



**HAL**  
open science

# Evolutionary Analysis of the Mammalian Tuftelin Sequence Reveals Features of Functional Importance

S. Delgado, D. Deutsch, J. Y. Sire

► **To cite this version:**

S. Delgado, D. Deutsch, J. Y. Sire. Evolutionary Analysis of the Mammalian Tuftelin Sequence Reveals Features of Functional Importance. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 2017, pp.1-11. 10.1007/s00239-017-9789-5 . hal-01516886

**HAL Id: hal-01516886**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01516886>**

Submitted on 2 May 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Evolutionary analysis of the mammalian tuftelin sequence reveals features of functional importance

S. Delgado<sup>1\*</sup>, D. Deutsch<sup>2</sup> and J.Y. Sire<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Evolution et Développement du Squelette, UMR7138- Evolution Paris-Seine, Institut de Biologie (IBPS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France.

<sup>2</sup> Dental Research Laboratory, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Institute of Dental Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem-Hadassah, Jerusalem, Israel.

\* corresponding author: [sidney.delgado@upmc.fr](mailto:sidney.delgado@upmc.fr)

Running title: Evolutionary analysis of TUFT1

## Keywords

Tuftelin, TUFT1, MYZAP, Evolution, Mineralization, Mammals

## Acknowledgements

This collaborative study was initiated at the Tooth Morphogenesis and Differentiation conference in Lalonde-les-Maures (France) in Spring 2013. We thank the Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS and ANR (Jaws project, 12-BSV7-0020) for their financial support.

We thank Dr. Kurt Liittschwager (USA) for his English correction.

**Abstract**

Tuftelin (TUFT1) is an acidic, phosphorylated glycoprotein, initially discovered in developing enamel matrix. TUFT1 is expressed in many mineralized and non-mineralized tissues. We performed an evolutionary analysis of 82 mammalian TUFT1 sequences to identify residues and motifs that were conserved during 220 million years (Ma) of evolution. We showed that 168 residues (out of the 390 residues composing the human TUFT1 sequence) are under purifying selection. Our analyses identified several, new, putatively functional domains, and confirmed previously described functional domains, such as the TIP39 interaction domain, which correlates with nuclear localization of the TUFT1 protein, that was demonstrated in several tissues. We also identified several sites under positive selection, which could indicate evolutionary changes possibly related to the functional diversification of TUFT1 during evolution in some lineages. We discovered that TUFT1 and MYZAP (myocardial zonula adherens protein) share a common ancestor that was duplicated circa 500 million years ago. Taken together, these findings expand our knowledge of TUFT1 evolution and provide new information that will be useful for further investigation of TUFT1 functions.

## INTRODUCTION

1  
2 When initially discovered in developing and mature bovine enamel, the acidic,  
3  
4 phosphorylated glycoprotein tuftelin (TUFT1) was thought to play a major role in the  
5  
6 structural organization and mineralization of enamel (Deutsch 1989; Deutsch et al. 1991).  
7  
8 Subsequent studies focused on its putative function during enamel formation in various  
9  
10 mammalian species (Deutsch et al. 1991, 1998; Bashir et al. 1998; McDougall et al. 1998).  
11  
12 The protein was originally identified in ameloblasts and in the extracellular matrix at the  
13  
14 dentine-enamel junction (DEJ), and its pattern and timing of expression during amelogenesis  
15  
16 suggested that TUFT1 could be involved in the initial stages of enamel mineralization  
17  
18 (Deutsch et al. 1991, 1998). The lack of a signal peptide at its N-terminus was, however,  
19  
20 intriguing (Paine et al. 2000). In fact, TUFT1 is principally located in the Tomes' processes of  
21  
22 secretory ameloblasts, that are shed during amelogenesis, and this may explain the presence  
23  
24 of TUFT1 in the enamel matrix. In ameloblasts, TUFT1 was shown to form a complex with  
25  
26 the Tuftelin-Interacting-Protein 39 (TIP39) (Paine et al. 2000). Several alternatively spliced  
27  
28 tuftelin transcripts have been detected in humans and mice (Mao et al. 2001; Deutsch et al.  
29  
30 2002). Most transcripts lack a single exon but some may be missing several exons. The  
31  
32 function of the resulting isoforms is still unknown. An analysis of SNPs in the *TUFT1* gene in  
33  
34 a Turkish population suggested that some mutations were associated with a high frequency of  
35  
36 caries, supporting the role of TUFT1 in enamel mineralization (Patir et al., 2008). Moreover,  
37  
38 further studies indicated that *TUFT1* may be involved in individual predisposition to tooth  
39  
40 hypomineralization (Jeremias et al. 2013).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Ten years after its discovery, it was demonstrated that TUFT1 expression was  
52  
53 ubiquitous; TUFT1 is expressed in many mineralized and non-mineralized tissues, and in  
54  
55 cancer cells (Mao et al. 2001; Deutsch et al. 2002; Leiser et al. 2007). These findings suggest  
56  
57 that TUFT1 could have various fundamental roles that are not restricted to tooth  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 amelogenesis. Indeed, more recently, it was demonstrated that the expression level of TUFT1  
2 mRNA was significantly higher in tissues in which oxygen levels hover closely to hypoxia  
3  
4 under normal conditions (Leiser et al. 2007). In mouse brain, in a mouse mesenchymal  
5  
6 C3H10T1/2 stem cell model, and in a neuronal PC12 cell model, TUFT1 expression was  
7  
8 found to be induced by hypoxia via HIF1a (Leiser et al. 2010; Deutsch et al. 2011). During  
9  
10 NGF-mediated PC12 differentiation, TUFT1 expression was significantly induced in  
11  
12 correlation with neurite outgrowth, and partially blocked by K252a, a selective antagonist of  
13  
14 the NGF receptor TrkA (Leiser et al. 2010), revealing additional potential physiological  
15  
16 role(s) of TUFT1. Taken together these studies suggest that TUFT1 could have various  
17  
18 functions. However, although various putative functional sites were predicted and the protein  
19  
20 sequence was well conserved in the few mammalian species studied (Mao et al. 2001;  
21  
22 Deutsch et al. 2002), a connection between TUFT1 structure and its functional sites has not  
23  
24 been demonstrated. However, the previous analysis of the TUFT1 sequence was performed  
25  
26 more than a decade ago (Deutsch et al. 2002) and it is possible that new functional sites  
27  
28 and/or domains could be identified.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 The aim of the present study was to identify putative functional positions and domains  
37  
38 in the TUFT1 sequence by means of evolutionary analysis of this protein in mammals, i.e.  
39  
40 covering circa 200 million years of evolution in this lineage. Such analyses, which can reveal  
41  
42 unchanged positions over long geological times and hence strong functional constraints, have  
43  
44 proven to be efficient in highlighting important positions. We also investigated putative  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Tuftelin sequences and alignment

