

Smoking Trajectories during the Perinatal Period and Their Risk Factors: The Nationally Representative French ELFE (Etude Longitudinale Française Depuis l'Enfance) Birth Cohort Study

Fabienne El-Khoury, Anne-Laure Sutter-Dallay, Judith van Der Waerden, Pamela J. Surkan, Silvia Martins, Katherine Keyes, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain, Marie-Aline Charles, Maria Melchior

▶ To cite this version:

Fabienne El-Khoury, Anne-Laure Sutter-Dallay, Judith van Der Waerden, Pamela J. Surkan, Silvia Martins, et al.. Smoking Trajectories during the Perinatal Period and Their Risk Factors: The Nationally Representative French ELFE (Etude Longitudinale Française Depuis l'Enfance) Birth Cohort Study. European Addiction Research, 2017, 23 (4), pp.194-203. 10.1159/000479022. hal-01702909

HAL Id: hal-01702909

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01702909v1

Submitted on 7 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Smoking trajectories during the perinatal period and their risk factors: the nationally representative French ELFE birth cohort study.

Fabienne El-Khoury¹, Anne-Laure Sutter-Dallay², Judith Van Der Waerden¹, Pamela Surkan³, Silvia Martins⁴, Katherine Keyes⁴, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain⁵, Marie-Aline Charles⁵, Maria Melchior¹

- 1 Department of Social Epidemiology, INSERM UMR_S 1136, Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, Paris, France. Sorbonne Universités, UPMC University of Paris 06, Paris, France.
- 2 Bordeaux University, Bordeaux, France; INSERM U657, Bordeaux, France; University Department of Adult Psychiatry, Charles Perrens Hospital, Bordeaux, France.
- 3 Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA
- 4 Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA
- 5 INSERM, UMR1153 Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center (CRESS), Early ORigin of the Child's Health and Development Team (ORCHAD), Paris Descartes University, France, Paris F-75014, France.

Correponding author: Fabienne El-Khoury - <u>fabienne.khoury@inserm.fr</u>
Équipe 7 (ERES), Faculté de Médecine Pierre et Marie Curie - pôle Saint-Antoine, 27 rue de Chaligny, 75012 Paris, France. +33778816091- fax +331 44 73 84 62

ABSTRACT

Background

In France, rates of perinatal smoking are among the highest worldwide, however perinatal

smoking trajectories and associated factors have still not been adequately researched.

Methods

Among women participating in the French nationally representative ELFE birth cohort

(n=15,540), perinatal smoking trajectories were estimated using group-based modelling.

Associated characteristics were studied using multinomial logistic regression.

Results

Four trajectories were identified: non-smokers (59%), quitters (20%), persistent moderate (12%)

and persistent heavy (9%) smokers. Older age, being native French, low socioeconomic position,

persistent psychological difficulties and alcohol use in pregnancy, lack of social support, partner's

smoking, mistimed pregnancy, and child formula feeding at birth were associated with persistent

heavy smoking. Most of these factors were also associated, but to a lesser extent, with persistent

moderate smoking, except for age and migrant status which had opposite effects. Women who

successfully lost weight prior to pregnancy had higher levels of quitting smoking.

Conclusion

Women's long-term smoking trajectories vary with initial tobacco consumption level but also in

relation to socio-demographic, psychological, behavioral, and partner characteristics. Health

professionals in contact with pregnant smokers should address perceived risks and benefits of

smoking, including partner's smoking and weight-gain concerns.

Keywords: smoking trajectories, maternal smoking, smoking determinants.

2

INTRODUCTION

In most high-income countries, women's smoking rates are now comparable to men's, and the number of female smokers continues to increase worldwide [1]. In France around 28% of women smoke regularly [1], and around 17% continue to smoke while pregnant [2]. This contributes to a high burden of smoking-related ill health, since maternal smoking is associated with a multitude of poor perinatal and long-term outcomes among mothers and their children [3–5].

Many smokers attempt to quit or decrease their level of smoking during pregnancy, making pregnancy a propitious window for smoking cessation [6]. However, a significant portion of women who quit relapse shortly after their child's birth [7]. A key factor influencing women's likelihood of quitting smoking in pregnancy is their pre-pregnancy level of tobacco use and nicotine dependence [8]. Other factors, including socio-demographic characteristics such as low socioeconomic status (SES), and partner's support have also been shown to play a role [9].

To date, only few studies modelled maternal smoking trajectories using prospective data and relying on statistical techniques that make it possible to identify distinct longitudinal patterns of smoking [11–13]. Moreover, studies that implemented such analyses either solely examined women with low SES, or did not take into account relevant determinants such as pre-pregnancy smoking level, and partner's characteristics and smoking status. Further, some variables such as migrant status, unintended pregnancy and a history of weight-loss dieting which have previously been linked with smoking behavior among women [13–15], have never been examined as predictors of smoking trajectories during the perinatal period. Another factor not studied in relation to perinatal smoking trajectories is infant feeding method at birth, which nonetheless has been previously associated with postpartum smoking behavior [16]. Identifying smoking trajectories and their determinants is key in improving the timing, duration and components of anti-smoking interventions, especially in France where rates of perinatal smoking are among the highest in the world [1].

