

Oxygen crossover effect on palladium and platinum based electrocatalysts during formic acid oxidation studied by scanning electrochemical microscopy

Juan V. Perales-Rondon, Enrique Herrero, José Solla-Gullon, Carlos M.

Sanchez-Sanchez, Vincent Vivier

▶ To cite this version:

Juan V. Perales-Rondon, Enrique Herrero, José Solla-Gullon, Carlos M. Sanchez-Sanchez, Vincent Vivier. Oxygen crossover effect on palladium and platinum based electrocatalysts during formic acid oxidation studied by scanning electrochemical microscopy. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2017, 793, pp.218 - 225. 10.1016/j.jelechem.2016.12.049. hal-01552820

HAL Id: hal-01552820 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01552820

Submitted on 3 Jul 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Oxygen Crossover Effect on Palladium and Platinum Based
2	Electrocatalysts During Formic Acid Oxidation Studied by
3	Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy
4	
5	Juan V. Perales-Rondón ^{a,b} , Enrique Herrero ^b , José Solla-Gullón ^b , Carlos M. Sánchez-
6	Sánchez ^{*,a} , Vincent Vivier ^a
7	
8	^a Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire Interfaces et Systèmes
9	Electrochimiques, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005, Paris, France.
10	^b Instituto Universitario de Electroquímica, Universidad de Alicante, Ap. 99, 03080, Alicante,
11	Spain
12	
13	
14	* Corresponding author: Tel.: +33 1 44 27 41 58
15	E-mail address: carlos.sanchez@upmc.fr
16	

17 Abstract

18 The electrocatalytic activity towards formic acid oxidation reaction (FAOR) in the 19 presence of simultaneous oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) displayed by 5 different 20 metallic nanoparticles (NPs) (Pt100, Pt75Pd25, Pt50Pd50, Pt25Pd75 and Pd100) was studied and 21 compared using chronoamperometry and the micropipette delivery/substrate collection 22 (MD/SC) mode of the scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM). This is of special 23 interest for understanding the O₂ crossover effect in direct formic acid fuel cells 24 (DFAFCs) and to search highly selective electrocatalysts useful in mixed-reactant fuel 25 cells (MRFCs). A detailed analysis of the SECM results in comparison with 26 chronoamperometry demonstrates, for the first time, the relevant role played by dissolved 27 O₂ in solution on the Pd₁₀₀ NPs deactivation during FAOR, which cannot be explained 28 neither by the specific adsorption of dichloroethane (DCE) on Pd nor by a simple addition 29 of two opposed currents coming from simultaneous FAOR and ORR. Two main 30 mechanistic factors are proposed for explaining the different sensitivity towards O₂ 31 presence in solution during FAOR when comparing Pd- and Pt-rich catalysts. On the one 32 hand, the relevance of H₂O₂ production (ORR byproduct) and accumulation on Pd NPs, 33 which alters its performance towards FAOR. On the other hand, the predominance of the 34 poisoning pathway forming CO_{ads} during FAOR on Pt NPs, whose oxidation is facilitated 35 in the presence of traces of O_2 . Interestingly, the deactivation effect displayed on Pd_{100} 36 NPs during FAOR due to the H₂O₂ generation and accumulation becomes negligible if a 37 convective regime is applied in solution.

SECM is proved as a fast and powerful technique for studying O₂ crossover effect in
different electrocatalysts and for identifying highly selective electrocatalysts candidates
for MRFCs. In particular, among the samples evaluated, Pt₇₅Pd₂₅ NPs present the highest

- 41 average performance for FAOR in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution in the presence of O₂ within the
- 42 potential range under study (0.3-0.7 V vs RHE).
- *Keywords*: Crossover, FAOR, ORR, fuel cells, SECM
- 46 This article is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Antonio Aldaz Riera, a great mentor in
- *science and life.*

50	Highli	ghts:
51	•	SECM as a proper diagnostic tool to evaluate electrocatalysts in the presence of
52		reactants crossover.
53	•	Simultaneous FAOR and ORR on Pd, Pt and Pd-Pt alloyed nanoparticles imaged
54		by SECM.
55	•	Pd electrode deactivation during FAOR due to the role of O ₂ crossover.
56	•	Pt ₇₅ Pd ₂₅ nanoparticles display the highest performance for FAOR in the presence
57		of O ₂ .
58		
59		

60 **1. Introduction**

61 Fuel cells have attracted a lot of attention, from their first description as a gas voltaic battery by W.R. Grove on the 19th century [^{1,2}]. This is because fuel cells, as well as other 62 63 electrochemical devices, are able to convert chemical energy into electrical energy in one single step and they do not need to produce firstly mechanical work and secondly 64 65 electrical energy as the internal combustion engine does $[^3]$. There are many different types of fuel cells that may be classified either by their operation temperature or by the 66 67 type of electrolyte used. Nevertheless, almost all low-temperature fuel cells (T < 200 °C) 68 use a conventional two-compartment reactor separated by an ion exchange membrane 69 where the fuel and the oxidant flows are kept apart and directly fed into the anode and 70 cathode chambers, respectively. However, crossover effects in different types of 71 conventional fuel cells have been already described in the literature, since reactants 72 crossover can lead to significant performance degradation, a non-negligible crossover 73 current contribution and an important fuel cell voltage and power output diminution. A 74 lot of attention has been paid to the permeation of fuels such as methanol [4], formic acid [5,6] and hydrogen [7] from the anode to the cathode side in conventional fuel cells. In 75 76 particular, fuel crossover represents a major issue for direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs) [⁸⁻¹⁰], since this problem accounts for one of their major performance losses. Moreover, 77 78 this fact limits the maximum methanol concentration used at the anode side, since 79 methanol crossover provokes cathode deactivation by CO poisoning. More recently, 80 oxygen crossover in non-aqueous Li-air batteries, which can be considered as a reversible 81 half-fuel cell, has also become a relevant issue limiting the market penetration of this type 82 of technology, since one of their main limitations at present is the formation of unwanted lithium derivatives (Li₂CO₃ and LiOH) caused by O₂ crossover into the anode side $[^{11,12}]$. 83 84 Alternatively, other types of reactors eliminating the ionic membrane have been recently

85 proposed in fuel cells for avoiding high cost, degradation and ohmic losses due to the use of those membranes. For instance, the Swiss-roll mixed-reactant fuel cell (MRFC) [^{13,14}], 86 87 where the ion exchange membrane has been substituted by a highly porous separator and 88 a single mixture of fuel and oxidant feeds simultaneously anode and cathode. The key 89 issue for the success of those systems is to achieve high selectivity of the anode and 90 cathode electrocatalysts to avoid mixed-potentials at both electrodes and fuel-cell voltage 91 degradation. This means electrocatalysts with high tolerance to the presence of a high 92 concentration of either the fuel or the oxidant, for cathode and anode, respectively. 93 Therefore, in both cases, either to study the role of reactants crossover on the corresponding counterpart electrocatalyst activity in conventional fuel cells or to find 94 95 novel highly selective electrocatalysts useful in MRFCs, it is necessary to identify a 96 diagnostic tool, which should be able to evaluate electrocatalyst performance under those 97 specific experimental conditions.

