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#### Abstract

As part of the study of the resilience of Antarctic crustaceans to global warming, the shrimp Chorismus antarcticus was subjected to an analysis of global approach using the Next Generation Sequencing Illumina Hi-Seq platform. With this data a detailed study into the principal neuropeptides and neurohormones of this species have been undertaken. Total RNAs from whole animals were enriched with eyestalk extracts to ensure maximum sequencing depth of the different neurohormones and neuropeptides mainly expressed into the X organ-sinus gland complex, which is a major endocrine organ of their synthesis. Apart from the information that can provide the availability of the transcriptome of a polar crustacean, the study of neuropeptides of a caridean shrimp will partially fill the limited data available for this taxon. Illumina sequencing was used to produce a transcriptome of the polar shrimp. Analysis of the Trinity assembled contigs produced 55 pre-pro-peptides, coding for 111 neuropeptides belonging to the following families: adipokinetic-corazonin-like peptide, Allatostatins (A, B et C), Bursicon ( $\alpha$ ), CCHamide, Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormones (CHH), Crustacean Cardioactive Peptide (CCAP), Corazonin, Crustacean Female Sex Hormone (CSFH), Diuretic Hormones 31 and 45 (DH), Eclosion Hormone (EH), FLRFamide, GSEFLamide, Intocin, Ion Transport Peptide-like (ITP-like), Leucokinin, Molt-inhibiting Hormone, Myosuppresin, Neuroparsin, Neuropeptide F (NPF), Orcokinin, Orcomyotropin, Pigment Dispersing Hormone (PDH), Pyrokinin, Red Pigment Concentrating Hormone (RPCH), SIFamide, small Neuropeptide F (sNPF), sulfakinin and finally Tachykinin Related peptides. Among the new peptides highlighted in this study, the focus was placed on the peptides of the CHH family and more particularly on a new ITP-like in order to confirm its belonging to a new group of peptides of the family. A phylogeny made from more than 200 sequences of peptides, included new sequences from new species besides Chorismus antarcticus, confirms the peculiarity of this new set of peptides gathered under the name ITP-


 like.Keywords: Crustacea, Neuropeptides, CHH, ITP-like, Transcriptomics, Antarctica

## 1. Introduction

The scarcity of representatives of crustacean decapods in the Antarctic Ocean is one of the most surprising and enigmatic observations in the study of biodiversity (Gorny, 1999; Thatje and Arntz, 2004). This diversity is summed up by a dozen species of benthic caridean shrimps among which is the Antarctic shrimp Chorismus antarcticus. This small hippolytid shrimp (Pfeffer, 1887) only occurs on the continental shelf in depths shallower than 700 m (Arntz and Gorny, 1991; Basher et al., 2014). The presence of this shrimp on the bottom of the continental shelf suggests that, like other benthic invertebrates, it would be strictly stenothermal and therefore would possess a limited capacity to respond to a potential warming of waters (Peck, 2004; Peck et al., 2010; Portner et al., 2007).

As part of an ongoing study of the resilience of Antarctic crustaceans such as krill to global warming (Cascella et al., 2015), C. antarcticus seemed another good model because of its different life mode and its close phylogenetic position in relative to euphausiids. So, a similar global approach was taken in this study using the Next Generation Sequencing Illumina HiSeq platform. With this data a detailed study into the principal neuropeptides and neurohormones of this species have been undertaken. As with the ice krill Euphausia crystallorophias (Toullec et al., 2013), total RNAs from whole animals were enriched with eyestalk extracts to maximize sequencing depth of the different neurohormones and neuropeptides mainly expressed into the X organ-sinus gland complex, which is the major endocrine organs of their synthesis. Apart from the information that can provide the availability of the transcriptome of a polar crustacean, the study of neuropeptides of a caridean shrimp will partially fill the limited data available for this taxon. Indeed, paradoxically, few neuropeptides sequences are available outside of economically important species such as Macrobrachium $s p$. and there is not, to our knowledge, another transcriptomic analysis focusing on these neuropeptides except again on M. rosenbergii (Suwansa-Ard et al., 2015). Moreover, the characterization of an ITP-like sequence within this decapod species has represented the opportunity not only to deepen the reality of the existence of this new family of peptides in this taxon but also to make a point on the phylogeny of the CHH family in Euarthropods by incorporating a maximum of new sequences resulting from studies of recent peptidomes.

## 2. Materials and methods

This project (IPEV- 1039) was approved by IPEV (Institut Paul Emile Victor, the French Polar Institute) review committee and was declared to and approved by the Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises in 2009 according the Annex I of the Madrid Protocol and the French Decree No 2005-403. No endangered or protected species were used.

### 2.1. Biological material, RNA extraction and Illumina sequencing

The shrimps Chorismus antarcticus were trawl-fished during the 2011 summer from the continental plateau in the immediate vicinity of the French station Dumont d'Urville (DDU) in Terre Adélie, at the foot of the Astrolabe glacier $\left(66^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S}-140^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$. The sampling depth was around 80 meters. The animals were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after returning to the station and then stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the RNAs were extracted. Two whole animals were used for RNA extractions from the thorax and abdomen. Due to the size of the animals $(5-6 \mathrm{~cm})$, extractions were carried out separately on the thorax and abdomen. In addition, 20 eyestalks were partially dissected to remove the pigmented regions and then snap frozen in liquid nitrogen until extraction. RNAs were extracted from these tissues using the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The RNAs extracted from the thorax and abdomen were mixed with a ratio ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) of 3 to 2 ; and to the mixture the RNAs extracted from 20 eyestalks were added. The pooled and eyestalk-enriched RNAs sample was used for sequencing conducted by the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre (Montréal, Québec, Canada) following the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

### 2.2. RNA-Seq datasets

The cDNA library was sequenced to produce 100bp paired-end reads. Raw reads were filtered from low-quality sequences, low-complexity sequences and trimmed using FASTX toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/index.html). The reads were trimmed and filtered using a quality threshold of 25 (base calling) and a minimal size of 60 bp . Only reads in which more than $75 \%$ of nucleotides had a minimal quality threshold of 20 were retained. Reads were then cleaned from adapter ends using cutadapt (version 1.01(Martin, 2011)). Finally, the cleaning process was checked using fastQC (version 0.10.01 http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/).

The assembly resulting from all the cleaned reads was performed using Trinity (release 2013-02-25; (Grabherr et al., 2011)), a genome-independent transcriptome assembler. Finally, reads were remapped to the full transcriptome using Bowtie (version 0.12.8; (Langmead et al., 2009)) and relative abundances were estimated using RSEM (version 1.2.0;(Li and Dewey, 2011)) to get the FPKM (Fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped) values for the identification of low coverage contigs (FPKM $<1$ ) and rare isoforms ( $<1 \%$ ) that were excluded later from the analysis (both software programs were launched through the Trinity package Wrapper filter_fasta_by_rsem_values.pl). Peptide prediction was performed using Transdecoder (Haas et al., 2013). Similarity search (blastp of the Transdecoder predicted peptides) was performed against the uniprot-swissprot database (release 2013-09). Peptide signal prediction was performed using signalP v4.0 (Petersen et al., 2011). Transmembrane peptides detection was performed using TMHMM v2.0c (Krogh et al., 2001). Protein domain search was performed using hmmscan from the hmmer v.3.1b1 suite against the Pfam-A database ((Finn et al., 2014) release 27.0). Finally Transcriptome functional annotation was performed using the Trinotate pipeline (http://trinotate.github.io) described in Haas et al. (2013).

### 2.3. Chorismus antarcticus peptide selection

A local database of annotated peptides, with their corresponding sequences was developed. The peptides were chosen based on the most highly characterized neuropeptide and neurohormone sequences in the Arthropods (Christie, 2016a, b; Christie and Pascual, 2016; Christie et al., 2017), with particular reference to the Daphnia pulex genome (Christie et al., 2011; Dircksen et al., 2011). In the first instance, relevant Blast2Go annotations from the Trinity assembly were identified. Each identified contig was then Blast searched independently at the NCBI website to confirm the annotation. The contigs were then translated and the putative coding sequences delineated. These sequences were then subjected to a Blastp search and subsequently aligned with orthologous sequences from arthropods. A second approach consisted in a direct tBlastn searching on local database with orthologous peptide sequences already characterized in other decapod transcriptomes. All of the Blast search data and alignments were performed in CLC Main Workbench 7. The signal peptides were identified using SignalP.

### 2.4. CHH family peptides

Most of sequences of CHH family members come from databases. However, the copmplied data has been increased for caridea taxon by the search for orthologous within the transcriptomes resulting from the work of Havird and Santos (Genomic Resources Development Consortium et al., 2014)(3 species)(Antecaridina lauensis; Halocaridinides trigonopthalma; Metabetaeus lohena) and Mandon et al. (8 species; Unpublished results)(Atyopsis moluccensis; Athanas nitescens; Rimicaris exoculata; Oplophorus gracilirostris; Crangon crangon; Caridion steveni; Periclimenes brevicarpalis; Heterocarpus $s p$.$) . The sequences found in these last eight species have been submitted to Genbank and$ therefore have an accession number.

