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**What is the key question?** In critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation, are ultrasound diaphragm measurements reliable estimators of diaphragm function as assessed with the gold standard phrenic stimulation technique (Ptr,stim) and are they associated with clinical outcomes?

**What is the bottom line?** Neither diaphragm thickness, its excursion or its thickening fraction (TFdi) were correlated to diaphragm function at the moment of initiation of mechanical ventilation, but TFdi alone was strongly correlated to Ptr,stim and to clinical outcome at the moment of switch to pressure-support ventilation.

**Why read on?** This study is the first to systematically compare various ultrasonographic markers of diaphragm function to phrenic nerve stimulation in mechanically ventilated patients, providing a better understanding of the conditions in which these markers can act as reliable estimators of diaphragm function and clinical outcome in this population.

**Twitter abstract:** Ultrasound-measured diaphragm thickening fraction is a reliable marker of diaphragm function in mechanically ventilated patients with spontaneous breathing.
ABSTRACT

Rationale. In intensive care unit (ICU) patients, diaphragm dysfunction is associated with adverse clinical outcomes. Ultrasound measurements of diaphragm thickness, excursion (EXdi) and thickening fraction (TFdi) are putative estimators of diaphragm function, but have never been compared to phrenic nerve stimulation. Our aim was to describe the relationship between these variables and diaphragm function evaluated using the change in endotracheal pressure after phrenic nerve stimulation (Ptr,stim), and to compare their prognostic value.

Methods. Between November 2014 and June 2015, Ptr,stim and ultrasound variables were measured in mechanically ventilated (MV) patients <24h after intubation (“initiation of MV”, under assist-control ventilation, ACV) and at the time of switch to pressure-support ventilation (“switch to PSV”), and compared using Spearman correlation and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. Diaphragm dysfunction was defined as Ptr,stim <11 cmH₂O.

Results. 112 patients were included. At initiation of MV, Ptr,stim was not correlated to diaphragm thickness (p=0.28), EXdi (p=0.66) or TFdi (p=0.80). At switch to PSV, TFdi and EXdi were respectively very strongly and moderately correlated to Ptr,stim, (rho=0.87, p<0.001 and 0.45, p=0.001), but diaphragm thickness was not (p=0.45). A TFdi<29% could reliably identify diaphragm dysfunction (sensitivity and specificity of 85% and 88%), but diaphragm thickness and EXdi could not. This value was associated with increased duration of ICU stay and MV, and mortality.
**Conclusion.** Under ACV, diaphragm thickness, EXdi nor TFdi were uncorrelated to $\text{Ptr}_{\text{stim}}$. Under PSV, TFdi was strongly correlated to diaphragm strength and both were predictors of remaining length of MV and ICU and hospital death.
INTRODUCTION

Diaphragm dysfunction has become a leading concern in mechanically ventilated patients because of its high prevalence\textsuperscript{1-4} and its association with negative clinical outcomes. In particular, its presence in this population has been associated with the increased duration of mechanical ventilation,\textsuperscript{5,6} weaning failure\textsuperscript{3,7,8} and death.\textsuperscript{1,9} Accordingly, a reliable and simple diagnostic method to quantify diaphragm function in the intensive care unit (ICU) setting would be a desirable tool for clinicians in order to guide the management of mechanical ventilation, evaluate prognosis and possibly improve the outcome of patients with diaphragm dysfunction.\textsuperscript{10-12}

The reference method to evaluate diaphragm function remains the measurement of the negative intrathoracic pressure generated by bilateral stimulation of the phrenic nerves.\textsuperscript{13} However, this technique requires specialized expertise and is time-consuming, and is therefore impractical for routine use in the clinical setting. Recently, ultrasound has emerged as a promising technique allowing easy and rapid estimation of diaphragm function, especially in the weaning period.\textsuperscript{14-18} Diaphragm thickness has been shown to decline in response to prolonged mechanical ventilation, a finding that presumably reflects diaphragmatic fiber atrophy.\textsuperscript{19} The inspiratory thickening of the diaphragm has been more extensively correlated with relevant outcomes such as weaning failure and has been proposed as a dynamic marker of diaphragm function.\textsuperscript{20} However, these indices have not been directly compared to an established marker of diaphragm function.