98 A broad range of electrochemical techniques have been already used to study 99 different aspects present in fuel cells and batteries. For instance, impedance techniques 100 have been widely used to determine the state-of-charge and electrodes stability in primary and secondary batteries [^{15,16}]. More recently, new electrochemical scanning probe 101 microscopies [17-19] have been incorporated too, since there is a significant demand of *in*-102 103 situ methods for simultaneous evaluation of electrodes surface properties and chemical activity. In particular, scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) [^{20,21}] has become a 104 105 powerful electroanalytical technique that has been already successfully applied to study Li-ion batteries $[^{22,23}]$ and electrocatalyst performance for different fuel cell reactions $[^{24}]$ 106 such as oxygen reduction $(ORR)[^{25-31}]$, oxygen evolution $(OER)[^{32-34}]$, carbon dioxide 107 reduction (CRR)³⁵], methanol oxidation (MOR)³⁶] and formic acid oxidation (FAOR) 108 [³⁶⁻³⁸], as well as a high-throughput technique applied to the combinatorial screening of 109

mixed and alloyed electrocatalytic materials [39-41]. However, they are very scarce 110 111 examples where SECM have been already used to study either electrocatalysts tolerance 112 to the presence of the counterpart reactant or highly selective electrocatalysts useful in 113 MRFCs. So far, only the use of the tip generation/substrate collection (TG/SC) mode of 114 SECM for studying methanol tolerance of Pt and Pd-Co ORR electrocatalysts as a function of applied potential have been reported [42]. In this context, the direct formic acid 115 fuel cell (DFAFC) [^{43,44}], which is based on the electrochemical oxidation of formic acid 116 (HCOOH) to CO₂ at the anode ($E^{\circ} = -0.199$ V or -0.25 V vs SHE depending on the 117 118 HCOOH reference state used for the thermodynamic calculation of the standard potential (aqueous or liquid, respectively) [⁴⁵]) and ORR at the cathode ($E^{\circ} = 1.229$ V vs SHE) 119 represents an attractive system to study electrocatalysts selectivity and tolerance facing 120 121 reactants crossover.

122 FAOR on pure Pt may follow two main reaction routes: through a direct via, which 123 produces CO₂ as main product and represents the desirable pathway (dehydrogenation, 124 reaction 1) and/or through a poisoning pathway (dehydration, reaction 2) by forming CO, 125 which remains strongly adsorbed on the platinum surface and blocks the reaction of 126 interest, unless a positive enough potential is reached for desorbing and oxidizing CO 127 from the Pt surface (reaction 3). In contrast, FAOR on pure Pd mainly follows the active 128 intermediate route (reaction 1) avoiding CO formation and providing a much higher catalytic activity at lower overpotentials than on Pt electrodes $[^{46,47}]$. For this reason, Pd 129 130 and Pd-based materials are considered as the state-of-the-art anode catalysts in DFAFCs [⁴⁸⁻⁵¹]. 131

$$HCOOH \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
(1)

$$HCOOH \longrightarrow CO_{ads} + H_2O$$
(2)

134
$$CO_{ads} + H_2O \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
 (3)

135 The most studied case in DFAFCs is the HCOOH crossover effect during ORR, which has been already reported as not truly relevant for the DFAFCs performance [5,6]. 136 137 Nevertheless, the case of oxygen crossover effect during FAOR on Pd, Pt and Pd-Pt 138 alloys, which we study herein is, so far, a non-described phenomenon in electrocatalysts 139 for FAOR. Therefore, the main goal of this article is to mimic the effect of O₂ crossover 140 from the cathode side towards the anode side in DFAFCs, using the micropipette 141 delivery/substrate collection (MD/SC) mode of SECM, which is based on the transfer of 142 a neutral species by diffusion across an immiscible liquid/liquid interface as sketched for HCOOH in Figure 1 $[^{36,38,52}]$. It is worth noting that the acidic solution used as aqueous 143 144 phase outside the micropipette should not be totally deaerated in order to study the effect 145 of simultaneous reactions, FAOR and ORR, on Pd, Pt and Pd-Pt alloys.

146

147 **2. Experimental**

148 *Chemicals and Electrodes*

149 Most chemicals were ACS reagent grade supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and were used 150 without further purification: 1,2-dichloroethane (CH₂Cl-CH₂Cl, DCE) anhydrous 99.8%, 151 octyltriethoxysilane 97.5%, polyethylene glycol dodecyl ether (Brij[®] 30), n-heptane, 152 sodium borohydride (NaBH4) 99.99%, K2PdCl4 99.99%, H2PtCl6•6H2O 99.99% (37.50% 153 in Pt). However, H₂SO₄ Suprapur[®] 96% and HCOOH 98 % were supplied by Merck, 154 glassy carbon (GC) plates 1 mm thick (type 2) from Alfa Aesar and Ar gas \geq 99.9998 155 purity was supplied by Air Liquide. All aqueous solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm at 25 °C, Purelab Ultra system, Elga-Vivendi). 156

158 Synthesis of Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed nanoparticles (NPs)

159 5 different types of quasi-spherical Pt-Pd NPs (Pt100, Pt75Pd25, Pt50Pd50, Pt25Pd75 and 160 Pd100) were synthesized by reduction of either H2PtCl6 and/or K2PdCl4 precursors with 161 NaBH₄ using a water in oil (w/o) microemulsion of water/polyethylene glycoldodecylether (BRIJ[®] 30)/n-heptane [^{47,53}]. The percentage of surfactant in volume 162 163 represented 16.5% of the total microemulsion volume. The concentration of H₂PtCl₆, 164 K₂PdCl₄ and NaBH₄ was 0.1, 0.1, 1.0 M, respectively. For preparation of PtPd alloyed 165 NPs, an aqueous solution containing both precursors ($H_2PtCl_6 + K_2PdCl_4$) with the proper 166 atomic ratio of both elements was employed. In order to have micelles with the same size, 167 the molar ratio water to surfactant was kept constant, which approximately ensures 168 constant NP size in all cases (3 - 4 nm average NPs size). After complete reduction of the 169 metallic precursors, which took place in a few minutes, acetone was added to the solution 170 to cause phase separation and NPs flocculation. Finally, all metallic NPs synthesized were washed with acetone as has been described in previous works [54] in order to eliminate 171 172 adsorbed surfactant and were dispersed in ultra-pure water giving as a result 5 173 independent NPs suspensions.