In the present study, based on the French nationally representative ELFE cohort, we endeavored to identify longitudinal trajectories of maternal smoking from preconception through the postnatal period as well as associated maternal and partner characteristics.

METHODS

Participants and data collection

ELFE (Etude Longitudinale Française depuis l'Enfance) is an ongoing multidisciplinary [17], nationally representative birth cohort study which originally included 18,275 infants (17,988 mothers) born in France in 2011.

Newborns were recruited under a random sampling design in 320 (out of 349 initially selected) maternity wards and will be followed until adulthood. Eligible births were single or twin, at or above 33 weeks of gestation. Mothers had to be 18 years old or older, not planning to move out of metropolitan France in the following 3 years, and capable of giving informed consent in French, English, Arabic, or Turkish.

Wave one of data collection was conducted via face-to-face interviews by midwives at the maternity ward. Additionally, participating women completed a self-reported questionnaire on dietary practice during pregnancy including alcohol consumption. The second wave of data collection was carried out two months after the child's birth, through telephone interviews with the mother and father. In this analysis, we only included participants with available data at the two-month follow-up (response rate=86.4%, n=15,540 mothers). Compared to non-respondents (n=2,448), respondents were more likely to be at least 30 years of age (58% vs. 45%), to have French citizenship (90% vs. 69%), to hold a high school diploma (64% vs. 34%), and were less likely to be unemployed (5% vs 8%).

The ELFE study received approval from France's consultative committee for information treatment for health research (Comité Consultatif sur le Traitement des Informations pour la Recherche en Santé: CCTIRS) and the national data protection authority (Commission National Informatique et Libertés: CNIL)

Tobacco characteristics

Maternal tobacco smoking was evaluated at 3 time-points: in study wave one, women were asked about smoking prior to pregnancy during the face to face interview, and in the last trimester of pregnancy, and at wave two they were asked about smoking at two months postpartum.

At each of the three time points, women reported the number of cigarettes smoked per day.

Factors potentially associated with tobacco smoking

Studied variables included known risk factors of women's smoking in the perinatal period, as well as variables which have not been previously studied but were previously linked with smoking behavior.

Women's *sociodemographic characteristics* included: migrant status (first generation, i.e. not French-born; second generation, i.e. French-born with at least parent not French-born; vs. native French), age (≤ 30 years vs. > 30 years), educational level (<, equal to, vs. > high school degree), number of children including the ELFE child (two, three or more, vs. one) and residential area (Great Paris region, North, East, West, South-West, Centre-East, others, vs. Paris (Ile-de-France)). Additionally, women were asked whether they experienced financial problems during pregnancy (yes vs. no).

Women's health characteristics: women were asked if they experienced persistent psychological difficulties during pregnancy (yes vs. no)[18]. Additionally, they were asked about their weight and height as well as their history of weight-loss dieting prior to pregnancy; these two measures were combined into a single variable that represented a proxy for weight gain concerns: overweight (BMI≥25) but has never dieted, overweight and has previously dieted, normal (BMI<25) weight and has previously dieted, vs. normal weight but has never dieted.

Household financial characteristics: the household occupational grade was defined as the highest occupational category of either the mother or her partner (no occupation or low [e.g. clerk, manual worker], intermediate [e.g. middle-level manager, technician], vs. high [e.g. manager]). The employment status at the time of pregnancy of both the woman and her partner was also measured (unemployed, out of the job market vs employed).

Perinatal characteristics were ascertained by asking about alcohol use during pregnancy (< once per month, \ge one per month vs. never), the timing of pregnancy (mistimed/unintended, vs. anticipated), and the infant's feeding method at birth (formula vs. any breast feeding).

Partner characteristics included partner support during pregnancy (yes, not living with a partner vs. no)[19], as well as paternal smoking (yes vs. no) which was collected directly from fathers 2 months post-partum (wave two).

The type of maternity ward was also described (public vs. private).

Statistical analyses

To identify distinct longitudinal trajectories of maternal smoking over the follow-up period, we used Group-Based Trajectory Modelling (GBTM) [20], applied using the PROC TRAJ macro in SAS [21]. This method, assuming a zero-inflated Poisson distribution, was based on mothers' number of daily cigarettes smoked at the data-points. The best fitting-model was selected empirically based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and the average posterior probabilities of trajectory membership (>0.7 for all trajectory groups), after testing several models with an increasing number of trajectories and different forms (intercept, linear, and quadratic) for each trajectory.

Missing data on independent variables (all variables had up to 10% of missing values, except for partner's smoking with 20.3% missing values) were imputed using multiple imputation by chained equations under fully conditional specification and assuming missingness at random [22]. Ten imputed datasets were created. The imputation model included all the previously listed variables as well as trajectory groups. The imputed datasets were then used in all subsequent analyses.

