

A Genomic Survey of Reb Homologs Suggests Widespread Occurrence of R-Bodies in Proteobacteria

Kasie Raymann, Louis-Marie Bobay, Thomas G. Doak, Michael Lynch,

Simonetta Gribaldo

To cite this version:

Kasie Raymann, Louis-Marie Bobay, Thomas G. Doak, Michael Lynch, Simonetta Gribaldo. A Genomic Survey of Reb Homologs Suggests Widespread Occurrence of R-Bodies in Proteobacteria. G3, 2013, 3 (3), pp.505-516. $10.1534/g3.112.005231$. hal-01579027

HAL Id: hal-01579027 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01579027v1>

Submitted on 30 Aug 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

A Genomic Survey of Reb Homologs Suggests Widespread Occurrence of R-Bodies in Proteobacteria

Kasie Raymann,*,[†] Louis-Marie Bobay,^{†,‡,§} Thomas G. Doak,** Michael Lynch,**

and Simonetta Gribaldo*,1

*Institut Pasteur, Unité Biologie Moleculare du Gene chez les Extremophiles, Departement de Microbiologie, Paris, 75724 Cedex 15, France, †Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Cellule Pasteur UPMC, Paris, 75724 Cedex 15, France, ‡Institut Pasteur, Microbial Evolutionary Genomics, Departement Genomes et Genetique, Paris, 75724 Cedex 15, France, [§]Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 3525, Paris, F-75015 France, and **Indiana University, Department of Biology, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

ABSTRACT Bacteria and eukaryotes are involved in many types of interaction in nature, with important ecological consequences. However, the diversity, occurrence, and mechanisms of these interactions often are not fully known. The obligate bacterial endosymbionts of Paramecium provide their hosts with the ability to kill sensitive Paramecium strains through the production of R-bodies, highly insoluble coiled protein ribbons. R-bodies have been observed in a number of free-living bacteria, where their function is unknown. We have performed an exhaustive survey of genes coding for homologs of Reb proteins (R-body components) in complete bacterial genomes. We found that reb genes are much more widespread than previously thought, being present in representatives of major Proteobacterial subdivisions, including many free-living taxa, as well as taxa known to be involved in various kinds of interactions with eukaryotes, from mutualistic associations to pathogenicity. Reb proteins display very good conservation at the sequence level, suggesting that they may produce functional R-bodies. Phylogenomic analysis indicates that reb genes underwent a complex evolutionary history and allowed the identification of candidates potentially involved in R-body assembly, functioning, regulation, or toxicity. Our results strongly suggest that the ability to produce R-bodies is likely widespread in Proteobacteria. The potential involvement of R-bodies in as yet unexplored interactions with eukaryotes and the consequent ecological implications are discussed.

KEYWORDS

kappa particles **Caedibacter** phylogenomics

During more than two billion years of coexistence, prokaryotes have established various forms of interaction with eukaryotes. Examples include the mutualistic symbioses that benefit eukaryotic host by providing nutrients, defense, competition, and adaptation to new environments (Gast et al. 2009). At the same time, bacteria have developed various ways to defend themselves against grazing by eukaryotes

(Matz and Kjelleberg 2005), with potential implications for the emergence of pathogens (Brown and Barker 1999). However, the extent and diversity of bacterial/eukaryotic interactions in nature remains largely underexplored. As a growing amount of genomic data covering a large fraction of bacterial diversity becomes available, hints of such relationships may be gathered from *in silico* analyses. These can be linked to experimental observations, providing useful directions for further work.

A fascinating example of a bacterial/eukaryote relationship is provided by the killer endosymbionts of the ciliate Paramecium. In the 1930s Tracey Sonneborn discovered that some strains of the Paramecium aurelia complex have a killer phenotype toward sensitive strains (Beale and Preer 2004; Preer 2006; Sonneborn 1938). Sonneborn could show that this phenomenon is not controlled by nuclear genes, providing one of the first examples of cytoplasmic inheritance (Sonneborn 1943). It was later discovered that the killer phenotype is conveyed by an obligate endosymbiotic bacterium (also referred to as

Copyright © 2013 Raymann et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.112.005231

Manuscript received December 3, 2012; accepted for publication January 9, 2013 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [by/3.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supporting information is available online at [http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1) [suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1.](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1)

¹Corresponding author: Unité Biologie Moleculare du Gene chez les

Extremophiles, Departement de Microbiologie, Institut Pasteur, 25-28 rue du Dr Roux 75724, Paris Cedex 15, France. E-mail: simonetta.gribaldo@pasteur.fr

a kappa particle), and each killer paramecium strain harbors its own specific endosymbiont that usually resides in the cytoplasm but can also be in the nucleus (Preer 1975). Whereas all these obligate endosymbionts initially were placed in the genus Caedibacter, they were later shown to belong to different proteobacterial lineages (Beier et al. 2002).

In Caedibacter, the killer trait is directly linked to the production of R-bodies, unusual cytoplasmic refractile inclusion bodies (Dilts and Quackenbush 1986) [for review, see (Pond et al. 1989)]. What is known about R-bodies comes primarily from Caedibacter taeniospiralis, which belongs to the gammaproteobacteria family of Thiotrichales (Figure 1) (Beier et al. 2002). R-bodies are highly insoluble protein ribbons that are typically coiled into cylindrical structures. They are produced by only a fraction of the endosymbiont population, which then stop dividing. When R-body-containing bacteria are released into the environment and captured by sensitive strains, killing occurs very rapidly. The exact mechanism for killing is not known, but it is thought that internalization of the R-body-containing bacteria into the food vacuole triggers unrolling of the R-body, which penetrates the phagosomal membrane and delivers a killer toxin to the cytoplasm (Figure 1) (Jurand et al. 1971; Preer et al. 1974). Isolated R-body-containing bacteria are capable of killing sensitive Paramecium strains in various ways, with prelethal symptoms such as paralysis, vacuolization, and opposite swimming rotation. On the contrary, exposure to non-R-body-containing Caedibacter is not lethal [for review, see (Pond et al. 1989)], and a mutant Caedibacter strain unable to make R-bodies loses its killing ability (Dilts and Quackenbush 1986). Different strains of Caedibacter produce different types of R-bodies, which vary in diameter $(0.25-0.8 \text{ mm})$, length $(<10-30$ mm), ribbon morphology (tapered or blunt termini), mode of unrolling (from the outside or from the inside in a telescopic fashion), and the nature of the stimulus for unrolling (changes in pH, temperature, ionic strength) [for review, see (Pond et al. 1989; Sanchez-Amat 2006)]. Interestingly, the unrolling of type 51 R-bodies has been shown to be reversible (they unroll when the pH is dropped ≤ 6.5 and reroll when the pH is again raised >7.0) (Pond *et al.* 1989).