174

175 <u>Voltammetric and chronoamperometric studies</u>

176 Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry experiments were carried out in 177 a conventional electrochemical glass cell using a three-electrode configuration at room 178 temperature with (1000 rpm) our without electrode rotation. The counter and the reference 179 electrodes were a Pt wire (0.5 mm diameter) and a reversible hydrogen electrode (*RHE*), 180 respectively. All NPs were studied by depositing 4 μ L of each NPs suspension either on 181 a gold collector for static measurements or on a GC rotating disc electrode (RDE) for 182 reaching a laminar convection regime. All electrode potentials in this work are quoted vs.

RHE. The electrode potential was controlled either with a CHI 760E potentiostat 183 184 (CHInstruments) or with a multi-channel VMP3 potentiostat (BioLogic), working with 185 an NStat configuration (1 counter, 1 reference and 5 simultaneous working electrodes). CVs for electrochemical surface area (ECSA) quantification were carried out in a 186 187 deaerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution. Chronoamperometries for studying the electrocatalytic 188 activity for FAOR were carried out in: i) deaerated 0.01 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H₂SO₄ 189 solution, *ii*) deaerated 0.01 M HCOOH, 0.088 M DCE and 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution and *iii*) 190 air saturated 0.01 M HCOOH and 0.5 M H2SO4 solution. An electrochemical pre-191 treatment for removing the CO_{ads} accumulated at the electrode surface from previous 192 FAOR experiments was performed before starting each new chronoamperometry. This 193 consists in holding the electrode potential at 0.9 V for 5 s, since CO_{ads} on the Pd surface 194 requires a bit more positive potential than on Pt for being totally oxidized to CO_2 [⁴⁷].

195

196 <u>Electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed NPs</u>

197 The ECSA for all 5 types of NPs was electrochemically determined by depositing 2 198 µL of each NPs suspension on a gold current collector and quantifying the charge 199 involved under the voltammetric peaks corresponding to the hydrogen desorption within 200 the hydrogen underpotential deposition (UPD) region (between 0.05 and 0.45 V for Pt 201 and Pt alloyed NPs and between 0.1 and 0.5 V for Pd NPs) corrected by subtracting the 202 double-layer contribution [55]. For this purpose, the corresponding CV was performed for each type of synthesized NP in a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ deaerated solution at scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹, 203 as it is shown in Figure 2. 210 μ C cm⁻² was adopted as the calibration charge density for 204 205 the desorption of a complete monolayer of H atoms on polyoriented Pt or Pd electrodes 206 ⁵⁶]. Before ECSA evaluation, an additional cleaning procedure for all 5 types of synthesized NPs was performed by adsorbing CO at the NPs surface [^{38,53}]. After that, a 207

208 linear voltammetry at 20 mV s⁻¹ with an upper potential limit of 0.9 V was performed for 209 a complete electrochemical oxidation-stripping of CO from the NPs surface [⁵⁷]. All 5 210 types of NPs were suspended in water and normalized for exhibiting equal specific 211 surface area (0.035 cm² μ L⁻¹).

212

213 Preparation of electrocatalyst arrays of Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed NPs

The NPs array preparation is described elsewhere $[^{28,52}]$ and the specific array pattern 214 215 (5 spots in 2 identical rows separated by 700 µm from center to center) used here is 216 schematically described in Figure 3. This was prepared by dispensing all 5 metallic NPs 217 dispersed in water using a picoliter solution dispenser CHI 1550A from CHInstruments. 218 All 5 types of NPs were dispensed on a conductive flat current collector of GC (1.5 cm x 219 1.5 cm), which has been selected since is totally inactive for ORR and FAOR within the 220 potential range under study. Each NPs suspension was sonicated for 2 min before 221 charging the dispenser to avoid NPs agglomeration. A total of 160 drops of each type of 222 metallic NPs suspension were dispensed in 8 successive series of 20 drops each, allowing 223 the water solvent to be evaporated before each new series of drops was added. The 224 average spot size obtained was between 200 and 250 µm in diameter.

225

226 <u>Glass Micropipette Fabrication</u>

The micropipettes were prepared by pulling borosilicate capillaries with O.D.: 1.5 mm and I.D.: 1.0 mm and length of 90 mm using a laser-based puller P-2000 from Sutter Instrument Co. Micropipettes with an internal opening diameter of 30 µm were routinely fabricated. Micropipettes exact diameter was checked using an optical microscope BA200 from Motic Co. The inner wall of the micropipettes was made hydrophobic to avoid aqueous solution penetration within the micropipette by filling it with octyltriethoxylsilane overnight and finally, drying it exhaustively by pumping air through
following an standard protocol [^{36,52,58}]. Then, those micropipettes were loaded with a
liquid mixture 50:50 HCOOH:DCE (v/v) before starting electrocatalyst SECM imaging.

237 <u>Scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) imaging</u>

238 All SECM images were carried out using the MD/SC working mode of SECM using 239 either a CHI 910B or a 920D microscope from CHInstruments and a three-electrode 240 configuration at room temperature. The electrochemical cell employed was built in Teflon 241 with an 8 mm diameter aperture for scanning the substrate electrode. This is an open 242 electrochemical cell, which does not allow total suppression of O₂ in solution. A platinum 243 wire, 0.5 mm diameter, was used as a counter electrode and a commercial Hg/Hg₂SO₄ 244 (K₂SO₄ sat.) electrode within a Luggin capillary as reference. Nevertheless, all potential 245 values presented herein have been referred to RHE ($E_{Hg/Hg_2SO_4} = +0.64$ V vs. RHE for the 246 pH value of the solutions employed). Before FAOR imaging any tilt on the electrocatalyst 247 array substrate was eliminated in order to avoid crushing the glass micropipette while 248 scanning. This is achieved by performing a series of approach curves using a gold 249 ultramicroelectrode (UME) built by heat sealing under vacuum a gold wire in a flint glass capillary as described elsewhere $[^{20}]$. This procedure consists in approaching a gold UME 250 251 of 25 µm diameter to the array substrate surface at three different locations. The gold 252 UME is kept at a potential negative enough to perform ORR under steady-state 253 conditions. Controlling the ratio between the steady-state current and the final current of 254 the gold UME when is approaching the GC surface, it is possible to establish a controlled 255 tip-substrate distance. In particular, we select stopping the UME approach when the 256 distance between the UME and the surface is equivalent to the UME radius (12.5 µm) 257 ^{[20}]. This approach is repeated at three different locations on the GC surface, making a

