

AC Induced Corrosion of Underground Steel Pipelines under Cathodic Protection: III. Theoretical Approach with Electrolyte Resistance and Double Layer Capacitance for Mixed Corrosion Kinetics

Ibrahim Ibrahim, Michel Meyer, Hisasi Takenouti, Bernard Tribollet

▶ To cite this version:

Ibrahim Ibrahim, Michel Meyer, Hisasi Takenouti, Bernard Tribollet. AC Induced Corrosion of Underground Steel Pipelines under Cathodic Protection: III. Theoretical Approach with Electrolyte Resistance and Double Layer Capacitance for Mixed Corrosion Kinetics. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 2017, 28 (8), pp.1483 - 1493. 10.21577/0103-5053.20160330. hal-01552712

HAL Id: hal-01552712 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01552712

Submitted on 3 Jul 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 28, No. 8, 1483-1493, 2017. Printed in Brazil - ©2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Química 0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00



AC Induced Corrosion of Underground Steel Pipelines under Cathodic Protection: III. Theoretical Approach with Electrolyte Resistance and Double Layer Capacitance for Mixed Corrosion Kinetics

Ibrahim Ibrahim,^{a,c} Michel Meyer,^b Hisasi Takenouti^{*,c,d} and Bernard Tribollet^{c,d}

^aAl-Andalus University, Tartus, Al Qadmus, Syria

^bENGIE, formerly GDFSUEZ, 361 avenue du Président Wilson, 93211 Saint Denis La Plaine, France

^cSorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 8235, Laboratoire Interfaces et Systèmes Electrochimiques (LISE), 75005 Paris, France

^dCNRS, UMR 8235, LISE, Case 133, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France

In this series of papers, AC induced corrosion of steel pipe under cathodic protection was investigated. In this Part III, the most realistic model was devised, i.e., the cathodic current was composed of the reductions of dissolved oxygen partly controlled by diffusion and of the water following the Tafel law. The cathodic protection potential necessary to mitigate the corrosion for various AC amplitudes were evaluated. In this modeling approach, no change of soil properties due to AC corrosion is considered. Mean DC values of corrosion potential shift and the corrosion current density in presence of AC signal were calculated in reduced scale making possible field applications by introducing an appropriate set of corrosion kinetics parameter collected without AC signal. In a highly corrosive soil, the reduction of dissolved oxygen is slow compared with that of the water reduction, and then the corrosion behavior becomes similar to the case examined in Part II.

Keywords: electrolyte resistance, double layer capacitance, faradaic rectification, digital simulation, mixed corrosion kinetics

Introduction

In this series of papers,^{1,2} AC enhanced corrosion (or simply AC corrosion) of underground steel structures under the cathodic protection was investigated by modeling and digital simulations. In Part I,¹ the case where these pipes are located in a highly electrical conducting soils, i.e., the electrolyte resistance is negligibly small, was considered. In contrast, more realistic cathodic process than other publications in the literature was dealt with.^{3,4} The cathodic reaction may follow a Tafel law corresponding to the reduction of water or may be composed of two reactions, the reduction of dissolved oxygen with partially diffusion limited process together with the reduction of water.^{1,5} The former model involves two tafelian reactions, one for anodic dissolution of steel and another one for the cathodic process, then this corrosion mechanism was named the bi-tafelian.¹⁻⁴ The latter involves three reactions, then this corrosion mechanism was called the three reaction mechanism.¹ Very recently, Ghanbari *et al.*⁶ published a similar subject combining experimental results and modeling approach with three reaction mechanism. We will discuss this new insight later on.

In this part, we will study the AC corrosion of three reaction corrosion mechanism where the electrolyte resistance R_E was no longer neglected as this was the case for bi-tafelian mechanism.² When R_E is taking into consideration, the contribution of the double layer capacitance C_d is no longer negligible, too. The double layer capacitance constitutes a by-pass circuit in parallel to the faradaic current (cf. Figure 1b on Part I).¹ Then, the sinusoidal potential perturbation is no longer applied entirely at the interface, and the ohmic potential drop through the soil electrolyte resistance modifies the effective potential E at the interface. Therefore, there is a strong coupling between the actual total current across the interface and the overall potential. In the three reaction mechanism, the reduction of dissolved oxygen takes place near the open circuit corrosion

^{*}e-mail: hisasi.takenouti@upmc.fr

potential $E_{corr,0}$. At higher cathodic potentials (more negative potentials) the reduction of the water becomes the major process. In this paper, as it was the case in Part II,² two cases were examined; one in a mildly corrosive soil with relatively low corrosion current density, $I_{corr,0}$, in absence of AC voltage, and another in a highly corrosive soil with $I_{corr,0}$ significantly higher than the diffusion limiting current density of the dissolved oxygen I_{LIM} .