Studies of the genetic determinants of R-bodies began in the early 1980s, and in C. taeniospiralis the R body-coding region was found to lie on a plasmid (Quackenbush and Burbach 1983). When a region from the pKAP47 plasmid (from Paramecium teraurelia California strain 47) was cloned into Escherichia coli, R-bodies were produced, but the clones did not exhibit toxicity toward sensitive Paramecium strains (Quackenbush and Burbach 1983). Therefore, whereas production of R-bodies is necessary for killing by C. taeniospiralis, as described previously, it is not sufficient for killing by recombinant Escherichia coli. This excludes a direct cytotoxic effect of R-bodies and indicates a requirement for an essential unknown toxin encoded either by the plasmid or the C. taeniospiralis genome (Preer and Stark 1953; Quackenbush and Burbach 1983). These data have been recently confirmed, and it has been shown that recombinant E. coli expressing the four reb genes of the C. taeniospiralis pKAP298 plasmid (from Paramecium teraurelia Panama strain 298) were capable of producing R-bodies but were not toxic toward sensitive Paramecium strains (Schrallhammer et al. 2012).

Very little information is available on the assembly process of Rbodies. At least three polypeptides of 10, 13, and 18 kDa were found to be involved in the structure and assembly of type 51 R-bodies (Kanabrocki et al. 1986) and were later shown to be encoded by three genes: rebC, rebB, and rebA, respectively, the last two being homologous (Heruth et al. 1994). These early data proposed that the major structural protein is RebB and that RebA may act as a scaffold to facilitate the polymerization process whereas RebC may act as a transcriptional regulator (Heruth et al. 1994). Finally, it was suggested that RebB might be modified posttranslationally, with the possible involvement of RebC (Heruth et al. 1994). The role of a fourth gene in the reb locus, rebD, coding for a homolog of RebA and RebB, is unclear but it was shown not to be necessary for R-body production in E. coli (Heruth et al. 1994).

The complete sequence of the Reb-harboring pKAP298 plasmid of C. taeniospiralis strain 298 was obtained in 2005 (Jeblick and Kusch 2005). It was found that this plasmid contains 63 open reading frames, 23 only having similarity with proteins with known function, and

Killer endosymbiont with R-bodies

a few being similar to proteins encoded by phages or prophages, which led to the suggestion that the plasmid originated from a bacteriophage (Jeblick and Kusch 2005), which is consistent with early observations of the association of phage-like particles with R-bodies (Preer et al. 1974). A protein with homology to the Soj-ParA family of membrane-associated ATPases was suggested as a possible candidate for the toxin, which would kill the host by somehow affecting its membrane, although a precise mechanism was not proposed (Jeblick and Kusch 2005).

The harboring of an endosymbiont that produces R-bodies gives a competitive advantage to its killer Paramecium host with respect to sensitive strains (Kusch et al. 2002). In turn, R-body production seems to play a role in defense against predation and creates a benefit for the Caedibacter strains at the population level (Sanchez-Amat 2006). However, many important questions remain to be clarified. For example, it is not known how obligate symbiosis is established in the first place or how sensitive strains can pick up Caedibacter and become killers, nor how killer Paramecium strains are protected from their own specific R-body producing endosymbionts (Gibson 1973; Preer et al. 1974).

Interestingly, casual observations of coiled R-body structures of various types have been reported in several free-living bacteria: the hydrogen-oxidizing β -proteobacterium Pseudomonas taeniospiralis (Lalucat and Mayer 1978), now known as Hydrogenophaga taeniospiralis; the soil β -proteobacterium Pseudomonas avenae (Wells and Horne 1983), now known as Acidovorax avenae subsp. avenae; the soil strain Pseudomonas sp. EPS-5028 (Fusté et al. 1986); the anoxigenic photosynthetic N2-fixing a-proteobacterium Rhodospirillum centenum (Favinger et al. 1989); the soil strain Pseudomonas aeruginosa 44T1 (Espuny et al. 1991); and the melanin-producing marine g-proteobacterium Marinomonas mediterranea (Hernandez-Romero et al. 2003). However, no further study on these R-body structures has been reported for any of these species [for review, see (Sanchez-Amat 2006)], nor have they been linked to the presence of Reb homologs in their genomes. Therefore, the role of these R-bodies in these diverse bacterial remains puzzling. A recent study has shown the presence of Reb homologs in the genome of the rhizobiale Azorhizobium caulinodans, a microsymbiont of the tropical legume Sesbania rostrata (Akiba et al. 2010). Interestingly, deletion of the putative transcription factor praR caused aberrant nodule formation and was linked to greater expression of the reb locus. On the contrary, a double reb and praR mutant had a restored wild-type nodule formation. The authors hypothesized that praR is essential to suppress the killer trait conferred by the reb locus and establish symbiosis between A. caulinodans and S. rostrata (Akiba et al. 2010). However, it is not known whether A. caulinodans is able to make R-bodies. The authors also reported the presence of Reb homologs in a number of Proteobacteria and in the Bacteroidetes member Kordia algicida OT-1 (Akiba et al. 2010).

Here, we have performed an exhaustive phylogenomic analysis of Reb homologs in currently available bacterial genomes. Reb homologs are widely distributed in members of Proteobacteria, comprising many free-living taxa as well as symbionts or pathogens of various eukaryotes, including humans. The evolutionary history of reb genes appears very dynamic, involving vertical inheritance, horizontal gene transfers, and gene duplications. By combining phylogenetic, genome synteny, and genomic content analyses, we highlight a few potential candidate partners of Reb proteins. Finally, we found no clear signs of reb loci originating from defective prophages, or from recent transfer via mobile elements. Ecological implications are discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Homology searches

Reb proteins (A-D) encoded in the plasmid pKAP298 from C. taeniospiralis (AAR87077.1, AAR87076.1, AAR87131.1, AAR87075.1) were used as seeds to search for Reb homologs in the nonredundant protein database at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Homology searches were performed by BlastP (Altschul *et al.* 1997) and all hits within an *e*-value cutoff of 1×10^{-3} were retained. PSI-BLAST and tBLASTn programs (Altschul et al. 1997) also were used to search for highly divergent or misannotated Reb homologs. Searches were reiterated by using a number of seeds from various taxa. We also performed targeted searches against the metagenome and the viral sequence databases at the NCBI, and against all eukaryotic genome sequence available at the Joint Genome Institute [\(http://genome.jgi.gov\)](http://genome.jgi.gov). Sequences were aligned using Muscle 3.8.31 (Edgar 2004). Poorly aligned or divergent sequences were manually removed. Finally, HMM searches were performed with HMMER 3.0 (hmmer.org) against a local databank of 841 complete bacterial genomes (only one representative per species), including 435 from Proteobacteria downloaded from the NCBI ftp Genomes server using a model built on the multiple alignment of all previously recovered Reb proteins, but no additional homologs were found.

Sequence analysis

Sequence secondary structures were predicted using PSIPRED [\(http://](http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) [bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/\)](http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/) (Buchan et al. 2010). Alpha helical wheel diagrams were created using the tool created by Don Armstrong and Raphael Zidovetzki [\(http://rzlab.ucr.edu/scripts/wheel/wheel.cgi\)](http://rzlab.ucr.edu/scripts/wheel/wheel.cgi). PredictProtein was used to search for additional structural features [\(http://www.predictprotein.org/\)](http://www.predictprotein.org/). We searched for Reb homologs with available 3D structures using sequence based-PSI-BLAST (Altschul et al. 1997) searches at the PFAM and Uniprot databases by using HHpred [\(http://hhpred.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred\)](http://hhpred.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred) and FFAS03 [\(http://ffas.ljcrf.edu/ffas-cgi/cgi/ffas.pl\)](http://ffas.ljcrf.edu/ffas-cgi/cgi/ffas.pl). WebLogo analysis was performed at [http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/.](http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/)

Phylogenetic analysis

The final dataset of 203 Reb homologs was aligned using Muscle 3.8.31 (Edgar 2004) and trimmed using BMGE (Criscuolo and Gribaldo 2010) with the less-stringent parameters (Blosum30), giving a dataset of 73 unambiguously aligned amino acid positions for phylogenetic analysis. A Bayesian tree was obtained using Phylobayes 3.3 (Lartillot et al. 2009). (See figure legends for details on analyses.)

