

Missed pills: frequency, reasons, consequences and solutions

Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet, Christian Jamin, Inaki Lete, Paloma Lobo, Rossella E. Nappi, Axelle Pintiaux, Günther Haüsler, Christian Fiala

▶ To cite this version:

Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet, Christian Jamin, Inaki Lete, Paloma Lobo, Rossella E. Nappi, et al.. Missed pills: frequency, reasons, consequences and solutions. European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care, 2017, 22 (3), pp.165-169. 10.1080/13625187.2017.1295437. hal-01560167

HAL Id: hal-01560167 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01560167

Submitted on 17 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Missed pills: frequency, reasons, consequences and solutions

2

1

- 3 Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet[#], Christian Jamin[‡], Iñaki Lete^{*}, Paloma Lobo[§], Rossella E. Nappi[^],
- 4 Axelle Pintiaux^{\$}, Günther Häusler^{**} and Christian Fiala[†]
- 5 * Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, APHP Tenon Hospital, Pierre and Marie Curie
- 6 University, Paris, France; ‡169, boulevard Haussmann, Paris, France; *Department of
- 7 Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Araba University Hospital, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain; §Department
- 8 of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Infanta Sofía University Hospital, San Sebastián de los
- 9 Reyes, Madrid, Spain; Research Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Gynaecological
- 10 Endocrinology and Menopause, IRCCS San Matteo Foundation, Department of Clinical,
- Surgical, Diagnostic and Paediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy; Department of
- 12 Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Citadelle Hospital, Liège University, Liège, Belgium;
- 13 **Department of Gynaecology and Gynaecological Oncology, AKH-Wien, Vienna, Austria;
- and †Gynmed Ambulatorium, Vienna, Austria, and Department of Women's and Children's
- 15 Health, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm Sweden.
- 16 Correspondence: Nathalie Chabbert-Buffet, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
- 17 APHP Tenon Hospital, Pierre and Marie Curie University, 75020 Paris, France. Tel: +33 56
- 18 01 77 48. Fax: +33 1 56 01 67 15. E-mail: nathalie.chabbert-buffet@aphp.fr

19

20

1

2

3

A DOT	A CF	
ABST	KΑ	

intake is maintained. However, this routine is a constraint for many women and can lead to
missed doses, pill discontinuation and/or unintended pregnancy. Risk factors for missed pills
include user characteristics, cultural aspects, a lack of information about the choice of
contraceptive options and a lack of involvement in the decision. The formulation of the
combined oral contraceptive, including the type of dosing regimen (21-day or 28-day packs),
can also negatively influence efficacy and compliance. As ovarian activity is not fully
suppressed, the risk of failure is greatest when the hormone-free interval (HFI) is prolonged.

Oral hormonal contraception is an effective contraceptive method as long as a regular daily

- 11 Continuous pill intake or long-cycle regimens can reduce the risks of follicular development 12 and thus the likelihood of ovulation and unintended pregnancy. A shortened or eliminated 13 HFI and a progestin with a longer half-life (providing better suppression of ovarian activity)
- may be an option to reduce the negative consequences of missed pills in oral contraception.

15

16

17

KEYWORDS

- 18 Compliance; Efficacy; Half-life; Hormone-free interval; Missed pill; Nomegestrol acetate;
- 19 Non-compliance; Progestin; Regimen.

1 INTRODUCTION

2	
3	Fertility has been a concern for people throughout history. Pre-20 th Century contraceptive
4	methods included male condoms and coitus interruptus, and with the development of
5	combined oral contraceptives (COCs) in the 1960s women gained more control ¹ . However,
6	the high contraceptive efficacy of COCs depends on regular daily intake ^{2,3} and compliance
7	problems are common among all age groups ⁴ . Efforts were made to improve compliance
8	(including easy use, minimal side effects and better tolerability), but with poor results ³ .
9	
10	In this narrative review we will summarize available data from the literature on missed pill
11	occurrence and its consequences, as well as discuss available solutions to this important
12	drawback of COCs. We have used the term 'missed pills' to describe inconsistent COC use,
13	defined as missing one or more pills per 28-day cycle ⁵ .
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PILL AND ITS INCONSISTENT USE