The complete model, without any approximation is thus presented in this Part III. As explained in Part I,¹ the enhancement of the corrosion current density is due to the faradaic rectification as consequence of nonlinear property of the current-potential characteristics.^{1,3-5} Except the reference quoted above,⁶ no such complete system has been reported in the literature, besides we didn't find analytical solution of the mathematical model describing the AC enhanced corrosion. Digital simulations were consequently used as it was the case for Part II.²

Methodology

Reaction model and methodology

It is worth to recall that, as mentioned in Part I,¹ the underground steel pipe is covered with a thick organic coating, and the corrosion protection is completed by the cathodic protection. It is postulated, as in Part I for the sake of simplification on theoretical approach, that the effect of stray AC signal is limited to its kinetic effect, and no side effect such as the modification of soil chemistry close to coating defect will take place. The polarization curves in presence of AC signal will be also collected experimentally in a manuscript under preparation for experimental results. Then side effects such as the modification of local pH at the very vicinity of the electrode surface induced by enhanced cathodic current will be measured experimentally and discussed. The validity and the limit of simplification hypotheses used in this paper will then be discussed together with experimental observations.

Let the cathodic protection potential $U_0(V)$ in presence of AC signal of the peak amplitude $\Delta U(V)$ and the angular frequency ω (rad s⁻¹), the overall applied potential U at a certain distance from the delaminated area with a reference electrode can be expressed as:

$$U = U_0 + \Delta U \sin(\omega t) \tag{1}$$

In the corroding system where the electrolyte resistance R_E (for the geometrical unit surface of delaminated area, $\Omega \text{ cm}^2$) is no longer considered negligible, then the applied potential U, and the effective potential E (V) at the electrode

interface are different due to the ohmic potential drop term, $R_{\rm E}I$.

$$E = U - R_E I \tag{2}$$

where I is the current density (A cm⁻²) flowing across the electrode interface.

The anodic reaction can be assimilated to the dissolution of iron:

$$Fe \to Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-} \tag{3}$$

Its reaction rate in terms of the faradaic current density $I_{F,a}$ (A cm⁻²) will then be expressed with respect to the interface potential E and the corrosion current density I_{corr} (A cm⁻²) by:

$$I_{F,a} = I_{corr} exp[b_a(E - E_{corr})]$$
(4)

where $b_a(V^1)$ is the Tafel constant for the anodic reaction.

As mentioned above, the cathodic reaction is constituted of two components; one for the reduction of the dissolved oxygen:

$$O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 4OH^-$$
 (5)

Since the concentration of dissolved oxygen is rather low, this reaction may be partly controlled by the diffusion, often called the mixed kinetics. Let the limiting current density determined by the concentration of oxygen in the bulk solution I_{Lim} (A cm⁻²) and the reaction rate without any mass transfer effect of oxygen, I_{∞} (A cm⁻²), the cathodic current density I_{F,c,O_2} (A cm⁻²) can be calculated by Levich-Koutecky relationship:⁷

$$\frac{1}{I_{F,c,O_2}} = \frac{1}{I_{Lim}} + \frac{1}{I_{\infty}}$$
(6)

The diffusion limiting current density is expressed as:

$$I_{\rm Lim} = 4FD_{\rm O_2} \frac{\rm C_{\infty}}{\rm d}$$
(7)

where F, D_{O_2} , C_{∞} , C_0 , and d stand, respectively, Faraday (96485 A s mol⁻¹), diffusion coefficient of the dissolved oxygen (ca. 2 × 10⁻⁵ cm² s⁻¹ at room temperature), concentration of the dissolved oxygen in the solution bulk (2 × 10⁻⁷ mol mL⁻¹ at saturation), and the thickness of diffusion layer (cm).

 $I_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}$ follows a Tafel law, and thus at potential E it can be expressed by:

 I_{0,O_2} represents the current density (A cm⁻²) of the oxygen reduction reaction at E = 0 with respect to any reference electrode.

The second cathodic reaction is the reduction of water molecules themselves:

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^- \tag{9}$$

Reaction 9, from the thermodynamic viewpoint will take place at more cathodic potential, and can be expressed as:

$$I_{F,c,H,O} = I_{0,H,O} \exp(b_{c,H,O} E)$$
(10)

where $I_{0,H_{2}O}$ represents the current density (A cm⁻²) of the water reduction reaction at E = 0.

It is considered that both the current for the dissolved oxygen and that for the water reductions are purely additive, then we can write the fractional contribution of the water reduction $I_{corr,0,H,O}$ to the total free corrosion current as:

$$\lambda_{\rm H_2O} = \frac{I_{\rm corr,0,H_2O}}{I_{\rm corr,0}} \tag{11}$$

According to this definition λ is dimensionless, and values $0 \le \lambda \le 1$. Note that for the bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism presented in Part II,² λ =1 because the reduction of dissolved oxygen was neglected. Another determining parameter for the cathodic process is the ratio R_L, I_{corr.0} over the diffusion limiting current of dissolved oxygen I_{Lim}. The reduction of dissolved oxygen is considered to be controlled partly by the diffusion. Then, the ratio of the corrosion current density over the diffusion limiting current I_{Lim} defines R_L.

$$R_{\rm L} = \frac{I_{\rm corr,0}}{I_{\rm Lim}} \tag{12}$$

Since $I_{corr,0}$ is positive whereas I_{Lim} is negative, R_L is dimensionless negative value.

