

Cerebrovascular events are associated with lower survival in giant cell arteritis: a case-controlled multicenter study

Thibaud Chazal, Priscille Couture, Charlotte Rosso, Julien Haroche, Anne Léger, Baptiste Hervier, Sandrine Deltour, Pierre Rufat, Zahir Amoura, Fleur Cohen Aubart

▶ To cite this version:

Thibaud Chazal, Priscille Couture, Charlotte Rosso, Julien Haroche, Anne Léger, et al.. Cerebrovascular events are associated with lower survival in giant cell arteritis: a case-controlled multicenter study. Joint Bone Spine, 2017, 10.1016/j.jbspin.2017.05.017. hal-01537866

HAL Id: hal-01537866 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01537866

Submitted on 13 Jun2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Cerebrovascular events are associated with lower survival in giant cell arteritis: a casecontrolled multicenter study

Thibaud Chazal¹, Priscille Couture¹, Charlotte Rosso^{2,3,4}, Julien Haroche^{1,4}, Anne Léger^{2,3}, Baptiste Hervier⁵, Sandrine Deltour^{2,3}, Pierre Rufat⁶, Zahir Amoura^{1,4}, Fleur Cohen Aubart^{1,4}

- Service de Médecine 2, Institut e3m, Centre National de Référence Maladies Systémiques Rares, Lupus systémique et Syndrome des Anticorps Antiphospholipides, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, 75013, Paris, France.
- (2) Service des Urgences cérébrovasculaires, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance
 Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013, Paris, France.
- (3) INSERM U 1127, CNRS UMR 7225, Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle épinière, Sorbonnes Universités, 75013, Paris, France.
- (4) Université Paris VI Pierre et Marie Curie, Sorbonnes Universités, 75013, Paris, France.
- (5) Département d'immunologie clinique, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013, Paris, France.
- (6) Service de Biostatistiques, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, 75013, Paris, France.

Correspondence to Fleur Cohen Aubart, Service de Médecine Interne 2, Institut e3m, Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47-83 Boulevard de l'hôpital, 75 651 Paris cedex 13, France.

E-mail: fleur.cohen@aphp.fr

Phone +33 1 42 17 82 42, Fax + 33 1 42 16 58 04

Giant-cell arteritis (**GCA**) is a large-vessel vasculitis usually affecting individuals over 50 years old; half of cases are associated with polymyalgia rheumatica [1]. The aorta and its large arterial branches are typically involved, particularly the branches of the external carotids, leading to headache, jaw claudication, scalp tenderness and temporal artery thickening [2]. GCA affects the aorta and its large branches in up to 83% of cases, leading to aneurysms or arterial stenosis [3]. Internal carotids and vertebral arteries are less commonly involved and may be responsible for ischemic cerebrovascular events in cases of stenosis or occlusion. Strokes in GCA patients occur frequently in the first year after diagnosis and may sometimes be the initial symptom of GCA. The impact of stroke on mortality in GCA patients has not been well evaluated.

Thus, we conducted a retrospective, multicenter, case-controlled study to evaluate the survival of patients with cerebrovascular events in GCA and to characterize the clinical and imaging presentations of this GCA manifestation, in the department of internal medicine and the stroke unit of a French university hospital. Patients who received a definite diagnosis of GCA according to the American College of Rheumatology criteria [2, 4] and who experienced a cerebrovascular event were identified through the computerized local database (Programme de Médicalisation des Systèmes d'Information, PMSI). Cerebrovascular events related to atrial fibrillation or overt atherosclerotic lesions were excluded. The control group patients were also identified through the PMSI and medical records were obtained to confirm the absence of neurological signs. These patients had also received a definite GCA diagnosis and were matched in a 1:1 ratio on sex and age at GCA diagnosis with patients of the group "cerebrovascular event". Differences between groups were tested using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous data and Fisher's exact test for qualitative data. Survival curves were built with the Kaplan-Meier method, considering the time of

2

diagnosis of GCA to death or last follow-up. All tests were two-sided and a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism V 6.0 (GraphPad software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Fourteen patients receiving a diagnosis of GCA between 2002 and 2016 and having a cerebrovascular accident at diagnosis or during follow-up were included as well as 14 controls matched on sex and age at GCA diagnosis. Baseline characteristics of the patients are detailed in **Table 1**.