In light of these findings, our objectives were to 1) study the relationship between ultrasound-derived markers of diaphragm function and negative intrathoracic pressure generated by stimulation of the phrenic nerves (diaphragm twitch) in critically ill patients
receiving mechanical ventilation, 2) establish which ultrasound-derived marker is more reliable at quantifying diaphragm function and 3) evaluate whether ultrasound-derived markers of diaphragm function are associated with clinical prognosis.

Some of the data from this study have already been published as an abstract.\textsuperscript{21}

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted from November 2014 to June 2015 in a 10-bed ICU within an 1800-bed university hospital. The protocol was approved by the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France VI. Informed consent was obtained from patients or their relatives. Thirty-five patients from this study were also enrolled in a previous study by our group.\textsuperscript{22}

**Patients**

Patients were eligible for inclusion as soon as intubation was completed and if they had an expected duration of mechanical ventilation of \(>24\)h. Exclusion criteria were: contraindications to magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves (cardiac pacemaker/defibrillator, cervical implants), suspicion of underlying hemidiaphragm paresis (defined as an elevation of \(>2.5\) cm of one hemidiaphragm compared to the other on chest radiograph), pre-existing neuromuscular disorders, cervical spine injury, pregnancy, age\(<18\) years and decision to withhold life-sustaining treatment.

**Protocol**

Diaphragm function was assessed with two techniques: 1) measurement of pressure generating capacity in response to bilateral magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves
and 2) ultrasound measurement of diaphragm thickness, excursion and thickening fraction. Whenever possible, diaphragm assessment was performed for each patient at two time points: 1) within 24 hours of intubation, while patients were receiving assist-control ventilation (“initiation of mechanical ventilation”) and 2) as soon as patients could sustain pressure support ventilation (PSV, termed “switch to PSV”) for at least 1 hour (see online supplemental material, for description of the criteria used to assess tolerance to PSV). When initiated, pressure support was titrated to target a tidal volume of 6–8 ml/kg ideal body weight.

**Diaphragm assessment**

Diaphragm assessment was performed at the aforementioned time points unless a transient condition compromising the reliability of the measurements was present. These conditions were 1) the use of neuromuscular blocking agents within the preceding 24 hours (except for succinylcholine used during rapid-sequence intubation), and 2) factors interfering with phrenic nerve stimulation (multiple functioning chest drains, high intrinsic positive end expiratory pressure, see below).

**Phrenic nerves stimulation**

The pressure generating capacity of the diaphragm was assessed in terms of the change in endotracheal tube pressure induced by bilateral anterior magnetic phrenic nerve stimulation (Ptr,stim), as already described (see online supplemental material). Stimulations were delivered at the maximum intensity allowed by the stimulator, which has been showed to result in supramaximal stimulation in the majority of cases.
Ultrasound assessment of diaphragmatic thickness, excursion and thickening

Ultrasound measurements were performed by one of the two first authors. Measurements were initially attempted on both hemidiaphragms, but evaluation of the left side was abandoned after the first 25 patients because of lower inter-observer agreement (see online supplemental material, e-table 1). The measurement of diaphragmatic excursion was added to the protocol three months after the beginning of the study, by which time 51 patients had already been recruited. The inter-observer reliability of the ultrasound measurements of the right hemidiaphragm between the two authors has already been reported, with intra-class correlation coefficients for the measurement of end-expiratory and end-inspiratory diaphragm thickness and diaphragm thickening fraction all >0.87.  