16s rRNA sequences from Proteobacterial taxa representative of the diversity of this phylum were downloaded from the NCBI, as well as from the specialized Silva (<http://www.arb-silva.de/>) and the Ribosomal Database Project [\(http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/](http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/)) databases. Sequences were aligned using Muscle 3.8.31 (Edgar 2004) and manually trimmed using the ED program of the MUST suite (Philippe 1993). Maximum likelihood trees were obtained using Treefinder (Jobb et al. 2004). (See figure legends for details on analyses.)

Genome synteny and genome content analysis

For genome synteny analysis, we retrieved the five open reading frames upstream and downstream of the reb loci (or extracted from contigs when the whole genome sequence was not available). Protein sequences were defined as homologous when sharing at least 40% similarity and less than 20% difference in length. Pairs of homologous proteins were then expanded to homologous protein families by including all proteins homologous to at least one member of the family.

For genome content analysis, families of homologous proteins were built from 861 fully sequenced bacterial genomes downloaded from the NCBI ftp Genomes server. Protein sequences were defined as homologous if sharing at least 50% similarity and less than 20% difference in length. Pairs of homologous proteins were then expanded to homologous protein families by including all proteins homologous to at least one member of the family.

RESULTS

Taxonomic distribution

Although the production of R-bodies has been observed in a few freeliving bacteria (see Introduction), the effective distribution of Reb homologs in prokaryotes has not been clear. We carried out an exhaustive search for Reb homologs in current sequence databases (see Materials and Methods) (Figure 2). We found no additional homologs of RebC other than the C. taeniospiralis pKAP298 plasmid, indicating that this protein is specific to the Caedibacter Reb system. On the contrary, RebB, RebA and RebD are homologous and widely distributed. We identified 203 Reb homologs from 64 taxa belonging exclusively to Proteobacteria, with the one exception of Kordia algicida OT-1, which belongs to the phylum Bacteroidetes, as recently noticed (Akiba et al. 2010). Reb homologs are widely distributed among representatives of four of the six subdivisions of Proteobacteria, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta (Figure 3). We found between one and nine Reb homologs in each genome (Figure 2). Although reb genes were first identified on the C. taeniospiralis pKAP298 plasmid, we found Reb homologs on only two additional plasmids: the megaplasmid from the α -proteobacterium Ruegeria pomeroyi DSS-3, and the AZOBR_p4 plasmid from the α -proteobacterium Azospirillum brazilense Sp245 (Figure 2). The availability of a complete genome for these two taxa indicates that no additional homologs are present on the chromosome. We could not find any other Reb homologs in viruses, Archaea, or Eukarya, apart from four homologs from the Global Ocean Sampling marine metagenome sequence database that are closely related to Proteobacteria (not shown).

Of importance, for three taxa with available sequence data, we could link for the first time the previously reported observation of Rbodies (see Introduction and references therein) with the presence of Reb homologs. In particular, R. centenum has six copies, A. avenae has four copies, and M. mediterranea has nine copies (Figure 2). Rebcontaining taxa display a wide variety of lifestyles. Albeit many taxa harboring Reb homologs harbor free-living lifestyles in a wide variety of environments, from marine to terrestrial, a few taxa other than C. taeniospiralis appear to have an interaction with eukaryotes. For example, Pseudovibrio sp. JE062 is a symbiont of sponges; Vibrio fischeri ES114 is the specific bioluminescent symbiont in the light-emitting organs of certain squids and fishes; Labrenzia alexandrii and Oceanicaulis alexandrii have been isolated from dinoflagellates; Stenotrophomonas maltophilia R551-3, Azospirillum brasilense Sp245, and Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf-5 are plant growth-promoting endophytes; various strains of Burkholderia ambifaria are important in the biocontrol of pea plant phytopathogens; and Azorhizobium caulinodans is a nitrogen-fixing

proteobacterium involved in mutualistic rhizobiale symbioses with plant roots.

Other than in the algae pathogen Kordia algicida, we also found Reb homologs in a number of important pathogens of plants such as Acidovorax avenae subsp. avenae ATCC 19860; various strains of Xanthomonas; Burkholderia gladioli and B. glumae; Ralstonia syzygii R24; but also in important pathogens of aquatic animals such as shrimp and corals (Vibrio nigripulchritudo ATCC27043; Vibrio coralliilyticus ATCC-BAA450). Reb homologs were also found in the genomes of opportunistic pathogens of humans, such as various strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, including the hypervirulent LESB58 strain; in various strains of Burkholderia pseudomallei, the causative agent of meilodiosis, in B. mallei, that causes glanders and pneumonia; and Stenotrophomonas maltophila, a rare but serious threat to patients who require catheterization. We also observed some interesting patterns by looking at the distribution of Reb homologs in closely related strains (Figure 2). For example, although the sponge symbiont Pseudovibrio sp. JE062 harbors reb genes, its closely related free-living relative Pseudovibrio sp. FO-BEG1 does not. Similarly, Burkholderia thailandensis MSMB43, an opportunistic pathogen that causes meilodiosis, has reb genes, whereas the closely related B. thailandensis E264, a common soil and avirulent strain, does not (Figure 2).

Sequence analysis

Despite the widespread presence of Reb homologs in many bacterial taxa, it remains to be proven experimentally that these are responsible for producing R-bodies. However, some hints can already be gained from sequence analysis.

Reb homologs are 95 amino acids long on average. They show good conservation at the sequence level and all display a basic alpha helical secondary structure with no significant structural difference among sequences (Figure 4A). Very little is known about the regulation and mechanism of R-body assembly [for review see, (Sanchez-Amat 2006)]. We could not observe any particular pattern in the sequences that allows distinguishing the equivalents of RebA, B, and D of C. taeniospiralis in other taxa, and this was also confirmed by phylogenetic analysis. Overall sequence conservation is high, suggesting that these Reb homologs are likely functional and conserved at the structural level. A WebLogo analysis highlighted highly conserved positions (Figure 4B) that may be important for R-body assembly and/or unrolling-rolling, and should be the target of choice of future mutation studies. Unfortunately, we could not identify any homologous proteins with a solved crystal structure in extant databases (see Materials and Methods). Small proteins assembling into structures frequently display amphipathic helices, which consist of hydrophobic amino acids concentrated on one side and hydrophilic or polar amino acids on the other, and these can be highlighted using helical wheel diagrams (see Materials and Methods). However, we found no evidence that the helices of Reb homologs display amphipathic character (data not shown). Obtaining the 3-D structure of an R-body will therefore be essential to understand how Reb homologs assemble and function.

