

Molecular investigation of resistance to second line injectable drugs in multidrug-resistant clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in France

Florence Brossier, Anne Pham, Christine Bernard, Alexandra Aubry, Vincent Jarlier, Nicolas Veziris, Wladimir Sougakoff

► To cite this version:

Florence Brossier, Anne Pham, Christine Bernard, Alexandra Aubry, Vincent Jarlier, et al.. Molecular investigation of resistance to second line injectable drugs in multidrug-resistant clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in France. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 2017, 61 (2), pp.e01299-16. 10.1128/AAC.01299-16. hal-01513642

HAL Id: hal-01513642 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01513642

Submitted on 25 Apr 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Full-Length Paper

2	
3	Molecular investigation of resistance to second line injectable drugs in multidrug-
4	resistant clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in France
5	
6	
7	Running title: Resistance to second line injectable drugs in MDR-TB
8	
9	
10	Florence Brossier ^{1,2,#} , Anne Pham ¹ , Christine Bernard ^{1,2} , Alexandra Aubry ^{1,2} , Vincent
11	Jarlier ^{1,2} , Nicolas Veziris ^{1,2} , Wladimir Sougakoff ^{1,2} , on behalf of the CNR-MyRMA
12	
13	
14	¹ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSERM, U1135, Centre d'Immunologie et des
15	Maladies Infectieuses (CIMI-Paris), Team E13 (Bacteriology), Paris, France;
16	² AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre National de Référence des Mycobactéries et de la
17	Résistance des Mycobactéries aux Antituberculeux, Bactériologie-Hygiène, Paris, France.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	* Correspondence to: Florence Brossier, Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène, Faculté de
23	Médecine, Pitié-Salpêtrière, 91 boulevard de l'Hôpital, F-75634 Paris Cedex 13, France. Tel:
24	(+33) 1 40 77 97 46. Fax: (+33) 1 45 82 75 77.
25	e-mail: florence.brossier@aphp.fr

26 ABSTRACT

The second line injectable drugs (SLID, i.e. amikacin, kanamycin, capreomycin) are key 27 drugs for the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Mutations in rrs region 1400, tlyA 28 and eis promoter are associated with resistance to SLID, to capreomycin and to kanamycin 29 respectively. In this study, the sequencing data of SLID resistance-associated genes were 30 compared to the results of phenotypic drug susceptibility testing by the proportion method for 31 the SLID in 206 multidrug-resistant clinical isolates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis collected 32 in France. Among the 153 isolates susceptible to the 3 SLID, 145 showed no mutation, 1 33 harbored T1404C plus G1473A mutations in rrs and 7 had an eis promoter mutation. Among 34 the 53 strains resistant to at least 1 of the SLID, mutations in rrs accounted for resistance to 35 amikacin, capreomycin and kanamycin for 81%, 75% and 44% isolates, respectively, while 36 mutations in *eis* promoter were detected in 44% of the isolates resistant to kanamycin. By 37 contrast, no mutations in *tlyA* were observed in the isolates resistant to capreomycin. The 38 discrepancies observed between the genotypic (on the primary culture) and phenotypic drug 39 susceptibility testing were explained by i) resistance to SLID with MICs close to the critical 40 concentration used for routine DST and not detected by phenotypic testing (n=8, 15% of 41 SLID-resistant strains), ii) low-frequency heteroresistance not detected by sequencing of drug 42 resistance-associated genes on the primary culture (n=8, 15% of SLID-resistant strains), and 43 iii) to other resistance mechanisms not yet characterized (n=7, 13% of SLID-resistant strains). 44

- 45
- 46 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 INTRODUCTION

The emergence of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB; 580,000 cases worldwide), 52 which is resistant to at least rifampin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH), and, more recently, 53 extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB; 55,000 cases worldwide), which is resistant 54 to any fluoroquinolone and at least one of three second line injectable drugs (SLID, i.e., 55 amikacin (AMK), kanamycin (KAN), or capreomycin (CAP)), is widely considered to be a 56 serious threat to global health (1). Treatment of MDR-TB is based on the association of 57 fluoroquinolones and SLID (2). As a consequence of inadequate use of second-line 58 treatments, XDR-TB, with an overall successful treatment outcome of only 50%, has 59 progressed (3). The lack of ability to perform Drug Susceptibility Testing (DST) is partly 60 responsible of the misuse of antituberculous drugs in several countries (1). 61

Rapid detection of drug resistance is essential to designing appropriate treatment regimens, 62 preventing treatment failure, and reducing the spread of drug-resistant isolates. Since 63 conventional phenotypic methods are cumbersome and take weeks to months to obtain drug 64 resistance profile, molecular assays for the detection of mutations that confer resistance have 65 been increasingly used, even in areas where DST capacities are very limited or not available, 66 and have the potential to shorten the time to detection of resistance to one working day (4-6). 67 The molecular tests for diagnosing resistance to antituberculous drugs are based on the 68 detection of mutations affecting the function and/or expression of chromosome-encoded 69 targets. 70

