



## The nitrogen cycles on Pluto over seasonal and astronomical timescales

T. Bertrand, F. Forget, O.M. Umurhan, W.M. Grundy, B. Schmitt, S. Protopapa, A. M Zangari, O.L. White, P.M. Schenk, K. N Singer, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

T. Bertrand, F. Forget, O.M. Umurhan, W.M. Grundy, B. Schmitt, et al..  
The nitrogen cycles on Pluto over seasonal and astronomical timescales. Icarus, 2018, 309, pp.277-296. 10.1016/j.icarus.2018.03.012 . hal-01744474

**HAL Id: hal-01744474**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01744474>**

Submitted on 27 Mar 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1 The nitrogen cycles on Pluto over seasonal and 2 astronomical timescales

3 T. Bertrand <sup>a,b</sup>, F. Forget <sup>a</sup>, O.M. Umurhan <sup>b</sup>, W.M. Grundy <sup>c</sup>,  
4 B. Schmitt <sup>d</sup>, S. Protopapa <sup>e,f</sup>, A.M. Zangari <sup>f</sup>, O.L. White <sup>b</sup>,  
5 P.M. Schenk <sup>g</sup>, K.N. Singer <sup>f</sup>, A. Stern <sup>f</sup>, H.A. Weaver <sup>h</sup>,  
6 L.A. Young <sup>f</sup>, K. Ennico <sup>b</sup>, C.B. Olkin <sup>f</sup>,  
7 the New Horizons Science Team,

8 <sup>a</sup>*Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, IPSL, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ*  
9 *Paris 06, CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France.*

10 <sup>b</sup>*National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Ames Research Center,*  
11 *Space Science Division, Moffett Field, CA 94035, United States*

12 <sup>c</sup>*Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, AZ, United States*

13 <sup>d</sup>*Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut de Planétologie et Astrophysique de*  
14 *Grenoble, F-38000 Grenoble, France*

15 <sup>e</sup>*University of Maryland, Department of Astronomy, College Park, MD 20742,*  
16 *United States*

17 <sup>f</sup>*Southwest Research Institute, Boulder, CO 80302, United States*

18 <sup>g</sup>*Lunar and Planetary Institute, 3600 Bay Area Blvd. Houston, TX 77058, United*  
19 *States*

20 <sup>h</sup>*Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD 20723, United*  
21 *States*

22 Copyright © 2005, 2006 Ross A. Beyer, David P. O'Brien, Paul Withers, and Gwen Bart

---

23 Number of pages: 49

24 Number of tables: 1

25 Number of figures: 16

26 **Proposed Running Head:**

27

28 **Please send Editorial Correspondence to:**

29

30 Tanguy Bertrand

31 Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, CNRS/UPMC (France)

32

33 Email: [tanguy.bertrand@lmd.jussieu.fr](mailto:tanguy.bertrand@lmd.jussieu.fr)

34

## 35 ABSTRACT

36 Pluto’s landscape is shaped by the endless condensation and sublimation cy-  
 37 cles of the volatile ices covering its surface. In particular, the Sputnik Planitia  
 38 ice sheet, which is thought to be the main reservoir of nitrogen ice, displays a  
 39 large diversity of terrains, with bright and dark plains, small pits and troughs,  
 40 topographic depressions and evidences of recent and past glacial flows. Outside  
 41 Sputnik Planitia, New Horizons also revealed numerous nitrogen ice deposits,  
 42 in the eastern side of Tombaugh Regio and at mid-northern latitudes.

43 These observations suggest a complex history involving volatile and glacial  
 44 processes occurring on different timescales. We present numerical simulations  
 45 of volatile transport on Pluto performed with a model designed to simulate  
 46 the nitrogen cycle over millions of years, taking into account the changes  
 47 of obliquity, solar longitude of perihelion and eccentricity as experienced by  
 48 Pluto. Using this model, we first explore how the volatile and glacial activity  
 49 of nitrogen within Sputnik Planitia has been impacted by the diurnal, seasonal  
 50 and astronomical cycles of Pluto. Results show that the obliquity dominates  
 51 the  $N_2$  cycle and that over one obliquity cycle, the latitudes of Sputnik Planitia  
 52 between  $25^\circ\text{S}$ - $30^\circ\text{N}$  are dominated by  $N_2$  condensation, while the northern  
 53 regions between  $30^\circ\text{N}$ - $50^\circ\text{N}$  are dominated by  $N_2$  sublimation. We find that  
 54 a net amount of 1 km of ice has sublimed at the northern edge of Sputnik  
 55 Planitia during the last 2 millions of years. It must have been compensated  
 56 by a viscous flow of the thick ice sheet. By comparing these results with  
 57 the observed geology of Sputnik Planitia, we can relate the formation of the  
 58 small pits and the brightness of the ice at the center of Sputnik Planitia to  
 59 the sublimation and condensation of ice occurring at the annual timescale,  
 60 while the glacial flows at its eastern edge and the erosion of the water ice  
 61 mountains all around the ice sheet are instead related to the astronomical  
 62 timescale. We also perform simulations including a glacial flow scheme which  
 63 shows that the Sputnik Planitia ice sheet is currently at its minimum extent  
 64 at the northern and southern edges. We also explore the stability of  $N_2$  ice  
 65 deposits outside the latitudes and longitudes of the Sputnik Planitia basin.  
 66 Results show that  $N_2$  ice is not stable at the poles but rather in the equatorial  
 67 regions, in particular in depressions, where thick deposits may persist over tens  
 68 of millions of years, before being trapped in Sputnik Planitia. Finally, another  
 69 key result is that the minimum and maximum surface pressures obtained over  
 70 the simulated millions of years remain in the range of milli-Pascals and Pascals,  
 71 respectively. This suggests that Pluto never encountered conditions allowing  
 72 liquid nitrogen to flow directly on its surface. Instead, we suggest that the  
 73 numerous geomorphological evidences of past liquid flow observed on Pluto’s  
 74 surface are the result of liquid nitrogen that flowed at the base of thick ancient  
 75 nitrogen glaciers, which have since disappeared.

76 *Keywords:* Pluto; nitrogen; paleo; Modelling; GCM; Sputnik Planitia;

<sup>77</sup> <http://icarus.cornell.edu/information/keywords.html>

## 78 1 Introduction

### 79 1.1 *Pluto's ices observations*

80 Among the most striking observations of Pluto made by New Horizons in July  
81 2015 is the prominent nitrogen ice sheet laying in Sputnik Planitia<sup>★</sup> (SP),  
82 which displays a highly diverse range of terrains, as described by [White et al.](#)  
83 (2017); [Moore et al.](#) (2017); [McKinnon et al.](#) (2016). First, bright nitrogen-  
84 rich plains (0°-30°N) contrast with darker plains at higher latitudes (30°-40°N)  
85 having higher amounts of diluted methane, and even darker, more methane  
86 and tholins rich plains (40°-50°N) at the northern edge of SP (see composition  
87 maps Fig. 5.C in [Protopapa et al.](#) (2017) and Figs. 13.2, 15 and 18 in [Schmitt](#)  
88 [et al.](#) (2017)). Cellular patterns, indicative of active solid-state convection  
89 ([McKinnon et al.](#), 2016; [Trowbridge et al.](#), 2016), are observed in the northern  
90 part of SP (0°-40°N) but not in the southern part of SP (0°-25°S). The absence  
91 of convection cells coincides with the presence of hundred meters deep pits  
92 on the surface of the ice sheet ([Moore et al.](#), 2017). Glacial flow activity is  
93 observed through the valleys at the eastern edge of SP (flowing toward the  
94 center of SP from the uplands, 20°S-30°N) and at the northern edge of SP  
95 (flowing outward the basin), as shown by [Howard et al.](#) (2017) and [Umurhan](#)  
96 [et al.](#) (2017). Finally, rugged water ice mountains surround the SP region (Al-  
97 Idrisi, Hillary and Tenzing Montes), suggesting that they have been eroded  
98 and shaped over time by the action of glacial flow ([Stern et al.](#), 2015; [Howard](#)  
99 [et al.](#), 2017), as the terrestrial “Nunataks” in Greenland and Antarctica.

100 New Horizons also revealed bright deposits of nitrogen outside SP. In the  
101 equatorial regions, the eastern side of Tombaugh Regio is covered by numerous  
102 patches of nitrogen ice, which are also observed further east in the depressions  
103 and valleys between the high altitude “bladed terrains” deposits ([Moore et al.](#),  
104 2017) and further west at the bottom of deep craters ([Schmitt et al.](#), 2017).  
105 In addition, bright patches of nitrogen ice are detected over a latitudinal band  
106 between 30°N and 60°N ([Schmitt et al.](#), 2017).

107 What is the history of Sputnik Planitia and the nitrogen deposits? Resurfacing  
108 by glacial flow, solid-state convection, or nitrogen sublimation and condensa-  
109 tion have been proposed to explain the formation and disappearance of the  
110 pits and polygonal cells within SP ([White et al.](#), 2017; [Moore et al.](#), 2017).  
111 In addition, sublimation-condensation processes are thought to drive the dif-  
112 ference in ice albedos, composition and distribution outside Sputnik Planitia  
113 ([White et al.](#), 2017; [Protopapa et al.](#), 2017; [Howard et al.](#), 2017). However,  
114 the timescales and amounts of ice involved are not known, which prevents us

---

★ The place names mentioned in this paper include a mix of officially approved names and informal names.

115 from distinguishing the roles of each process and the nature of the reservoirs  
116 (perennial/seasonal).

## 117 1.2 *Modelling of the present-day Pluto's volatile cycle*

118 The condensation and sublimation of Pluto's volatiles and their transport  
119 over several Pluto seasons has been modelled under current orbital conditions  
120 by [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#), that is with an obliquity of  $119.6^\circ$ , an orbit  
121 eccentricity of 0.2488 and a solar longitude at perihelion of  $3.8^\circ$ . Solar lon-  
122 gitude at perihelion ( $L_{s\ peri}$ ) is the Pluto-Sun angle at perihelion, measured  
123 from the Northern Hemisphere spring equinox ( $L_{s\ peri}=0^\circ$  when the perihe-  
124 lion coincides with the northern spring equinox). It defines the link between  
125 Pluto season and the distance from the Sun (and thus also the duration of the  
126 season). Results using a seasonal thermal inertia for the sub-surface within  
127  $500\text{-}1500\text{ J s}^{-1/2}\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ K}^{-1}$  showed that nitrogen and carbon monoxide (CO)  
128 are trapped inside the Sputnik Planitia basin because its low altitude (its sur-  
129 face is located at  $\sim 3\text{ km}$  below the surroundings terrains) induces a higher  
130 condensation temperature of the ice (compared to the ice outside the basin),  
131 leading to an enhanced thermal infrared cooling and condensation rate at the  
132 bottom of the basin ([Bertrand and Forget, 2016](#)). Note that the reverse oc-  
133 curs on Earth, where the ice caps form at the poles and at high altitude. In  
134 these simulations, methane also accumulates in SP but its low volatility allows  
135 it to condense on warmer surfaces (where  $\text{N}_2$  and CO would instantly subli-  
136 mate) and form seasonal frosts of pure methane everywhere in the fall-winter  
137 hemisphere except at the equator which tends to remain free of volatile ice.  
138 Results also showed that bright methane frosts in the northern hemisphere  
139 could favour nitrogen condensation on it and thus lead to the formation of  
140 a seasonal nitrogen polar cap. These polar deposits sublime in spring from  
141 the pole and in 2015, only a latitudinal band of nitrogen frost around  $45^\circ\text{N}$   
142 remained.

## 143 1.3 *The changes of obliquity and orbital parameters over astronomical timescales*

144 While [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#) focused on the volatile cycles in Pluto's  
145 current orbital conditions, these latter are known to vary over timescales of  
146  $100\,000$  terrestrial years. Pluto's high obliquity varies between  $104^\circ$  and  $127^\circ$   
147 over  $2.8\text{ Myrs}$ . The solar longitude of perihelion of Pluto's orbit varies with a  
148 precession period of  $3.7\text{ Myrs}$ , while its eccentricity oscillates between  $0.222$   
149 and  $0.266$  with a  $3.95\text{ Myrs}$  period ([Earle et al., 2017](#); [Binzel et al., 2017](#);  
150 [Hamilton et al., 2016](#)). The variation of these parameters, known as the Mi-  
151 lankovitch mechanism, also occurs on the Earth, Mars and Titan. The pa-

rameters combine to modulate the solar insolation and surface temperatures, forcing the volatile ices that form glaciers, frost or lakes to migrate in different regions with time.

On Earth, obliquity changes are known to have played a critical role in pacing glacial and interglacial eras. Because of the absence of a big moon and its proximity to Jupiter, Mars has an obliquity which varies much more strongly than on Earth, and experienced periods when poles were warmer than equator, like on Pluto. Many surface structures of Mars are thought to be the effect of orbital forcing or of the Milankovitch cycle on the climate of Mars. For instance, climate modeling efforts showed that during high obliquity periods, ice can be deposited almost anywhere in the mid-latitudes, explaining the evidences of glaciers and widespread ground-ice mantle in these regions, while during low obliquity ice is transported back to the poles (Levrard et al., 2007; Mischna et al., 2003; Forget et al., 2006; Madeleine et al., 2009, e.g.). On Titan, the cooler summers in the north pole explain the lakes preference for the northern latitudes (Schneider et al., 2012). The differing solar insolation between both hemisphere would result from the eccentricity of Titans orbit and the obliquity of Saturn, coupled with Titans low inclination and obliquity (Aharonson et al., 2009). Climatic changes similar in scale to Earths climatic cycles are expected as the obliquity and orbital parameters of Titan vary on timescales of tens of thousands of years.

By comparison with the Earth, Mars and Titan, Pluto’s climate is expected to be dictated by the universal Milankovitch mechanism as well. A few studies have explored the variation of insolation on Pluto caused by the changes of obliquity, solar longitude of perihelion and eccentricity, and have shown that the obliquity is the main driver of Pluto’s insolation (Earle et al., 2017; Binzel et al., 2017; Stern et al., 2017). However these thermal models neglected the impact of seasonal thermal inertia (TI), which strongly controls surface temperatures (see Section 3) and they did not address the transport of volatiles, necessary to fully understand how Pluto’s ices evolved in the past.

#### 1.4 Objectives of this paper

Our objective is to investigate the evolution and distribution of nitrogen ice on Pluto over the past millions of Earth years (Myrs). To do that, we extend the Bertrand and Forget (2016) study and use the Pluto’s volatile transport model, taking into account (1) the changes of the astronomical cycles (obliquity, solar longitude of perihelion and eccentricity) induced by the perturbation of the Sun on the Pluto-Charon binary system (Dobrovolskis et al., 1997), (2) realistic reservoirs of nitrogen ice, (3) the changes of ice thickness induced by glacial flow.



