., 2013. Geomagnetic field intensity variations in Western Europe over the past 1100 years. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 14: doi: 10.1002/ggge.20165.

Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Jesset, S., Thébault, E., Bouillon, J., Lefèvre, A., Le Goff, M., 2016. New Archeointensity Data from French Early Medieval Pottery Production (6th-10th century AD). Tracing 1500 years of Geomagnetic Field Intensity Variations in Western Europe. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 257, 205-219 doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2016.06.00.

Gómez-Paccard, M., Chauvin, A., Lanos, P., Dufresne, P., Kovacheva, M., Hill, M.J., Beamud, E., Blain, S., Bouvier, A., Guibert, P., and Archaeological Working Team, 2012. Improving our knowledge of rapid geomagnetic field intensity changes observed in Europe between 200 and 1400 AD. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 355–356, 131–143.

472 Guidoboni, E., Comastri, A., and Traina, G., 1994, Catalogue of Ancient Earthquakes in the
 473 Mediterranean area Up to the 10th Century: Rome, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica (504
 474 p).

475 Hartmann, G.A., Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Trindade, R.I.F., Etchevarne, C., Le Goff, M.,
 476 Afonso, M.C., 2010. Archeointensity in Northeast Brazil over the past five centuries.
 477 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 296, 340–352.

478 Hartmann, G.A., Genevey, A., Gallet, Y., Trindade, R.I.F., Le Goff, M., Najjar, R.,
 479 Etchevarne, C., Afonso, M. C., 2011. New historical archeointensity data from Brazil:
 480 evidence for a large regional non-dipole field contribution over the past few centuries.
 481 Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 306, 66–76.

482 Hayes, J.W., 1972. Late Roman Pottery, British School at Rome, London (477 p).

483 Hayes, J.W., 1980. A supplement to Late Roman Pottery, British School at Rome, London
 484 (85 p).

485 Hervé, G., Chauvin, A., Lanos, P., 2013a. Geomagnetic field variations in Western Europe
 486 from 1500BC to 200AD.Part I: Directional secular variation curve. Phys. Earth Planet.
 487 Inter. 218, 1–13.

488 Hervé, G., Chauvin, A., Lanos, P., 2013b. Geomagnetic field variations in Western Europe
 489 from 1500BC to 200AD.Part II: New intensity secular variation curve. Phys. Earth
 490 Planet. Inter. 218, 51–65.

491 Jacquemin, A., 2000. Delphes. Cent ans après la grande fouille. Essai de bilan (Actes du coll.
 492 international Athènes, Delphes 1992), Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique, suppl. 36,
 493 456 p. © École française d'Athènes.

494 Kondopoulou, D., Zananiri, I., Rathossi, C., De Marco, E., Spatharas, V., Hasaki, E., 2014.
 495 An Archaeometric and Archaeological Approach to Hellenistic–Early Roman Ceramic

496 Workshops in Greece: Contribution to Dating. Radiocarbon 56, S27–S38, doi:
 497 http://dx.doi.org/10.2458/azu_rc.56.18340.

498 Kondopoulou, D., Aidona, E., Ioannidis, N., Polymeris, G.S., Tsolakis, S., 2015.
 499 Archaeomagnetic study and thermoluminescence dating of protobyzantine kilns (Megali
 500 Kypsa, North Greece). J. Archaeol. Sci. Rep. 2, 156–168.

501 Kovacheva, M., Kostadinova-Avramova, M., Jordanova, N., Lanos, P., Boyadzhiev, Y., 2014.
 502 Extended and revised archaeomagnetic database and secular variation curves from
 503 Bulgaria for the last eight millennia. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 236, 79–94.

504 Le Goff, M., Gallet, Y., 2004. A new three-axis vibrating sample magnetometer for
 505 continuous high-temperature magnetization measurements: applications to paleo- and
 506 archeo-intensity determinations. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 229, 31–43.

507 Lowrie, W., 1990. Identification of ferromagnetic minerals in a rock by coercivity and
 508 unblocking temperatures properties. Geophys. Res. Lett. 17, 159–162. doi:10.1029/
 509 GL017i002p00159.

510 Marc, J.-Y., 2008. Thasos. Abords Sud de l'Agora, Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique
 511 132, 737–765.