The potential-current relationships given above were expressed with the interface potential E and current density without AC signal. In the presence of the electrolyte resistance R_E , the ohmic potential drop term should be corrected according to equation 2, and the current with the faradaic rectification induced by AC signal. As a whole, the final equation to be solved, for the three reaction corrosion mechanism can be expressed, after several mathematical treatments, as follows:

 $U_{0} + \Delta U \sin(\omega t) =$

$$E(t) + R_{E} \left\{ C_{d} \frac{dE(t)}{dt} + I_{corr,0} \left[exp \left\{ b_{a} \left(E(t) - E_{corr,0} \right) \right\} - (1 - \lambda) exp \left\{ b_{e,O_{2}} \left(E(t) - E_{corr,0} \right) \right\} / D \right] \right\} (13)$$

$$-\lambda exp \left\{ b_{e,H_{2}O} \left(E(t) - E_{corr,0} \right) \right\}$$

The third term in the square bracket $(\lambda exp(b_{c,H_2O}(E(t) - E_{corr,0}) \text{ corresponds to the current for the water reduction. The denominator D allows determining the effect of the diffusion process to the oxygen reduction reaction, and expressed as follows:$

$$\mathbf{D} = 1 + \frac{(1-\lambda)\mathbf{I}_{\text{corr},0}}{\mathbf{I}_{\text{lim},O_2}} - \left(\frac{(1-\lambda)\mathbf{I}_{\text{corr},0}}{\mathbf{I}_{\text{lim},O_2}}\right) \exp\left\{\mathbf{b}_{c,O_2}\left[\mathbf{E}(t) - \mathbf{E}_{\text{corr},0}\right]\right\} (14)$$

In equation 13, C_d stands for the double layer capacitance, and $C_d dE(t)/dt$, the first term in the curly bracket, describes the current for the charging of this capacitance. Substituting D expressed in equation 14, equations 13 was resolved by means of Mathematica software with the ordinary differential equation.⁸ For this sake, built-in function of Mathematica "ParametricNDSolveValue[expression]" was called. This function gives the value of expr with functions determined by a numerical solution to the ordinary differential equation.⁸ The equation used for model calculation by Ghanbari *et al.*⁶ (equations 15 and 16) are the same to ours except for some misprints. It is worth to recall that equations given in Appendix A in their article are correctly written.

Results and Discussion

We will present the results of digital simulation for AC corrosion enhancement of steel-pipe under cathodic protection in a mildly and a highly corrosive soils. Then, these simulated data will be compared with the experimental data given by Ghanbari *et al.*⁶

Case 1: corrosion in a mildly corrosive soil

Table 1 summarizes the corrosion kinetics parameters used for the digital simulations corresponding to a mildly corrosive soil. The majority of the parameters defining the corrosion kinetics used here were, to make comparison easier, the same as those for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism (cf. Table 2 in Part II).² The corrosion current density was set to 4 μ A cm⁻², corresponding to the thickness loss of 46 μ m y⁻¹. Additional parameters introduced to characterize the reduction of dissolved oxygen are as follows. The diffusion limiting current density I_{Lim} characterized by the diffusion plateau was set to 20 μ A cm⁻² corresponding to a value often encountered for the electrode immersed

E _{corr,0} / V	$I_{corr,0}$ / ($\mu A \ cm^{-2}$)	b _a / V ⁻¹	$b_{c,H_2O} / V^{-1}$	$b_{c,O_2} / V^{-1}$	$I_{\rm Lim}/(\mu A\;cm^{\text{-}2})$	$I_{corr,0,O_2}$ / ($\mu A \ cm^{-2}$)
-0.75	4	23.1	-19.2	-19.2	20	4
$R_{E} / (\Omega \ cm^{2})$	$C_{d}/(\mu Fcm^{\text{-}2})$	$\lambda_{\rm H_{2}O}$ / dimensionless	R_L / dimensionless	f / Hz	$R_p / (k\Omega \; cm^2)$	$R_p I_{corr,0}$ / V
200	100	0.001	-0.2	50	7.84	0.0293

Table 1. Values of corrosion kinetic parameters and some pertinent ones used for the three reaction corrosion mechanism

Subscript "0" indicates the values without AC signal.

in a stagnant aqueous solution saturated of air. The Tafel constant b_{c,O_2} was fixed arbitrarily equal to b_{c,H_2O} as Part I of this paper¹ to make the calculation easier, and corresponds to the Tafel slope of -0.12 V *per* decade. The values of these parameters are fixed on the basis of data collected in laboratory and field experiments by the research group of Engie company (former French gas authority).

Figure 1 presents the impedance spectrum Z (Ω cm²) calculated at E_{corr,0} without AC corrosion enhancement with the kinetics parameter displayed in Table 1.



Figure 1. Nyquist plot of impedance spectrum Z calculated at $E_{corr.0}$ in absence of AC corrosion enhancement in a mildly corrosive soil with the corrosion parameters displayed in Table 1. The insert is high frequency part to illustrate Z at 50 Hz (parameters in Hz and *f* with 10 points *per* decade).

On this figure, one can remark the diffusion impedance at the frequency below 10 mHz. Above this frequency, the impedance is determined by R_E , C_d , and the charge transfer resistance R_t . The impedance at 50 Hz, the frequency of AC signal used is indicated in the insert. It can be seen that at this frequency, the impedance is essentially determined by R_E and C_d . The amplitude ΔI for $\Delta U = 10$ mV will be evaluated by $\Delta U / |Z(50 \text{ Hz})| = 10 \text{ (mV)} / 202.7 (\Omega \text{ cm}^2)$; thus equal to 49.33 mA cm⁻². One may then evaluate hastily that $\Delta E = \Delta U - \Delta I |Z(50 \text{ Hz})| = 0.134 \text{ mV}$, only 1.34% of applied AC signal. This calculation is, however, wrong as illustrated below.