_	
٠,	,

1

More than 100 million women worldwide used COCs in 2009, according to the United 3 Nations, equating to an 8.8% contraceptive prevalence among women of reproductive age⁶: 4 18.0% in developed countries alone (up to 59% in Portugal)⁷. In 2008, a study assessed 5 women's contraceptive practices and sexual behaviour across 14 European countries⁸. COCs 6 7 were the most popular contraception method, with usage rates highest in France (49%) and 8 the Czech Republic (44%), and lowest in Russia, the Baltic States and Spain (15–18%). The 9 study concluded that differences in usage may reflect socio-historical developments and availability of contraception⁸. In late 2012, a 'pill scare' (mainly based on the higher risk of 10 11 venous thromboembolism (VTE) associated with 3rd- and 4th-generation COCs compared to 2nd-generation COCs) changed many women's perception of COCs. In France, a national 12 survey from 2010-2013 showed a 9% reduction in use of 3rd- and 4th-generation COCs and 13 14 was not compensated by increased use of 2nd-generation COCs (23% in 2013 versus 22% in 2010)⁹. In 2013, 229,000 abortions were conducted in France, a rise of 4.5% on 2012¹⁰: 15 16 similar outcomes were observed after the 1995 pill scare in Great Britain¹¹. 17 18 Forgetting one or more oral contraceptive tablets per cycle is common, regardless of age, but 19 adolescents have the highest risk of non-compliance⁴. They reportedly forget an average of 20 three pills per cycle, with discontinuation rates up to 50% during the first three months of use¹². In a study comparing acceptability of the vaginal contraceptive ring to COCs, 12% 21 22 (8/65) of adolescents reported they forgot the pill and 1.5% (1/65) reported forgetting the ring¹³. In women aged 18 or older, 10% to 51% miss at least three pills a month, depending 23 on electronic or self-reported data¹⁴. One study found 47% of women miss >1 pill per cycle 24 25 and 22% at least two pills per cycle⁴. A European survey showed that 19% of women aged 16 to 30 years missed one or more pills per cycle, increasing the risk of unintended pregnancy by

2 2.6 times compared to those who took COCs consistently¹⁵. In the United States, the

3 probability of pregnancy during the first year of perfect use (i.e. following the directions for

use) was estimated at 0.3%. Failure rates reached 9% with typical use, including inconsistent

5 and incorrect use^{16,17}.

6

4

7

REASONS FOR 'MISSED PILL'

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

8

10 COC compliance rates are similar to those for long-term treatments for chronic

11 conditions^{4,18,19}. Both are influenced by patient, environmental and clinician factors¹⁹. COC

regimens also have unique characteristics¹⁹: they are generally preventive rather than curative,

and COC users seldom receive ongoing reinforcement, whereas treatment management for

chronic conditions may provide symptom relief leading to positive feedback^{20,21}. COC users

have several treatment choices whereas patients treated for a specific condition often have

limited or no choice²⁰. Also, contraceptive decisions may be affected by interactions between

sexual partners, whereas usually just one patient is involved when a disease-related drug is

prescribed²⁰. Therefore, studying contraceptive compliance is more complex compared with

compliance with other medical regimens^{20,21}.

20

21

22

23

Women who do not establish a routine or do not succeed in associating COC intake with

another daily activity, such as applying make-up or brushing teeth, are 4.6 times more likely

to miss two or more pills per month and 3.3 times more likely to be inconsistent users

compared with women who have a routine¹⁵. In addition, women with little or no

1 understanding of COCs and their usage are 2.4 times more likely to miss two or more pills per cycle than more informed women¹⁵. 2 3 Occurrence of side effects is the primary predictor for early discontinuations¹⁵. Increased risk 4 5 of missing a pill is associated with women's lack of involvement in choosing a contraceptive 6 method²², lack of a regular sex partner, limited support from a partner or low socioeconomic status^{15,23}. Cultural aspects can also affect compliance: myths and misinformation are often 7 passed down the generations¹⁸. 8 9 10 Age and the type of physician prescribing the contraceptive were not significantly related to 11 consistent pill use¹⁵. However, experiencing benefits associated with COC, such as reduced cramping and bleeding, may improve compliance.²⁴ 12 13 14 In adolescents, psychosocial characteristics including low evaluation of personal health, previous abortion and multiple sexual partners greatly influence compliance ²⁵. Some 15 16 adolescents might not want their future threatened by an unwanted pregnancy, yet may continue to seek personal self-fulfilment through childbearing²⁵. 'Magical thinking' is also 17 common: it helps maintain a shield of perceived low susceptibility to pregnancy¹⁸. 18 19 20 In a 2005 American study, the three most frequent reasons for missed pills given by the 141 COC users were 'away from home' (12.9%), 'forgot' (12.9%), and 'no new pack' $(10.5\%)^{26}$. 21 22 If 'unavailable' was given as the reason for missing pills, one missed pill day was more likely 23 to be followed by another (44.3%). If the reason was physiological (i.e. health reasons, side 24 effects or sleep disturbances), the likelihood of missed pills on consecutive days was only