512 Mayet, F., Picon, M., 1986. Une sigillée phocéenne tardive (« Late Roman C ware ») et sa
 513 diffusion en Occident, Figlina 7, 129–142.

514 McIntosh, G., Kovacheva, M., Catanzariti, G., Osete, M.L., Casas, L., 2007. Widespread
 515 occurrence of a novel high coercivity, thermally stable, low unblocking temperature
 516 magnetic phase in heated archeological material. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, L21302.
 517 doi:10.1029/2007GL031168.

518 McIntosh, G., Kovacheva, M., Catanzariti, G., Donadini, F., Lopez, M.L.O., 2011. High
519 coercivity remanence in baked clay materials used in archeomagnetism. *Geochem.*
520 *Geophys. Geosyst.* 12, Q02003, doi:10.1029/2010GC003310.

521 Mulliez, D., 2011. Histoire des fouilles de l'École française d'Athènes à Thasos. *Comptes-*
522 *rendus des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*, 1115–1133.

523 Muller, A., Mulliez, D., 2012. *Cent ans de fouilles françaises à Thasos / 1911-2011 / Εκατό*
524 *χρόνια γαλλικές ανασκαφές στην Θάσο*, Patrimoine photographique 1, 126 p., ©
525 École française d'Athènes.

526 Nachasova, I.E., Burakov, K.S., Il'ina, T.A., 2007. Geomagnetic Field intensity in the Eastern
527 Mediterranean region in the second half of the 1st millennium BC and the beginning of
528 our era, *Phys. Solid Earth, Engl. Transl.* 43, 1024–1030.

529 Pavón-Carrasco, F.J., Gómez-Paccard, M., Hervé, G., Osete López, M.L., Chauvin, A., 2014.
530 Intensity of the geomagnetic field in Europe for the last 3 ka: influence of data quality on
531 geomagnetic field modeling. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 15, 2515-2530,
532 doi:10.1002/2014GC00531.

533 Péntazos, E., Picard O., 1992. La redécouverte de Delphes, 291 p., ©École française
534 d'Athènes, Εφορεία Αρχαιοτήτων Δελφών.

535 Pétridis P., 1997. Delphes dans l'Antiquité tardive : première approche topographique et
536 céramologique, *BCH* 121.2, 681-695 esp. p.693 n.44.

537 Pétridis P., 2009. Les productions protobyzantines de céramique peinte en Grèce Continentale
538 et dans les îles, in J. Zozaya - M. Retuerce - M.A. Hervás - A. de Juan (éds), *Actas del*
539 *VIII Congreso Internacional de Cerámica Medieval en el Mediterráneo*, Ciudad Real
540 2009, tomo I, 39-48.

541 Pétridis, P., 2010. Fouilles de Delphes V, Monuments figurés 4: La céramique protobyzantine
542 de Delphes: une production et son contexte, 233 p. © École française d'Athènes.

543 Schnepf, E., Lanos, P., 2005. Archaeomagnetic secular variation in Germany during the past
544 2500 years. *Geophys. J. Int.* 163, 479–490.

545 Shaw, J., 1974. A new method of determining the magnitude of the palaeomagnetic field:
546 Application to five historic lavas and five archaeological samples. *Geophys. J. R. Astron.*
547 *Soc.* 39, 133–141.

548 Spassov, S., Valet, J.-P., Kondopoulou, D., Zananiri, I., Casas, L., Le Goff, M., 2010. Rock
549 magnetic property and paleointensity determination on historical Santorini lava flows.
550 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 11, Q07006, doi:10.1029/2009GC003006.

551 Spatharas V., Kondopoulou D., Liritzis I., Tsokas G.N., 2000. Archaeointensity results from
552 two ceramic kilns from N. Greece. *J. Balkan Geophys. Soc.*, 4, 67–72.

553 Spatharas, V., Kondopoulou, D., Aidona, E., Efthimiadis, K. G., 2011. New magnetic
554 mineralogy and archaeointensity results from Greek kilns and baked clays. *Stud.*
555 *Geophys. Geod* 55, 131–157.

556 Tema, E., Hedley, I., Lanos, Ph., 2006. Archaeomagnetism in Italy: a compilation of data
557 including new results and a preliminary Italian secular variation curve. *Geophys. J. Int.*
558 167, 1160–1171.