Multinomial regression models were implemented to identify factors potentially associated with maternal smoking trajectories. The same model was run twice, with two different reference categories: 'non-smokers' and 'quitters'. Potentially associated factors that were also associated with trajectory class membership in bivariate analyses (chi-square test, p<0.20) were included in the multivariable regression model with a random intercept corresponding to the maternity ward to take into account the hierarchical nature of the data.

All analyses were performed with SAS, version 9.4. Multivariable analyses were conducted separately for each imputed dataset and the results (OR, CI 95%) were pooled using the 'PROC MIANALYZE' procedure.

RESULTS

As illustrated in **Figure 1**, we found that four groups constituted the best fit for the data:

- "Non-smokers" (59.4%, n=9,226) who did not smoke prior to pregnancy (including possible ex-smokers);
- "Quitters" (19.7%, n=3,068) who reported moderate smoking before pregnancy (mean=10.6, sd=6 cigarettes/day), stopped smoking during pregnancy and remained non-smokers two months postpartum;
- "Persistent moderate smokers" (11.5%, n=1,790) who reported moderate smoking before pregnancy (mean= 8.1, sd=5 cigarettes/day), continued to smoke during pregnancy (mean=1.5, sd=2 cigarettes/day) and increased their level of smoking two months postpartum (mean= 4.7, sd=3 cigarettes/day)
- "Persistent heavy smokers" (9.4%, n=1,456) who reported heavy smoking before pregnancy (mean=17.6, sd=7 cigarettes per day), and continued to smoke during and after pregnancy (respectively mean=8, sd=5 cigarettes per day in pregnancy and mean=11.7, sd=5, cigarettes per day postpartum).

The average posterior probability of belonging to each group ranged from 0.96 for persistent heavy smokers to 0.99 for quitters, confirming the model's overall goodness of fit [20].

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics of women included in the analysis. In bivariate analyses (not shown), all studied factors were significantly associated with smoking trajectories. Table 2

presents the results of multinomial multivariable regression analyses, in which non-smokers served as the reference category. Odds Ratios (OR) for factors significantly associated with persistent heavy smoking ranged from 1.22, (95% CI: 1.00 to 1.49) for employment status to 8.70, (95% CI: 7.39 to 10.20) for partner's tobacco use. These factors were: being native French, older than 30 years, low educational level, financial difficulties, low occupational grade, joblessness, psychological difficulties, alcohol use during pregnancy, mistimed pregnancy and formula feeding. Additionally, persistent heavy smoking was associated with partner's tobacco use, and low/ absent partner's support, as well as having delivered in a public maternity unit. Except for age, perceived financial problems, employment status, and maternity unit type, the same factors were also associated with persistent moderate smoking. However, associations were of a lesser magnitude, with ORs ranging from 1.26, (95% CI 1.05 to 1.50) for psychological difficulties to 6.64, (95% CI 5.74 to 7.68) for partner's tobacco use.

Additionally, persistent moderate smoking was also associated with pre-pregnancy weight, such that overweight women, whether they had a previous experience of dieting or not, were less likely to belong to this group than women who were of normal weight.

In secondary analyses in which 'quitters' served as the reference category (supplementary table S1), factors that significantly distinguished persistent moderate smokers included migrant status (OR_{2nd generation /native French}=1.27 (95% CI: 1.05 to 1.54).

DISCUSSION

Key results

Using data from a large nationally representative contemporary cohort study of women giving birth in France, we found four trajectories of maternal tobacco use in the perinatal period: non-smokers (59%), quitters (20%), moderate smokers who continued smoking (12%), and persistent heavy smokers (9%).

Our results show a graded association between women's likelihood and degree of smoking and several socio-demographic, health, behavioral and partner characteristics. Adding to prior research, we found that most characteristics associated with persistent heavy smoking are also associated with moderate smoking during pregnancy but to a lesser degree. Additionally, some characteristics have distinct associations; for example, first and second generation migrants are less likely to be heavy smokers, but second generation migrant women who smoke moderately are less likely to quit during pregnancy than native French women. Additionally, maternal age of 30 years or above is associated with persistent heavy smoking, but among moderate smokers older women are more likely to quit than young smokers. Further, prior weight-loss dieting is not

associated with heavy smoking, but predicts the likelihood of quitting during pregnancy among women who smoke moderately.

Interpretation

Migrant status

Native French women were more likely to smoke than second and especially first generation migrants. This may be explained by levels of acculturation; the prevalence of smoking among women in France is higher than in regions such as North and sub-Saharan Africa [23], which is where most of the first generation migrant women giving birth in France come from [14].

However, second generation women who smoked moderately were less likely to quit during pregnancy than the native French. This might reflect a combination of women's transition towards the risk behaviors of native French women, as well as stressors that are specific to women of migrant origin [24].

Social, demographic and economic characteristics

Younger women (>30 years) were less likely to be persistent heavy smokers than older women, which is consistent with other research [25]. In contrast, younger women were less likely to be in the 'quit smoking' group than to the non-smokers group. Older women who smoke for a long time and have high levels of nicotine dependence may be least motivated to quit smoking and, therefore, may deserve particular attention from health professionals [9].

