

# Does habitat specialization shape the evolutionary potential of wild bird populations?

I. Martinossi-Allibert, Joanne Clavel, S. Ducatez, I. Le Viol, C. Teplitsky

## ▶ To cite this version:

I. Martinossi-Allibert, Joanne Clavel, S. Ducatez, I. Le Viol, C. Teplitsky. Does habitat specialization shape the evolutionary potential of wild bird populations?. Journal of Avian Biology, 2017, 48 (8), pp.1158 - 1165. 10.1111/jav.01011 . hal-01611788

# HAL Id: hal-01611788 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01611788

Submitted on 6 Oct 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# **Does habitat specialization shape the evolutionary**

# 2 potential of wild bird populations?

- 3 Martinossi-Allibert I.<sup>1,2</sup> imartinossi@gmail.com
- 4 **Clavel J.**<sup>1,3</sup> joanne.clavel@gmail.com
- 5 **Ducatez S**.<sup>4</sup> simon.ducatez@gmail.com
- 6 **Le Viol I.**<sup>1</sup> ileviol@mnhn.fr
- 7 **Teplitsky C.**<sup>1</sup> celine.TEPLITSKY@cefe.cnrs.fr
- 8 <sup>1</sup> Centre pour les Sciences de la conservation, UMR7204 CNRS / MNHN / UPMC
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Genetics, Evolutionary Biology Center, University of
- 10 Uppsala, Sweden
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of
- 12 California, Berkeley, USA
- <sup>4</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Sydney, NSW 2006 Australia
- 14
- 15 **Running title:** Specialization and evolutionary potential.
- 16 **Type of article:** Research paper **Abstract**: 156 words, **Main text**: 4127 words. **References**:
- 17 57, **Table count:** 3, **Figures count:** 2.
- 18 **To whom correspondence should be sent:**
- 19 Ivain Martinossi-Allibert, <u>imartinossi@gmail.com</u>, 01 40 79 57 38
- 20 Author contributions: C.T, I.L, J.C designed the project. C.T. and I.M. collected genetic
- 21 data and performed statistical analyzes. S.D provided specialization data. I.M wrote the first
- 22 draft of the manuscript and all authors contributed substantially to revisions.

## 24 Abstract

25 Because specialist species evolved in more temporally and spatially homogeneous environments 26 than generalist species, they are supposed to experience less fluctuating selection. For this reason, 27 we expect specialists to show lower overall genetic variation as compared to generalists. We also 28 expect populations from specialist species to be smaller and more fragmented, with lower neutral 29 genetic diversity. We tested these hypotheses by investigating patterns of genetic diversity along a 30 habitat specialization gradient in wild birds, based on estimates of heritability, coefficients of 31 variation of additive genetic variance, and heterozygosity available in the literature. We found no 32 significant effect of habitat specialization on any of the quantitative genetic estimators but 33 generalists had higher heterozygosity. This effect was mainly a consequence of the larger 34 population size of generalists. Our results suggest that evolutionary potential does not differ at the 35 population level between generalist and specialist species, but the trend observed in heterozygosity levels and population sizes may explain their difference in susceptibility to 36 37 extinction.

38

Keywords: heritability; additive genetic variance; neutral diversity; individual specialization;
niche width

41

42

43

44

45

46

## 48 Introduction

49 Native specialist species are becoming less abundant in disturbed landscape to the benefit of generalist species (Shultz et al. 2005, Le Viol et al. 2012). There is some evidence that 50 51 climate (Davey et al. 2013) and land use (Devictor et al. 2008a, b) changes are driving this 52 decline of specialization in wild communities, leading to a functional biotic homogenization 53 (Clavel et al. 2011). The higher sensitivity of specialists to global changes is expected to 54 result from their narrower ecological niche, which is associated with smaller and more 55 fragmented populations, more vulnerable to the destruction of their habitat. The persistence of species will ultimately depend upon two mechanisms: range shift or adaptation to 56 57 environmental changes, through phenotypic plasticity or microevolution (Lynch and Lande 58 1993). Anthropogenic changes are now considered as the greatest evolutionary force (Palumbi 59 2001, Steffen et al. 2011) and it therefore becomes crucial to determine how specialist and 60 generalist species differ in their ability to respond to these changes. Assessing whether 61 generalist and specialist species differ in terms of evolutionary potential should give valuable information on their respective ability to defer extinction through evolution. 62

63 The two alternative strategies (specialist vs generalist) are generally thought to arise depending on fitness trade-offs between alternate phenotypes across environments (Richmond 64 65 et al. 2005, Poisot et al. 2011): spatially and temporally variable environments should favour 66 generalist strategies, because generalists can achieve higher average performance than specialists across multiple environments. In turn, stable environments should favour 67 specialists which are able to exploit more efficiently a single resource (see Moran 1992 for 68 69 theoretical evidence, and Kassen 2002 for experimental evidence). Expressed in terms of selection pressures, heterogeneous environments generate fluctuating selection, while in a 70 71 homogeneous or stable environment, selection is more likely to be stabilising, or persistently 72 directional (Keightley and Hill 1988). Additionally, generalist strategies may become less 73 profitable under high levels of local stabilizing selection, because trade-offs for the 74 performance in different niches become accordingly strong (Svardal et al. 2014). Specialists can therefore be expected to evolve under stronger and more consistent selection pressures 75 76 that could deplete overall genetic variability. In contrast, the exposure of generalists to a 77 variety of environments, and to spatially and temporally fluctuating selection, could help 78 maintain a higher genetic diversity. For these reasons, we expect to find higher evolutionary 79 potential in generalist species than in specialist ones, which could partly explain the highest 80 sensitivity of specialists to environmental changes.

81 Only a scarce number of empirical studies support this prediction so far. Hägele and 82 Rowell-Rahier (2000) showed in a herbivorous insect genera that host specialist species have 83 a lower heritability (and thus a lower evolutionary potential) of growth rate than host 84 generalists. A review of selection experiments in bacteria by Kassen (2002) also suggests that 85 environmental heterogeneity may be driving both the quantity of genetic variation in a 86 population and the niche width of individuals, resulting in the evolution of generalists and the 87 maintenance of genetic variation in heterogeneous environments. However, the link between 88 specialization and evolutionary potential is largely unknown in wild populations.

89

90 Here, we review available estimates of evolutionary potential in relation to habitat 91 specialisation and population size in wild bird populations. As emphasised in the univariate 92 breeder's equation,  $R = h^2 S$  (Lande 1979), the predicted evolutionary response (R) depends on 93 the selection gradient (S) and on the evolutionary potential of a trait, measured as its heritability 94 (h<sup>2</sup>, the ratio between the amount of genetic additive variance and total phenotypic variance). We 95 thus mainly focused on estimates of heritability and additive genetic variance as they also represent the most widely reported estimates of evolutionary potential for quantitative traits 96 97 (Postma 2014). We predict higher genetic variance for generalist species (heritability,

hereafter  $h^2$ , and the additive genetic coefficient of variation, hereafter  $CV_A$ , are the two standardized estimates of genetic variance we used).

100 We also reviewed estimates of heterozygosity (hereafter Hz), a widely available 101 measure of genetic diversity known to depend on demographic processes such as inbreeding 102 level, drift and population size (Soulé 1976, Frankham et al. 2002). Hz is not a direct 103 estimator of evolutionary potential, as it does not relate to additive genetic variance, but rather 104 a proxy for overall genetic diversity, which is often considered in conservation study as an 105 indicator of population health. More specifically, Hz has been correlated with extinction risks 106 (Evans and Sheldon 2008) and population fitness (Reed and Frankham 2003). On this topic 107 again, little empirical work can be found, but a study carried out on the bark beetle (Kelley et 108 al. 2000) indicated that specialist sister-species indeed had reduced levels of Hz when 109 compared to generalist ones. Simply because of a narrower ecological niche, specialist species 110 have access to a more limited range of habitats than generalist ones. For this reason, 111 populations of specialists may be smaller and more fragmented which is likely to negatively 112 impact genetic diversity by limiting effective population size and gene flow (Whitlock and 113 Barton 1997). We therefore predict that specialists should have lower Hz than generalists, 114 mainly as a result of smaller population size.

115

## 117 Material & Methods

### 118 **Data collection**

### 119 Habitat specialization indexes

Assessing specialization by categorizing habitat types has been criticized as too subjective and unrealistic (Bazzaz 1991, Thompson et al. 1998, Fridley et al. 2007). Therefore, we used two different indices based on independent methods: The Species Specialization Index (SSI), as defined by Julliard et al. (2006) and the relative niche width measurement ( $\theta$ ) as introduced in plants by Fridley et al (2007) and in birds by Ducatez et al. (2014). Both indices use different approaches and are therefore not strongly correlated, but rather represent the diversity of methods available to measure habitat specialisation.

127 The SSI is defined as the coefficient of variation of a species abundance across a set of 128 predefined habitats (Julliard et al. 2004). A high SSI thus implies that a species abundance 129 varies strongly across habitats. Specialist species have higher values of SSI, generalists have 130 lower values. SSI was calculated for 234 species at the European scale, the territory being 131 partitioned into 98 habitat categories defined in the EUNIS database (see Le Viol et al., 2012 132 for details). Affinity of each species for nesting and foraging in a given habitat is coded by 133 experts on three levels (3: primary habitats; 2: secondary; 1: others). Note that because the 134 coefficient of variation is the standard deviation scaled to the mean, SSI does not depend on 135 population size.

The niche width ( $\Theta$ ) is based on the expectation that a generalist species occurs in a range of habitat categories that vary considerably in species composition, whereas a specialist species is found in habitats that contain a consistent suite of other species. The idea of using the compositional diversity of species that co-occur with a target species to measure the degree of specialization was introduced by Colwell and Futuyma (1971). Specialist species have lower values of  $\theta$ , generalists have higher values. To avoid a bias due to differences in species range, this index is based on habitat co-occurrence (rather than realized species co-

143 occurrence), meaning that two species that occupy the same habitat type in different places 144 are considered as co-occurring, even if their range does not overlap, e.g. this avoids island 145 species to be automatically considered as specialists. The niche width was estimated using 146 species co-occurrence data within each of the 82 IUCN habitat subcategories, and considering 147 all extant 9870 species listed by the IUCN (see Ducatez et al., 2014 for details). For a given 148 species, habitat width was measured as  $\theta = \gamma / \mu(\alpha)$ , where  $\gamma$  is the cumulative number of 149 species in the habitats used by a given species and  $\mu(\alpha)$  is the mean habitat species richness 150 calculated over the different habitats inhabited by that species. This specialization index is 151 positively correlated with population size (Pearson's product-moment correlation  $\rho = 0.25, 95$ 152 % Confidence Interval [0.044, 0.43], p-value = 0.02, see supplementary material S1 for the 153 correlation of both specialization indexes with population size).

154 Habitat specialization was chosen over other available specialization indices as it 155 gathers the greatest number of niche axes in one parameter and is consequently the most 156 integrative. Indeed, temperature and food availability depend on habitat, which makes habitat 157 specialization linked with thermic and food specialization (Barnagaud et al. 2012). Our 158 dataset of quantitative estimates of evolutionary potential (i.e. quantitative genetic 159 parameters) includes species that cover a range of 3.1 in SSI (from 2.3 to 5.4) and of 6.4 in 160 niche width (from 1.58 to 8), and the neutral diversity data (i.e. Hz) covers a range of 4.6 in 161 SSI (from 2.3 to 6.9) and of 10.6 in niche width (from 1 to 10.6), when the total range 162 available for SSI is 8, ranging from 1.8 to 9.9 and the total range for niche width is 13.54, 163 ranging from 1 to 14.54. The distributions of both specialization index for each of our datasets 164 can be found in supplementary figure S2.

#### 166 **Population size**

Information on local population size was not available so the population size data we used
was extracted from the BirdLife International website in May 2014 (*http://www.birdlife.org/*).
Population sizes correspond to the total number of individuals in the world according to the
censuses published by BirdLife (2005, 2008).

171

### 172 Quantitative data: heritability and coefficient of additive genetic variance

173 We chose the bird taxon because it gathers the greatest number of quantitative estimators 174 across all taxa (Postma, 2014). In order to gather estimates of evolutionary potential (h<sup>2</sup> and  $CV_A$ ) in bird populations, we performed a search in the Web Of Knowledge research engine. 175 176 We used the key-words: Topic= (« bird\* ») AND (« heritabil\* » OR « genetic\* estimate\* ») 177 and the search was performed in February 2013. When studies aimed at quantifying the 178 response of quantitative parameters to different environmental conditions (e.g. food quality), 179 only parameters estimated under natural conditions were used. These references were further 180 checked against the list gathered by Postma (2014), in order to maximize the number of 181 references providing estimates of heritability and coefficients of additive genetic variance. h<sup>2</sup> 182 and  $CV_{AS}$  can be estimated with two different methods: the parent offspring regression and 183 the animal model. The former is the earliest method and has been used since the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. It estimates heritability by the phenotypic correlation between parents and 184 185 offspring (Lynch and Walsh 1998). The latter, the animal model, has been applied in ecology 186 for merely more than a decade. The animal model is a mixed model using information about 187 relatedness among individuals to infer the part of phenotypic variance due to additive genetic 188 variance (Henderson 1973, Kruuk 2004). Because parent offspring regression may 189 overestimate heritability (shared environments increase the resemblance between parents and 190 offspring), the method used to estimate quantitative parameters was specified in our dataset 191 and subsequent analyses.

192 Only life-history and morphological traits (See supplementary material, table S3 for 193 trait classification) were kept, as for behavioural traits the type of traits were extremely 194 heterogeneous and for physiological traits, data were available for only four traits from two 195 studies. We considered here that pooling heritabilities or CVAs from different traits measured 196 in a population is relevant, as we were not looking for an accurate estimate of evolutionary 197 potential, but for an estimate of the general level of additive genetic variance in the 198 population. Both h<sup>2</sup> and CV<sub>A</sub> represent standardised measures of additive genetic variance, 199 respectively to the total phenotypic variance and to the mean.