191 Pluto’s astronomical cycles are thought to have been stable over at least the  
 192 last 20 million years [Binzel et al. \(2017\)](#), and probably even before. The first  
 193 reason is that Pluto’s orbit is in a relatively isolated region of the Solar System,  
 194 never getting within  $\sim 11$  AU of any major planet, and it is therefore subject to  
 195 very little perturbations ([Dobrovolskis et al., 1997](#)). In addition, [Binzel et al.](#)  
 196 [\(2017\)](#) state that the presence of ancient craters at the equator demonstrates  
 197 a certain stability of the astronomical cycles, which could extend back in time  
 198 by hundreds of Myrs (otherwise the craters would have been eroded away  
 199 or completely buried). In this paper, we assume that the astronomical cycles  
 200 remained stable during the last 30 Myrs.

201 Here we explore the impact of orbital and obliquity changes on the nitrogen  
 202 cycle only. Thus, all the simulations of this paper are performed without the  
 203 cycles of methane and CO. This choice is driven by the fact that the number of  
 204 sensitivity parameters and initial states explored in this work already makes it  
 205 start at a certain complexity level. In fact, we know from [Bertrand and Forget](#)  
 206 [\(2016\)](#) that CO “follows“  $N_2$  and remains always trapped in  $N_2$  ice. The case  
 207 of  $CH_4$  ice is much more complex because it can form  $CH_4$ -rich deposits (with  
 208 3-5%  $N_2$ ) on Pluto’s surface and trigger  $N_2$  condensation if its albedo is high  
 209 enough. Exploring the cycle of methane over astronomical timescales and its  
 210 impact on Pluto’s climate will be the topic of a separate paper.

211 In Section 2, we describe the Pluto volatile transport model and its recent  
 212 development allowing for the simulations of the nitrogen cycle over several  
 213 astronomical cycles (in particular, the model includes an ice redistribution  
 214 algorithm, glacial flow modelling, changes of topography, obliquity, and orbital  
 215 parameters with time).

216 In Section 3, we show the impact of the obliquity, the orbital parameters and  
 217 the thermal inertia on the surface temperatures averaged over the past Myrs.

218 Then, in Section 4, we investigate how the past cycles of nitrogen sublimation  
 219 and condensation (at diurnal, seasonal, astronomical timescales), as well as  
 220 the glacial flow of  $N_2$  ice may have shaped Sputnik Planitia as it is observed  
 221 today.

222 In Section 5, we explore possible steady state for nitrogen deposits outside  
 223 Sputnik Planitia, by performing simulations over the last 30 Myrs with differ-  
 224 ent reservoirs of  $N_2$  ice initially placed at the poles, at the equator or uniformly  
 225 spread over the surface. We also explore the maximum and minimum surface  
 226 pressures Pluto encountered during that time. We discuss these results in Sec-  
 227 tion 6.

## 228 2 Model description

229 We use the latest version of the Pluto volatile transport model of the Labo-  
230 ratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) (Bertrand and Forget, 2016, see  
231 Methods).

232 This model represents the physical processes that control the condensation and  
233 sublimation of Pluto’s volatiles (insolation, surface thermal balance) and uses  
234 a simple global mixing function to parametrize the atmospheric transport and  
235 dynamics. Note that in this model, the atmosphere is considered transparent:  
236 there is no atmospheric process taken into account aside from the condensa-  
237 tion, sublimation and exchanges of latent heat with the surface. Such a model  
238 works well on Pluto because the surface energy balance is not significantly  
239 sensitive to the atmospheric sensible heat flux and to the radiative transfer  
240 through the air.

241 In this section, we describe the grid and surface properties used in our sim-  
242 ulations (Sections 2.1 and 2.2) and the recent developments performed in  
243 the code, allowing the simulation of the nitrogen cycles over astronomical  
244 timescales. These improvements concern a paleoclimate mode (Section 2.3),  
245 the implementation of the latest topography of Pluto with a specific relief for  
246 the SP basin (Section 2.4) and a glacial flow scheme (Section 2.5). Note that  
247 all figures and maps are shown using the IAU convention, spin north system  
248 for definition of the north pole (Buie et al., 1997; Zangari, 2015), that is with  
249 spring-summer in the northern hemisphere during the 21th Century.

### 250 2.1 Model grid

251 In this paper, the simulations investigating the stability of N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits  
252 outside SP (Section 5) have been performed with a horizontal grid of 32×24  
253 points, that is a grid-point spacing of 7.5° latitude by 11.25° longitude and  
254 a spatial resolution of about 150 km. Simulations focusing on the N<sub>2</sub> cycle  
255 within Sputnik Planitia (Section 4) have been performed with a twice higher  
256 spatial resolution of 5.6° in longitude and 1.875° in latitude.

### 257 2.2 Model grid and surface properties

258 As in Bertrand and Forget (2016), the reference albedo of nitrogen ice is  
259 set to 0.7, and its emissivity to 0.8. The albedo and emissivity of the bare  
260 ground (free of N<sub>2</sub> ice) are set to 0.1 and 1 respectively. In the soil model, the  
261 near-surface layers have a low-TI to capture the short-period diurnal thermal

262 waves, while the deeper layers have a high-TI to capture the much longer  
 263 seasonal thermal waves. The diurnal TI is set to  $20 \text{ J s}^{-1/2} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$  (or SI),  
 264 as inferred from Spitzer thermal observations (Lellouch et al., 2011). In this  
 265 paper, simulations have been performed without a diurnal cycle (the insolation  
 266 is averaged over the Pluto day) and therefore the diurnal TI has no impact on  
 267 the results. The reference seasonal thermal inertia TI is uniformly set to 800 SI,  
 268 because it corresponds to the best case simulation reproducing the threefold  
 269 increase of surface pressure observed between 1988 and 2015 (Bertrand and  
 270 Forget, 2016) and the 1-1.2 Pa value in 2015. Here we assume that all terrains  
 271 (water ice bedrock and nitrogen ice) have the same TI. In this paper, sensitivity  
 272 simulations have also been performed using 400 and 1200 SI. The modelled  
 273 diurnal and annual skin depths are 0.008 m and 20-60 m respectively.

274 To adequately resolve these scale lengths, the subsurface is divided into 24  
 275 discrete layers, with a geometrically stretched distribution of layers with higher  
 276 resolution near the surface to capture the short waves (the depth of the first  
 277 layer is  $z_1 = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}$ ) and a coarser grid for deeper layers and long waves  
 278 (the deepest layer depth is near 1000 m). The depth of each layer is given by:

$$279 \quad z_k = z_1 2^{k-1} \quad (1)$$

280 Our simulations are performed assuming no internal heat flux. Adding an  
 281 internal heat flux of few  $\text{mW m}^{-2}$ , as suggested in Robuchon and Nimmo  
 282 (2011) for Pluto, does not change significantly the results. Our tests show  
 283 that the surface temperature increases by 0.2 K when taking into account an  
 284 internal heat flux of  $3 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$  (see the discussion in 2.5).

285 Note that the seasonal thermal inertia of  $\text{N}_2$  ice does not impact the amount  
 286 of condensed or sublimed  $\text{N}_2$  ice to first order.

287 Indeed, as shown by equation 12 and 13 in Forget et al. (2017), the varia-  
 288 tion of the exchanged mass between the surface and the atmosphere  $\delta m_0$  is  
 289 nearly proportional to a product involving the surface heat capacity  $c_s$  (in  
 290  $\text{J m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ), which depends on the thermal inertia:

$$291 \quad \delta m_0 \propto \frac{c_s}{L_{\text{N}_2}} \Delta T_s \quad (2)$$

292 with  $L_{\text{N}_2}$  the latent heat of  $\text{N}_2$  ( $2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ ) and  $T_s$  the surface temperature.  
 293 Yet  $\Delta T_s$  is nearly proportional to  $\frac{F}{c_s}$  to first order, with  $F$  the thermal flux  
 294 absorbed by the surface ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ). Hence:

$$295 \quad \delta m_0 \propto \frac{F}{L_{\text{N}_2}} \quad (3)$$

Consequently, to first order,  $\delta m_0$  is independent of  $c_s$  and of thermal inertia.

### 2.3 The paleoclimate mode and ice equilibration algorithm

Because the solar flux received by Pluto's surface is very low (about  $1 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ), and because Pluto makes a full orbit around the Sun every 248 years, the modelled surface and subsurface temperatures and the surface ice distribution require simulations of several Pluto years to reach a steady state. Running the Pluto volatile transport model with the  $\text{N}_2$  cycle only can take around 5 minutes of computing time for one simulated Pluto year at the chosen resolution. Consequently, running climate evolution over 1 Myrs would require 2 weeks of simulation and performing paleoclimate simulations over several astronomical cycles, e.g. over the last 30 Myrs, would be prohibitively time-consuming. To resolve this problem, we implemented in the model a paleoclimate mode containing an ice iteration scheme. The algorithm is similar to the approach taken for Mars simulations in [Wordsworth et al. \(2013\)](#): Starting from the initial surface ice distribution  $q^-$  ( $\text{kg m}^{-2}$ ), the model is ran normally for 5 Pluto years (Step 1), in order to reach repeatable annual condensation and sublimation rates as well as repeatable annual temperatures variations. In the last year, the annual mean ice rate of change  $\langle dq/dt \rangle$  is evaluated at each grid point and then multiplied by a multiple-year timestep  $\Delta t$  to give the updated surface ice distribution  $q^+$  and reservoir (Step 2):

$$q_{ice}^+ = q_{ice}^- + \langle \frac{dq_{ice}}{dt} \rangle \Delta t \quad (4)$$

If the reservoir of ice at one gridpoint has entirely sublimed during the paleo-timestep  $\Delta t$ , the amount of ice is set to  $0 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ , and after redistribution, the amount in each cell is normalized to conserve the total nitrogen mass (ice and vapour) in the system. Then, the topography is updated according to the new thickness of the deposits on the surface (Step 3). Finally, the orbital parameters and the obliquity are changed according to the new date of the simulation (Step 4). Then the loop started again: the model is run again for 5 Pluto years ; at each paleo-timestep  $\Delta t$ , the topography is updated according to the annual mean ice rate of the last Pluto year, and the orbital parameters and the obliquity of Pluto are changed according to the new date  $t+\Delta t$ .

The paleo-timestep must be small enough so that the changes of obliquity and orbital parameters allow the surface ice distribution to reach a steady state at each paleo timestep, but it must be high enough to reduce significantly the computing time of the simulation. In our simulations described here, we typically used  $\Delta t = 50\,000$  Earth years, which corresponds to about 200 Pluto orbits and a maximal change in its obliquity of  $1^\circ$  of latitude ([Binzel et al.](#),

333 2017).

334 The changes of obliquity, solar longitude of perihelion and eccentricity are  
 335 taken from Earle et al. (2017) and Dobrovolskis et al. (1997) and are shown on  
 336 Figure 1. Assuming that the obliquity and orbital parameters remain periodic  
 337 with time, we extrapolated the data back to 30 Myrs ago, which corresponds  
 338 to the starting date of our simulations. Here the variations of eccentricity  
 339 (between  $e_{min}=0.222$  and  $e_{max}=0.266$ ) are taken into account but not shown  
 340 for the sake of simplicity. Note that its impact on Pluto’s climate is negligible  
 341 compared to the change of the two other parameters (Hamilton et al., 2016).




Fig. 1. The astronomical cycles of Pluto during the past 14 Earth million years ( $\sim 5$  obliquity cycles): cycles of obliquity (blue solid line, period: 2.8 Myrs) and  $L_{s\ peri}$  (red solid line, period: 3.7 Myrs). High obliquity refers to values close to  $90^\circ$ , while "low" obliquity is used here to designate the periods with minimal obliquity, that is far from  $90^\circ$ , although here it remains relatively high compared to other bodies in the Solar System. Present-day Pluto (obliquity= $119.6^\circ$ , that is  $60.4^\circ$  in retrograde rotation) is subject to an intermediate obliquity (white background). A low obliquity period occurred 2.35 Myrs ago (blue background) and a high obliquity period 0.9 Myrs ago (red background).

## 342 2.4 Topography

343 The simulations are performed with the latest topography data of Pluto  
 344 (Schenk et al., 2018a). In the south hemisphere, where there is no data, we  
 345 considered a flat surface (at mean radius). If we model a surface topography in  
 346 the southern hemisphere varying of few kilometers, results remain unchanged  
 347 and  $N_2$  ice will accumulate at similar latitudes.

348 In the simulations performed with glacial flow of  $N_2$  ice, we modified the  
 349 topography of Sputnik Planitia by placing the bedrock much deeper than the  
 350 actual surface of SP, in order to represent SP with realistic amounts of ice.  
 351 We assume that the bedrock below the center of SP is a 10 km deep elliptical  
 352 basin, which is in the range of the estimates for the thickness of volatiles  
 353 where polygonal cells are observed (Moore et al., 2016; McKinnon et al., 2016;  
 354 Trowbridge et al., 2016; Keane et al., 2016).

355 The elliptical basin covers the latitudes  $10^\circ\text{S}$ - $50^\circ\text{N}$  (Figure 2), with a semi  
 356 major axis of 1200 km and the foci  $F=(42^\circ\text{N}, 163^\circ\text{E})$  and  $F'=(1.75^\circ\text{N}, 177^\circ\text{W})$ .  
 357 The edges and the southern parts of the basin are less deep, in accordance  
 358 with typical impact basin shapes and the absence of convective cells there. We  
 359 have different cases where we placed the bedrock in these areas at 4 km or  
 360 5 km below the mean surface level, as shown by Figure 2. In the simulations  
 361 focusing on  $N_2$  ice inside SP (Section 4), this modelled basin is then filled  
 362 with  $N_2$  ice up to 2.5 km below the mean surface level, which corresponds  
 363 to the observed altitude of the surface of the SP ice sheet (in that case, the  
 364 thickness of ice at the centre of SP would be  $10-2.5=7.5$  km). Note that in  
 365 the modeled topography we removed the water ice mountains from Al-Idrisi  
 366 to Hillary Montes for the sake of simplicity.

## 367 2.5 Ice viscous flow modelling

368 Despite being completely frozen, Plutos surface is remarkably active. Evidence  
 369 of current and past flowing nitrogen ice have been observed by New Horizons  
 370 all around Sputnik Planitia (rugged eroded water ice mountains, glaciers with  
 371 moraine-like ridges...) and at higher latitudes (Stern et al., 2017; Howard et al.,  
 372 2017; Umurhan et al., 2017).