Our results also conform that low SES, ascertained using low educational level, low occupational grade and perceived financial difficulties, is associated with women's persistent smoking during pregnancy [26]. Furthermore, we found that low SES predicts not only heavy smoking but also the persistence of smoking among women who were moderate smokers. The mechanisms behind these associations are probably complex and may involve low risk perception [27], psychological stress linked to social adversity [28,29], as well nicotine dependence.[30]

Weight-loss diet

Regardless of their BMI status, moderate smokers who underwent a weight-loss diet were more likely to quit during pregnancy, which may be indicative of motivation or confidence in the ability to control weight after quitting [31]. It could also be related to high perceived self-efficacy to successfully change health-related behavior.

Partner characteristics

Women whose partner is an active smoker have an elevated likelihood of persistent smoking throughout pregnancy and beyond [9]. This may be explained by easy access to cigarettes as well as frequent smoking-promoting social cues. Importantly, this implies that in order to encourage women's smoking cessation efforts in the perinatal period, partner's tobacco use should also be

taken into consideration. Additionally low partner support and single-parent status are associated with persistent smoking, possibly through low self-esteem, high stress and inadequate access to information [32], which can impede smoking cessation efforts.

Perinatal characteristics

In our study, women's persistent tobacco use was systematically associated with alcohol consumption. Alcohol use in pregnancy may be a marker of broader risk taking behavior, lack of self-care and a propensity for addiction [33]. We also found that smoking at moderate or high levels was disproportionately frequent among women who reported psychological difficulties in pregnancy. Heavy smokers have previously been found to have problems in adaptive functioning (psychological distress, low self-esteem, low sense of control) as well as interpersonal relations [34]. Further, depression and other psychological difficulties are known barriers to smoking cessations efforts [35].

These findings suggest that among pregnant women who smoke, health professionals may need to pay particular attention to co-occurring alcohol use and psychological difficulties and address these factors to improve women's chances of quitting.

Additionally, women who reported that their pregnancy was mistimed were more likely to continue smoking than those who did not. Mistimed/unintended pregnancy potentially has bearings on the mother's psychosocial well-being [36], and is associated with high-risk behaviors that include smoking [15] and inadequate use of antenatal care services [37]. From a public health perspective, efforts aiming to help women prevent unplanned pregnancies through widespread access to contraceptives could help reduce the number of babies exposed to maternal smoking and associated adverse pregnancy outcomes. We also found that women who did not breastfeed their infant were more likely to smoke than those who did. Mothers might not want to expose their infant to nicotine and other potentially toxic substances found in cigarettes that can be transmitted through breastmilk [38].

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, tobacco use was measured using two different methods through maternal self-reports rather than objective measures and could be underreported, especially at maternity where it was measured during a face to face interview. Nevertheless, self-reported smoking levels have been found to be highly correlated with cotinine measures among pregnant women [39], suggesting that underreporting is limited. Second, follow-up was limited to 2 months postpartum. It is possible that some women relapse to smoking beyond that period, for instance after weaning their child, possibly modifying estimated smoking trajectories and associated factors. In France, breast feeding rates are low compared to other industrialized

countries (approximately 70% at birth) and median breast feeding duration is 17 weeks [40,41]. Thus, in our study, even among women who breastfed their child, most had finished by the time postpartum smoking was ascertained. Nevertheless, additional research with longer follow-up would help gain a better understanding of factors that influence postpartum smoking reuptake over the long-term. Third, our analyses may be influenced by selection bias resulting from attrition, particularly among mothers who smoked. This could've resulted in an underestimation of the association between factors such as low partner's support and socio-economic status and smoking trajectories, those factors being also associated with a higher attrition rates in our study. Still, the ELFE study is nationally representative at baseline and the levels of maternal tobacco use observed are comparable to other nationally representative estimates in women giving birth in France (24% and 18% in 2010 and 2014 respectively), which is reassuring as to the generalizability of our findings.

Conclusion, implications

Our study shows that women's smoking trajectories in the perinatal period in the general population vary with the degree of smoking level but also several socio-demographic, health and partner characteristics. In particular, women's migrant status, perception of timing of pregnancy and prior experience of weight loss dieting independently predict the likelihood of decreasing smoking consumption during the perinatal period. These groups of women deserve special attention from health professionals involved in pregnancy care and in the promotion of smoking cessation. In order to be effective and limit health risks for children, smoking cessation programs should include women's partners and be maintained postpartum, especially among the most vulnerable women. Socioeconomically disadvantaged heavy smokers might need longer, more individualized interventions than moderate smokers, including a combination of behavioral programs (behavioral counselling, health education, incentives, social support, etc.) that have been shown to substantially improve the likelihood of smoking cessation in pregnancy [42]. Additionally, these interventions should simultaneously target alcohol consumption. In particular, addressing women's weight gain concern could be a useful component of smoking cessation interventions. In moderate smokers, among whom levels of nicotine dependence are low, addressing women's motivation to smoke and the characteristics of their social environment appears especially important.