200

#### 201 Genetic diversity

202 We used the heterozygosity (Hz) data published by Evans and Sheldon (2008). They collected 203 Hz values measured at microsatellite loci for wild bird species in the literature and used 204 expected Hz because it is less sensitive to sample size (Nei 1978) and the occurrence of null 205 alleles (Callen et al. 1993). When multiple studies were available for one species, Evans & 206 Sheldon (2008) kept the study with the largest sample size and the highest number of 207 microsatellites loci used to estimate Hz. Thus, while the quantitative genetics estimates are 208 available for several populations in some species, the estimates for Hz are only available for 209 one population per species. We used the Hz values for the 54 species that matched our SSI 210 specialization index and for the 183 species in their dataset that matched our estimation of the 211 niche width ( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ).

212

#### 213 Phylogeny

To account for the non-independence of species, we used species relatedness extracted from phylogenetic trees. Phylogenetic trees were obtained from the website <u>www.birdtree.org</u> that provides subsets of the first complete bird phylogeny (Jetz et al. 2012). Each model was run with 100 alternative trees.

#### 219 Statistical analyses

220 To assess the effect of habitat specialization on evolutionary potential ( $h^2$ ,  $CV_A$ ) or neutral 221 genetic diversity (Hz) we used linear mixed models. Ideally, models should include as fixed 222 effects population size (log-transformed) and an estimator of habitat specialization (SSI or 223 niche width  $\Theta$ ). However, for h<sup>2</sup> and CV<sub>A</sub>, population size was only available for few species, 224 resulting in a too small sample size to run our models. We thus included population size in 225 models considering Hz but not in models considering h<sup>2</sup> and CV<sub>A</sub>. In terms of random 226 variables, a phylogeny effect was included in all models to account for species non-227 independence.

Because of a more complex data structure, analyses of  $h^2$  and  $CV_A$  included several additional fixed and random effects compared to models run for heterozygosity. First, we expected systematic differences between certain types of traits (morphological or life history traits, Postma, 2014). Consequently, we added "Type of trait" as a fixed effect in models with two levels, "morphological" and "life-history". Similarly, as the method used to estimate heritabilities (animal model or parent-offspring regression) entails a systematic difference, we added an "Estimation method" fixed effect in models investigating heritability.

235 Second, h<sup>2</sup> and CV<sub>A</sub> estimates are trait and population specific because they are based 236 on observations of some characteristics of individuals sampled from a given population. 237 Therefore it was necessary to include in the models two additional random effects: "population identity" and "trait", with a nested structure. "Trait" was nested within 238 239 "population identity" and "population identity" within "phylogeny". The MCMCglmm R 240 package (Hadfield 2013) does not model nested effects, but the variables were designed in 241 order to account for this nested structure: each species/population/trait combination was given 242 a unique ID allowing to take into account each level in a meaningful way (phylogeny (species), population within species, trait within population within species). Some species
(e.g. *Fulica atra*) were represented by one estimator for only one population when others (e.g. *Passer domesticus*) were represented by multiple populations, and multiple traits for each
population. The random effects "population identity" and "trait" allow taking this lack of
balance into account.

248 Finally, the standard error associated with heritability estimates was included as a 249 random effect to account for measurement error. Unfortunately, this was not possible with 250 CV<sub>A</sub>, due to the paucity of errors reported, nor with heterozygosity for which standard errors were not available. The random effects were assumed to follow normal distributions with a 251 mean of 0 and a variance equal to  $\sigma_a^2 A$  for the phylogeny (where  $\sigma_a^2$  is the phylogenetic 252 variance and **A** is the phylogenetic relatedness matrix), a variance equal to  $\sigma_m^2 M$  for the error 253 effect (where  $\sigma_m^2$  is the measurement error variance and **M** is a diagonal matrix containing the 254 square standard error of the published estimates; we fixed the variance  $\sigma_m^2$  to 1 as the sampling 255 variances are known, see Hadfield & Nakagawa 2009 for details) and variances equal to  $\sigma^2 I$ 256 for the remaining effects (where  $\sigma^2$  represents the population variance within species, the trait 257 258 variance within population within species or the residual variance; **I** is the identity matrix).

These models were run using Bayesian methods as implemented in the MCMCglmm R package. 1 000 000 iterations of each model were run. The first 50 000 iterations were used to initiate the chain, not to estimate parameters, and the thinning interval was set to 10. The amount of iterations was considered sufficient as autocorrelations were low (<0.06) for fixed and random effects.

An inverse-gamma prior for random effects was used (V = 1 and nu = 0.002), in order to minimise the effect of prior information on posterior distribution. To assess prior sensitivity, the same models were run using a parameter expanded prior (V = 1, nu = 1, alpha.mu = 0, alpha.V = 10000) and a weakly informative prior (V =  $\sigma/4$ , n = 1 where  $\sigma$  is the total variance of the dependent variable). Using different priors did not affect the results (Supplementary Table S5 and S6).

To account for phylogeny uncertainty, each model was run with each of the 100 alternative trees provided by Jetz et al. (2012), and the estimates presented in the result section represent the average over the 100 models.

## 273 **Results**

### 274 Available data for quantitative genetics estimates

For the analysis using the SSI index, 520 estimates of  $h^2$  and 150 estimates of  $CV_A$  from respectively 64 and 13 studies were gathered, with an average of 3.52 traits per study for  $h^2$ and 6.62 per studies for  $CV_A$ . Variance among studies in number of estimates is large, ranging from 1 to 48 for  $h^2$  and from 1 to 14 for  $CV_A$ . The number of estimates calculated from parent offspring regression is 363 for  $h^2$  against 157 from "animal model" and 39 for  $CV_A$  against 111 from "animal model". Only life-history and morphological traits were kept, with respectively 132 and 388 estimates for  $h^2$ , and 43 and 107 for  $CV_A$ .

282 For the analysis using the niche width measurement ( $\theta$ ), a total of 923 h<sup>2</sup> and 190 CV<sub>A</sub> 283 estimates from respectively 64 and 20 studies were gathered, with an average of 2.6 traits per 284 study for h<sup>2</sup> and 5.7 per study for CV<sub>A</sub>. Variance among studies in the number of estimates 285 was large, ranging from 1 to 48 for h<sup>2</sup> and from 1 to 14 for CV<sub>A</sub>. 707 h<sup>2</sup> estimates came from 286 parent-offspring regressions and 216 from "animal models". For CVA, 52 were estimated 287 from parent offspring regression and 139 from "animal models". Only life-history and 288 morphological traits were kept in the analyses (due to the low number of physiological and 289 behavioural traits), with respectively 176 and 747 estimates for h<sup>2</sup>, and 61 and 129 for CV<sub>A</sub>.

### 291 Effect of specialization on additive genetic variance (h<sup>2</sup>, CVA)

Heritability was not predicted by specialization, whether considering the SSI (Table 1, posterior mean = 0.054, 95% CI [-0.022, 0.14], pMCMC = 0.13, Fig 2a) or the niche width ( $\theta$ , Table 2, posterior mean = -0.018 95% CI [-0.057, 0.023], pMCMC = 0.36, Fig 3a) as indices of habitat specialization. Morphological traits had higher heritability than life-history traits in both data sets (Table1 & Table 2), and estimates from the animal model were lower than estimates from parent-offspring regressions for the SSI data (Table1).

Similarly,  $CV_A$  was not predicted by either the SSI (posterior mean = 0.66, 95% CI [-1.3, 2.7], pMCMC = 0.48, Fig 2b) or the niche width ( $\theta$ ) (Table2, posterior mean = -0.26, 95% CI [-1.9, 1.5], pMCMC = 0.71, Fig 3b).

301

### 302 Effect of specialization and population size on genetic diversity (Hz)

303 Heterozygosity was not predicted by either the SSI (Table 3, posterior mean=0.014, 95% CI [-304 0.025, 0.053], pMCMC = 0.48) or the niche width (Table 3, posterior mean=0.051, 95% CI [-305 0.021, 0.12], pMCMC = 0.16), but population size did have a significant effect on 306 heterozygosity (Table 3, posterior mean=0.044, 95% CI [0.023, 0.064], P <0.001, and 307 posterior mean=0.036, 95% CI [0.020, 0.054], P <0.001). Species with larger global 308 population sizes had higher levels of heterozygosity. Because niche width and population size 309 are positively correlated (Pearson's product-moment correlation=0.25, df=88, P=0.020), an 310 effect of niche width on heterozygosity appears when population size is not part of the model 311 (posterior mean=0.071, 95% CI [0.022, 0.12], pMCMC =0.0045). The SSI however was not 312 correlated to population size (Pearson's product-moment correlation=-0.27, df=35, P=0.10)

### 313 **Discussion**

The ability of wild populations to persist in a changing environment depends largely on their evolutionary potential (Hoffmann and Sgrò 2011, Vander Wal et al. 2013). We expected a 316 higher evolutionary potential in generalists as compared to specialists, based on the different 317 selection pressures their ecology suggests they are exposed to. In contrast with this, across 36 318 wild bird populations and using two different specialization indexes, we found no significant 319 effect of specialization on evolutionary potential -as estimated by quantitative genetics 320 estimates, h<sup>2</sup> and CV<sub>A</sub>. Specialization did not correlate with genetic diversity measured by Hz 321 either, but we found a significant positive relationship between population size and 322 heterozygosity. In turn, population size correlates positively with niche width (but not with 323 the SSI index), indicating that species with larger niches (generalists) also tend to exhibit 324 more genetic diversity, as a consequence of their larger population sizes. The fact that 325 populations of generalist species are larger than populations of specialist species can be 326 explained by several factors, such as larger available habitat patches, better connectivity 327 between patches, or higher dispersal rates (Kattan 1992, Stireman 2005). In turn, higher 328 genetic diversity in larger populations is in line with theoretical and empirical expectations 329 (Soulé 1976, Frankham 1996). Our results thus suggest that the effect of specialization on 330 genetic diversity results from demographic processes rather than evolutionary processes such 331 as long-term differences in selection pressures. Indeed, we found that specialization had an 332 effect on heterozygosity through population size, but not on quantitative genetic estimates: 333 neutral markers are tightly linked to demography, migration, drift and mutation while 334 selection is expected to shape variance of quantitative traits (Sgrò et al. 2011).

The prediction that specialists should have in general lower evolutionary potential than generalists due to stronger and more consistent selection pressures was not confirmed by this study. So far, the empirical support for this hypothesis was limited, to our knowledge, to two local scale study in insects (Hagele & Rowell-Rahier 2000 and Kelley et al. 2000) and to experimental evolution in bacteria, as reviewed by Kassen (2002). Several reasons (outlined below) may explain the absence of pattern, but in any case, the generality of this result willneed to be confirmed (or infirmed) by more empirical studies from wild populations.

342 First, we know little about the origin of generalism. For example, if a species niche is 343 fully covered by specialized populations, each population exploiting a part of the niche only, 344 the species will be described as generalist. In this case, high genetic diversity is expected 345 among populations, but not necessarily within populations, making the pattern undetectable 346 when genetic parameters are sampled at the population level (as it is the case for  $h^2$  and  $CV_A$ ). 347 This particular genetic structure may have evolved under large spatial scale environmental 348 variation. The ability of populations to respond to new selection pressures may then depend 349 on the amount of gene flow among populations. To provide further insights in this direction, 350 an informative index would be the F<sub>ST</sub>, which compares within population genetic variation to 351 among-population genetic variation, a core question in our investigation. Unfortunately, 352 estimating F<sub>ST</sub> requires heterozygosity measures in multiple populations for each studied 353 species, which would be available only after implementing new field studies.

354 A second major issue is the definition of "evolutionary potential". Because it was the 355 most readily provided estimate of additive genetic variance in natural populations and because 356 of its central role in the breeder's equation, we used heritability. However, because it is a ratio 357 and because of its univariate definition, heritability can be a misleading estimate of 358 evolutionary potential. Heritability is a ratio of variances and as such its direction of variation 359 can be interpreted in multiple ways, i.e. higher heritability can be the result of either higher 360 additive genetic variance or lower environmental variance. This is of fundamental importance 361 here since environmental variance could be larger for generalist species dealing with more 362 heterogeneous environments than specialist species, hence masking any potential increase in 363 additive genetic variance. A solution around this issue is the use of coefficients of variation of 364 additive genetic variance rather than heritability (Houle 1992, Hansen et al. 2003, 2011,

Hansen and Houle 2008). Unfortunately, CV<sub>A</sub> was reported for only 15 species, and often 365 366 published without associated standard errors. Moreover, 45% of those estimators are likely to 367 be biased (Garcia-Gonzalez et al. 2012). It was thus not possible to reliably assess the effect 368 of specialisation on CV<sub>A</sub> but this is an avenue worthwhile pursuing in the future. Another 369 aspect through which heritability may not be a suitable estimate of evolutionary potential is its 370 univariate and trait specific nature as it may not reflect overall evolvability and constraints 371 (e.g. genetic correlations, Sih et al. 2004). Multivariate approaches are therefore be needed to 372 bring further light on these issues (Walsh and Blows 2009, Hansen et al. 2011, Teplitsky et al. 373 2014).

374 Third, on a more functional note, the absence of patterns for quantitative genetic 375 estimates in our study may also be explained by a lack of knowledge about the functionality 376 of the traits. Indeed, in the study of Hagele & Rowell-Rahier (2000), growth rate heritability was related to a diet specialization index. As a selection pressure resulting from food 377 378 availability is expected to directly impact growth rate, the niche parameter used to assess 379 specialization index was thus tightly linked to the trait for which evolutionary potential was 380 measured. In this way, the effect of selection pressure is more likely to be detected when 381 measuring heritability. However, this would not mean overall lower evolutionary potential in 382 specialists, but only for a subset of traits closely associated to the specialization parameter. In 383 our case, with the dataset obtained from the literature, such a clear functional link between 384 traits and habitat specialization is not available: we chose a integrative specialization 385 parameters and investigated the additive genetic variance for all available traits together. 386 However, a population can be submitted to strong selection pressures, for instance on wing 387 size (Hall et al. 2004) or beak shape (Grant, B., Grant 2003), that would reduce genetic 388 variance for these traits, a pattern that would not be detected in our analyses because we 389 pooled genetic variance estimates irrespectively of the traits' function. In the case of reduced 390 overall evolutionary potential, we would expect a decreased genetic variance in traits closely 391 associated to fitness. We thus tried to restrict the data to heritability estimates related to 392 fitness traits (e.g. clutch size, breeding success) but the sample size was drastically reduced in 393 this case (90 heritabilities for 24 species), which didn't allow us to estimate effects properly.