The SLID bind to the 16S rRNA in the 30S ribosomal subunit and inhibit protein synthesis (7). Cross-resistance to second line injectable drugs (AMK, KAN and CAP) is known to be caused by mutations at positions 1401, 1402 and 1484 in the *rrs* gene encoding 16S rRNA with the following expression patterns: *rrs* substitution A1401G displays CAP resistance with disparities in resistance levels, and high-level resistance to AMK and KAN; the *rrs* C1402T

substitution displays low-level resistance to KAN, high-level resistance to CAP, and retains 76 susceptibility to AMK; and the rrs G1484T substitution displays high-level resistance to all 3 77 drugs (8, 9). However, these mechanisms in rrs have never been formally demonstrated by 78 allelic exchange data. The most frequent mutations in strains resistant to SLID are in rrs 79 region 1400, mainly A1401G, which accounts for 42 to 100% of global M. tuberculosis 80 strains resistant to AMK, CAP and KAN (4, 5, 7, 10-12). Other mechanisms not linked to rrs 81 have been shown to confer cross-resistance to some of the SLID (13-15). Mutations G-37T, 82 C-14T, C-12T, and G-10A in the promoter region of the eis gene (encoding an 83 aminoglycoside acetyltransferase) are responsible for resistance to KAN with minimal 84 inhibitory concentrations (MICs) sometimes close to the critical concentration used for 85 routine DST, especially for C-12T (16, 17). Such mutations are found in 30 to 80% of the 86 strains resistant to KAN without mutation in rrs (17-19). In addition, mutations in the tlyA 87 gene, which encodes a 2'-O-methyltransferase that modifies nucleotides in 16S rRNA and 88 23S rRNA, have been suggested to confer isolated resistance to CAP in *M. tuberculosis*, 89 because the unmethylated ribosome is insensitive to the drug (20, 21). 90

The goals of the present study were to compare the sequencing data of SLID resistanceassociated genes (*rrs* region 1400, *eis* promoter, *tlyA*) to the results of phenotypic DST by the proportion method for the SLID in 206 multidrug-resistant clinical isolates of *M. tuberculosis* collected in France, and to analyze the discrepancies between genotypic and phenotypic DST.

- 96

97 MATERIALS AND METHODS

⁹⁸ Two-hundred and six MDR *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates collected in 2010-2014 at the ⁹⁹ French Reference Center for Mycobacteria (NRC MyRMA) and randomly selected were ¹⁰⁰ included: 153 AMK/CAP/KAN susceptible isolates, and 53 resistant to at least 1 of the 3 SLID (23 R-AMK/CAP/KAN, 22 monoR-KAN, 3 R-AMK/KAN, 2 R-CAP/KAN, 3 monoR CAP) including 29 XDR. Fifty-nine strains used for this study were isolated from patients
 enrolled in a previous study evaluating the performance of the MTBDR*sl* v2.0 assay (22). A
 table with MIRU-VNTR results and the names of the corresponding genotypes is provided as
 supplemental data.

In vitro DST for SLID was performed on Löwenstein-Jensen medium following the 106 proportions method, using concentrations of 20 mg/liter for amikacin, 40 mg/liter for 107 capreomycin, and 30 mg/liter for kanamycin (23). It has to be noted here that the AMK 108 critical concentration used in this study was lower than the value endorsed by the WHO in the 109 2014 guidelines (30 mg/liter) (24). Resistance to SLID was defined as a proportion of 110 resistant mutants $\geq 1\%$ (23). The DST was repeated and MICs were determined on 111 Middlebrook 7H10 plates (24) containing KAN at 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40 mg/liter, 112 AMK and CAP at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 mg/liter for all the strains with unexpected 113 combinations of resistances and mutations (and not explained by a low percentage of resistant 114 mutants not detected by sequencing of drug resistance-associated genes on the primary culture 115 but detected by sequencing from tubes with antibiotics). The MIC was defined as the lowest 116 concentration of drug resulting in growth of $\leq 1\%$ of the initial inoculum after 4 weeks of 117 incubation at 37°C (9). The reference strain M. tuberculosis H37Rv (ATCC 27294) sensitive 118 to all the drugs tested in our experiment was included as a control strain. The critical 119 concentrations on 7H10 for KAN, AMK, CAP were 5.0, 4.0 and 4.0 mg/liter, respectively 120 (24). 121

The SLID resistance-associated genes (*rrs* region 1400, *eis* promoter and *tlyA*) were amplified and sequenced for the 206 MDR strains as previously reported (4), using the oligonucleotide primers pairs previously described (4, 6). For the 15 strains with resistance to at least 1 of the SLID not explained by mutations in resistance-associated genes on the primary culture

(culture obtained directly from the patient's sample cultivated without antibiotics), the resistance-associated genes were also sequenced from the strains that grew on tubes containing the antibiotics.

The R-KAN and S-KAN isolates were compared for mutations using Fisher's exact test. The MDR isolates susceptible to AMK/CAP/KAN and MDR resistant to at least 1 of the 3 SLID were also compared for country of birth of patients using Fisher's exact test. *P* values were two-tailed, and *P* values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.

The nucleotide sequences determined for the mutant genes included in the present report were deposited into the GenBank database under the following accession numbers: GU323404, GU323405, KU160149, and KU160150 for the *rrs* mutants A1401G, G1484T, C1402T and T1404C+G1473A, respectively; KU160151-KU160154 for the *eis* promoter G-10A, C-12T, C-14T, and G-37T.

- 138
- 139

140 **RESULTS**

A significant proportion of the strains included in the present study were isolated from 141 patients born in the former Soviet Union: 37% (n=76) among the 206 MDR clinical isolates, 142 85% (n=45) among the 53 MDR isolates resistant to at least AMK, KAN or CAP, and 95% 143 (n=21) among the 22 MDR isolates mono-resistant to KAN. The phylogenetic diversity has 144 been evaluated by determining the MIRU-VNTR codes of the strains (supplemental Table). 145 The main clades corresponded to Beijing (44 S-SLID and 35 R-SLID), LAM (27 S-SLID and 146 11 R-SLID), Haarlem (11 S-SLID and 4 R-SLID) and non typeable strains generally linked to 147 the T strains family (35 S-SLID and 1 R-SLID). The remaining strains belonged to the S 148 (n=8), Ghana (n=6), Delhi-CAS (n=5), EAI (n=4), Ural (n=6), Cameroon (n=3), Uganda II 149 (n=2), NEW-1 (n=1), Bovis (n=1), Africanum (n=1), X (n=1) and TUR (n=1) families. 150