triangle between these three points. The electrocatalyst array substrate tilt is considered 258 259 corrected when reaches the following condition: $\Delta z / \Delta x$ (or Δy) < (1.5 µm/1 mm). Then, 260 the gold UME is replaced by a glass micropipette filled with a solution of HCOOH:DCE 261 (50:50), which is slowly approached until it touches the GC surface, but avoiding to crush 262 it. After this, the micropipette is retracted 50 µm in Z direction. Then, the micropipette 263 scans at constant distance the array surface in the XY plane, meanwhile the species of 264 interest (HCOOH) is delivered within the bulk solution by crossing the liquid-liquid 265 interface between two immiscible phases, DCE and H₂O, in this case. The current 266 collection for FAOR (difference between the maximum oxidation current displayed at the catalyst spot location and the background current at the same scanned line in the SECM 267 268 image at a given tip-substrate distance) is calculated to quantitatively compare the 269 catalytic activity of all different materials studied by SECM $[^{38,59}]$ (see later Table 1).

270 All SECM images were collected after performing an electrochemical pre-treatment 271 for removing the CO_{ads} accumulated at the electrode surface from previous FAOR 272 experiments (potential pulse at 0.9 V for 2 s). The electrolyte 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was purged 273 with Ar gas until a low concentration of O₂ in the bulk solution was reached (0.10 mM, 40% of the initial O_2 concentration in air saturated solution [⁶⁰]). This O_2 concentration 274 275 in solution was experimentally determined by measuring the electrochemical steady state 276 current for ORR at -0.05 V provided by a gold UME after purging with Ar gas the 0.5 M 277 H₂SO₄ solution within the SECM cell. Moreover, an Ar blanket was kept above the solution during SECM imaging. The tip scan rate was 125 µm s⁻¹, using increments of 25 278 279 μ m each 0.2 s.

280

3. Results and discussion

282 First of all, the catalytic activity for FAOR of all 5 metallic NPs synthesized is 283 individually evaluated by chronoamperometry at 3 different potentials (0.3 V, 0.5 V and 284 0.7 V) in a low concentrated HCOOH solution (0.01 M) in order to achieve a similar 285 concentration to that found in the SECM experiment. Figure 4 shows the comparison of 286 all 5 oxidation current densities displayed by Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed NPs after 600 s. 287 This comparison allows to identify the most active electrocatalyst for FAOR under 288 steady-state conditions at each given potential. In particular, Pd100 and secondly Pt25Pd75 289 NPs exhibit the highest activity at 0.3 V (figure 4A), meanwhile Pt100 NPs exhibit a 290 negligible activity at the same potential, due to CO poisoning $[^{61}]$. In contrast, Pt₇₅Pd₂₅ 291 and secondly Pt100 NPs exhibit the maximum activity at 0.5 and 0.7 V (figures 4B and 292 4C), meanwhile, Pd₁₀₀ NPs show a negligible oxidation current at those potentials. These 293 results are in agreement with those already published in the literature [62-64]. Nevertheless, 294 they correspond to the electrocatalysts activity towards FAOR in the total absence of any 295 other competitive reaction.

296 In contrast, Figure 5 displays SECM images for simultaneous FAOR and ORR under 297 steady-state conditions on the electrocatalyst array formed by Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed 298 NPs schematically shown in Figure 3. These SECM images are also collected at 3 299 different potential values, namely, 0.3 V, 0.5 V and 0.7 V. Unlike the behavior observed 300 in the chronoamperometric tests presented in Figure 4, Pt₅₀Pd₅₀ is the most active 301 electrocatalyst spot at 0.3 V ($I_{collected} = 97 \text{ nA}$), whereas Pt₇₅Pd₂₅ is the most active sample 302 at 0.5 ($I_{collected} = 75 \text{ nA}$) and 0.7 V ($I_{collected} = 56 \text{ nA}$). Table 1 summarizes the oxidation 303 current collected on each catalytic spot from the SECM images shown in Figure 5 as a 304 function of applied potential. As can be seen, SECM catalytic activity results at 0.3 V 305 differ from those obtained by chronoamperometry (Figure 4A). Nevertheless, there is a 306 common feature, Pt75Pd25 and secondly Pt100 electrocatalyst spots exhibit the highest 307 catalytic activity at 0.5 and 0.7 V in both cases (figures 4B, 4C and 5). Furthermore, in 308 all 3 SECM images, the background current corresponds to a reduction current on the 309 array. In fact, the raison for that behavior is that active electrocatalyst spots for FAOR 310 provide some oxidation current when the micropipette fluxes HCOOH on top of them, 311 however, this oxidation current is not large enough to overcome the initial reduction 312 current coming from the ORR and only produces a diminution in the background 313 reduction current. This behavior is unexpected taking into account the important 314 difference in local concentration between both electroactive species, O₂ and HCOOH. 315 Bulk O₂ concentration in solution is very low (0.1 mM) and local HCOOH concentration 316 coming out from the micropipette is expected to be much more important, since HCOOH 317 presents high affinity toward the aqueous phase. Thus, we assume a fast HCOOH transfer 318 across the liquid-liquid interface in the micropipette, which is the case for most similar neutral molecules [³⁶]. It means a low partition coefficient, $K \approx 0.01$), which is defined 319 320 as the ratio between backward and forward rate constants (k_b/k_f) of the molecule coming 321 out from the micropipette. Therefore, this comparison between catalytic activity for 322 FAOR (Figure 4) and simultaneous FAOR and ORR (Figure 5) raises up a huge impact 323 of O₂ crossover effect during FAOR. However, the impact of this effect is very different 324 on Pd- and Pt-rich electrocatalysts and this is particularly relevant on Pd-rich 325 electrocatalysts, since Pd₁₀₀ and Pt₂₅Pd₇₅ electrocatalyst spots display a much lower 326 HCOOH oxidation current than Pt-rich electrocatalysts under the same experimental 327 conditions (SECM image, Figure 5). In spite of the fact that HCOOH local concentration 328 should be at least two orders of magnitude larger than that of O₂ under those experimental 329 conditions and thus, an oxidation net current would be expected in the SECM images, 330 which is not the case in Figure 5. Additionally, it is important to rule out the potential 331 contamination effect associated with the leakage of DCE coming out from the 332 micropipette during SECM images, in order to demonstrate the relevant role of the O₂ 333 presence in solution during FAOR on Pd-rich catalysts. It has been already reported in 334 the literature a strong adsorption of DCE at Pd surface [⁶⁵], which could partially hinder 335 their catalytic response and justify lower oxidation currents obtained when the MD/SC 336 mode of SECM is used to study those electrocatalysts. Actually, DCE presents a nonnegligible solubility in water (8.7 g/L at 20°C [⁶⁶], which is equivalent to 0.088 M). For 337 338 this reason, it is feasible that some DCE can get across the micropipette liquid-liquid 339 interface and reach the electrocatalysts surface. Figure 6 presents the same 340 chronoamperometries displayed in Figure 4, but in the presence of 0.088 M DCE in 341 solution. Then, comparing the maximum current density reached in the presence (Figure 342 6) and absence (Figure 4) of DCE, it is evident that an important drop in catalytic current 343 for all 5 metallic NPs studied is observed when DCE is in solution (Figure 6). Moreover, 344 a faster catalyst deactivation effect is observed for Pd100 at 0.3 V and Pt75Pd25 at 0.5 V in 345 presence of DCE, since the current decay does not stop along 600 s. Nevertheless, the 346 most active electrocatalyst as a function of applied potential remains unchanged in all 347 cases (Pd₁₀₀ at 0.3 V and Pt₇₅Pd₂₅ at 0.5 V and 0.7V). Thus, it is demonstrated that the 348 sole presence of DCE in solution is not enough to justify the important difference in 349 catalytic activity reported by SECM when simultaneous FAOR and ORR take place on 350 Pd-rich electrocatalysts. Moreover, our results prove that the accumulation of organic 351 solvent released from the micropipette into the solution may be not negligible in long 352 term experiments, provoking an important quantitative impact, but it does not 353 qualitatively alter the catalytic activity results obtained by the MD/SC mode of SECM. 354 Finally, Figure 7 compares the O₂ crossover effect during FAOR on Pd 355 electrocatalysts in the absence (Figure 7A, $\omega = 0$ rpm) and in the presence (Figure 7B, ω