The transcriptomes of Neocaridina denticulata and Palaemon carinicauda were reassembled from reads deposed in SRA as PRJNA240382 and PRJNA240382 respectively (Mandon et al., unpublished data).

The sequences from the crab Metograpsus thukuhar and the polar isopod Glyptonotus antarcticus were extracted from unpublished transcriptomes kindly provided by Pr C.Y. Lee and $\operatorname{Dr}$ M. Gonzalez-Aravena respectively.

### 2.5. Phylogenetic analyze of $\mathbf{C H H}$ family peptides

The alignments were performed manually with CLC Main Workbench 7 software (Quiagen) with the complete sequences of the peptides of the CHH family from various Arthropoda. After removal of N -terminal and C-terminal unconserved residues, the dataset contained 202 taxa and 71 characters. ITP-like sequences of Chelicerata were used as outgroup.

Phylogenetic reconstructions were carried out on amino acid sequences using Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood. Bayesian analyses were performed with MrBayes 3.2.5 with four chains of $10^{6}$ generations; trees were sampled every 100 generations and burn-in value set to $20 \%$ of the sampled trees. We checked that standard deviation of the split frequencies fell below 0.01 to insure convergence in tree search. Protein sequences were analyzed with a mixed amino acid model (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). Maximum likelihood reconstruction was carried out with the LG+I+G substitution model (Whelan and Goldman, 2001) determined as the best-fit model of protein evolution by ProtTest 1.3 (Abascal et al., 2005) http://darwin.uvigo.es/software/prottest_server.html, following Akaike Information Criterion. Rate heterogeneity was set at four categories. The gamma distribution
parameters and the proportion of invariable sites were estimated from the datasets. Tree reconstructions were performed using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010; Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) from SeaView version 4 (Gouy et al., 2010) and validate with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. RNAseq assemblies

A total of $102,119,756$ paired-end raw reads with read lengths of 100 bp were generated. After data cleaning to remove adapters and poor quality parts, $100,923,981$, high quality paired reads were obtained. Reads were deposited in Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the references SRR5138508; SRR5138509.

Based on these high-quality reads, contigs were assembled into a first assembly of 275,284 transcripts (corresponding to 185,677 Trinity «genes ») with lengths ranging from 201 to $24,080 \mathrm{bp}$, an average length of 1008.5 bp , and a mean length of $418 \mathrm{bp} .91 .2 \%$ of the cleaned reads were remapped successfully to the full transcriptome indicating a strong support of the assembled transcriptome by the reads. Lowly expressed transcripts (FPKM $<1$ ) and rare isoforms ( $<1 \%$ ) were excluded from the initial assembly leading to a filtered assembly of 62852 transcripts (corresponding to 40,302 Trinity « genes»), with lengths ranging from 201 to $18,966 \mathrm{bp}$, an average length of 1382.9 bp , and a mean length of 807 bp .

### 3.2 Peptides families identified

Most of the sought peptides on the basis of their supposed presence in insects or crustaceans were found in the transcriptome of C. antarcticus. The majority of the precursors are full length. Thus, 55 peptide precursors were obtained (Table 1). They code for 111 different mature peptides (Table 2). Many precursor-related peptides (PRP) were present as well but they are not listed here to focus on the known peptide families. The main neuropeptide and peptidic hormone families are described alphabetically below.

### 3.2.1 Adipokinetic hormone-corazonin-like peptide (ACP)

 Two ACP transcripts were found coding for two putative precursors of the ACP respectively with 97 and 100 residues (Figure 1A), unlike the lobster, the crayfish and the prawn where one alone transcript has been found (Christie et al., 2015; Christie et al., 2017; Suwansa-Ard et al., 2016; Veenstra, 2015). The deduced precursor sequences were different except for the mature ACP itself (pQITFSRSWVPQa) (Figure 1A), which remains identical. It is conserved within the decapoda in which it has been characterized until now.
### 3.2.2 Allatostatin family (AST)

The allatostatins are neuropeptides implicated in the inhibition of the synthesis of juvenile hormone by the corpora allata in insects. However this family is widely distributed throughout the animal kingdom (Bendena et al., 1999), including the crustaceans. In the latter, these peptides appear to target, in the absence of juvenile hormone, methyl farnesoate and
farnesoic acid in the crustacean equivalent of the corpora allata, the mandibular organ. Three types of peptides belonging to the allatostatins have been defined (Figure 1B, C, D):

## - Allatostatin A (AST-A or FGL amide)

The members of this first family are characterised by a C-terminus with the structure: F/Y-X-F-G-L-amide. A single complete precursor was characterised in the C. antarcticus database with a putative precursor sequence of 616aa that contains a signal peptide of 27 residues. 32 sequences containing the AST-A signature were present in this precursor distributed in 25 different peptides (Figure 1B). Each of the sequences appeared to be of a unique origin, which is in contrast to analyses in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Yin et al., 2006) and Procambarus clarkii (Yasuda-Kamatani and Yasuda, 2006) where two AST-A genes are present numerous times, indicating multiple gene duplication events. Most of them have 8 residues (21/25). The number of AST-A-like sequences in the precursor is in line with the mean of the observations made in the crustaceans (Christie et al., 2015; Christie et al., 2008; Yasuda-Kamatani and Yasuda, 2006; Yin et al., 2006).

## - Allatostatin B (AST-B or $\mathrm{Xn}_{n} W\left(\mathrm{X}_{6}\right)$ Wamide)

The pre-pro-peptide is full length with a 345 aa sequence that contains a 25 aa signal peptide. 10 different forms can be identified that place C. antarcticus between Carcinus maenas (Ma et al., 2009b; Stay and Tobe, 2007) and Cancer borealis (Szabo et al., 2011)) which own 13 and 9 peptides respectively (Figure 1C).

## - Allatostatin C (AST-C or $\mathrm{Xn}_{n} \mathrm{CX} 6 \mathrm{CF}$ )

Three isoforms from three different genes have been detected (Figure 1D). They were named according to Daphnia pulex AST-C designation (Dircksen et al., 2011). These isoforms possess the disulfide bridge characteristic of allatostatin-C but also the signature motif AVSCF for two of them and the motif -PISCF for the third one. The sequence (SYWKQCAFNAVSCFa), which is particularly well conserved in both crustaceans and insects, has been found (AST-C1). An isoform with the -PISCF motif (pQIRYHQCYFNPISCF) has been found too (AST-C3). That confirms the hypothesis according to which these two forms might be present within decapods as their presence in Homarus americanus (Christie et al., 2015; Stemmler et al., 2010) and Cancer borealis (Ma et al., 2009a) tended to suggest. The third sequence (AST-C2) characterized in the transcriptome of $C$. antarcticus is the longest one and finish with the same motif than AST-

C1 but potentially without amidation. This is the first time that this sequence is highlighted apart from insects and Daphnia and recently H. americanus.

### 3.2.3 CCHamide

Two transcripts of different length were identified as coding for CCHamide precursors. The shortest (137aa) codes for a CCHa1 of 13 residues whose sequence is well preserved compared to that obtained in lobster or crayfish with a single residue change (Figure 2D). The second, longer (221aa), carries a CCHa2 of 19 residues corresponding to the long form also found in the two species of Astacidae cited before. Most of the variations among the potential orthologous sequences of this second form are restricted to the first five N -terminal residues.

### 3.2.4 Crustacean hyperglycemic hormone family (CHH)

As molecular investigation techniques gain in performance, the diversity of the peptides of the CHH family becomes more complex, confirming the important role of this family in the physiology of arthropods. Thus, no less than eight different sequences have been extracted from the transcriptome of C. antarcticus.

## - CHH stricto sensu

The two non-spliced (CHH1L) and spliced (CHH1) isoforms were encoded in 5 and 4 transcripts respectively, whereas the other CHH isoforms have been found only in a single transcript (Figure 2E). CHH1 and CHH2 had conventional structures for crustacean CHHs. They were 71 aa long and were close to the sequence level. The CHH3 was more divergent even though the characteristics of the family were respected. As a proof, it preferentially blasted with the gill form of $M$. rosenbergii that has always been placed at a particular position in the trees built from CHH isoforms. The CHH4 was coded by a partial precursor and the first four residues of the mature peptide were missing. However, beside the fact the six cysteines were present at the correct place, the sequence was longer than the other CHHs in particular with 16 residues (instead of 15) between the first two cysteines, as MIH. However it was not a glycine, which is a MIH signature, but a tyrosine. Such a sequence was found in the CHH of another shrimp, Pandalopsis japonicus (AFG16934.1)(Jeon et al., 2012), attesting to the reality of the assembled transcript.

## - Molt inhibiting hormone/Vitellogenesis inhibiting hormone (MIH/VIH)

Three transcripts were identified as encoding two different putative full-length MIH precursors. They both have a 32aa signal peptide and the characteristic Gly ${ }_{12}$. The FPKM
values of the two isoforms appear to show that MIH/VIH2 is more strongly expressed than MIH/VIH1, suggesting a different function or a different regulation (Figure 2D).