Figure 2A illustrates, for ΔU arbitrarily chosen to 10 mV, the potential modulation U(t) and E(t) and Figure 2B depicts the overall current modulation I(t), those for the charging of double layer capacitance I_{cd}(t), and the

faradaic current $I_F(t)$. Equation 15 presents the relation linking these three currents.

$$I(t) = I_{Cd}(t) + I_{F}(t) = Cd \frac{dE(t)}{dt} + I_{F}(t)$$
(15)

Then E(t) can be calculated by equation 1.



Figure 2. (A) Potential and (B) current modulations at 50 Hz in a mildly corrosive medium; cf. conditions in Table 1.

E(t) illustrates the decrease of AC amplitude as mentioned above due to the ohmic drop through the electrolyte resistance R_E (equation 2). But, because of the phase-shift between U(t) and I(t), ΔE is much higher, i.e. 1.57 mV, than that evaluated by hasty calculation above (0.134 mV), more than 10 times greater. The ratio of its amplitude ΔI_{Cd} over ΔI is ca. 4.5. Then with equation 15, the faradaic current $I_F(t)$ was evaluated. The amplitude of $I_F(t)$ is similar to that of $I_{Cd}(t)$. It is important to point out that the amplitude of these two currents is surprisingly higher than the total current I(t), because of the phase shift The results of digital simulation for various peak voltages of AC signal ΔU on the mean DC polarization curve $I_{F,AV}$ with respect to U is presented in Figure 3. $I_{F,AV}$ represents the mean faradaic current density (mean value for entire periods of AC signal). On this figure, the diffusion limiting current density for the dissolved oxygen is marked by I_{Lim} , and the open circuit corrosion potential $E_{corr,0}$ and the corrosion current density $I_{corr,0}$ in absence of AC signal are also indicated by (+) mark. It is worth to recall that there is no faradaic rectification effect on the diffusion limiting current density, since this value is determined by the concentration gradient of O_2 at the vicinity of the electrode surface.



Figure 3. Simulated polarization curves under AC signal of different amplitudes ΔU (in V) indicated near the polarization curves. Table 1 indicates the corrosion kinetic parameters used.

In some experiments, AC perturbation was added in parallel to the potentiostat circuit that maintains U constant. To avoid the coupling of AC perturbation and potential regulation, a huge inductor is inserted. In this configuration, I_F enhanced by AC signal cannot be determined. In contrast, if AC signal was superimposed to U in a potentiostat circuit I_{FAV} can be determined by using low pass filter.

Since the value of λ used here is small, 0.001, the reduction of water becomes visible only at rather high cathodic potential. When ΔU increases, the water reduction reaction is enhanced by faradaic rectification; consequently the potential domain controlled by the diffusion limiting current density becomes narrower with increasing ΔU . In other terms, the current plateau can no longer be observed for $\Delta U \ge 2V$.

The effect of the cathodic protection by U_{CP} in presence of AC induced corrosion is illustrated in Figure 4. The mean DC anodic faradaic current density, $I_{Fa,AV}$ with respect to DC potential U_{CP} for various AC amplitude ΔU are drawn in this figure.



Figure 4. Averaged anodic faradaic current density $I_{Fa,AV}$ in presence of AC signal for a set of corrosion parameters indicated in Table 1. A dashed line indicates the maximum admissible corrosion rate of $I_{Fa,AV} = 10 \ \mu A \ cm^{-2}$ arbitrary chosen corresponding to the thickness-loss of 1 16 $\mu m \ y^{-1}$. A side effect, such as pH change at the vicinity of the electrode surface, induced by corrosion enhancement was neglected in this illustration.

Let us consider that the maximum admissible corrosion current density be 10 µA cm⁻², that is the thickness-loss of 116 µm y⁻¹ for uniform corrosion. No cathodic protection is necessary in absence of AC signal since the corrosion current density was set at 4 µA cm⁻², for this mildly corrosive soil. At high ΔU , the corrosion enhancement occurs by the increase of the water reduction reaction. This process induces an alkalization of soil close to the steel surface and the formation of H₂ bubbles. These effects were neglected as stated above in the present paper. For imposed U_{CP} equal to -1 V, the steel pipe will be protected up to ΔU ca. 2.2 V. Above ΔU ca. 2.5 V, the anodic component increases steeply by the faradic rectification effect with the corrosion parameters given in Table 1. The cathodic protection can thus be hardly applied in presence of AC signal greater than ca. 2.7 V, i.e. slightly smaller than 2 V_{rms}.

Let us examine now the corrosion potential shift induced by AC perturbation, as illustrated in Figure 3 above. The amplitude of AC signal was normalized by dividing ΔU by Stern-Geary coefficient,⁹ B_{SG} (= R_pI_{corr,0}) calculated at the corrosion potential without AC signal. R_pI_{corr,0} is independent of r (= -b_c/b_a) for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism,^{1,2} however for the mixed kinetics, Stern-Geary coefficient depends upon b_{c,02} value. Figure 5 shows the cathodic polarization curve relative to the oxygen reduction dissolved in electrolyte near the corrosion potential in absence of AC signal according to the corrosion kinetics parameters presented in Table 1. On this figure, one can remark readily that the higher the r value is, the steeper the polarization curves is, thus the smaller the polarization resistance for the same I_{corr,0}.