Figure 2 Distribution of Reb homologs. Presence/absence of Reb homologs in proteobacteria and Kordia algicida. Colors indicate the different proteobacterial subdivisions. For each genome that harbors Reb homologs, we included complete genomes of closely related taxa without any reb genes, when available. Taxa with no available complete genome sequence but harboring Reb homologs are highlighted in gray. For these taxa, the presence of extra Reb copies cannot be excluded. When present, Reb homologs are indicated by their corresponding accession number. Reb homologs located on plasmids are indicated by an asterisk. See main text for discussion.

When present in multiple copies, Reb homologs are clustered on the genome, mostly lying side-by-side or separated by a few intervening genes (Figure 5). We generally found only one reb locus per genome, with the exception of M. mediterranea whose nine homologs are organized into two different genomic regions, and Xanthomonas axonopodis and Chromobacterium violaceum, which both have an extra Reb homolog located far from the main cluster (Figure 5). Given their short length, the phylogeny of Reb homologs is globally poorly resolved, but a few monophyletic groups are apparent which are consistent with genomic synteny [\(Supporting Information,](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1/005231SI.pdf) [Figure S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1/FigureS1.pdf) and Figure 5). This allowed us to infer the evolutionary history of these Reb homologs (Figure 5). In some cases, Reb homologs from the same taxon are more closely related to each other than to Rebs of other taxa, suggesting that these have arisen from species-specific duplication events.

This is for, instance, the case of five reb genes from Marinomonas sp. MED121, which are all more closely related to each other than to any other Reb (Figure 5). The same can be said for the six of the seven reb genes from Vibrio fischeri ES114 (Figure 5). In other cases, there is clear evidence for vertical inheritance of Reb proteins from the ancestor of a specific Proteobacterial family (e.g., Xanthomonas) (Figure 5). In yet other instances, Reb proteins are most closely related among distantly related lineages, suggesting horizontal transfer of the whole locus, for example in the case of Marinomonas mediterranea MMB-1 and Shewanella denitrificans OS217 (Figure 5). Horizontal gene transfer was also suggested for extra reb copies in a few taxa (Figure 5). Finally, phylogeny could not help in assigning the equivalents in other taxa of the RebA-B-D of C. taeniospiralis, as these are more closely related among themselves [\(Figure S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1/FigureS1.pdf)). It is therefore difficult to make analogies between the previously reported data on the role of the RebA-B-D of C. taeniospiralis in the assembly process of its R-bodies and what occurs in the other taxa. Moreover, because we found no homologs of RebC outside C. taeniospiralis, it is possible that other proteins have analogous function in Reb-harboring taxa. This finding would be consistent with RebC being a transcription regulator and therefore potentially species-specific.

In search for potential partners of Reb proteins

It has been shown that RebA, B and C from C. taeniospiralis are sufficient for production of type 51 R-body in E. coli but not for the killing phenotype (see Introduction), which indicates that yet-unidentified partners coded on either the plasmid or the chromosome of C. taeniospiralis are involved in the killing. Interestingly, we found no homologs of the 63 proteins encoded in the C. taeniospiralis pKAP298 plasmid in any of the Reb-harboring taxa. This may indicate that none of these proteins is a likely candidate for the killing toxin, which would be then encoded in the C. taeniospiralis genome (yet unavailable). Alternatively, the C. taeniospiralis toxin may well be on the plasmid but is not conserved in other bacteria, which may either display no killing activity or use nonhomologous toxins.

To search for candidate partners of Reb proteins, we carried out a genome synteny analysis of the reb locus in 41 taxa for which a complete genome or sufficient genomic structure information is

available (Figure 6, see Materials and Methods). Two mutually exclusive synteny patterns could be observed (hereafter referred to as Group 1 and 2, respectively; Figure 6). Strikingly, the genes included in these conserved synteny patterns are exclusively present in Rebharboring taxa, strongly suggesting a functional link with the Reb system. The Group 1 synteny pattern is defined by four proteins annotated as hypothetical: HP1.1 (red), HP1.2 (blue), HP1.3 (yellow), and HP1.4 (orange), which are only found in the surroundings of the reb locus and are only present in Reb-harboring taxa. The HP1.4 ($~60-80$ aa) and HP1.3 (!170 aa) proteins appear to be distant Reb homologs. However, they lack some of the conserved amino acid positions characteristic of other Reb proteins, and the HP1.3 protein is approximately twice as long as a typical Reb (data not shown). The HP1.1 (~360 aa) and the HP1.2 (~110-120 aa) proteins display no putative conserved domains. These four proteins often exhibit the same genomic organization (HP1.1,HP1.2,HP1.3, HP1.4; Figure 6). In three cases, another hypothetical protein (HP1.5, purple) is associated with this context, and is distantly related to the HP1.3 protein (Figure 6).

The Group 2 synteny pattern is defined by the presence of two proteins annotated as hypothetical: HP2.1 (light blue) and HP2.2 (fuchsia) (Figure 6). These proteins are approximately the same size $(-205-220$ aa) and display no putative conserved domains. They frequently co-occur, but their genomic organization varies in different taxa. An interesting characteristic of Group 2 synteny pattern is the frequent association with a putative RNA polymerase sigma-factor protein (HP2.3, light pink) and a transcriptional regulator/cyclic nucleotide binding protein (HP2.4, dark pink), which might be involved in transcription regulation of reb genes in these taxa. It should be noted that the conserved Group 1 and Group 2 synteny patterns are generally consistent with the Reb clusters highlighted by phylogenetic analysis (Figure 5) and have phylogenies similar to the Reb one (not shown), indicating a common evolutionary history and providing further suggestion of a functional link between these proteins and Reb proteins. As an additional strong indication, the plasmid sequence of A. brasilense contains the four proteins characteristic of the Group 1 synteny pattern.

A few taxa did not present any particularly conserved genomic context nor did they harbor the conserved genes found in Group 1 or Group2 synteny patterns. It is therefore possible that other genetic elements important for reb function are located in different positions of the genome in these taxa. To this end, we sought to identify additional proteins specific to Reb-harboring taxa by carrying out a whole-genome content analysis (see Material and Methods). Using the complete genomes of 841 bacterial taxa—including 25 Reb-harboring complete genomes—we constructed protein families having at least 50% identity and 80% size conservation (see Material and Methods). This analysis confirmed that the only protein family exclusively present in Rebharboring taxa is the Reb family itself, along with the protein families specific to Group 1 and 2 synteny patterns (Figure 7). Although genomes of taxa not containing Reb homologs harbored distant homologs of the HP2.3 and HP2.4 (light pink and dark pink) proteins of genome synteny patterns, these fell outside of subfamilies which are exclusively present in Reb-harboring taxa.

Fiqure 3 Distribution of Reb-harboring taxa across Proteobacteria. Unrooted Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 16s rRNA sequences from 60 taxa representative of proteobacterial diversity. Proteobacterial orders that include members containing Reb homologs are highlighted in red. The number of Reb-harboring taxa over the total number of available complete genomes is indicated in parenthesis. Caedibacter taeniospiralis belongs to the gammaproteobacterial family of Thiotrichales (indicated by a red arrow). The tree was obtained using Treefinder with the J1 model of nucleotide substitution and a discrete gamma distribution with four categories to take into account among-site rate variation. Numbers at nodes indicate bootstrap values (BV) for 100 replicates of the original dataset. For clarity, only BVs greater than 50% are shown. The scale bar represents the average number of substitutions per site.