356 = 1000 rpm) of convection in solution. Figure 7 displays the same chronoamperometry at

357 0.3 V performed in an air saturated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution (black plots) for evaluating 358 ORR and a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution containing 0.01 M HCOOH saturated by either argon 359 (green plots) or air (red plots) for evaluating FAOR and simultaneous FAOR and ORR, 360 respectively. Thus, figure 7A proves that the O₂ crossover effect during FAOR on Pd 361 cannot be explained by a simple addition of two opposed currents coming from ORR and FAOR. The current decrease (0.044 mA cm⁻²) observed when simultaneous ORR and 362 FAOR occur on the Pd surface (Figure 7A, red plot) in comparison with the current 363 364 exclusively provided by FAOR (Figure 7A, green plot) does not correspond to the reduction current provided by ORR (-0.009 mA cm⁻², Figure 7A, black plot). Therefore, 365 366 the results displayed in Figure 7A confirm the reliability of the SECM results already 367 reported in Figure 5, where Pd₁₀₀ NPs show a relevant decrease in activity for FAOR in the presence of a low concentration of O2 in solution. In contrast, the same 368 369 chronoamperometries performed under a laminar convective regime (Figure 7B, ω = 370 1000 rpm), which does not allow the accumulation of any reaction intermediate or product 371 in the vicinity of the electrode surface, display a negligible O₂ crossover effect during 372 FAOR on Pd, since the current decrease shown when the simultaneous ORR and FAOR occur on the Pd surface (ca. 0.032 mA cm⁻², Figure 7B, red and green plots) is actually 373 374 smaller than the reduction current provided by ORR (-0.08 mA cm⁻², Figure 7B, black 375 plot). In conclusion, the negative impact on FAOR due to the O₂ crossover effect on Pd 376 NPs is only relevant when the products or intermediates formed in one or both reactions 377 (FAOR and ORR) accumulate near the electrode surface.

378 Regarding the different behavior displayed by Pt- and Pd-rich catalysts, it should be 379 noted that for both electrodes, ORR has reached diffusion limited currents at 0.5 or 0.3 380 V. Thus, it would be expected that the effect of O_2 on both electrodes were similar. It 381 should be also noted that the chronoamperometric results in Figure 4 show that the

382 currents after 600 s for Pd₁₀₀ at 0.3 V and Pt₁₀₀ at 0.5 V are almost the same, thus the mere 383 superposition of an additional reaction, in this case the ORR, which is under diffusion 384 control, should have resulted in a similar diminution in the currents. However, the current 385 diminution effect during FAOR on Pd NPs is significantly higher. Thus, we propose two 386 main factors for explaining the different sensitivity towards O₂ presence in solution 387 during FAOR when comparing Pd- and Pt- rich catalysts. On the one hand, the different 388 type of product formed during ORR on Pd and Pt, since the H₂O₂ production on Pd is 389 much more relevant than on Pt, being the number of electrons exchanged (n) during ORR between 2.85 and 3.60 on Pd and between 3.95 and 4.00 on Pt $[^{27}]$. Therefore, we propose 390 391 ORR byproducts (particularly H₂O₂) accumulation on the Pd surface as the responsible 392 of diminishing its FAOR catalytic performance. One of the possible options is a chemical 393 reaction producing no net electron transfer between H₂O₂ accumulated on the Pd surface 394 and HCOOH, which reduces the HCOOH concentration close to the surface. On the other 395 hand, at 0.5 V on Pt, CO is still formed and accumulated on the surface $[^{61}]$, leading to 396 lower currents. It is also known that traces of O₂ facilitate the oxidation of adsorbed CO, 397 and, thus, in the presence of O₂, CO coverage on Pt would be smaller, and higher FAOR 398 activity could be obtained. Then, the reduction current due to the ORR would be 399 compensated by a higher oxidation current for the FAOR, resulting in a lower diminution. 400 This compensating mechanism is not possible on Pd electrodes, because CO is not 401 effectively formed.

402

403 **4.** Conclusions

The relevant role displayed by O₂ crossover during FAOR specially on Pd NPs is
demonstrated here. Depending on the electrocatalyst material and the applied potential,
O₂ can be reduced simultaneously with HCOOH oxidation, which in some cases produces

407 an important deactivation of FAOR and reduces the interest of that material as anode in 408 DFAFCs. This deactivation effect displayed by O₂ presence in solution is proved for Pd 409 and Pd-rich electrocatalysts by SECM imaging and it is also verified by conventional 410 chronoamperometry. This fact diminishes the anode efficiency by reactant competition 411 and because of ORR byproducts (particularly H₂O₂) accumulate and react on the anode 412 electrocatalyst surface. However, this phenomenon is not equally evident in all types of 413 electrocatalysts, being Pd much more sensitive to this than Pt, since the H₂O₂ production 414 from ORR is much more relevant on Pd than on Pt. Nevertheless, this negative impact 415 displayed on Pd NPs becomes negligible when the hydrodynamic regime in solution does 416 not allow H₂O₂ accumulation on the Pd NPs surface as have been proved by the RDE 417 measurements (Figure 7B). We believe this conclusion provides a new approach to 418 develop future synthesis of Pd-based electrocatalysts for FAOR, since introducing a co-419 catalyst next to Pd for activating ORR towards H₂O production (n = 4) should provide 420 longer-term activity for FAOR. Actually, we think this may be the reason why some 421 recently published Pd-based electrocatalysts (Pd-Ni₂P/C) outperform Pd anodes in 422 DFAFCs [48].