1 10.7%. The likelihood was significantly increased in case of 'work pressures' (19.3%) and

2 'no new pill pack' (21.9%)²⁶.

3

5

7

8

4 In a 2013 Spanish multicentre study, forgetfulness was the main reason for omission/delay of

using COCs (74.9%) among 8,762 women aged 18-28 years, followed by having little

6 experience of their chosen contraceptive method²³. Remembering to use COCs was more

difficult on vacation (28.2%), weekends (34.8%), after going out the previous night (15.9%),

or on short trips (11.2%) than on weekdays $(9.4\%)^{23}$.

9

10

11

MISSED PILLS AND OVULATION

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

Estrogen and, above all, progestin in COCs prevent ovulation by inhibiting gonadotropin

secretion via a negative feedback effect on the hypothalamo-pituitary axis²⁷⁻³⁰. The

contraceptive efficacy is essentially provided by the progestin component, which primarily

suppresses luteinizing hormone (LH) secretion necessary for ovulation^{28,30}. The estrogenic

component suppresses follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) secretion, preventing the selection

of a dominant follicle²⁸. Another important role of estrogen and progestin is to stabilize the

endometrium and prevent unwanted breakthrough bleeding²⁸.

20

22

23

24

25

21 Although very effective in preventing pregnancy if correctly and consistently used, COCs do

not lead to complete ovarian suppression^{31,32}. Follicle growth and concomitant estradiol

production usually occur during the hormone-free interval (HFI), first week of medication, or

when COCs are missed³³⁻³⁵. Studies have shown a gradual decline of gonadotropins in the

first week of pill intake, which leads to suppression of non-dominant follicle development³⁶.

However, dominant follicles present on the first day the pill is taken can still increase in
 diameter³⁶, so the potential to ovulate remains²⁹.

3

5

4 A study looked at serum pituitary and ovarian hormone levels during the 7-day HFI among

six different COC formulations³⁷. A greater dose-response suppression of LH, FSH, and

6 estradiol was found on day 1 of the HFI, with 30- or 35-μg EE COCs than 20μg EE COCs.

7 Higher doses of EE were associated with a more rapid increase in gonadotropin levels from

day 1 to day 7 of the HFI; hormone levels during the HFI were not changed by the type and

9 dose of 19-norsteroid progestin³⁷.

10

12

13

14

8

11 Increasing the number of active pills per cycle or adding EE-only pills to shorten the HFI to 3

or 4 days diminishes FSH, LH and estradiol levels^{32,38}. This can provide greater pituitary-

ovarian inhibition, potentially reducing the risk of ovulation and common withdrawal

symptoms^{29,31,32,36,39}. More suppression of ovarian follicular activity is possible by

eliminating the HFI completely^{34,40}.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ovarian suppression increases with the number of pills already taken, with maximum

suppression often encountered at the end of the COC cycle⁴¹. After achieving maximum

suppression (i.e. active pills taken for seven consecutive days), theoretically up to seven pills

can be omitted (the 7-day HFI) without affecting contraceptive efficacy⁴². Risk of pregnancy

after missing pills depends on when and how many pills are missed⁴³. The first week of the

COC cycle is critical⁴⁴. Evidence suggests missing pills on days not adjacent to the HFI is

less critical to missing pills on adjacent days⁴². Eliminating the HFI when one or more days

of COCs are missed in Week 2 or Week 3 may reduce the risk of unplanned pregnancy⁴⁵.