A total of 82 coding sequences of mammalian *TUFT1* were obtained from *Ensembl* [<http://www.ensembl.org>] and *NCBI* [<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>] databases. Species names and sequence references are listed in supplementary material 1 (SM1). The dataset was built with: six published full-length sequences (human, orangutan, baboon, cow, mouse and rat); 71 computer-predicted sequences, i.e. available from the automatic analysis of sequenced, or currently being sequenced, mammalian genomes; and five sequences obtained using BLAST from the whole genome shotgun (WGS) repository sequences. *TUFT1* sequences were individually checked through alignment to published cDNA sequences, with particular attention paid to the intron/exon boundaries. When necessary, the sequences were corrected and/or completed using a BLAST search against the WGS sequences. We performed codon alignments by projecting the results of the amino acid alignment onto the nucleotide sequences using Clustal X 2.0 (Higgins et al. 1996). We chose Clustal X 2.0 because the sequences are conserved, relatively short and contain few gaps. Putative problems of alignment generated by Clustal X 2.0 analyses were also tested using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). The same alignment was obtained using both alignment tools. The 82 *TUFT1* sequences are provided in the supplementary material 2 (SM2). A single transcript was identified in each species. Our final alignment is available in the supplementary material 3 (SM3).

In our final alignment, only 1,000 residues out of 32,902 were missing, representing 3% of the data. The positions with missing data were included in our analyses and treated as "unknown states". Gaps were removed in our evolutionary computations.

## Sliding window and non-synonymous substitution rate (dN) analyses

To identify strong functional constraints, a sliding window analysis and a non-synonymous substitution rate analysis of nucleotide sequence variability were conducted on our alignment using HYPHY (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2005; Kosakovsky Pond and Muse 2005; Kosakovsky Pond and Frost 2005). HYPHY utilizes Ln likelihood to measure the selective pressure. For every variable site, four quantities were computed: normalized expected numbers (ES and EN) and observed numbers (NS and NN) of synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions, respectively. HYPHY estimates  $dN = NN/EN$  and  $dS = NS/ES$ .

The p-value derived from a two-tailed extended binomial distribution is used to assess significance. The test assumes that under neutrality, a random substitution will be synonymous with probability  $P = ES/(ES+EN)$ , and computes how likely it is that P, NS out of NN+NS substitutions are synonymous. At each position, the probability for the observed data is calculated by the likelihood algorithm, taking into account phylogenetic relationships. In the two analyses, we worked with the “local model”, in which all model parameters are estimated independently for each branch, and it was computed using tree topology found in a recent mammalian phylogeny (Meredith et al. 2011).

*The non-synonymous substitution rate (dN)* was calculated using the maximum likelihood method based on the HKY 85 model (Hasegawa et al. 1985).

*The Sliding Window* (available in “standard analyses” section and “Miscellaneous” subsection in HYPHY software) is a method to calculate the mean substitution rate along a protein sequence. This graphical representation is used to visualize selective pressures along the protein. Indeed, nucleotide diversity reflects selective constraints: the lower the variability, the higher the selection, and vice versa.

We chose the following parameters:

1 - the mean substitution rate was calculated using the maximum likelihood (ML) method based  
2 on the HKY 85 model (Hasegawa et al. 1985);  
3

4 - probabilities are calculated for a window of 15 bp with an overlap of 5 bp between each pair  
5 of windows. Other investigators have chosen larger windows for their analyses (e.g. Endo et  
6 al. 1996; Tsunoyama and Gojobori 1998; Schmid and Yang 2008) but, when applying the  
7 HYPHY method, it is not necessary to use large sliding windows and it is even recommended  
8 to avoid large windows, which produce a “smoothing” effect that could result in loss of  
9 evolutionary information.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **Distance tree**

22 The alignment was treated by MEGA 5.2.2 (Tamura et al. 2011) software  
23  
24 (<http://www.megasoftware.net>). The phylogenetic reconstructions used Neighbor-joining and  
25  
26 Maximum Likelihood methods, with Dayhoff model and a rate of substitution Gamma  
27 distributed (in both NJ and ML).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 **Purifying selection analysis**

35 The search for site-specific purifying selection (i.e. biologically significant amino acids) in  
36 TUFT1 was carried out using the Consurf Server 2.4 (<http://consurf.tau.ac.il/>) (Ashkenazy et  
37 al. 2010; Celniker et al. 2013). The analysis was performed by comparing a null model, i.e. no  
38 purifying selection, and a model allowing purifying selections (HKY 85 model: Hasegawa et  
39 al. 1985). The results were then displayed on the human sequence. Different levels of  
40 purifying selection were indicated by a set of colours.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