373 In order to represent the nitrogen ice flow in the model, we use a laminar  
 374 glacial flow scheme, as presented in Umurhan et al. (2017), which is based on  
 375 the  $N_2$  ice rheology for low surface temperatures described in Yamashita et al.  
 376 (2010) and depends on the thickness and temperature of the ice. The model  
 377 has several limitations. First, very little laboratory data is available under  
 378 Plutonian surface conditions and the rheology of solid  $N_2$  has not been very  
 379 well constrained to date (see Umurhan et al. (2017)), not to mention the rhe-  
 380 ology of the possible ice mixtures on Pluto and of the  $\alpha$  crystalline structure.  
 381  $N_2$  ice behaves as a viscous fluid with a viscosity ranging between  $10^8$ - $10^{12}$   
 382 Pa s at stresses of  $10^5$  Pa (Durham et al., 2010), and flows more rapidly than  
 383 water ice on Earth, despite the lower gravity of Pluto. Secondly, the model  
 384 strongly depends on the height of the column of ice, its temperature and the  
 385  $N_2$  ice rheology properties, which are poorly known (Umurhan et al., 2017).  
 386 In addition, we are limited by the horizontal resolution, which prevents us to






Fig. 2. Left: Topography of Sputnik Planitia as seen by New Horizons, filled by ice at 2.5 km below the mean surface (Schenk et al., 2016a,b). Right: The modeled initial topography of Sputnik Planitia with a 10 km deep bedrock (here not filled by nitrogen ice) assumed in the model.

reproduce with precision small glacial flows (e.g. in the narrow channels east of SP or around Tenzing Montes in the southern part of SP). Consequently, the model of glacial flow used in this paper does not intend to be quantitatively accurate given its simplicity and the unknowns but instead intends to reproduce to first order the activity of the nitrogen glaciers (e.g. in Sputnik Planitia rapid flows in the centre of Sputnik Planitia and slow flows on the edges).

We use the scheme described in Umurhan et al. (2017) under the following assumptions. First, we consider that the ice within SP flows like pure nitrogen ice. Then, we consider the simple case of a laminar flow with an isothermal ice, without basal melting (thus we are in the case of a basally cold and dry glacier). This is typically valid for thin layers of ice (shallow ice-sheet approximation). In fact, a conductive temperature gradient of  $15 \text{ K km}^{-1}$  due to internal heat flux on Pluto is suggested in (McKinnon et al., 2016), assuming no convection. In that case, basal melting would occur below about 2 km of  $\text{N}_2$  ice, assuming a surface temperature of 37 K. This  $15 \text{ K km}^{-1}$  value is probably an upper limit because of the convection within the ice. (McKinnon et al., 2016) suggest that

the ice layer is convecting in the so-called sluggish lid regime, which involves the entire layer of ice in the overturn. Therefore the ice temperature within the layer is likely colder than the temperature assumed without convection. (McKinnon et al., 2016) use a Nusselt number of 3.2, which means that the effective temperature gradient (in the horizontal mean) is rather 5 K km<sup>-1</sup>. In that case, basal melting would occur below about 5 km of N<sub>2</sub> ice.

If the surface temperature of the ice has approached the melting temperature of 63 K at the triple-point of N<sub>2</sub> in the past, as suggested in (Stern et al., 2017), then it is likely that the thin layers of ice at the edges of the ice sheet were “temperate” glaciers at this time. However, our results show that during an entire astronomical cycle (even during high obliquity periods), the surface temperatures of nitrogen ice remain low, below 40 K (see Section 5.3), which reinforces our assumption of dry glacier at the edges of SP. Here we apply the case of a basally cold and dry glacier to all encountered ice thicknesses (with no internal heat flux and no basal melting). This is acceptable to first order because (1) our study focus on the edges of SP and on the glaciers outside SP, whose thickness in the model remains thin (<1 km), (2) the large amount of ice in the centre of SP already flows extremely rapidly; a basal melting here would allow for even more rapid flow which would not significantly impact our results, (3) although the impact of internal heat flux on soil and surface temperature is not negligible (+0.2 K at the surface), it has a small effect on the flow compared to other parameters of the model, which are not well constrained, such as the albedo and the thickness of the ice (the glacial flow modelling strongly depends on the depth of the bedrock). We tested the model assuming that the effective temperature controlling the glacial flow is the one that we would obtain at the bottom of the glacier taking into account a conductive temperature gradient of 15 K km<sup>-1</sup> (that is ~55 K for 1 km thick glacier), and it does not change significantly the results of this paper.

Finally, we consider that the bedrock remains static and is not altered by the glacial flow. Consequently, in the model, the ice is transferred from one grid point to another one using the modified Arrhenius-Glen analytic function of the mass-flux given in Umurhan et al. (2017):

$$q_0 = g_Q \exp\left[\frac{\frac{H}{H_a}}{1 + \frac{H}{H_{\Delta T}}}\right] q_{glen} \quad (5)$$

$$q_{glen} = A(\rho g)^n \frac{H^{n+2}}{n+2} \frac{\tan^{n-1}(\theta)}{(1 + \tan^2(\theta))^{\frac{n}{2}}} \quad (6)$$

With  $q_0$  in m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>,  $g$  the gravity at Pluto’s surface (0.6192 m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $H$  the ice thickness of the considered column of ice.  $\rho$  is the nitrogen ice density, set to 1000 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (Scott, 1976; McKinnon et al., 2016; Umurhan et al., 2017).  $g_Q$  is a corrective factor given in Umurhan et al. (2017) and set to 0.5.  $H_a$



and  $H_{\Delta T}$  are parameters depending on the surface pressure and are given by equation 14 in [Umurhan et al. \(2017\)](#).  $\theta$  is the angle between the two adjacent columns of ice (see Figure 7 in [Umurhan et al. \(2017\)](#)), and is defined by  $\theta = \arctan(\Delta H / L_{ref})$ , with  $\Delta H$  the difference of altitude between both columns (computed from the bedrock topography and the amount of ice) and  $L_{ref}$  is the characteristic distance of the glacial flow (distance between both columns, that is both adjacent grid-points). The parameters  $A$  and  $n$  are given in [Umurhan et al. \(2017\)](#) are only depend on the surface temperature. By using this scheme in our model, we obtain the same relaxation times for the ice than those shown on Figure 8-9 in [Umurhan et al. \(2017\)](#) (the corresponding relaxation time of a 50 km long channel, sloping at  $\theta = 10^\circ$  and initiated with 200 m of glacial ice is about 50 years). We adapted this scheme so that it fits on the model grid and so that each grid point can redistribute the correct amount of ice to the neighboring points.

### 3 Orbital, obliquity and TI changes as drivers of surface temperatures

Obliquity is the main driver of insolation changes on Pluto. The polar regions receive more flux than the equator on annual average during high obliquity periods and about the same flux than the equator during low obliquity periods. However, although one could think the rule also applies for surface temperatures, it is not systematically the case. The following paragraph explains why.

If one assumes that the surface temperature is only driven by the absorbed flux and the infrared cooling (neglecting the soil TI, the latent and sensible heat flux), then the surface temperature at equilibrium is given by:

$$T_{eq} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{(1 - A)F}{\epsilon \sigma}} \quad (7)$$

with  $A$  and  $\epsilon$  the surface albedo and emissivity respectively,  $F$  the absorbed flux and  $\sigma$  the Boltzmann constant. This equation shows that when the flux  $F$  strongly increases, the surface temperature only increases by a factor of  $F^{1/4}$ . In other words, the thermal infrared cooling limits the increase of surface temperatures.

As a result, the surface temperatures at the poles do not increase as much as the insolation during summer, and the poles can be colder than the equator on annual average, even if the mean insolation is not. This is true for low thermal inertia ( $< 800 \text{ SI}$ ), but not for medium-to-high TI, which enables the poles to store the heat accumulated during summer and release it during winter,

as illustrated on Figure 3. In the cases of TI between 800-1200 SI, the poles and the equator have similar surface temperatures and the coldest regions are around  $\pm 30^\circ$  latitude. The higher the TI, the more equatorial are the coldest regions.




Fig. 3. Surface thermal model results. Left: Annual mean incident solar flux for an obliquity of  $104^\circ$ ,  $116^\circ$ ,  $119.6^\circ$  and  $127^\circ$ . Generally speaking, the poles receive more flux in average than the equatorial regions, except during the low obliquity periods ( $127^\circ$ ) where mid-latitudes receive less flux in average. Right: Annual mean surface temperatures obtained with the obliquity of  $119.5^\circ$  and the incident solar flux shown on the left panel, a  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  of  $0^\circ$  and TI of 400 SI (red), 600 SI (purple), 800 SI (blue), 1000 SI (orange), 1200 SI (green). The surface albedo is uniformly set to 0.1, and the emissivity to 1. The coldest points are the poles for the low TI case and the “low latitudes bands” at  $\pm 30^\circ$  for the high TI case.

The variation of  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  is also significant because of the high eccentricity of Pluto’s orbit. In the past Myrs, the  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  parameter has created a North-South asymmetry of annual mean insolation and surface temperatures, favouring a warmer southern hemisphere when  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  values were close to  $90^\circ$  and a warmer northern hemisphere when  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  values were close to  $270^\circ$  (Figure 4.A). The surface temperatures tend to be the same in both hemispheres for  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  values close to  $0^\circ$  and  $180^\circ$ .

The surface temperatures averaged over the last 14 Myrs (which corresponds to the last 5 obliquity cycles) and over the last 2.8 Myrs are shown on Figure 4.B. For the same reasons mentioned above, the equatorial regions are colder than the poles for medium-to-high TI and warmer for low TI ( $< 800$  SI). For medium-to-high TI, the lowest temperatures are obtained at  $30^\circ\text{N}$ - $45^\circ\text{N}$ , which corresponds to the latitudes where a band of nitrogen ice has been observed by New Horizons. It can also be noted that the northern hemisphere is in average over several Myrs slightly colder than the southern hemisphere in all TI cases. This is because the last high obliquity periods of Pluto’s past




Fig. 4. Surface thermal model results. Left: Annual mean surface temperatures obtained assuming uniform and constant surface conditions (surface albedo = 0.1, TI=800 SI) for the maximum and minimum values of obliquities (red: 104°, green:127°) and  $L_{s\ peri}$  (solid line: 0°, dashed: 90°, dot-dashed: 270°). While the obliquity and the TI drive the location of the coldest region (polar or equatorial regions), the  $L_{s\ peri}$  induces an asymmetry of temperatures with  $L_s=90^\circ$  and  $L_s=270^\circ$  leading to a colder and warmer north pole respectively. Right: Surface temperatures averaged over the last 14 Myrs (last 5 obliquity cycles, solid lines) and 2.8 Myrs (last obliquity cycle, dashed lines) for different cases of TI. The surface albedo is uniformly set to 0.1, and the emissivity to 1. The polar regions are warmer than the equatorial regions, except in the case of TI lower than 800 SI. Our reference simulation (TI=800 SI) shows that the regions around  $\pm 30^\circ$  are colder in average.

497 (during which the poles receive the most of insolation) remained coupled with  
 498 a solar longitude at perihelion close to  $90^\circ$ , thus favouring colder northern  
 499 latitudes during these periods and in average over several Myrs.

500 Assuming that the evolution of obliquity and  $L_{s\ peri}$  remained stable during the  
 501 last billion of years, one can quantify the shift between the obliquity and the  
 502  $L_{s\ peri}$  values. As shown by Figure 5, between 260 and 165 Myrs ago, the  $L_{s\ peri}$   
 503 during high obliquity periods varied from  $225^\circ$  to  $315^\circ$ , which favoured colder  
 504 southern latitudes in average over several Myrs. Between 165 and 70 Myrs  
 505 ago, the  $L_{s\ peri}$  during high obliquity periods varied from  $-45^\circ$  to  $+45^\circ$ , leading  
 506 to symmetric surface temperatures between both hemisphere in average over  
 507 several Myrs. Finally, from 70 Myrs up to now, the  $L_{s\ peri}$  during high obliquity  
 508 periods varied from  $45^\circ$  to  $113^\circ$ , which favoured colder northern latitudes in  
 509 average over several Myrs. The entire period of the cycle obliquity+ $L_{s\ peri}$  is  
 510 375 Myrs.




Fig. 5. Evolution of the solar longitude of perihelion ( $L_{s \text{ peri}}$ ) at high obliquity ( $104^\circ$ ) during the last 1000 Myrs (assuming astronomical cycles stable with time). The  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  at high obliquity has been slowly shifted with time, e.g. from  $110.8^\circ$  to  $113.4^\circ$  during the last 6 Myrs, due to the slight difference of periods between both  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  and obliquity cycles. During the last 70 Myrs, the  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  value at high obliquity remained close to  $90^\circ$  and thus lead to an asymmetry of insolation and surface temperatures favouring a slightly warmer south hemisphere (see Figure 4).

## 511 4 Exploring the changes of $N_2$ ice thickness in Sputnik Planitia

512 In this section, we explore the past evolution of the  $N_2$  ice thickness within  
 513 Sputnik Planitia using the volatile transport model in the configuration as  
 514 described above and with all of the initial  $N_2$  ice reservoir sequestered in  
 515 the deep Sputnik Planitia basin. We explore the changes of  $N_2$  ice thickness  
 516 considering its condensation and sublimation cycles, first without glacial flow  
 517 (Section 4.1) and then with glacial flow (Section 4.2). In this paper we assume  
 518 a compact  $N_2$ -rich ice so that 1 kg of ice per  $m^2$  corresponds to a thickness of  
 519 1 mm.

### 520 4.1 The cycles of condensation and sublimation

521 We first start the simulation 30 Myrs ago with nitrogen ice sequestered in  
 522 SP and let the amount of ice evolve at the surface. In this section, we do  
 523 not perform the simulations with glacial flow. Instead, we assume that the  
 524 timescale for ice viscous flow is very short and that the ice sheet surface is  
 525 effectively a level sheet, remaining flat at all times, and we only evaluate the  
 526 condensation and sublimation rates within SP. Note that in this simulation,

527 no nitrogen frost form outside SP.

528 Figure 6 shows the net change of N<sub>2</sub> ice thickness obtained with the model  
529 over four different timescales: A, one Pluto day in July 2015; B, one current  
530 Pluto year; C, during the last 500 000 Earth years, which correspond to the  
531 estimated time of full resurfacing of SP by the action of the convection cells  
532 ([McKinnon et al., 2016](#)); D, during the last 2.8 Myrs (last obliquity cycle).  
533 These results are compared with geologic features observed by New Horizons  
534 within SP (Figure 6.E-F).




Fig. 6. Sublimation-condensation rates of  $N_2$  (zoom at Sputnik Planitia) at different timescales (note the order of magnitude differences between the colorbars, from  $\mu\text{m}$  to  $\text{m}$ ): (A) During one Pluto day in July 2015. (B) During one Pluto year in current orbital conditions. (C) During the last 0.5 Myrs. (D) During the last 2.8 Myrs (obliquity cycle). (E) Geological map of the Sputnik Planitia region (a full resolution version can be found in [White et al. \(2017\)](#)). (F) New Horizons mosaic of Sputnik Planitia, with recent glacial activity indicated by the red area. The purple line indicates the extent of the  $N_2$  ice sourced for the glaciation, the cyan line indicates the current ice deposition limit, and the red line indicates the inferred former ice deposition limit. The black arrows indicate the direction of the flow. Originally shown as Fig. 6 in [Howard et al. \(2017\)](#).