423 SECM is proved as a fast and powerful technique for studying O₂ crossover effect in 424 different electrocatalysts and for identifying highly selective electrocatalysts candidates 425 for MRFCs, which represents a key issue for further development of DFAFCs and 426 MRFCs. In particular, among the samples evaluated, Pt75Pd25 NPs present the highest 427 average performance for FAOR in presence of O₂ within the entire potential range under 428 study (0.3-0.7 V) according to the SECM current collected in Table 1. The MD/SC mode 429 of SECM is used to locally provide a constant flux of HCOOH near different 430 electrocatalysts in a low concentrated O₂ agueous solution. However, this mode of SECM

431	could be also applied to study other molecules of interest such as methanol, ethanol or
432	glycerol.

433

434 Acknowledgements

435	This	work	was	financially	supported	by	CNRS	(projet	Défi	Instrumentation	aux

- 436 limites 2015), MINECO (projects CTQ2013-44083-P and CTQ2013-48280-C3-3-R) and
- 437 Generalitat Valenciana (project PROMETEOII/2014/013).

438

TABLES

Table 1. Oxidation current collected on Pt, Pd and PtPd alloyed NPs as a function of

- 442 applied potential from SECM images shown in Figure 5

E (V) vs RHE	Icollected (nA) ¹				
	Pt100	Pt75Pd25	Pt50Pd50	Pt25Pd75	Pd100
0.3	50	92	97	65	-
0.5	75	75	47	26	
0.7	51	56	44	27	

¹Oxidation current is not different from background current

446 FIGURES





449 Figure 1. Schematic representation of the conventional MD/SC mode of the SECM
450 applied to the screening of electrocatalysts for the HCOOH oxidation reaction in the
451 presence of O₂ in aqueous solution.



453
454 Figure 2. Cyclic voltammetries for electrochemical surface characterization on
455 synthesized Pt, Pd and Pt-Pd alloyed NPs in deareated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution. (A) Pt₁₀₀;
456 (B) Pt₇₅Pd₂₅; (C) Pt₅₀Pd₅₀; (D) Pt₂₅Pd₂₅ and (E) Pd₁₀₀. Scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹.

Figure 3. Array pattern of 2 x 5 of Pt, Pd and Pt-Pd alloyed NPs on a glassy carbon

463 substrate.



467 Figure 4. Chronoamperometries of Pt100 (black line), Pt75Pd25 (red line), Pt50Pd50 (blue
468 line), Pt25Pd75 (purple line) and Pd100 (green line) NPs in argon saturated 0.01 M HCOOH
469 and 0.5 M H2SO4 solution at different potentials. A) 0.3 V, B) 0.5 V and C) 0.7 V vs
470 RHE.





Pd₁₀₀

0.3 V

0 µm

550 µm

3600 µm

473

474 Figure 5. SECM MD/SC images displaying the substrate current for simultaneous FAOR and ORR in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and 10⁻⁴ M O₂ solution on Pt, Pd and Pt-Pd alloyed NPs. The 475 476 substrate potential is held constant at 3 different potentials (0.3, 0.5 and 0.7 V vs RHE). 477 The substrate array is formed by spots of 5 different NPs Pt100, Pt75Pd25, Pt50Pd50, Pt25Pd75 and Pd₁₀₀. The tip scan rate was 125 µm s⁻¹, using increments of 25 µm each 0.2 s. Tip-478 479 substrate distance = $50 \mu m$.



482 Figure 6. Chronoamperometries of Pt100 (black line), Pt75Pd25 (red line), Pt50Pd50 (blue
483 line), Pt25Pd75 (purple line) and Pd100 (green line) NPs in argon saturated 0.01 M HCOOH,
484 0.088 M DCE and 0.5 M H2SO4 solution at different potentials. A) 0.3 V, B) 0.5 V and
485 C) 0.7 V vs RHE.



Figure 7. Chronoamperometries at 0.3 V of Pd NPs using a RDE at 0 rpm (A) and 1000
rpm (B). Green plots correspond to an Ar saturated 0.01 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄
solution. Red plots correspond to non deaerated 0.01 M HCOOH + 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution.
Black plots correspond to a non deaerated 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution.

References

[¹] L. Carrette, K.A. Friedrich, U. Stimming, Fuel Cells-Fundamentals and Applications, Fuel Cells 1 (2001) 5-39.

[²] M.K. Debe, Electrocatalyst approaches and challenges for automotive fuel cells, Nature 486 (2012) 43 51.

[³] A.J. Bard, Inner-sphere heterogeneous electrode reactions. Electrocatalysis and Photocatalysis: The challenge, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 7559-7567.

^[4] A.S. Aricò, S. Srinivasan, V. Antonucci, DMFCs: From fundamental aspects to technology development, Fuel Cells 1 (2001) 133-161.

[⁵] Y.-W. Rhee, S.Y. Ha, R.I. Masel, Crossover of formic acid through Nafion membranes, J. Power Sources 117 (2003) 35-38.

[⁶] K.-J. Jeong, C.M. Miesse, J.-H. Choi, J. Lee, J. Han, S.P. Yoon, S.W. Nam, T.-H. Lim, T.G. Lee, Fuel crossover in direct formic acid fuel cells, J. Power Sources 168 (2007) 119-125.

[⁷] R.P. Brooker, M.P. Rodgers, L.J. Bonville, H.R. Kunz, D.K. Slattery, J.M. Fenton, Influence of trace oxygen in low-crossover proton exchange membrane fuel cells, J. Power Sources 218 (2012) 181-186.

[⁸] F. Lufrano, V. Baglio, O. Di Blasi, P. Staiti, V. Antonucci, A.S. Aricò, Design of efficient methanol impermeable membranes for fuel cell applications, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14 (2012) 2718-2726.

[⁹] J. Prabhuram, T.S. Zhao, H. Yang, Methanol adsorbates on the DMFC cathode and their effect on the cell performance, J. Electroanal. Chem. 578 (2005) 105-112.