Assessment of diaphragm thickness, excursion and thickening was performed using a 4-12 MHz linear array transducer (Sparq ultrasound system, Phillips, Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA, USA) while patients remained connected to the ventilator. As previously reported, the probe was placed perpendicular to the right chest wall, at the midaxillary line between the 9th and 10th right intercostal spaces (at the level of the zone of apposition) and the diaphragm was identified as a three-layered structure comprising two hyperechoic lines representing the pleural and peritoneal membranes and a middle hypoechoic layer representing the diaphragmatic muscle. Using M-mode at a sweep speed of 10 mm/s, at least three breathing cycles were recorded. Diaphragm thickness was measured at end-expiration and end-inspiration using electronic calipers. Thickening fraction (TFdi) was calculated as \[(\text{end-inspiratory thickness} - \text{end-expiratory thickness})/\text{end-expiratory thickness}\]. Three uninterrupted and undisturbed breathing
cycles were evaluated, and the average of the individual values was reported. Diaphragm excursion (EXdi) was measured using M-mode, by placing the probe in the right subcostal region and targeting the beam at the highest point of the diaphragmatic dome.\(^{26}\)

**Clinical data collection**

Demographic and physiological variables and medication were recovered from the medical charts of the patients. Sepsis was identified according to current guidelines.\(^{27}\) The duration of mechanical ventilation, time to successful extubation, ICU and hospital stay and ICU and hospital mortality were recorded. We defined successful extubation as extubation not followed by reintubation within 48 hours.

**Outcomes**

Total duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay, remaining duration of mechanical ventilation after measurement at switch to PSV and ICU and hospital death were used as clinical outcomes. Predictor variables are listed in the Statistical Analysis section.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normality of the data was evaluated with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables are presented as median and interquartile range and categorical variables are expressed as absolute and relative frequency. Mixed linear regression analyses were used to compare variables measured at initiation of mechanical ventilation to those measured at switch to PSV. T-tests, Mann-Whitney U-tests or Chi-square tests, where appropriate, were used to compare outcomes between subgroups of patients. Relationships between diaphragm thickness, EXdi, TFdi and Ptr,stim were assessed using linear regression analysis and Spearman correlation. Receiver operating characteristic
(ROC) curves were performed to identify optimal cut-off values of diaphragm thickness, EXdi and TFdi in predicting diaphragm dysfunction, and these estimates were cross-validated using bootstrapping with 1000 replications. Diaphragm dysfunction was defined as \( \text{Ptr,stim} < 11 \text{ cmH}_2\text{O} \).\textsuperscript{1-4,13}

To assess the prognostic value of diaphragm measurements, univariate analyses were performed to evaluate the relationship between clinically relevant variables and selected outcomes. Predictor variables included age, gender, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, cirrhosis, tobacco smoking, Sequential Organ Failure assessment (SOFA) score on admission, positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) level, tidal volume, respiratory rate, \( \text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2 \) ratio, \( \text{PaCO}_2 \), \( \text{Ptr,stim} \), diaphragm thickness and TFdi. Then, for each outcome, two separated multiple linear regression or binary logistic regression models were performed. \( \text{Ptr,stim} \) and TFdi were each entered into separate multivariate analyses, along with other variables with a \( p \) value of <0.05 in univariate analysis, to identify independent predictors of clinical outcomes.

Statistical significance was defined as \( p \leq 0.05 \). Analyses were performed using SPSS v21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

**RESULTS**

**Population**

During the study period, 390 patients were admitted to the ICU (Figure 1). Among them, 112 patients met inclusion criteria and were included in the study. Characteristics of patients on inclusion are displayed in Table 1.
Seventy-six patients were studied at initiation of mechanical ventilation (under assist-control ventilation, of which 68 did not spontaneously trigger the ventilator) and 99 at time to switch to PSV. Characteristics of the patients at these two time points are presented in Table 2.

Additional description of the patients according to the number of measurements performed is displayed in the online supplemental material (e-table 2). At switch to PSV, patients were receiving a median pressure-support level of 10 (8–11) cm H2O, and TFdi was significantly correlated to tidal volume, even after controlling for the level of pressure-support (partial correlation coefficient 0.54, p<0.001). The prevalence of diaphragm dysfunction was 77% at initiation of mechanical ventilation and 68% at switch to PSV. EXdi was measured on 22 patients at initiation of mechanical ventilation and 50 patients at switch to PSV.