### 56 **Positive selection analysis**

57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 The search for site-specific positive selection in TUFT1 was carried out using the Selecton  
2 Server (<http://selecton.tau.ac.il/>) (Stern et al. 2007). The Selecton analysis was computed  
3  
4 using tree topology in a recent mammalian phylogeny (Meredith et al. 2011). The analysis  
5  
6 was performed using the M8 model (Yang et al. 2000). A proportion  $p_0$  of the sites was  
7  
8 drawn from a beta distribution (which is defined in the interval  $[0,1]$ ), and a proportion  
9  
10  $p_1 (= 1 - p_0)$  of the sites was drawn from an additional category  $\omega_s$  (which is constrained to be  
11  
12  $\geq 1$ ). Thus, sites drawn from the beta distribution were sites experiencing purifying selection,  
13  
14 whereas sites drawn from the  $\omega_s$  category are sites experiencing either neutral or positive  
15  
16 selection. Both  $p_0$  and  $\omega_s$  are estimated using ML. The results were then displayed on the  
17  
18 human sequence. Different levels of positive selection were indicated by a set of two colours.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Model = Positive selection enabled (M8, beta +  $w \geq 1$ ); number of categories = 8.  
25  
26  
27  
28

### 29 **Putative functional sites**

30  
31 Search for post-translationally modified sites in the human TUFT1 sequence was performed  
32  
33 using the Prosite database [Sigrist et al. 2010; <http://prosite.expasy.org/>] to identify putative  
34  
35 N-glycosylation and phosphorylation sites.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

### 41 **Date calibration**

42  
43 Date calibration of the mammalian lineage was obtained from the “Fossil Calibration  
44  
45 Database” [<http://fossilcalibrations.org>]. The mammalian node is dated from 164.9 Ma to  
46  
47 201.5 Ma.  
48  
49  
50  
51

### 52 **Relationships**

53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 To improve our understanding of TUFT1 origins and possible functions, its putative  
2 relationships with other proteins were searched for by using PSI-BLAST (Position-specific  
3 iterative Blast) tool in NCBI site.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 RESULTS

### 11 Alignment and sequence comparisons

12 The 82 TUFT1 sequences studied here represent 59 families distributed in 14 orders  
13 (including 1 Monotremata, 3 Marsupiala and 78 Placentalia species) and they are  
14 representative of the current mammalian phylogenetic diversity (SM1). The length of the  
15 TUFT1 sequences ranged from 383 residues in *Choloepus hoffmanni* (sloth) to 399 in  
16 *Echinops telfairi* (tenrec) with 390 amino acids in humans (SM2). Alignment of these  
17 sequences against the human sequence resulted in a 419 amino acid sequence that included 29  
18 gaps (SM3). Our alignment indicated that TUFT1 is composed of a succession of conserved  
19 and variable regions and revealed only a few insertions of amino acids, the largest being eight  
20 residues inserted in the region encoded by the 3' end of exon 1 in golden mole and five  
21 residues by the 3' end of exon 6 in tenrec (two Afrotheria species). Insertions and deletions of  
22 one to three residues were found in a few sequences and no sequence repeats were identified.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

### 44 Purifying selection

#### 45 *Analysis of non-synonymous substitution rate (Fig. 1A) and Sliding Window analysis (Fig.* 46 *1B)*

47 The dN/dS ratio analysis revealed that TUFT1 sequence is characterized by regions of weak  
48 selective pressures alternating with regions of strong selective pressures (Fig. 1A, B). The  
49 regions subjected to strong functional constraints display low -Ln likelihood and low dN  
50 values. The lower these values are, the more important the selective constraint was (for this  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 analysis the limits were determined arbitrarily; for example  $-\ln$  Likelihood  $< 250-200$  and  $dN$   
2  $< 0.6$ ). The strongest constraints were found in the regions/residues encoded by exon 3, exon  
3  
4 4, the 5' end of exon 5, and exons 10 to 12. In contrast, the regions encoded by exons 6 to 9  
5  
6 were found to be less conserved, meaning they were less subjected to functional constraints.  
7

### 8 ***Distance tree***

9  
10 The phylogenetic reconstruction was performed to (i) determine the rate of TUFT1 evolution  
11  
12 and (ii) check anomalies (long branches) occurring during evolution in some mammalian  
13  
14 lineages to avoid using a sequence that is no longer under selective pressure (i.e. a  
15  
16 pseudogene). Indeed, if TUFT1 sequences were conserved during mammalian evolution,  
17  
18 phylogenetic information would still be present and we would expect to obtain a phylogenetic  
19  
20 tree similar to that currently considered for mammalian relationships; otherwise, we would  
21  
22 expect to obtain long branches along with incorrect topology. We present an NJ tree in figure  
23  
24 1, but the ML tree is available in SM9.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 The distance tree indicates a good equilibrium between conserved and variable regions  
32  
33 of TUFT1 sequences (Fig. 2).  
34  
35

36 A few *TUFT1* sequences display long branches, a feature which means a more rapid  
37  
38 accumulation of substitutions without changing the phylogenetic relations. This is the case for  
39  
40 manatee (*Trichechus manatus*) and tenrec (*Echinops telfairi*) in Afrotheria, bushbaby  
41  
42 (*Otolemur garnettii*) in Primates, guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*) in Rodentia, hedgehog  
43  
44 (*Erinaceus europaeus*) in Laurasiatheria, and opossum (*Monodelphis domestica*) in  
45  
46 Marsupiala. Very few sequences are not located at the correct phylogenetic position such as  
47  
48 the shrew (*Sorex araneus*) and the kangaroo rat *Dipodomys ordii*. However, the *TUFT1*  
49  
50 sequence of *Dipodomys ordii* was not complete enough to have a good signal (SM2).  
51  
52  
53  
54

### 55 ***Consurf analysis***

56 The statistical tests supporting this analysis are available in SM6.  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Purifying selection detected by Selecton analysis at the amino acid level identified TUFT1 residues that were well conserved during mammalian evolution, i.e. that are predicted to play an important functional or structural role, or both (Fig. 3, SM4). A total of 121 conserved (= unchanged) and 47 conservative (= that could be only replaced with a residue possessing similar properties) positions were identified. These important positions represent more than 43% of the 390 residues composing the human TUFT1 sequence. These residues are either isolated or regrouped, forming motifs of various lengths:

(1) At the N-terminus, the motif <sup>1</sup>MNGT contains a putative N-glycosylation site (<sup>2</sup>N).