[¹⁰] A. Mehmood, M.A. Scibioh, J. Prabhuram, M.-G. An, H.Y. Ha, A review on durability issues and restoration techniques in long-term operations of direct methanol fuel cells, J. Power Sources 297 (2015) 224-241. ^[1] M. Marinaro, P. Balasubramanian, E. Gucciardi, S. Theil, L. Jörissen, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, Importance of reaction kinetics and oxygen crossover in aprotic Li-O₂ batteries based on a dimethyl sulfoxide electrolyte, ChemSusChem 8 (2015) 3139-3145.

[¹²] R.S. Assary, J. Lu, P. Du, X. Luo, X. Zhang, Y. Ren, L.A. Curtiss, K. Amine, The effect of oxygen crossover on the anode of a Li-O₂ battery using an ether-based solvent: Insights from experimental and computational studies. ChemSusChem 6 (2013) 51-55.

[¹³] A. Aziznia, C.W. Oloman, E.L. Gyenge, A Swiss-roll liquid-gas mixed-reactant fuel cell, J. Power Sources 212 (2012) 154-160.

[¹⁴] A. Serov, A. Aziznia, P.H. Benhangi, K. Artyushkova, P. Atanassov, E. Gyenge, Borohydride-tolerant oxygen electroreduction catalyst for mixed-reactant Swill-roll direct borohydride fuel cells, J. Mater. Chem. A 1 (2013) 14384-14391.

[¹⁵] F. Huet, A review of impedance measurements for determination of the state-of-charge or state-ofhealth of secondary batteries, J. Power Sources 70 (1998) 59-69.

[¹⁶] B. Puga, S. Joiret, V. Vivier, V. Charbonnier, H. Guerrouj, J. Zhang, J. Monnier, C. Fariaut-Georges,
 M. Latroche, L. Goubault, P. Bernard, Electrochemical properties and dissolution mechanism of A₂Ni₇
 hydrides (A=Y, Gd, La-Sm) ChemElectroChem 2 (2015) 1321-1330.

[¹⁷] C. Batchelor-McAuley, E.J.F. Dickinson, N.V. Rees, K.E. Toghill, R.G. Compton, New electrochemical methods, Anal. Chem. 84 (2012) 669-684.

[¹⁸] C. Kranz, Recent advancements in nanoelectrodes and nanopipettes used in combined scanning electrochemical microscopy techniques, Analyst 139 (2014) 336-352.

[¹⁹] N. Ebejer, A.G. Güell, S.C.S. Lai, K. McKelvey, M.E. Snowden, P.R. Unwin, Scanning electrochemical cell microscopy: A versatile technique for nanoscale electrochemistry and functional imaging, Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 6 (2013) 329-351.

[²⁰] A.J. Bard, M.V. Mirkin (Eds.), Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy, second ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2012. [²¹] M.V. Mirkin, W. Nogala, J. Velmurugan, Y. Wang, Scanning electrochemical microscopy in the 21st century. Update 1: five years after, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 13 (2011) 21196-21212.

[²²] E. Ventosa, W. Schuhmann, Scanning electrochemical microscopy of Li-ion batteries, Phys. Chem.
 Chem. Phys. 17 (2015) 28441-28450.

[²³] Z.J. Barton, J. Rodriguez-Lopez, Lithium ion quantification using mercury amalgams as in situ electrochemical probes in nonaqueous media, Anal. Chem. 86 (2014) 10660-10667.

[²⁴] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, Studying electrocatalytic activity using scanning electrochemical microscopy, Electrochem Soc. Interface 23 (2014) 43-45.

[²⁵] J.L. Fernández, A.J. Bard, Scanning electrochemical microscopy. 47. Imaging electrocatalytic activity for oxygen reduction in an acidic medium by the tip generation-substrate collection mode, Anal. Chem. 75 (2003) 2967-2974.

^[26] Y. Shen, M. Trauble, G. Wittstock, Detection of hydrogen peroxide during electrochemical oxygen reduction using scanning electrochemical microscopy, Anal. Chem. 80 (2008) 750-759.

[²⁷] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, A. J. Bard, Hydrogen peroxide production in the oxygen reduction reaction at different electrocatalysts as quantified by scanning electrochemical microscopy. Anal. Chem. 81 (2009) 8094-8100.

[²⁸] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, J. Solla-Gullón, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, A. Aldaz, V. Montiel, E. Herrero, Imaging structure sensitive catalysis on different shape-controlled platinum nanoparticles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132 (2010) 5622-5624.

[29] C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel, A. Aldaz, J. M. Feliu, E. Herrero, Scanning electrochemical microscopy for studying electrocatalysis on shape-controlled gold nanoparticles and nanorods. Electrochim. Acta 55 (2010) 8252-8257.

[³⁰] L. Johnson, D.A. Walsh, Tip generation-substrate collection-tip collection mode scanning electrochemical microscopy of oxygen reduction electrocatalysts, J. Electroanal. Chem. 682 (2012) 45-52.

[³¹] H. Deng, P. Peljo, D. Momotenko, F. Cortés-Salazar, T.J. Stockmann, K. Kontturi, M. Opallo, H.H. Girault, Kinetic differentiation of bulk/interfacial oxygen reduction mechanisms at/near liquid/liquid interfaces using scanning electrochemical microscopy. J. Electroanal. Chem. 732 (2014) 101-109.

[³²] A. Minguzzi, M.A. Alpuche-Aviles, J. Rodriguez-Lopez, S. Rondinini, A.J. Bard, Screening of oxygen evolution electrocatalysts by scanning electrochemical microscopy using a shielded tip approach, Anal. Chem. 80 (2008) 4055-4064.

[³³] L.-A. Näslund, C. M. Sánchez-Sánchez, A. S. Ingason, J. Bäckström, E. Herrero, J. Rosen, S. Holmin, The role of TiO2 doping on RuO2 coated electrodes for the water oxidation reaction. J. Phys. Chem. C 117 (2013) 6126-6135.

[³⁴] X. Chen, A.J.R. Botz, J. Masa, W. Schuhmann, Characterization of bifunctional electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction and evolution by means of SECM, J. Solid State Electrochem. 20 (2016) 1019-1027.

[³⁵] N. Sreekanth, K.L. Phani, Selective reduction of CO₂ to formate through bicarbonate reduction on metal electrodes: new insights gained from SG/TC mode of SECM, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 11143-11146.

[³⁶] C.-L. Lin, J. Rodríguez-López, A.J. Bard, Micropipet delivery-substrate collection mode of scanning electrochemical microscopy for the imaging of electrochemical reactions and the screening of methanol oxidation electrocatalysts, Anal. Chem. 81 (2009) 8868-8877.