The characteristics of the cerebrovascular events are detailed in **Table 2**. Four patients died during follow-up in the cerebrovascular event group and one in the control group. The survival was greater in the control group (p=0.03, Figure 1). Two patients died shortly after stroke because of recurrence of ischemic events in one patient and hemorrhagic transformation for the other. The cause of death for the 2 other patients was not known. The stroke relapsed in 2 patients (14 %) after 10 days and 58 months, respectively. Two patients experienced post-stroke seizures during the follow-up and were treated with an anti-epileptic drug. At the end of the follow-up, 5 patients out of 10 (50 %) had persistent neurologic sequelae. In this study, we demonstrate that the mortality is higher in GCA patients with cerebrovascular events. The occurrence of stroke among GCA patients has been described in several series or isolated case reports [5-15]. A recent meta-analysis confirmed the increased risk of cerebrovascular events among this population (risk ratio of 1.4 with 95% CI: 1.27-1.56) [15]. In our study, 4 patients had a stroke as the initial sign of GCA. Three additional patients had a stroke a few days after the diagnosis of GCA and the initiation of corticosteroids. This finding was consistent with studies showing that the risk of cerebrovascular events was higher during the first year after GCA diagnosis or even during the first month [6, 14]. We found that strokes occurring during GCA more likely involved the vertebrobasilar territory, accounting for 35 % of events,

3

whereas it usually represents less than 15% in atherosclerotic or cardioembolic cerebrovascular events [16]. There was no difference in our study between cases and controls in the frequencies of cardiovascular risk factors despite previous studies that reported that strokes in GCA were associated with more cardiovascular risk factors [17], which suggested that intracranial vessel involvement is a specific manifestation of the disease. The temporal link between GCA flares and strokes is highly suggestive of an inflammatory mechanism for stroke in GCA patients rather than an atherosclerotic mechanism. Finally, we demonstrated that GCA patients with stroke have a lower survival than sex- and age-matched GCA patients who do not have clinical signs of cerebrovascular involvement. Some studies report that low dose aspirin use may decrease the risk of cerebrovascular events during GCA follow-up while others could not find a significant benefit of antiplatelet therapy or statin use in preventing these events [10, 18]. Given the mortality and neurologic sequelae associated with stroke in GCA, the use of an immunosuppressive drug in addition to standard corticosteroids should be considered in case of inflammatory vascular disease.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest and no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. This work was not supported by any funding.

All the authors (TC, PC, CR, JH, AL, BH, SD, PR, ZA and FCA) contributed to drafting/revising the manuscript for content and study design as well as analysis and interpretation of the data. TC, PC, CR, BH, PR and FCA contributed to the acquisition of data.

TC and FCA conducted the statistical analysis.

ZA and FCA coordinated the study.

All the authors approved the final submitted version.

References

- [1] Buttgereit F, Dejaco C, Matteson EL, et al. Polymyalgia Rheumatica and Giant Cell Arteritis: A Systematic Review. Jama 2016;315:2442-58.
- [2] Jennette JC, Falk RJ, Bacon PA, et al. 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides. Arthritis and rheumatism 2013;65:1-11.
- [3] Kermani TA, Schmidt J, Crowson CS, et al. Utility of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein for the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism 2012;41:866-71.
- [4] Hunder GG, Bloch DA, Michel BA, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of giant cell arteritis. Arthritis and rheumatism 1990;33:1122-8.
- [5] Lariviere D, Sacre K, Klein I, et al. Extra- and intracranial cerebral vasculitis in giant cell arteritis: an observational study. Medicine 2014;93:e265.
- [6] Amiri N, De Vera M, Choi HK, et al. Increased risk of cardiovascular disease in giant cell arteritis: a general population-based study. Rheumatology 2016;55:33-40.
- [7] Cid MC, Font C, Oristrell J, et al. Association between strong inflammatory response and low risk of developing visual loss and other cranial ischemic complications in giant cell (temporal) arteritis. Arthritis and rheumatism 1998;41:26-32.
- [8] Gonzalez-Gay MA, Vazquez-Rodriguez TR, Gomez-Acebo I, et al. Strokes at time of disease diagnosis in a series of 287 patients with biopsy-proven giant cell arteritis. Medicine 2009;88:227-35.
- [9] Hussami A, Casulli C, Fayard C, et al. Vertebrobasilar stroke secondary to giant-cell arteritis without biological inflammatory syndrome. Revue neurologique 2016;172:250-2.
- [10] Narvaez J, Bernad B, Gomez-Vaquero C, et al. Impact of antiplatelet therapy in the development of severe ischemic complications and in the outcome of patients with giant cell arteritis. Clinical and experimental rheumatology 2008;26:S57-62.
- [11] Nesher G, Berkun Y, Mates M, et al. Low-dose aspirin and prevention of cranial ischemic complications in giant cell arteritis. Arthritis and rheumatism 2004;50:1332-7.
- [12] Pego-Reigosa R, Garcia-Porrua C, Pineiro A, et al. Predictors of cerebrovascular accidents in giant cell arteritis in a defined population. Clinical and experimental rheumatology 2004;22:S13-7.
- [13] Solans-Laque R, Bosch-Gil JA, Molina-Catenario CA, et al. Stroke and multi-infarct dementia as presenting symptoms of giant cell arteritis: report of 7 cases and review of the literature. Medicine 2008;87:335-44.
- [14] Tomasson G, Peloquin C, Mohammad A, et al. Risk for cardiovascular disease early and late after a diagnosis of giant-cell arteritis: a cohort study. Annals of internal medicine 2014;160:73-80.
- [15] Ungprasert P, Wijarnpreecha K, Koster MJ, et al. Cerebrovascular accident in patients with giant cell arteritis: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism 2016.
- [16] Horie N, Tateishi Y, Morikawa M, et al. Acute stroke with major intracranial vessel occlusion: Characteristics of cardioembolism and atherosclerosis-related in situ stenosis/occlusion. Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia 2016;32:24-9.
- [17] Gonzalez-Gay MA, Pineiro A, Gomez-Gigirey A, et al. Influence of traditional risk factors of atherosclerosis in the development of severe ischemic complications in giant cell arteritis. Medicine 2004;83:342-7.
- [18] Narvaez J, Bernad B, Nolla JM, et al. Statin therapy does not seem to benefit giant cell arteritis. Seminars in arthritis and rheumatism 2007;36:322-7.