The overall polarization resistance R_p is determined by the following equation.



Figure 5. Polarization curves of the oxygen reduction with mixed kinetics near the open circuit corrosion potential for various r values marked close to each curve in absence of AC signal. Parameters describing the corrosion kinetics are presented in Table 1.

$$\frac{1}{R_{p}} = \frac{1}{R_{p,a}} + \frac{1 - \lambda}{R_{p,c,O_{2}}} + \frac{\lambda}{R_{p,c,H_{2}O}}$$
(16)

 R_{p,c,O_2} and R_{p,c,O_2} are, respectively, the polarization resistance of oxygen and water reduction reaction ($\Omega \text{ cm}^2$) when only one of these processes are taking place. R_{p,c,O_2} was determined by equation 6 where I_{∞} is equal to 5 $\mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Figure 6 illustrates the variation of $R_p I_{corr,0}$ calculated for various r values. It can be noticed that the coefficient linking the corrosion current density and the polarization resistance in absence of AC signal at the open circuit potential, B_{SG} (= $R_p I_{corr,0}$) increases when the r ratio increases.



Figure 6. Variation of "Stern-Geary coefficient B_{sg} " calculated for the three reaction corrosion mechanism for the corrosion kinetics parameters of a mildly corrosive and a highly corrosive soil towards the corrosion of carbon steel.

However, to present the variation of the corrosion potential, it seems judicious to keep B_{SG} constant, because when applied to other corrosion system, it is sufficient to multiply $\langle \Delta U \rangle$ by this coefficient to that of the system

under investigation. This is the reason that we opted the value determined at r = 1, i.e., 29.33 mV.

Figure 7 presents the results of digital simulations on the zero overall current in presence of AC signal in reduced scale, $\langle \Delta E_{corr,AV} \rangle$ (= $\Delta E_{corr,AV} / \Delta U$) with respect to $\langle \Delta U \rangle$ ($\Delta U / B_{SG}$). In contrast to the case of bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism, cf. Part II,² these curves are no longer symmetrical between r and 1/r. Besides, $\langle \Delta E_{corr,AV} \rangle$ shows an N-shaped curve at small $\langle \Delta U \rangle$ then decreases, in a absolute value, progressively for $\langle \Delta U \rangle$ greater than approximately 25. One may wonder if this oscillatory phenomenon is due merely to the fact that the corrosion potential shift was presented by the reduced scale.



Figure 7. Effect of $<\Delta U>$ upon $<\Delta E_{corr,AV}>$ for the three reaction mechanism in a mildly corrosive soil for various $-b_c/b_a$ ratios. $<\Delta U> = \Delta U/0.02933$. The corrosion kinetic parameters are given in Table 1. The insert presents an enlarged scale for $<\Delta U>$.

Figure 8 presents the corrosion potential shift $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ in presence of AC perturbation in a small ΔU domain. In this figure, both variables were not reduced to check if N shaped curves observed above are due to the use of reduced scale. One can remark that $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ shifts towards negative values for $r \le 1$. For r > 1, a maximum followed by a minimum of the corrosion potential shift $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ with respect to ΔU can be noticed. Beyond this minimum point located at about $\Delta U = 0.3$ V, the corrosion potential $E_{corr,AV}$ shifts towards more positive direction, but rather slowly for $\Delta U > 5$ V, in other terms, with reduced scale $<\Delta U > > 170$ (not illustrated graphically here).

When the cathodic process is under mixed kinetics, at very low ΔU , the corrosion potential shift corresponds to that predicted for r (= $-b_{c,O_2}/b_a$) with bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism. $E_{corr,AV}$ is negative for r < 1 and positive for r > 1. This is actually observed for $\Delta U < 0.1$. However, $I_{Fa,AV}$ increases due to the faradaic rectification of AC signal, whereas the cathodic reaction rate change little since this reaction is, as stated above, controlled partly or mainly by the concentration gradient. Consequently, the apparent b_{c,O_2} decreases leading to the potential shift observed for r < 1

Ibrahim et al.



Figure 8. Effect of faradaic rectification induced by AC signal of small amplitude ΔU on the corrosion potential shift $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ for various r values.

in the case of the bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism. This explains the corrosion potential shift towards more negative direction even for r > 1. But, as can be observed in Figure 3, the reduction of water molecule taking place in parallel to the oxygen reduction reaction becomes faster for higher cathodic polarization (small U), and then the electrode behavior approaches to that of the bi-tafelian mechanism. This explains $\Delta E_{corr,AV} - \Delta U$ curves observed for $\Delta U > 3$ V.

Figure 9 presents the corrosion enhancement $I_{corr,AV}$ induced by AC signal in logarithmic scale normalized by the corrosion current density in absence of AC signal $(<I_{corr,AV} > = I_{corr,AV} / I_{corr,0})$ with respect to the reduced amplitude $<\Delta U > = \Delta U / B_{SG}$. It can be noticed first that all curves tend to overlap at high $<\Delta U$ >. When the



Figure 9. Effect of faradaic rectification with various $-b_c/b_a$ ratios with respect to the AC amplitude in dimensionless magnitudes.

current density increases induced by AC signal, mean polarization resistance, $R_{p,AV}$, decreases. Then, the actual AC perturbation amplitude (ΔE) at the interface decreases significantly. Consequently, the faradaic rectification becomes less marked. The same observation was made by Ghanbari *et al.*⁶

When r is small, b_{c,H_2O} in absolute value is small, too. Then, the oxygen reduction reaction remains in a wider ΔU range controlled by the limiting current density. This explains why, $I_{corr,AV} - \langle \Delta U \rangle$ curve exhibits a larger plateau. $1/R_L$, the ratio of the limiting current density I_{Lim} over the corrosion current density is -5, thus log ($|1/R_L|$) is 0.7, this is actually the plateau height close to that observed in Figure 9.