559 Tema, E., Goguitchaichvili, A., Camps, P., 2009. Archaeointensity determinations from Italy:
560 new data and the Earth's magnetic field strength variation over the past three millennia.
561 *Geophys. J. Int.*, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04455.x

562 Tema, E., Kondopoulou, D., 2011. Secular variation of the Earth's magnetic field in the
563 Balkan region during the last eight millennia based on archaeomagnetic data. *Geophys. J.*
564 *Int.* 186, 603–614, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05088.x.

- Tema, E., Gómez-Paccard M., Kondopoulou D., Almar Y., 2012. Intensity of the Earth's magnetic field in Greece during the last five millennia: New data from Greek pottery, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 202-203, 14–26.
- Tema, E., Morales, J., Goguitchaichvili, A., Camps, P., 2013. New archaeointensity data from Italy and geomagnetic field intensity variation in the Italian Peninsula. *Geophys. J. Int.* 193, 603–614, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggs120.
- Tema, E., Polymeris, G., Morales, J., Goguitchaichvili, A., Tsaknaki, V., 2015. Dating of ancient kilns: A combined archaeomagnetic and thermoluminescence analysis applied to a brick workshop at Kato Achaia, Greece., *J. Cult. Herit.* 16, 496–507.
- Thellier, E., Thellier, O., 1959. Sur l'intensité du champ magnétique terrestre dans le passé historique et géologique. *Ann. Geophys.* 15, 285–376.
- Thomas, R.C., 1981. Archaeomagnetism of Greek pottery and Cretan kilns. PhD Thesis, Edinburgh University (362 p).
- Walton, D., 1984. Re-evaluation of Greek archaeomagnitudes. *Nature* 310, 740–743.
- Zananiri, I., Batt, C.M., Lanos, P., Tarling, D.H., Linford, P., 2007. Archaeomagnetic secular variation in the UK during the past 4000 years and its application to archaeomagnetic dating. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 160, 97–107.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. a) Location map of the Thasos and Delphi archeological excavations sites, where the analyzed groups of pottery were unearthed (yellow squares). For the imported vessels collected at Thasos (LI# groups), the production sites at Phocaea and Nea Anchialos are also indicated (same symbol). The blue dots correspond to the locations of previously published intensity results within 700 km around Thessaloniki and dated to the first millennium CE. b)

LI04 group – composed of 12 pottery fragments, unearthed during the excavations of the Late Roman/Early Byzantine villa *DOM5* at Thasos and Phocian Red Slip Ware, Hayes 1972 Form 10A. c) Kiln discovered at Delphi, Southeast of the *Peribolos* and pottery deposit filled in with the ceramic wasters, which provided the fragments from DEL01/02 group ©Déroche et al., 2014.

Fig. 2. K-T curves for four representative fragments among those providing reliable intensity results. Red and blue curves indicate the heating and cooling curves, with heating performed at first up ~500 °C (upper row), then, on new fresh powder, up to ~680 °C (lower row).

Fig. 3. Thermal demagnetization of orthogonal 3-axis composite IRM for six representative fragments among those, which provided reliable intensity results.

Fig. 4. Archeointensity determination for the different studied pottery groups and examples of thermal demagnetization diagram. In the left panels, each curve represents the intensity analysis for one specimen over the temperature interval where the primary TRM was reliably isolated. Open (closed) symbols refer to the inclinations (declinations) in the orthogonal vector diagrams. Note that the Triaxe protocol provides measurements every 5 °C and that only a subset of the data (every 25 °C from 150 °C up to the highest temperature) are reported in these diagrams.

Fig. 5. Geomagnetic intensity variations in the Balkans area for the first millennium CE.

Comparison of our new data (pink crosses) with three successive selections of available archeointensity data obtained in the Balkans (Nachasova et al., 2007; De Marco et al., 2008a; Tema et al., 2009, 2012, 2013; Spassov et al., 2010; Spatharas et al., 2011; Tema and

Kondopoulou, 2011; Kovacheva et al., 2014; Kondopoulou et al., 2014, 2015). All data were first reduced at the latitude of Thessaloniki (40.6°N). a) Archeointensity results satisfying a set of quality criteria as described in the main text. Solid symbols correspond to the data obtained using pTRM-checks while open symbols refer to those obtained without such magnetic mineralogy test. b) Archeointensity obtained using pTRM-checks, with results from Bulgaria reported with different symbols depending of the artifacts analyzed. The red curve (with yellow error band at 95% of confidence) corresponds to the prediction of the archeomagnetic model A at Thessaloniki (Pavón-Carrasco et al., 2014). c) Archeointensity data obtained using pTRM-checks and whose age error is < 100 years. A 5% decrease accounting for the cooling rate effect was applied for the Bulgarian data obtained on bricks. The mean curve of the intensity variations, with its 2- σ envelope (blue curves) was computed using a sliding window of 75 years shifted every 10 years.