Figure 4 Sequence analysis. (A) Secondary structure of the RebB of C. taeniospiralis predicted by PSIPRED [<http://bioinf.ucl.ac.uk/psipred> (Buchan et al. 2010)]. The same structure was substantially conserved in all other Reb homologs. (B) Conserved amino acid positions identified using Weblogo on an unambiguously aligned excerpt of the entire alignment of the 203 identified Reb homologs. For clarity, only 15 representative Reb sequences are shown. Position numbers refer to the RebB of C. taeniospiralis.

These few conserved proteins might be either involved in regulation of R-body assembly and function, or represent the toxin, and should be priority targets for future studies. It will also be interesting to test whether the Reb-harboring taxa that harbor none of these candidate partners are able to make R-bodies or display killing activity. Finally, it should be noted that none of these proteins belong to the Soj-ParA family or any annotated membrane-associated ATPase, weakening the previous hypothesis that these types of protein may represent the toxin responsible for killing (Jeblick and Kusch 2005).

A phage origin?

Jeblick and Kush emphasized the presence of phage-related genes on the reb carrying plasmid (Jeblick and Kusch 2005) and Preer (Preer et al. 1974) observed an association of phage-like particles with Rbodies, suggesting that R-bodies may be encoded by defective phage genes. Moreover, the evolutionary analysis of Reb families (Figure 5) and their genomic context (Figure 6) suggest horizontal gene transfer events, for which bacteriophages are known to be major contributors. However, we found no Reb homologs in genomic sequences from phages. We therefore sought to see if Reb homologs are part of integrated elements or prophages. We examined the 40 kbp on each side of the reb locus in the 25 Reb-harboring taxa for which complete genome sequences are available for the presence of prophages (integrated phages) or other phage-related elements. First, we searched using the PHAST database [\http://phast.wishartlab.com (Zhou et al. 2011)], which contains phage proteins that have been associated with a clear phage function. However, none of these regions were positive

for prophage sequences. As a complementary analysis, we specifically searched a comprehensive local databank of 1130 bacteriophage sequences downloaded from GenBank (December 2011), which included 248 phages isolated from 33 proteobacterial genera. These regions did not display any specific similarity to phage elements. We also looked at whether reb loci are embedded in genomic islands by running searches on the IslandViewer server [\[http://www.pathoge](http://www.pathogenomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer)[nomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer](http://www.pathogenomics.sfu.ca/islandviewer) (Langille and Brinkman 2009)], which combines several prediction methods: (1) atypical dinucleotide content; or (2) codon usage; (3) identification of unique regions not present in closely related genomes; and (4) presence of genes that are functionally related to mobile elements. However, none of the analyzed genomes displayed identified potential genomic islands adjacent to or surrounding the reb locus. We also verified from the literature whether Reb homologs were present in any previously reported genomic region of potential exogenous origin. For example, reb genes did not fall into any of the two atypical regions identified in the genome of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae PXO99A (Salzberg et al. 2008), nor in the four atypical regions highlighted in the genome of Xanthomonas campestris pv. campestris str. ATCC 33913 (Vorholter et al. 2003), and were not included in any of the prophage islands identified in the genome of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa hypervirulent LESB58I strain (Winstanley et al. 2009). Finally, among 1062 plasmid sequences available from Proteobacteria, we detected reb genes only on two plasmids other than the C. taeniospiralis plasmid pKAP298: the Azospirillum brasilense Sp245 plasmid AZOBR_p4 and the Ruegeria pomeroyi DSS-3 megaplasmid.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa PACS2@ZP_01366280 Pseudomonas aeruginosa LESB58@YP 002439855		Vibrio nigripulchritudo ATCC 27043@ZP_08730924 Vibrio corallillyticus ATCC BAA 450@ZP 05885799	-
Pseudomonas aeruginosa UCBPP PA14@YP 790355 Pseudomonas aeruginosa PACS2@ZP 01366286 Pseudomonas aeruginosa LESB58@YP_002439850 Pseudomonas aeruginosa UCBPP PA14@YP 790354 Pseudomonas aeruginosa PACS2@ZP 01366287 Pseudomonas aeruginosa LESB58@YP 002439849 Pseudomonas aeruginosa 39016@ZP 07794130		Xanthomonas axonopodis pv citri str 306@NP 643326 Stenotrophomonas mattophilia R551 3@YP 002028486	and the state of the state and the state of the local
		Acidovorax avenae sb. avenae ATCC 19860 @ YP 004236847 Acidovorax avenae sb. avenae ATCC 19860@YP 004236846 Acidovorax avenae sb. avenae ATCC 19860 @YP 004236845 Acidovorax avenae sb. avenae ATCC 19860 @YP_004236848	
Burkholderia thailandensis MSMB43@ZP 02461986 Burkholderia oklahomensis EO147@ZP 02354118 Burkholderia oklahomensis C6786@ZP_02361295	- --	Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP 01912580 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP 01912579 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP_01912578 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP_01912577 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP 01912576 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP_01912575 Plesiocystis pacifica SIR 1@ZP 01912570	
Burkholderia thailandensis MSMB43@ZP 02461985 Burkholderia oklahomensis EO147@ZP_02354117 Burkholderia oklahomensis C6786@ZP 02361294			
Burkholderia pseudomallei K96243@YP 106893 Burkholderia pseudomallei 668@YP 001057315 Burkholderia pseudomallei 576@ZP_03456481 Burkholderia pseudomallei 305@ZP 01770366 Burkholderia pseudomallei 1106a@YP 001064557 Burkholderia mallei SAVP1@ABM49889 Burkholderia mallei NCTC 10247@ABO06552 Burkholderia mallei NCTC 10229@ABN03246 Burkholderia mallei ATCC 23344@AAU50114 Burkholderia thailandensis MSMB43@ZP_02461984		Caedibacter taeniospiralis@AAR87077 Caedibacter taeniospiralis@AAR87076	
		Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans AK 01@YP 002433826 Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans AK 01@YP 002433827 Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans AK 01@YP 002433825 Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans AK 01@YP 002433824	and the contract of the contract of
		Marinomonas sp MED121@ZP 01077811 Marinomonas sp MED121@ZP 01077809 Marinomonas sp MED121@ZP 01077810 Marinomonas sp MED121@ZP_01077808	
Burkholderia oklahomensis EO147@ZP 02354116 Burkholderia oklahomensis C6786@ZP_02361293		Marinomonas sp MED121@ZP 01077807 Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207095	
Ralstonia syzygii R24@CCA86915 Burkholderia thailandensis MSMB43@ZP 02461983 Burkholderia oklahomensis EO147@ZP 02354115 Burkholderia oklahomensis C6786@ZP 02361292 Burkholderia gladioli BSR3@AEA62617		Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207094 Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207093 Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207092 Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207091 Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207090	
Burkholderia ubonensis Bu@ZP 02381584 Burkholderia sp 383@YP 366670 Burkholderia ambifaria MEX 5@ZP 02905801 Burkholderia ambifaria MC40 6@YP_001807947 Burkholderia ambifaria IOP40 10@ZP 02889070 Burkholderia ambifaria AMMD@YP 773105		Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf 5@YP 257330 Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf 5@YP 257329	\sim
		Chromobacterium violaceum ATCC 12472@NP 900394 Chromobacterium violaceum ATCC 12472@NP 900393 Chromobacterium violaceum ATCC 12472@NP 900392 Chromobacterium violaceum ATCC 12472@NP 900391	
Burkholderia ambifaria AMMD@YP 773103 Burkholderia sp 383@YP 366668 Burkholderia ambifaria MEX 5@ZP 02905799 Burkholderia ambifaria MC40 6@YP_001807945 Burkholderia ambifaria IOP40 10@ZP_02889068 Burkholderia ubonensis Bu@ZP_02381586 Burkholderia ubonensis Bu@ZP 02381585 Burkholderia sp 383@YP 366669 Burkholderia ambifaria MEX 5@ZP 02905800 Burkholderia ambifaria MC40 6@YP 001807946 Burkholderia ambifaria IOP40 10@ZP 02889069 Burkholderia ambifaria AMMD@YP 773104		Roseibium sp TrichSKD4@ZP 07661117 Polymorphum gilvum SL003B 26A1@ADZ69851 Labrenzia alexandrii DFL 11@ZP 05112322	
		Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91956 Shewanella denitrificans OS217@YP 564150 Shewanella denitrificans OS217@YP 564149 Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91955	
		Shewanella denitrificans OS217@YP 564148 Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91954	
Burkholderia ubonensis Bu@ZP 02381581 Burkholderia sp 383@YP 366673 Burkholderia ambifaria MEX 5@ZP 02905804 Burkholderia ambifaria MC40 6@YP 001807950 Burkholderia ambifaria (OP40 10@ZP 02889073 Burkholderia ambifaria AMMD@YP 773108		Shewanella denitrificans OS217@YP 564147 Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91953	
		Vibrio nigripulchritudo ATCC 27043@ZP_08730925 Vibrio corallillyticus ATCC BAA 450@ZP 05885798 Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91908	__
Xanthomonas gardneri ATCC 19865@ZP 08181385 Xanthomonas campestris ATCC 33913@NP 638256 Xanthomonas campestris str 8004@YP 242290 Xanthomonas campestris pv vas. NCPPB 702@ZP 06486467 Xanthomonas oryzae pv oryzicola BLS256@AEQ97370 Xanthomonas oryzae pv oryzae PXO99A@YP 001914301 Xanthomonas perforans 91 118@ZP 08187121 Xanthomonas campestris pv vesicatoria str 85 10@YP_364948 Xanthomonas fuscans sb aurantifolii ICPB 11122@ZP 06705918 Xanthomonas axonopodis pv citri str 306@NP 643396		Vibrio fischeri ES114@YP 207085 Vibrio nigripulchritudo ATCC 27043@ZP 08730919 Vibrio corallillyticus ATCC BAA 450@ZP 05885804 Marinomonas mediterranea MMB 1@ADZ91901	______
		Oceanicaulis alexandrii HTCC2633@ZP 00953290 Kordia algicida OT 1@ZP 02161961 Kordia algicida OT 1@ZP 02161960 Kordia algicida OT 1@ZP_02161959 Azorhizobium caulinodans ORS 571@YP 001526702 Azorhizobium caulinodans ORS 571@YP 001526698	╌