1 However, missing three or more pills in a row during Week 3 is likely to impair contraceptive effectiveness, because the HFI comes immediately after Week 3⁴⁵. 2 3 4 5 **GUIDELINES ABOUT MISSED COCS** 6 7 Guidelines are conflicting, probably leading to their low acceptance rate by physicians and 8 COC users⁴⁶. Revised recommendations were developed based mainly on the evidence 9 reviewed in 2008 by the WHO Expert Working Group, a Cochrane review and the Society of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada⁴³. The missed pill window was changed from 12 10 11 hours to 24 hours⁴³. The number of pills missed and the time in the cycle when this occurs are crucial for considering risk of contraceptive failure⁴³. Contraceptive cover is provided: 12 13 the user should take the last pill missed, even if it means taking two pills in one day, and 14 continue taking the rest of the pack as usual⁴³. Missing two or more pills (more than 48 hours 15 late) may affect the contraceptive cover, except in the second week of the pack and could 16 require emergency contraception⁴³. 17 18 19 HOW CAN THE 'MISSED PILL ISSUE' BE ADDRESSED? 20 21 Several strategies have been proposed to improve contraceptive compliance⁴. HCPs must 22 ensure patients understand the correct usage of COCs and help women with their choice, based on background, individual needs and concerns⁴. Talking about establishing a regular 23 24 pill-taking routine, discussing possible side effects associated with pill use, providing clear instructions (including missed pill guidance), and using follow-up contact to look for signs of 25

1 non-compliance are all strategies that may prove helpful, particularly with adolescents who may sporadically use COCs^{4,21}. 2 3 4 COC users should take the pill at a regular time, preferably as part of a daily routine such as 5 applying make-up, carefully read the instructions that come with the pill package, and know what to do if pills are missed⁴. 6 7 8 There are options for women who want reliable contraception, but have poor compliance: 9 long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCs), such as an intrauterine system (IUS) and implants, do not depend on daily pill-taking^{3,47}. LNG IUS is often considered close to an 10 'ideal' contraceptive, although ≥25% of women discontinue this method within the first year⁴⁸ 11 and at five years the discontinuation rate reaches 60%, mostly due to bleeding problems⁴⁹. 12 13 14 15 CAN A COC WITH A LONG HALF-LIFE PROGESTIN AND SHORT HFI IMPROVE 16 THE MISSED PILL ISSUE? 17 Follicular maturation is most likely to occur during an HFI or following a missed pill^{29,36}. In 18 19 2004, a cohort study found that women using pills in a continuous 28-day cycle missed fewer pills in the vulnerable first week than those using a traditional 21-day regimen⁴⁴. The study 20 21 concluded that continuous (everyday) cycle regimens provide an undeniable benefit, 22 eliminating the risk of forgetting during the week of discontinuation between two blister packs⁴⁴. In contrast, a 2014 Cochrane study found no statistically significant difference in 23 compliance rates between traditional monthly cyclic dosing and extended cycles⁵⁰. It has 24 been argued that 28-day packs are much simpler and cause less confusion than 21-day 25

packs^{21,51}. However, there is variation in the onset of withdrawal bleeding and some women 1 2 may start the next cycle as late as 8-10 days after the previous packet²¹. 3 4 Additional use of a progestin with a long half-life was suggested to reduce the risk of 5 contraceptive failure during 'typical use', which includes occasional missed pills¹⁶. 6 Knowledge of a drug's elimination half-life is useful for making recommendations on how to proceed if the patient has missed one or more doses⁵². In general, a single missed dose is less 7 8 problematic for a drug with a long half-life as it will lose therapeutic effect less rapidly than a drug with a shorter half-life²⁷. 9 10 11 A variety of progestins, including testosterone-, progesterone-derived progestins, and 12 derivatives of progesterone are currently used in COCs. They differ in their biological effects 13 (in addition to the basic progestogenic effect), and their pharmacokinetic parameters, including half-life (Table 1)⁵³⁻⁵⁶. 14 15 Drospirenone (DRSP) is a progestin with a half-life of 40 hours⁵⁴. In astudy, which included 16 17 intentional dosing error days at the beginning of Cycle 3 (equivalent to missed pill days), it 18 was reported that ovarian suppression was more frequent in the 24/4 regimen group than in 19 the 21/7 regimen: 87.8% vs. 56.0% during Cycle 2 and 55.1% vs. 30.0% during Cycle 3, respectively⁵⁷. The EE 24/4 regimen COC was also associated with less fluctuation of 20 endogenous estradiol⁵⁷. It was concluded that, under conditions of imperfect use, a 24-day 21 22 COC containing a progestin with a long half-life may offer better effectiveness than a 21-day

COC containing a progestin with a short half-life¹⁶.