394 In conclusion, our study indicates that there is no detectable effect of habitat 395 specialization on evolutionary potential in birds, at least when considering estimates of 396 additive genetic variance currently available in the literature. However, this does not mean 397 that specialist and generalist species stand the same chances when facing environmental 398 changes: our study suggests that species with narrower habitat niches have smaller 399 populations with reduced levels of neutral genetic diversity, which indicate increased 400 extinction risks (Evans and Sheldon 2008). In the close future, new estimates of additive 401 genetic variance for wild populations may be available, such as multivariate ones, allowing 402 for further exploration of the relationship between specialization and evolutionary potential.

## 405 Acknowledgements

406 This project was funded by the French ANR (ANR-12-ADAP-0006). We also thank two
407 anonymous reviewers and Darren Irwin for comments that greatly improved the clarity of our
408 manuscript.

## 409 **Supporting information**

- 410 S1 Correlation between both specialization indexes and population size.
- 411 S2 Specialization indexes distributions
- 412 S3 Trait Classification
- 413 S4 Phylogenetic trees
- 414 S5 Results with weakly informative priors
- 415 S6 Results with parameter expanded priors
- 416 S7 References for heritability
- 417 S8 References for CV<sub>A</sub>s
- 418 S9 List of species taken from Evans &Sheldon (2007)
- 419 S10 Visualization for heritability data
- 420

## 421 **References**

- 422 Barnagaud, J. Y., Devictor, V., Jiguet, F., Barbet-Massin, M., Viol, I. and Archaux, F. 2012.
- 423 Relating habitat and climatic niches in birds. PLoS One 7: e32819.
- 424 Bazzaz, F. A. 1991. Habitat Selection in Plants. Am. Nat. 137: S116–S130.
- 425 BirdLife, F. 2005. Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Br.
- 426 Birds 98: 269–271.
- 427 Callen, D. F., Thompson, a D., Shen, Y., Phillips, H. a, Richards, R. I., Mulley, J. C. and

- Sutherland, G. R. 1993. Incidence and origin of "null" alleles in the (AC)n microsatellite
  markers. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 52: 922–927.
- 430 Clavel, J., Julliard, R. and Devictor, V. 2011. Worldwide decline of specialist species: Toward
  431 a global functional homogenization? Front. Ecol. Environ. 9: 222–228.
- 432 Colwell, R. and Futuyma, D. 1971. On the measurement of niche breadth and overlap.pdf. 433 Ecology 52: 567–576.
- 434 Davey, C., Devictor, V. and Jonzen, N. 2013. Impact of climate change on communities:
  435 revealing species' contribution. J. Anim. Ecol. 82: 551–561.
- 436 Devictor, V., Julliard, R., Couvet, D. and Jiguet, F. 2008a. Birds are tracking climate
  437 warming, but not fast enough. Proc. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci. 275: 2743–2748.
- 438 Devictor, V., Julliard, R., Clavel, J., Jiguet, F., Lee, a and Couvet, D. 2008b. Functional
- 439 biotic homogenization of bird communities in disturbed landscapes. Glob. Ecol.
  440 Biogeogr. 17: 252–261.
- 441 Ducatez, S. D., Ingley, R. T. and SHine, R. 2014. Using species co-occurrence patterns to
  442 quantify relative habitat breadth in terrestrial vertebrates. Ecosphere 5: 1–12.
- Evans, S. R. and Sheldon, B. C. 2008. Interspecific patterns of genetic diversity in birds:
  correlations with extinction risk. Conserv. Biol. 22: 1016–25.
- Frankham, R. 1996. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. Conserv.
  Biol. 10: 1500–1508.
- 447 Frankham, R., Ballou, J. D. and Briscoe, D. A. 2002. Introduction to Conservation Genetics.
- 448 Fridley, J. D., Vandermast, D. B., Kuppinger, D. M., Manthey, M. and Peet, R. K. 2007. Co-
- occurrence based assessment of habitat generalists and specialists: A new approach for
  the measurement of niche width. J. Ecol. 95: 707–722.
- 451 Garcia-Gonzalez, F., Simmons, L. W., Tomkins, J. L., Kotiaho, J. S. and Evans, J. P. 2012.
- 452 Comparing evolvabilities: Common errors surrounding the calculation and use of

- 453 coefficients of additive genetic variation. Evolution (N. Y). 66: 2341–2349.
- Grant, B., Grant, P. 2003. What Darwin's Finches can Teach Us about the Evolutionary
  Origin and Regualtion of Biodiversity. Bioscience 53: 965–975.
- 456 Hadfield, A. J. 2013. Package "MCMCglmm ." in press.
- Hägele, B. F. and Rowell-Rahier, M. 2000. Choice, performance and heritability of
  performance of specialist and generalist insect herbivores towards cacalol and
  seneciphylline, two allelochemicals of Adenostyles alpina (Asteraceae). J. Evol. Biol.
  13: 131–142.
- Hall, K. S. S., Ryttman, H., Fransson, T. and Stolt, B. O. 2004. Stabilising selection on wing
  length in reed warblers Acrocephalus scirpaceus. J. Avian Biol. 35: 7–12.
- Hansen, T. F. and Houle, D. 2008. Measuring and comparing evolvability and constraint in
  multivariate characters. J. Evol. Biol. 21: 1201–19.
- Hansen, T. F., Armbruster, W., Carlson, M. and Pelabon, C. 2003. Evolvability and genetic
  constraints in Dalechampia Blossoms: genetic correlations and conditional evolvability. J. Evol. Biol. 16: 754–766.
- 468 Hansen, T. F., Pélabon, C. and Houle, D. 2011. Heritability is not Evolvability. Evol. Biol.
  469 38: 258–277.
- 470 Henderson, C. R. 1973. Sire evaluation and genetic trends. Proc. Anim. Breed. Genet. Symp.
  471 Honour J.L. Lush.: 10–41.
- 472 Hoffmann, A. and Sgrò, C. 2011. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. Nature 470:
  473 479–485.
- 474 Houle, D. 1992. Comparing evolvability and variability of quantitative traits. Genetics 130:
  475 195–204.
- 476 International, B. 2008. State of the World's Birds indicators for our changing world. 477 Database

- Jetz, W., Thomas, G. H., Joy, J. B., Hartmann, K. and Mooers, a O. 2012. The global
  diversity of birds in space and time. Nature 491: 444–8.
- Julliard, R., Jiguet, F. and Couvet, D. 2004. Common birds facing global changes: What
  makes a species at risk? Glob. Chang. Biol. 10: 148–154.
- Julliard, R., Clavel, J., Devictor, V., Jiguet, F. and Couvet, D. 2006. Spatial segregation of
  specialists and generalists in bird communities. Ecol. Lett. 9: 1237–44.
- 484 Kassen, R. 2002. The experimental evolution of specialists, generalists, and the maintenance
  485 of diversity. J. Evol. Biol. 15: 173–190.
- 486 Kattan, G. H. 1992. Rarity and vulnerability: the birds of the cordilheira central of Colombia.
- 487 Conserv. Biol. 6: 64–70.
- Keightley, P. D. and Hill, W. G. 1988. Quantitative genetic variability maintained by
  mutation-stabilizing selection balance in finite populations. Genet. Res. 54: 33–43.
- Kelley, S. T., Farrell, B. D. and Mitton, J. B. 2000. Effects of specialization on genetic
  differentiation in sister species of bark beetles. Heredity 84: 218 D227. Heredity
  (Edinb). in press.
- Kruuk, L. 2004. Estimating genetic parameters in natural populations using the "animal model." Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci. 359: 873–890.
- 495 Lande, R. 1979. Quantitative Genetic Analysis of Multivariate Evolution, Applied to Brain:
  496 Body Size Allometry. Genet. Anal. 33: 402–416.
- 497 Lynch, M. and Lande, R. 1993. Evolution and extinction in response to envrionmental
  498 change. In: Biotic interactions and global change. pp. 234–250.
- 499 Lynch, M. and Walsh, B. 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits.
- Moran, N. A. 1992. The evolutionary maintenance of alternative phenotypes. Am. Nat. 139:
  971–989.
- 502 Nei, M. 1978. Estimation of Average Heterozygosity and genetice distance from a small

- 503 number of individuals. Genetics 89: 583–590.
- Palumbi, S. R. 2001. Evolution Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. Science (80-.). 293: 1786–1790.
- Poisot, T., Bever, J. D., Nemri, A., Thrall, P. H. and Hochberg, M. E. 2011. A conceptual
  framework for the evolution of ecological specialization. Ecol. Lett. 14: 841–851.
- 508 Postma, E. 2014. Four decades of estimating heritabilities in wild vertebrate populations:
- 509 Improved methods, more data, better estimates? In: Quantitative Genetics in the Wild.
  510 pp. 16–33.
- 511 Reed, D. H. and Frankham, R. 2003. Correlations between fitness and genetic diversity. 512 Conserv. Biol. 17: 230–237.
- Richmond, C., Breitburg, D. L. and Rose, K. A. 2005. The role of environmental generalist s
  ecies in ecosystem function. Ecol. Modell. 188: 279–295.
- Sgrò, C. M., Lowe, A. J. and Hoffmann, A. A. 2011. Building evolutionary resilience for
  conserving biodiversity under climate change: {Conserving} biodiversity under climate
  change. Evol. Appl. 4: 326–337.
- Shultz, S., Bradbury, R. and Evans, K. 2005. Brain size and resource specialization predict
  long-term population trends in British birds. Proc. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci. 272:
  2305–2311.
- 521 Sih, A., Bell, A. and Johnson, J. 2004. Behaviroal syndroms: an ecological and evolutionary
  522 overview. Trends Ecol. Evol. 19: 372–378.
- Soulé, M. 1976. Allozyme variation, its determinants in space and time. In: Ayala, F. (ed),
  Molecular evolution. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts., pp. 60–77.
- 525 Steffen, W., Persson, Å., Deutsch, L. and Zalasiewicz, J. 2011. The Anthropocene: From
  526 Global Change to Planetary Stewardship. Ambio 19: 142–151.
- 527 Stireman, J. O. 2005. The evolution of generalization? Parasitoid flies and the perils of

- 528 inferring host range evolution from phylogenies. J. Evol. Biol. 18: 325–336.
- 529 Svardal, H., Rueffler, C. and Doebeli, M. 2014. Organismal complexity and the potential for
  530 evolutionary diversification. Evolution (N. Y). 68: 3248–3259.
- 531 Teplitsky, C., Robinson, M. R. and Merilä, J. 2014. Evolutionary potential and constraints in
  532 wild populations. In: Quantitative genetics in the wild. pp. 190–208.
- Thompson, K., Hodgson, J. G. and Gaston, K. J. 1998. Abundance-range size relationships in
  the herbaceous flora of central England. J. Ecol. 86: 439–448.
- 535 Vander Wal, E., Garant, D., Festa-Bianchet, M. and Pelletier, F. 2013. Evolutionary rescue in
- vertebrates: evidence, applications and uncertainty. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.
  Biol. Sci. 368: 20120090.
- Viol, I. Le, Jiguet, F. and Brotons, L. 2012. More and more generalists: two decades of
  changes in the European avifauna. Biol. Lett. 8: 780–782.
- 540 Walsh, B. and Blows, M. W. 2009. Abundant Genetic Variation + Strong Selection =
- 541 Multivariate Genetic Constraints: A Geometric View of Adaptation. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
  542 Evol. Syst. 40: 41–59.
- 543 Whitlock, M. C. and Barton, N. H. 1997. The effective size of subdivided population. 544 Genetics 146: 427–441.
- 545

- 548
- 549
- 550
- 551

Table 1. Effect of Species Specialization Index (SSI) on two quantitative estimates of evolutionary potential: heritability and coefficient of variation of additive genetic variance ( $CV_A$ ).. Estimates of effects are given by posterior means (Post. Mean) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%). pMCMC values are also given for fixed effects. For the effect of type of trait, the reference level is 'life history' as compared to 'morphological'. For the effect of the estimation method, the reference level is 'animal model' as compared to 'parent offspring regression'.

| Ex    | xplanatory var.                     |            | Heritability   |            | $\mathbf{CV}_{\mathbf{A}}$ |             |           |  |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
|       | Effect                              | Post. mean | CI 95%         | Pc         | Post.mean                  | CI 95%      | Pc        |  |
|       | Intercept                           | 0.0299     | -0.261/0.281   | 0.866      | 9.81                       | 2.79/16.5   | 0.013**   |  |
|       | SSI                                 | 0.0535     | -0.0218/0.139  | 0.128      | 0.664                      | -1.31/2.67  | 0.479     |  |
| Fixed | Type of trait:<br>morphological     | 0.192      | 0.130/0.252    | < 0.001*** | -8.25                      | -11.3/-5.03 | <0.001*** |  |
|       | Estimation<br>method:<br>regression | 0.0452     | 0.00283/0.0871 | 0.036*     | -0.848                     | 3.71/1.96   | 0.549     |  |
|       | Phylogeny                           | 0.004      | 0.000/0.017    |            | 4.07                       | 0.000/18.7  |           |  |
| В     | Population                          | 0.002      | 0.000/0.013    |            | 0.677                      | 0.000/3.39  |           |  |
| ando  | Trait                               | 0.021      | 0.016/0.029    |            | 17.3                       | 8.25/30.7   |           |  |
| R     | Residual                            | 0.004      | 0.003/0.006    |            | 25.8                       | 19.0/36.0   |           |  |

Table2 Effect of species niche width Index ( $\theta$ ) on two estimates of genetic variance: heritability and coefficient of variation of additive genetic variance (CV<sub>A</sub>). Estimates of effects are given by posterior means (Post. Mean) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%). pMCMC values are also given for fixed effects. For the effect of type of trait, the reference level is 'life history' as compared to 'morphological'. For the effect of the estimation method, the reference level is 'animal model' as compared to 'parent offspring regression'.