(2) The N-terminal region includes a large motif encoded by the 3' region of exon 3 to the 5' region of exon 5. It is composed of 57 residues, starting at <sup>64</sup>S and ending at <sup>121</sup>S. This motif contains 33 unchanged or conservative positions. The function of this motif is unknown to date, with the exception of the last residue <sup>121</sup>S, which is putatively phosphorylated (protein kinase C site).

(3) The C-terminal region contains another large motif, encoded by exons 10 to 12, consisting of 74 residues, from <sup>278</sup>E to <sup>351</sup>Q. This motif possesses 55 unchanged or conservative positions. Most of this motif is known to belong to the TIP-39 binding site.

(4) At the C-terminal extremity encoded by exon 13, the <sup>381</sup>PmPvIRVVET motif of unknown function is well conserved.

In addition to these motifs, our evolutionary analysis highlighted many conserved or conservative residues, hence those having a putative function. Among them, some were predicted as functional by *Prosites* analysis in the human sequence (see below, Fig. 4).

### Positive selection

Using the Selecton server, 13 positions were detected as positively selected during mammalian evolution, i.e. sites drawn from the  $\omega$ s category (Stern et al., 2007). Shades of

1 yellow indicate  $\omega > 1$ , with dark-yellow representing sites where reliable positive selection was  
 2 inferred, and light-yellow representing positive selection that was not statistically significant  
 3 (SM4, SM8). These 13 positions were indicated on the human sequence (Fig. 3). One is  
 4 isolated, at <sup>255</sup>V, and 12 are regrouped, forming three motifs under positive selection:  
 5  
 6  
 7 <sup>131</sup>SLHR; <sup>153</sup>AIYssPP; <sup>163</sup>TCI. One of them contained a “casein kinase II” phosphorylation  
 8 site predicted by *Prosite* on the human sequence (see below).  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15  
 16

### 17 **Prediction of post translation modifications**

18  
 19 *Prosite* could not predict any functional sites, however, it predicted 14 sites that undergo post-  
 20 translation modifications, and hence these positions may be involved in TUFT1 function  
 21 (SM5). Most of these sites were also predicted in a previous analysis (Deutsch et al. 2002).  
 22 These were: two N-glycosylation sites (positions 2 and 356), six casein kinase II (CK2)  
 23 phosphorylation sites (phosphoserines 122, 157 and phosphothreonines 9, 35, 175, 322), and  
 24 four protein kinase (PKC) phosphorylation sites (phosphoserines 121, 171, 370, 378). One  
 25 amidation site (46) and one N-myristoylation site (355), close to the N-gly 356, were also  
 26 predicted. All the *Prosite*-predicted sites were reported on the 3D TUFT1 sequence published  
 27 by Deutsch et al. (2002) including sites that were considered as functional in our evolutionary  
 28 analysis (Fig. 4). Cysteines were also indicated in this figure.  
 29  
 30  
 31  
 32  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45

### 46 **Relationships of TUFT1**

47  
 48 Using PSI-BLAST with the human TUFT1, we found a single related protein, MYZAP  
 49 (myocardial zonula adherens protein), that is known in many vertebrate taxa (including  
 50 coelacanth and teleost fishes). This finding strongly suggests that MYZAP and TUFT1 were  
 51 present in the last common ancestor of osteichthyans. In humans, *MYZAP* is located on  
 52 chromosome 15 (*vs* chr 1 for *TUFT1*), is composed of 13 exons, and encodes a cytoplasmic  
 53  
 54  
 55  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 protein that does not possess a signal peptide, like TUFT1. MYZAP is expressed in adherens  
 2 junctions of myocardial and vascular endothelial cells, and in junctions of various epithelia  
 3  
 4 (Rickelt et al. 2011; Pieperhoff et al. 2012). The alignment of TUFT1 and MYZAP points to  
 5  
 6 only a few similarities.  
 7  
 8  
 9

## 10 11 **DISCUSSION**

### 12 **Purifying selection**

13  
 14 During millions of years of evolution, purifying selection does not retain mutations that lead to  
 15  
 16 deleterious effects. Such selection results in the preservation of all sensitive positions, i.e.  
 17  
 18 those possessing amino acids that play either functionally or structurally important roles.  
 19  
 20 Residues are either conserved or conservative. In the TUFT1 sequences, our evolutionary  
 21  
 22 analysis identified a total of 168 conserved and conservative positions during 164.9 Ma of  
 23  
 24 mammalian evolution indicating that the important functions supported by these positions  
 25  
 26 were present earlier in TUFT1 evolution, in non-mammalian vertebrates. We compare the  
 27  
 28 putative functional sites predicted by *Prosites* with the crucial positions revealed by our  
 29  
 30 evolutionary analysis, then we discuss the presence of various conserved domains (Figs 3, 4,  
 31  
 32 SM5).  
 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40

### 41 ***Several predicted functional sites are confirmed by evolutionary analysis***

42  
 43 *N-glycosylated residues.* - The two predicted N-linked glycosylation sites (N-gly 2, <sup>2</sup>NGTR  
 44  
 45 and N-gly 356, <sup>356</sup>NFST) are under purifying selection, which strongly suggests that these  
 46  
 47 post-translational modifications (attachment of a glycan, N-acetylglucosamine, to asparagine)  
 48  
 49 of TUFT1 are functionally and/or structurally important.  
 50  
 51

52  
 53 *N-myristoylation site.* - The predicted N-myristoylation site (N-Myr 355, <sup>355</sup>GNfsTQ), located  
 54  
 55 in the C-terminal region, near the second N-Gly conserved site, was not validated by our  
 56  
 57  
 58  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 analysis. Indeed, the glycine (G), which is the crucial, post-translationally modified residue of  
2 such a site in the N-terminal region, is located at a variable position.  
3