In contrast to the case of bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism (Part II),² for the corrosion mechanism with three reactions in a mildly corrosive soil, both $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ and $\Delta I_{corr,AV}$ show rather intricate shape because of the diffusion current plateau that modifies deeply the faradaic rectification effect.

Let us examine now, what will occur for the AC corrosion enhancement of steel embedded in a highly corrosive soil.

Case 2: corrosion in a highly corrosive soil

The corrosion kinetics parameters used were similar to those displayed in Table 3 in Part II.² For this corrosion mechanism, as Table 1, some parameters relative to the oxygen reduction reaction were added.

Figure 10 presents the impedance spectrum calculated at the open circuit potential in absence of faradaic rectification. The impedance diagram exhibits a semi circle with the apex at about 10 Hz. However, by enlarging the low frequency limit of this impedance spectrum, as illustrated in the insert, small diffusion impedance can be seen. The chord of this loop is about $0.6 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ whereas the frequency distribution is the same as that in Figure 1. As this is the case for a mildly corrosive soil, the impedance at 50 Hz is located at the high frequency part of the capacitive loop.

Similar evaluation as a mildly corrosive soil (cf. Figure 2) were carried out to quantify the effective potential E(t), the overall current I(t), the charging of double layer capacitance

Table 2. Values of some corrosion kinetic parameters used for calculating AC corrosion enhancement in a highly corrosive soil for three reactions mechanism

E _{corr,0} / V	$I_{\text{corr,0}} / (\mu A \; \text{cm}^{\text{-2}})$	b _a / V ⁻¹	$b_{\rm c,H_2O}$ / $V^{\text{-}1}$	b_{c,O_2} / V^{-1}	$I_{Lim}/(\mu A\;cm^{\text{-}2})$	$I_{\rm corr,0,O_2}/(\mu A\ cm^{-2})$
-0.65	150	38.4	-19.2	-19.2	20	150
$\overline{R_{E}/(\Omega \ cm^{2})}$	$C_{d} / (\mu F \text{ cm}^{-2})$	$\lambda_{\rm H_{2}O}$ / dimensionless	R_L / dimensionless	f / Hz	$R_p / (\Omega \ cm^2)$	$R_p I_{corr,0} / V$
100	150	0.973	-7.5	50	116.3	0.0174

Subscript "0" indicates the values without AC signal.



Figure 10. Impedance spectrum in Nyquist plot calculated at $E_{corr,0}$ in absence of AC corrosion enhancement in a highly corrosive soil with the corrosion parameters presented in Table 2. The insert is the low frequency end of Nyquist plot to show the diffusion impedance (parameters in Hz).

 $I_{Cd}(t)$ and the faradaic one $I_F(t)$. The results are presented in Figure 11 for AC amplitude $\Delta U = 10 \text{ mV}$ to remain in a linear domain. The electrolyte resistance is smaller and the double layer capacitance and the corrosion rate are higher compared with a mildly corrosive medium presented above.

The amplitude of interface potential ΔE is slightly higher; 2 mV instead of 1.6 mV. ΔI is almost twice as high in this medium, because the electrolyte resistance determines the impedance modulus. Due to a higher C_d value and higher ΔE , ΔI_{Cd} is 1.5 times greater. As a whole, ΔI_F , is also about 1.5 times greater than that of a mildly corrosive soil, as can be seen in Figures 2 and 11.

Figure 12 shows the mean DC polarization curves calculated in the presence of AC signal of various amplitudes ΔU . Since the corrosion current density is significantly higher than the diffusion limiting current density of dissolved oxygen, R_L (= $I_{corr,0} / I_{Lim}$) as high as 7.5 in absolute value, no current plateau is observed. The polarization curve obtained in the absence of AC signal is similar to bi-tafelian system, or three reaction corrosion mechanism in presence of $\Delta U = 2$ V in a mildly corrosive soil. In the latter, both anodic and the water reduction reaction are highly enhanced by faradaic rectification.

On this figure, one can remark also that the corrosion potential shift is much less than that observed in a mildly corrosive soil, since the cathodic process, mainly the reduction of water, follows approximately the Tafel law. Then, an increase of the anodic current density induces a smaller DC mean corrosion potential shift compared with that for mildly corrosive soil.

One can notice also that the effect of ΔU to the cathodic current tends to "saturate" at high U_{CP} values. Almost no further enhancement of the cathodic current is observed beyond ΔU equal to ca. 2 V. This phenomenon is explained



Figure 11. (A) Potential and (B) current modulations at 50 Hz in a highly corrosive medium; cf. conditions in Table 1.