|       | Explanatory var.                  |           | Heritability    |        | CVa       |               |        |  |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------|--|
|       | Effect                            | Post.mean | CI 95%          | Рс     | Post.mean | CI 95%        | Рс     |  |
|       | Intercept                         | 0.34      | 0.086 / 0.59    | 0.011  | 12        | 4 / 20        | 0.012  |  |
|       | θ                                 | -0.018    | -0.057 / 0.023  | 0.36   | -0.26     | -1.9 / 1.5    | 0.71   |  |
| Fixed | Type of trait :<br>Morphological  | 0.21      | 0.17 / 0.25     | <0.001 | -7.0      | -10 / -4.0    | <0.001 |  |
|       | Estimation method :<br>regression | -0.00097  | -0.045 / 0.044  | 0.97   | -1.5      | -5.4 / 2.2    | 0.44   |  |
|       | Phylogeny                         | 0.040     | 0.012 / 0.074   |        | 3.8       | 0.00013 / 19  |        |  |
| om    | Population                        | 0.0060    | 0.0026 / 0.0096 |        | 1.7       | 0.00012 / 8.1 |        |  |
| Rand  | Trait                             | 0.0061    | 0.0020 / 0.011  |        | 4.8       | 0.00014 / 21  |        |  |
|       | Residual                          | 0.017     | 0.014 / 0.021   |        | 74        | 54 / 93       |        |  |

561

Table3. Effect of species niche width (θ) and Species Specialization Index (SSI) on heterozygosity (Hz). Estimates of effects are given by posterior means (Post.
 Mean) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CI 95%). pMCMC values are also given for fixed effects. The second model includes population size as a fixed effect.

|        | Explanatory var.    |           | Heterozygosity  |        | Response var. Heterozygosity |           |                 |        |
|--------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------|
|        | Effect              | Post.mean | CI 95%          | Pc     | Effect                       | Post.mean | CI 95%          | Pc     |
|        | Intercept           | 0.047     | -0.21 / 0.29    | 0.71   | Intercept                    | 0.058     | -0.28 / 0.39    | 0.72   |
| xed    | θ                   | 0.051     | -0.021 / 0.12   | 0.16   | SSI                          | 0.014     | -0.025 / 0.053  | 0.48   |
| ΕË     | Log Population size | 0.044     | 0.023 / 0.064   | <0.001 | Log Population size          | 0.036     | 0.020 / 0.054   | <0.001 |
| в      | Phylogeny           | 0.0047    | 0.00023 / 0.012 |        | Phylogeny                    | 0.010     | 0.00020 / 0.030 |        |
| Randoi | Residual            | 0.020     | 0.014 / 0.028   |        | Residual                     | 0.014     | 0.0044 / 0.024  |        |

570

- 571 Fig1 Distribution of coefficients of variation of genetic additive variance (CV<sub>A</sub>, a), heritabilities (b) and heterozygosity (c) along gradient of
- 572 habitat specialization (SSI).
- 573
- 574 Fig2 Distribution of coefficients of variation of genetic additive variance (CV<sub>A</sub>, a), heritabilities (b) and heterozygosity (c) along gradient of
- 575 habitat niche width ( $\theta$ ).



Fig 1 Distribution of coefficient of additive genetic variance (a), heritability (b), and heterozygosity (c) along a habitat specialization gradient. Each SSi value represents a species which name appears below



Fig 2 Distribution of coefficient of additive genetic variance (a), heritability (b), and heterozygosity (c) along a habitat niche width gradient. Each  $\Theta$  value represents a species.





# S2. Distribution of specialization indexes, SSI and niche width, for available data.



Table S3. List of traits for heritability and coefficient of additive genetic variance data sorted in "life-history" and "morphology" trait categories.

|                                                        | Life-history (h²)                    |                                                |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| actual egg weight                                      | female first-year recruits           | mean chroma (egg colour trait)                 |
| actual egg weight adjusted for annual variation        | female life span                     | mean egg size                                  |
| adult survival probability                             | female lifetime fledging success     | mean egg weight                                |
| age at first reproduction                              | female lifetime reproductive success | mean lightness (egg colour trait)              |
| age at last reproduction                               | first egg volume                     | median egg size                                |
| anual productivity                                     | hatch date                           | nr of eggs fledged                             |
| arrival date                                           | hatch weight                         | nr of eggs hatched                             |
| breeding time                                          | laying date                          | number of fledglings                           |
| calendar hatching date                                 | laying date elevation                | number of nesting attempts per year            |
| clutch size                                            | laying date plasticity               | relative hatching date                         |
| clutch size adjusted for annual variation              | life span                            | SD chroma (egg colour trait)                   |
| delay before breeding                                  | lifetime fledgling production        | SD lightness (egg colour trait)                |
| egg breadth                                            | lifetime reproductive success        | settling date                                  |
| egg length                                             | lifetime reproductive success        | sex ratio at 6 days old                        |
| egg mass                                               | log10-transformed recruiting age     | sex ratio at independence                      |
| egg shape                                              | longevity                            | standardized laying date                       |
| egg size                                               | male annual fitness                  | start of wing moult                            |
| egg volume                                             | male extra-pair reproductive success | time of laying                                 |
| elevation of the laying date-temperature reaction norm | male first-year fledging success     | total number of eggs laid per year             |
| estimated egg weight                                   | male first-year recruits             | total number of young raised to 30 days of age |
| estimated egg weight adjusted for annual variation     | male life span                       | total number of young raised to 6 days of age  |
| femal annual fitness                                   | male lifetime fledging success       | · ·                                            |
| female annual fitness                                  | male lifetime reproductive success   | •                                              |
| female extra-pair paternity rate                       | max chroma (egg colour trait)        | •                                              |
| female first-year fledging success                     | max lightness (egg colour trait)     |                                                |

|                                       | Morphology (h <sup>2</sup> )             |                                       |                                                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                                       |                                          |                                       |                                                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Backcolour                            | culmen depth                             | head-length poor condition            | PC2-bill (excl. Extra pair youngs)                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| badge size                            | culmen length                            | height of white patch                 | PC2-body                                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill depth                            | culmen width                             | male bill depth                       | PC2-body (excl. Extra pair youngs)                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill depth (excl. Extra pair youngs)  | Drostian colour                          | male bill length                      | skull length                                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill length                           | fat breeding season                      | male body condition index             | tail length                                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill length (excl. Extra pair youngs) | fat winter                               | male body mass                        | tail white                                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill length . fall-spring             | feather growth bar width                 | male nestling mass                    | tarsus length                                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill length . summer                  | female bill depth                        | male tarsus length                    | tarsus length (excl. Extra pair youngs)                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill length at 2 days                 | female bill length                       | male wing length                      | tarsus length . fall-spring                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill width                            | female body condition index              | mate's forehead patch size            | tarsus length . summer                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill width (at a depth of 4mm)        | female body mass                         | muscle breeding season                | tarsus length at day 10                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill width (excl. Extra pair youngs)  | female nestling mass                     | muscle insertion length left          | tarsus length at day 5                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill width . fall-spring              | female tarsus length                     | muscle insertion length right         | total badge size (black throat badge;<br>sexual ornament) |  |  |  |  |  |
| bill width . summer                   | female wing length                       | muscle winter                         | UV/bluevsGreen/Red                                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| body condition index                  | first primary length                     | nestling mass                         | UVvsBlue                                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| body mass                             | flattened wing chord                     | nestling tarsus length                | visible badge size                                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| body mass . fall-spring               | forehead patch size                      | offspring condition                   | weight                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| body mass . summer                    | forehead patch size old                  | outer tail length                     | Weight (excl. Extra pair youngs)                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| body mass at day 10                   | forehead patch size young                | patch heigth                          | width of white patch                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| body mass at day 5                    | forhead patch size of a female's<br>mate | patch width                           | Wing                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brownness scores                      | GreenvsRed                               | PC1-bill                              | Wing (excl. Extra pair youngs)                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capcolour                             | head length                              | PC1-bill (excl. Extra pair<br>youngs) | wing length                                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| central tail length                   | head size                                | PC1-body                              | wing patch size old                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chestcolour                           | head-length good condition               | PC1-body (excl. Extra pair<br>youngs) | wing patch size young                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| chick condition                       | head-length normal condition             | PC2-bill                              | wing projection                                           |  |  |  |  |  |

|                                                   | Life-history (C <sub>va</sub> )                    |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| age at first reproduction                         | egg laying date standardized spring temperature 1  | lifetime reproductive success        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| age at last reproduction                          | egg laying date standardized spring temperature -1 | lifetime reproductive success        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| annual fitness                                    | egg mass                                           | log10-transformed recruiting age     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| annual reproductive success                       | female fitness                                     | longevity                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| breeding time                                     | female mate choice                                 | male extra-pair reproductive success |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clutch size                                       | first egg volume                                   | male fitness                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clutch size standardized spring temperature 0     | first-year fledging success                        | mean egg weight                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clutch size standardized spring temperature 1     | first-year recruits                                | nr of eggs fledged                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clutch size standardized spring temperature -1    | laying date                                        | nr of eggs hatched                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| clutch size standardized spring temperature NA    | life span                                          | nr of seasons bred                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| egg laying date                                   | lifetime fledging success                          |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| egg laying date standardized spring temperature 0 | lifetime fledgling production                      |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| м                        | orphology (C <sub>Va</sub> )      |                                                        |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| adult body mass          | forehead patch size               | SD lightness (egg colour trait)                        |
| adult tarsus length      | head-length good condition        | skull length                                           |
| bill depth               | head-length normal condition      | tail<br>length                                         |
| bill length              | head-length poor condition        | tarsus length                                          |
| bill width               | max chroma (egg colour trait)     | total badge size (black throat badge; sexual ornament) |
| body mass                | max lightness (egg colour trait)  | visible badge size                                     |
| culmen depth             | mean chroma (egg colour trait)    | weight                                                 |
| culmen length            | mean lightness (egg colour trait) | wing length                                            |
| culmen width             | nestling mass                     | wing patch size                                        |
| feather growth bar width | patch heigth                      | wing projection                                        |
| first primary length     | patch width                       |                                                        |
| fledgling mass           | SD chroma (egg colour trait)      |                                                        |







S4.b Phylogenetic tree for coefficient of additive genetic variance data

594 Table S3 Estimators (Post.mean), confidence intervals (CI) and critical probabilities (Pc) for the fixed and random effects of the three mixed

595 models corresponding to each estimator of evolutionary potential: heritability, CVa and Heterozygosity. For weakly informative priors.

|        | Response var.   |           | Heritability |                      |           | CVa          |                              |           | Heterozygosity |                      |
|--------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
|        | Effect          | Post.mean | CI 95%       | рМСМС                | Post.mean | CI 95%       | Pc                           | Post.mean | CI 95%         | рМСМС                |
| Fixed  | Intercept       | 0.050     | -0.210/0.309 | 0.680                | 9.21      | -1.63/20.1   | 0.088**                      | 0.657     | 0.419/0.887    | <10 <sup>-5***</sup> |
|        | SSI             | 0.046     | -0.023/0.117 | 0.139                | 0.740     | -2.24/3.82   | 0.607                        | -0.003    | -0.047/0.041   | 0.158                |
|        | Type of Trait : | 0.109     | 0 140/0 256  | <10 <sup>-5***</sup> | רב ר      | 11 28/ 4 24  | <b>2</b> 10 <sup>-5***</sup> |           |                |                      |
|        | morphologique   | 0.198     | 0.140/0.230  | <10                  | -/.//     | -11.28/-4.24 | 2.10                         |           |                |                      |
|        | Calcul method : | 0.046     | 0 005/0 088  | 0.030*               | 1.40      | 1 26/1 61    | 0 255                        |           |                |                      |
|        | regression      | 0.040     | 0.005/0.088  | 0.050                | -1.40     | -4.30/1.01   | 0.333                        |           |                |                      |
|        | Phylogeny       | 0.008     | 0.001/0.021  |                      | 13.7      | 1.01/39.7    |                              | 0.025     | 0.000/0.072    |                      |
| Random | Population      | 0.007     | 0.001/0.014  |                      | 5.75      | 1.02/13.53   |                              |           |                |                      |
|        | Trait           | 0.021     | 0.015/0.027  |                      | 18.74     | 8.18/30.12   |                              |           |                |                      |
|        | Residual        | 0.004     | 0.003/0.006  |                      | 27.19     | 19.1/36.2    |                              | 0.016     | 0.015/0.031    |                      |
|        |                 |           |              |                      |           |              |                              |           |                |                      |

596

597

|       | Response var.   |           | Heritability |           |           | CVa         |          |           | Heterozygosity |                      |
|-------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------------|
|       | Effect          | Post.mean | CI 95%       | рМСМС     | Post.mean | CI 95%      | Pc       | Post.mean | CI 95%         | рМСМС                |
|       | Intercept       | 0.053     | -0.162/0.270 | 0.579     | 9.59      | -0.194/19.9 | 0.057.   | 0.695     | 0.411/0.980    | <10 <sup>-5***</sup> |
|       | SSI             | 0.044     | -0.018/0.103 | 0.136     | 0.656     | 2.05/3.37   | 0.583    | -0.012    | -0.078/0.052   | 0.704                |
|       | Type of trait : | 0 109     | 0 1 40/0 255 | -10-5***  | 7.02      | 11.2/ 4.40  | -10-5*** |           |                |                      |
| ixed  | morphological   | 0.198     | 0.142/0.255  | <10       | -7.93     | -11.2/-4.49 | <10      |           |                |                      |
| Ë     | Estimation      |           |              |           |           |             |          |           |                |                      |
|       | method :        | 0.048     | 0.007/0.090  | $0.022^*$ | 1.17      | -4.05/1.74  | 0.426    |           |                |                      |
|       | regression      |           |              |           |           |             |          |           |                |                      |
|       | Phylogeny       | 0.004     | 0.000/0.017  |           | 14.8      | 0.000/55.8  |          | 0.007     | 0.000/0.026    |                      |
| ш     | Population      | 0.005     | 0.000/0.013  |           | 2.03      | 0.000/7.81  |          |           |                |                      |
| Rando | Trait           | 0.022     | 0.015/0.028  |           | 19.6      | 9.03/31.6   |          |           |                |                      |
| , ,   | Résidual        | 0.004     | 0.002/0.006  |           | 26.6      | 18.8/35.3   |          | 0.052     | 0.030/0.077    |                      |
|       |                 |           |              |           |           |             |          |           |                |                      |

Table S4 Estimators (Post.mean), confidence intervals (CI) and critical probabilities (Pc) for the fixed and random effects of the three mixed

599 models corresponding to each estimator of evolutionary potential: heritability, CVa and Heterozygosity. For parameter expanded prior.