4 *Phosphorylated sites.* - Our analysis indicated that only three out of the ten putative  
5 phosphorylated residues predicted by Prosite are under purifying selection. Only  
6 phosphorylation of serines by protein kinase C (PKC) were validated by our analysis, through  
7 conservation of the position housing a serine: Ser-P 121, <sup>121</sup>SsK; Ser-P 171, <sup>171</sup>SIR; Ser-P  
8 378, <sup>378</sup>SpK. Previous post-translational modification analyses using MS/MS sequencing of  
9 the recombinant human TUFT1 protein produced in a eukaryotic system, also revealed one  
10 phosphorylated site at Serine 378 (Shay et al., 2009).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 None of the casein kinase II phosphorylation sites were confirmed. In a previous study,  
23 Deutsch et al. (2002) suggested that phosphorylation sites might play an important role in  
24 providing potential sites for specific chelation of calcium ions, which could explain why  
25 TUFT1 is presumed to play an important role in enamel mineralization. Our findings could  
26 support such a role, although several, previously predicted phosphorylation sites were not  
27 conserved during mammalian evolution.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 *Amidation site.* - The amidation site (amidation 46, <sup>46</sup>aGRK) predicted by Prosite is not under  
37 purifying selection since all residues of this motif, including the glycine residue that provides  
38 the amine group, are located at variable positions according to our analysis.  
39  
40  
41  
42

#### 43 ***Evaluation of previously identified functional domains by evolutionary analysis***

44  
45 *TUFT1-TIP39 interaction domain.* - More than 15 years ago, Paine et al. (2000) showed that  
46 TUFT1 interacts with TIP39 (Tuftelin Interacting Protein – 39 kDa; also known as TFIP11 -  
47 Tuftelin Interacting Protein 11). These authors showed that the region of interaction with  
48 TIP39 is located near the TUFT1 C-terminus, between amino acids 294 and 348 (Paine et al.  
49 2000). This part of the protein was also suggested to be responsible for TUFT1 self-assembly  
50 (Paine et al. 2000). Our analysis confirmed the importance of this TUFT1 region because 42  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 out of the 54 amino acids putatively implicated in this interaction were conserved. Only 12  
2 amino acids are at a variable position. In contrast, most of the conserved and conservative  
3 residues are clustered in long stretches within this region, a finding that indicates a crucial  
4 role. In addition, we also found that several conserved residues are located at both extremities  
5 of this domain (residues 285-293 and 349-360), which indicates that the functional region is  
6 probably wider than the region previously identified by Paine et al. (2000). TIP39 (TFIP11) is  
7 a nuclear speckle-localized protein that may play a role in spliceosome disassembly in Cajal  
8 bodies (Wen et al. 2005; Stanek et al. 2008). In adult tissues, TUFT1 is expressed mainly in  
9 the cytoplasm. However, nuclear localization of the protein was demonstrated in several  
10 tissues (Deutsch et al. 2002; Leiser et al. 2007). During early mouse embryonic development,  
11 TUFT1 was detected mainly in the cytoplasm, while at later embryonic stages and post-  
12 natally, its expression in neuronal cells is concentrated in the perinuclear/nuclear region  
13 (Deutsch unpublished; Shilo et al. unpublished), possibly indicating interaction with TIP39.  
14  
15 *Calcium-binding domain.* - An EF-hand, calcium-binding domain was previously suggested  
16 in human, bovine, and murine TUFT1, from residue 125 to 137 (Mao et al. 2001; Deutsch et  
17 al. 2002). However, no experimental results supported this motif. Our analysis shows that  
18 only one of the residues in this region is conserved in mammals, a finding which indicates  
19 that this region lacks an important function (but see below, *Positive selection*).

### 43 ***Domains of unknown function***

44 Our evolutionary analysis predicts that four additional motifs could play an important role not  
45 previously identified, either through protein analysis software or in other previous studies.  
46 Indeed, these positions are subjected to strong purifying selection. Three are located between  
47 residues 64 and 121, whereas the fourth constitutes the C-terminus of TUFT1. Each of them  
48 possesses one or two glutamic acid (E) and one lysine (K) or arginine (R). Remarkable  
49 positions highlighted by our evolutionary analyses are summarized in SM7.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## Positive selection

Positive selection means that one allele was selected because it improves fitness. Therefore, positive selection increases the prevalence of adaptive traits. The results of purifying selection are generally easy to interpret. However, this is not the case for the results of positive selection, because the residues detected as being positively selected are often not related to a motif, and hence interpretation of a putative role is difficult. Another problem of positive selection is the possibility of obtaining false positive results. However, our analysis of TUFT1 indicates that several positively-selected positions are located in close proximity to one another, and belong to two putative motifs, which were not under purifying selection.

Four positions under positive selection (<sup>131</sup>SLHR) are located in a TUFT1 region that was previously described as a “calcium-binding domain”, but predicted to be variable in our evolutionary analysis. We do not know the role of this motif, however, its unknown function was acquired recently in mammalian history, most probably in placental mammals, which could explain why that motif was not detected as being important in our analysis. Therefore, the potential role of TUFT1 in mineralisation (Deutsch et al. 2002) could be a recent feature, on the geological scale.

The second motif revealed as being positively selected is the <sup>157</sup>sPPE encoded by the 3' region of exon 6. This short sequence was predicted by Prosite as a casein kinase II phosphorylation site (SppE) but not validated by our evolutionary analysis of conserved positions. As discussed above, the positive selection of the two prolines probably occurred recently in the mammalian lineage and could mean that this motif is functional in placental mammals for example, strengthening the phosphorylation pattern of TUFT1 (Deutsch et al. 2002). A mutated enamelin phosphorylation site causes amelogenesis imperfecta (Chan et al. 2010), which emphasizes the importance of conservation of phosphorylation pattern during evolution of secretory calcium-binding phosphoproteins (Al-Hashimi et al. 2009; Silvent et al.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

2013). Our results confirmed the importance of mineralization among the different functions of these ubiquitous proteins by reinforcement of phosphorylation.

In addition, the presence of a positively selected valine at position 255, close to the well-conserved <sup>256</sup>ALEE motif, could increase the fitness and the role of this highly conserved motif, although its role is not yet known.