Table captions

Table 1. New archeointensity results.

N Frag. (n spec.): Number of retained fragments (specimen) to derive the mean intensity value. $F \pm \sigma F$ (μT): mean intensity value given with its standard deviation, F Thessaloniki (μT) : relocated intensity results to Thessaloniki latitude (40.6 °N).

Supplementary material:

Supplementary Table 1. Intensity results obtained at the specimen level.

“Tmin-Tmax” indicates the temperature interval used for the intensity determination. “ F_{Lab} ” is the intensity of the laboratory field used during the experiments. “K T1 (T1’)” gives the percentage of the magnetization fraction with unblocking temperature larger than T1 (or T1’) involved for the intensity determination while the “slope R’ ” is the slope of the $R'(Ti)$ values

639 over the T_{min}-T_{max} interval. F_{Triaxe} is the intensity value at the specimen level. At the
640 fragment level, the mean intensity value is given with its standard error (resp. standard
641 deviation) when computed from two (resp. three) values. (N1/N2/N3)* indicates the number
642 of collected fragments (N1), the number of fragments whose magnetization was strong
643 enough to be measured on the Triaxe (N2) and the number of fragment retained for the
644 computation of the mean intensity value (N3).

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Table 1.

#Group	Age (CE)	Production site	Lat (°N)	Long (°E)	Archeological context/description	N Frag. (n Spec.)	F±σF (μT)	F Thessaloniki (μT)
DEL03	[340-400]	Delphi	38.5	22.5	Delphi - North-Eastern corner of the <i>Roman Agora</i> - (Units: AG90; AG91; AG92) - Local production	N=4 (n=11)	50.7±0.5	52.0
LI03	[450-490]	Phocaea	38.7	26.8	Thasos - <i>DOM5</i> , Area North of the <i>Artémision</i> & Southern sector of the <i>Agora</i> , <i>Macellum</i> - Asia Minor Red Slip Ware (Hayes 1972, LRC ware – form 3C) - Imported vessels	N=3 (n=8)	51.9±2.6	53.1
LI05	[550-600]	Nea Anchialos	39.3	22.8	Thasos - <i>DOM5</i> , Area North of the <i>Artémision</i> - <i>Central Greek Painted Ware</i> production - Imported vessels	N=4 (n=12)	58.1±0.7	59.0
LI04	[550-625]	Phocaea	38.7	26.8	Thasos - <i>DOM5</i> , Area North of the <i>Artémision</i> – Asia Minor Red Slip Ware (Hayes 1972, LRC ware - form 10A) - Imported vessels	N=3 (n=8)	57.1±0.8	58.4
DEL01/02	[590-620]	Delphi	38.5	22.5	Delphi - <i>South-Eastern Villa</i> area - Sector C30 (Unit TS95.15) - Local production	N=9 (n=24)	60.0±2.4	61.5