Figure 12. Simulated polarization curves obtained under AC voltage of different amplitudes ΔU indicated near the polarization curves. The corrosion kinetic parameters representing a highly corrosive soil are given in Table 2.

similarly to that presented for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism in a highly corrosive soil.²

Figure 13 shows the mean DC anodic contribution $I_{Fa,AF}$ on the overall faradaic current $I_{F,AV}$. As above, if the corrosion enhancement by AC signal does not induce a modification of soil chemistry and also if the admissible corrosion current density is considered to be 10 µA cm⁻², then in absence of AC signal, U_{CP} equal to -0.8 V is sufficient to protect the steel pipe against the severe corrosion, where $E_{corr,0}$ was set equal to -0.65 V and $I_{corr,0} = 150 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The value of U_{CP} is thus about 0.15 V more negative with respect to $E_{corr,0}$. With this threshold value of corrosion current

is too high. The underground steel pipe in these situations



Figure 13. Averaged faradaic anodic components in presence of AC signal of various amplitudes to illustrate the cathodic protection potential necessary to protect the carbon steel from the corrosion in a highly corrosive soil.

The variation of the corrosion potential in presence of AC perturbation for various r ratios is presented in Figure 14. The shapes of these curves are similar to those observed for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism in contrast to those calculated for a mildly corrosive soil.² In a highly corrosive soil, the polarization curves look like also bitafelian corrosion mechanism since I_{corr,0} is markedly greater than I_{Lim}. However, compared with Figure 11 of Part II for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism,² the peak < $\Delta E_{corr,AV}$ > value for a given r is much higher in absolute value than that calculated for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism. Though the water reduction current density predominates the overall



Figure 14. Effect of $<\Delta U>$ upon $<\Delta E_{corr,AV}>$ for the three reaction corrosion mechanism in a highly corrosive soil for various r values. The corrosion kinetic parameters are given in Table 2.

 $I_{F,AV}$, as can be seen in Figure 12, the oxygen reduction reaction induces a significant effect on the corrosion potential shift.

The corrosion current density as the mean DC current value over an entire period of AC signal induced by the faradaic rectification is presented on Figure 15. Like bitafelian corrosion mechanism (cf. Figure 12 in Part II),² the curves for r and 1/r overlap. The effect of the oxygen reduction on the current is, as expected, negligible on the overall current. It is also important to note that all curves tend to join each other at high $<\Delta U$ >. For a low $<\Delta U$ > domain, a steep increase of log ($<I_{corr,AV}$ >) – $<\Delta U$ > can be remarked. At about $<\Delta U$ > = 3, a slope change is observed as this was the case for bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism. The corrosion enhancement by AC signal in a highly corrosive soil is therefore similar to the case of bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism.



Figure 15. Effect of faradaic rectification with various $r (=-b_c/b_a)$ ratios in a highly corrosive soil with respect to the AC amplitude in dimensionless magnitudes.

Discussion of results with Ghanbari's article

The corroding system used by Ghanbari *et al.*⁶ was a carbon steel in 0.1 M NaCl. The corrosion rate was ca. 40 μ A cm⁻² and R_E close to 50 Ω cm² according to Figure 3 and Table 3.⁶ Thus, compared with the corrosion kinetics parameters used in this article, these two variables correspond to, rather, those used for highly corrosive soil.

We considered that the mean DC current density in presence of AC signal corresponds to the corrosion rate of steel specimen. This premise was verified by Ghanbari's experiments. They used 60 Hz whereas in our modeling AC signal was 50 Hz, frequency largely used for power supply in USA and in Europe, respectively. The effect of this frequency difference is likely negligible. The corrosion current density in their experiments is rather high, close to the limiting current of dissolved oxygen according to Figure 1.⁶ Thus the corrosion mechanism will be in-between the bi-tafelian and three reaction corrosion mechanisms.

In our former paper,² the effect of the double layer capacitance to the corrosion current density was evaluated for the bi-tafelian mechanism (cf. Figure 6).² The increase of the double layer capacitance diminishes the corrosion enhancement. This effect was verified experimentally by their paper. However, Ghanbari et al.6 attributed to the "high frequency break point". This frequency is defined as above which no faradaic current is passing through the interface. This definition is surprising because whatever the frequency, faradaic current should be remaining in the total current, even if this will be very small. One may understand readily that at low frequency (10 mHz), the double layer capacitance has no effect since the current for charging this capacitance is negligible. In contrast, at high frequency, 1 kHz, almost whole AC current is by-passed through the double layer capacitance, and no Faradaic rectification is expected.

Consequently, their experimental results and simulation calculation confirm our hypotheses used.

Conclusion

In this Part III, the results of digital simulations were presented when the electrolyte resistance R_E is no longer negligible, which may happen definitively during the corrosion enhancement induced by stray AC signal on underground steel pipelines covered with a thick organic coating and under a cathodic protection. The system under consideration is named three reaction corrosion mechanism since there are one anodic reaction corresponding to the steel dissolution, and two cathodic processes. One of these reactions is the reduction of dissolved oxygen taking place near the open circuit corrosion potential and another that of the water molecules taking place at higher cathodic overpotential. The electrolyte resistance attenuates the effective AC voltage at the electrode interface (ΔE) because of the ohmic drop, consequently the AC corrosion enhancement.