Fig. 7. Variations of  $\text{N}_2$  ice thickness within Sputnik Planitia, during one Pluto day in July 2015, normalized to 0 at  $t=0$ . The data is taken at the longitude  $180^\circ$ , where the ice covers the latitudes  $30^\circ\text{S}$ - $50^\circ\text{N}$ . As shown by Figure 6, the flux does not vary with longitude within Sputnik Planitia.




Fig. 8. Evolution of the diurnal mean condensation-sublimation rate within Sputnik Planitia (mm per Pluto day), in current orbital conditions, from 1800 to 2050 assuming that the glacier remains flat.






Fig. 9. Variations of  $N_2$  ice thickness within Sputnik Planitia (normalized to 0 at  $t=1800$ ), in current orbital conditions, from 1800 to 2050 assuming that the glacier remains flat. The data is taken at the longitude  $180^\circ$ . Although the net budget of ice within one Pluto year varies by tens of mm (Figure 6.B), the thickness of ice involved during this year reaches hundreds of mm.




Fig. 10. Evolution of the annual mean condensation-sublimation rate of  $N_2$  ice with time (mm per Pluto year), assuming that the glacier remains flat (same as Figure 8). The vertical solid and dashed lines correspond to the periods of high ( $104^\circ$ ) and low ( $127^\circ$ ) obliquity respectively.



#### 535 4.1.1 The current annual timescale

536 Figure 7 shows the normalized diurnal variations of N<sub>2</sub> ice thickness over  
 537 a Pluto day in July 2015. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the diurnal mean  
 538 condensation-sublimation rate (net change of N<sub>2</sub> ice thickness) within Sputnik  
 539 Planitia, over one current Pluto year, since 1800, while Figure 9 shows the  
 540 normalized variations of N<sub>2</sub> ice thickness at same dates (gross change of N<sub>2</sub>  
 541 ice thickness).

542 Over one Pluto day, several tens of micrometres of nitrogen ice move from  
 543 the summer (North) to the winter (South) parts of SP (Figure 6.A, Figure 7),  
 544 while in one current Pluto year, a net amount of 20-50 mm of ice accumulates  
 545 around 30°N, 10-15 mm are lost in the southern part (< 10°N) and 20-50 mm  
 546 are lost in the northern edge of SP (> 40°N, Figure 6.B).

547 In 2015, the regions above 15°N are in a sublimation-dominated regime, while  
 548 regions below 15°N are in a condensation-dominated regime (Figure 6.A, Fig-  
 549 ure 8). The southern regions of SP entered the condensation-dominated regime  
 550 after the northern spring equinox in 1988, where a fast transition of regime  
 551 between the northern and southern regions occurred. Before 1988, the south-  
 552 ern regions had been in a sublimation-dominated regime since 1865. The net  
 553 variation of ice thickness after one Pluto year reaches tens of mm (Figure 6.B,  
 554 Figure 8) but the sublimation-condensation during this period involves thicker  
 555 layers of ice (by a factor 10-30, Figure 9). Between 1865 and 1988 (7033 Pluto  
 556 days), the southern regions lost 0.3-1 m of N<sub>2</sub> ice. Between 1988 and 2015, the  
 557 regions below 10°S accumulated 0.15-0.25 m of N<sub>2</sub> ice.

558 **Association with the observed pits south of Sputnik Planitia** Figure 10  
 559 shows the annual mean condensation-sublimation rates over the last 6 Myrs.  
 560 The southern latitudes of SP (20°S-10°N) are in a sublimation-dominated  
 561 regime since at least 100 000 years. The region below 20°S is a sublimation-  
 562 dominated regime since 1.3 Myrs and currently starts to enter a condensation-  
 563 dominated regime. The net loss of ice involved at the annual timescale in  
 564 these regions occurs in the model at the same latitudes where the small pits  
 565 are observed, explaining their formation there if they formed by sublimation.  
 566 Between 20°S-10°N, if we assume relatively similar insolation conditions over  
 567 the last 100 000 Earth years, with a mean net sublimation rate of 15 mm of N<sub>2</sub>  
 568 ice per Pluto year (Figure 6.B), then the total loss of ice in this region could  
 569 reach 6 m. Below 20°S, assuming a mean sublimation rate of 50 mm of N<sub>2</sub>  
 570 ice per Pluto year (Figure 10) over 1.3 Myrs, the loss of ice reaches ~ 260 m.  
 571 These values are in accordance with the observed depth of the pits (tens of  
 572 meters, [Moore et al. \(2017\)](#)). Other mechanisms not taken into account in  
 573 this model, such as atmospheric winds, light reflection and deposition of dark  
 574 materials at the bottom of the pits may further increase the sublimation rate  
 575 and favor deeper pits formation. This annual mean sublimation pattern could

also explain the disappearance of the polygonal cells (if they are erased by sublimation), although this may also be related to a lower ice thickness (too low to trigger convection), as it is probably the case for all edges of SP.

**Association with the ice albedo and composition** Our results also show that the latitudes where  $N_2$  ice accumulates in average over one Pluto year (Figure 6.B) correspond to the latitudes where bright  $N_2$  plains and a weaker amount of  $CH_4$  in the  $N_2$ - $CH_4$  mixture (both are correlated) are observed in SP (Protopapa et al., 2017; Schmitt et al., 2017; Buratti et al., 2017). Protopapa et al. (2017) note that the abundance of  $N_2:CH_4$  is higher at the center of Sputnik Planitia with respect to the northern area of the basin, contrary to the dilution content of  $CH_4$  in the mixture (Figure 11). They interpret this trend in the composition maps as a possible north-south sublimation transport of nitrogen in Sputnik Planitia (indicated schematically by the arrow in panel B of Figure 11). This is now supported by our results showing a net deposition of  $N_2$  ice in the middle of the basin over the seasonal timescales (Figure 6.B), and a recent deposition of few micrometers of  $N_2$  ice in the southern latitudes during the past 30 Earth years (Figure 8).

Despite its net daily sublimation since about 30 Earth years (Figure 8), the darker cellular plains are currently an area of net ice accumulation on the annual timescale (about 4-8 m in the last 100 000 Earth years, Figure 6.B and Figure 10), explaining why they also remain relatively bright compared to the northern dark trough-bounding plains (above  $40^\circ N$ ), which are subjected to net annual sublimation since almost 1.8 Myrs (Figure 10).

#### 4.1.2 The astronomical timescale

Figure 6.D shows that over the last obliquity cycle (2.8 Myrs ago up to now), up to 300 m of  $N_2$  ice accumulated between  $20^\circ S$ - $30^\circ N$ , while an intense loss of about 1 km of ice occurred at the northern edge of the ice sheet between  $30^\circ N$ - $50^\circ N$ . In addition, at the southern edge of SP (below  $20^\circ S$ ), a net loss of 150 m of ice also occurred. As shown by Figure 10,  $N_2$  sublimation at the northern edge of SP is the most intense during the periods of high obliquity (e.g 0.85 Myrs ago), and still occurs there during a large part of the obliquity cycle, for obliquities lower than  $119^\circ$  (higher than  $61^\circ$  in retrograde rotation). As shown by Figure 6.C, during the last 0.5 Myrs, the mean accumulation and loss of ice occurred at similar latitudes than during the last 2.8 Myrs, except between  $15^\circ S$ - $20^\circ S$  since these latitudes are currently transitioning to a sublimation-dominated regime. During the last 0.5 Myrs, the center of SP accumulated up to 100 m of ice while the northern regions lost 200-300 m of ice, that is




Fig. 11. Modeling results from Protopapa et al. (2017) showing the abundance (A) and the path length (B) of the  $N_2$ -enriched. Panel C shows the dilution content of  $CH_4$  in  $N_2$ .

one third of what they have lost in average over the last obliquity cycle (2.8 Myrs). Note that a net loss of ice continuously occurs at the northern edge of SP since the last 1.8 Myrs. During the same period, ice has been continuously condensing between  $20^\circ S$ - $25^\circ N$ . We can associate several structures of SP to the change of  $N_2$  ice thickness averaged over this astronomical timescale.

**Depressions and outward glacial flows at the northern and southern edge of Sputnik Planitia** First, the latitudes where 1-2 km deep depressions are observed at the northern and southern boundaries of the ice sheet (see Figure 8 and 17 in Howard et al. (2017)) coincide with the latitudes where intense sublimation of ice occurred in the last 2.8 Myrs. This loss of ice should tend to be compensated by glacial flow, in line with the outward direction of the flow observed at these edges, and with the evidences of the particularly strong erosion of the Al-Idrisi Montes at the northern edge of SP (Howard et al., 2017). Simulations with glacial flow are explored in Section 4.2.

**Recent glacial activity at the eastern side of Sputnik Planitia** Sec-

628 on only, the recent glacial activity of ice flowing westward through the valleys  
629 of the eastern side of SP (pink color on Figure 6.F, the observations show  
630 that the ice at the eastern side of SP flows toward the center of SP) occurs  
631 at the same latitudes where nitrogen ice continuously accumulated during the  
632 last 1.8 Myrs (20°S-30°N). We suggest that the accumulation of ice at these  
633 latitudes created a topography gradient at the edge of SP as the thick layer of  
634 ice far from the edge (closer to the center of SP) flowed more rapidly than the  
635 shallow one at the edge. These glacial flows should be reduced or disappear  
636 during the next hundreds of thousand years since these latitudes gradually  
637 enter a sublimation-dominated regime (Figure 10).

638 Why are such glacial flows not observed on the western side of SP, where the  
639 latitudes receive the same insolation? Glacial activity on the western side of  
640 SP has occurred as evidenced by the many erosional valleys, but these valleys  
641 are not filled with flowing ice like they are to the east. This could be due  
642 to the significant difference of geology between the western and eastern side.  
643 As shown by [Schenk et al. \(2018a\)](#), a North-South giant fault system passes  
644 under the western edge of the ice sheet, which may explain the fragmentation  
645 of water ice blocks and the presence of deep ridge, faults, and cliffs observed  
646 on the western edge of SP. This topography may prevent the ice from flowing  
647 easily through the west side of SP and form large glacial flows. In addition, the  
648 western side of SP may correspond to a deeper bedrock than on the eastern  
649 side, preventing strong gradients of nitrogen ice thickness and the inward flow  
650 observed in the eastern raising valleys (the ice would locally flow faster on the  
651 western edge of SP).

652 **The dark northern plains of Sputnik Planitia** The dark and methane  
653 rich aspect of the northern edge of Sputnik Planitia (40°N-50°N) is also con-  
654 sistent with our results, which show that this area is a sublimation area at all  
655 timescales: the current diurnal, the current annual, the last 0.5 Myrs and the  
656 last 2.8 Myrs. Why does this area not have small pits, like in the southern  
657 part of SP? A suggestion is that the area lacks an intake of fresh nitrogen ice  
658 necessary for the formation of pits. This may be because the area is subject  
659 to a net loss of ice at all timescales and the layer of ice became too shallow to  
660 undergo solid-state convection. In addition or alternatively, the methane rich  
661 composition and the size of grains of this dark ice may play a restricting role  
662 in the formation of pitted plains. Finally, the formation of pits is a process of  
663 erosion by reflected light. If the ice albedo is too low, the direct absorption  
664 of solar energy predominates the reflection and the ice sublimates uniformly,  
665 inhibiting the pit formation ([Moore et al., 2017](#)).

## 666 4.2 The astronomical cycles with glacial flow

667 In this section, we repeat the same simulations as in Section 4.1 except that  
668 we turn on the glacial flow scheme of N<sub>2</sub> ice (described in Section 2.5), which  
669 enables the ice to flow in the modelled Sputnik Planitia basin (Section 2.4).  
670 The basin is initially filled with N<sub>2</sub> ice up to 2.5 km below the mean surface  
671 level. We assume that the edges of the basin are at about 3 km, 4 km or 5 km  
672 below the mean surface level (Figure 2).

### 673 4.2.1 General overview

674 As a general tendency, our results show that over one obliquity cycle, only  
675 small variations of elevation up to 25 m are obtained in the centre of SP at 20°N  
676 (SP remains relatively flat where the ice is thick) while variations of elevations  
677 of 200-300 m are obtained at the edges of SP (Figure 12 and Figure 13), in  
678 particular at the northern and southern edges. The variations are reduced  
679 if we assume the bedrock deeper below the ice sheet. These variations of  
680 elevation obtained with our model are consistent with the depressions observed  
681 at the northern and southern boundaries of SP and with the eroded mountain  
682 blocks observed west and east of Tenzing Montes, indicative of the presence  
683 of large amounts of ice there in the past. Figure 12 suggests that the ice  
684 sheet was at its maximal North-South extension 1.5-2 Myrs ago, since the  
685 ice level in the Al-Idrisi region and in the far south of SP was well above  
686 the level of the centre of SP. Conversely, the last million of years coincides  
687 with a period of minimal extension, which is consistent with the ice flowing  
688 outward from SP at its northern and southern edges (Howard et al., 2017)  
689 and the lower MVIC-derived topography observed north of the Al-Idrisi region  
690 (Schenk et al., 2018b).

### 691 4.2.2 Comparisons with observations

692 **The Al-Idrisi Montes** As shown by Figure 13, the entire northern part of  
693 SP (above 40°N) is subject to variations of altitudes of 100-280 m, which is  
694 consistent with the intense sublimation and condensation of N<sub>2</sub> ice occurring  
695 over one obliquity cycle at these latitudes (Figure 6.C-D). In particular, the  
696 latitudes of the Al-Idrisi Montes displays one of the largest variations of ele-  
697 vations (up to 280 m), in agreement with the scenario of strong and endless  
698 erosion of the water ice blocks in this region (Figure 12 and Figure 13). In  
699 the simulation with the less deep bedrock on the edges of SP, the ice at the  
700 latitudes of the Al-Idrisi Montes sublimed during the last 2 Myrs and revealed  
701 the bedrock (which is 2.55 km below the mean surface at this location in that  
702 case, Figure 12 red dotted line). Currently, this region enters a regime of net

703 accumulation over astronomical timescales.




Fig. 12. Variations in elevation within SP at different locations, assuming that the bedrock below SP include a 6-9 km deep elliptical basin and edges at 4 km (solid lines) and 5 km (dashed lines) below the mean surface level. The red dotted line corresponds to a case with edges at about 3 km below mean level. In that case, the ice has been entirely sublimed during the last millions of years at Al-Idrisi, as the elevation shown during that time is the bedrock level at this location (flat line at 2.55 km below the mean surface). The vertical solid and dashed lines correspond to the periods of high ( $104^\circ$ ) and low ( $127^\circ$ ) obliquity respectively.