Figure 1. Survival in GCA patients with and without stroke showing significant lower survival in

patients with stroke (p=0.03).

	GCA patients with stroke (N = 14)	Controls (N = 14)
Age (at GCA diagnosis), years, median (range)	73.5 (65-82)	73.5 (65-83)
Male/Female	3/11	3/11
Follow-up duration, years, median	5 26 (0 1 12 2)	2 91 (0 55 7 16)
(range)	5.20 (0.1-12.5)	5.61 (0.55-7.10)
Cardiovascular disease risk factors		
 Smoking, pack-years 	21.4 (26.8)	6.86 (10.7)
 Dyslipidemia (%) 	6 (42%)	4 (28%)
- Hypertension (%)	10 (71%)	8 (57%)
 Diabetes mellitus (%) 	3 (21%)	4 (28%)
 Coronaropathy (%) 	3 (21%)	2 (14%)
 Body mass index, median (range) 	24.85 (19.5-33)	24.08 (16.4-51)
 Familial history of MI or stroke (%) 	1 (7%)	0 (0%)
 Peripheral artery disease (%) 	2 (14%)	1 (7%)
Atrial fibrillation (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Symptoms at diagnosis		
- Headaches (%)	10 (71%)	10 (71%)
 Vision impairment (%) 	6 (42%)	4 (28%)
 Scalp tenderness (%) 	7 (50%)	7 (50%)
 Jaw claudication (%) 	5 (35%)	7 (50%)
- Fever (%)	4 (28%)	8 (57%)
 Loss of weight (kg), mean (SD) 	-1.9 (2.90)	-2.5 (2.98)
- Arthralgia (%)	7 (50%)	8 (57%)
Biological tests at GCA diagnosis		
(median)		
- ESR (mm/h)	76 (33)	79 (41)
- CRP (mg/L)*	49.5 (50.1)	122 (121)
- Fibrinogen (g/L)	6.96 (2.5)	8.08 (2.3)
- Hb (g/dL)	11.9 (1.12)	11.4 (1.95)
 Platelets (x 10^3 /mm3) 	453 (189)	414 (150)
Positive temporal artery biopsy (%)	11 (78%)	7 (50%)
Treatment at diagnosis		
- Corticosteroids (%)	14 (100%)	14 (100%)
- Methotrexate (%)	2 (14%)	0 (0%)
 Antiplatelet therapies (%) 	11 (78%)	9 (64%)
Death (%)	4 (28%)	1 (7%)

 Table 1. Demographic, clinical and biological presentation of cases and controls.

GCA: giant-cell arteritis, MI: myocardial infarction, SD: standard deviation, GGT: gamma glutamyl transferase, Hb: hemoglobin, ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein * p < 0.05