The corrosion potential, i.e. the zero overall current, $E_{corr,AV}$ in presence of AC signal was calculated in reduced scales. For this sake, as this was the case in Part I and II,^{1,2} reduced corrosion potential shift $<\Delta E_{corr,AV} > = (E_{corr,AV} - E_{corr,0}) / \Delta U$ and reduced AC amplitude $<\Delta U > = \Delta U / B_{SG}$ were used for various r (= $-b_c/b_a$) values between 0.1 and 10. b_a and b_c stand, respectively, for the Tafel constant of anodic and cathodic processes. B_{SG} is the so-called Stern-Geary coefficient defined as $R_pI_{corr,0}$ where R_p is the polarization resistance (= $\Delta E/\Delta I$ at $E_{corr,0}$). As our former work,¹ the Tafel constant of two cathodic processes were considered identical. When the reaction rate is partly controlled by the diffusion, B_{SG} depends on r value, therefore B_{SG} at r = 1 was used. By this reduced entities, one may evaluate rather readily the effect of AC signal on the corrosion current enhancement for particular case encountered in field applications. A voltammogram obtained without AC signal may allow determining $I_{corr,0}$, b_a and b_c , whereas the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy at the same conditions supplies R_E and C_d parameters necessary to evaluate the AC corrosion enhancement.

Two corrosion situations were modeled; steel pipes buried in a mildly or in a highly corrosive soil. The corrosion current density in absence of AC signal $I_{corr,0}$ were fixed equal to 4 and 150 µA cm⁻², respectively, in these soils as bi-tafelian corrosion mechanism analyzed in Part II of this series.² These corrosion parameters and others were fixed according to the values often encountered in the field experiences.

In a mildly corrosive soil, $I_{corr,0}$ is set at ca. one fifth of the diffusion limited current density of the dissolved oxygen at saturation for stationary electrode. The impedance spectrum calculated at the open circuit corrosion potential without AC signal, $E_{corr,0}$ shows clearly finite diffusion impedance at low frequency end. The frequency of AC signal inducing the corrosion enhancement used in this paper was 50 Hz. Even at this frequency, the contribution of the faradaic current is significant and more than six times greater than the overall AC current. This somewhat unexpected behavior is due to the fact that overall current is the sum of the current for the charging of double layer capacitance I_{Cd} and the faradaic one I_F with significant difference in phase-shift between them.

The kinetics parameters used make appear clearly the reduction of water reduction in absence of AC signal at about 0.3 V more negative to $E_{corr,0}$. The diffusion limited current can then be clearly observed on the polarization curves. The effect of AC signal of various amplitude ΔU on the faradaic rectification was calculated by the averaged value on entire periods of AC signal, $I_{F,AV}$. The anodic part of these currents increases steeply with ΔU . On the contrary, the cathodic component increases only clearly for high ΔU . The diffusion limiting current is controlled by the reconcentration gradient of the dissolved oxygen, thus there is no faradaic rectification effect. The observed current increase is therefore due to the enhancement of the water reduction reaction.

The cathodic protection potential necessary to mitigate the corrosion in presence of AC signal was estimated by the anodic component of the mean DC faradaic current $I_{Fa,AV}$ when no side effects of corrosion enhancement by AC signal, such as pH change at the vicinity of the electrode surface was taken into consideration. If the admissible corrosion current density was fixed arbitrary equal to $10 \,\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$, i.e. the thickness loss of 0.12 mm y⁻¹, the steel pipe surrounded by a mildly corrosive soil can be protected up to $\Delta U = 2.7 \text{ V}$ with $U_{CP} = -1.2 \text{ V}$.

For a highly corrosive soil, the diffusion limiting current density I_{Lim} is much smaller than the overall corrosion current density. In this case, the polarization curves no longer exhibit the current plateau, and calculated $I_{F,AV} - \Delta U$ curves are similar to those obtained for the case of bitafelian corrosion mechanism. The corrosion potential shift is slightly influenced by the presence of oxygen reduction reaction, but the corrosion enhancement remains almost the same. The corrosion of steel pipe surrounded in a highly corrosive medium, with the kinetics parameters used here, can be mitigated only when ΔU is smaller than 0.8 V at $U_{CP} = -1.2$ V if the corrosion enhancement by AC signal does not induce any modification of the soil properties at coating holidays.

The digital simulation approaches used in this study was validated by a recent experimental and theoretical work by Ghanbari *et al.*⁶

References

- Ibrahim, I.; Tribllet, B.; Takenouti, H.; Meyer, M.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2015, 26, 196.
- Ibrahim, I.; Tribollet, B.; Takenouti, H.; Meyer, M.; J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2016, 3, 605.
- 3. Gellings, P. J.; *Electrochim. Acta* 1962, 7, 19.
- 4. Bertocci, U.; Corrosion 1979, 35, 211.
- 5. Bosch, R. W.; Bogaerts, W. F.; Corros. Sci. 1998, 40, 323.
- Ghanbari, Z.; Iannuzzi, M.; Lillard, R. S.; Corrosion 2016, 72, 1196.
- Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R.; *Electrochemical Methods*, Wiley, New York, 1980, ch. 9, p. 331.
- Wolfram, S.; *The Mathematica Book*, 6th ed.; Wolfram Media & Cambridge University Press: Champaign, USA; Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- 9. Stern, M.; Geary, A. L.; J. Electrochem. Soc. 1957, 104, 56.

Submitted: September 19, 2016 Published online: January 18, 2017