#### 704 **The recent glacial activity at the eastern side of Sputnik Planitia**

705 Figure 12 also shows the evolution of the ice at the eastern edge of SP, at  $15^\circ\text{N}$ .  
 706 As predicted by Figure 10, the area accumulated up to 150 m of ice during  
 707 the high obliquity periods, if the bedrock is at 4 km below mean surface (the  
 708 variation is less if the bedrock is deeper). The elevation of this area decreases  
 709 since 0.6 Myrs because the flow of ice toward the center of SP overcomes the  
 710 intake of nitrogen from condensation. Note that this area is always higher  
 711 than the center of SP. Thus, the glacial flows induced from the uplands to the  
 712 center of SP, as observed by New Horizons, should never stop.

#### 713 **The southern latitudes of Sputnik Planitia**

The south of SP also displays  
 714 strong variations of elevation, that are about 200 m over one obliquity cycle,  
 715 with a bedrock at 4 km below mean surface (Figure 13). At the east of the  
 716 Tenzing Montes ( $27^\circ\text{S}, -170^\circ\text{E}$ ), the elevation of the ice is higher than the center  
 717 of SP during most of the obliquity cycle.






Fig. 13. Maximal variation in elevation of  $N_2$  ice over the last obliquity cycle (last 2.8 Myrs), with a bedrock on the edges of SP at 4 km and an initial filling of SP at 2.5 km.

## 5 Possible steady-state conditions for Pluto's ices

In this section, we explored the stability of  $N_2$  ice deposits outside Sputnik Planitia. To do that, we performed several simulations using different sensitivity parameters and initial states.

### 5.1 Simulation settings

We used the following settings for the simulations: (1) We performed the simulations over the last 30 Myrs, taking into account the obliquity and orbital changes over time described in Section 1. (2) We used realistic reservoirs of  $N_2$  ice corresponding to a global surface coverage of Pluto of 200 m, 500 m or 1000 m of ice. The case of 500 m corresponds to a basin of 1200x1000 km filled by  $\sim 7$  km of ice, which is in the range of what is assumed for SP. (3) At the

beginning of these simulations 30 Myrs ago, the surface is not initialized with the entire N<sub>2</sub> reservoir trapped inside SP as in Section 4. Instead, the initial N<sub>2</sub> reservoir is either globally uniformly distributed (Simulations #Glob), or placed at the equatorial regions between  $\pm 30^\circ$  latitude (Simulations #Equa), or at the poles above  $50^\circ$  latitude (Simulations #Polar). As an example, an initial global reservoir of 500 m redistributed over the equatorial regions between  $\pm 30^\circ$  latitude corresponds to an initial equatorial reservoir of  $\sim 1$  km of ice. (4) We used the latest topography data from New Horizons coupled with a deep bedrock for SP (up to 10 km deep), as described in Section 2.4, and the glacial flow scheme described in Section 2.5.

The sensitivity parameters of the simulations are the following: (1) Seasonal thermal inertia of 400, 800 and 1200 SI are used, similar than those used in [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#). The diurnal thermal inertia remains fixed at 20 SI ([Lellouch et al., 2011](#)), as in [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#). (2) The reference N<sub>2</sub> albedo and emissivity used are set to 0.7 and 0.8 respectively, while those for bare ground are set to 0.1 and 1 respectively, which is in the range of what has been used in [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#), assuming the water ice bedrock is covered by dark tholins. We also explored the case of an albedo of 0.4 for N<sub>2</sub> ice.

## 5.2 Simulation results

The results are summarized in Table 1 and illustrated by Figure 14 and Figure 15.

### 5.2.1 Overall outcome

As a general rule, N<sub>2</sub> ice quickly accumulates in the Sputnik Planitia basin and in the equatorial regions (preferentially at latitudes around  $\pm 30^\circ$ ), with stronger condensation rates inside SP and inside other depressions because of the stronger infrared cooling effect, as detailed in Section 1 and [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#).

However, in many of the simulations, large deposits also remain in the equatorial regions outside SP after 30 Myrs, and even beyond as they seem to remain relatively stable with time. The simulation #Polar8 described in Table 1 and shown on Figure 14 provides a typical example. Starting with an initial global reservoir of 500 m confined at the poles and a thermal inertia of 1200 SI, the ice migrates toward the equatorial regions by forming latitudinal bands which get closer to the equator with time. The basin SP is progressively filled by N<sub>2</sub> ice, with a decreasing rate with time, because the ice outside SP migrates to-



wards the more stable equatorial regions, leading to lower condensation rates inside SP, and also because as the surface of the basin becomes less deep, the infrared cooling effect becomes less efficient. The parameter  $\tau_{95\%}^{SP}$  indicates the time needed to fill SP at 95% of its final state (in Myrs). It depends on the TI, the reservoir and the initial state. The lower this time, the more stable are the deposits outside SP. As an example, in the simulation #Polar8, the basin is already in a relatively stable state after 11.90 Myrs. After 30 Myrs, it is filled by ice up to 2350 m below the mean surface (Table 1). Outside SP, N<sub>2</sub> ice remained at the equator forming 600-800 m deposits. After these 30 Myrs, the ice still migrates in the basin because of the infrared cooling effect but at a very slow rate. Typically, in 1 Myrs, the equatorial deposits lost 5-10 meters of ice. Consequently, the 600-800 m deposits outside SP should end trapped inside SP after at least 60 additional Myrs.

We note that the ice in the equatorial regions outside SP is slightly less stable for  $L_{s \text{ peri}}$  values close to 90° and 270° (values favouring an asymmetry of surface temperatures between both hemisphere). Finally, N<sub>2</sub> ice is never stable at the poles and any initial polar deposit up to 1 km thick is entirely sublimed after less than 2 Myrs.

### 5.2.2 Sensitivity to the ice reservoir

The larger the ice reservoir, the faster the glacial flow and the more easily the ice reaches the equatorial regions (outside SP), where it is able to form relatively stable deposits several hundred meters deep. In addition, larger reservoirs of ice lead to larger N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits outside SP, spread from the equator toward higher latitudes.

In our simulations, equatorial deposits outside SP inevitably form as soon as the initial reservoir is equal to or larger than 500 m, independently of any initial distribution as long as it is assumed that the initial reservoir is outside SP. If the initial reservoir is lower, the ice does not flow easily and the presence of equatorial deposits outside SP depends on the TI and the initial state (see section 5.2.3).

As an example, in the simulations #Polar4 and #Polar7 performed with a reservoir of 200 m of ice, all the ice ends in the SP basin after 30 Myrs (see Figure 14) and fills it up to 3.29 km below the mean level. If the 200 m of ice are initially present at the equator (Simulation #Equa and #Glob), and if the TI is higher than 800 SI then 200-400 m thick ice deposits can persist in the equatorial regions after 30 Myrs (e.g. simulations #Glob4, #Glob7, #Equa4, #Equa7, see Table 1).

While in the simulations performed using a reservoir of 200 m the SP basin is

filled by ice up to 3.29 km below the mean level after 30 Myrs, in all simulations using a reservoir of 500 m of ice, the SP basin is filled up to 1500-2300 m below the mean level. In all simulations using a reservoir of 1 km of ice, the basin is entirely filled with ice. In these cases, the basin fills up very rapidly because a large amount of ice is able to flow directly inside the basin.

### 5.2.3 Sensitivity to thermal inertia and initial state

Our results are sensitive to the assumed thermal inertia. As shown by Figure 3 and Figure 4, the lower the TI, the less equatorial are the cold points on Pluto's surface (in average over the last Myrs). In particular, if TI is lower than 800 SI, the equator becomes warmer in average than the other latitudes. In the simulations using a reservoir of 200 m of ice, different final states are obtained after 30 Myrs depending on the TI and the initial state.

(1) If the initial reservoir is distributed at the poles (#Polar1, #Polar4, #Polar7), we found that the ice subsists outside SP after 30 Myrs only if the TI is equal to or lower than 400 SI, where a relatively stable latitudinal band of 330 m N<sub>2</sub> ice can form at 20°N (#Polar1, Figure 14). If the TI is larger (#Polar4, #Polar7), the entire reservoir is quickly trapped in SP (Figure 14).

(2) If some of the initial reservoir is distributed at the equator (#Equa, #Glob), the ice can remain outside SP after 30 Myrs only if the TI is equal to or larger than 800 SI. The ice forms latitudinal bands of 300-800 m N<sub>2</sub> ice at the latitudes  $\pm 10^\circ$ , with higher amounts in the local depressions (see Figure 15, #Glob4 and #Glob7). If the TI is lower (#Glob1, #Equa1), the ice is less stable at the equator and ends trapped in the SP basin (Figure 15). Generally speaking, results obtained using an initial ice reservoir distributed at the equator and over the globe are similar and therefore we only show the latter results on Figure 15. Note that when larger TI are used, larger amounts of ice remain in the equatorial regions. In addition, the deposits tend to peak at the equator for large TI, while they tend to peak at higher latitudes ( $\pm 10^\circ$ , or  $\pm 20^\circ$ ) for low TI (see e.g. #Polar1, #Glob4).

### 5.2.4 Sensitivity to the albedo

The simulations using a reservoir of 500 m of ice have also been performed using an albedo of 0.4 for N<sub>2</sub> ice (#Polar10-12, #Equa10-12, #Glob10-12), instead of 0.7 (reference value). In all these low albedo simulations, the ice sublimates very rapidly from the poles and accumulates in the equatorial regions between 37.5°S and 37.5°N (the ice is slightly more spread than in the cases with an

838 albedo of 0.7), forming stable deposits about 600-800 m deep. These results  
 839 are found to be relatively independent of the initial state and of the thermal  
 840 inertia. This is because the lower albedo enables the ice to be warmer and to  
 841 gain greater mobility (by both glacial flow and condensation-sublimation flux)  
 842 to reach the coldest point on Pluto’s surface.

843 In these simulations, SP is rapidly filled by ice and reaches a relatively stable  
 844 level. After  $\tau_{95\%}^{SP}=7-9$  Myrs, the elevation of SP surface then increases by only  
 845 a few meter every Myrs due to N<sub>2</sub> ice condensation.

### 846 5.3 Minimum and maximum surface pressures

847 Figure 16 shows the evolution of the maximum and minimum annual surface  
 848 pressures during the last 15 Myrs obtained in simulations #Polar1, #Polar4,  
 849 #Polar8 and #Polar12. Generally speaking, the surface pressures (and surface  
 850 temperatures) remain within 10<sup>-2</sup>-10 Pa (31-40 K) in all simulations using  
 851 an albedo for N<sub>2</sub> ice of 0.7, and within the range 1-100 Pa (39-45 K) in all  
 852 simulations using an albedo for N<sub>2</sub> ice of 0.4 (in the model we are always  
 853 in the global-atmosphere regime). Higher maximum surface pressures could  
 854 be obtained, if we lower the albedo below 0.4 or the TI below 400 SI, but  
 855 such values seem quite distant from reality. We note that (1) there are two  
 856 peaks of maximum surface pressure per obliquity cycle (2) Maximum pressures  
 857 are lower during the high obliquity periods (104°). This is because the main  
 858 reservoirs of ice are located in the equatorial regions, which receive less flux  
 859 on annual average during these periods (Figure 3) (3) The surface pressures  
 860 in the simulations with N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits outside SP are slightly less than in the  
 861 simulation without deposits outside SP.

## 862 6 Discussion

863 In this paper we do not seek to reproduce precisely how the SP basin filled  
 864 with N<sub>2</sub> ice, since many parameters are unknown (e.g. the origin of the basin,  
 865 or the obliquity and the orbital conditions at the time it formed). In addition  
 866 we do not take into account the reorientation of the rotation axis (Keane et al.,  
 867 2016) and, last but not least, the methane and CO cycles and the presence of  
 868 methane and CO ices which can strongly affect the surface albedo, emissivity,  
 869 temperatures and the rheology of the N<sub>2</sub> ice (and its sublimation if CH<sub>4</sub>-rich  
 870 ice layers form on the N<sub>2</sub> ice). Instead, we seek to evaluate if N<sub>2</sub> deposits  
 871 outside SP could have remained for a long time on Pluto and form perennial  
 872 deposits, and if yes, at which latitudes.

Table 1. Settings and results of the simulations performed from 30 Myrs ago to present-day. From left to right, settings are: name of the run (the runs marked by \* are illustrated by Figure 14 and Figure 15), thermal inertia, N<sub>2</sub> ice reservoir (globally averaged), N<sub>2</sub> ice albedo. Results are: latitudes between which N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits are obtained outside SP, latitudes where the N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits are obtained outside SP peak, altitude of the N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits inside SP, altitude of N<sub>2</sub> ice outside SP, time needed to fill SP with N<sub>2</sub> ice at 95% of its final state, minimum and maximum surface pressures obtained during the last 15 Myrs

Run	Thermal inertia (J s <sup>-1/2</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	Reservoir (kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	Albedo A <sub>N2</sub>	Latitude N <sub>2</sub> outside SP	Peak N <sub>2</sub> outside SP	H <sub>ice</sub> in SP (m)	H <sub>ice</sub> outside SP (m)	τ <sub>95%</sub> (Myrs)	Surface Pressure (Pa)
#Polar1*	400	200	0.7	15°N-22.5°N	18°N	-3960	330	22.45	0.0056
#Polar2	400	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	15°S	-1540	400-600	10.15	0.0166
#Polar3	400	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	10°S	440	1000-1200	6.55	0.0234
#Polar4*	800	200	0.7	No ice	Equator	-3290	0	26.55	0.0229
#Polar5	800	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	Equator	-2110	500-750	27.05	0.0371
#Polar6	800	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	440	1000-1200	12.30	0.0523
#Polar7	1200	200	0.7	No ice	Equator	-3290	0	35.00	0.1498
#Polar8*	1200	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	Equator	-2350	500-800	11.90	0.0513
#Polar9	1200	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	430	1000-1200	12.50	0.0679
#Polar10	400	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	5°N or locally in the depressions	-1740	600-800	7.45	0.8433
#Polar11	800	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1820	600-800	7.50	1.8224
#Polar12*	1200	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1850	700-800	7.55	2.5942
#Equal1	400	200	0.7	No ice	Equator	-3290	0	19.15	0.0073
#Equal2	400	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	10°S	-1460	500-700	9.75	0.0160
#Equal3	400	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	18°S	450	1000-1200	7.40	0.0232
#Equal4	800	200	0.7	20°S-20°N	10°S 10°N, less ice at the equator	-5290	300-400	7.95	0.0241
#Equal5	800	500	0.7	37.5°S-37.5°N	Equator	-2030	600-700	27.85	0.0320
#Equal6	800	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	460	1000-1200	12.25	0.0527
#Equal7	1200	200	0.7	20°S-20°N	10°S 10°N, less ice at the equator	-5340	300-400	7.70	0.0375
#Equal8	1200	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	Equator	-2160	700-800	30.35	0.0485
#Equal9	1200	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	440	1000-1200	12.20	0.0704
#Equal10	400	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	5°N or locally in the depressions	-1740	600-800	9.65	0.8430
#Equal11	800	500	0.4	37.5°S-45°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1820	600-800	9.40	1.8220
#Equal12	1200	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1850	700-800	8.10	2.5915
#Glob1*	400	200	0.7	No ice	Equator	-3290	0	17.15	0.0073
#Glob2	400	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	10°S	-1500	500-650	11.45	0.0160
#Glob3	400	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	18°S	470	1000-1200	6.65	0.0222
#Glob4*	800	200	0.7	20°S-20°N	10°S 10°N, less ice at the equator	-5200	250-400	6.10	0.0258
#Glob5	800	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	Equator	-2040	650-750	25.05	0.0320
#Glob6	800	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	450	1200	12.00	0.0533
#Glob7	1200	200	0.7	20°S-20°N	10°S 10°N, less ice at the equator	-5010	200-350	7.40	0.0372
#Glob8*	1200	500	0.7	30°S-30°N	Equator	-2250	700-800	26.10	0.0461
#Glob9	1200	1000	0.7	50°S-50°N	Equator	430	1200	12.30	0.0669
#Glob10	400	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	5°N or locally in the depressions	-1740	600-800	8.70	0.8429
#Glob11	800	500	0.4	30°S-37.5°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1830	600-800	7.90	1.8219
#Glob12*	1200	500	0.4	30°S-30°N	Equator or locally in the depressions	-1860	700-800	7.95	2.5917




Fig. 14. Maps of  $N_2$  ice distribution on Pluto (m) for simulations starting 30 Myrs ago with a polar reservoir (#Polar1, #Polar4, #Polar8, #Polar12). Results are shown after 1 Myrs (left panel), 5 Myrs (middle panel) and 30 Myrs (right panel).