## - Ion transport peptide like (ITP-like)

Three transcripts potentially encoded one precursor belonging to CHH peptide family (Figure 3). Indeed, the six conserved cysteines were in place and the sequence could be aligned with the other members of the family. However, there were significant differences too (Figure 4). There were no PRP sequence and dibasic cleavage site that are characteristics of the CHHs and ITPs, but there was no Gly ${ }_{12}$, which is the MIH/VIH signature. The sequence is longer than classical CHH family peptide with 84 aa . However, the blast hits clearly pointed out an ITP membership clustering with insects and Daphnia ITPs and with P. clarkii ITP, the first similar isoform evidenced in decapods (Manfrin et al., 2015). If ITPs had been detected in Daphnia, it seemed until now that there was exclusion between CHHs and ITPs since no ITP had been detected until recently in decapods. Manfrin et al. (2015) have raised the problem for the first time with the demonstration of such a peptide in the crayfish. The characterization of a peptide clearly related to the Prc-ITP tends to confirm its existence and to invalidate reciprocal exclusion. Moreover, the FPKM values were far from being negligible. They highlight an important expression and thus a functional implication of this form, which globally was more expressed than the CHH. It is also interesting to note that this category of peptides can exist with several isoforms, as seems to attest the identification of two sequences in the crab Metograpsus thukuhar as well as in the shrimp Antecaridina lauensis. In order to better understand the phylogenetic position of this new type of peptide of the CHH family, we have therefore sought of similar peptides in the available or unpublished databases graciously made available, in particular in carideans and a crab. The results obtained will be discussed in detail in another chapter of this publication.
3.2.5 Crustacean female sex hormone (CFSH) like

The new peptide hormone recently discovered in the crab Callinectes sapidus (Zmora and Chung, 2014) has also been characterized in C. antarcticus. Like Procambarus clarkii, three isoforms were obtained from the transcriptomic data (Veenstra, 2015). The observation of the alignment seems to attest to the existence of at least two types of isoforms possessing either strictly the 8 cysteine residues involved in the creation of the 4 di-sulphide bridges characteristic of the family or 2 additional cysteines at N -terminal extremity (Figure 5 A ).

### 3.2.6 Neuroparsin (NP)

The neuroparsins were originally discovered in the locust Locusta migratoria due to their inhibitory effect on vitellogenesis via the neurosecretory cells of the pars intercerebraliscorpora cardiaca complex (Girardie et al., 1987; Moreau et al., 1988). They are fairly large peptides, often over 100 aa and possess at least 12 cysteines, making them one of the most cysteine-rich neurohormone families. With six disulfide bridges, these peptides structurally resemble the insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP) of vertebrates. Three fulllength transcripts potentially coding for NP precursors were characterized within the assembly (Figure 6B). The sizes are 97, 99 and 100 residues respectively, with signal peptides counting 22, 25 and 26 aa. The three mature isoforms showed the same number of cysteine residues (12), with one ending the C -ter sequence, suggesting the presence of disulfide bridges. Like the cysteines, most of the glycine residues are well conserved too. The number of isoforms seems quite variable from one species to another or according to the depth of the transcriptomes obtained, including four isoforms in lobster (Christie et al., 2017), three in the crayfish (Veenstra, 2015) or two in the Macrobrachium prawn (Suwansa-Ard et al., 2015).

### 3.2.7 Neuropeptide F (NPE)

The naming of Neuropeptide F originates from the consensus C-terminal sequence found in all family members (-R-X-R-Famide) (Maule et al., 1991). Members of this neuropeptide family are highly conserved throughout the animal kingdom, in particular in mammals where they are called neuropeptide Y (NPY) (Nassel and Wegener, 2011). In C. antarcticus, five precursors were identified (Figure 6C, D). The first of these encoded a putative 100aa protein, including a 29aa signal peptide. Cha-NPF1 was encoded immediately after the signal peptide and ended at position 62 with a glycine, which permits amidation of the C-terminal with the production of a mature peptide of 32aa. This sequence was followed by a PRP, which exists in the propeptide of other decapods too. The other three transcripts corresponded to potentially spliced isoforms since they possessed identical sequences to Cha-NPF1 described above but extended to the level of the neuropeptide F itself, thus creating a long form (NFP1L), or at the level of the PRP (NPF1') or at the level of the two sequences simultaneously (NPF1L') (Figure 6C). Such situation has previously been reported, at least for the NPF itself, in the krill E. crystallorophias (Toullec et al., 2013) as in the lobster Homarus americanus (Christie et al., 2017). However, it is the first time that such splicing was reported at the level of the PRP. The last transcript encoded a clearly different precursor sequence (NPF2) (Figure 6D). The NPF2 sequence is long with 61aa and follows a signal peptide of

27aa and precedes a short PRP with 18 residues. Similar sequences have been found in other crustaceans or insects.

### 3.2.8 Orcokinin/Orcomyotropin

Two partial transcripts were extracted from the assembly (Figure 6E). The first one encoded a 106aa sequence that contains a 21 aa signal peptide followed by a 25 aa PRP, then a 11aa orcomyotropin sequence and three identical orcokinin sequences (NFDEIDRSGFGFN) separated by dibasic cleavage sites. The second transcript represented rather the C -terminal part of a precursor. However three different potential orcokinins were identified in this sequence. The variations were observed at the level of the eighth and last residues.

### 3.2.9 Pigment dispersing hormone (PDH)

Nine transcripts were extracted from the assembly potentially encoding for 6 different precursors counting from 79 to 81 residues for the five full-length sequences (Figure 6F). The 6 mature PDHs are designated $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and share a conserved structure with a mature peptide of 18aa (Rao, 2001). Five $\alpha$ isoforms and one $\beta$ were characterized. Whether the number of precursors is similar to that found in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Suwansa-Ard et al., 2015), each precursor however codes for a different PDH sequence. The diversity of isoforms is the largest found in decapods to date. It is also interesting to note that the number of isoforms highlighted in the lobster eyestalks was only two (Christie et al., 2017). It is then very likely that not all these isoforms are originating from this tissue and some isoforms are expressed in peripheral neuroendocrine tissues. The expression levels are clearly different among these forms. PDH3 $\alpha$ and especially PDH $\beta$ are, according to the FPKM values, the most highly expressed in the extracts, all peptides taken together. This observation confirms the one previously carried out on krill (Toullec et al., 2013) and highlights the functional importance of these peptides.

### 3.2.10 Short neuropeptide F/Y

In the $C$. antarcticus transcriptome, two transcripts coding for the same pre-pro-peptide were identified. Only one was full-length and a 167 aa precursor sequence was deduced (Figure 7C). The signal peptide was 25 aa . The characteristic C-terminal sequence $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{X}_{2}$-R-L-R-Fa was found in three peptides separated by cleavage sites. A forth peptide could belong to this family, except it was ending with a Y rather the expected F. So, like for NPFs that can exist with the variant NPY, especially in mammals, sNPY could be a variant in shrimp as well. It is the first time this type of sNP is reported in arthropods.

### 3.2.11 Tachykinin-related peptide (TKRP)

There were two transcripts coding for two precursors where identical sequences of TKRP were present (APSGFLGMRa). These precursors were identical with the exception of first part including signal peptides (Figure 7F). This part is different in sequence but in number of residues too. The two precursors are likely spliced variants of the same gene as previously mentioned for the lobster (Christie et al., 2017). The 39aa missing within one precursor included a TKRP. Thus, the longer one coded for seven sequences distinguished by classical dibasic cleavage sites while the shorter carried only six copies.

## 4. Focus on CHH peptide family

In recent years, the multiplication of transcriptome explorations in an increasing number of crustaceans has allowed us to deepen our knowledge of peptidomes and particularly of the families of key peptides such as CHH. As mentioned above, the number of members of this family is steadily expanding with the result that our vision is seriously complicated and even undermines our understanding of the structural and functional diversity of these peptides. The aim of this section is to try to make a review of the sequences of CHHs, MIHs and other ITPs available in the euarthropods by integrating recently available sequences, and more especially the ITP-like, in order to support the existence of this new type of peptide in Malacostraca. Overall, the state of the art relies on the existence of two types of peptides built on a similar architecture based on the presence of six cysteines that are particularly well preserved and are at the origin of three disulfide bridges. The type 1 groups the CHHs and the ITPs due in particular to the presence of a CPRP and a dibasic cleavage site upstream of mature peptide sequences and the type 2 groups together the MIH/VIH/MOIH without CPRP and with an additional glycine in position 5 after the first cysteine (Webster et al., 2012). Moreover, CHH has been found only in malacostracans and ITP has been first characterized in insects before in non-malacostracan crustaceans (like Daphnia or copepods).

[^0]MIH/VIH. However, the characteristic glycine is also absent from the sequence, invalidating the hypothesis. This particular structure is clearly very similar to that found in P. clarkii and thus supports the reality of the assembly. The next step was therefore to investigate potentially orthologous sequences in new transcriptomes, in a first time from shrimps, available online or kindly provided by other researchers.