600

598

## 603 Fig S7. References for heritability data

- Akesson, M., Bensch, S., & Hasselquist, D. (2007). Genetic and phenotypic associations in
- 605 morphological traits : a long term study of great reed warblers Acrocephalus arundinaceus. Journal of 606 Avian Biology, 38, 58–72. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0908-8857.03669.x
- 607 Alatalo, R,Lundberg, A, (1989) Heritability and selection on tarsus length in the Pied Flycatcher
- 608 (Ficedula hypoleuca). Evolution, 40(3), 574-583.
- 609 Alatalo, R. V., Gustafsson, L., & Lundberg, A. (1990). Phenotypic Selection on Heritable Size Traits :
- 610 Environmental Variance and Genetic Response. The American Naturalist, 135(3), 464–471.
- 611 Barbraud . C. (2000). Natural selection on body size traits in a long-lived bird, the snow petrel
- 612 Pagodroma nivea. Journal of Evolutionary Biology (13). 81–88. Retrieved from
- 613 http://www.jstor.org/stable/2409649
- Björklund, M. (1997). Variation in growth in the blue tit (Parus caeruleus). Journal of Evolutionary
  Biology, 10, 139 155.
- Boag . P. T. (1983). The Heritability of External Morphology in Darwin's Ground Finches (Geospiza)
- 617 on Isla Daphne Major . Galapagos. Evolution . 37(5) . 877.
- Bonneaud, C., Sinsheimer, J. S., Richard, M., Chastel, O., & Sorci, G. (2009). Mhc polymorphisms
- 619 fail to explain the heritability of phytohaemagglutinin-induced skin swelling in a wild passerine.
- 620 Biology letters, 5(6), 784–7. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0435
- 621 Boulinier . T. . Sorci . G. . Monnat . J.-Y. . & Danchin . E. (1997). Parent–offspring regression
- suggests heritable susceptibility to ectoparasites in a natural population of kittiwake. Journal of
   Evolutionary Biology . 10(1) . 77. Retrieved from http://apps.webo
- Brommer, J. E., Merilä, J., Sheldon, B. C., & Gustafsson, L. (2005). Natural selection and genetic
- 625 variation for reproductive reaction norms in a wild bird population. Evolution; international journal of 626 organic evolution, 59(6), 1362–71.
- Brommer . J. E. . & Rattiste . K. (2008). "Hidden" reproductive conflict between mates in a wild bird
- 628 population. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution . 62(9) . 2326–33.
- 629 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00451.x
- 630 Caro, S. P., Charmantier, A., Lambrechts, M. M., Blondel, J., Balthazart, J., & Williams, T. D. (2009).
- Local adaptation of timing of reproduction: females are in the driver's seat. Functional Ecology, 23(1),
   172–179. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01486.x
- 633 Charmantier, A., & Réale, D. (2005). How do misassigned paternities affect the estimation of
- 634 heritability in the wild ? Molecular Ecology, 14, 2839–2850. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02619.x
- 635 Charmantier . A. . Keyser . A. J. . & Promislow . D. E. L. (2007). First evidence for heritable variation
- 636 in cooperative breeding behaviour. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society . 274(1619) .
- 637 1757–61. doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.0012
- 638 Charmantier, A., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Lambrechts, M. M. (2004). PARASITISM REDUCES THE
- 639 POTENTIAL FOR EVOLUTION IN A WILD BIRD POPULATION. Evolution, 58(1), 203–206.
- 640 Charmantier, A., Perrins, C., McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2006). Evolutionary response to
- selection on clutch size in a long-term study of the mute swan. The American naturalist, 167(3), 453–
  65. doi:10.1086/499378
- 643 Cuervo, J.J., Moller, A.P., De Lope, F., (2007), Haematocrit is weakly related to condition in nestling
  644 Barn Swallow Hirundo rustica, Ibis, 149, 128-134.
- 645 Dhondt, A. A. (1982). Heritability of Blue Tit Tarsus Length from Normal and Cross-Fostered
- 646 Broods. Evolution, 36(2), 418. doi:10.2307/2408064
- 647 Duckworth . R. a . & Kruuk . L. E. B. (2009). Evolution of genetic integration between dispersal and
- 648 colonization ability in a bird. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution . 63(4) . 968–77. 649 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00625 x
- 649 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00625.x
- 650 Findlay . C. S. . & Cooke . F. (1982). Breeding Synchrony in the Lesser Snow Goose (Anser
- 651 caerulescens caerulescens). I. Genetic and Environmental Components of Hatch Date Variability and
- their Effects on Hatch Synchrony. Evolution . 36(2) . 342. doi:10.2307/2
- Findlay . C. S. . & Cooke . F. (1983). Genetic and Environmental Components of Clutch Size
- Variance in a Wild Population of Lesser Snow Geese (Anser caerulescens caerulescens). Evolution .
- 655 37(4).724–734.

- 656 Flux . J. E. C. . & Flux . M. M. (1982). Artificial selection and gene flow in wild starlings . Sturnus 657 vulgaris. Naturwissenschaften . 69(2) . 96-97. doi:10.1007/BF00441236
- 658 Freeman-Gallant . C. . & Rothstein . M. (1999). Apparent heritability of parental care in Savannah
- 659 Sparrows. Auk . 116(4) . 1132-1136. Retrieved from http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full
- 660 record.do?product=UA&search mode=CitingArticles&gid=41&SID=N2kchoHKHEHI5ja
- Frentiu . F. D. . Clegg . S. M. . Blows . M. W. . & Owens . I. P. F. (2007). Large body size in an 661
- 662 island-dwelling bird: a microevolutionary analysis. Journal of evolutionary biology . 20(2) . 639-49.
- 663 doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2006.01242.x
- 664 Garant, D., Sheldon, B. C., & Gustafsson, L. (2004). CLIMATIC AND TEMPORAL EFFECTS ON
- 665 THE EXPRESSION OF SECONDARY SEXUAL CHARACTERS : GENETIC AND
- 666 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENTS. Evolution, 58(3), 634-644.
- 667 Garant, D., Hadfield, J. D., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Sheldon, B. C. (2008). Stability of genetic variance and
- 668 covariance for reproductive characters in the face of climate change in a wild bird population.
- 669 Molecular ecology, 17(1), 179-88. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2
- 670 Garant, D., Kruuk, L. E. B., McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2004). Evolution in a changing
- 671 environment: a case study with great tit fledging mass. The American naturalist, 164(5), E115–29. 672 doi:10.1086/424764
- 673 Garant, D., Kruuk, L. E. B., Wilkin, T. a, McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2005). Evolution driven
- 674 by differential dispersal within a wild bird population. Nature, 433(7021), 60-5.
- 675 doi:10.1038/nature03051
- 676 Gibbs . H. L. (1988). Heritability and Selection on Clutch Size in Darwin's Medium Ground Finches
- 677 (Geospiza fortis). Evolution . 42(4) . 750–762.
- 678 Gienapp, P., Postma, E., & Visser, M. E. (2006). WHY BREEDING TIME HAS NOT RESPONDED
- 679 TO SELECTION FOR EARLIER BREEDING IN A SONGBIRD POPULATION. Evolution, 60(11), 680 2381-2388.
- 681 Gosler, A. G., & Harper, D. G. C. (2000). Assessing the heritability of body condition in birds: a
- 682 challenge exemplified by the great tit Parus major L. (Aves). Biological Journal of the Linnean 683 Society, 71(1), 103–117.
- 684 Grant . P. R. . & Grant . B. R. (1995). Predicting Microevolutionary Responses to Directional
- 685 Selection on Heritable Variation. Evolution . 49(2) . 241. doi:10.2307/2410334
- 686 Griffith, SC Owens, IPF Burke, T. (1999). Environmental determination of a sexually selected trait. 687 Nature, 400(6742), 358-560. doi:10.1038/22536
- 688 Gustafsson, L. (1986). Lifetime Reproductive Success and Heritability: Empirical Support for Fisher's 689
- Fundamental Theorem. The American Naturalist, 128(5), 761. doi:10.1086/284601
- 690 Hadfield, J.D, Nutall, A., Osorio, D., Owens, I.P.F., (2006), Testing the phenotypic gambit: phenotypic, 691 genetic and environmental correlations of colour, European society for evolutionary biology, 20, 549-
- 692 557.
- 693 Hadfield, J.D., Owens, I.P.F., (2006), strong environmental determination of carotenoid-based plumage
- 694 trait is not mediated by carotenoid availability, european society for evolutionary biology, 19, 1104-695 1114.
- 696 Hasselquist, D., Bensch, S., & Schantz, T. V. (1995). Estimating cuckoldry in birds : the heritability 697 method and DNA fingerprinting give different results. Oikos, 72(2), 173–178.
- 698 Hegyi, G., Herényi, M., Wilson, A. J., Garamszegi, L. Z., Rosivall, B., Eens, M., & Török, J. (2010).
- 699 Breeding experience and the heritability of female mate choice in collared flycatchers. PloS one,
- 700 5(11), e13855. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013855
- Husby, A., Hille, S. M., & Visser, M. E. (2011). Testing mechanisms of Bergmann's rule: phenotypic 701
- 702 decline but no genetic change in body size in three passerine bird populations. The American 703 naturalist, 178(2), 202-13. doi:10.1086/660834
- 704 Jakober, H., Stauber, W., (2000) Werden die neutöter (Lanius collurio) kleiner?, J.Ornithol, 141, 408-705 417.
- 706 Jensen, H., Steinsland, I., Ringsby, T. H., & Saether, B.-E. (2008). Evolutionary dynamics of a sexual
- 707 ornament in the house sparrow (Passer domesticus): the role of indirect selection within and between
- 708 sexes. Evolution;

- Jensen, H., Saether, B.-E., Ringsby, T. H., Tufto, J., Griffith, S. C., & Ellegren, H. (2003). Sexual
- variation in heritability and genetic correlations of morphological traits in house sparrow (Passer
- 711 domesticus). Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 16(6),
- 712 Johnson . S. G. . & Johnston . R. F. (1990). Environmental variation and quantitative genetic
- parameters in the feral pigeon . Columba livia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society . 40(4) .
- 714 321–332. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1990.tb00542.x
- 715 Keller . L. F. . Grant . P. R. . Grant . B. R. . & Petren . K. (2001). Heritability of morphological traits
- 716 in Darwin 's Finches : misidenti ® ed paternity and maternal effects. Heredity . 87 . 325–336.
- 717 Kim . S.-Y. . Drummond . H. . Torres . R. . & Velando . a. (2011). Evolvability of an avian life history
- trait declines with father's age. Journal of evolutionary biology . 24(2) . 295–302. doi:10.1111/j.1420-
- 719 9101.2010.02165.x
- Kontiainen, P., Brommer, J.E., Karell, P., Pieieäinen, H., (2007), Heritability, plasticity and
- canalization of Ural owlegg size in a cyclic environment, Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 21, 88-97.
- Kvalnes, T., Ringsby, T.H., Jensen, H., Saether, B.-E., (2013) Correlates of egg size variation in a
- population of house sparrow Passer domesticus, Oecologia, 171(2), 391-402.
- Larsson, K., & Forslund, P. (1992). Genetic and Social Inheritance of Body and Egg Size in the
- Barnacle Goose (Branta leucopsis). Evolution, 46(1), 235–244.
- Larsson . K. . Rattiste . K. . & Lilleleht . V. (1997). Heritability of head size in the common gull Larus
- canus in relation to environmental conditions during offspring growth. Heredity . 79 . 201–207.
- Larsson, K. (1993). Inheritance of body size in the Barnacle Goose under different environmental
   conditions. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 6(2), 195–208
- conditions. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 6(2), 195–208
  Lessells. C. M. Cooke. F. & Rockwell. R. F. (1989). Is there a trade-off be
- Lessells . C. M. . Cooke . F. . & Rockwell . R. F. (1989). Is there a trade-off between egg weight and
  clutch size in wild Lesser Snow Geese (Anser c . caerulescens)? Journal of Evolutionary Biology . 2 .
  457–472.
- 733 Lessells, C. M., & Ovenden, G. N. (1989). Heritability of Wing Length and Weight in European Bee-
- 734 Eaters (Merops apiaster). The Condor, 91(1), 210. doi:10.2307/1368167
- 735 Liedvogel, M., Cornwallis, C. K., Sheldon, B.C., (2012) Integrating candidate gene and quantitative
- genetic approaches to understand variation in timing of breeding in wild tit populations, Journal ofevolutionary Biology, 25(5), 813-823
- 737 Evolutionary Biology, 25(5), 813-825
   738 Lifjeld, T., Slagsvold, T., (1990) Manipulations of male parental investment in polygynous pied
- 739 flycatchers, Ficedula hypoleuca, Behavioral Ecology, 1(1), 48-54.
- 740 McCleery, R. H., Pettifor, R. a, Armbruster, P., Meyer, K., Sheldon, B. C.,
- 741
- 742 743

## 744 Fig S8. List of References for Cva data.

- 745 Akesson, M., Bensch, S., Hasselquist, D., Tarka, M., & Hansson, B. (2008). Estimating heritabilities and
- 746 genetic correlations: comparing the "animal model" with parent-offspring regression using data from
- 747 a natural population. PloS one, 3(3), e1739. doi:1
- 748 Akesson, M., Bensch, S., & Hasselquist, D. (2007). Genetic and phenotypic associations in
- 749 morphological traits : a long term study of great reed warblers Acrocephalus arundinaceus. Journal of 750 Avian Biology 38, 58–72, doi:10.1111/j.2007.0908-8857.03669 x
- 750 Avian Biology, 38, 58–72. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0908-8857.03669.x
- 751 MacColl, A. D. C., & Hatchwell, B. J. (2003). HERITABILITY OF PARENTAL EFFORT IN A PASSERINE BIRD.
- 752 Evolution, 57(9), 2191. Retrieved from
- 753 http://apps.webofknowledge.com/full\_record.do?product=UA&search\_mode
- 754 =GeneralSearch&qid=3&SID=U2A@flBJ8ol6n889kJf&page
- 755 Charmantier, A., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Lambrechts, M. M. (2004). PARASITISM REDUCES THE POTENTIAL
- 756 FOR EVOLUTION IN A WILD BIRD POPULATION. Evolution, 58(1), 203–206.
- 757 Nilsson, J., Akesson, M., & Nilsson, J. F. (2009). Heritability of resting metabolic rate in a wild
- population of blue tits. Journal of evolutionary biology, 22(9), 1867–74. doi:10.1111/j.1420-
- 759 9101.2009.01798.x