873 In our previous paper [Bertrand and Forget \(2016\)](#), we showed that any con-  
 874 densed  $N_2$  ice on Pluto's surface tends to end inside the Sputnik Planitia  
 875 basin. Here, we reproduced similar simulations by taking into account large  
 876 reservoirs of  $N_2$  ice able to sublime, condense and flow over several Myrs  
 877 through the changes of obliquity and orbital parameters of Pluto. We found  
 878 again that any large  $N_2$  ice deposits outside SP would accumulate in SP and  
 879 fill the basin with several kilometres of ice. However, this would take several  
 880 tens of Myrs during which transient states exist for the deposits. Indeed, as-  
 881 suming that the basin formed initially without  $N_2$  ice inside, our results show  
 882 that large deposits of several hundreds of meters of  $N_2$  ice, placed at the poles,  
 883 are not stable there, and would inevitably accumulate first at mid-latitudes  
 884 over an entire latitudinal band after few Myrs, and then, in some cases, in  
 885 more equatorial regions after tens of Myrs. We estimate that the basin would  
 886 be filled up by several kilometers of ice in few Myrs. In the mid-latitude and  
 887 equatorial regions, the deposits are relatively stable and may remain there  
 888 during 10-100s of Myrs before to end in Sputnik Planitia, depending on the  
 889 thermal inertia, the albedo of the ice, the local topography, etc. These results  
 890 raise discussions about the impact of such glaciers outside SP on the geology  
 891 of Pluto and on the surface pressures encountered in Pluto's past.




Fig. 15. Maps of  $N_2$  ice distribution on Pluto (m) for simulations starting 30 Myrs ago with a global reservoir (#Glob1, #Glob4, #Glob8, #Glob12). Results are shown after 1 Myrs (left panel), 5 Myrs (middle panel) and 30 Myrs (right panel).

First, parts of the equatorial regions of Pluto (and in particular in Cthulhu region) are covered by numerous geologically old craters which do not seem particularly eroded by ancient deposition of  $N_2$  ice. Is it possible that nitrogen ice accumulated in this region and did not erode the bedrock? We believe that cold/dry based glaciation has a good erosive ability on Pluto and therefore that it is unlikely that hundreds of meters of ice accumulated in this region in the past. Although the erosive properties of nitrogen ice at these temperatures are unknown (nothing has been published on this issue yet), we are guided (1) by the erosive mechanisms that exist on the Earth, where dry/cold based glaciation has been shown to be possible (Atkins et al., 2002), although it is difficult to show if it is efficient or not under Pluto's conditions, and (2) by the fact that the water ice bedrock has been strongly eroded around Sputnik Planitia, possibly involving dry glaciation (since on the edges of the ice cap, the ice layer is thin and dry basal flow should dominate).

In addition, if large  $N_2$  deposits existed outside SP, they may not have been large enough to flow toward the equatorial regions (like in our simulations started with a global reservoir of ice less than 200 m). Or, the equatorial regions may have been already warmer than the higher latitudes, due to a low thermal inertia (less than 800 SI) or due to albedo gradients (dark tholins at




Fig. 16. Evolution of maximum (solid lines) and minimum (dashed lines) annual surface pressure over the last 15 Myrs for simulations starting with a polar reservoir: #Polar1 (blue), #Polar4 (red), #Polar8 (black), #Polar12 (green). The present-day maximum surface pressure is  $\sim 1.1$  Pa (Stern et al., 2015; Gladstone et al., 2016; Hinson et al., 2017).

the equator and bright methane ice at higher latitudes, see also (Earle et al., 2018)).

In some of our simulations, relatively stable deposits of  $N_2$  ice are obtained outside SP at higher latitudes, without any ice at the equator. This is for example the case for simulations with a reservoir of 200 m, such as #Polar1, #Polar4, where the ice does not flow toward the equator but forms latitudinal bands between  $25^\circ\text{S}$ - $45^\circ\text{S}$  and  $25^\circ\text{N}$ - $45^\circ\text{N}$ . Interestingly, several surface features on Pluto have been interpreted as evidence for past liquid flow, and they are all observed around the latitudes  $\pm 30^\circ$ - $60^\circ$  (Stern et al., 2017). These latitudes correspond to the regions where ice accumulates in our model (outside SP), first as a transient state (thick glaciers over latitudinal bands) and then as a final state at mid-latitudes around  $10^\circ$ - $30^\circ\text{N}$  (swallower glaciers because most of the ice is trapped inside SP), in particular if a low TI and  $N_2$  reservoir are considered. It has been suggested that epochs with higher atmospheric pressure occurred in Pluto's geologic past and enabled the nitrogen ice to be much warmer, perhaps even to turn to liquid, and to flow on the surface leading to the formation of these features (Stern et al., 2017). However, here our simulations demonstrate that surface pressures higher than 100 Pa are unlikely to have occurred in Pluto's past, because the large reservoirs of nitrogen ice are located in the cold and stable equatorial regions and because the relatively high thermal inertia and albedo of the ice limit the sublimation and condensation fluxes. We even found that pressures are the lowest during

the high obliquity periods, because  $N_2$  ice is never stable at the poles at the scale of the astronomical cycles and therefore not available for intense polar sublimation during these periods. Note that in 2015, which corresponds to northern spring on Pluto, the observed surface of the north polar regions of Pluto was free of  $N_2$  ice (Schmitt et al., 2017; Grundy et al., 2016). There will be no  $N_2$  ice available at the pole to sublimate during summer and increase the pressure. In addition, in our simulations, the maximum surface pressures raise up to  $\sim 100$  Pa if the ice albedo is set to 0.4, which is a very low value for an ice as mobile as  $N_2$  on Pluto. Therefore we propose that the paleoliquids - and other terrains thought to have been shaped and altered by liquid flows - are the results of past liquid flows which occurred at the base of massive nitrogen glaciers (basal flow), which accumulated at the mid-latitudes because they are the coldest points on Pluto in average (an effect depending on thermal inertia, as shown by Figure 4). These glaciers may have remained at these latitudes for millions of years before they disappear, the ice ending inside SP.

What would trigger the formation of perennial  $N_2$  ice deposits on Pluto outside SP ? Since the astronomical cycles of Pluto are relatively stable, we can make the hypothesis that the perennial ice deposits on present-day Pluto reached a steady-state. In that case the entire reservoir of  $N_2$  ice should be trapped in SP, as suggested by our model results showing that  $N_2$  ice deposits outside SP still accumulates in SP after 30 Myrs, losing about 10 m per Myrs. However, other processes could help to maintain perennial  $N_2$  ice deposits and feed Pluto's surface with  $N_2$  ice outside SP, such as cryovolcanism or bright methane deposits enabling  $N_2$  ice to condense on it (see below).

What is the nature (seasonal or perennial) of the different reservoirs of  $N_2$  ice observed in 2015 by New Horizons? How did they form? Observationally, it is difficult to know because we do not know the thickness of these reservoirs, although they do not look like several hundreds of meters deep. The amounts of diluted  $CH_4$  and CO vary in these deposits, which is indicative of volatile evolution processes (Protopapa et al., 2017; Schmitt et al., 2017). In Bertrand and Forget (2016), we show that regions covered by dark tholins do not favour  $N_2$  condensation on it, while surfaces covered by bright methane frost do. In fact, the latitudinal band of nitrogen observed by New Horizons between  $30^\circ N$ - $60^\circ N$  has been reproduced by the volatile transport model when high methane albedo ( $> 0.65$ ) were considered (see Figure 3 in Bertrand and Forget (2016)). In this scenario, the latitudinal band of  $N_2$  ice is seasonal since it forms on the cold methane polar frost in winter and sublimates during spring from the pole. However, if the thermal inertia is lower than the 800 SI assumed in this scenario, then our results suggest that the ice may be more stable at these latitudes and the latitudinal band of  $N_2$  ice may be perennial, continuously fed by seasonal frosts. In other words, bright methane frosts may have helped to maintain the latitudinal bands of massive  $N_2$  deposits as a perennial reservoir (e.g. as the one obtained in the case #Polar1 or #Polar4 in Figure 14). Simi-



lar arguments apply for the region East of Tombaugh region: bright methane deposits coupled with relatively low-altitude terrains may favour the accumulation of N<sub>2</sub> ice there, which can remain relatively stable over time, especially if the TI is high and thus favouring more stable deposits close to the equator. In this paper, thin seasonal polar nitrogen frosts have been obtained in most of the simulations. Although we noted that a lower thermal inertia favour thicker deposits at the poles, simulations taking into account bright methane deposits are necessary to fully investigate the evolution of polar frosts, and will be the topic of future studies.

Finally, as predicted by the model, N<sub>2</sub> ice is more stable in the depressions than in higher terrains. In fact, a limited number of spots of N<sub>2</sub>-rich ice have been observed in the dark equatorial region of Cthulhu, in particular in the Oort and Edgeworth craters (Schmitt et al., 2017). Note that preferential deposition of N<sub>2</sub> ice at the latitudes  $\pm 10^\circ$  or  $\pm 20^\circ$  (with the equator free of ice) would be consistent with our results obtained with TI between 400-800 SI showing latitudinal bands of stable deposits at these latitudes (#Polar1, #Equa4, #Equa7, #Glob4, #Glob7). The lack of data makes it difficult to assess, and low-resolution data in the sub-Charon hemisphere is currently under processing and analysis. Ground-based telescopic observations rule out the presence of large expanses of N<sub>2</sub> ice on the sub-Charon hemisphere, but not the presence of small patches, which are impossible to see from the ground (Grundy et al., 2013).

## 7 Conclusions

The Pluto volatile transport model has been used to investigate the cycles of nitrogen on Pluto over diurnal, seasonal and astronomical timescales, taking into account the changes of obliquity, longitude of perihelion and eccentricity and the flow of N<sub>2</sub> ice and the changes of topography induced (following the rheology and glacial flow equations as described in Umurhan et al. (2017)).

Our first conclusion is that Pluto's climate is impacted by the universal Milankovitch mechanism, as the Earth, Mars and Titan. The changes of obliquity and orbital parameters lead to differences of surface temperatures between poles and equator, and asymmetries in the season. We described in this paper how the most volatile ice of Pluto, N<sub>2</sub> ice, is impacted by these changes over time.

We first focused on the nitrogen cycles within the Sputnik Planitia basin, considering that it is the only known perennial reservoir of nitrogen ice on Pluto. The results suggest that Sputnik Planitia has a complex history, related to sublimation, condensation, and glacial flow involved at different timescales.

High obliquity periods induce intense polar summers and thus intense sublimation rates in the northern part of the ice sheet. During the last 2 million years, this part would have lost up to 1 km of ice by sublimation. On the other hand, low obliquity periods favour sublimation in the center of Sputnik Planitia and condensation at the north and south extremities, of up to 300 m of ice in 1 million years. The glacial flow activity (ice flowing toward the center of Sputnik Planitia) observed at the eastern edge of the ice sheet can thus be related to the intense condensation of nitrogen ice which occurred at these latitudes during the past 2 million years, while the methane-enriched N<sub>2</sub> ice dark plains are linked to the intense sublimation which occurred north of Sputnik Planitia during the same period. The deep pits observed in the south of Sputnik Planitia may have started to form 100,000 years ago, when the southern latitudes of the ice sheet entered a net sublimation-dominated regime. The bright plains in the center of Sputnik Planitia can be explained by the current seasonal accumulation of ice there. Finally, the depressions observed north and south of the ice sheet, as well as the strong erosion of the Al-Idrisi Montes, are consistent with the simulated glacial activity of Sputnik Planitia, with continuous variation of elevations at the edges of the ice sheet up to 300 m every obliquity cycle. The results also show that in current epoch, the ice sheet is close to its minimal extension (in the model in current epoch the center of SP has a higher elevation than the northern and southern edges of SP), which is consistent with the observations showing evidences of strong erosion further north (Al-Idrisi) and south (West and East of Tenzing Montes) of the ice sheet.

We also explored the stability of N<sub>2</sub> ice deposits outside Sputnik Planitia. Our simulations show that nitrogen ice tends to end inside Sputnik Planitia but if large deposits are formed outside SP, they should accumulate and persist in the mid-latitude and equatorial regions for several tens of million years. In particular, N<sub>2</sub> ice accumulates in the depressions. For instance, in most of the simulations involving N<sub>2</sub> ice in the equatorial regions, no N<sub>2</sub> ice has been obtained in the Tartarus Dorsa region, featuring the high altitude bladed terrains. The latitudes where N<sub>2</sub> ice accumulates depends on the seasonal thermal inertia (the higher it is, the more equatorial are the deposits), the ice albedo, the initial distribution and probably other parameters not taken into account in this paper such as the methane ice distribution. Our simulations support the case of low to medium thermal inertia (400-800 SI) for several reasons. It enables to reproduce the evolution of pressure since 1988 ([Bertrand and Forget, 2016](#)). In some cases, it enables formation of perennial deposits at mid-latitudes but not at the equator, which remains free of volatile ice.