Smoking (and alcohol use) could be a form of 'self-medication' among pregnant women experiencing low SES, psychological difficulties and low social support, therefore anti-smoking interventions should be part of a more comprehensive system assisting disadvantaged women.

Acknowledgements:

We thank the scientific coordinators (MA Charles, B Geay, H Léridon, C Bois, M-N Dufourg, JL Lanoé, X Thierry, C Zaros), IT and data managers, statisticians (A Rakotonirina, R Kugel, R Borges-Panhino, M Cheminat, H Juillard), administrative and family communication staff, study technicians (C Guevel, M Zoubiri, L Gravier, I, Milan, R Popa) of the Elfe coordination team as well as the families that gave time for the study. Special thanks to Inès Khati for data cleaning and preliminary analysis.

Funding sources

The ELFE survey is a joint project between INED (Institut National d'Etudes Démographiques), INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale), EFS (Etablissement Français du Sang), InVS (Institut de Veille Sanitaire), INSEE (Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques), the Ministry of Health (DGS, Direction Générale de la Santé), the Ministry of Environment (DGPR, Direction Générale de la Prévention des Risques), the Ministries of Health and Employment (DREES, Direction de la Recherche, des Etudes, de l'Evaluation et des Statistiques), and the CNAF (Caisse Nationale des Allocations Familiales), with the support the Ministry of Research and CCDSHS (Comité de Concertation pour les Données en Sciences Humaines et Sociales) and the Ministry of Culture (DEPS, Département des études, de la prospective et des statistiques). As part of the RECONAI platform, the study received state funding from the ANR within the framework of the "Future Investments" program (reference: ANR-11-EQPX-0038).

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

All authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- 1 Ng M, Freeman MK, Fleming TD, Robinson M, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Thomson B, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Thomson B, Wollum A, Sanman E, Wulf S, Lopez A, Murray C, Gakidou E: Smoking Prevalence and Cigarette Consumption in 187 Countries, 1980-2012. JAMA 2014;311:183–192.
- 2 Blondel B, Lelong N, Kermarrec M, Goffinet F: Trends in perinatal health in France from 1995 to 2010. Results from the French National Perinatal Surveys. J Gynécologie Obstétrique Biol Reprod 2012;41:e1–e15.
- 3 Roelands J, Jamison MG, Lyerly AD, James AH: Consequences of smoking during pregnancy on maternal health. J Womens Health 2009;18:867–872.
- 4 Leonardi-Bee J, Smyth A, Britton J, Coleman T: Environmental tobacco smoke and fetal health: systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2008;93:F351–F361.
- 5 Clifford A, Lang L, Chen R: Effects of maternal cigarette smoking during pregnancy on cognitive parameters of children and young adults: A literature review. Neurotoxicol Teratol 2012;34:560–570.
- 6 Anderka M, Romitti PA, Sun L, Druschel C, Carmichael S, Shaw G, the National Birth Defects Prevention Study: Patterns of tobacco exposure before and during pregnancy. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2010;89:505–514.
- 7 Kaneko A, Kaneita Y, Yokoyama E, Miyake T, Harano S, Suzuki K, Ibuka E, Tamaki T, Nakajima H, Ohida T: Smoking trends before, during, and after pregnancy among women and their spouses. Pediatr Int 2008;50:367–375.
- 8 Mund M, Louwen F, Klingelhoefer D, Gerber A: Smoking and Pregnancy A Review on the First Major Environmental Risk Factor of the Unborn. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013;10:6485–6499.
- 9 Schneider S, Huy C, SchüTz J, Diehl K: Smoking cessation during pregnancy: A systematic literature review: Smoking cessation during pregnancy. Drug Alcohol Rev 2009;29:81–90.
- 10 Munafò MR, Heron J, Araya R: Smoking patterns during pregnancy and postnatal period and depressive symptoms. Nicotine Tob Res Off J Soc Res Nicotine Tob 2008;10:1609–1620.
- 11 Eiden RD, Homish GG, Colder CR, Schuetze P, Gray TR, Huestis MA: Changes in Smoking Patterns During Pregnancy. Subst Use Misuse 2013;48:513–522.
- 12 Mumford EA, Hair EC, Yu T-C, Liu W: Women's Longitudinal Smoking Patterns from Preconception through Child's Kindergarten Entry: Profiles of Biological Mothers of a 2001 U.S. Birth Cohort. Matern Child Health J 2014;18:810–820.
- 13 Larsen JK, Otten R, Engels RCME: Adolescent depressive symptoms and smoking behavior: The gender-specific role of weight concern and dieting. J Psychosom Res 2009;66:305–308.
- 14 Melchior M, Chollet A, Glangeaud-Freudenthal N, Saurel-Cubizolles M-J, Dufourg M-N, van der Waerden J, Sutter-Dallay AL: Tobacco and alcohol use in pregnancy in France: The role of migrant status: The nationally representative ELFE study. Addict Behav 2015;51:65–71.