**Relationship between Ptr,stim and ultrasound measurements**

At initiation of mechanical ventilation, there was no relationship between Ptr,stim and diaphragm thickness (β=-0.17, p=0.16, Spearman’s rho=-0.13, 95% CI -0.35–0.10, p=0.28), or TFdi (β=-0.09, p=0.46, Spearman’s rho=-0.09, 95% CI -0.31–0.14, p=0.45) (figure 2). There was also no relationship between Ptr,stim and EXdi (β=0.05, p=0.81, Spearman’s rho=0.10, 95% CI -0.13 – 0.32, p=0.66) (e-figure 1).

At switch to PSV, there was no relationship between Ptr,stim and diaphragm thickness (β=-0.01, p=0.92, Spearman’s rho=-0.03, 95% CI -0.23–0.17, p=0.80) (figure 3). However, there were significant associations and very strong and moderate correlations, respectively, between Ptr,stim and TFdi (β=0.89, p<0.001, Spearman’s
Diagnosis of diaphragm dysfunction using ultrasound markers

At initiation of mechanical ventilation, neither diaphragm thickness, EXdi nor TFdi could reliably identify the presence of diaphragm dysfunction (area under ROC curve 0.58, 95% CI 0.44–0.72, p=0.33, 0.60, 95% CI 0.35–0.86, p=0.43 and 0.63, 95% CI 0.46–0.79, p=0.12, respectively) (Figure 4 and e-figure 2). At switch to PSV, TFdi could reliably identify diaphragm dysfunction (area under ROC curve 0.91, 95% CI 0.85–0.97, p<0.001), whereas diaphragm thickness and EXdi could not (area under ROC curve 0.55, 95% CI 0.43–0.67, p=0.44 and 0.63, 95% CI 0.48–0.78, p=0.12, respectively) (Figure 4 and e-figure 2). At switch to PSV, a TFdi <29% (bootstrapped 95% CI 25–30) could detect diaphragm dysfunction with a sensitivity of 85% and specificity of 88%, with positive and negative values of 93% and 74%, respectively.

Comparison of the prognostic values of Ptr,stim and TFdi

The prognostic values of Ptr,stim and TFdi were measured and compared at switch to PSV since it was the only time point at which an ultrasound measurement could reliably predict diaphragm dysfunction. The threshold value of 29% for the identification of diaphragm dysfunction had a similar prognostic value as Ptr,stim <11 cm H₂O, with both being associated with worse outcome regarding length of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay, remaining length of mechanical ventilation after the measurement and ICU and hospital mortality (Table 3).

E-table 3 shows the factors associated with remaining duration of mechanical ventilation identified by univariate analysis. By multiple linear regression model analysis,
two of these factors independently predicted remaining duration of mechanical ventilation: Ptr,stim, and TFdi. E-table 4 shows the factors associated with ICU mortality identified by univariate analysis. By multiple linear regression model analysis, three of these factors independently predicted ICU mortality: Ptr,stim, TFdi and PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio. E-table 5 shows the factors associated with hospital mortality identified by univariate analysis. By multiple linear regression model analysis, three of these factors independently predicted remaining duration of mechanical ventilation: Ptr,stim, TFdi and PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio.
DISCUSSION

Our main findings are as follows: in a cohort of mechanically ventilated patients 1) right diaphragm thickness was unrelated to diaphragm pressure generation capacity, 2) at the moment of switch to PSV, the thickening ratio of the right diaphragm was a reliable marker of diaphragm function, and 3) diaphragm dysfunction at switch to PSV was associated with adverse clinical outcomes. This is the first study to systematically investigate the relationship between ultrasound-derived markers of diaphragm function and an established method of diaphragm contractility evaluation.

These results help to clarify the validity of ultrasound-derived measurements of diaphragm function in the ICU population, delineate the circumstances under which these measurements should be performed in order to truly represent diaphragm function and suggest that the prognostic value of diaphragm function in this population is possible to assess using ultrasound.