- 760 Charmantier, A., Perrins, C., McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2006). Quantitative genetics of age at
- 761 reproduction in wild swans: support for antagonistic pleiotropy models of senescence. Proceedings
- 762 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States.
- 763 Charmantier, A., Keyser, A. J., & Promislow, D. E. L. (2007). First evidence for heritable variation in
- 764 cooperative breeding behaviour. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society, 274(1619),
- 765 1757–61. doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.0012
- 766 Charmantier, A., Perrins, C., McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2006). Evolutionary response to
- 767 selection on clutch size in a long-term study of the mute swan. The American naturalist, 167(3), 453–
- 768 65. doi:10.1086/499378 Merilä, J., & Sheldon, B. (2000). Lifetime Reproductive Success and
- 769 Heritability in Nature. The American naturalist, 155(3), 301–310. doi:10.1086/303330
- 770 Qvarnström, A., Brommer, J. E., & Gustafsson, L. (2006). Testing the genetics underlying the co-
- evolution of mate choice and ornament in the wild. Nature, 441(7089), 84–6.
- 772 doi:10.1038/nature04564
- 773 Garant, D., Sheldon, B. C., & Gustafsson, L. (2004). CLIMATIC AND TEMPORAL EFFECTS ON THE
- EXPRESSION OF SECONDARY SEXUAL CHARACTERS : GENETIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENTS.
   Evolution, 58(3), 634–644.
- Tschirren, B., Sendecka, J., Groothuis, T. G. G., Gustafsson, L., & Doligez, B. (2009). Heritable variation
- in maternal yolk hormone transfer in a wild bird population. The American naturalist, 174(4), 557–64.
   doi:10.1086/605379
- 779 Morales, J., Kim, S.-Y., Lobato, E., Merino, S., Tomás, G., Martínez-de la Puente, J., & Moreno, J.
- 780 (2010). On the heritability of blue-green eggshell coloration. Journal of evolutionary biology, 23(8),
- 781 1783–91. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02044.x
- Brommer, J. E., Rattiste, K., & Wilson, a. (2010). The rate of ageing in a longlived bird is not heritable.
  Heredity, 104(4), 363–70. doi:10.1038/hdy.2009.125
- Larsson, K., Rattiste, K., & Lilleleht, V. (1997). Heritability of head size in the common gull Larus canus
- in relation to environmental conditions during offspring growth. Heredity, 79, 201–207.
- 786 Teplitsky, C., Mills, J. a, Yarrall, J. W., & Merilä, J. (2009). Heritability of fitness components in a wild
- bird population. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution, 63(3), 716–26.
- 788 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00581.x
- 789 Reid, J. M., Arcese, P., Sardell, R. J., & Keller, L. F. (2011). Additive genetic variance, heritability, and
- inbreeding depression in male extra-pair reproductive success. The American naturalist, 177(2), 177–87.
- 792 doi:10.1086/657977
- Husby, A., Hille, S. M., & Visser, M. E. (2011). Testing mechanisms of Bergmann's rule: phenotypic
- 794 decline but no genetic change in body size in three passerine bird populations. The American
- 795 naturalist, 178(2), 202–13.
- 796 doi:10.1086/660834
- 797 Husby, A., Nussey, D. H., Visser, M. E., Wilson, A. J., Sheldon, B. C., & Kruuk, L. E. B. (2010).
- Contrasting patterns of phenotypic plasticity in reproductive traits in two great tit (Parus major)
   populations. Evolution; international journal of organic
- 800 Garant, D., Hadfield, J. D., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Sheldon, B. C. (2008). Stability of genetic variance and
- 801 covariance for reproductive characters in the face of climate change in a wild bird population.
- 802 Molecular ecology, 17(1), 179–88. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2
- 803 McCleery, R. H., Pettifor, R. a, Armbruster, P., Meyer, K., Sheldon, B. C., & Perrins, C. M. (2004).
- 804 Components of variance underlying fitness in a natural population of the great tit Parus major. The
- 805 American naturalist, 164(3), E62–
- 806 72. doi:10.1086/42266
- 807 Garant, D., Kruuk, L. E. B., Wilkin, T. a, McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2005).
- 808 Evolution driven by differential dispersal within a wild bird population. Nature, 433(7021), 60–5.
- 809 doi:10.1038/nature03051

- 810 Garant, D., Kruuk, L. E. B., McCleery, R. H., & Sheldon, B. C. (2004). Evolution in a changing
- environment: a case study with great tit fledging mass. The American naturalist, 164(5), E115–29.doi:10.1086/424764
- 813 Jensen, H., Steinsland, I., Ringsby, T. H., & Saether, B.-E. (2008). Evolutionary dynamics of a sexual

ornament in the house sparrow (Passer domesticus): the role of indirect selection within andbetween sexes. Evolution; international journal of organic

- 816 Jensen, H., Saether, B.-E., Ringsby, T. H., Tufto, J., Griffith, S. C., & Ellegren, H.
- 817 (2003). Sexual variation in heritability and genetic correlations of morphological traits in house
- 818 sparrow (Passer domesticus). Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 16(6),
- 819 Gienapp, P., & Merilä, J. (2010). Genetic and environmental effects on a condition-dependent trait:
- feather growth in Siberian jays. Journal of evolutionary biology, 23(4), 715–23. doi:10.1111/j.14209101.2010.01949.x
- 822 Duckworth, R. a, & Kruuk, L. E. B. (2009). Evolution of genetic integration between dispersal and
- 823 colonization ability in a bird. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution, 63(4), 968–77.
- 824 doi:10.1111/j.15585646.2009.00625.x
- 825 Kim, S.-Y., Drummond, H., Torres, R., & Velando, a. (2011). Evolvability of an avian life history trait
- declines with father's age. Journal of evolutionary biology, 24(2), 295–302. doi:10.1111/j.14209101.2010.02165.x
- 828 Frentiu, F. D., Clegg, S. M., Blows, M. W., & Owens, I. P. F. (2007). Large body size in an island-
- 829 dwelling bird: a microevolutionary analysis. Journal of evolutionary biology, 20(2), 639–49.
- 830 doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2006.01242.x

- 862
- 863

# Fig S9. List of references from Evans & Sheldon (2008)

- Abbott, C. L., and M. C. Double. 2003. Genetic structure, conservation genetics and evidence of
  speciation by range expansion in shy and white-capped albatrosses. Molecular Ecology 12: 29532962.
- Adcock, G. J., and R. A. Mulder. 2002. Polymorphic microsatellite loci for paternity analysis in the
- Madagascar paradise flycatcher (*Terpsiphone mutata* : Aves). Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 287-289.
  Adcock, G. J., N. E. Langmore, R. A. Mulder, and R. M. Kilner. 2005.
- Adcock, G. J., N. E. Langmore, R. A. Mulder, and R. M. Kliner. 2005.
   Microsatellite loci for population and behavioural studies of Horsfield's bronzecuckoo (*Chalcites*)
- 872 *basalis* : Aves). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 619-621.
- Akst, E. P., P. D. Boersma, and R. C. Fleischer. 2002. A comparison of genetic diversity between the
  Galapagos Penguin and the Magellanic Penguin. Conservation Genetics 3: 375-383.
- Asai, S., C. Shimoda, I. Nishiumi, K. Eguchi, and S. Yamagishi. 1999. Isolation of microsatellite loci for paternity testing in the rufous vanga *Schetba rufa*. Molecular Ecology 8: 513-514.
- 877 Bardeleben, C. 2004. Isolation of polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellite markers for the little
- 878 greenbul (*Andropadus virens*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 698-700.
- 879 Bardeleben, C., and M. M. Gray. 2005. Isolation of polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellite
- 880 markers for the pygmy kingfisher *Ceyx picta*. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 478-480.
- 881 Bardeleben, C., R. L. Moore, J. A. Nicholls, J. J. Austin, and T. E. Robson. 2005. Isolation of
- polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellite markers in the satin bowerbird, *Ptilonorhynchus violaceus*. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 305-307.
- 884 Bardeleben, C., M. M. Gray, J. Austin, and I. A. do Rosario. 2005. Isolation of polymorphic
- tetranucleotide microsatellite for the large-billed scrubwren (*Sericomis magnirostris*). Molecular
- 886 Ecology Notes 5: 143-145.
- 887 Bardeleben, C., K. S. Delaney, J. Austin, and R. L. Moore. 2005. Isolation of polymorphic
- 888 tetranucleotide microsatellite markers for the grey-headed robin (*Poecilodryas albispecularis*).
- 889 Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 146-148.
- 890 Beck, N., R. Peakall, and R. Heinsohn. 2003. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic
- 891 microsatellite markers in the white-winged chough (*Corcorax melanorhamphos*). Molecular Ecology892 Notes 3: 586-588.
- 893 Benson, D. P. 2002. Low extra-pair paternity in White-tailed Ptarmigan. Condor 104:192-197.
- 894 Blanchard, L., and J. S. Quinn. 2001. The characterization of microsatellite loci in the communally 895 breeding smooth-billed ani (*Crotophaga ani*). Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 152-154.
- 896 Bouzat, J. L., H. H. Cheng, H. A. Lewin, R. L. Westemeier, J. D. Brawn, and K. N. Paige. 1998. Genetic
- 897 evaluation of a demographic bottleneck in the Greater Prairie Chicken. Conservation Biology 12: 836898 843.
- Bouzat, J. L., and K. Johnson. 2004. Genetic structure among closely spaced leks in a peripheral
   population of lesser prairie-chickens. Molecular Ecology 13: 499505.
- 901 Bulgin, N. L., H. L. Gibbs, P. Vickery, and A. J. Baker. 2003. Ancestral polymorphisms in genetic
- 902 markers obscure detection of evolutionarily distinct populations in the endangered Florida
- 903 grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum floridanus*). Molecular Ecology 12: 831-844.
- Burg, T. M. 1999. Isolation and characterization of microsatellites in albatrosses. Molecular Ecology 8:338-341.
- 906 Burg, T. M., A. J. Gaston, K. Winker, and V. L. Friesen. 2005. Rapid divergence and postglacial
- 907 colonization in western North American Steller's jays (*Cyanocitta stelleri*). Molecular Ecology 14:
  908 3745-3755.
- 909 Burgess, S. L., and R. C. Fleischer. 2006. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite
- 910 loci in the Hawaiian flycatcher, the elepaio (*Chasiempis sandwichensis*). Molecular Ecology Notes 6:
- 911 14-16.

- 912 Busch, J. D., T. E. Katzner, E. Bragin, and P. Keim. 2005. Tetranucleotide microsatellites for aquila and
- 913 haliaeetus eagles. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 3941.
- 914 Byers, B. E., H. L. Mays, I. R. K. Stewart, and D. F. Westneat. 2004. Extrapair paternity increases
- variability in male reproductive success in the chestnutsided warbler (*Dendroica pensylvanica*), a
   socially monogamous songbird. Auk 121: 788-795.
- 917 Cabe, P. R., and K. E. Marshall. 2001. Microsatellite loci from the house wren (*Troglodytes aedon*).
- 918 Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 155-156.
- 919 Caizergues, A., O. Ratti, P. Helle, L. Rotelli, L. Ellison, and J. Y. Rasplus. 2003. Population genetic
- 920 structure of male black grouse (*Tetrao tetrix* L.) in fragmented vs. continuous landscapes. Molecular
   921 Ecology 12: 2297-2305.
- 922 Caizergues, A., A. Bernard-Laurent, J. F. Brenot, L. Ellison, and J. Y. Rasplus. 2003. Population genetic
- structure of rock ptarmigan *Lagopus mutus* in Northern and Western Europe. Molecular Ecology 12:
  2267-2274.
- 925 Caparroz, R., C. Y. Miyaki, and A. J. Baker. 2003. Characterization of microsatellite loci in the Blue-
- 926 and-gold Macaw, Ara ararauna (Psittaciformes : Aves). Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 441-443.
- 927 Cathey, J. C., J. A. DeWoody, and L. M. Smith. 1998. Microsatellite markers in Canada geese (Branta
- 928 *canadensis*). Journal of Heredity 89: 173-175.
- 929 Chbel, F., D. Broderick, Y. Idaghdour, A. Korrida, and P. McCormick. 2002. Characterization of 22
- 930 microsatellites loci from the endangered Houbara bustard (*Chlamydotis undulata undulata*).
- 931 Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 484-487.
- 932 Clegg, S. M., J. F. Kelly, M. Kimura, and T. B. Smith. 2003. Combining genetic markers and stable
- isotopes to reveal population connectivity and migration patterns in a Neotropical migrant, Wilson's
  warbler (*Wilsonia pusilla*). Molecular Ecology 12: 819-830.
- Dasmahapatra, K. K., C. M. Lessells, A. C. Mateman, and W. Amos. 2004. Microsatellite loci in the European bee-eater, *Merops apiaster*. Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 500-502.
- 937 Dawson, D. A., O. Hanotte, C. Greig, I. R. K. Stewart, and T. Burke. 2000. Polymorphic microsatellites
- 938 in the blue tit *Parus caeruleus* and their crossspecies utility in 20 songbird families. Molecular Ecology 939 9: 1941-1944
- 939 9: 1941-1944.
- 940 Dawson, D. A., F. M. Hunter, J. Pandhal, R. Buckland, A. Parham, I. L. Jones, M.
- 941 Bradshaw, R. Jehle, and T. Burke. 2005. Assessment of 17 new whiskered auklet (*Aethia pygmaea*)
- 942 microsatellite loci in 42 seabirds identifies 5-15 polymorphic markers for each of nine Alcinae species.
- 943 Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 289-297. Delaney, K. S., and R. K. Wayne. 2005. Adaptive units for 944 conservation:
- 945 Population distinction and historic extinctions in the Island Scrub-Jay.
- 946 Conservation Biology 19: 523-533.
- 947 Denk, A. G., B. Gautschi, K. Carter, and B. Kempenaers. 2004. Seven polymorphic microsatellite loci
- 948 for paternity assessment in the mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 506-508.
- 949 Doerr, E. D. 2005. Characterization of microsatellite loci in the brown treecreeper (*Climacteris*
- 950 *picumnus*) and cross-species amplification in the white-throated treecreeper (*Cormobates*
- 951 *leucophaeus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 654-656.
- Dowling, D. K., J. Adcock, and R. A. Mulder. 2003. Novel polymorphic microsatellite markers for
- paternity analysis in the red-capped robin (*Petroica goodenovii* : Aves). Molecular Ecology Notes 3:
- 954 517-519.
- 955 Duval, E. H., and K. J. Nutt. 2005. Isolation and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in
- 956 the lance-tailed manakin (*Chiroxiphia lanceolata*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 112-114.
- 957 Eggert, L. S., and R. C. Fleischer. 2004. Isolation of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Hawaii
- amakihi (*Hemignathus virens*) and their use in other honeycreeper species. Molecular Ecology Notes4: 725-727.
- 960 Estoup, A., and S. M. Clegg. 2003. Bayesian inferences on the recent island colonization history by the 961 bird *Zosterops lateralis lateralis*. Molecular Ecology 12: 657-674.
- 962 Fields, R. L., and K. T. Scribner. 1997. Isolation and characterization of novel waterfowl microsatellite
- 963 loci: Cross-species comparisons and research applications. Molecular Ecology 6: 199-202.