Figure 5 Evolutionary inference of Reb homologs based on phylogenetic analysis of the 203 Reb homologs [\(Figure S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1/FigureS1.pdf). Here we have highlighted a few of the monophyletic groups. For each taxon, the genome locations of the corresponding Reb proteins are shown. Reb homologs highlighted in green are orthologs that were inferred to have been inherited through speciation events; those highlighted in blue represent paralogs issued from species-specific gene duplications; and those in red are the Reb homologs that have likely originated via horizontal transfer. Adjacent reb genes are indicated in gray. Open reading frames between reb genes are shown in white, and black slash-like symbols represent large intervening regions between reb genes.

DISCUSSION

Despite having been continuously and intensively studied from the 1930s through the 1980s, recent data on R-bodies have been scarce. With a whole array of novel technology, studies on the diversity and role of these puzzling bacterial structures can now be fully tackled. Our exhaustive analysis shows that Reb homologs are widely present in Proteobacterial genomes spanning the diversity of this major bacterial phylum, indicating that they are much more widespread than previously known. In the perspective of obtaining experimental data, our analysis remains for the time being largely descriptive, but nevertheless provides a number of interesting hints for discussion and future work.

Sequence analysis suggests structural and functional conservation, indicating that Reb homologs are likely responsible for the production of functional R-bodies in all the taxa where we found them, although this needs to be verified experimentally. Moreover, the presence of Reb homologs in bacteria where R-bodies have been previously observed is already a good hint. The occurrence of R-bodies in a wide range of bacteria harboring Reb homologs should be tested, with priority given to those that have medical, agricultural, and ecological implications. Our data will also help direct mutational studies to characterize the system further through structural and functional analysis of reb genes from Caedibacter but also other taxa, including

those that harbor multiple reb genes and those that have only one copy. Also, it will be important to verify the involvement in R-body production, assembly, regulation, and killing of the likely partners that we have identified by genome context and whole genome content analysis. Because none of the Reb-harboring genomes identified in this study possess homologs of the genes carried by the C. taeniospiralis plasmid, it is possible that the killing toxin is encoded in the C. taeniospiralis genome and is perhaps one of the candidate genes that we have identified. The completion of this genome will therefore be very important. Another possibility is that the R-bodies are delivery systems for species-specific toxins.

Our analysis of taxonomic distribution shows that Reb homologs are present in taxa displaying very different lifestyles, suggesting that the role of R-bodies in nature could be quite diverse. Moreover, we show that intact reb loci have been spread among Proteobacterial taxa via horizontal transfer, indicating that an advantage exists in acquiring and keeping R-bodies. However, we found no clear signs of a phage origin for the reb loci. It remains possible that this is due to an undersampling of phages from the Reb-harboring taxa or that these horizontal transfers correspond to events old enough to have allowed sequences to adapt to the new genome, or that all traces of the transfer vectors have been erased from the genome after transfer.

Figure 6 Genome synteny analysis of the reb locus mapped onto an Unrooted Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of 16s rRNA sequences from the 64 reb-containing Proteobacteria. The tree was created using Treefinder with the GTR model of nucleotide substitution and a discrete gamma distribution with four categories to take into account among-site rate variation. Numbers at nodes indicate BVs for 100 replicates of the original dataset. For clarity, only BVs greater than 50% are shown. The scale bar represents the average number of substitutions per site. Species where Reb homologs are located on a plasmid are marked by a black circle. A white star in a red circle marks the fully sequenced genomes used in the analysis. Reb homologs are shown in green. Homologous genes are represented by the same color. For clarity, only genes discussed in the text are indicated. Black slash-like symbols represent large regions in between genes. The RebC of C.taeniospiralis (AAR87131) is shown in light green and outlined in black to indicate its lack of homology with the other rebs. The genome context for the Flavobacterium K. algicida is shown separately.