So, eight new sequences close to ChaITP-like peptide were obtained from different species such as shrimps, an amphipod (Hyalella azteca) or a crab (Metograpsus thukuhar) with two different isoforms. The different sequences of the four different members of the family were aligned and the residues significantly present in the sequences were collected in a synthetic figure in order to highlight the shared and specific structures of each potential paralogous (Figure 4). It is necessary to relativize the image given by this theoretical alignment since the numbers of sequences used are not equivalent. Thus the theoretical sequence of ITP-like peptide is based on nine sequences against several decades for each of the other three isoforms. Nevertheless, this alignment makes possible not only to highlight the signatures belonging to the family but also to identify the specific differences of these ITP-like peptides. So the global structure seems conserved. The DiANNA analyses have confirmed the positions of disulfide bridges. The N-terminal portion is particularly variable in length, but is always longer than that observed on the other three members with a well-preserved pattern ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{PxT} / \mathrm{SxEF}$ ). Thus it appears that these ITP-like would constitute a fourth form of peptide belonging to the CHH family. Considering, as for type 1 , that the absence or the presence of a CPRP is decisive, this new group of peptides would integrate with the set of type 2 peptides.
4.2 CHH phylogeny

In order to validate this proposition, it seemed pertinent to confirm the structural observations by a phylogenetic study of the different members of the family. Manfrin et al. (2015) had already demonstrated the originality of the crayfish sequence by such an approach, but at a limited level. The new analysis presented here was carried out on a set of 202 sequences integrating new sequences from carideans, isopods and a crab (Figure 8). A study of this magnitude had not been carried out since the advent of new high-throughput sequencing techniques. In addition to confirming or denying the reality of this additional type of peptide, it will also enable us to update our vision of the diversity of CHH family members. If the length of the sequences used does not permit to obtain values of nodes that are always well supported, the fact is that the four sets of paralogous are clearly distinguishable with the confirmation that the new ITP-like is positioned distinctly from the other three isoforms.

The ITP groups together, besides chelicerate sequences, insect and phyllopod sequences confirming, if needed, the hypothesis according to which the cladocerans and more generally the phyllopods would position at the base of insects. It is interesting to note that copepods whose position is often fluctuating associated with them. They also have the most important branch length attesting of an important evolution rate (Figure 9).

The multiplication of available sequences both supports established phylogeny and highlights the existence of isoforms, which makes it more complex and allows us to see an everincreasing diversity. This is clearly the case for the CHHs for which at least a second set of peptides seems to take shape in this phylogeny, and potentially more with respect of the sequences that do not fit into any of the two defined sets (Figure 9). It is not surprising to note that the marginalized sequences in the tree are all obtained from transcriptomes, confirming the power of the technique and anticipating the importance of its future contributions in our understanding of the evolution of this family of peptides. If the existence of chimeras cannot be completely excluded, the grouping of sequences from several species on a branch according a parallel phylogeny seems to validate their reality (Figure 9).

## 5 Conclusions

Today, the study of the biology or physiology of a new species needs the primordial steps of sequencing and assembly of its transcriptome, thus constituting a true identity card. Besides the interest of identifying the potential actors of the main biological functions studied, the exploration of the transcriptome allows us to deepen our knowledge of the diversity and evolution of these same actors. The peptidome of Chorismus antarcticus does not escape this rule, especially because relatively few caridean peptidomes have been studied to date. This study described new mature peptide sequences (101) including in most of the cases the encoded pre-pro-peptides (55). Apart from the notion of the absence or presence of potentially orthologous sequences of crustaceans or insects, the functionality of these peptides remains purely speculative or is purely and simply unknown. This is particularly true since the more an analysis gains in depth, the more the number of paralogues highlighted tends to increase without precise information on a conservation or a modification of the function. The functional labeling of these new isoforms, which attests, by their number, the importance of the original function, cannot be done without experimental verification and constitutes a new challenge.
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## Legends

## Figure 1:

Complete and partial sequences from Chorismus antarcticus of the pre-pro-peptides containing: A) Adipokinetic-corazonin peptides (ACP); B) Allatostatins A; C) Allatostatins B; D) Allatostatins C; E) Bursicon alpha. The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red, the signal peptides in green and the precursor related peptides (PRP) in yellow.

## Figure 2:

Complete and partial sequences from Chorismus antarcticus of the pre-pro-peptides containing: A) Calcitonin-Like Diuretic Hormone (CLDH 31); B) Corticotropin Related Factor LIKE Diuretic Hormone (CRFLD45); C) Crustacean Cardioactive Peptide (CCAP); D) CCHamide; E) Crustacean Hyperglycemic Hormone (CHH); F) Molt/Vitellogenesis Inhibiting Hormone (MIH/VIH). The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red, the signal peptides in green and the precursor related peptides (PRP) in yellow.

## Figure 3:

Alignment of the protein sequences of the ITP-like pro-peptides from various malacostracans. The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red and the signal peptides in green. The sequences are grouped by similarity.

## Figure 4:

Alignment of the protein sequences of the CHH family members. Beside conserved cysteines, bold letters highlight a totally or mainly conserved amino acid. Full line boxes show strictly conserved amino acid among CHH family members. Black full lines represent disulfide bridges. The dibasic cleavage sites are in red

## Figure 5:

Complete and partial sequences from Chorismus antarcticus of the pre-pro-peptides containing: A) Crustacean Sex Female Hormone (CSFH); B) Corazonin (CRZ), C) Eclosion Hormone; D) FLRFamide peptides; E) GSEFLamide peptides; F) Intocin; G) Leucokinin. The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red, the signal peptides in green and the precursor related peptides (PRP) in yellow.

## Figure 6:

Complete and partial sequences from Chorismus antarcticus of the pre-pro-peptides containing: A) Myosuppressin; B) Neuroparsins; C) Neuropeptides F1; D) Neuropeptide F2; E) Orkomyotropins and Orkokinins; F) Pigment dispersing Hormones (PDH). The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red, the signal peptides in green and the precursor related peptides (PRP) in yellow.

## Figure 7:

Complete sequences from Chorismus antarcticus of the pre-pro-peptides containing: A) Pyrokinins; B) Red pigment Dispersing Hormone (RPCH); C) small Neuropeptides F; D) SIFamide; E) Sulfakinins; F) Tachykinin Related Peptide (TKRP). The mature peptides are highlighted in blue, the potential dibasic cleavage sites in red, the signal peptides in green and the precursor related peptides (PRP) in yellow.

## Figure 8:

Circular phylogenetic tree built using Maximum Likehood and Bayesian Inference from an alignment of 202 sequences of CHH family peptides with 71 sites. Chelicerate sequences were assigned as outgroup. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values (based on 1000 replicates) and posterior probabilities (italic) obtained from the analysis of the amino acid dataset.

## Figure 9:

Synthetic representation of the tree represented figure 8, where sequence clusters are collapsed.
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## Table 1

Alphatical list of peptide precursors, contig expression values (FPKM) and associated BLAST matches