- 964 Francisco, M. R., P. M. Galetti, and L. Gibbs. 2004. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci
- 965 in the blue manakin, *Chiroxiphia caudata* (Aves, Pipridae). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 758-760.
- 966 Galbusera, P., M. Githiru, L. Lens, and E. Matthysen. 2004. Genetic equilibrium despite habitat
- 967 fragmentation in an Afrotropical bird. Molecular Ecology 13: 1409-1421.
- 968 Gautschi, B., I. Tenzer, J. P. Muller, and B. Schmid. 2000. Isolation and characterization of
- 969 microsatellite loci in the bearded vulture (*Gypaetus barbatus*) and cross-amplification in three Old
   970 World vulture species. Molecular Ecology 9: 2193-2195.
- 971 Gautschi, B., M. K. Arter, R. E. Husi, W. Wettstein, and B. Schmid. 2002. Isolation and characterization
- 972 of microsatellite loci in the globally endangered Corncrake, *Crex crex* Linne. Conservation Genetics 3:
- 973 451-453.
- 974 Gay, L., P. D. Du Rau, J. Y. Mondain-Monval, and P. A. Crochet. 2004.
- Phylogeography of a game species: the red-crested pochard (*Netta rufina*) and consequences for itsmanagement. Molecular Ecology 13: 1035-1045.
- 977 Gibbs, H. L., L. M. Tabak, and K. Hobson. 1999. Characterization of microsatellite DNA loci for a
- 978 neotropical migrant songbird, the Swainson's thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*). Molecular Ecology 8: 1551-979 1552.
- 980 Gibbs, H. L., R. J. G. Dawson, and K. A. Hobson. 2000. Limited differentiation in microsatellite DNA
- 981 variation among northern populations of the yellow warbler: evidence for male-biased gene flow?982 Molecular Ecology 9: 2137-2147.
- Goetz, J. E., K. P. McFarland, and C. C. Rimmer. 2003. Multiple paternity and multiple male feeders in
  Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*). Auk 120: 10441053.
- 985 Gonzalez, E. G., A. M. Castilla, and R. Zardoya. 2005. Novel polymorphic microsatellites for the red-
- 986 legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*) and cross-species amplification in Alectoris graeca. Molecular
   987 Ecology Notes 5: 449-451.
- 988 Grant, P. R., B. R. Grant, J. A. Markert, L. F. Keller, and K. Petren. 2004. Convergent evolution of
- 989 Darwin's finches caused by introgressive hybridization and selection. Evolution 58: 1588-1599.
- 990 Griffith, S. C., I. R. K. Stewart, D. A. Dawson, I. P. F. Owens, and T. Burke. 1999. Contrasting levels of
- extra-pair paternity in mainland and island populations of the house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*): is
   there an 'island effect'? Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 68: 303-316.
- 993 Guay, P. J., and R. A. Mulder. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in musk
- 994 duck (Biziura lobata : Aves), and their application to other waterfowl species. Molecular Ecology
- 995 Notes 5: 249-252. Haavie, J., G. P. Saetre, and T. Moum. 2000. Discrepancies in population
- 996 differentiation at microsatellites, mitochondrial DNA and plumage colour in the pied flycatcher -
- 997 inferring evolutionary processes. Molecular Ecology 9: 11371148.
- Hale, A. M., and C. R. Hughes. 2003. Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite loci in a
- 999 neotropical wood-quail, *Odontophorus leucolaemus*. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 508-510.
- 1000 Hamao, S., and D. S. Saito. 2005. Extrapair fertilization in the black-browed reed warbler
- 1001 (*Acrocephalus bistrigiceps*): Effects on mating status and nesting cycle of cuckolded and cuckolder 1002 males. Auk 122: 1086-1096.
- 1003 Hammond, E. L., A. J. Lymbery, G. B. Martin, D. Groth, and J. D. Wetherall. 2002. Microsatellite
- analysis of genetic diversity in wild and farmed emus (Dromaius *novaehollandiae*). Journal ofHeredity 93: 376-380.
- 1005 Heredity 93: 376-380.
   1006 Hanotte, O., C. Zanon, A. Pugh, C. Greig, A. Dixon, and T. Burke. 1994. Isola
- Hanotte, O., C. Zanon, A. Pugh, C. Greig, A. Dixon, and T. Burke. 1994. Isolation and Characterization
  of Microsatellite Loci in a Passerine Bird the Reed Bunting *Emberiza schoeniclus*. Molecular Ecology
  3: 529-530.
- 1009 Hansson, B., H. Westerdahl, D. Hasselquist, M. Akesson, and S. Bensch. 2004. Does linkage
- 1010 disequilibrium generate heterozygosity-fitness correlations in great reed warblers? Evolution 58:1011 870-879.
- 1012 Hasegawa, O., Y. Ishibashi, and S. Abe. 2000. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in
- 1013 the red-crowned crane *Grus japonensis*. Molecular Ecology 9: 1677-1678.
- 1014 Hasegawa, O., Y. Ishibashi, and S. Abe. 2005. Polymorphic microsatellite DNA markers for the
- 1015 rhinoceros auklet (*Cerorhinca monocerata*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 637-638.

- 1016 Hawley, D. M. 2005. Isolation and characterization of eight microsatellite loci from the house finch
- 1017 (*Carpodacus mexicanus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 443445.
- 1018 Hille, S. M., M. Nesje, and G. Segelbacher. 2003. Genetic structure of kestrel populations and
- 1019 colonization of the Cape Verde archipelago. Molecular Ecology 12: 2145-2151.
- 1020 Hsu, Y.-C., Severinghaus, L. L., Lin, Y.-S. & Li, S.-H. 2003. Isolation and characterization of
- 1021 microsatellite DNA markers from the Lanyu scops owl (*Otus elegans botelensis*). Molecular Ecology1022 Notes 3: 595-597.
- 1023 Huang, Y. J., C. S. Chen, and S. H. Li. 2004. Polymorphic tetranucleotide microsatellite loci in the
- 1024 Hwamei (*Garrulax canorus canorus*) (Timaliidae).
- 1025 Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 170-172.
- 1026 Hughes, C. R., and D. M. Deloach. 1997. Developing microsatellites when they are rare: Trinucleotide 1027 repeat loci in the northern mockingbird *Mimus polyglottos*. Molecular Ecology 6: 1099-1102.
- 1028 Hughes, C. R., and T. R. Robinson. 2001. Characterization of microsatellite loci developed for song
- 1029 *wrens Cyphorhinus phaeocephalus*. Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 165-167.
- 1030 Hughes, J. M., P. B. Mather, A. Toon, J. Ma, I. Rowley, and E. Russell. 2003. High levels of extra-group
- 1031 paternity in a population of Australian magpies *Gymnorhina tibicen*: evidence from microsatellite
- 1032 analysis. Molecular Ecology 12: 3441-3450.
- Ishibashi, Y., O. Mikami, and S. Abe. 2000. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the
   Japanese marsh warbler *Locustella pryeri*. Molecular Ecology 9: 373-375.
- 1035 Jeffery, K. J., L. F. Keller, P. Arcese, and M. W. Bruford. 2001. The development of microsatellite loci
- in the song sparrow, *Melospiza melodia* (Aves) and genotyping errors associated with good quality
   DNA. Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 11-13.
- 1038 Ji, Y. J., Y. D. Liu, C. Q. Ding, and D. X. Zhang. 2004. Eight polymorphic microsatellite loci for the
- 1039 critically endangered crested ibis, *Nipponia nippon* (Ciconiiformes : Threskiornithidae). Molecular 1040 Ecology Notes 4: 615-617.
- 1041 Johnson, P. C. D., M. K. Fowlie, and W. Amos. 2005. Isolation of microsatellite loci from the common
- 1042 buzzard, *Buteo buteo* (Aves : Accipitridae). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 208-211.
- 1043 King, T. L., M. S. Eackles, A. P. Henderson, C. I. Bocetti, D. Currie, and J. M. Wunderle. 2005.
- 1044 Microsatellite DNA markers for delineating population
- structure and kinship among the endangered Kirtland's warbler (*Dendroica kirtlandii*). Molecular
   Ecology Notes 5: 569-571.
- 1047 Koopman, M. E., N. A. Schable, and T. C. Glenn. 2004. Development and optimization of
- 1048 microsatellite DNA primers for boreal owls (*Aegolius funereus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 376-378.
- 1049 Kraaijeveld, K., P. J. Carew, T. Billing, G. J. Adcock, and R. A. Mulder. 2004. Extra-pair paternity does
- 1050 not result in differential sexual selection in the mutually ornamented black swan (*Cygnus atratus*).
- 1051 Molecular Ecology 13:
- 1052 1625-1633.
- 1053 Kretzmann, M. B., N. Capote, B. Gautschi, J. A. Godoy, J. A. Donazar, and J. J. Negro. 2003. Genetically
- distinct island populations of the Egyptian vulture (*Neophron percnopterus*). Conservation Genetics 4:
   697-706.
- 1056 Lambert, D. M., T. King, L. D. Shepherd, A. Livingston, S. Anderson, and J. L. Craig. 2005. Serial
- 1057 population bottlenecks and genetic variation: Translocated populations of the New Zealand
- 1058 Saddleback (*Philesturnus carunculatus rufusater*). Conservation Genetics 6: 1-14.
- Latch, E. K., E. J. Smith, and O. E. Rhodes. 2002. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in
   wild and domestic turkeys (*Meleagris gallopavo*). Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 176-178.
- 1061 Li, S. H., Y. J. Huang, and J. L. Brown. 1997. Isolation of tetranucleotide microsatellites from the
- 1062 Mexican jay *Aphelocoma ultramarina*. Molecular Ecology 6: 499-501.
- Lieckfeldt, D., A. Schmidt, and C. Pitra. 2001. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in
   the great bustard, *Otis tarda*. Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 133-134.
- 1065 Loyau, A., B. Moureau, M. Richard, P. Christe, P. Heeb, and G. Sorci. 2005. Cross-amplification of
- 1066 polymorphic microsatellites reveals extra-pair paternity and brood parasitism in *Sturnus vulgaris*.
- 1067 Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 135-139.

- 1068 Maak, S., K. Wimmers, S. Weigend, and K. Neumann. 2003. Isolation and characterization of 18
- 1069 microsatellites in the Peking duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and their application in other waterfowl 1070 species. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 224-227.
- 1071 MacDougall-Shackleton, E. A., and S. A. MacDougall-Shackleton. 2001. Cultural and genetic evolution
- 1072 in mountain white-crowned sparrows: Song dialects are associated with population structure.
- 1073 Evolution 55: 2568-2575.
- 1074 Mank, J. E., J. E. Carlson, and M. C. Brittingham. 2004. A century of hybridization: Decreasing genetic
- 1075 distance between American black ducks and mallards. Conservation Genetics 5: 395-403.
- 1076 Martinez, J. G., T. Burke, D. Dawson, J. J. Soler, M. Soler, and A. P. Moller. 1998. Microsatellite typing
- 1077 reveals mating patterns in the brood parasitic great spotted cuckoo (*Clamator glandarius*). Molecular
- 1078 Ecology 7: 289-297.
- 1079 Martinez, J. G., J. J. Soler, M. Soler, A. P. Moller, and T. Burke. 1999.
- 1080 Comparative population structure and gene flow of a brood parasite, the great spotted cuckoo
- 1081 (*Clamator glandarius*), and its primary host, the magpie (*Pica pica*). Evolution 53: 269-278.
- 1082 Martinez-Cruz, B., V. A. David, J. A. Godoy, J. J. Negro, S. J. O'Brien, and W. E. Johnson. 2002. Eighteen
- 1083 polymorphic microsatellite markers for the highly endangered Spanish imperial eagle (Aquila
- 1084 *adalberti*) and related species. Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 323-326.
- 1085 Maurer, G., M. L. Hale, M. H. Verduijn, and K. Wolff. 2005. Polymorphic microsatellite loci in
- 1086 pheasant coucal (*Centropus phasianinus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 337-339.
- McDonald, D. B., and W. K. Potts. 1994. Cooperative Display and Relatedness among Males in a Lek Mating Bird. Science 266: 1030-1032.
- 1089 McGuire, H. L., and M. A. F. Noor. 2002. Microsatellite loci for great white herons and great blue 1090 herons (Aves, Ardeidae, *Ardea herodias*). Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 170-172.
- 1091 McInnes, L. M., I. R. Dadour, M. E. Stewart, W. G. F. Ditcham, P. Mawson, and P. B. S. Spencer. 2005.
- 1092 Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Carnaby's cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus*
- 1093 *latirostris*) and related black cockatoo species. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 504-506.
- 1094 McRae, S. B., and W. Amos. 1999. Characterization of hypervariable microsatellites in the
- 1095 cooperatively breeding white-browed sparrow weaver *Plocepasser mahali*. Molecular Ecology 8: 903-1096 904.
- 1097 McRae, S. B., S. T. Emlen, D. R. Rubenstein, and S. M. Bogdanowicz. 2005. Polymorphic mircosatellite 1098 loci in a plural breeder, the grey-capped social weaver (*Pseudonigrita arnaudi*), isolated with an
- 1099 improved enrichment protocol using fragment size-selection. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 16-20.
- 1100 Mira, S., C. Billot, T. Guillemaud, L. Palma, and M. L. Cancela. 2002. Isolation and characterization of
- polymorphic microsatellite markers in Eurasian vulture *Gyps fulvus*. Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 557558.
- Mira, S., K. Wolff, and M. L. Cancela. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in
   Bonelli's eagle (*Hieraaetus fasciatus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 493-495.
- 1105 Muniz, L. S. B., R. H. F. Macedo, and J. Graves. 2003. Isolation and characterization of dinucleotide
- microsatellite loci in communally breeding Guira cuckoos (Aves : Cuculidae). Molecular Ecology Notes3: 209-211.
- 1108 Munoz-Fuentes, V., N. Gyllenstrand, J. J. Negro, A. J. Green, and C. Vila. 2005. Microsatellite markers
- 1109 for two stifftail ducks: the white-headed duck, Oxyura *leucocephala*, and the ruddy duck, *O*.
- 1110 *jamaicensis*. Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 263-265.
- 1111 Nesje, M., and K. H. Roed. 2000. Microsatellite DNA markers from the gyrfalcon (*Falco rusticolus*) and 1112 their use in other raptor species. Molecular Ecology 9: 1438-1440.
- 1113 Nesje, M., K. H. Roed, J. T. Lifjeld, P. Lindberg, and O. F. Steen. 2000. Genetic relationships in the
- peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*) analysed by microsatellite DNA markers. Molecular Ecology 9: 53 60.
- 1116 Ost, M., E. Vitikainen, P. Waldeck, L. Sundstrom, K. Lindstrom, T. Hollmen, J. C. Franson, and M. Kilpi.
- 1117 2005. Eider females form non-kin brood-rearing coalitions. Molecular Ecology 14: 3903-3908.
- 1118 Otsuka, R., I. Nishiumi, and M. Wada. 2003. Characterization of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci in
- 1119 the Japanese bush warbler *Cettia diphone*. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 44-46.