23

- 1 Nomegestrol acetate (NOMAC) is another progestin that exhibits a long elimination half-life
- 2 (46 hours) and is used in combination with estradiol (E2) to provide a monophasic regimen
- 3 COC, NOMAC/E2. NOMAC is a progesterone-derived progestin that binds almost
- 4 exclusively to the progesterone receptor and does not interfere with other steroid receptors⁵⁸-
- 5 ⁶⁰. In a 24-day regimen, NOMAC/E2 was associated with greater inhibition of follicular
- 6 growth and shorter duration of withdrawal bleeding than a 21-day regimen⁶¹. Withdrawal
- 7 bleeding duration was significantly shorter in the 24-day group⁶¹.

8

- 9 Following findings that a long half-life may offer greater flexibility in the event of a missed
- dose compared with COCs containing progestins with shorter half-lives¹⁶, the summary of
- product characteristics of the 24/4 regimen of DRSP/EE was updated in 2013 to extend the
- time window for missed pills from 12 to 24 hours⁶². Two years later, the missed pill window
- was also changed from 12 to 24 hours for the E2 pill NOMAC/E2⁶³.

14

CONCLUSION

16

- Women using COCs differ from other patients in that they make the decision to use a
- contraceptive to modulate a physiological process without medical indications¹⁸. Non-
- compliance reveals the difficulties they experience with their daily use of the pill. In the
- 20 context of high prevalence of both pill omission and the subsequent risk of contraceptive
- failure, improving COC use may be a responsibility shared among COC users, providers and
- 22 manufacturers. Shortening the HFI to 3 or 4 days, or even eliminating the HFI completely,
- can reduce ovarian follicular activity and the risk of ovulation. Pharmacokinetics of COCs
- 24 and the scheme of combination are characteristics that should be considered. A progestin

- with a longer half-life, as well as a shorter HFI, could contribute to greater effectiveness of
- 2 COCs under conditions of typical use.

3

4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 5 This study was supported by Teva. The manuscript was prepared with writing and editorial
- 6 assistance from Jean-Louis Carsol, Daniel Loniewski and Tristan Blundell, all employed by
- 7 Publicis Life Brands International.

8

- 9 Declaration of interest: NC-B is a member of the European board of Teva/Theramex and of
- the French board of Gedeon Richter. CJ is a consultant for MSD, Teva and HRA Pharma. IL
- has a financial relationship (lecturer, member of advisory boards and/or consultant) with
- MSD, Teva, Gedeon Richter, HRA Pharma and Nordic Pharma. P. L. has received lecture
- fees, is member of advisory boards and/or consultant with Bayer, Effik, MSD and
- 14 TEVA/Theramex. REN has a financial relationship (lecturer, member of advisory boards
- and/or consultant) with Bayer HealthCare, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Gedeon Richter,
- 16 HRA Pharma, MSD, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Procter & Gamble, Shionogi and Teva. AP is a
- 17 member of the European Board of Teva without personal gain. CF has been a lecturer for
- 18 Teva and Bayer HealthCare and is a member of the European scientific advisory board of
- 19 Teva and Exelgyn.