In total, 53 isolates were resistant to at least one of the three SLID. Among the 23 R-151 AMK/CAP/KAN isolates, 20 isolates showed a A1401G mutation (including one with an 152 additional C-14T mutation in the eis promoter), 1 isolate a C1402T mutation in rrs, and 2 153 isolates a C-14T mutation in the *eis* promoter (Table 1). For the three latter strains, resistance 154 to AMK (1 strain) and AMK and CAP (2 strains) was not explained by mutations in drug 155 resistance-associated genes when the sequencing was done from the primary culture (tubes 156 without antibiotics). The R-AMK/CAP/KAN strain with the mutation C1402T in rrs (isolate 157 no. 21), which accounts for resistance to KAN and CAP but not to AMK, showed no other 158 mutation than rrs C1402T when the sequencing was done from the tubes containing AMK on 159 which 10% of resistant mutants grew. The corresponding AMK MIC value was 2 mg/liter 160 (Table 1). When tested from tubes containing AMK or CAP, one of the 2 R-AMK/CAP/KAN 161 strains (isolate no. 22) displaying only mutation C-14T in the eis promoter, which accounts 162 for KAN resistance only, finally showed a rrs A1401G mutation in addition to the eis C-14T 163 promoter mutation when the sequencing was done from the 2% of colonies growing on AMK 164 or CAP-containing tubes. For the remaining strain (isolate no. 23) which showed 100% of 165 resistant mutant on AMK or CAP and had MIC values of 16 mg/liter for both drugs, no other 166 mutation than eis C-14T was detected in rrs or tlyA after sequencing of the resistance-167 associated genes from tubes containing the corresponding antibiotics (Table 1). 168

Three strains were resistant to AMK and KAN, but susceptible to CAP (Table 1). One R-AMK/KAN strain (no. 24) showed a *rrs* A1401G mutation known to be associated with AMK, KAN and CAP resistance. This strain was confirmed to be susceptible to CAP (MIC = 1 mg/liter) (Table 1). The second R-AMK/KAN strain (isolate no. 25) showed only a C-14T mutation in the *eis* promoter. When the colonies growing on tube containing AMK (with 1% of resistant mutants) were sequenced, this strain exhibited no other mutation than the C-14T mutation in the *eis* promoter. The MIC of AMK for this isolate was 4 mg/liter, i.e. identical to the AMK breakpoint value (Table 1). The third R-AMK/KAN strain (no. 26) had no mutation
detectable from the primary culture yielding 3% of resistant mutants on AMK and KAN.
When the colonies growing on tubes containing AMK and KAN were tested, a *rrs* A1401G
mutation, but no mutation in the *eis* promoter and *tlyA*, was detected.

Two strains were resistant to CAP and KAN but displayed susceptibility to AMK (Table 1). One of these 2 strains (isolate no. 27), with 100% of resistant mutant on CAP, harbored an *eis* promoter mutation G-37T and no mutation in *rrs* region 1400 and *tlyA* when tested from the tubes with or without CAP. The CAP MIC value for this isolate was 16 mg/ml (Table 1). For the other R-CAP/KAN strain (isolate no. 28), with no mutation on primary culture and 3% of resistant mutants on CAP and KAN, a *rrs* A1401G mutation was finally detected on CAP and KAN tubes.

Three strains were monoR to CAP. They had no mutation in *rrs* region 1400, *tlyA* and *eis* promoter on primary culture (Table 1). Two displayed 100% of resistant mutants and 1 only 2% of resistant mutants on the CAP-containing tubes. The elevated MIC values for isolates no. 29 and 30 were concordant with the DST results (Table 1). For the 3 strains, we detected no mutation on primary cultures, nor from the colonies growing on the CAP tubes.

Among the 22 monoR-KAN isolates, 17 displayed a mutation in the *eis* promoter from the primary cultures (G-10A: n=8, C-12T: n=1, C-14T: n=2, G-37T: n=6) and no mutation in *rrs* and *tlyA* (Table 1). For the 5 remaining monoR-KAN strains, we found no mutation in *rrs*, *eis* promoter and *tlyA* on the primary sequencing, but all had a percentage of resistant mutants varying between 1 and 10%. When the sequencing was done from the tubes with antibiotics, the 5 strains showed an *eis* promoter mutation on tubes with KAN (C-14T in 3 strains and G-37T in 2 strains) (Table 1).

We included in our study 153 isolates susceptible to AMK/KAN/CAP. No mutation was detected in these isolates, except for one isolate with a T1404C plus G1473A double

mutation in rrs, and for 7 isolates with eis promoter mutations (G-10A: n=3, C-12T: n=1, C-201 14T: n=1, G-37T: n=2) (Table 1). Isolate no. 54 (rrs T1404C plus G1473A) was confirmed by 202 MIC determination to be susceptible to the 3 drugs (MICs of KAN, AMK and CAP = 1.25, 1 203 and 1 mg/liter, respectively). For the 7 other strains (isolates no. 55-61), for which the 204 phenotype of drug susceptibility was not explained by the mutations found from the primary 205 cultures, they were confirmed to be susceptible to KAN with MIC values ranging from 2.5 to 206 5 mg/liter, except for isolate no. 60 which displayed a KAN MIC value of 10 mg/liter (Table 207 1). 208

- 209
- 210

211 **DISCUSSION**

When MDR TB is detected, the main therapeutic issue that must be addressed is to determine the susceptibility of the strain to second line drugs, particularly fluoroquinolones and SLID (AMK, KAN and CAP). Since *in vitro* testing is particularly cumbersome, difficult to interpret for second line drugs and takes weeks to months to obtain drug resistance profile, rapid detection of resistance to these drugs by molecular methods is of major interest.