- 1120 Oyler-McCance, S. J., J. St John, S. E. Taylor, A. D. Apa, and T. W. Quinn. 2005.
- 1121 Population genetics of Gunnison sage-grouse: Implications for management. Journal of Wildlife
- 1122 Management 69: 630-637.
- 1123 Pearce, J. M., S. L. Talbot, B. J. Pierson, M. R. Petersen, K. T. Scribner, D. L. Dickson, and A. Mosbech.
- 2004. Lack of spatial genetic structure among nesting and wintering King Eiders. Condor 106: 229-240.
- Petren, K., P. R. Grant, B. R. Grant, and L. F. Keller. 2005. Comparative landscape genetics and the
- adaptive radiation of Darwin's finches: the role of peripheral isolation. Molecular Ecology 14: 2943-2957.
- 1129 Piertney, S. B., A. D. C. MacColl, P. J. Bacon, and J. F. Dallas. 1998. Local genetic
- 1130 structure in red grouse (*Lagopus lagopus scoticus*): evidence from microsatellite DNA markers.
- 1131 Molecular Ecology 7: 1645-1654.
- 1132 Piertney, S. B., A. Goostrey, J. F. Dallas, and D. N. Carss. 1998. Highly polymorphic microsatellite
- 1133 markers in the great cormorant *Phalacrocorax carbo*. Molecular Ecology 7: 138-140.
- 1134 Piertney, S. B., L. Shorey, and J. Hoglund. 2002. Characterization of microsatellite DNA markers in the
- 1135 white-bearded manakin (*Manacus manacus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 2:504-505.
- 1136 Primmer, C. R., A. P. Moller, and H. Ellegren. 1995. Resolving GeneticRelationships with Microsatellite
- 1137 Markers a Parentage Testing System for the Swallow *Hirundo rustica*. Molecular Ecology 4: 493-498.
- 1138 Proudfoot, G., R. Honeycutt, and R. D. Slack. 2005. Development and characterization of
- microsatellite DNA primers for ferruginous pygmy-owls (*Glaucidium brasilianum*). Molecular EcologyNotes 5: 90-92.
- 1141 Randi, E., Tabarroni, C., Rimondi, V. L. & Sfougaris, A. 2003. Phylogeography of the rock partridge 1142 (*Alectoris graeca*). Molecular Ecology 12: 2201-2214.
- 1143 Rasner, C. A., P. Yeh, L. S. Eggert, K. E. Hunt, D. S. Woodruff, and T. D. Price. 2004. Genetic and
- 1144 morphological evolution following a founder event in the dark-eyed junco, *Junco hyemalis thurberi*.
- 1145 Molecular Ecology 13: 671-681.
- 1146 Richardson, D. S., F. L. Jury, D. A. Dawson, P. Salgueiro, J. Komdeur, and T. Burke. 2000. Fifty
- Seychelles warbler (*Acrocephalus sechellensis*) microsatellite loci polymorphic in Sylviidae species and
   their cross-species amplification in other passerine birds. Molecular Ecology 9: 2226-2231.
- 1149 Roeder, A. D., R. K. Marshall, A. J. Mitchelson, T. Visagathilagar, P. A. Ritchie, D. R. Love, T. J. Pakai, H.
- 1150 C. McPartlan, N. D. Murray, N. A. Robinson, K. R. Kerry, and D. M. Lambert. 2001. Gene flow on the
- 1151 ice: genetic differentiation among Adelie penguin colonies around Antarctica. Molecular Ecology 10:1152 1645-1656.
- 1153 Russello, M., D. Calcagnotto, R. DeSalle, and G. Amato. 2001. Characterization of microsatellite loci in
- 1154 the endangered St. Vincent Parrot, *Amazona guildingii*. Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 162-164.
- Sainsbury, J. P., E. S. Macavoy, and G. K. Chambers. 2004. Characterization of microsatellite loci in theKaka, *Nestor meridionalis*. Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 623-625.
- 1157 Saito, D., I. Nishiumi, and M. Nakamura. 2001. Characterization of nine polymorphic microsatellite
- 1158 loci from the alpine accentor *Prunella collaris*. Molecular Ecology Notes 1:258-259.
- 1159 Saito, D. S., T. Saitoh, and I. Nishiumi. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers
- 1160 in Ijima's leaf warbler, *Phylloscopus ijimae* (Aves : Sylviidae). Molecular Ecology Notes 5: 666-668.
- 1161 Saladin, V., D. Bonfils, T. Binz, and H. Richner. 2003. Isolation and characterization of 16 microsatellite
- 1162 loci in the Great Tit *Parus major*. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 520-522.
- 1163 Schable, N. A., B. C. Faircloth, W. E. Palmer, J. P. Carroll, L. W. Burger, L. A. Brennan, C. Hagen, and T.
- C. Glenn. 2004. Tetranucleotide and dinucleotide microsatellite loci from the northern bobwhite(*Colinus virginianus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4:415-419.
- 1166 Schlosser, J. A., T. W. J. Garner, J. M. Dubach, and A. G. McElligott. 2003. Characterization of
- 1167 microsatellite loci in Humboldt penguin (Spheniscus humboldti) and cross-amplification in other
- 1168 penguin species. Molecular Ecology Notes 3: 62-64.
- 1169 Scribner, K. T., M. R. Petersen, R. L. Fields, S. L. Talbot, J. M. Pearce, and R. K. Chesser. 2001. Sex-
- 1170 biased gene flow in spectacled eiders (anatidae): Inferences from molecular markers with contrasting
- 1171 modes of inheritance. Evolution 55: 2105-2115.

- 1172 Sefc, K. M., R. B. Payne, and M. D. Sorenson. 2001. Characterization of microsatellite loci in village
- 1173 indigobirds *Vidua chalybeata* and cross-species amplification in estrildid and ploceid finches.
- 1174 Molecular Ecology Notes 1: 252254.
- 1175 Segelbacher, G., R. J. Paxton, G. Steinbruck, P. Trontelj, and I. Storch. 2000.
- 1176 Characterization of microsatellites in capercaillie *Tetrao urogallus* (AVES). Molecular Ecology 9:1934-1177 1935.
- 1178 Strausberger, B. M., and M. V. Ashley. 2003. Breeding biology of brood parasitic brown-headed
- 1179 cowbirds (*Molothrus ater*) characterized by parent-offspring and sibling-group reconstruction. Auk1180 120: 433-445.
- 1181 Szczys, P., C. R. Hughes, and R. V. Kesseli. 2005. Novel microsatellite markers used to determine the
- 1182 population genetic structure of the endangered Roseate Tern, *Sterna dougallii*, in Northwest Atlantic
- 1183 and Western Australia. Conservation Genetics 6: 461-466.
- 1184 Tarof, S. A., L. M. Ratcliffe, and P. T. Boag. 2001. Polymorphic microsatellite loci for assigning
- 1185 parentage in least flycatchers (*Empidonax minimus*). Molecular Ecology Notes 1:146-148.
- 1186 Tarr, C. L., S. Conant, and R. C. Fleischer. 1998. Founder events and variation at microsatellite loci in
- 1187 an insular passerine bird, the Laysan finch (*Telespiza cantans*). Molecular Ecology 7: 719-731.
- Tarr, C. L., and R. C. Fleischer. 1999. Population boundaries and genetic diversity in the endangered
   Mariana crow (*Corvus kubaryi*). Molecular Ecology 8: 941-949.
- 1190 Thuman, K. A., F. Widemo, and S. B. Piertney. 2002. Characterization of polymorphic microsatellite
- 1191 DNA markers in the ruff (*Philomachus pugnax*). Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 276-277.
- 1192 Tirard, C., F. Helfenstein, and E. Danchin. 2002. Polymorphic microsatellites in the black-legged 1193 kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla*. Molecular Ecology Notes 2: 431433.
- 1194 Tomasulo-Seccomandi, A. M., N. A. Schable, A. L. Bryan, I. L. Brisbin, S. N. Del Lama, and T. C. Glenn.
- 1195 2003. Development of microsatellite DNA loci from the wood stork (Aves, Ciconiidae, *Mycteria* 1196 *americana*). Molecular Ecology Notes 3:563-566.
- 1197 Topinka, J. R., and B. May. 2004. Development of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the northern
- goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*) and cross-amplification in other raptor species. Conservation Genetics 5:
   861-864.
- 1200 Van Dongen, W. F. D., and R. A. Mulder. 2005. Isolation and characterization of microsatellite
- markers for paternity assessment in the golden whistler (*Pachycephala pectoralis*: Aves). Molecular
   Ecology Notes 5: 4-6.
- 1203 Van Treuren, R., R. Bijlsma, J. M. Tinbergen, D. Heg, and L. Van de Zande. 1999. Genetic analysis of
- the population structure of socially organized oystercatchers (*Haematopus ostralegus*) usingmicrosatellites. Molecular Ecology 8: 181-187.
- 1206 Veit, M. L., R. J. Robertson, P. B. Hamel, and V. L. Friesen. 2005. Population genetic structure and
- dispersal across a fragmented landscape in cerulean warblers (*Dendroica cerulea*). Conservation
   Genetics 6: 159-174.
- 1209 Wang, M. T., Y. C. Hsu, C. T. Yao, and S. H. Li. 2005. Isolation and characterization of 12
- tetranucleotide repeat microsatellite loci from the greenbacked tit (*Parus monticolus*). MolecularEcology Notes 5: 439-442.
- 1212 Watson, C. J. W., A. A. Beheler, and O. E. Rhodes. 2002. Development of hypervariable microsatellite
- 1213 loci for use in eastern phoebes (*Sayornis phoebe*) and related Tyrannids. Molecular Ecology Notes 2:
- 1214 117-118.
- 1215 Westneat, D. F., and H. L. Mays. 2005. Tests of spatial and temporal factors influencing extra-pair
- 1216 paternity in red-winged blackbirds. Molecular Ecology 14: 2155-2167.
- 1217 Williams, D. A., E. C. Berg, A. M. Hale, and C. R. Hughes. 2004. Characterization of microsatellites for
- 1218 parentage studies of white-throated magpie-jays (*Calocitta formosa*) and brown jays (*Cyanocorax*
- 1219 *morio*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 509-511.
- 1220 Williams, C. L., A. M. Fedynich, D. B. Pence, and O. E. Rhodes. 2005. Evaluation of allozyme and
- 1221 microsatellite variation in Texas and Florida Mottled Ducks. Condor 107: 155-161.

- 1222 Winker, K., T. C. Glenn, and G. R. Graves. 1999. Dinucleotide microsatellite loci in a migratory wood
- warbler (Parulidae : *Limnothlypis swainsonii*) and amplification among other songbirds. Molecular
   Ecology 8: 1553-1556.
- 1225 Wright, T. F., A. M. Rodriguez, and R. C. Fleischer. 2005. Vocal dialects, sexbiased dispersal, and
- microsatellite population structure in the parrot *Amazona auropalliata*. Molecular Ecology 14: 1197-1205.
- 1228 Yeung, C., Y. J. Huang, and S. H. Li. 2004. Development of polymorphic microsatellite markers for the 1229 Steere's Liocichla (*Liocichla steerii*). Molecular Ecology Notes 4: 420-422.
- 1230 Yodogawa, Y., I. Nishiumi, D. Saito, and K. Okanoya. 2003. Characterization of eight polymorphic
- 1231 microsatellite loci from the Bengalese finch (*Lonchura striata* var. *domestica*). Molecular Ecology
- 1232 Notes 3: 183-185.

### S10. Data visualisation: heritability of body mass and tarsus length as a function of SSI and Niche width

In this figure we present heritability of tarsus length and body mass, the two most represented traits in our dataset, for families that had more than one species represented. Species belonging to the same family are linked by hand-drawn ellipses.



#### Heritability of Tarsus length

Heritability of Body mass