Geomorphological evidences of past liquid flows have been observed at Pluto's surface at the same mid-latitude. Therefore we suggest that they formed by liquid nitrogen flows at the base of ancient thick nitrogen glaciers instead of formed by liquid nitrogen flows directly at Pluto's surface during higher

1057 pressure epochs in Plutos geologic past, as suggested by [Stern et al. \(2017\)](#).  
1058 This is reinforced by our results showing that the minimum and maximum  
1059 surface pressures obtained in our simulations always remain in the range of  
1060 milli-Pascals and tens of Pascals, respectively. Therefore surface temperatures  
1061 never reach the triple point of nitrogen. It is not possible to reach higher  
1062 pressures in Pluto’s past with our model because the sublimation-condensation  
1063 flux are limited by the medium to high thermal inertia and the relatively bright  
1064 albedo assumed for the N<sub>2</sub> ice ( $> 0.4$ ).

1065 Finally, the cycle of nitrogen ice on Pluto can be impacted by other processes,  
1066 not taken into account in the simulations of this paper. In particular, methane  
1067 ice is known to play a complex role since it can cold trap nitrogen ice if its  
1068 albedo is high enough ([Bertrand and Forget, 2016](#); [Earle et al., 2018](#)), which  
1069 could explain why many patches of nitrogen ice are observed outside Sputnik  
1070 Planitia. A study taking into account both cycles of methane and nitrogen,  
1071 over all timescales, is in preparation and should help to better understand how  
1072 these ices evolve on Pluto.

## 1073 References

- 1074 O. Aharonson, A. G. Hayes, J. I. Lunine, R. D. Lorenz, M. D. Allison, and  
 1075 C. Elachi. An asymmetric distribution of lakes on Titan as a possible con-  
 1076 sequence of orbital forcing. *Nature Geoscience*, 2:851–854, December 2009.  
 1077 doi: 10.1038/ngeo698.
- 1078 C. B. Atkins, P. J. Barrett, and S. R. Hicock. Cold glaciers erode and deposit:  
 1079 Evidence from Allan Hills, Antarctica. *Geology*, 30:659, July 2002. doi:  
 1080 10.1130/0091-7613(2002)030<0659:CGEADE>2.0.CO;2.
- 1081 T. Bertrand and F. Forget. Observed glacier and volatile distribution on Pluto  
 1082 from atmospheretopography processes. *Nature*, 987, December 2016. doi:  
 1083 10.1038/nature19337.
- 1084 R. P. Binzel, A. M. Earle, M. W. Buie, L. A. Young, S. A. Stern, C. B. Olkin,  
 1085 K. Ennico, J. M. Moore, W. Grundy, H. A. Weaver, C. M. Lisse, and T. R.  
 1086 Lauer. Climate zones on Pluto and Charon. *Icarus*, 287:30–36, May 2017.  
 1087 doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.07.023.
- 1088 M. W. Buie, D. J. Tholen, and L. H. Wasserman. Separate Lightcurves of  
 1089 Pluto and Charon. *Icarus*, 125:233–244, February 1997. doi: 10.1006/icar.  
 1090 1996.5624.
- 1091 B. J. Buratti, J. D. Hofgartner, M. D. Hicks, H. A. Weaver, S. A. Stern,  
 1092 T. Momary, J. A. Mosher, R. A. Beyer, A. J. Verbiscer, A. M. Zangari,  
 1093 L. A. Young, C. M. Lisse, K. Singer, A. Cheng, W. Grundy, K. Ennico, and  
 1094 C. B. Olkin. Global albedos of Pluto and Charon from LORRI New Horizons  
 1095 observations. *Icarus*, 287:207–217, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.11.  
 1096 012.
- 1097 A. R. Dobrovolskis, S. J. Peale, and A. W. Harris. *Dynamics of the Pluto-*  
 1098 *Charon Binary*. In: *S.A. Stern, D.J. Tholen (Eds.), Pluto and Charon,*  
 1099 *University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 159-190*. 1997.
- 1100 W. B. Durham, O. Prieto-Ballesteros, D. L. Goldsby, and J. S. Kargel. Rhe-  
 1101 ological and Thermal Properties of Icy Materials. *Space Sci. Rev.*, 153:  
 1102 273–298, June 2010. doi: 10.1007/s11214-009-9619-1.
- 1103 A. M. Earle, R. P. Binzel, L. A. Young, S. A. Stern, K. Ennico, W. Grundy,  
 1104 C. B. Olkin, and H. A. Weaver. Long-term surface temperature modeling  
 1105 of Pluto. *Icarus*, 287:37–46, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.09.036.
- 1106 A. M. Earle, R. P. Binzel, L. A. Young, S. A. Stern, K. Ennico, W. Grundy,  
 1107 C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, and New Horizons Surface Composition Theme.  
 1108 Albedo matters: Understanding runaway albedo variations on Pluto. *Icarus*,  
 1109 303:1–9, March 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.12.015.
- 1110 F. Forget, R. M. Haberle, F. Montmessin, B. Levrard, and J. W. Head. For-  
 1111 mation of Glaciers on Mars by Atmospheric Precipitation at High Obliquity.  
 1112 *Science*, 311:368–371, January 2006. doi: 10.1126/science.1120335.
- 1113 F. Forget, T. Bertrand, M. Vangvichith, J. Leconte, E. Millour, and E. Lel-  
 1114 louch. A post-new horizons global climate model of Pluto including the N<sub>2</sub>,  
 1115 CH<sub>4</sub> and CO cycles. *Icarus*, 287:54–71, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.  
 1116 2016.11.038.

1117 G. R. Gladstone, S. A. Stern, K. Ennico, C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, L. A.  
 1118 Young, M. E. Summers, D. F. Strobel, D. P. Hinson, J. A. Kammer, A. H.  
 1119 Parker, A. J. Steffl, I. R. Linscott, J. W. Parker, A. F. Cheng, D. C. Slater,  
 1120 M. H. Versteeg, T. K. Greathouse, K. D. Retherford, H. Throop, N. J. Cun-  
 1121 ningham, W. W. Woods, K. N. Singer, C. C. C. Tsang, E. Schindhelm,  
 1122 C. M. Lisse, M. L. Wong, Y. L. Yung, X. Zhu, W. Curdt, P. Lavvas, E. F.  
 1123 Young, G. L. Tyler, F. Bagenal, W. M. Grundy, W. B. McKinnon, J. M.  
 1124 Moore, J. R. Spencer, T. Andert, J. Andrews, M. Banks, B. Bauer, J. Bau-  
 1125 man, O. S. Barnouin, P. Bedini, K. Beisser, R. A. Beyer, S. Bhaskaran,  
 1126 R. P. Binzel, E. Birath, M. Bird, D. J. Bogan, A. Bowman, V. J. Bray,  
 1127 M. Brozovic, C. Bryan, M. R. Buckley, M. W. Buie, B. J. Buratti, S. S.  
 1128 Bushman, A. Calloway, B. Carcich, S. Conard, C. A. Conrad, J. C. Cook,  
 1129 D. P. Cruikshank, O. S. Custodio, C. M. D. Ore, C. Deboy, Z. J. B. Dischner,  
 1130 P. Dumont, A. M. Earle, H. A. Elliott, J. Ercol, C. M. Ernst, T. Finley, S. H.  
 1131 Flanigan, G. Fountain, M. J. Freeze, J. L. Green, Y. Guo, M. Hahn, D. P.  
 1132 Hamilton, S. A. Hamilton, J. Hanley, A. Harch, H. M. Hart, C. B. Hersman,  
 1133 A. Hill, M. E. Hill, M. E. Holdridge, M. Horanyi, A. D. Howard, C. J. A.  
 1134 Howett, C. Jackman, R. A. Jacobson, D. E. Jennings, H. K. Kang, D. E.  
 1135 Kaufmann, P. Kollmann, S. M. Krimigis, D. Kusnierkiewicz, T. R. Lauer,  
 1136 J. E. Lee, K. L. Lindstrom, A. W. Lunsford, V. A. Mallder, N. Martin, D. J.  
 1137 McComas, R. L. McNutt, D. Mehoke, T. Mehoke, E. D. Melin, M. Mutchler,  
 1138 D. Nelson, F. Nimmo, J. I. Nunez, A. Ocampo, W. M. Owen, M. Paetzold,  
 1139 B. Page, F. Pelletier, J. Peterson, N. Pinkine, M. Piquette, S. B. Porter,  
 1140 S. Protopapa, J. Redfern, H. J. Reitsema, D. C. Reuter, J. H. Roberts, S. J.  
 1141 Robbins, G. Rogers, D. Rose, K. Runyon, M. G. Ryschkewitsch, P. Schenk,  
 1142 B. Sepan, M. R. Showalter, M. Soluri, D. Stanbridge, T. Stryk, J. R. Szalay,  
 1143 M. Tapley, A. Taylor, H. Taylor, O. M. Umurhan, A. J. Verbiscer, M. H.  
 1144 Versteeg, M. Vincent, R. Webbert, S. Weidner, G. E. Weigle, O. L. White,  
 1145 K. Whittenburg, B. G. Williams, K. Williams, S. Williams, A. M. Zangari,  
 1146 and E. Zirnstein. The atmosphere of Pluto as observed by New Horizons.  
 1147 *Science*, 351:aad8866, March 2016. doi: 10.1126/science.aad8866.  
 1148 W. M. Grundy, C. B. Olkin, L. A. Young, M. W. Buie, and E. F. Young.  
 1149 Near-infrared spectral monitoring of Pluto’s ices: Spatial distribution and  
 1150 secular evolution. *Icarus*, 223:710–721, April 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.  
 1151 2013.01.019.  
 1152 W. M. Grundy, R. P. Binzel, B. J. Buratti, J. C. Cook, D. P. Cruikshank,  
 1153 C. M. Dalle Ore, A. M. Earle, K. Ennico, C. J. A. Howett, A. W. Lunsford,  
 1154 C. B. Olkin, A. H. Parker, S. Philippe, S. Protopapa, E. Quirico, D. C.  
 1155 Reuter, B. Schmitt, K. N. Singer, A. J. Verbiscer, R. A. Beyer, M. W. Buie,  
 1156 A. F. Cheng, D. E. Jennings, I. R. Linscott, J. W. Parker, P. M. Schenk,  
 1157 J. R. Spencer, J. A. Stansberry, S. A. Stern, H. B. Throop, C. C. C. Tsang,  
 1158 H. A. Weaver, G. E. Weigle, and L. A. Young. Surface compositions across  
 1159 Pluto and Charon. *Science*, 351:aad9189, March 2016. doi: 10.1126/science.  
 1160 aad9189.  
 1161 D. P. Hamilton, S. A. Stern, J. M. Moore, L. A. Young, R. P. Binzel, M. W.

1162 Buie, B. J. Buratti, A. F. Cheng, K. Ennico, W. M. Grundy, I. R. Linscott,  
 1163 W. B. McKinnon, C. B. Olkin, H. J. Reitsema, D. C. Reuter, P. Schenk,  
 1164 M. R. Showalter, J. R. Spencer, G. L. Tyler, and H. A. Weaver. The rapid  
 1165 formation of Sputnik Planitia early in Pluto’s history. *Nature*, 540:97–99,  
 1166 December 2016. doi: 10.1038/nature20586.

1167 D. P. Hinson, I. R. Linscott, L. A. Young, G. L. Tyler, S. A. Stern, R. A. Beyer,  
 1168 M. K. Bird, K. Ennico, G. R. Gladstone, C. B. Olkin, M. Pätzold, P. M.  
 1169 Schenk, D. F. Strobel, M. E. Summers, H. A. Weaver, and W. W. Woods.  
 1170 Radio occultation measurements of Pluto’s neutral atmosphere with New  
 1171 Horizons. *Icarus*, 290:96–111, July 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.02.031.

1172 A. D. Howard, J. M. Moore, O. M. Umurhan, O. L. White, R. S. Anderson,  
 1173 W. B. McKinnon, J. R. Spencer, P. M. Schenk, R. A. Beyer, S. A. Stern,  
 1174 K. Ennico, C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, and L. A. Young. Present and past  
 1175 glaciation on Pluto. *Icarus*, 287:287–300, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.  
 1176 2016.07.006.

1177 J. T. Keane, I. Matsuyama, S. Kamata, and J. K. Steckloff. Reorientation and  
 1178 faulting of Pluto due to volatile loading within Sputnik Planitia. *Nature*,  
 1179 540:90–93, December 2016. doi: 10.1038/nature20120.

1180 E. Lellouch, J. Stansberry, J. Emery, W. Grundy, and D. P. Cruikshank. Ther-  
 1181 mal properties of Pluto’s and Charon’s surfaces from Spitzer observations.  
 1182 *Icarus*, 214:701–716, August 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.05.035.

1183 B. Levrard, F. Forget, F. Montmessin, and J. Laskar. Recent formation and  
 1184 evolution of northern Martian polar layered deposits as inferred from a  
 1185 Global Climate Model. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 112  
 1186 (E11):E06012, 2007. doi: 10.1029/2006JE002772.

1187 J.-B. Madeleine, F. Forget, J. W. Head, B. Levrard, F. Montmessin, and  
 1188 E. Millour. Amazonian northern mid-latitude glaciation on Mars: A pro-  
 1189 posed climate scenario. *Icarus*, 203:390–405, 2009. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.  
 1190 2009.04.037.

1191 W. B. McKinnon, F. Nimmo, T. Wong, P. M. Schenk, O. L. White, J. H.  
 1192 Roberts, J. M. Moore, J. R. Spencer, A. D. Howard, O. M. Umurhan, S. A.  
 1193 Stern, H. A. Weaver, C. B. Olkin, L. A. Young, K. E. Smith, R. Beyer,  
 1194 M. Buie, B. Buratti, A. Cheng, D. Cruikshank, C. Dalle Ore, R. Glad-  
 1195 stone, W. Grundy, T. Lauer, I. Linscott, J. Parker, S. Porter, H. Reit-  
 1196 sema, D. Reuter, S. Robbins, M. Showalter, K. Singer, D. Strobel, M. Sum-  
 1197 mers, L. Tyler, M. Banks, O. Barnouin, V. Bray, B. Carcich, A. Chaikin,  
 1198 C. Chavez, C. Conrad, D. Hamilton, C. Howett, J. Hofgartner, J. Kammer,  
 1199 C. Lisse, A. Marcotte, A. Parker, K. Retherford, M. Saina, K. Runyon,  
 1200 E. Schindhelm, J. Stansberry, A. Steffl, T. Stryk, H. Throop, C. Tsang,  
 1201 A. Verbiscer, H. Winters, A. Zangari, and G. a. I. T. T. New Horizons  
 1202 Geology. Convection in a volatile nitrogen-ice-rich layer drives Pluto’s geo-  
 1203 logical vigour. *Nature*, 534:82–85, June 2016. doi: 10.1038/nature18289.