N = 14 Symptoms - Headache (%) 5 (35%) - Aphasia (%) 2 (14%) - Hemiparesis (%) 10 (71%) - Cerebellar ataxia (%) 1 (7%) - Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) 13 (93%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory 3 (0-17) - Anterior cerebral artery (%) 0 (0%) - Middle cerebral artery (%) 8 (57%) - Posterior cerebral artery (%) 5 (35%) - Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
Symptoms - Headache (%) 5 (35%) - Aphasia (%) 2 (14%) - Hemiparesis (%) 10 (71%) - Cerebellar ataxia (%) 1 (7%) - Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) 13 (93%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory 3 (0-17) - Anterior cerebral artery (%) 0 (0%) - Middle cerebral artery (%) 5 (35%) - Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
- Headache (%) 5 (35%) - Aphasia (%) 2 (14%) - Hemiparesis (%) 10 (71%) - Cerebellar ataxia (%) 1 (7%) - Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) 13 (93%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory - - Anterior cerebral artery (%) 0 (0%) - Middle cerebral artery (%) 8 (57%) - Posterior cerebral artery (%) 5 (35%) - Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
 Aphasia (%) Hemiparesis (%) Cerebellar ataxia (%) Cerebellar ataxia (%) Visual impairment (%) Yisual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory Anterior cerebral artery (%) Middle cerebral artery (%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Multiple infarcts (%) Internal carotid stenosis (%)
 Hemiparesis (%) Cerebellar ataxia (%) Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory Anterior cerebral artery (%) Middle cerebral artery (%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) G (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%)
 Cerebellar ataxia (%) Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) 13 (93%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory Anterior cerebral artery (%) Middle cerebral artery (%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%)
 Visual impairment (%) 3 (21%) Transient ischemic attack (%) 1 (7%) Ischemic stroke (%) 13 (93%) Median NIHSS at admission (range) 3 (0-17) Involved territory Anterior cerebral artery (%) 0 (0%) Middle cerebral artery (%) 8 (57%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) 5 (35%) Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%)
Transient ischemic attack (%)1 (7%)Ischemic stroke (%)13 (93%)Median NIHSS at admission (range)3 (0-17)Involved territory0 (0%)- Anterior cerebral artery (%)0 (0%)- Middle cerebral artery (%)8 (57%)- Posterior cerebral artery (%)5 (35%)- Cerebellar artery (%)1 (7%)Multiple infarcts (%)6 (42%)Internal carotid stenosis (%)6 (42%)
Ischemic stroke (%)13 (93%)Median NIHSS at admission (range)3 (0-17)Involved territory3 (0-17)- Anterior cerebral artery (%)0 (0%)- Middle cerebral artery (%)8 (57%)- Posterior cerebral artery (%)5 (35%)- Cerebellar artery (%)1 (7%)Multiple infarcts (%)6 (42%)Internal carotid stenosis (%)6 (42%)
Median NIHSS at admission (range)3 (0-17)Involved territory0 (0%)- Anterior cerebral artery (%)0 (0%)- Middle cerebral artery (%)8 (57%)- Posterior cerebral artery (%)5 (35%)- Cerebellar artery (%)1 (7%)Multiple infarcts (%)6 (42%)Internal carotid stenosis (%)6 (42%)
Involved territory0 (0%)- Anterior cerebral artery (%)0 (0%)- Middle cerebral artery (%)8 (57%)- Posterior cerebral artery (%)5 (35%)- Cerebellar artery (%)1 (7%)Multiple infarcts (%)6 (42%)Internal carotid stenosis (%)6 (42%)
 Anterior cerebral artery (%) Middle cerebral artery (%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%)
 Middle cerebral artery (%) Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%)
 Posterior cerebral artery (%) Cerebellar artery (%) 1 (7%) Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
- Cerebellar artery (%)1 (7%)Multiple infarcts (%)6 (42%)Internal carotid stenosis (%)6 (42%)
Multiple infarcts (%) 6 (42%) Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
Internal carotid stenosis (%) 6 (42%)
Internal carotid thrombosis (%) 1 (7%)
Vertebral artery stenosis (%) 7 (50%)
Vertebral artery thromhosis (%)
Basilar artery stenosis (%) 3 (21%)
CRP at time of stroke (mg/L) median (range) 6 (2-68)
Treatment at stroke diagnosis
- Corticosteroids (%) 6 (42%)
- Corticosteroids median dose (mg/day) 14 (25)
(SD)
- Statin (%) (28%)
- Antiplatelet therapy (%) 4 (28%)
- Immunosuppressive drug (%) $0 (0\%)$
Treatment after stroke
- Thrombolytic therapy (%) 1 (7%)
- Antiplatelet therapy (%) 11 (78%)
- Anticoagulants (%) $4(28\%)$
- Corticosteroids (%) 11 (78%)
$Median dose (mg/day) (range) \qquad \qquad$
- Intravenous methylprednisolone 3 (21%)
- Methotrevate (%) 2 (1/%)
- Tocilizumah
Outcomes
- Neurologic sequelae at the end of follow- 5 (35%)
un (%)
- Stroke recurrence 2 (1/%)
- Enilensy 2 (14%)
- Cognitive impairment 1 (7%)
- Mortality at 2 months 2 (14%)

 Table 2. Characteristics of stroke in GCA patients. NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; CRP C-reactive protein





Stroke+

Stroke-

-