Fragment	Specimen	T _{min} -T _{max}	F _{Lab}	K T1 (T1')	Slope R'	F _{Triaxe}	F _{Triaxe} mean value per fragment ± σF (μT)
		(°C)	(μT)	(%)	(%)	(μT)	
DEL03 - Delphes - [340-400] A.D. - (12/11/4)*							
DEL03-07	DEL03-07A	350-480	55	83	5	51.3	50.4±0.9
	DEL03-07B	400-480	50	73	0	49.5	
DEL03-08	DEL03-08A	325-480	55	86	7	50.3	50.2±0.4
	DEL03-08B	325-480	50	85	4	49.8	
	DEL03-08C	325-480	50	86	5	50.6	
DEL03-10	DEL03-10A	370-480	55	71	4	51.1	50.9±0.3
	DEL03-10B	370-480	50	70	3	50.5	
	DEL03-10C	370-480	50	71	4	51.0	
DEL03-12	DEL03-12A	225-480	55	85	-1	51.1	51.2±0.9
	DEL03-12B	225-480	50	92	-2	52.1	
	DEL03-12C	220-480	50	86	-2	50.4	
LI03 - Phocée - [450-490] A.D. - (8/7/3)*							
LI03-05	LI03-05A	210-505	55	88	7	55.5	54.7±0.8
	LI03-05B	195-495	55	82	5	53.9	
	LI03-05D	205-485	55	76	6	54.7	
LI03-06	LI03-06B	175-485	55	76	-3	50.1	49.5±0.6
	LI03-06C	175-485	55	77	1	49.6	
	LI03-06D	200-475	55	76	-2	48.9	
LI03-07	LI03-07A	175-465	55	94	-6	50.7	51.5±0.8
	LI03-07E	175-450	55	86	-6	52.2	
LI05 - Nea Agchialos - [550-600] A.D. - (10/8/4)*							
LI05-04	LI05-04B	240-495	60	76	5	55.5	57.7±2.0
	LI05-04E	215-485	60	82	4	58.6	
	LI05-04F	230-475	60	79	4	59.1	
LI05-06	LI05-06A	240-475	60	75	4	59.8	59.1±1.0
	LI05-06B	240-495	60	83	5	59.5	
	LI05-06C	245-495	60	83	5	58.0	
LI05-08	LI05-08A	325-485	60	60	3	55.6	57.4±1.6
	LI05-08B	325-530	60	87	6	58.8	
	LI05-08C	330-530	60	88	6	57.7	
LI05-09	LI05-09A	245-495	60	85	6	57.2	58.1±1.0
	LI05-09B	245-495	60	85	3	59.1	
	LI05-09C	235-495	60	86	5	58.1	
LI04 - Phocée - [550-625] A.D. (10/9/3)*							
LI04-06	LI04-06B	260-485	60	68	-2	56.0	56.4±0.4
	LI04-06C	260-495	55	69	5	56.8	
LI04-07	LI04-07C	180-485	60	71	-1	56.8	56.9±0.3
	LI04-07D	210-485	55	79	7	56.6	
	LI04-07E	190-480	60	64	-5	57.2	
LI04-08	LI04-08A	175-500	60	93	-3	57.5	57.9±0.4
	LI04-08B	175-495	60	81	2	58.2	
	LI04-08C	175-495	55	81	0	58.1	
DEL01/02 - Delphes - [590-620] A.D. - (24/22/9)*							
DEL01-02	DEL01-02A	335-480	60	90	3	61.4	60.7±0.7
	DEL01-02B	335-480	60	88	9	60.0	
DEL01-04	DEL01-04A	180-480	60	86	0	59.1	58.3±1.1
	DEL01-04B	175-475	60	91	-1	58.7	
	DEL01-04C	175-465	60	87	0	57.1	
DEL01-05	DEL01-05A	335-480	60	79	2	61.1	60.2±1.1
	DEL01-05B	360-485	60	79	-7	60.5	
	DEL01-05C	355-480	60	77	5	59.0	
DEL01-10	DEL01-10A	325-475	60	84	3	56.6	57.0±0.4
	DEL01-10B	340-475	60	82	2	57.4	

DEL01-11	DEL01-11A	275-480	60	83	-1	58.1	57.1±1.1
	DEL01-11B	275-475	60	86	3	56.0	
DEL02-02	DEL02-02A	345-480	60	85	2	63.2	64.1±0.9
	DEL02-02B	345-465	60	82	1	64.2	
	DEL02-02C	345-470	60	79	2	65.0	
DEL02-04	DEL02-04A	175-465	60	96	1	61.0	61.5±0.7
	DEL02-04B	175-445	60	94	0	61.2	
	DEL02-04C	175-445	60	95	0	62.3	
DEL02-10	DEL02-10A	255-465	60	87	5	59.7	59.3±0.4
	DEL02-10B	250-455	60	86	2	59.0	
	DEL02-10C	255-460	60	85	4	59.3	
DEL02-12	DEL02-12A	390-465	60	64	0	62.3	62.1±0.3
	DEL02-12B	385-475	60	71	-2	61.8	
	DEL02-12C	380-480	60	71	0	62.3	