- 15 Gipson JD, Koenig MA, Hindin MJ: The Effects of Unintended Pregnancy on Infant, Child, and Parental Health: A Review of the Literature. Stud Fam Plann 2008;39:18–38.
- 16 Disantis KI, Collins BN, Mccoy AC s.: Associations among breastfeeding, smoking relapse, and prenatal factors in a brief postpartum smoking intervention. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2010;89:582–586.
- 17 Vandentorren S, Bois C, Pirus C, Sarter H, Salines G, Leridon H, and the ELFE team: Rationales, design and recruitment for the Elfe longitudinal study. BMC Pediatr 2009;9:58.
- 18 Bales M, Pambrun E, Melchior M, Glangeaud-Freudenthal NM-C, Charles M-A, Verdoux H, and the Elfe team: Prenatal psychological distress and access to mental health care in the ELFE cohort. Eur Psychiatry 2015;30:322–328.
- 19 Martin LT, McNamara MJ, Milot AS, Halle T, Hair EC: The Effects of Father Involvement during Pregnancy on Receipt of Prenatal Care and Maternal Smoking. Matern Child Health J 2007;11:595–602.
- 20 NAGIN D: GROUP-BASED MODELING OF DEVELOPMENT. Harvard University Press, 2005.
- 21 Jones B, Nagin D: A SAS Procedure Based on Mixture Models for Estimating Developmental Trajectories. Sociol Methods Res 2007;35:542–571.
- 22 Buuren S van: Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. Stat Methods Med Res 2007;16:219–242.
- 23 Giovino GA, Mirza SA, Samet JM, Gupta PC, Jarvis MJ, Bhala N, Peto R, Zatonski W, Jason Hsia J, Morton J, Palipudi KM, Asma S, for The GATS Collaborative Grou: Tobacco use in 3 billion individuals from 16 countries: an analysis of nationally representative cross-sectional household surveys. The Lancet 2012;380:668–679.
- 24 Nielsen SS, Krasnik A: Poorer self-perceived health among migrants and ethnic minorities versus the majority population in Europe: a systematic review. Int J Public Health 2010;55:357–371.
- 25 Castrucci BC, Culhane JF, Chung EK, Bennett I, McCollum KF: Smoking in pregnancy: patient and provider risk reduction behavior. J Public Health Manag Pract JPHMP 2006;12:68–76.
- 26 Hiscock R, Bauld L, Amos A, Fidler JA, Munafò M: Socioeconomic status and smoking: a review. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012;1248:107–123.
- 27 Peretti-Watel P, Seror V, Verger P, Guignard R, Legleye S, Beck F: Smokers' risk perception, socioeconomic status and source of information on cancer. Addict Behav 2014;39:1304–1310.
- 28 Harwood GA, Salsberry P, Ferketich AK, Wewers ME: Cigarette Smoking, Socioeconomic Status, and Psychosocial Factors: Examining a Conceptual Framework. Public Health Nurs 2007;24:361–371.
- 29 Lanting CI, Buitendijk SE, Crone MR, Segaar D, Bennebroek Gravenhorst J, van Wouwe JP: Clustering of Socioeconomic, Behavioural, and Neonatal Risk Factors for Infant Health in Pregnant Smokers. PLoS ONE 2009;4:e8363.

- 30 Baker TB, Piper ME, McCarthy DE, Bolt DM, Smith SS, Kim S-Y, Colby S, Conti D, Giovino G, Hatsukami D, Hyland A, Krishnan-Sarin S, Niaura R, Perkins K, Toll B.: Time to First Cigarette in the Morning as an Index of Ability to Quit Smoking: Implications for Nicotine Dependence. Nicotine Tob Res 2007;9:S555–S570.
- 31 Sallit J, Ciccazzo M, Dixon Z: A Cognitive-Behavioral Weight Control Program Improves Eating and Smoking Behaviors in Weight-Concerned Female Smokers. J Am Diet Assoc 2009;109:1398–1405.
- 32 Ford P, Clifford A, Gussy K, Gartner C: A Systematic Review of Peer-Support Programs for Smoking Cessation in Disadvantaged Groups. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013;10:5507–5522.
- 33 Pirie PL, Lando H, Curry SJ, McBride CM, Grothaus LC: Tobacco, alcohol, and caffeine use and cessation in early pregnancy. Am J Prev Med 2000;18:54–61.
- 34 Pickett KE, Wilkinson RG, Wakschlag LS: The psychosocial context of pregnancy smoking and quitting in the Millennium Cohort Study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2009;63:474–480.
- 35 Caponnetto P, Polosa R: Common predictors of smoking cessation in clinical practice. Respir Med 2008;102:1182–1192.
- 36 McCrory C, McNally S: The Effect of Pregnancy Intention on Maternal Prenatal Behaviours and Parent and Child Health: Results of an Irish Cohort Study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2013;27:208–215.
- 37 Dibaba Y, Fantahun M, Hindin MJ: The effects of pregnancy intention on the use of antenatal care services: systematic review and meta-analysis. Reprod Health 2013;10:50.
- 38 Bogen DL, Davies ED, Barnhart WC, Lucero CA, Moss DR: What Do Mothers Think About Concurrent Breast-Feeding and Smoking? Ambul Pediatr 2008;8:200–204.
- 39 Kvalvik LG, Nilsen RM, Skjærven R, Vollset SE, Midttun Ø, Ueland PM, Haug K: Self-reported smoking status and plasma cotinine concentrations among pregnant women in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. Pediatr Res 2012;72:101–107.
- 40 Wagner S, Kersuzan C, Gojard S, Tichit C, Nicklaus S, Geay B, Humeau P, Thierry X, Charles MA, Lioret S, de Lauzon-Guillain B: Durée de l'allaitement en France selon les caractéristiques des parents et de la naissance. Résultats de l'étude longitudinale française Elfe 2015 [cited 2015 Sep 30]
- 41 Ibanez G, Martin N, Denantes M, Saurel-Cubizolles M-J, Ringa V, Magnier A-M: Prevalence of breastfeeding in industrialized countries. Rev DÉpidémiologie Santé Publique 2012;60:305–320.
- 42 Siu AL: Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Interventions for Tobacco Smoking Cessation in Adults, Including Pregnant Women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation StatementUSPSTF Recommendation Statement for Interventions for Tobacco Smoking Cessation. Ann Intern Med 2015.