In our study, mutations in rrs region 1400 accounted for resistance to AMK for 81% 217 (21/26) (considering that rrs C1402T is not associated with AMK-R), to CAP for 75% 218 (21/28), and to KAN for 44% (22/50) (Table 1). These figures are in accordance with those 219 previously published by other groups, which reported mutations in rrs region 1400, mainly 220 A1401G, accounting for resistance to SLID for respectively: 56% to 100% for AMK, 51% to 221 96% for CAP and 44% to 84% for KAN (4, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 26, 27). We observed in our 222 study that eis promoter mutations were present in 22/50 (44%) of the R-KAN strains on the 223 primary cultures. Among the detected mutations, G-10A, C-14T and G-37T were more 224 frequent (8/50 (16%), 6/50 (12%) and 7/50 (14%), respectively), while C-12T was rare (1/50 225

(2%)). It is noticeable that a significant number of S-KAN strains (7/156 (4.5%) also showed mutations in the *eis* promoter (although these mutations are more common in R-KAN strains; P = 0.0004) (see Table 1). Finally, no mutation in *tlyA* was observed in any of our isolates, so *tlyA* does not seem to be implicated in CAP resistance in our MDR clinical isolates. Mutations in the *tlyA* gene associated with CAP resistance were reported to be rare in the surveyed literature (found in ~0-3% of resistant strains) and their implication in resistance not undoubtedly established (4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 26-31).

Overall, among the 206 strains, discrepancies between genotypic and phenotypic DST 233 were observed in 23 (11%) strains. On one hand, sequencing performed on primary culture 234 did not show mutations in rrs region 1400, tlyA or eis promoter that could account for 235 resistance in 15/53 strains resistant to at least 1 of the 3 SLID. On the other, mutations in the 236 eis promoter were found in 7/153 strains susceptible to KAN, and 1 strain showing a rrs 237 1401G mutation associated to AMK, KAN but also CAP resistance was found to be 238 susceptible to CAP in phenotypic DST (Table 1). Three hypotheses, that are discussed below, 239 can be made to explain the discrepancies between the genotypic results (performed on the 240 primary culture) and the phenotypic DST results: 1) a low percentage of resistant mutants 241 precluding the detection by sequencing of resistance-associated genes on the primary culture, 242 2) resistance to SLID with MICs close to the critical concentration used for routine DST and 243 not detected by phenotypic testing, and 3) other resistance mechanisms not yet characterized. 244

In the frame of the first hypothesis, a low percentage of resistant mutants can preclude the detection by sequencing of resistance-associated genes on the primary culture. Indeed, the molecular tests are less efficient than conventional culture-based DST in finding resistance in samples with heteroresistant bacteria, i.e. a minority of resistant variants in a susceptible main population. A previous study showed that 1% resistant bacteria in a mixture of susceptible and resistant *M. tuberculosis* was not detected by line probe assay or Sanger sequencing,

while it is generally detected by using phenotypic DST (32). The same study showed that a 251 proportion of more than 10% resistant bacteria was required for detection of resistance by 252 Sanger sequencing (32). Therefore, we resequenced rrs 1400, tlyA and eis promoter regions 253 from the colonies growing on the tubes containing the SLID when an unexplained resistance 254 was noted on the primary culture. The complementary sequencing allowed the identification 255 of mutations responsible for drug resistance for 2/5 (40%) AMK resistant strains without 256 mutation on the primary culture (isolates no. 22, 26), 2/7 (29%) for CAP (isolates no. 22, 28) 257 and 7/7 (100%) for KAN (isolates no. 26, 28, 49-53). Thus, 15% (8/53) of resistant strains 258 displayed heterogeneous resistance to SLID, a situation in which the diagnostic performance 259 of genotypic testing was poor because minority population was present in only a few percent. 260 It can be noted here that the isolates 26 and 28 should be cross-resistant to the 3 drugs because 261 of the A1401G mutation. Such random-susceptible results (CAP for isolate no. 26, and AMK 262 for isolate no. 28) are due to the fact that the resistant population occurs at a low percentage of 263 the total population. 264

Conversely, in case of resistance to SLID with MIC values close to the critical 265 concentration used for routine DST, phenotypic DST can miss resistant strains detected by 266 genotypic DST (second hypothesis). In particular, low-level KAN resistance caused by eis 267 promoter mutations (detectable by the MTBDRsl v2.0 test endorsed by the WHO) may be 268 missed by phenotypic tests alone (17, 18, 28, 31, 33, 34). One study has shown that the 269 phenotypic DST on Löwenstein-Jensen medium does not adequately detect moderate- to low-270 level KAN resistance, and that the MGIT or MycoTB method should be preferred for testing 271 phenotypic resistance to KAN (18). This could explain why we missed in our study the 272 phenotypic diagnosis of KAN resistance for 7 strains harboring an *eis* promoter mutation 273 (isolates no. 55 to 61) (Table 1). Interestingly, among the 7 isolates, 6 (no. 55-59 and 61) were 274 confirmed to display MIC values close to the KAN breakpoint value (5 mg/liter) (Table 1). It 275

is therefore tempting to suggest that mutations in the *eis* promoter can confer MICs close to 276 the critical concentration used, an hypothesis that would account for the significant proportion 277 of discrepant S-KAN results observed in our study: 27% (3/11) for G-10A, 50% (1/2) for C-278 12T, 14% (1/7) for C-14T, and 25% (2/8) for C-37T. In France, AMK is the main SLID for 279 MDR TB treatment and KAN is not used. However, in countries where KAN is the preferred 280 SLID (as in Former Soviet Union) and eis promoter mutations dominate, these limits of 281 phenotypic testing should be taken into account in the therapeutic strategy (35). It has to be 282 pointed out here that the question of whether these isolates with eis promoter mutations and 283 phenotypic susceptibility to KAN should be reported as resistant to KAN should be addressed 284 by determining the impact of such mutations on patient outcome. In a recent study, Van Deun 285 et al showed that rifampin susceptible strains displaying rpoB mutations are associated with 286 poorer outcome than *rpoB* wild-type strains (36). In view of such results, we think that any 287 strain displaying a mutation in the eis promoter should be not considered as susceptible until 288 clinical studies analyze patient outcome. 289