[³⁷] C. Jung, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, C.-L. Lin, J. Rodríguez-López, A.J. Bard, Electrocatalytic activity of Pd-Co bimetallic mixtures for formic acid oxidation studied by scanning electrochemical microscopy, Anal. Chem. 81 (2009) 7003-7008.

[³⁸] J.V. Perales-Rondón, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Herrero, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, Enhanced catalytic activity and stability for the electrooxidation of formic acid on lead modified shape controlled platinum nanoparticles, Appl. Catal. B 201 (2017) 48-57.

[³⁹] A.J. Wain, Scanning electrochemical microscopy for combinatorial screening applications: A minireview, Electrochem. Commun. 46 (2014) 9-12.

[⁴⁰] P. Bertoncello, Advances on scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) for energy, Energy Environ. Sci. 3 (2010) 1620-1633.

^[41] J.L. Fernández, D.A. Walsh, A.J. Bard, Thermodynamic guidelines for the design of bimetallic catalysts for oxygen electroreduction and rapid screening by scanning electrochemical microscopy. M-Co (M: Pd, Ag, Au), J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 357-365.

^[42] C.-L. Lin, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, A.J. Bard, Methanol tolerance of Pd-Co oxygen reduction reaction electrocatalysts using scanning electrochemical microscopy, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 11 (2008) B136-B139.

[⁴³] R. O'Hayre, S.-W. Cha, W. Colella, F.B. Prinz, Fuel Cells Fundamentals, Wiley, New York, 2005.

^[44] X. Yu, P.G. Pickup, Recent advances in direct formic acid fuel cells (DFAFC), J. Power Sources 182 (2008) 124-132.

[45] A.J. Bard, R. Parsons, J. Jordan (Eds.), Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985, pp. 190-192.

^[46] K. Jiang, H.-X. Zhang, S. Zou, W.-B. Cai, Electrocatalysis of formic acid on palladium and platinum surfaces: from fundamental mechanisms to fuel cell applications, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16 (2014) 20360-20376.

^[47] J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel, A. Aldaz, J. Clavilier, Electrochemical and electrocatalytic behaviour of platinum-palladium nanoparticle alloys, Electrochem. Commun. 4 (2002) 716-721.

^[48] J. Chang, L. Feng, C. Liu, W. Xing, X. Hu, An effective Pd-Ni₂P/C anode catalyst for direct formic acid fuel cells, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 122-126.

[49] A. Sáez, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Expósito, A. Aldaz, V. Montiel, Electrochemical analysis of the performance of carbon supported Pd nanoparticles for direct formic acid fuel cells: from gold supported electrodes to catalyst-coated membranes, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci. 8 (2013) 7030-7043.

[⁵⁰] F.J. Vidal-Iglesias, R.M. Arán-Ais, J. Solla-Gullón, E. Garnier, E. Herrero, A. Aldaz, J.M. Feliu, Shapedependent electrocatalysis: formic acid electrooxidation on cubic Pd nanoparticles, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14 (2012) 10258-10265.

^{[51}] Z. Liu, L. Hong, M.P. Tham, T.H. Lim, H. Jiang, Nanostructured Pt/C and Pd/C catalysts for direct formic acid fuel cells, J. Power Sources 161 (2006) 831-835. 33

[⁵²] O. Lugaresi, J.V. Perales-Rondón, A. Minguzzi, J. Solla-Gullón, S. Rondinini, J.M. Feliu, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, Rapid screening of silver nanoparticles for the catalytic degradation of chlorinated pollutants in water, Appl. Catal. B 163 (2015) 554-563.

 [⁵³] J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel, A. Aldaz, J. Clavilier, Synthesis and electrochemical decontamination of Platinum-Palladium nanoparticles prepared by water-in-oil microemulsion, J. Electrochem. Soc. 150 (2003) E104-E109.

[⁵⁴] J. Solla-Gullón, A. Rodes, V. Montiel, A. Aldaz, J. Clavilier, Electrochemical characterisation of platinum-palladium nanoparticles prepared in a water-in-oil microemulsion, J. Electroanal. Chem. 554-555 (2003) 273-284.

[⁵⁵] S. Trasatti, O.A. Petrii, Real surface area measurements in electrochemistry, Pure Appl. Chem. 63 (1991) 711-734.

[⁵⁶] R. Woods, Chemisorption at electrodes in: A.J. Bard (Ed.), Electroanalytical Chemistry Vol. 9, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1976.

[⁵⁷] M.A. Montiel, J. Solla-Gullón, C.M. Sánchez-Sánchez, Electrochemical reactivity and stability of platinum nanoparticles in imidazolium-based ionic liquids, J. Solid State Electrochem. 20 (2016) 1043-1052.

[⁵⁸] D.A. Walsh, J.L. Fernández, J. Mauzeroll, A.J. Bard, Scanning electrochemical microscopy. 55.
 Fabrication and characterization of micropipet probes, Anal. Chem. 77 (2005) 5182-5188.

[⁵⁹] F. Zhou, P.R. Unwin, A.J. Bard, Scanning electrochemical microscopy. 16. Study of second-order homogeneous chemical reactions via the feedback and generation/collection modes, J. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992) 4917-4924.

^[60] R.N. Itoe, G.D. Wesson, E.E. Kalu, Evaluation of oxygen transport parameters in H₂SO₄-CH₃OH mixtures using electrochemical methods, J. Electrochem. Soc. 147 (2000) 24445-2450.

[⁶¹] V. Grozovski, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Climent, E. Herrero, J.M. Feliu, Formic acid oxidation on shapecontrolled Pt nanoparticles studied by pulsed voltammetry, J. Phys. Chem. C 114 (2010) 13802-13812. [⁶²] B. Gralec, A. Lewera, Catalytic activity of unsupported Pd-Pt nanoalloys with low Pt content towards formic acid oxidation, Appl. Catal. B 192 (2016) 304-310.

[⁶³] C.A. Rice, A. Bauskar, P.G. Pickup, Recent advances in electrocatalysis of formic acid oxidation, in:M. Shao (ed.), Electrocatalysis in Fuel Cells, Springer-Verlag, London, 2013.

 $[^{64}]$ X. Li, I-.M. Hsing, Electrooxidation of formic acid on carbon supported Pt_xPd_{1-x} (x=0-1) nanocatalysts, Electrochim. Acta 51 (2006) 3477-3483.

[⁶⁵] S. Ait-Mohand, F. Henin, J. Muzart, Palladium(II)-mediated oxidation of alcohols using 1,2dichloroethane as Pd(0) reoxidant, Tetrahedron Lett. 36 (1995) 2473-2476.

[⁶⁶] D.R. Lide (Ed.), CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 74th ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2000,pp. 16-24.