Finally, the putative role of the <sup>163</sup>TCI motif encoded by exon 7 and composed of three positively selected residues is unknown. The presence of a cysteine could be important if it were involved in the formation of a disulfide bond that could promote a new tertiary structure for TUFT1. However, none of the other cysteines in TUFT1 are conserved, which does not support such a model.

### **Alternative splicing**

Alternatively spliced TUFT1 mRNA transcripts have been detected in different tissues (Mao et al. 2001; Deutsch et al. 2002). An isoform lacking exon 2 was identified in the mouse kidney, whereas another lacking exon 2, part of exon 4, exon 5-11 and part of exon 12 was found in mouse liver. Various TUFT1 isoforms were also identified in tooth buds (lacking exon 2, or 3 or 6). Both the lack of exons 5-9 in some transcripts and the fact that they are variable could indicate their recent recruitment, i.e. in tetrapod ancestors for example. This hypothesis could also explain the presence of amino acids under positive selection in exons 6 and 7 (new constraints resulting from new selective pressures). It should be noted that these two alternatively spliced exons each have a two-amino acid motif under strong positive selection.

### **Relationships of TUFT1**

1 The single protein we found related to TUFT1 is MYZAP. Both proteins share some amino  
2 acid sequence similarities and lack a signal peptide, and their genes display similar exonic  
3 structures. These findings suggest that one might have derived from the other after  
4 duplication of an ancestral gene. Their probable presence in the genome of ancestral  
5 osteichthyans and their location on different chromosomes could indicate that their origin  
6 dates back to the genome duplication that occurred at the onset of vertebrate diversification,  
7 approximately 500 million years ago. The long history of these two proteins could explain  
8 why they do not share many similarities.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **Additional remarks**

23  
24 Recent functional studies pointed to the involvement of TUFT1 in adaptation to hypoxia and  
25 in differentiation of neurons (Leiser et al. 2010, Deutsch et al. 2011). However, no interacting  
26 proteins or sequences within TUFT1 were indicated as being involved in these functions. Two  
27 putative HIF consensus DNA binding sites, compatible with hypoxia responsive elements  
28 (HRE, 5'-RCGTG-3') in the *TUFT1* promoter region, at positions -1296 and -27 of human  
29 *TUFT1* (upstream to exon 1; GenBank: AH009496.1), and at positions -130 and -8 of the  
30 mouse *TUFT1* promoter (GenBank: NC\_000069.5), were identified (Leiser et al. 2010).  
31 These sequences, which were not included in the current analyses, point to the regulatory role  
32 of HIF1a on TUFT1 expression.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 TUFT1 is an acidic protein and although predictions of its secondary structure reveals  
47 two long coiled-coil regions, consurf and other prediction algorithms indicate that most  
48 residues are exposed, whereas a few buried residues could contribute to 3D structure. Hence,  
49 analysis of linear motifs was performed to reveal motifs predicting function. Unlike  
50 amelogenin, a search for linear motifs using the eukaryotic linear motif (ELM) server (Dinkel  
51 et al. 2016) revealed no motifs. The major contribution of our consurf analysis was to  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 highlight four unknown regions under strong purifying selection and indicate functional and  
 2 buried residues, while previous studies can only point out (i) motifs indicated by yeast two-  
 3 hybrid systems (TIP39 and self-assembly), (ii) results of previous *in silico* analysis (Ca  
 4 binding domain), or (iii) post-translational modifications that might point to a function.  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9

## 10 11 12 **References**

- 13  
 14 Al-Hashimi N, Sire JY, Delgado S (2009) Evolutionary Analysis of Mammalian Enamelin,  
 15 the Largest Enamel Protein, Supports a Crucial Role for the 32 kDa Peptide and Reveals  
 16 Selective Adaptation in Rodents and Primates. *J Mol Evol* 69(6):635-656.  
 17  
 18 Ashkenazy H, Erez E, Martz E, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N (2010) ConSurf 2010: calculating  
 19 evolutionary conservation in sequence and structure of proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic*  
 20 *Acids Res* 38 (Web Server issue):W529-533.  
 21  
 22 Bashir MM, Abrams WR, Tucker T, Sellinger B, Budarf M, Emanuel B, Rosenbloom J  
 23 (1998) Molecular cloning and characterization of the bovine and human tuftelin genes.  
 24 *Connect. Tissue Res* 39:13-24.  
 25  
 26 Celniker G, Nimrod G, Ashkenazy H, Glaser F, Martz E, Mayrose I, Pupko T, Ben-Tal N  
 27 (2013) ConSurf: Using evolutionary data to raise testable hypotheses about protein  
 28 function. *Isr J Chem* 53:199-206.  
 29  
 30 Chan HC, Mai L, Oikonomopoulou A, Chan HL, Richardson AS, Wang SK, Simmer JP, Hu  
 31 JC (2010) Altered enamel phosphorylation site causes amelogenesis imperfecta. *J Dent*  
 32 *Res* 89:695-699.  
 33  
 34 Deutsch D (1989) Structure and function of enamel gene products. *Anat Rec* 224:189-210.  
 35  
 36 Deutsch D, Palmon A, Fisher LW, Kolodny N, Termine JD, Young MF (1991) Sequencing of  
 37 bovine enamel (‘‘tuftelin’’) a novel acidic enamel protein. *J Biol Chem* 266:16021-16028.  
 38  
 39 Deutsch D, Palmon A, Young MF, Selig S, Kearns WG, Fisher LW (1994) Mapping of the  
 40 human tuftelin (TUFT1) gene to chromosome 1 by fluorescence in situ hybridization.  
 41 *Mamm. Genome* 5:461-462.  
 42  
 43 Deutsch D, Palmon A, Dafni L, Catalano-Sherman J, Young MF, Fisher LW (1995) The  
 44 enamel (tuftelin) gene. *Int Dev Biol* 39:135-143.  
 45  
 46 Deutsch D, Palmon A, Dafni L, Mao Z, Leytin V, Young M, Fisher LW (1998) Tuftelin –  
 47 aspects of protein and gene structure. *Eur J Oral Sci* 106 (Suppl. 1): 315–323.  
 48  
 49 Deutsch D, Shay B, Rosenfeld E, Leiser Y, Fermon E, Taylor A, Charuvi K, Cohen Y, Haze  
 50 A, Fuks A, Dafni L, Mao Z (2002) The human tuftelin gene and the expression of tuftelin  
 51 in mineralizing and nonmineralizing tissues. *Connect Tissue Res* 43:425-434.  
 52  
 53 Deutsch D, Silverstein N, Shilo D, Lecht S, Lazarovici P, Blumenfeld A (2011) Biphasic  
 54 influence of hypoxia on tuftelin expression in mouse mesenchymal C3H10T1/2 stem cells.  
 55 *Eur J Oral Sci* 119 (suppl.1):55-61.  
 56  
 57 Dinkel H, Van Roey K, Michael S, Kumar M, Uyar B, Altenberg B, Milchevskaya V,  
 58 Schneider M, Kühn H, Behrendt A, Dahl SL, Damerell V, Diebel S, Kalman S, Klein S,  
 59  
 60  
 61  
 62  
 63  
 64  
 65