**Relationship between diaphragm thickness and \( \text{Ptr,stim} \)**

Our finding that diaphragm thickness was unrelated to its pressure generation ability can seem surprising, since a decrease in muscle thickness could intuitively be expected to translate into muscle weakness. When measured serially, diaphragm thickness has been shown to decrease with ongoing mechanical ventilation,\(^{18, 28}\) presumably reflecting muscle atrophy and weakness. However, several findings cast doubt on the putative relationship between diaphragm thickness and its strength. In a study investigating the evolution of diaphragm thickness of critically ill patients during their first week of mechanical ventilation,\(^{18}\) low diaphragm contractile activity (estimated using TFdi) was associated with both the a decline and an increase diaphragm thickness.
It is possible that an increase in diaphragm thickness may therefore represent a pathological process such as tissue edema, rather than an increase in functional muscle mass. A report on the distribution of diaphragm thickness in a large cohort of healthy subjects showed that a value of 0.17 cm represented the lower limit of normal of the distribution for the right hemidiaphragm.\textsuperscript{29} However, among patients with long-standing phrenic paresis or diaphragmatic myositis, a proportion of patients present with diaphragm thickness values above this threshold.\textsuperscript{30-33} In light of this, we believe that these findings, coupled with our own, cast serious doubt on the validity and usefulness of diaphragm thickness as a relevant marker of diaphragm function, at least in the ICU setting.

**Relationship between diaphragm thickening fraction and \textit{Ptr,stim}**

In contrast, we found a strong relationship between diaphragm twitch pressure and \textit{TFdi}, but only when measured at switch to PSV. We hypothesize that the reason we observed such a strong correlation at that moment but not at the initiation of mechanical ventilation was the presence of a much higher prevalence of spontaneous breathing effort in the former, but not in the latter. Indeed, it seems intuitive to suppose that without active breathing efforts, \textit{TFdi} cannot be expected to act as a dynamic physiological estimator of diaphragm function. Interestingly, some degree of diaphragm thickening could still be observed in the majority of patients under assist-controlled ventilation, although the mean value was much lower than in patients under PSV. This finding should alert clinicians to the fact that the observation of a non-zero diaphragm thickening in patients under mechanical ventilation should not systematically be considered a reliable estimator of the underlying \textit{Ptr,stim}. In this regard, our findings echo those of others, in
which even patients under neuro-muscular blockade showed a small but measurable degree of diaphragm thickening.\textsuperscript{20} In these patients, and in patients under ACV, it is possible that the passive inflation of the lung and mechanical displacement of the diaphragm, as well as weak or partial respiratory efforts may be partly responsible for the observed thickening of the diaphragm, especially at higher lung volumes. In addition, it should be kept in mind that significant alterations in end-expiratory diaphragm thickness caused by atrophy or edema may alter the value of TF\textsubscript{di}, which could impair its relationship with Ptr\textsubscript{sim}, although this phenomenon may be mitigated if end-expiratory and end-inspiratory thicknesses are similarly affected. Further studies on this issue are required.

A recent study which compared various markers of diaphragm activity in the prediction of weaning outcome also found a significant relationship between TF\textsubscript{di} and diaphragm function measured using magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves, although it was lower than in our study.\textsuperscript{34}

**Relationship between diaphragm excursion and Ptr\textsubscript{stim}**

EX\textsubscript{di} was not correlated to Ptr\textsubscript{stim} at the initiation of mechanical ventilation, but only moderately correlated to Ptr\textsubscript{stim} at switch to PSV. This finding may be related to the fact that EX\textsubscript{di} is dependent not only on the degree of active diaphragm contraction, but also on the passive displacement of the diaphragm induced by the ventilator.