Concerning the rrs C1402T mutation found in 1 R-AMK/CAP/KAN isolate in our study 290 (isolate no. 21), it has to be highlighted that if this mutation is rather associated with 291 resistance to only CAP and KAN, it has been reported that the MIC of AMK associated to this 292 mutation can be close to the critical concentration of the drug (8). Accordingly, the strain 293 displayed a MIC of 2 mg/liter, a value close to the breakpoint value (4 mg/liter). Similarly, 294 isolate no. 25 which showed a low percentage of mutants resistant to AMK on primary 295 cultures (1%) displayed a MIC of 4 mg/liter for the drug (Table 1). Thus, AMK resistance in 296 these 2 isolates can be regarded as borderline and one cannot exclude that the 2 strains would 297 have been ranked as S-AMK if a higher AMK concentration had been used on primary DST 298 (30 mg/liter according to the WHO 2014 guidelines (24). One has to note that the WHO 299 provides no evidence based on which the recommended critical concentrations have been set, 300

a point that has to be considered when explaining the discrepancies between genotype and
 phenotype, as previously suggested (9, 37).

The accuracy of the molecular tests in predicting susceptibility for AMK and CAP seems to 303 be also limited by as-yet uncharacterized resistance mechanisms (third hypothesis). 304 Considering the results reported here, 3 R-AMK strains (isolates no. 21, 23 and 25) had no 305 AMK resistance-associated gene mutations from the tubes containing AMK. If strains no. 21 306 and 25 display borderline resistance to AMK (R-AMK on DST with MIC values of 2 and 4 307 mg/liter, respectively), isolate no. 23 was confirmed to be undoubtedly resistant to AMK with 308 a MIC of 16 mg/liter, which strongly suggests that an unknown mechanism of resistance is 309 present in this strain. Similarly, 5 R-CAP strains (strains n°23, 27, 29-31) had no CAP 310 resistance-associated gene mutations on sequencing analysis performed from the tubes 311 containing CAP. It is worth to note here that genes such as whiB7 and rrl have previously 312 been implicated in resistance to KAN and CAP in M. tuberculosis (20, 38, 39). Since very 313 little is currently known about the contribution of such genes on SLID resistance, one cannot 314 exclude that they may contribute to CAP-R in isolates no. 23, 27, 29 30 and 31 (10, 18, 38, 315 40). 316

One S-AMK/CAP/KAN strain (isolate no. 54) showed a double mutation T1404C plus G1473A in *rrs*. If the *rrs* G1473A mutation has never been reported, *rrs* T1404C was described by Walker et al in 53 strains including 51 susceptible to the 3 SLID, 1 R-KAN and 1 R-AMK (41). Therefore this mutation doesn't seem to be implicated in AMK, CAP or KAN resistance, as confirmed by the low MICs found for our isolate (Table 1).

Finally, for the unexpected susceptibility to CAP (MIC = 1 mg/liter) in 1 R-AMK/KAN isolate with a *rrs* A1401G mutation (mutation known to be associated with AMK, KAN and also CAP resistance) (isolate $n^{\circ}24$), this discrepancy also deserves to be further investigated at the mechanistic level.

Our study has some limitations, in particular because we used a collection of strains 326 representative of clinical isolates received at the French Reference Center for Mycobacteria. 327 In this set of strains, among the MDR isolates, those with resistance to at least one SLID, and 328 particularly monoR-KAN, are associated with patients born in the Former Soviet Union (P =329 0.0007 and P = 0.007, respectively). This association between monoR-KAN and Former 330 Soviet Union-born patients is not surprising since KAN is the preferred SLID in this country. 331 Therefore, our results may be biased by the country or origin of the patients. We have also to 332 mention that an epidemiological link was detected for 3 clusters of 2 strains each (6 strains) 333 among the 53 isolates resistant to at least 1 of the 3 SLID and 7 clusters of S-334 AMK/KAN/CAM isolates (16 strains) by taking into account (i) the phylogenetic lineages of 335 clinical isolates based on the Mycobacterial Interspersed Repetitive Unit Variable Number 336 Tandem Repeat (MIRU-VNTR) 24-loci (40), (ii) the strain characteristics (resistance 337 phenotype and genotype) and (iii) patient characteristics data (country of birth, city where the 338 diagnosis was made, family ties) (Table 1). 339

340

341

342 CONCLUSION

The results presented in this study raise the questionable ability of PCR sequencing and 343 phenotypic DST to properly classify strains as susceptible or resistant since discrepancies 344 were observed in 23/206 (11%) strains. PCR sequencing performed on primary culture did not 345 detect any mutation in rrs region 1400 (for AMK, CAP, KAN-R) and in eis promoter (for 346 KAN-R) in 19% (5/26) isolates R-AMK, 25% (7/28) R-CAP and 16% (8/50) R-KAN. 347 Phenotypic DST did not detect resistance among 8 strains with mutations conferring low-level 348 resistance. Finally, it is of crucial importance to determine whether resistance to SLID with 349 MICs close to the critical concentration used for routine DST and not detected by phenotypic 350

- methods, or heterogeneous resistance not detected by genotypic methods, have an impact on
 treatment efficacy.