Figure legend

Figure 1: Women's perinatal smoking trajectories (ELFE study, n=15540, 2011)

TABLES

Socio-demographic characteristics (n, %)			Household economic situation (n, %)				
Migrant status	Native French	11987 (77.1%)	Household occupational grade	High	1702 (11.0%)		
	2 nd generation	1882 (12.1%)		Intermediate	3167 (20.4%)		
	1st generation	1542 (9.9%)		Low	9684 (62.3%)		
Age	>30 years	6465 (41.6%)		None	987 (6.40%)		
	≤30 years	9006 (58.0%)	Mother's employment status	Working	12558 (80.8%)		
Number of children	One	7192 (46.3%)		Out of the job market	1801 (11.6%)		
	≥Two	8348 (53.7%)		Unemployed	819 (5.3%)		
Educational level	< High school	2578 (16.6%)	Father's employment status	Working	14024 (90.2%)		
	High school	3068 (19.7%)		Out of the job market	469 (3.0%)		
	≥High school	9894 (63.7%)		Unemployed	619 (4.0%)		
	Paris	3038 (19.5%)	Partner's characteristics (n, %)				
	Great Paris region	2614 (16.8%)	Tobacco use	Yes	4365 (28.1%)		
	North	1345 (8.70%)		No	8026 (51.6%)		
Residential	East	1546 (9.90%)	Social support during pregnancy	Yes	13483 (86.8%)		
area	West	2229 (14.3%)		No/seldom	1212 (7.80%)		
	South-West	1249 (8.00%)		Not in a couple	698 (4.50%)		
_	Centre-East	1737 (11.2%)	Perinatal characteristics (n, %)				
	Others	1762 (11.3%)	Mistimed	No	13581 (87.4%)		
Health characteristics (n, %)			pregnancy	Yes	1791 (11.5%)		
Psychological difficulties	No	13459 (86.6%)	Alcohol use during pregnancy	No	12626 (81.2%)		
during pregnancy	Yes 1927 (1	1927 (12.4%)		<once month<="" per="" td=""><td>2230 (14.4%)</td></once>	2230 (14.4%)		
Lifetime weight-loss diet	No (Normal BMI)	7843 (50.5%)		≥once per month	609 (3.90%)		
	Yes (Normal BMI)	2286 (14.7%)	Child feeding method at	Breast feeding	11470 (73.8%)		
	No (Overweight)	1720 (11.1%)	birth	Formula	4048 (26.0%)		
	Yes (Overweight)	1993 (12.8%)	Maternity type	Private	5301 (34.1%)		
				Public	10139 (65.2%)		

Table 1: Characteristics of women participating in the French ELFE study (non-imputed table, n=15,540, n, %). Missing values were included in the percentage calculation.