**Relationship between diaphragm function and outcome**

The finding that diaphragm function is related to length of mechanical ventilation, duration of stay and mortality of critically ill patients is not new, but had until now mainly been evaluated using phrenic nerve stimulation techniques,\textsuperscript{1,5,6} which cannot be
routinely used in clinical practice. Our study is the first to report the significant relationship between TFdi and clinically relevant outcomes such as length of mechanical ventilation and ICU and hospital stay and mortality. Of note, our finding that a higher TFdi value is associated with a decreased remaining duration of mechanical ventilation echoes the findings of others, who showed that TFdi could act as an important predictor of weaning outcome.\textsuperscript{14 15 17 22} Our results add the important finding that these clinically important outcomes can be accurately assessed using ultrasound, a simple, non-invasive and rapid technique that is widely available. It remains unclear whether diaphragm dysfunction itself has a causative effect on the prognosis of ICU patients, or if its presence should be seen as an organ dysfunction, implying a more severe underlying disease. Our results do not allow that question to be answered, but fuel the need for additional studies evaluating the putative role of diaphragmatic function in the evolution of ICU patients.

**Strength and limitations**

The strengths of our study include the inclusion of a large number of patients, allowing for a meaningful comparison of ultrasound variables with the reference method. In addition, the systematic use of bilateral magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves as a reference method lends strength to our results, as this technique allows an objective and non-volitional evaluation of diaphragm function that is more reliable than other methods such as the measurement of maximal inspiratory pressures, especially in the ICU setting.\textsuperscript{35} We measured TFdi during tidal breathing, which has the advantage of being non-volitional and possible to use even in sedated or non-cooperative patients. Our choice of performing measurements at the moment of switching to PSV was based our
belief that this moment had clinical relevance, as it likely represents an individualized moment where patients begin to recover from their underlying illness. Our findings that diaphragm function measured at this time point had prognostic implications support the clinical usefulness of the evaluation of diaphragm function even after several days of mechanical ventilation.

We acknowledge several limitations to our study. First, the conditions in which we performed our measurements must be taken into account when generalizing our results. When measured under mechanical ventilation, TFdi is related to neural respiratory drive, and can be influenced by the level of diaphragm unloading and therefore by the level of assistance provided by the ventilator. We did not formally measure neural respiratory drive to the diaphragm or work of breathing in our study, and although it is possible that these variables may have influenced our measurement of TFdi, we believe this must not have been a significant confounding factor because of 1) the fact that most patients under ACV had no spontaneous breathing efforts and 2) the fact that patients under PSV received individualized pressure-support levels targeted at a tidal volume that minimized situations of increased or decreased respiratory drive such as over- or under-assistance. These subjects therefore probably displayed a relatively homogenous degree of respiratory drive. We need to emphasize, however, that our results may not be generalizable to a population in which the prevailing ventilatory settings or respiratory state result in abnormally increased or decreased work of breathing such as respiratory distress, weaning trials or in situations of over- and under-assistance. Second, the use of a Ptr,stim cut-off value of 11 cm H2O to define the presence of diaphragmatic dysfunction can be questioned, but this value has been reported as indicative of diaphragm
weakness\textsuperscript{1,38}. Third, our study was performed in a medical ICU. As such, it is possible that our results would not be directly applicable to patients recovering from surgery, especially major thoracic or abdominal surgeries, which could alter the measurement of $\text{Ptr,stim}$ and $\text{TFdi}$. Fourth, as we did not formally confirm the supramaximal nature of phrenic stimulation in our patients, we cannot rule out that $\text{Ptr,stim}$ was underestimated in some of them, which could have influenced our results. In the present study, we deliberately opted to omit supramaximality testing to avoid inducing excessive discomfort. Although we acknowledge this issue as requiring caution, we note that the stimulation protocol that we used has been reported to produce supramaximal stimulations in many cases, including in ICU patients.\textsuperscript{4,9,24,25} We also wish to point out that independently of whether the stimulations delivered were supramaximal or not, the $\text{Ptr,stim}$ threshold that we used was significantly and independently associated with adverse clinical outcomes. From a pragmatic point of view and with regards to the transposability of our results, this means that performing phrenic stimulation at the maximal output of the device we used can provide clinically useful data. Fifth, from a statistical viewpoint, the comparison of two ROC curves should be made with caution when they are crossing. However, this is unlikely to be significant in our results as 1) both diaphragm thickness and $\text{TFdi}$ had non-significant AUROC curves for the prediction of diaphragm dysfunction under ACV and 2) under PSV, where the comparison is most relevant, the curves do not cross. Finally, we limited our ultrasound evaluation to the right hemidiaphragm because of the higher inter-observer reliability of observations on this side, which is probably related to the better acoustic window provided by the underlying liver tissue.
CONCLUSION