| Peptide precursor designation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Size } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Contig ID | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Size } \\ & (\mathbf{p b}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | FPKM | BLAST matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adipokineticcorazonin peptide 1 | 97 | 176907_c1_seq1 | 1612 | 9.1 | AKH/corazonin-related peptide (Nilaparvata lugens) BAO00933-0.55 |
| Adipokineticcorazonin peptide 2 | 100 | 84549_c0_seq1 | 878 | 27.2 | AKH/corazonin-related peptide (Nasonia vitripennis) NP_001161199-1.00e-3 |
| Allatostatin A | 616 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 173416_c7_seq2 } \\ & \text { 173416_c7_seq3 } \\ & \text { 173416_c2_seq1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4356 \\ 323 \\ 1312 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.9 \\ & 16.6 \\ & 14.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Type A pre-pro-allatostatin (Machrobrachium rosenbergii) AAY82901-0.00 |
| Allatostatin B | 345 | 163527_c0_seq1 | 1652 | 75.4 | Type B pre-pro-allatostatin (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28584-8.96e-86 |
| Allatostatin C1 | 106 | 145520_c1_seq1 | 1140 | 120.3 | Type C pre-pro-allatostatin (Nilaparvata lugens) <br> BAO00971-2.62e-24 |
| Allatostatin C2 | 96 | 174424_c0_seq1 | 668 | 44.5 | Type C pre-pro allatostatin, (Nilaparvata lugens) BAO00935.1-9.07e-7 |
| Allatostatin C3 | 148 | 171290_c0_seq1 | 2798 | 16.5 | Type C pre-pro allatostatin, (Neocaridina denticulata) AIY69122.1-1.76e-10 |
| Bursicon $\alpha \square$ | 147 | 103777_c0_seq1 | 794 | 0.3 | Bursicon hormone alpha subunit (Penaeus monodon) AKJ74864-7.02 e-79 |
| $\square$ ursicon $\beta$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ \text { partial } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 144574_c2_seq1 | 572 | 0.24 | Bursicon hormone beta subunit (Homarus gammarus) ADI86243-2.23e-48 |
| $\mathrm{C} \square \square \square$ | 137 | 145611_c0_seq1 | 1115 | 105.1 | Crustacean cardioactive peptide (Procambarus clarkii) BAF34910-2.86e-52 |
| CCH1 | 132 | 167871_c0_seq1 | 1386 | 7.6 | CCHamide 1 (homarus americanus) <br> GFDA01105168.1-2e-20 |
| CCH2 | 221 | 171770_c0_seq6 | 1345 | $0.8$ | CCHamide (homarus americanus) GFDA01145210.1-3e-14 |
| CHH1 | 147 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176012_c10_seq2 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq4 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq8 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq9 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2144 \\ & 2070 \\ & 2086 \\ & 2128 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 34.4 \\ 80.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CPRP/CHH precursor (Pandalopsis japonica) <br> AFG16933.1-6.84e-56 |
| CHH1L | 146 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 176012_c10_seq1 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq3 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq5 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq6 } \\ & \text { 176012_c10_seq7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2191 \\ & 2249 \\ & 2035 \\ & 2207 \\ & 2265 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.13 \\ 16.6 \\ 2.6 \\ 6.6 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hyperglycemic hormone (Pandalopsis japonica) AFG16932.1-3e-61 |
| CHH2 | 130 | $176651 \_c 0 \_ \text {seq } 4$ | 816 | 3.8 | CHH isoform 2 (Rimicaris kairei) ACS35347-1.49e-35 |
| CHH3 |  | 162039_c2_seq1 | 865 | 1.5 | CHH gill form (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) AAL40916-2.54e-18 |
| CHH4 | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ \text { partial } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1025550_c0_seq1 | 320 | 0.3 | Hyperglycemic hormone (Pandalopsis japonica) AFG16934.1-6e-12 |
| CFSH-like1 | $\begin{array}{r} 224 \\ \text { partial } \end{array}$ | 157251_c0_seq3 | 677 | 12.2 | Crustacean female sex hormone precursor (C. sapidus) ADO00266-6e-29 |
| CFSH-like2 | 239 | 148772_c1_seq1 | 940 | 1.5 | Crustacean female sex hormone precursor (C. sapidus) ADO00266-2e-31 |
| CFSH-like3 | 319 | 148623_c0_seq3 | 1361 | 6.1 | Crustacean female sex hormone precursor (C. sapidus) ADO00266-1e-6 |
| CLDH31 | 141 | 145195_c0_seq1 | 1025 | 53.6 | Prepro-calcitonin-like diuretic hormone (H. americanus) ACX46386-2.32e-59 |
| CRFLDH45 | 140 | 177023_c0_seq1 | 723 | 0.2 | Corticotropin releasing factor-like protein (P. americana) ALG35940-1.98e-5 |
| Corazonin | 111 | 83574_c0_seq1 | 1092 | 0.3 | Corazonin (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) ALA65535-4.66e-7 |
| Eclosion hormone | 82 | 175814_c1_seq1 | 332 | 207.2 | Eclosion hormone (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28581-9.16e-31 |
| FLRFamide | 380 | 173443_c7_seq1 | 1348 | 0.7 | FLRFamide (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28593-2.09e-90 |
| GSELFamide | 270 | 170259_c1_seq2 | 2847 | 14 | GSEFLamide, [Scylla paramamosain] ALQ28590.1-2e-44 |
| Intocin | 147 | 172469_c1_seq1 | 671 | 8,9 | vasotocin-neurophysin, partial (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28600.1-8e-29 |
| ITP-like | 118 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 173384_c1_seq2 } \\ & 173384 \text { _c1_seq3 } \\ & 173384 \text { _c1_seq7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1465 \\ & 1447 \\ & 1029 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.9 \\ 126.7 \\ 4.1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ion transport protein (Procambarus clarkii) AIZ05253.1-6e-29 |
| Leucokinin | $\begin{gathered} 202 \\ \text { partial } \end{gathered}$ | 176505_c0_seq1 | 2927 | 8.6 | kinin, partial (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28594.1-7e-35 |


| MIH/VIH1 | 111 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171447_c7_seq1 } \\ & \text { 171447_c7_seq2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1046 \\ 960 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | SGP A precursor (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) AAL37948-6.9e-57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MIH/VIH2 | 110 | 145525_c0_seq1 | 465 | 79.3 | SGP B precursor (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) AAL37949-1.11e-52 |
| Myosuppressin | 107 | 175121_c0_seq1 | 1215 | 39.2 | myosuppressin-like precursor (Procambarus clarkii) BAG68789.1 -8e-39 |
| Neuroparsin 1 | 97 | 160864_c1_seq1 | 650 | 26.6 | Neuroparsin 1 (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28570-5.00e-15 |
| Neuroparsin 2 | 99 | 174206_c0_seq1 | 614 | 47.0 | Neuroparsin (Metapenaeus ensis) AHX39208-1.57e-31 |
| Neuroparsin 3 | 100 | 166524_c0_seq1 | 3527 | 52.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Neuroparsin (Jasus lalandii) } \\ & \text { AHG98659-6.49e-10 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Neuropeptide F1 | 100 | 160229_c2_seq4 | 591 | 6.4 | Preproneuropeptide F1 (Litopenaeus vannamei) AEC12204-6.52e-28 |
| Neuropeptide F1L | 137 | 160229_c2_seq3 | 702 | 6.1 | Preproneuropeptide F2 (Litopenaeus vannamei) AEC12205-4.99e-52 |
| Neuropeptide F1' | 90 | 160229_c2_seq1 | 561 | 25.6 | Preproneuropeptide F1 (Litopenaeus vannamei) AEC12204-3.06e-31 |
| Neuropeptide F1L’ | 127 | 160229_c2_seq2 | 672 | 6.1 | Preproneuropeptide F1 (Litopenaeus vannamei) AEC12205-2.69e-55 |
| Neuropeptide F2 | 109 | 180522_c0_seq1 | 579 | 54.9 | Neuropeptide F1 (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28586.1-2e-23 |
| Orcokinin 1 | 106 | 175130_c1_seq1 | 441 | 160.1 | Orcokinin precursor (Procambarus clarkii) Q9NL83-6.49e-44 |
| Orcokinin 2 | 69 partial | 175130_c1_seq2 | 1044 | 70.7 | Prepro-orcokinin 2 (Homarus americanus) ACD13197-1.42e-25 |
| Orcomyotropin | 106 | 175130_c1_seq1 | 441 | 160.1 | Orcokinin precursor (Procambarus clarkii) Q9NL83-6.49e-44 |
| PDH1 $\alpha$ | 79 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 166116_c0_seq1 } \\ & \text { 166116_c0_seq2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 547 \\ & 651 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.9 \\ 28.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pigment dispersing hormone (Marsupenaeus japonicus) } \\ & \text { BAE78495-1.80e-14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| PDH $\square \square \square$ | 70 | 171809_c1_seq3 | 598 | 7.2 | Pigment dispersing hormone 2 (Litopenaeus vannamei) P91964.2-5.27e-20 |
| PDH $\square \square \square$ | 80 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 176495 \text { _c0_seq1 } \\ & \text { 176495_c0_seq2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 902 \\ & 648 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103.8 \\ 46.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pigment dispersing hormone } 2 \text { (Litopenaeus vannamei) } \\ & \text { P91964.2-5.88e-14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| PDH $\square \square \square$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { partial } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 171809_c1_seq2 } \\ & 171809 \_c 1 \text { _seq4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 455 \\ 1006 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | Pigment dispersing hormone I (Marsupenaeus japonicus) BAB91010.1-6e-16 |
| PDH $\square \alpha$ | 80 | 82278_c0_seq1 |  | 3.7 | Pigment dispersing hormone 2 (Litopenaeus vannamei) P91964.2-3e-10 |
| PDH $\beta$ | 74 | 155387_c0_seq1 | 473 | 352.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pigment dispersing hormone precursor (L. vannamei) } \\ & \text { CAA72409 } 1.54 \mathrm{e}-15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Pyrokinin | 357 | 171276_c0_seq1 | 1733 | 3.52 | Pyrokinin precursor (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28575.1-7e-37 |
| RPCH/AKH | 97 | 165820_c2_seq1 | 863 | 96.9 | Red pigment concentrating hormone (M. rosenbergii) ABV46765-9.20e-34 |
| SIFamide | 76 | 172635_c15_seq2 | 523 | 182.9 | SIFamide (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28576-7.91e-25 |
| sNPF | 167 122 partial | $\begin{aligned} & 163533 \text { _c0_seq2 } \\ & 163533 \text { _c0_seq1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 940 \\ & 618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.8 \\ & 43.3 \end{aligned}$ | Short neuropeptide F precursor (Scylla paramamosain) ALQ28574-3.97e-30 |
| Sulfakinin | $122$ | 89102_c0_seq1 | 819 | 11.6 | Preprosulfakinin (Homarus americanus) ABQ95346-1.61e-34 |
| Tachykinin RP | $\begin{array}{r} 210 \\ 182 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 163516 \_c 0 \_ \text {seq2 } \\ & \text { 163516_c0_seq1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2356 \\ & 2121 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 239.2 \\ 6.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preprotachykinin (Panulirus interruptus) } \\ & \text { BAD06363-3.23e-86 } \end{aligned}$ |

Contigs corresponding to the selected peptide sequences are in column three. Size (aa) refers to the coding portion derived from the assembly and size (bp) refers to total size of corresponding contigs. FPKM $=$ Fragments Per Kilobase of exon per Million fragments mapped.