20

1 REFERENCES

- 2 1. Planned Parenthood. A history of birth control methods. Knowles J. In the Katharine
- 3 Dexter McCormick Library and the Education Division of Planned Parenthood Federation
- 4 of America. New York 2012. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from:
- 5 https://www.plannedparenthood.org/files/2613/9611/6275/History_of_BC_Methods.pdf
- 6 2. Grimes DA. Forgettable contraception. *Contraception* 2009;80:497–99.
- 7 3. Halpern V, Lopez LM, Grimes DA, et al. Strategies to improve adherence and
- 8 acceptability of hormonal methods of contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
- 9 2013;10:CD004317.
- 10 4. Rosenberg M, Waugh MS. Causes and consequences of oral contraceptive
- 11 noncompliance. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1999;180:276–79.
- 12 5. Hall KS, White KO, Reame N, et al. Studying the use of oral contraception: a review of
- measurement approaches. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2010;19:2203–10.
- 14 6. Christin-Maitre S. History of oral contraceptive drugs and their use worldwide. *Best Pract*
- 15 Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013;27:3–12.
- 16 7. World Contraceptive Patterns 2011. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from:
- 17 http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/contraceptive2011/wallchart_front.pdf
- 18 8. Cibula D. Women's contraceptive practices and sexual behaviour in Europe. Eur J
- 19 *Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2008;13:362–75.
- 20 9. Bajos N, Rouzaud-Cornabas M, Panjo H, Bohet A, et al. The French pill scare: towards a
- 21 new contraceptive model? *Pop Soc* 2014;511.
- 22 10. Vilain A, Mouquet M-C. Les interruptions volontaires de grossesse en 2013. Etudes &
- 23 Résultats (DREES) 2015; 0924. [Article in French]
- 24 11. Dillner L. Pill scare linked to rise in abortions. *BMJ* 1996;312:996.
- 25 12. Balassone ML. Risk of contraceptive discontinuation among adolescents. *J Adolesc*
- 26 *Health Care* 1989;10:527–33.
- 27 13. Stewart FH, Brown BA, Raine TR. Weitz TA, et al. Adolescent and young women's
- 28 experience with the vaginal ring and oral contraceptive pills. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol
- 29 2007;20:345–51.
- 30 14. Potter L, Oakley D, de Leon-Wong E, et al. Measuring compliance among oral
- 31 contraceptive users. Fam Plann Perspect 1996;28:154–58.
- 32 15. Rosenberg MJ, Waugh MS, Long S. Unintended pregnancies and use, misuse and
- discontinuation of oral contraceptives. *J Reprod Med* 1995;40:355–60.

- 1 16. Dinger J, Minh TD, Buttmann N, et al. Effectiveness of oral contraceptive pills in a large
- 2 U.S. cohort comparing progestogen and regimen. *Obstet Gynecol* 2011;117:33–40.
- 3 17. Trussell J. Contraceptive failure in the United States. *Contraception* 2011;83:397–404.
- 4 18. Cramer JA. Compliance with contraceptives and other treatments. *Obstet Gynecol*
- 5 1996;88:4S-12S.
- 6 19. Ingersoll KS, Cohen J. The impact of medication regimen factors on adherence to chronic
- 7 treatment: a review of literature. *J Behav Med* 2008;31:213–24.
- 8 20. Whelan EM. Compliance with contraceptive regimens. *Stud Fam Plann* 1974;5:349–55.
- 9 21. Global Library of Women's Medicine. The educational platform for FIGO (The
- 10 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics). Culwell, K, Hillard, P. Patient
- education and contraceptive compliance. (ISSN: 1756-2228) 2008; DOI
- 12 10.3843/GLOWM.10378. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from:
- http://www.glowm.com/section_view/heading/Patient%20Education%20and%20Contrace
- ptive%20Compliance/item/377
- 15 22. Moreau C, Bouyer J, Gilbert F, et al. Social, demographic and situational characteristics
- associated with inconsistent use of oral contraceptives: evidence from France. *Perspect*
- 17 *Sex Reprod Health* 2006;38:190–96.
- 18 23. Martínez-Astorquiza-Ortiz de Zarate T, Díaz-Martín T, Martínez-Astorquiza-Corral T, et
- al. Evaluation of factors associated with noncompliance in users of combined hormonal
- 20 contraceptive methods: a cross-sectional study: results from the MIA study. *BMC Womens*
- 21 *Health* 2013;13:38.
- 22 24. Robinson J, Plichta S, Weisman C, et al. Dysmenorrhea and use of oral contraceptives in
- adolescent women attending a family planning clinic. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1992;166:
- 24 578–583.
- 25 25. Durant RH, Jay MS, Linder CW, et al. Influence of psychosocial factors on adolescent
- compliance with oral contraceptives. J Adolesc Health Care 1984;5:1–6.
- 27 26. Smith JD, Oakley D. Why do women miss oral contraceptive pills? An analysis of
- women's self-described reasons for missed pills. J Midwifery Womens Health
- 29 2005;50:380–85.
- 30 27. Wan LS, Ganguly M, Weiss G. Pituitary response to LHRH stimulation in women on oral
- 31 contraceptives: a followup dose response study. *Contraception* 1981;24:229–34.
- 32 28. Speroff L, Glass RH, Kase NG. Oral contraception. In Clinical Gynecologic
- Endocrinology and Infertility 6th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 1999.
- 34 29. Baerwald AR, Pierson RA. Ovarian follicular development during the use of oral
- 35 contraception: a review. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2004;26:19–24.