Some of the Reb-harboring taxa have very important ecological, agricultural, and medical relevance. In addition, by observing the pattern of presence/absence of reb genes in closely related strains, we found intriguing links between virulence and presence of reb genes that will surely be worthy of further investigation. R-bodies may be involved in mediating interactions of these bacteria with eukaryotic cells, perhaps through the triggering of unrolling when ingested in the vacuole, similarly to what observed in the Caedibacter/Paramecium interaction.

We found Reb homologs in many free-living bacteria. R-bodies in these bacteria may be used as a defense mechanism against grazing by eukaryotes. Bacteria have in fact developed various strategies against protozoan predation in nature (Matz and Kjelleberg 2005). Many examples have been reported of cytotoxicity responses against grazing by eukaryotes in different bacteria such as Pseudomonas (Matz et al. 2004; Weitere et al. 2005) and Vibrio (Erken et al. 2011). Also, it is tempting to speculate that some of these free-living, Reb-harboring taxa can establish transient "killing" symbioses with ciliates or other protists, as seems to be indicated by the fact that some Reb-harboring taxa have indeed been isolated from aquatic microbial eukaryotes. It will be interesting to perform feeding experiments to test their killing potential in Paramecium and also other protistan taxa, such as algae. Indeed, we confirmed the presence of reb genes in Kordia algicida, a planktonic bacterium recently highlighted as a killer of diatoms by a yet unclear mechanism involving an unidentified protease (Paul and Pohnert 2011). Our study suggests that delivery of this protease could

Figure 7 Whole genome content analysis. Graphical representation of protein families created using the R software (R Development Core Team 2011). The 25 fully sequenced Reb-harboring taxa are represented on the x-axis and the other 816 fully sequenced bacterial taxa analyzed are represented on the y-axis. Each point on the graph represents a protein family (see Materials and Methods for details on how protein families were defined). For example, the Reb family (indicated by a green box) is present in 24 fully sequenced Reb-harboring taxa but in none of the remaining genomes. The 4 Rebs of Acidovorax avenae subsp. Avenae ATCC 19860 did not fall into the Reb protein family because they are very divergent (see Figure 5 and [Figure S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.112.005231/-/DC1/FigureS1.pdf). The other five unique protein families specific to Reb-harboring taxa (see main text) are shown with boxes corresponding to colors as defined in the legend to Figure 6.

be performed via R-bodies, which would therefore be important players in the regulation of algal blooms. Similarly, it would be interesting to verify whether the presence of Reb homologs in the powerful coral pathogen Vibrio coralliilyticus is linked to R-body production and if these are somehow involved in delivery of the killing toxin. The killing factor produced by K. algicida is triggered independently of the presence of the diatom target but rather likely depends on a quorum sensing mechanism when the population size reaches a certain density (Paul and Pohnert 2011). Similarly, the triggering of R-body production in a fraction of the Caedibacter endosymbiont population in Paramecium, a phenomenon that is not yet understood, may be regulated by a quorum sensing mechanism.

In addition, our study suggests that R-bodies may be involved in the interaction of Proteobacteria with several multicellular organisms, such as plants and animals. The recently reported involvement of reb genes in the regulation of a rhizobial symbiosis (Akiba et al. 2010) and the presence of Reb homologs in a number of Proteobacteria known to interact with plant roots is intriguing, and it is not excluded that Rbodies may help the bacterium to move through plant tissues, via delivery of specific lytic compounds. Indeed, we found Reb homologs in a number of bacterial strains known to be able to penetrate the xylem of plants. A similar mechanism may be used to move through tissues by some Proteobacteria that interact with animals, such as Vibrio fischeri with its squid host. Finally, the presence of reb genes in important pathogens of eukaryotes, including humans, some of which are responsible for emerging and poorly characterized infections,

should prompt the study of their potential involvement in the infection process, perhaps by helping tissue invasion.