Table 2 - List of mature peptides of Chorismus antarcticus

| Peptide name | Peptide sequence | Previous identification in Arthropods | Pfam/Interpro accession $\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adipokinetic-corazonin |  | Daphnia, lobster | PF00473/IPR000187 |
| Cha-ACP | pQITFSRSWVPQa |  |  |
| Allatostatins A family |  | Cirriped, copepod, daphnia, decapods, krill and insects | PF05953/IPR010276 |
| Cha-AST A1 | HNDYVFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A2 | SPGYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A3 | DRMYSFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A4 | EGLYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A5 | SGTYNFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A6 | SKAFNFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A7 | DRSYSFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A8 | PQHYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A9 | ALQYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A10 | PNNYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A11 | PQQYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A12 | EQNYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A13 | YSDDNANRMYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A14 | ASSYGFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A15 | AGKYTFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A16 | GGSYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A17 | AGYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A18 | PDAYSFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A19 | SGPYQFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A20 | PSGSYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A21 | AGQYSFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A22 | SNPYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A23 | SSPYAFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A24 | SGSYSFGLa |  |  |
| Cha-AST A25 | VPGSYAFGLa |  |  |
| Allatostatin B family |  | Shrimp, krill |  |
| Cha-AST B1 | ADWSSMRGTWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B2 | SGWNKFQGSWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B3 | ANWNKFQGSWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B4 | DGWQNFQGSWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B5 | DGWQNFQGSWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B6 | NNWSSLQGTWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B7 | AWQNLHGAWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B8 | PQYPTRVSPRSANWSSLRGTWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B9 | NADWSSLRGAWa |  |  |
| Cha-AST B10 | NSDWSQFKGSWa |  |  |
| Allatostatin C family |  |  |  |
| Cha-AST C1 | SYWKQCAFNAVSCFa | Cirriped, daphnia, decapods and insects |  |
| Cha-AST C2 | GNNEGGRLYWRCYFNAVSCF | Insects, daphnia |  |
| Cha-AST C3 | PQIR YHQCYFNPISCF | Decapods, daphnia, insects |  |
| Bursicon family |  |  |  |
| Cha-Bursicon $\alpha$ | DECSLTPVIHILSYPGCNSKPIPSFACQGRCTSYVQVSGSKIWQT | Cirriped, daphnia, decapods and |  |
|  | ERSCMCCQESGEREATVVLNCPKARVGDPKRRKVLTRAPVDC | insects |  |
|  | MCRPCTDVEEGTVLAQEIANFIADDPMAHMPFLK |  |  |
| Cha-Bursicon $\beta$ | -------------------------RTCEEDLAVNKCEGACLSKVQPSVNTPS | Cirriped, daphnia, decapods and |  |
|  | GFLKDCRCCRETHLRSREVILTHCYDVDGNRLVGGKGQLSLK MSEPADCQCSKCGDSTR | insects |  |
| Calcitonin-Like Diuretic H. |  | Ixod, Cirriped, copepod, daphnia, lobster and insects |  |
| Cha-CLDH31 | GLDLGLGRGFSGSQAAKHLMGLAAANFAGGPa |  | - |
| Corticotropin Related F. | TSGLSLSIDASMKVLREALYLEMARKKOROOMLRARHNQALLTTIa | Daphnia | PF00473/IPR000187 |
| Crust. Cardioactive Pept. |  | Ixod, daphnia, decapods and insects | PF11105/IPR024276 |
| Cha-CCAP | PFCNAFTGCa |  |  |
| CCHamide |  | Insects and lobster |  |
| Cha-CCHa 1 | SCSQYGHSCFGAHa |  |  |
| Cha-CCHa 2 | RRIPKGGCLISYGHSCLGAHa |  |  |
| CHH family |  | Daphnia, isopod, decapods and insects | PF01147/IPR001166 |
| Cha-CHH1 | AVLDQSCKGIYDRELFKKLDRVCEDCYNLYRKPYVGIDCRNNCYG NLVFRQCLDDLLLVENLDEYVNAVQMVa |  |  |
| Cha-CHH1L | AVLDQSCKGIYDRELFKKLDRVCEDCYNLYRKPYVGIDCRKHCFST KTFNQCVGDLLLDEKLYTAMRDHIAYF |  |  |
| Cha-CHH2 | VILDQSCKGIFDRNLFRKLDRVCEDCYYLYRKPHVGIDCRSNCYYGN MIFROCLDDLMMMDVVDEYIKKVQVVa |  |  |
| Cha-CHH3 | SVQGSŚ́RGIDSRVLWNKLDRVCGDCYYLYRKAIVAIGCRKGCFTS |  |  |
| Cha-CHH4 | DYFTMCVGDLLLPTKEYDIYVSALSGVW <br> ----GSCKGPAYTRGLFNTLDKICDDÇYNLYRKVDVDINCRKNCGGE <br> FOFFVCLKKLKYNKTEIDELLOIGYAIAKF |  |  |
| Cha-ITPlike | SFIRIRPNTYKEFQYINCQGRFDKEQYASLTNICEDCHNVYRNPDVLL GCKADCFRNSLFPKCVSMLLLDQREPEL SKMVYTVS |  |  |
| Cha-MIH/VIH1 | RYLDDECPGVMGNRDLYEKVVRVCDDCSNIFRMNDVGSRCKKDCF | Isopod, decapods |  |
|  | YNEDFLWCWYATERHGEVDQLNRWMSILKA | Isopod, decapods |  |
| Cha-MIH/VIH2 | RFLDDECRGVMGNRDLYEKVARVCDDÇVNIFRNSNVGPKCRTNCF YNEDFLW $\bar{W} C V I A T Q R K N D L D Q M N R S M S I L R A$ | Decapods (peneids) |  |
| CFSH family |  | Malacostraca |  |
| Cha-CFSH1 | QQYLNTDELQYFSKEQVDEASKVEFKVVPDPVIYTSQIIHKGVNCSSI |  |  |
|  | RTDLHENHIRPELQLHPGWIHSSQLIGSCPTHYVTRELPPMYSPSV̄VV |  |  |
|  | EAVCTCNGSKCSREGHQCLPVSRHIPVWVRQGPNLHVLDVEELTVA CACIRRPSESGNFIYASAVHS |  |  |
| Cha-CFSH2 | NREDLGGDLLQYFSEEQVKDATRAEYKVVPYPIVYTSQILHEGVNC |  |  |
|  | SSIRMNLHKNHVKPELQLRPNWIHKSELIGDCPTHYVARELPPMYSP |  |  |
|  | AIILEAVCTCGGSQCSRSGHQÇPVSHHVPVWVRRGPNFHVLDVEE VTVACAC $\bar{C}$ VRPSGIGNFLYAAAAVEN |  |  |
| Cha-CFSH3 | SRACVNQSQGRCRRGQVSMIPAEQVQQDWEDDYSSVPDVLIQFSQQ |  |  |
|  | QAEEAACNDLSVQLFQVDLREHYLEPVWVREIVHLGMCPSKLQMR |  |  |
|  | NFGKDVWPSSVVETKCLCHNQPCSNLGGDFRCQAVRRPIPTWVRH VDNFMPVOEMVTVGCVCVORTSPEGKYAKPSVES |  |  |
| Corazonin |  | Ixod, daphnia, decapods and insects | -/IPR020190 |
| Cha-Arg'-CRZ1 | pQTFQYSRGWTNa |  |  |
| Eclosion hormone |  | Cirriped, daphnia, decapods and insects | -/IPR006825 |
| Cha-EH | ATITSMCIRNCGQCKEMYGDYFHGQACAESCIMTQGNSIPDCDNNPA TFNRFL |  |  |