In a cohort of critically ill, mechanically ventilated patients, we found no significant relationship between diaphragm thickness and its contractile capacity, suggesting that diaphragm thickness should not be used as an estimator of diaphragm contractility in the ICU setting. In contrast, we found a strong relationship between TFdi and Ptr,stim, when measured in patients receiving a partial mode of ventilator assistance, suggesting that TFdi can be used to diagnose diaphragm dysfunction in this setting. This is all the more relevant since the presence of such a dysfunction at this stage of ICU stay was associated with a poorer prognosis. Taken together, these results provide evidence that TFdi can be used as a reliable estimator of diaphragm function in this setting. Beyond prognostic purposes, this could prove useful for the management of indications and the follow-up of putative therapeutic approaches such as inspiratory muscle training\textsuperscript{39} or diaphragm pacing\textsuperscript{11 40}.
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Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Characteristics of the study population</th>
<th>n=112</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, <em>males</em></td>
<td>76 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, <em>years</em></td>
<td>62 (49 – 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, <em>kg/m²</em></td>
<td>24 (22 – 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco smoking, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>48 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>17 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis on admission to ICU, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>51 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPS2 score</td>
<td>55 (33 – 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary indication for mechanical ventilation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shock, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>52 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>25 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute respiratory failure, <em>n (%)</em></td>
<td>37 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables as absolute and relative frequency

BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; SOFA, sepsis-related organ failure assessment score; SAPS 2, simplified acute physiology score.
Table 2. Characteristics of the patients according to number and time of measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initiation of MV (n=76)</th>
<th>Switch to PSV (n=99)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days from intubation, days</td>
<td>1 (1 – 1)</td>
<td>4 (2 – 6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist-control ventilation</td>
<td>76 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure-support ventilation</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>99 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory variables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous triggering of the ventilator\textsuperscript{a}, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td>99 (100)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure support level, cm H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10 (8 – 11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEEP level, cmH\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>5 (5 – 8)</td>
<td>5 (5 – 7)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal volume, ml/kg ideal body weight</td>
<td>6.4 (6.1 – 7.0)</td>
<td>7.1 (6.0 – 8.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate, breaths/min</td>
<td>21 (18 – 23)</td>
<td>21 (19 – 23)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial blood gases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.36 (7.28 – 7.44)</td>
<td>7.44 (7.37 – 7.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO\textsubscript{2}/FiO\textsubscript{2} ratio, mmHg</td>
<td>222 (163 – 280)</td>
<td>260 (209 – 333)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO\textsubscript{2}, mmHg</td>
<td>40 (33 – 47)</td>
<td>38 (34 – 47)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood lactate, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1 – 2.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1 – 1.9)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonates, mmol/L</td>
<td>22 (18 – 25)</td>
<td>25 (22 – 28)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>78 (70 – 89)</td>
<td>81 (71 – 96)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats per minute</td>
<td>87 (71 – 103)</td>
<td>90 (78 – 104)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines, n (%)</td>
<td>33 (43)</td>
<td>34 (34)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (50)</td>
<td>47 (48)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opiates, n (%)</td>
<td>53 (70)</td>
<td>47 (48)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine, n (%)</td>
<td>46 (61)</td>
<td>36 (36)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (17)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables are expressed as absolute and relative frequency.

PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; PaO\textsubscript{2}, arterial partial pressure of oxygen; FiO\textsubscript{2}, fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO\textsubscript{2}, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; NA, not applicable.