356 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

357 This work was supported by grants from the Ministère de la Recherche (grant UPRES EA

1541) and from the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) and

- the Université Pierre et Marie Curie (UPMC).
- 360 Members of the CNR-MyRMA (French National Reference Center for Mycobacteria) are as
- ³⁶¹ follows: Emmanuelle Cambau, Emilie Lafeuille, Faiza Mougari, Laurent Raskine, Florence
- 362 Reibel, Jérôme Robert.
- _ _ _ _

376 **REFERENCES**

- World Health Organization. 2016. Global tuberculosis report 2016.
 WHO/HTM/TB/2016.13. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization. 2011. Guidelines for the programmatic management of
 drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2011 update. WHO HTM/TB/2011.6. World Health
 Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Kliiman K, Altraja A. 2009. Predictors of poor treatment outcome in multi- and
 extensively drug-resistant pulmonary TB. Eur Respir J 33:1085-1094.
- Brossier F, Veziris N, Aubry A, Jarlier V, Sougakoff W. 2010. Detection by
 MTBDR*sl* test of complex resistance mechanisms to second-line drugs and
 ethambutol in multidrug-resistant strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin
 Microbiol 48:1683-1689.
- Feng Y, Liu S, Wang Q, Wang L, Tang S, Wang J, Lu W. 2013. Rapid diagnosis of
 drug resistance to fluoroquinolones, amikacin, capreomycin, kanamycin and
 ethambutol using genotype MTBDRsl assay: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 8(2):e55292.
- Huang WL, Chi TL, Wu MH, Jou R. 2011. Performance assessment of the
 GenoType MTBDRsl test and DNA sequencing for detection of second-line and
 ethambutol drug resistance among patients infected with multidrug-resistant
 Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 49:2502-2508.
- Georghiou SB, Magana M, Garfein RS, Catanzaro DG, Catanzaro A, Rodwell
 TC. 2012. Evaluation of genetic mutations associated with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* resistance to amikacin, kanamycin and capreomycin: a systematic review.
 PLoS One 7(3):e33275.

- Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Shinnick TM. 2005. Molecular analysis of cross-resistance
 to capreomycin, kanamycin, amikacin, and viomycin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:3192-3197.
- 402 9. Reeves AZ, Campbell PJ, Willby MJ, Posey JE. 2015. Disparities in capreomycin
 403 resistance levels associated with the *rrs* A1401G mutation in clinical isolates of
 404 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:444-449.
- ⁴⁰⁵ 10. **Du Q, Dai G, Long Q, Yu X, Dong L, Huang H, Xie J.** 2013. *Mycobacterium* ⁴⁰⁶ *tuberculosis rrs* A1401G mutation correlates with high-level resistance to kanamycin,
 ⁴⁰⁷ amikacin, and capreomycin in clinical isolates from mainland China. Diagn Microbiol
 ⁴⁰⁸ Infect Dis 77:138-142.
- Feuerriegel S, Cox HS, Zarkua N, Karimovich HA, Braker K, Rüsch-Gerdes S,
 Niemann S. 2009. Sequence analyses of just four genes to detect extensively drug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis
 patients undergoing treatment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:3353-3356.
- Theron G, Peter J, Richardson M, Barnard M, Donegan S, Warren R, Steingart
 KR, Dheda K. 2014. The diagnostic accuracy of the GenoType[®] MTBDRsl assay for
 the detection of resistance to second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. Cochrane Database
 Syst Rev 10:CD010705. Review.
- Alangaden GJ, Kreiswirth BN, Aouad A, Khetarpal M, Igno FR, Moghazeh SL,
 Manavathu EK, Lerner SA. 1998. Mechanism of resistance to amikacin and
 kanamycin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42:1295 1297.
- 421 14. Jugheli L, Bzekalava N, de Rijk P, Fissette K, Portaels F, Rigouts L. 2009. High
 422 level of cross-resistance between kanamycin, amikacin, and capreomycin among

- 423 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from Georgia and a close relation with mutations 424 in the *rrs* gene. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **53**: 5064-5068.
- Krüüner A, Jureen P, Levina K, Ghebremichael S, Hoffner S. 2003. Discordant
 resistance to kanamycin and amikacin in drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.
 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 47:2971-2973.
- Pholwat S, Stroup S, Heysell S, Ogarkov O, Zhdanova S, Ramakrishnan G, Houpt E.
 2016. Eis C-14G and C-15G mutations do not confer kanamycin resistance in *M. tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother doi:10.1128/AAC.01775-16:ahead of
 print.
- I7. Zaunbrecher MA, Sikes RD Jr, Metchock B, Shinnick TM, Posey JE. 2009.
 Overexpression of the chromosomally encoded aminoglycoside acetyltransferase *eis*confers kanamycin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
 A 106:20004-20009.
- 18. Chakravorty S, Lee JS, Cho EJ, Roh SS, Smith LE, Lee J, Kim CT, Via LE, Cho
 SN, Barry CE 3rd, Alland D. 2015. Genotypic susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates for amikacin and kanamycin resistance by use of
 a rapid sloppy molecular beacon-based assay identifies more cases of low level drug
 resistance than phenotypic Lowenstein-Jensen testing. J Clin Microbiol 53:43-51.
- Gikalo MB, Nosova EY, Krylova LY, Moroz AM. 2012. The role of *eis* mutations
 in the development of kanamycin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates
 from the Moscow region. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2107-2109.
- Johansen SK, Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Douthwaite S. 2006. Capreomycin binds
 across the ribosomal subunit interface using *tlyA*-encoded 2'-O-methylations in 16S
 and 23S rRNAs. Mol Cell 23:173-182.