If our predictions are verified, bacteria may represent a largely overlooked role in the regulation of microbial eukaryotic abundance and distribution, in addition to the much more studied impact of viruses. This regulation may be performed at different levels, by direct killing of eukaryotic grazers, but also by providing mechanisms used for defense among eukaryotes, as is the example of the Paramecium/ Caedibacter symbiosis. Interestingly, it was recently reported that the thricocysts of eukaryotic algae belonging to the Cryptomonads, ejectile organelles that are probably used with a defensive role against predation, are composed of four proteins that share similarity with Reb proteins (Yamagishi et al. 2012). The authors proposed that these proteins were acquired horizontally from Proteobacteria. R-body-harboring bacteria could therefore play a larger role in the origin and spread of defense mechanisms in eukaryotic microorganisms. Finally, elucidation of the mechanism of rolling/unrolling/toxin delivery of R-bodies will surely open the way to interesting biotechnological applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Céline Brochier-Armanet for kindly sharing 16S rRNA trees of Proteobacteria and for useful comments on the manuscript, along with Patrick Forterre and Eduardo Rocha for interesting discussion. We also wish to thank Mart Krupovic for advice on secondary structure analysis. K.R. is the recipient of a Pasteur Ph.D. International grant and a Paul Zuccaire fellow and would like to thank John R. and Louise B. Preer for early training in Paramecium and killer endosymbiont biology. After completion of this work, we became aware that an analysis partially similar to ours was independently carried out and discussed in the Ph.D. thesis of Martina Schrallhammer (2010, unpublished) under the supervision of Giulio Petroni, whom we thank for bringing it to our attention. We also thank two anonymous referees for very useful comments that helped improve the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Akiba, N., T. Aono, H. Toyazaki, S. Sato, and H. Oyaizu, 2010 phrR-like gene praR of Azorhizobium caulinodans ORS571 is essential for symbiosis with Sesbania rostrata and is involved in expression of reb genes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76: 3475–3485.
- Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang et al., 1997 Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3389–3402.
- Beale, G., and J. R. Preer Jr., 2004 Paramecium: Genetics and Epigenetics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Beier, C. L., M. Horn, R. Michel, M. Schweikert, H. D. Gortz et al., 2002 The genus Caedibacter comprises endosymbionts of Paramecium spp. related to the Rickettsiales (Alphaproteobacteria) and to Francisella tularensis (Gammaproteobacteria). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 6043–6050.
- Brown, M. R., and J. Barker, 1999 Unexplored reservoirs of pathogenic bacteria: protozoa and biofilms. Trends Microbiol. 7: 46–50.
- Buchan, D. W., S. M. Ward, A. E. Lobley, T. C. Nugent, K. Bryson et al., 2010 Protein annotation and modelling servers at University College London. Nucleic Acids Res. 38: W563–568.
- Criscuolo, A., and S. Gribaldo, 2010 BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple sequence alignments. BMC Evol. Biol. 10: 210.
- Dilts, J. A., and R. L. Quackenbush, 1986 A mutation in the R body-coding sequence destroys expression of the killer trait in P. tetraurelia. Science 232: 641–643.
- Edgar, R. C., 2004 MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5: 113.
- Erken, M., M. Weitere, S. Kjelleberg, and D. McDougald, 2011 In situ grazing resistance of Vibrio cholerae in the marine environment. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 76: 504–512.
- Espuny, M. J., C. Andres, M. E. Mercade, M. Robert, M. A. Manresa et al., 1991 R-bodies in Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain 44T1. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 60: 83–86.
- Favinger, J., R. Stadtwald, and H. Gest, 1989 Rhodospirillum centenum, sp. nov., a thermotolerant cyst-forming anoxygenic photosynthetic bacterium. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 55: 291–296.
- Fusté, M., M. Simon-Pujol, A. Marques, J. Guinea, and F. Congregrado, 1986 Isolation of a new free-living bacterium containing R-bodies. J. Gen. Microbiol. 132: 2801–2805.
- Gast, R. J., R. W. Sanders, and D. A. Caron, 2009 Ecological strategies of protists and their symbiotic relationships with prokaryotic microbes. Trends Microbiol. 17: 563–569.
- Gibson, I., 1973 Transplantation of killer endosymbionts in paramecium. Nature 241: 127–129.
- Hernandez-Romero, D., P. Lucas-Elio, D. Lopez-Serrano, F. Solano, and A. Sanchez-Amat, 2003 Marinomonas mediterranea is a lysogenic bacterium that synthesizes R-bodies. Microbiology 149: 2679–2686.
- Heruth, D. P., F. R. Pond, J. A. Dilts, and R. L. Quackenbush, 1994 Characterization of genetic determinants for R body synthesis and assembly in Caedibacter taeniospiralis 47 and 116. J. Bacteriol. 176: 3559–3567.
- Jeblick, J., and J. Kusch, 2005 Sequence, transcription activity, and evolutionary origin of the R-body coding plasmid pKAP298 from the intracellular parasitic bacterium Caedibacter taeniospiralis. J. Mol. Evol. 60: 164–173.
- Jobb, G., A. von Haeseler, and K. Strimmer, 2004 TREEFINDER: a powerful graphical analysis environment for molecular phylogenetics. BMC Evol. Biol. 4: 18.
- Jurand, A., B. M. Rudman, and J. R. Preer Jr., 1971 Prelethal effects of killing action by stock 7 of Paramecium aurelia. J. Exp. Zool. 177: 365–387.
- Kanabrocki, J. A., R. L. Quackenbush, and F. R. Pond, 1986 Organization and expression of genetic determinants for synthesis and assembly of type 51 R bodies. J. Bacteriol. 168: 40–48.
- Kusch, J., L. Czubatinski, S. Wegmann, M. Hubner, M. Alter et al., 2002 Competitive advantages of Caedibacter-infected Paramecia. Protist 153: 47–58.
- Lalucat, J., and F. Mayer, 1978 "Spiral bodies"–intracytoplasmic membraneous structures in a hydrogen oxidizing bacterium. Z. Allg. Mikrobiol. 18: 517–521.
- Langille, M. G., and F. S. Brinkman, 2009 IslandViewer: an integrated interface for computational identification and visualization of genomic islands. Bioinformatics 25: 664–665.
- Lartillot, N., T. Lepage, and S. Blanquart, 2009 PhyloBayes 3: a Bayesian software package for phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. Bioinformatics 25: 2286–2288.
- Matz, C., and S. Kjelleberg, 2005 Off the hook–how bacteria survive protozoan grazing. Trends Microbiol. 13: 302–307.
- Matz, C., T. Bergfeld, S. A. Rice, and S. Kjelleberg, 2004 Microcolonies, quorum sensing and cytotoxicity determine the survival of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms exposed to protozoan grazing. Environ. Microbiol. 6: 218–226.
- Paul, C., and G. Pohnert, 2011 Interactions of the algicidal bacterium Kordia algicida with diatoms: regulated protease excretion for specific algal lysis. PLoS ONE 6: e21032.
- Philippe, H., 1993 MUST, a computer package of Management Utilities for Sequences and Trees. Nucleic Acids Res. 21: 5264–5272.
- Pond, F. R., I. Gibson, J. Lalucat, and R. L. Quackenbush, 1989 R-bodyproducing bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 53: 25–67.
- Preer, J. R. Jr., 1975 The hereditary symbionts of Paramecium aurelia. Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol. 29: 125–144.
- Preer, J. R. Jr., 2006 Sonneborn and the Cytoplasm. Genet. Soc. Am. 172: 1373–1377.
- Preer, J. R. Jr., and P. Stark, 1953 Cytological observations on the cytoplasmic factor "kappa" in Paramecium aurelia. Exp. Cell Res. 5: 478–491.
- Preer, J. R. Jr., L. B. Preer, and A. Jurand, 1974 Kappa and other endosymbionts in Paramecium aurelia. Bacteriol. Rev. 38: 113–163.
- Quackenbush, R. L., and J. A. Burbach, 1983 Cloning and expression of DNA sequences associated with the killer trait of Paramecium tetraurelia stock 47. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80: 250–254.
- R Development Core Team, 2011 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available at: [http://www.R-project.org.](http://www.R-project.org)
- Salzberg, S. L., D. D. Sommer, M. C. Schatz, A. M. Phillippy, P. D. Rabinowicz et al., 2008 Genome sequence and rapid evolution of the rice pathogen Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae PXO99A. BMC Genomics 9: 204.
- Sanchez-Amat, A., 2006 R-bodies. Microbiol. Monogr. 1: 331–341.
- Schrallhammer, M., S. Galati, J. Altenbuchner, M. Schweikert, H. D. Gortz et al., 2012 Tracing the role of R-bodies in the killer trait: Absence of toxicity of R-body producing recombinant E. coli on paramecia. Eur. J. Protistol. 48: 290–296.
- Sonneborn, T. M., 1938 Mating types in P. aurelia: diverse conditions for mating in different stocks; occurrence, number and interrelations of the types. Proc. Am. Philos. Soc. 79: 411–434.
- Sonneborn, T. M., 1943 Gene and cytoplasm: II. The bearing of the determination and inheritance of characters in Paramecium aurelia on the problems of cytoplasmic inheritance, pneumococcus transformations, mutations and development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 29: 338–343.
- Vorholter, F. J., T. Thias, F. Meyer, T. Bekel, O. Kaiser et al., 2003 Comparison of two Xanthomonas campestris pathovar campestris genomes revealed differences in their gene composition. J. Biotechnol. 106: 193–202.
- Weitere, M., T. Bergfeld, S. A. Rice, C. Matz, and S. Kjelleberg, 2005 Grazing resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms depends on type of protective mechanism, developmental stage and protozoan feeding mode. Environ. Microbiol. 7: 1593–1601.
- Wells, B., and R. W. Horne, 1983 The ultrastructure of Pseudomonas avenae II. Intracellular refractile (R-body) structure. Micron 14: 329–344.
- Winstanley, C., M. G. Langille, J. L. Fothergill, I. Kukavica-Ibrulj, C. Paradis-Bleau et al., 2009 Newly introduced genomic prophage islands are critical determinants of in vivo competitiveness in the Liverpool epidemic strain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Genome Res. 19: 12–23.
- Yamagishi, T., A. Kai, and H. Kawai, 2012 Trichocyst ribbons of a cryptomonads are constituted of homologs of R-body proteins produced by the intracellular parasitic bacterium of Paramecium. J. Mol. Evol. 74:147–157.
- Zhou, Y., Y. Liang, K. H. Lynch, J. J. Dennis, and D. S. Wishart,
	- 2011 PHAST: a fast phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 39: W347–352.

Communicating editor: A. Rokas