1 Knudsen AC, Mäder C, Merrill S, Staudt A, Thiel V, Welti L, Davey NE, Diella F, Gibson  
2 TJ (2016) ELM 2016-data update and new functionality of the eukaryotic linear motif  
3 resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44(D1):D294-300.

4 Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high  
5 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32(5):1792-1797.

6  
7 Endo T, Ikeo K, Gojobori T (1996) Large-scale search for genes on which positive selection  
8 may operate. *Mol Biol Evol* 13:685-690.

9  
10 Jeremias FL, Koruyucu M, Kuchler EC, Bayram M, Tuna EB, Deeley K, Pierri RA, Souza JF,  
11 Fragelli CM, Paschoal MA, Gencay K, Seymen F, Caminaga RM, dos Santos-Pinto L,  
12 Vieira AR (2013) Genes expressed in dental enamel development are associated with  
13 molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Arch Oral Biol* 58:1434-1442.

14  
15 Kosakovsky Pond SL, Frost SDW (2005) A genetic algorithm approach to detecting lineage-  
16 specific variation in selection pressure. *Mol Biol Evol* 22:478-485.

17  
18 Kosakovsky Pond SL, Frost SDW, Muse SV (2005) HyPhy: hypothesis testing using  
19 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 21:676-679.

20  
21 Leiser Y, Blumenfeld A, Haze A, Dafni L, Taylor AL, Rosenfeld E, Fermon, E, Gruenbaum-  
22 Cohen Y, Shay B, Deutsch D (2007) Localization, quantification, and characterization of  
23 tuftelin in soft tissues. *Anat Rec* 290:449-454.

24  
25 Leiser Y, Silverstein NC, Blumenfeld A, Shilo D, Haze A, Rosenfeld E, Shay B, Tabakman  
26 R, Lecht S, Lazarovici P, Deutsch D (2010) The induction of tuftelin expression in PC12  
27 cell line during hypoxia and NGF induced differentiation. *J. Cell Physiol* 226:165-172.

28  
29 MacDougall M, Simmons D, Dodds A, Knight C, Luan X, Zeichner-David M, Zhang C, Ryu  
30 OH, Qian Q, Simmer JP, Hu C-C (1998) Cloning, characterization, and tissue expression  
31 pattern of mouse tuftelin cDNA. *J Dent Res* 77:1970-1978.

32  
33 Mao Z, Shay B, Hekmati M, Fermon E, Taylor A, Dafni L, Heikenheimo K, Lustmann J,  
34 Fisher LW, Young MF, Deutsch D (2001) The human tuftelin gene: cloning and  
35 characterization. *Gene* 279:181-196.

36  
37 Meredith RW1, Janečka JE, Gatesy J, Ryder OA, Fisher CA, Teeling EC, Goodbla A, Eizirik  
38 E, Simão TL, Stadler T, Rabosky DL, Honeycutt RL, Flynn JJ, Ingram CM, Steiner C,  
39 Williams TL, Robinson TJ, Burk-Herrick A, Westerman M, Ayoub NA, Springer MS,  
40 Murphy WJ (2011) Impacts of the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and KPg extinction  
41 on mammal diversification. *Science* 334(6055):521-524.

42  
43 Paine CT, Paine ML, Luo W, Okamoto CT, Lyngstadaas SP, Snead ML (2000) A tuftelin-  
44 interacting protein (TIP39) localizes to the apical secretory pole of mouse ameloblasts. *J*  
45 *Biol Chem* 275:22284-22292.

46  
47 Patir A, Seymen F, Yildirim M, Deeley K, Cooper ME, Marazita ML, Vieira AR (2008)  
48 Enamel formation genes are associated with high caries experience in Turkish children.  
49 *Caries Res* 42:394-400.

50  
51 Pieperhoff S, Rickelt S, Heid H, Claycomb WC, Zimbelmann R, Kuhn C, Winter-  
52 Simanowski S, Kuhn C, Frey N, Franke WW (2012) The plaque protein myozap identified  
53 as a novel major component of adhering junctions in endothelia of the blood and the lymph  
54 vascular systems. *J Cell Mol Med* 16:1709-1719.

55  
56 Rickelt S, Kuhn C, Winter-Simanowski S, Zimbelmann R, Frey N, Franke WW (2011)  
57 Protein myozap--a late addition to the molecular ensembles of various kinds of adherens  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

junctions. *Cell Tissue Res* 346:347-359.

1 Schmid K, Yang Z (2008) The trouble with sliding windows and the selective pressure in  
2 BRCA1. *PLoS ONE* 3:e3746

3  
4 Sergei L. Kosakovsky Pond SL, Spencer V, Muse SV (2005) HyPhy: Hypothesis testing  
5 using Phylogenies. In *Statistical Methods for Molecular Evolution. Statistics for Biology*  
6 *and Health, Part II*, pp. 125-181.