447 21. Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Shinnick TM. 2005. Mutation of *tlyA* confers capreomycin
448 resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:571-577.

449 22. Brossier F, Guindo D, Pham A, Reibel F, Sougakoff W, Veziris N, Aubry A. 2016.

- Performance of the new version (v2.0) of the GenoType MTBDR*sl* test for detection
 of resistance to second-line drugs in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains. J Clin Microbiol 54:1573-1580.
- World Health Organization. 2008. Policy guidance on drug-susceptibility testing
 (DST) of second-line antituberculosis drugs. WHO/HTM/TB/2008.392. Geneva,
 Switzerland.
- World Health Organization. 2014. Companion handbook to the WHO guidelines for
 the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis.
 WHO/HTM/TB/2014.11. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Juréen P, Angeby K, Sturegård E, Chryssanthou E, Giske CG, Werngren J,
 Nordvall M, Johansson A, Kahlmeter G, Hoffner S, Schön T. 2010. Wild-type
 MIC distributions for aminoglycoside and cyclic polypeptide antibiotics used for
 treatment of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infections. J Clin Microbiol. 48:1853-1858.
- Engström A, Perskvist N, Werngren J, Hoffner SE, Juréen P. 2011. Comparison
 of clinical isolates and in vitro selected mutants reveals that *tlyA* is not a sensitive
 genetic marker for capreomycin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J
 Antimicrob Chemother 66:1247-1254.
- Via LE, Cho SN, Hwang S, Bang H, Park SK, Kang HS, Jeon D, Min SY, Oh T,
 Kim Y, Kim YM, Rajan V, Wong SY, Shamputa IC, Carroll M, Goldfeder L, Lee
 SA, Holland SM, Eum S, Lee H, Barry CE, III. 2010. Polymorphisms associated
 with resistance and cross-resistance to aminoglycosides and capreomycin in

471 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from South Korean patients with drug-resistant
 472 tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 48:402-411.

473 28. Campbell PJ, Morlock GP, Sikes RD, Dalton TL, Metchock B, Starks AM, Hooks

- 474 DP, Cowan LS, Plikaytis BB, Posey JE. 2011. Molecular detection of mutations
 475 associated with first- and second-line drug resistance compared with conventional drug
 476 susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
 477 55:2032-2041.
- Leung KL, Yip CW, Yeung YL, Wong KL, Chan WY, Chan MY, Kam KM.
 2010. Usefulness of resistant gene markers for predicting treatment outcome on
 second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. J Appl Microbiol 109:2087-2094.
- 30. Perdigão J, Macedo R, Malaquias A, Ferreira A, Brum L, Portugal I. 2010.
 Genetic analysis of extensively drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in
 Lisbon, Portugal. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:224-227.
- 484 31. Sirgel FA, Tait M, Warren RM, Streicher EM, Bottger EC, van Helden PD, Gey
 485 van Pittius NC, Coetzee G, Hoosain EY, Chabula-Nxiweni M, Hayes C, Victor
- TC, Trollip A. 2012. Mutations in the *rrs* A1401G gene and phenotypic resistance to
 amikacin and capreomycin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Microb Drug Resist
 18:193-197.
- 489 32. Folkvardsen DB, Svensson E, Thomsen VØ, Rasmussen EM, Bang D, Werngren
 490 J, Hoffner S, Hillemann D, Rigouts L. 2013. Can molecular methods detect 1%
- 491 isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*? J Clin Microbiol **51:**1596-1599.
- Hoshide M, Qian L, Rodrigues C, Warren R, Victor T, Evasco HB 2nd, Tupasi T,
 Crudu V, Douglas JT. 2014. Geographical differences associated with single-
- 494 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in nine gene targets among resistant clinical isolates
 495 of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol **52**:1322-1329.

- World Health Organization. 2016. The use of molecular line probe assays for the
 detection of resistance to second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. Policy guidance.
 WHO/HTM/TB/2016.07. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 499 35. Casali N, Nikolayevskyy V, Balabanova Y, Harris SR, Ignatyeva O, Kontsevaya
- I, Corander J, Bryant J, Parkhill J, Nejentsev S, Horstmann RD, Brown T,
 Drobniewski F. 2014. Evolution and transmission of drug-resistant tuberculosis in a
 Russian population. Nat Genet 46:279-286.
- 36. Van Deun A, Aung KJ, Bola V, Lebeke R, Hossain MA, de Rijk WB, Rigouts L,
 Gumusboga A, Torrea G, de Jong BC. 2013. Rifampin drug resistance tests for
 tuberculosis: challenging the gold standard. J Clin Microbiol 51:2633-2640.
- 37. Yu X, Wang G, Chen S, Wei G, Shang Y, Dong L, Schön T, Moradigaravand D,
 Parkhill J, Peacock SJ, Köser CU, Hairong H. 2016. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*wild-type and non-wild-type MIC distributions for the novel fluoroquinolone
 antofloxacin compared with ofloxacin, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. Antimicrob
 Agents Chemother, 60:5232-5237.
- 38. Reeves AZ, Campbell PJ, Sultana R, Malik S, Murray M, Plikaytis BB, Shinnick
 TM, Posey JE. 2013. Aminoglycoside cross-resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*due to mutations in the 5' untranslated region of *whiB7*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
 57:1857-1865.
- 39. Köser CU, Bryant JM, Parkhill J, Peacock SJ. 2013. Consequences of *whiB7*(*Rv3197A*) mutations in Beijing genotype isolates of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*complex. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3461.
- Kaur S, Rana V, Singh P, Trivedi G, Anand S, Kaur A, Gupta P, Jain A, Sharma
 C. 2016. Novel mutations conferring resistance to kanamycin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates from Northern India. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 96:96-101.