- 1 30. Bitzer J, Simon JA. Current issues and available options in combined hormonal
- 2 contraception. *Contraception* 201;84:342–56.
- 3 31. Spona J, Elstein M, Feichtinger W, et al. Shorter pill-free interval in combined oral
- 4 contraceptives decreases follicular development. *Contraception* 1996;54:71–7.
- 5 32. Willis SA, Kuehl TJ, Spiekerman AM, et al. Greater inhibition of the pituitary-ovarian
- 6 axis in oral contraceptive regimens with a shortened hormone-free interval. *Contraception*
- 7 2006;74:100–3.
- 8 33. Fauser BC, Van Heusden AM. Manipulation of human ovarian function: physiological
- 9 concepts and clinical consequences. *Endocr Rev* 1997;18:71–106.
- 10 34. Kuehl TJ, Speikermann AM, Willis SA, et al. Pituitary-ovarian hormone levels and
- symptoms in oral contraceptive users: comparison of a 21/7-day and extended regimen. J
- 12 Reprod Med 2008;53:266–70.
- 13 35. Vandever MA, Kuehl TJ, Sulak PJ, et al. Evaluation of pituitary-ovarian axis suppression
- with three oral contraceptive regimens. *Contraception* 2008;77:162–70.
- 15 36. van Heusden AM, Fauser BC. Residual ovarian activity during oral steroid contraception.
- 16 *Hum Reprod Update* 2002;8:345–58.
- 17 37. Cho M, Atrio J, Lim AH, et al. Pituitary and ovarian hormone activity during the 7-day
- hormone-free interval of various combined oral contraceptive regimens. *Contraception*
- 19 2014;90:94–6.
- 20 38. Killick SR, Bancroft K, Oelbaum S, et al. Extending the duration of the pill-free interval
- during combined oral contraception. *Adv Contracept* 1990;6:33–40.
- 22 39. Sullivan H, Furniss H, Spona J, et al. Effect of 21-day and 24-day oral contraceptives
- decreases follicular development. Fertil Steril 1999;72:115–20.
- 24 40. Schlaff WD, Lynch AM, Hughes HD, et al. Manipulation of the pill-free interval in oral
- 25 contraceptive pill users: the effects on follicular suppression. Am J Obstet Gynecol
- 26 2004;190:943–51.
- 27 41. Smith SK, Kirkman RJ, Arce BB, et al. The effect of deliberate omission of Trinordiol or
- Microgynon on the hypothalamo-pituitary-ovarian axis. *Contraception* 1986;34:513–22.
- 29 42. Curtis KM, Chrisman CE, Mohllajee AP, et al. Effective use of hormonal contraceptives:
- Part I: Combined oral contraceptive pills. *Contraception* 2006;73:115–24.
- 31 43. MHRA UK public assessment report. Combined oral contraceptives (the Pill): when to
- 32 start taking the Pill, and missed pill advice. London: The Medicines and Healthcare
- products Regulatory Agency, 2011. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from:
- 34 http://www.mhra.gov.uk/safety-public-assessment-reports/CON120481