7  
8 Shay B, Gruenbaum-Cohen Y, Tucker AS, Taylor AL, Rosenfeld E, Haze A, Dafni L, Leiser  
9 Y, Fermon E, Danieli T, Blumenfeld A, Deutsch D (2009) High yield expression of  
10 biologically active recombinant full length human tuftelin protein in baculovirus-infected  
11 insect cells. *Protein Expr Purif* 68:90-98.

12  
13 Silvent J, Sire JY, Delgado S (2013) The Dentin Matrix Acidic Phosphoprotein 1 (DMP1) in  
14 the light of mammalian evolution. *J Mol Evol* 76(1-2):59-70.

15  
16 Stanek, D, Pridalova-Hnilicova J, Novotny I, Huranova M, Blazikova M, Wen X, Sapra AK,  
17 Neugebauer KM (2008) Spliceosomal small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles repeatedly  
18 cycle through Cajal bodies. *Mol Biol Cell* 19:2534-2543.

19  
20 Stern A, Doron-Faigenboim A, Erez E, Martz E, Bacharach E, Pupko T (2007) Selecton 2007:  
21 advanced models for detecting positive and purifying selection using a Bayesian inference  
22 approach. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35(Web Server issue):W506-511.

23  
24 Sigrist CJA, Cerutti L, de Castro E, Langendijk-GenevauxPS, Bulliard V, Bairoch A, Hulo N  
25 (2010) PROSITE, a protein domain database for functional characterization and  
26 annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 38(Database issue):D161-D166.

27  
28 Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, and Kumar S (2011) MEGA5:  
29 Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary  
30 distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28:2731-2739.

31  
32 Tsunoyama K, Gojobori T (1998) Evolution of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits. *Mol*  
33 *Biol Evol* 15:518-527.

34  
35 Wen X, Lei Y-P, Zhou YL, Okamoto CT, Snead ML, Paine ML (2005) Structural  
36 organization and cellular localization of tuftelin-interacting protein 11 (TFIP11). *Cell Mol*  
37 *Life Sci* 62:1038-1046.

38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Evolutionary analysis of the mammalian TUFT1 sequences using SLAC (A) and the Sliding Window (B) analysis.

A. Non-synonymous substitution rate (dN) along TUFT1 sequences.

B. Logarithm of substitution rate per site along the TUFT1 sequences estimated for a window of 15 bp with an overlap of 5 bp between each pair of windows.

The regions with the lowest rate of non-synonymous substitution (A) and the lower Ln likelihood (B) indicate strong constraints, which reflect high selective pressures.

**Figure 2.** Distance tree obtained from alignment of the 82 mammalian *TUFT1* sequences.

The longer the branches are, the higher the evolutionary rate of the taxa. Scale bar = number of substitutions per site.

**Figure 3.** Evolutionary chart of TUFT1 calculated from the 82 mammalian sequences. This

chart is a simplification of Consurf analysis (SM4), and was deduced from the results

obtained when dN/dS was calculated at each codon of TUFT1 by Conseq (purifying

selection) and by Selecton (positive selection). The human sequence was used as reference.

Positions subjected to purifying selection are marked as black (conserved positions) and grey

(conservative positions) background. Positively selected positions are indicated with an

asterisk. The two frames represent putative functional domains predicted in previous studies

(see references in text).

**Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the human TUFT1 sequence, on which are indicated

the domains and remarkable positions identified in previous studies and present work (after

Deutsch et al., 2002). The regions and amino acid positions that were conserved during 200

1 million years of mammalian evolution are indicated in green. They are predicted to play an  
2 important role either for the function or the structure of the protein. In contrast, the regions  
3 and positions that are considered variable are indicated in red. It is worth noting that all  
4  
5 and positions that are considered variable are indicated in red. It is worth noting that all  
6  
7 cysteines and most positions predicted by *Prosite* as being functional were not validated by  
8  
9 our analyses.  
10

11  
12  
13  
14 **Supplementary material 1 (SM1).** Scientific names, common names, families, orders and  
15 references in GenBank for the 82 *TUFT1* sequences used in our study. Published sequences in  
16  
17 bold. Alphabetical order of Latin names. Amino acid sequences are available in SM2. XM\_  
18  
19 and NM\_ sequences were obtained from *NCBI* database. ENS sequences from *Ensembl*  
20  
21 release 80 and 81. Other sequences were obtained in GenBank using blast on genomes being  
22  
23 sequenced [<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/wgs/>].  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 **Supplementary material 2 (SM2).** Amino acid sequences of the 82 mammalian TUFT1  
32 proteins included in the study. Number of amino acids between brackets. ? = unknown  
33  
34 residues.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Supplementary material 3 (SM3).** The 82 mammalian TUFT1 sequences were aligned  
42  
43 against the human sequence and were ordered following mammalian relationships. (|= exon  
44  
45 limits; (.)= residue identical to human TUFT1 residue; (-)= indel; (?)= unknown amino acid;  
46  
47 (\*)= stop codon. See SM2 for amino acid sequences.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 **Supplementary material 4 (SM4).** Results of the ConSurf analysis of the TUFT1 sequences  
54  
55 from 82 mammalian species, revealing a panorama of the various selections acting on  
56  
57  
58 TUFT1.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2 **Supplementary material 5 (SM5).** Prediction of post translational modifications of the  
3  
4 human TUFT1 sequence using *Prosite* database.  
5  
6

7  
8  
9 **Supplementary material 6 (SM6).** Statistical tests supporting Consurf analysis.  
10  
11

12  
13  
14 **Supplementary material 7 (SM7).** Non-exhaustive list of remarkable amino acid  
15  
16 positions of TUFT1 highlighted in our study.  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 **Supplementary material 8 (SM8).** Results of the Conseq analysis of TUFT1 sequences from  
22  
23 82 mammalian species.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




Figure 2. Delgado et al.






Figure 4. Delgado et al.







Figure 1. Delgado et al.



Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM9.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM2.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM3.pdf











Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM4.jpg






Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM5.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM1.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM6.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM7.pdf





Click here to access/download  
**Supplementary Material**  
SM8.pdf