521	41.	Walker TM, Kohl TA, Omar SV, Hedge J, Del Ojo Elias C, Bradley P, Iqbal Z,
522		Feuerriegel S, Niehaus KE, Wilson DJ, Clifton DA, Kapatai G, Ip CL, Bowden R,
523		Drobniewski FA, Allix-Beguec C, Gaudin C, Parkhill J, Diel R, Supply P, Crook
524		DW, Smith EG, Walker AS, Ismail N, Niemann S, Peto TE, Modernizing Medical
525		Microbiology Informatics G. 2015. Whole-genome sequencing for prediction of
526		Mycobacterium tuberculosis drug susceptibility and resistance: a retrospective cohort
527		study. Lancet Infect Dis 15:1193-1202.
528	42.	Supply P, Allix C, Lesjean S, Cardoso-Oelemann M, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Willery E,
529		Savine E, de Haas P, van Deutekom H, Roring S, Bifani P, Kurepina N,
530		Kreiswirth B, Sola C, Rastogi N, Vatin V, Gutierrez MC, Fauville M, Niemann S,
531		Skuce R, Kremer K, Locht C, van Soolingen D. 2006. Proposal for standardization
532		of optimized mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable-number tandem repeat
533		typing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol 44:4498-4510.

Table 1. Genotypic and phenotypic results regarding SLID for the 206 MDR *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates including the 53 MDR resistant to

at least 1 of the 3 SLID

Aminoglycosides phenotype			No. of isolates (no. of XDR)	Isolates numbering	Isolates Sequencing of resistance-associated numbering genes on strains on primary culture ^a (no. of isolates)		ociated ary	Phenotype not explained by mutation in resistance- associated genes on primary culture (% of resistant mutant in the proportion method on Löwenstein-Jensen) (MIC mg/liter on 7H10) ^b	Sequencing of resistance-associated genes on tube with antibiotics ^c
AMK	CAP	KAN			rrs region 1400 ^d	<i>eis</i> pro	<i>tlyA</i>		
R	R	R	23 (13)	1-19 20 21 22-23	A1401G (19) A1401G (1) C1402T (1) wt (2)	wt C-14T wt C-14T	wt wt wt wt	R-AMK (10%) (MIC 2) R-AMK(2%)-CAP(2%) R-AMK(100%)-CAP(100%) (MIC AMK 16, CAP 16)	[AMK] : <i>rrs</i> C1402T, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt [AMK, CAP] : <i>rrs</i> A1401G, <i>eis</i> pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [AMK, CAP] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt
R	S	R	3 (2)	24 25 26	A1401G (1) wt (1) wt (1)	wt C-14T wt	wt wt wt	S-CAP (MIC 1) R-AMK (1%) (MIC 4) R-AMK(3%)-KAN(3%)	[AMK] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [AMK], [KAN] : <i>rrs</i> A1401G, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt
S	R	R	2 (1)	27 28	wt (1) wt (1)	G-37T wt	wt wt	R-CAP (100%) (MIC 16) R-CAP(3%)-KAN(3%)	[CAP]: <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro G-37T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [CAP], [KAN]: <i>rrs</i> A1401G, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt

S	R	S	3 (3)	29-31	wt (3)	wt	wt	R-CAP (2%) (MIC 8) R-CAP (100%) ^d (MIC 16) R-CAP (100%) ^d	[CAP] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt [CAP] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt [CAP] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro wt, <i>tlyA</i> wt
S	S	R	22 (10)	32-39 40-45 46-47 48 49-53	wt (8) ^e wt (6) wt (2) wt (1) wt (5)	G-10A G-37T C-14T C-12T wt	wt wt wt	R-KAN (1%) R-KAN (2%) R-KAN (2%) R-KAN (3%) R-KAN (10%)	[KAN] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [KAN] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro G-37T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [KAN] : rrs wt, eis pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [KAN] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro G-37T, <i>tlyA</i> wt [KAN] : <i>rrs</i> wt, <i>eis</i> pro C-14T, <i>tlyA</i> wt
S	S	S	153	54 55-57 58-59 60 61 62-206	T1404C+G1473A (1) wt (3) wt (2) wt (1) wt (1) wt (145) ^d	wt G-10A G-37T C-14T C-12T wt	wt wt wt wt wt wt	S-SLID (MIC KAN 1.25, AMK 1, CAP 1) S-KAN (MIC 2.5, 2.5, 5.0) S-KAN (MIC 2.5, 5) S-KAN (MIC 10) S-KAN (MIC 2.5)	

^a primary culture = culture obtained directly from the patient's sample cultivated without antibiotics

^b The critical concentrations on Löwenstein-Jensen for KAN, AMK, CAP were 30, 20 and 40 mg/liter, respectively (23). It has to be noted here that the AMK critical concentration used in this study was lower than the value endorsed by the WHO in the 2014 guidelines (30 mg/liter) (24). The critical concentrations on 7H10 for KAN, AMK, CAP were 5.0, 4.0 and 4.0 mg/liter, respectively (24). The MICs of H37Rv ATCC 27294 were 1 mg/liter for each drug, concordant with Juréen et al (25).

^c antibiotics are indicated in brakets

^d expected effect of the mutation:

rrs A1401G: resistance of high level to AMK and KAN, resistance of different levels to CAP

rrs C1402T: resistance of high level to CAP, resistance to KAN with MICs close to the critical concentration used for routine DST,

and retained susceptibility to AMK

^e Isolates with the same MIRU-VNTR 24-loci and epidemiological link: one cluster of 2 monoR-CAP isolates (n=2), two clusters of 2 monoR-

KAN isolates each (n=4), and seven clusters of S-AMK/KAN/CAM isolates (n=16).