| FLRFamide |  | Decapods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cha-FLRF1 | GYVDRNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF2 | GVGKNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF3 | NRNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF4 | DPDRNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF5 | GSNNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF6 | NYNKNFLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-FLRF7 | DRNFLRFa |  |  |
| GSEFLamide |  | Lobster |  |
| Cha-GSEFLa 1 | IGSEFLa |  |  |
| Cha-GSEFLa2 | MGSEFLa |  |  |
| Cha-GSEFLa3 | AMGSEFLa |  |  |
| Intocin |  | Arthropods |  |
| Cha-intocin | CFITNCPPGa |  |  |
| Leucokinin |  | Insects, decapods |  |
| Cha-lkn1 | pQAFSAWAa |  |  |
| Cha-lkn2 | pQPFSAWAa |  |  |
| Cha-lkn3 | pQAFNAWAa |  |  |
| Cha-lkn4 | pQPFSPWAa |  |  |
| Cha-lkn5 | pQSFSSWAa |  |  |
| Myosuppressin |  | Decapods, insects |  |
| Cha-Myosup | QDLDHVFLRFa |  |  |
| Neuroparsin family |  | Copepod, daphnia, krill and insects | PF07327/IPR010850 |
| Cha-NP1 | APRCTQHDLPAARKCDYGTVLDWCRNAVCAQGPGYPCGGNRWEL GKCGEGTFCSCGTCTGCSSITRECYRSALVC |  |  |
| Cha-NP2 | APSCSTTRHTVDEAECKYGTFVDWCRNTVCAKGPGQTCGGDWWE NGKCGEGTYCTCGICSGCSVNLECWFGTFC | Copepod, daphnia and krill |  |
| Cha-NP3 | SPLCPSSQQTDEDLSKCMYGTAIGWCGNLECAKGPGERCGGNWLE HGSCGDGMYCGCGYCAGCYIVKCATRMFC |  |  |
| Neuropeptide F family |  | Daphnia, krill, decapods, insects | PF00159/IPR001955 |
| Cha-NPF1 | KPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQVSRPRFa |  |  |
| Cha-NPF1-L | KPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQVSRPSTRSAPGPASQIQALE KTLKFLOLQELGKFYSLRARPRFa | Decapods and krill |  |
| Cha-NPF2 | SSARTENTAEALQAMHEAALANMLGSAEVQYPSRPNVFKSPVELRQ YLEALNAYYAIAGRPRFa | Decapod and krill |  |
| Orcokinin |  | Decapods and krill |  |
| Cha-OCK1 | NFDEIDRSGFGFN |  |  |
| Cha-OCK2a | NFDEIDRAGFGFY |  |  |
| Cha-OCK2b | NFDEIDRQGFGFA |  |  |
| Cha-OCK2c | NFDEIDRSGFGFV | - |  |
| Orcomyotropin |  | Decapods |  |
| Cha-OCM | FDSFTTGFGHS |  |  |
| PDH/PDF family |  | Arthropods | PF06324/IPR009396 |
| Cha-PDH $\square$ | NSGMINSLLGIPRVMTAAa |  |  |
| Cha-PDH $\square \square$ | NSGMINSILGIPKVMAEAa |  |  |
| Cha-PDH $\downarrow \square$ | NSGMINSLLGIPQVMNNAa |  |  |
| Cha-PDH $\square \square$ | NSGMINSLLGIPKVMTEAa |  |  |
| Cha-PDH $\square \square$ | AAGLINSILGIPKILVLAa |  |  |
| Cha-PDH $\beta$ | NSELINSLLGLPKVMNDAa |  |  |
| Pyrokinin |  | Decapods |  |
| Cha-Pkn1 | SPFSPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn2 | DELHYGLMYDDDDDDTTDMDNLRDDESDDNLFEDATSQDYTDEA |  |  |
|  | VSPQRLALRSALVPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn3 | AIAFSPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn4 | GTAFIPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn5 | GDFAFSPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn6 | ADFAFSPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn7 | SDFAFSPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn8 | GNAFIPRLa |  |  |
| Cha-Pkn9 | DAVASSSEDTWSDNSNDVTQLQQRSVAFSPRLa |  |  |
| RPCH/AKH |  | Daphnia, decapods and insects | PF06377/IPR010475 |
| Cha-RPCH/AKH | pQLNFSPGWa |  |  |
| SIFamide |  | Ixod, daphnia, decapods and insects |  |
| Cha-SIFamide | GYRKPPFNGSIFa |  |  |
| Short Neuropeptide F |  | Ixod, daphnia, decapods and insects |  |
| Cha-sNPF1 | GGPPSMRLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-sNPY | GNIRSWQQVSQRSEPSLRLRYa |  |  |
| Cha-sNPF2 | DRTPALRLRFa |  |  |
| Cha-sNPF3 | TSELEQEEPFGDTDFLRQDRGAPALRLRFa |  |  |
| Sulfakinin family |  | Peneids, lobster, insects |  |
| Cha-SK1 <br> Cha-SK2 | pQFDEYGHMRFa AGGDYDDYGHLRFa |  |  |
| Tachykinin Related Pept. |  | Daphnia, decapods and insects, | -/IPR013206 |
| Cha-TKRP | APSGFLGMRa |  |  |

$\mathrm{a}=$ amide $;$ amphipod $=$ Talitrus saltator $;$ cirriped $=$ Amphibalanus amphitrite $;$ daphnia $=$ Daphnia pulex $;$ decapods $=$ identified in more than two species of decapods ; insects $=$ identified in more than two species of hexapods; isopod $=$ Armadillidium vulgare $;$ Ixod $=$ Ixodus scapularis $;$ lobster $=$ Homarus americanus $;$ krill $=$ Euphausia superba and/or E. crystallorophias

1- Illumina sequencing was used to produce a transcriptome of Chorismus antarcticus.
2- Analysis of the assembly produced 55 pre-pro-peptides coding for 111 neuropeptides
3- A new member of the CHH family blasting with ITP peptides was characterized
4- This new group of peptides would integrate with the set of type 2 CHH peptides
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| Signal PeptideMVH-WQFIMAVVCLALAPAFAQITFSignal PeptideMLHGWTVLLAVACLALGPAMAQITFDDSTLSLRLEACPALVARQHKMS 97DEESLAYHLRQAQLARRRMA 100 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

B
Signal Peptide $A$ ASTA2
MVVRYGGCRTYALAAAFVLFLGGCVGAQEDDYYDSDVDAELYEGSDLQNGPQPNYGWDYGKM ANTA1 YVFGLGKASPGYAFG
ASTA2ASTA3
ASTA6 ASTA10 ASTA12 ASTA13 ASTA14
 ASTA14 ASTA15 $\quad$ ASTA16 ASTA1ASTA21


## C



VMDDEEKA ASTB3

ASTB10
WSQFKGSVGKAAALGDETAASQVA
D
Signal Peptide
MVARSSVALLLVALMAVLAITSVAAKSI PDHEAQGYQPQGQQLMDPYGNH.................................. 66

Signal Peptide
MSSATLLLVATLSLVASITHAHPLSKSPSSGHAPSPATHTQRLQKATISKEPTPEELAVLKDLILSRVASELSENLREQP 80



E
Signal Peptide Bursicon alpha
Signal Peptide
MTTKMITVVSLTVTLTGLLMAALTQADECSLTPVIHILSYPGCNSKPIPSFACQGRCTSYVQVSGSKIWQTERSCMCCQE
Bursicon alpha
Bursicon alpha
SGEREATVVLNCPKARVGDPKRRKVLTRAPVDCMCRPCTDVEEGTVLAQEIANFIADD PMAHMPFLV
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## A

Signal Peptide
 CLDH31
FSGSQAAKHLMGLAAANFAGGAGRRSSDDSHDVHLEEHYAQDHAAGAAVESAVAAGSSB

## B

Signal peptide
MAVDPRYYLLSQYLDQPEEATGSIDSVSDSMTPY RKI RNSPNAAAVSSNSDFDSSNSKAKRTWPHGFSRRASGLSLSID CRFLDH45
ASMKVLREALYLEMARKKQRQQMLRARHNQALLTTAGKDVQHQLQQDRPAQDHLRAER

C
Signal Peptide CCAP
MSNQQSFCGRTGI LLAAVLFLVVMIMQATASPVAKRD IGGLLDGKD AKFCNAFTGGGKKBDASIEALASGTELDDLAK HVLAEAKLWEQLQNKMEVMRTVANRMDDHSLYRRGSVAPETHHQLTASSQQQTENQ

## D

Signal Peptide $\quad \mathrm{CCHal}$
MSRV.......-LLNLAVVCVCLLALSVQVQGSCSQYGHSCFGAHGKANGD..-QYPSL--.-EAAALYPSAANQLS-PA 64 MSALKIYSLLLLVLPLLIVCSPVTSARRIPKGGCLSYGHSCLGAHGKMSQSTHQRPLLTDLLEVLNTRPEVFASLSHPK 80

DESVQVTDDYRGMRYGNGIVSTPVLQEEEEEVALPGPIMDDTNLFGLLGSRMNAARDVRMTSDGMDEGMDEGDALYLV 160

NMDYEDDRY宜 SAAVEKVATHEDGPKEPSDDWEQGKESRNHKEMKDSNAKLRYFGTWDR 221

## E

Signal peptide
MICNSLMCSTMFVVVLMLGST-NQAMARSAEGLARLEKLLSSP-.-PSSSSSSSSDSPSLPSSPLTALAR--GHSLPK 73 Signal peptide
MICNSLMCSTMFVVVLMLGST-NQAMARSAEGLARLEKLLSSP...PSSSSSSSSDSPSLPSSPLTALAR- GHSLPKA
73 Signal Peptide
 Signal Peptide CPRP MHKN---WTSLVVITVIFATCWNTAQIRF-.-VANSEKFTSSEIDDSSSSSSSFFFSSSKESSPAPSFLERLEHSIKKB 73