\textsuperscript{a} In assist-control ventilation, spontaneous triggering of the ventilator was considered present when the observed respiratory rate was higher than the respiratory rate set on the ventilator.
Table 3. Clinical outcomes according to the presence of diaphragm dysfunction at switch to pressure support ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Diaphragm dysfunction defined as</th>
<th></th>
<th>Diaphragm dysfunction defined as</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ptr,stim &lt;11 cmH₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td>TFd &lt;29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=67)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (n=61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (n=32)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No (n=38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay, days</td>
<td>10 (6 – 16)</td>
<td>10 (6 – 17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 (3 – 12)</td>
<td>6 (4 – 11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of MV, days</td>
<td>7 (5 – 11)</td>
<td>7 (5 – 12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 (1 – 7)</td>
<td>5 (2 – 8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (22)</td>
<td>16 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital deaths, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (27)</td>
<td>19 (31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining days of MV after measurement, days</td>
<td>3 (1 – 5)</td>
<td>2 (1 – 5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0 – 1)</td>
<td>0 (0 – 3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were performed on the subset of patients with a measurement performed at the time of switch to PSV (n=99)

Continuous variables are presented as median (interquartile range) and categorical variables are expressed as absolute (relative) frequency.

Ptr,stim, twitch pressure in response to bilateral phrenic nerve stimulation; TFdi, thickening fraction of the diaphragm; ICU, intensive care unit; MV, mechanical ventilation.
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Study flow chart
MV, mechanical ventilation; PSV, pressure-support ventilation.

Figure 2. Correlation analysis between changes in endotracheal tube pressure induced by bilateral anterior magnetic phrenic nerve stimulation during manual airway occlusion (Ptr,stim) and end-expiratory diaphragm thickness (left panel) and thickening fraction (right panel) measured by ultrasound on initiation of mechanical ventilation.

Figure 3. Correlation analysis between changes in endotracheal tube pressure induced by bilateral anterior magnetic phrenic nerve stimulation during manual airway occlusion (Ptr,stim) and end-expiratory diaphragm thickness (left panel), diaphragm thickening fraction (right panel), measured by ultrasound at the moment of switch to pressure-support ventilation.

Figure 4. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the diagnosis of diaphragm dysfunction (defined as a change in endotracheal tube pressure induced by bilateral anterior magnetic phrenic nerve stimulation <11 cmH₂O) for end-expiratory diaphragm thickness (dotted line) and diaphragm thickening fraction (dashed line), on initiation of mechanical ventilation (left panel) and switch to pressure support ventilation (right panel).
Figure 1

390 admissions

201 patients received invasive mechanical ventilation for > 24h

- 34 failed to be screened (investigators unavailable)
- 8 with auto-extubation before inclusion
- 27 with contra-indication to phrenic stimulation
- 20 died before inclusion

112 patients included for analysis

- 13 patients with measurement on Initiation of MV only
  - 6 died before second measurement
  - 4 investigators unavailable for second measurement
  - 2 developed contra-indications to phrenic stimulation after first measurement
  - 1 auto-extubation before second measurement

- 63 patients with two measurements

- 36 patients with measurement at Recovery only
  - 9 changed to PSV before first measurement
  - 12 investigators unavailable for first measurement
  - 15 in which first measurement was impossible due to use of neuromuscular blocking agents or high intrinsic PEEP
Figure 3

End-expiratory diaphragm thickness (mm) vs. Ptr_stim (cm H₂O)

$rho = -0.03, p=0.80$

Diaphragm thickening fraction (%) vs. Ptr_stim (cm H₂O)

$rho = 0.87, p<0.001$
Figure 4

- Sensitivity vs. 1 - Specificity for Diaphragm thickness (mm) and Diaphragm thickening fraction (%).

- Two sets of data points are plotted, indicating different levels of sensitivity and specificity.

- The graphs illustrate the relationship between sensitivity and 1 - Specificity for each category.