Table 2: Factors associated with smoking trajectories (ELFE study, $n=15,540,\ 2011$): multivariable multinomial analysis (OR, 95% CI)

		Non- smokers n=9226	Quitters n=3068	Persistent moderate smokers n=1790	Persistent heavy smokers n=1456
			OR (CI 95%)	OR (CI 95%)	OR (CI 95%)
Migrant Status	French	reference	1	1	1
	2nd generation		0.73 (0.63- 0.83)	0.93 (0.78- 1.10)	0.67 (0.55- 0.82)
	1st generation		0.26 (0.22- 0.32)	0.31 (0.24- 0.40)	0.11 (0.08- 0.16)
Mother's age	>30 years	reference	1	1	1
	<30 years		0.76 (0.69- 0.84)	1.00 (0.88- 1.13)	0.83 (0.72- 0.96)
Educational level	>High school	reference	1	1	1
	< High school		1.31 (1.14- 1.51)	1.97 (1.68- 2.32)	3.91 (3.27- 4.69)
	High school		1.21 (1.07- 1.37)	1.68 (1.45- 1.94)	2.44 (2.05- 2.89)
Number of	≥Two	reference	1	1	1
children	One		1.28 (1.16- 1.40)	1.08 (0.96- 1.22)	1.09 (0.95- 1.25)
	Paris	reference	1	1	1
	Great Paris Region		1.19 (0.99- 1.43)	0.97 (0.79- 1.19)	1.05 (0.83- 1.33)
	North		0.97 (0.76- 1.23)	0.96 (0.75- 1.23)	1.08 (0.82- 1.43)
Residential	East		1.06 (0.85- 1.33)	0.97 (0.76- 1.23)	1.29 (0.98- 1.69)
area	West		1.32 (1.09- 1.61)	1.12 (0.91- 1.39)	1.15 (0.90- 1.49)
	South-West		1.32 (1.05- 1.66)	1.14 (0.89- 1.46)	1.17 (0.87- 1.57)
	Centre-East		1.11 (0.90- 1.37)	1.05 (0.84- 1.33)	1.02 (0.76- 1.36)
	Others		1.23 (1.00- 1.51)	1.13 (0.91- 1.41)	1.17 (0.90- 1.52)
Psychological	No	reference	1	1	1
difficulties	Yes		1.20 (1.06- 1.37)	1.26 (1.05- 1.50)	1.32 (1.09- 1.61)
	No (Normal BMI)	reference	1	1	1
Lifetime	Yes (Normal BMI)		1.39 (1.23- 1.57)	1.01 (0.85- 1.20)	1.10 (0.89- 1.35)
weight-loss diet	No (Overweight)		0.91 (0.79- 1.06)	0.76 (0.60- 0.97)	0.96 (0.78- 1.19)
uiet	Yes (Overweight)		1.24 (1.09- 1.41)	0.72 (0.58- 0.88)	0.91 (0.73- 1.12)
Occupational grade	High professional	reference	1	1	1
	Intermediate		1.05 (0.90- 1.23)	1.19 (0.93- 1.53)	2.45 (1.52- 3.96)
	Low professional		1.19 (1.03- 1.38)	1.49 (1.18- 1.90)	3.49 (2.20- 5.56)
	No occupation		1.04 (0.77- 1.41)	1.49 (1.03- 2.16)	3.38 (1.95- 5.87)
Perceived	No	reference	1	1	1
financial problem	Yes		1.07 (0.98- 1.17)	1.07 (0.95- 1.20)	1.44 (1.26- 1.66)
Mother's	Working	reference	1	1	1

employment status	Out of the job market		0.76 (0.65- 0.91)	0.96 (0.79- 1.15)	1.22 (1.00- 1.49)
	Unemployed		1.19 (0.97- 1.45)	1.21 (0.95- 1.54)	1.67 (1.30- 2.13)
Partner's employment status	Working	reference	1	1	1
	Out of the job market		0.95 (0.72- 1.24)	0.86 (0.63- 1.18)	0.94 (0.68- 1.31)
	Unemployed		0.99 (0.77- 1.27)	0.90 (0.68- 1.18)	1.10 (0.84- 1.45)
Alcohol use during pregnancy	No	reference	1	1	1
	<once month<="" per="" td=""><td></td><td>1.29 (1.15- 1.45)</td><td>1.44 (1.23- 1.68)</td><td>1.41 (1.17- 1.71)</td></once>		1.29 (1.15- 1.45)	1.44 (1.23- 1.68)	1.41 (1.17- 1.71)
	≥once per month		1.58 (1.27- 1.96)	2.14 (1.64- 2.79)	3.13 (2.33- 4.2)
Mistimed pregnancy	Yes	reference	1	1	1
	No		1.09 (0.95- 1.26)	1.31 (1.11- 1.55)	1.64 (1.37- 1.95)
Child feeding method at birth	Breast feeding	reference	1	1	1
	Formula		0.88 (0.80- 0.98)	1.47 (1.30- 1.66)	2.05 (1.79- 2.35)
Partner's	No	reference	1	1	1
tobacco use	Yes		1.67 (1.52- 1.84)	6.64 (5.74- 7.68)	8.70 (7.39- 10.20)
Partner's support during pregnancy	Yes	reference	1	1	1
	No/seldom		0.95(0.81- 1.12)	1.24 (1.02- 1.50)	1.24(0.99- 1.54)
	Not in a couple		0.90(0.69- 1.18)	1.37 (0.98- 1.92)	2.32(1.69- 3.19)
Maternity type	Private	reference	1	1	1
	Public		0.95 (0.84- 1.06)	0.94 (0.83- 1.07)	1.22 (1.05- 1.41)