CHH1-L CHH1
AVLDQSCKG- I YDRELFKKLDRVCEDCYNLYRKPYVGIDCRNNCYGNLVFRQCLDDLLL-VENLDEYVNAVQMyGK- 147
CHH2 CHH3
SVQGSSCRG-IDSRVLWNKLDRVCGDCYNLYRKAIVAIGCRKGCFTSDYFTMCVGDLLLPTKEYDIYVSALS--GVVy 147 CHH4

CHH4 GSCKGPAYTRGLFNTLDKICDDCYNLYRKVDVDINCRKNCFGEFQFFVCLKKLKYNKTEIDELLQIGYAIAK 73

## F

Signal Peptide
MVTRTVQDFSIQRVLVAAVLVV- - SLILVSGTSA $A$ RYLDDECPGVMGNRDLYEKVVRVCDDCSNIFRMNDVGSRCKKDCFY
78
Signal Peptide $\quad$ MIH/VIH2
MVDQ--QGLSLKRFLYVAVMVALFGLQFVDQTSARFLDDECRGVMGNRDLYEKVARVCDDCVNIFRNSNVGPKCRTNCFY 78 MIH/VIH1
MEDFLWCVYATERHGEVDQLNRWMSILKAGR10 110
MIH/VIH2
MEDFLWCVIATQRKNDLDQMNRSMSILRAGRK 110
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Figure 4

Figure 5
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## B

Signal Peptide CRZ1
MAGYRQQPFMALFLLVI IGLAAAQTFQYSRGWTNGRKSDSALGSRGPGRDI LQSLPVSRLLANKALQHGRSTHTTNPKT
IEDRLRSLETGMTTLLVSNSASIPSDGENEY
C
Signal Peptide
MSFKAQLRVLVVSVVCLLVLASLTQA hormone
ETITSMC I RNCGQCKEMYGDYFHGQACAESCIMTQGNSI PDCNNPATFNRF MFI

D
Signal Peptide
MIIAAWVLLGALCCCAHAVAPPVVSALEQSNRDGDQDERLDVPDK ILKYLLPSAQTWGGSSANVAIPTGQEGYK GYVD
FLRFa1 FLRFa2 FLRFa3 FLRFa4
 FLRFa5 FLRFa6
AFGQGLKEDEPTEEEKAAHREYLRYGRGSNNFLRABRYNKNFLRARSVNTQTLCEDCEEENLNKHSTGTSSTSSSST
LSAGQEI EDHNKHSQADEPSPISVSDSSATEEREVHRSKGAPGLYDYALLSSHGPSSWARDFAPPEEDEVEDPDLDDLP
EFNKGSYNRNFLRYGBDRNFLRFGKGESSSTTDGSNMMLMTPVQYPRYIRAPNRNFIRFG

E

 GSEFLa2 GSEFLa2 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3
 GSEFLa3 GSEFLa3
EF GGKNYDTDLMSVSESDSK AMGSEFG*

## F

Intocin
Signal Peptide
$M Q L S L V L V I M S I I M G Y G N I C F I T N C P P G G B M P L S H I G H I R T C T S C G P G L Q G R C L G P E I C C G E A I G C F L G T R E A Q L C R T$
ENLI PMTCNNSDLKICGTTRSGRCAAAGLCCTEVKCEFDVNCISEGSQIERPMVPFSSSDSDDQWID
G


RVILPLSDWSGNQDSSNTWQGKKSESMSNHENIHHLVLPKD*

Figure 6

## A

Signal Peptide
MVFGNSSSTPSPSTWCSLVLVSVVVVMAVFAGVGEAMPPPICTDQKLPLSPYAQKLCLALNNIAEFSRAMEEYLDAKVIK
NSMPVNEPEVKBROLDHVFLRFGBSQK *
B

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Signal Peptide } \\ & \text { M----KSFVACVMLSLFCLLLQTSE-- } \\ & \text { Neuroparsin1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Peptide ${ }^{\text {N }}$ Neuroparsin2 |  |
| M---IPTRIICIITFIMAVIFLISEVRA | APSCSTTRHTVDEA - ECKYGTFVDWCRNTVCAKGPGQTCGGDWWENGKCGE 75 |
| MNCLRSASFCALVVILLLSIIHVVS---ASPLCPSSQQTDEDLSKCMYGTAIGWCGNLECAKGPGERCGGNWLEHGSCGD 77 |  |
|  |  |
| Neuroparsin1 <br> GTFCSCGTCTGCSSITRECYRSALVC 97 | - |
| Neuroparsin2 <br> GTYCTCGICSGCS-VNLECWFGTF- 99 |  |
| Neuroparsin3 <br> GMYCGCGYCAGCYIV--KCATRMF-\& 100 |  |

## C

Signal Peptide Neuropeptide F1
MYQRAGQVWTALLVGVVVVGVMQMGGVEGKPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQVSRP...................................... 59
Signal Peptide $\quad$ Neuropeptide F1'

MYQRAGQVWTALLVGVVVVGVMQMGGVEGKPD PTQLAAMAD
MYQRAGQVWTALLVGVVVVGVMQMGGVEGKPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQVSRPSTRSAPGPASQIQALEKTLKF 80
Signal Peptide
MYQRAGQVWTALLVGVVVVGVMQMGGV EGKPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQV
MYQRAGQVWTALLVGVVVVGVMQMGGVEGKPDPTQLAAMADALKYLQELDKYYSQVSRPSTRSAPGPASQIQALEKTLKF 80
Neuropeptide F1 100
Neuropeptide F1'

Neuropeptide F1L 137
Neuropeptide F1L'

D
Signal peptide Neuropeptide F2
MRNHAITTAAVVIVAMVGSLMISVASS ARTENTAEALQAMHEAALANMLGSAEVQYPSRPNVFKSPVELRQYLEALNAYY
Neuropeptide F2
AIAGRPRमGKGGGFAWQRSSDSRDDLLDY

## E

Signal Peptide Orcomyotropin Orcokinin1 Orcokinin1
 Orcokinin2a Orcokinin2b NFDEIDRAGFGF G NDG-...-GFDKMNFDEIDRQGFGFAKGVGP 42

RDLANL- YKMNFDEIDRSGFGFyRMSSE* 70
F

| Signal Peptide MQGKLIAVL | PDH1-alpha NSGMINSL |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signal Peptide MQGKLIAIL | PDH2-alpha NSGMINSI |
| Signal Peptide MQGKFVAIV | PDH3-alpha NSGMINSL |
|  | PDH4-alpha NSGMINSL |
| Signal Peptide MQLKTVTLV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDH5-alpha } \\ & \hline A A G L I N S \text { I } \end{aligned}$ |
| Signal Peptide MQSGLVAAL | PDH-beta NSELINSL |

Figure 7

## A

Signal Peptide
MQSLNWI IGLIFICLTFAGCPTSAASLLDVVQGDLSKPTTSLPSKAAILEAFLNHLFHIYPSSNTMSSMKWGGQLGDPNE

Pyrokinin2 Pyrokinin3 Pyrokinin4 Pyrokinin5 TDMDNLRDDESDDNLFEDATSQDYTDEAVSPQRLALRSALVPRGKKAIAFSPRGGAGAFIPRDGKGDFAFSPRDGK Pkn5 Pkn8 Pyrokinin9
GKKDAVASSSEDTWSDNSNDVTQLQQRSVAFSPRDG*

## B

Signal Peptide
MVRSGVFVVLAVLVFVSCVSAQLNFSPGVGKASASVAGAGSEGAQLHSASGLALPSSSTRGDNCATIPISTVMHIYRL
KASALVQCQDEEYLA

C
 RNPY AASQEQQ

D
Signal Peptide
MSVQTRLVLAVVVVLVVLAVFTDHASAGYRKPPFNGSIFGK SGADALYEPGKALASVCQVAVEACAAWFPGPEK

## E

Signal Peptide
MQSVMRTPSFTCAVLVALVAAVLVSGGVVAPPSKPSLALAR LAPVIRHRLEGGHVSPSLIEELVADFEDPEMMDFYDAE
Sulfakinin1
Sulfakinin1
Kulfakinin2
KFDEYGHMR

## F

Signal Peptide
MTKSGICMAMMSLVLVGLVASVVEAQEHSERERFAPSGFLGMFGKKNDMLQEEDYNDPIAARIDAAKSLPIRGKKAPG 80
Signal peptide
MV-SGMRERVCADVYPVEVDYy. ....................................................
Signal peptide
MV-SGMRERVCADVYPVEVDYy. ....................................................
TKRP TKRP






[^0]:    4.1 Malacostracan ITP-like characteristics

    Exploring the transcriptome of C. antarcticus, five CHH isoforms were found as well as 2 MIH/VIH and a new member of the CHH family, which blasted with ITP peptides from insect and P. clarkii (Manfrin et al., 2015). This ITP-like has an intermediate structure, which distinguishes it from both of the two types described above. Indeed, there is no dibasic cleavage site generating a CPRP. The sequence appears to begin just after the signal peptide with a longer N -terminal structure. This characteristic would make this isoform resembling an