- 1 44. Aubeny E, Buhler M, Colau JC, et al. The Coraliance study: non-compliant behavior.
- 2 Results after a 6-month follow-up of patients on oral contraceptives. Eur J Contracept
- 3 *Reprod Health Care* 2004;9:267–77.
- 4 45. Guilbert E, Black A, Dunn S, et al. Missed hormonal contraceptives: new
- 5 recommendations. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can* 2008;30:1050–77.
- 6 46. Jamin C, André G, Audebert A, et al. Oublis de la contraception hormonale : réflexions
- sur leur prise en charge en pratique quotidienne. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 2011 ; 39 : 644–55.
- 8 [Article in French]
- 9 47. Stoddard A, McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Efficacy and safety of long-acting reversible
- 10 contraception. *Drugs* 2011;71:969–80.
- 11 48. Diaz J, Bahamondes L, Monteiro I, et al. Acceptability and performance of the
- levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (Mirena) in Campinas, Brazil. *Contraception*
- 13 2000;62:59–61.
- 14 49. Cox M, Tripp J, Blacksell S. Clinical performance of the levonorgestrel intrauterine
- system in routine use by the UK Family Planning and Reproductive Health Research
- Network: 5-year report. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care* 2002;28:73–7.
- 17 50. Edelman A, Micks E, Gallo MF, et al. Continuous or extended cycle vs. cyclic use of
- combined hormonal contraceptives for contraception. Cochrane Database Syst Rev
- 19 2014;7:CD004695. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004695.pub3.
- 20 51. Finlay IG, Scott MG. Patterns of contraceptive pill taking in an inner city practice. *Br Med*
- 21 *J (Clin Res Ed)* 1986;293:601–2.
- 22 52. Gilbert A, Roughead L, Sansom L. I've missed a dose; what should I do? Aust Prescr
- 23 2002;1:16–18.
- 24 53. Schindler AE, Campagnoli C, Druckmann R, et al. Classification and pharmacology of
- 25 progestins. *Maturita* 2008;61:171–80.
- 26 54. eMC. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from: https://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/
- 27 55. Drug Bank. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from: http://www.drugbank.ca/
- 28 56. Bouchard P. Chlormadinone acetate (CMA) in oral contraception--a new opportunity. Eur
- *J Contracept Reprod Health Care* 2005;10 Suppl 1:7–11.
- 30 57. Klipping C, Duijkers I, Trummer D, et al. Suppression of ovarian activity with a
- drospirenone-containing oral contraceptive in a 24/4 regimen . *Contraception*
- 32 2008;78:16–25...
- 33 58. Catherino WH, Jordan VC. Nomegestrol acetate, a clinically useful 19 norprogesterone
- derivative which lacks estrogenic activity. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 1995;55:239–46.

- 1 59. Kuhl H. Comparative pharmacology of newer progestogens. *Drugs* 1996;51:188–215.
- 2 60. Sitruk-Ware R. Pharmacological profile of progestins. *Maturitas* 2008;61:151–57.
- 3 61. Christin-Maitre S, Serfaty D, Chabbert-Buffet N, et al. Comparison of a 24-day and a 21-
- 4 day pill regimen for the novel combined oral contraceptive, nomegestrol acetate and 17b-
- 5 estradiol (NOMAC/E2): a double-blind, randomized study. *Hum Reprod* 2011;26:1338–
- 6 47.
- 7 62. Public assessment report of the Medicines Evaluation Board in the Netherlands. Yaz
- 8 24+4, film-coated tablets 0.02 mg/3 mg Bayer B.V., the Netherlands
- 9 ethinylestradiol/drospirenone, 2013. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from: http://db.cbg-
- meb.nl/Pars/h33842.pdf
- 11 63. European Medicines Agency. Zoely Procedural steps taken and scientific information
- after the authorization. London: EMA/513508/2015. Accessed on 22 January 2016 from:
- http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-
- 14 __Procedural_steps_taken_and_scientific_information_after_authorisation/human/001213/
- 15 WC500118356.pdf

1 64. **Tables**

2

Table 1: Half-life of various progestins⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. Half-lives can be given in a range of values for a

4 few progestins. Dienogest and nomegestrol acetate are used in combination with estradiol,

5 other progestins in combination with ethinylestradiol.

6

Progestins	Half-life (hours)
Norethisterone	$5-12^{54}$
Dienogest	11 ⁵⁴
Norgestimate	$12 - 30^{55}$
Gestodene	16 – 18 ⁵⁵
Levonorgestrel	20 ⁵⁴
Desogestrel	31 ⁵⁴
Chlormadinone acetate	$34 - 36^{56}$
Cyproterone acetate	38 ⁵⁵
Drospirenone	40 ⁵⁴
Nomegestrol acetate	46 ⁵⁴

7