1204 M. A. Mischna, M. I. Richardson, R. J. Wilson, and D. J. McCleese. On the  
 1205 orbital forcing of Martian water and CO<sub>2</sub> cycles: A general circulation model  
 1206 study with simplified volatile schemes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*

- 1207 (*Planets*), pages 16–1, 2003.
- 1208 J. M. Moore, W. B. McKinnon, J. R. Spencer, A. D. Howard, P. M. Schenk,  
 1209 R. A. Beyer, F. Nimmo, K. N. Singer, O. M. Umurhan, O. L. White, S. A.  
 1210 Stern, K. Ennico, C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, L. A. Young, R. P. Binzel,  
 1211 M. W. Buie, B. J. Buratti, A. F. Cheng, D. P. Cruikshank, W. M. Grundy,  
 1212 I. R. Linscott, H. J. Reitsema, D. C. Reuter, M. R. Showalter, V. J. Bray,  
 1213 C. L. Chavez, C. J. A. Howett, T. R. Lauer, C. M. Lisse, A. H. Parker, S. B.  
 1214 Porter, S. J. Robbins, K. Runyon, T. Stryk, H. B. Throop, C. C. C. Tsang,  
 1215 A. J. Verbiscer, A. M. Zangari, A. L. Chaikin, D. E. Wilhelms, F. Bagenal,  
 1216 G. R. Gladstone, T. Andert, J. Andrews, M. Banks, B. Bauer, J. Bauman,  
 1217 O. S. Barnouin, P. Bedini, K. Beisser, S. Bhaskaran, E. Birath, M. Bird,  
 1218 D. J. Bogan, A. Bowman, M. Brozovic, C. Bryan, M. R. Buckley, S. S.  
 1219 Bushman, A. Calloway, B. Carcich, S. Conard, C. A. Conrad, J. C. Cook,  
 1220 O. S. Custodio, C. M. D. Ore, C. Deboy, Z. J. B. Dischner, P. Dumont,  
 1221 A. M. Earle, H. A. Elliott, J. Ercol, C. M. Ernst, T. Finley, S. H. Flanigan,  
 1222 G. Fountain, M. J. Freeze, T. Greathouse, J. L. Green, Y. Guo, M. Hahn,  
 1223 D. P. Hamilton, S. A. Hamilton, J. Hanley, A. Harch, H. M. Hart, C. B.  
 1224 Hersman, A. Hill, M. E. Hill, D. P. Hinson, M. E. Holdridge, M. Horanyi,  
 1225 C. Jackman, R. A. Jacobson, D. E. Jennings, J. A. Kammer, H. K. Kang,  
 1226 D. E. Kaufmann, P. Kollmann, S. M. Krimigis, D. Kusnierkiewicz, J. E.  
 1227 Lee, K. L. Lindstrom, A. W. Lunsford, V. A. Mallder, N. Martin, D. J. Mc-  
 1228 Comas, R. L. McNutt, D. Mehoke, T. Mehoke, E. D. Melin, M. Mutchler,  
 1229 D. Nelson, J. I. Nunez, A. Ocampo, W. M. Owen, M. Paetzold, B. Page,  
 1230 J. W. Parker, F. Pelletier, J. Peterson, N. Pinkine, M. Piquette, S. Pro-  
 1231 topapa, J. Redfern, J. H. Roberts, G. Rogers, D. Rose, K. D. Rether-  
 1232 ford, M. G. Ryschkewitsch, E. Schindhelm, B. Sepan, M. Soluri, D. Stan-  
 1233 bridge, A. J. Steffl, D. F. Strobel, M. E. Summers, J. R. Szalay, M. Tapley,  
 1234 A. Taylor, H. Taylor, G. L. Tyler, M. H. Versteeg, M. Vincent, R. Webbert,  
 1235 S. Weidner, G. E. Weigle, K. Whittenburg, B. G. Williams, K. Williams,  
 1236 S. Williams, W. W. Woods, and E. Zirnstein. The geology of Pluto and  
 1237 Charon through the eyes of New Horizons. *Science*, 351:1284–1293, March  
 1238 2016. doi: 10.1126/science.aad7055.
- 1239 J. M. Moore, A. D. Howard, O. M. Umurhan, O. L. White, P. M. Schenk,  
 1240 R. A. Beyer, W. B. McKinnon, J. R. Spencer, W. M. Grundy, T. R. Lauer,  
 1241 F. Nimmo, L. A. Young, S. A. Stern, H. A. Weaver, C. B. Olkin, and K. En-  
 1242 nico. Sublimation as a landform-shaping process on Pluto. *Icarus*, 287:  
 1243 320–333, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.08.025.
- 1244 S. Protopapa, W. M. Grundy, D. C. Reuter, D. P. Hamilton, C. M. Dalle Ore,  
 1245 J. C. Cook, D. P. Cruikshank, B. Schmitt, S. Philippe, E. Quirico, R. P.  
 1246 Binzel, A. M. Earle, K. Ennico, C. J. A. Howett, A. W. Lunsford, C. B.  
 1247 Olkin, A. Parker, K. N. Singer, A. Stern, A. J. Verbiscer, H. A. Weaver,  
 1248 and L. A. Young. Pluto’s global surface composition through pixel-by-pixel  
 1249 Hapke modeling of New Horizons Ralph/LEISA data. *Icarus*, 287:218–228,  
 1250 May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.11.028.
- 1251 G. Robuchon and F. Nimmo. Thermal evolution of Pluto and implications for

- 1252 surface tectonics and a subsurface ocean. *Icarus*, 216:426–439, December  
1253 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.08.015.
- 1254 P. Schenk, R. A. Beyer, J. M. Moore, J. R. Spencer, W. B. McKinnon, H. A.  
1255 Weaver, Jr., L. A. Young, C. Olkin, K. Ennico Smith, and A. Stern. Regional  
1256 Topographic Properties of Pluto and Charon from New Horizons. *AGU Fall*  
1257 *Meeting Abstracts*, February 2016a.
- 1258 P. Schenk, K. Singer, S. Robbins, V. Bray, R. Beyer, J. Moore, W. B. McKin-  
1259 non, J. Spencer, K. Runyon, S. A. Stern, L. A. Young, C. Olkin, K. Ennico,  
1260 and H. A. Weaver. Topography of Pluto and Charon: Impact Cratering. In  
1261 *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*, volume 47 of *Lunar and Planetary*  
1262 *Science Conference*, page 2795, March 2016b.
- 1263 P. Schenk, R. A. Beyer, W. B. McKinnon, J. M. Moore, J. R. Spencer, O. M.  
1264 White, K. Singer, S. Robbins, O. M. Umurhan, F. Nimmo, T. D. Lauer,  
1265 W. Grundy, A. Stern, H. A. Weaver, Jr., L. A. Young, C. Olkin, the New  
1266 Horizons Geology, and Geophysics Investigation Team. Basins, Fractures  
1267 and Volcanoes: Global Cartography and Topography of Pluto from New  
1268 Horizons. *Submitted to Icarus*, August 2018a.
- 1269 P. Schenk, R. A. Beyer, J. M. Moore, J. R. Spencer, W. B. McKinnon, H. A.  
1270 Weaver, Jr., L. A. Young, C. Olkin, K. Ennico Smith, and A. Stern. To-  
1271 pography of Sputnik Planitia Basin on Pluto: What We Know and Don’t  
1272 Know. *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*, February 2018b.
- 1273 B. Schmitt, S. Philippe, W. M. Grundy, D. C. Reuter, R. Cote, E. Quirico,  
1274 S. Protopapa, L. A. Young, R. P. Binzel, J. C. Cook, D. P. Cruikshank, C. M.  
1275 Dalle Ore, A. M. Earle, K. Ennico, C. J. A. Howett, D. E. Jennings, I. R.  
1276 Linscott, A. W. Lunsford, C. B. Olkin, A. H. Parker, J. W. Parker, K. N.  
1277 Singer, J. R. Spencer, J. A. Stansberry, S. A. Stern, C. C. C. Tsang, A. J.  
1278 Verbiscer, and H. A. Weaver. Physical state and distribution of materials  
1279 at the surface of Pluto from New Horizons LEISA imaging spectrometer.  
1280 *Icarus*, 287:229–260, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.12.025.
- 1281 T. Schneider, S. D. B. Graves, E. L. Schaller, and M. E. Brown. Polar methane  
1282 accumulation and rainstorms on Titan from simulations of the methane  
1283 cycle. *Nature*, 481:58–61, January 2012. doi: 10.1038/nature10666.
- 1284 T. A. Scott. Solid and liquid nitrogen. *Phys. Rep.*, 27:89–157, September  
1285 1976. doi: 10.1016/0370-1573(76)90032-6.
- 1286 S. A. Stern, F. Bagenal, K. Ennico, G. R. Gladstone, W. M. Grundy, W. B.  
1287 McKinnon, J. M. Moore, C. B. Olkin, J. R. Spencer, H. A. Weaver, L. A.  
1288 Young, T. Andert, J. Andrews, M. Banks, B. Bauer, J. Bauman, O. S.  
1289 Barnouin, P. Bedini, K. Beisser, R. A. Beyer, S. Bhaskaran, R. P. Binzel,  
1290 E. Birath, M. Bird, D. J. Bogan, A. Bowman, V. J. Bray, M. Brozovic,  
1291 C. Bryan, M. R. Buckley, M. W. Buie, B. J. Buratti, S. S. Bushman, A. Cal-  
1292 loway, B. Carcich, A. F. Cheng, S. Conard, C. A. Conrad, J. C. Cook, D. P.  
1293 Cruikshank, O. S. Custodio, C. M. Dalle Ore, C. Deboy, Z. J. B. Dischner,  
1294 P. Dumont, A. M. Earle, H. A. Elliott, J. Ercol, C. M. Ernst, T. Finley, S. H.  
1295 Flanigan, G. Fountain, M. J. Freeze, T. Greathouse, J. L. Green, Y. Guo,  
1296 M. Hahn, D. P. Hamilton, S. A. Hamilton, J. Hanley, A. Harch, H. M.



1297 Hart, C. B. Hersman, A. Hill, M. E. Hill, D. P. Hinson, M. E. Holdridge,  
1298 M. Horanyi, A. D. Howard, C. J. A. Howett, C. Jackman, R. A. Jacobson,  
1299 D. E. Jennings, J. A. Kammer, H. K. Kang, D. E. Kaufmann, P. Koll-  
1300 mann, S. M. Krimigis, D. Kusnierkiewicz, T. R. Lauer, J. E. Lee, K. L.  
1301 Lindstrom, I. R. Linscott, C. M. Lisse, A. W. Lunsford, V. A. Mallder,  
1302 N. Martin, D. J. McComas, R. L. McNutt, D. Mehoke, T. Mehoke, E. D.  
1303 Melin, M. Mutchler, D. Nelson, F. Nimmo, J. I. Nunez, A. Ocampo, W. M.  
1304 Owen, M. Paetzold, B. Page, A. H. Parker, J. W. Parker, F. Pelletier, J. Pe-  
1305 terson, N. Pinkine, M. Piquette, S. B. Porter, S. Protopapa, J. Redfern, H. J.  
1306 Reitsema, D. C. Reuter, J. H. Roberts, S. J. Robbins, G. Rogers, D. Rose,  
1307 K. Runyon, K. D. Retherford, M. G. Ryschkewitsch, P. Schenk, E. Schind-  
1308 helm, B. Sepan, M. R. Showalter, K. N. Singer, M. Soluri, D. Stanbridge,  
1309 A. J. Steffl, D. F. Strobel, T. Stryk, M. E. Summers, J. R. Szalay, M. Ta-  
1310 ppley, A. Taylor, H. Taylor, H. B. Throop, C. C. C. Tsang, G. L. Tyler,  
1311 O. M. Umurhan, A. J. Verbiscer, M. H. Versteeg, M. Vincent, R. Webbert,  
1312 S. Weidner, G. E. Weigle, O. L. White, K. Whittenburg, B. G. Williams,  
1313 K. Williams, S. Williams, W. W. Woods, A. M. Zangari, and E. Zirnstein.  
1314 The Pluto system: Initial results from its exploration by New Horizons.  
1315 *Science*, 350:aad1815, October 2015. doi: 10.1126/science.aad1815.

1316 S. A. Stern, R. P. Binzel, A. M. Earle, K. N. Singer, L. A. Young, H. A.  
1317 Weaver, C. B. Olkin, K. Ennico, J. M. Moore, W. B. McKinnon, and J. R.  
1318 Spencer. Past epochs of significantly higher pressure atmospheres on Pluto.  
1319 *Icarus*, 287:47–53, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.11.022.

1320 A. J. Trowbridge, H. J. Melosh, J. K. Steckloff, and A. M. Freed. Vigorous  
1321 convection as the explanation for Pluto’s polygonal terrain. *Nature*, 534:  
1322 79–81, June 2016. doi: 10.1038/nature18016.

1323 O. M. Umurhan, A. D. Howard, J. M. Moore, A. M. Earle, O. L. White, P. M.  
1324 Schenk, R. P. Binzel, S. A. Stern, R. A. Beyer, F. Nimmo, W. B. McKinnon,  
1325 K. Ennico, C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, and L. A. Young. Modeling glacial  
1326 flow on and onto Pluto’s Sputnik Planitia. *Icarus*, 287:301–319, May 2017.  
1327 doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.01.017.

1328 O. L. White, J. M. Moore, W. B. McKinnon, J. R. Spencer, A. D. Howard,  
1329 P. M. Schenk, R. A. Beyer, F. Nimmo, K. N. Singer, O. M. Umurhan,  
1330 S. A. Stern, K. Ennico, C. B. Olkin, H. A. Weaver, L. A. Young, A. F.  
1331 Cheng, T. Bertrand, R. P. Binzel, A. M. Earle, W. M. Grundy, T. R. Lauer,  
1332 S. Protopapa, S. J. Robbins, and B. Schmitt. Geological mapping of Sputnik  
1333 Planitia on Pluto. *Icarus*, 287:261–286, May 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.  
1334 2017.01.011.

1335 R. Wordsworth, F. Forget, E. Millour, J. W. Head, J.-B. Madeleine, and  
1336 B. Charnay. Global modelling of the early martian climate under a denser  
1337 CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere: Water cycle and ice evolution. *Icarus*, 222:1–19, January  
1338 2013. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.09.036.

1339 Y. Yamashita, M. Kato, and M. Arakawa. Experimental study on the rhe-  
1340 ological properties of polycrystalline solid nitrogen and methane: Implica-  
1341 tions for tectonic processes on Triton. *Icarus*, 207:972–977, June 2010. doi:

1342 10.1016/j.icarus.2009.11.032.  
1343 A. Zangari. A meta-analysis of coordinate systems and bibliography of their  
1344 use on Pluto from Charon’s discovery to the present day. *Icarus*, 246:93–145,  
1345 January 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.10.040.

## 1346 Acknowledgements

1347 We acknowledge the Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES) for its finan-  
1348 cial support through its “Système Solaire“ program. The authors thank the  
1349 whole NASA *New Horizons* instrument and scientific team for their excellent  
1350 work on a fantastic mission and their interest in this research.