



HAL
open science

Exploring physical and chemical properties in new multifunctional indium-, bismuth-, and zinc-based 1D and 2D coordination polymers

G. E Gomez, R. F d'Vries, D. F Lionello, L M Aguirre-Díaz, M. Spinosa, C. S Costa, M. C Fuertes, R. A Pizarro, A M Kaczmarek, J. Ellena, et al.

► To cite this version:

G. E Gomez, R. F d'Vries, D. F Lionello, L M Aguirre-Díaz, M. Spinosa, et al.. Exploring physical and chemical properties in new multifunctional indium-, bismuth-, and zinc-based 1D and 2D coordination polymers. Dalton Transactions, 2018, 47 (6), pp.1808 - 1818. 10.1039/C7DT04287F . hal-01787134

HAL Id: hal-01787134

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01787134>

Submitted on 7 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exploring physical and chemical properties in new multifunctional indium-, bismuth-, and zinc-based 1D and 2D coordination polymers†

G. E. Gomez, *^{a,b} R. F. D'vries, *^{c,d} D. F. Lionello,^{a,e} L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, ^f M. Spinosa,^g C. S. Costa,^h M. C. Fuertes, ^{a,e} R. A. Pizarro,^h A. M. Kaczmarek, ⁱ J. Ellena,^d L. Rozes,^j M. Iglesias, ^f R. Van Deun, ⁱ C. Sanchez,^{*j} M. A. Monge^f and G. J. A. A. Soler-Illia *^b

Main group element coordination polymers (MGE-CPs) are important compounds for the development of multifunctional materials. However, there has been a shortage of studies regarding their structural, optical, catalytic, mechanical, and antibacterial properties. This work presents an exhaustive study of a set of crystalline MGE-CPs obtained from bismuth and indium metals and iminodiacetate, 1,2,4,5-benzene-tetracarboxylate, and 2,2'-bipyridine as building blocks. An in-depth topological analysis of the networks was carried out. Additionally, nanoindentation studies were performed on two representative low-dimensional compounds in order to find the relationships between their structural features and their intrinsic mechanical properties (hardness and elasticity). The solid-state photoluminescence (SSPL) properties were also studied in terms of excitation, emission, lifetimes values, and CIE chromaticities. Moreover, the heterogeneous catalytic activities of the compounds were evaluated with the cyanosilylation reaction using a set of carbonylic substrates under solvent-free conditions. Finally, the inhibitory effect of the Bi-CPs on the growth of microorganisms such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which are associated with relevant infectious diseases, is reported.

^aGerencia de Química, Centro Atómico Constituyentes, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Av. Gral. Paz 1499, 1650 San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: gegomez@unsr.edu.ar

^bInstituto de Nanosistemas. Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Av. 25 de Mayo 1021, San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^cFacultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Calle 5 # 62-00, Cali, Colombia. E-mail: gsoler-illia@unsam.edu.ar

^dInstituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, CP. 369, 13560-970 São Carlos, SP, Brasil. E-mail: richard.dvries00@usc.edu.co

^eInstituto Sabato, UNSAM-CNEA, Av. Gral. Paz 1499, B1650KNA San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^fDepartamento de Nuevas Arquitecturas en Química de Materiales, Materials Science Factory, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, Madrid 28049, Spain

^gPrograma Nacional de Gestión de Residuos Radiactivos-PNGRR, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Av. Gral. Paz 1499, 1650 San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^hDepartamento de Radiobiología, Gerencia de Química Nuclear y Ciencias de la Salud, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Av. Gral. Paz 1499, 1650 San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina

ⁱL³ – Luminescent Lanthanide Lab, Ghent University, Department of Chemistry, Krijgslaan 281, Building S3, 9000 Gent, Belgium

^jUMR 7574 Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris, UPMC Univ. Paris 06-CNRS, Collège de France, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France. E-mail: clement.sanchez@upmc.fr

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedure details, experimental X-ray powder patterns, TGA and DSC profiles, FTIR spectra, and decay luminescence profiles. CCDC 1561408–1561411. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c7dt04287f

1.0. Introduction

Inorganic–organic hybrid compounds as coordination polymers (CPs) or metal organic frameworks (MOFs) have become the focus of research due to their unique properties such as gas sorption,¹ optics,² and heterogeneous catalysis.³ In comparison with transition metals from the d and f block, the main group elements (MGE) have been less explored for designing new coordination compounds. Most of the metal ions have been incorporated into these materials, but only recently have Bi³⁺-compounds with optical⁴ or catalytic⁵ properties been reported. For this reason, the synthesis and studies related to Bi-CPs are surprisingly scarce.

Particularly, our research has addressed the synthesis of new CPs and MOFs with optical,⁶ mechanical,⁷ catalytic,^{8–10} thermo,^{8,11} chemical,^{6b} and sensing properties¹² and explored structure–property relationships. Moreover, the catalytic properties of In-CPs have been widely explored by Monge's group, who found exceptional correlations between the structures and their catalytic performances.¹³

Because of its geometrically flexible coordination environments, the trivalent Bi ion can be regarded as a novel node in the construction of CPs. Moreover, bismuth leads to unpredict-

able and diverse structures because it presents a variety of coordination numbers. In this context, Inge *et al.*¹⁴ recently reported an 8-coordinated Bi-MOF constructed from a tricarboxylate linker, revealing an unprecedented topological complexity.

From the point of view of the design of new CPs, during the last decade, iminodiacetic and 1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylic acids have been employed as building blocks for the synthesis of CPs and MOFs as multifunctional platforms.¹⁵

An increasingly urgent problem has been the antibiotic resistance observed in several pathogenic microorganisms, and diverse strategies have been proposed to overcome the rapid emergence of resistant organisms.¹⁶ To counteract microbial pathogens, metallic or oxide nanoparticles (NPs) have been developed due to their novel physicochemical properties.¹⁶ In the case of Bi, different compounds are being used against ulcers and in treatments for gastritis, diarrhoea, and dyspepsia.¹⁷ Moreover, bismuth derivatives (for example, bismuth subsalicylate and bismuth citrate) have long been used as antimicrobial agents against several bacterial species, particularly *Helicobacter pylori*, a bacterium responsible for peptic ulcers and gastric cancer.^{17e} Their antimicrobial activity was observed at relatively high concentrations due to their limited water solubility.¹⁸ In addition, it has been demonstrated that Bi-NPs reduce biofilm (growth of microorganisms on solid surfaces) formation by bacteria and fungi such as *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans*.^{19,20} Biofilms represent a great problem for industry and human health because they form on a wide variety of surfaces, possess high resistance to antimicrobial agents, and are very difficult to eradicate.²¹

To understand the processability and the mechanical properties of the new materials, nanoindentation studies have recently been adopted. In the pioneering work of Cheetham,²² nanoindentation tests have been applied to demonstrate the intrinsic correlations between crystal packing and anisotropic mechanical properties (such as density, stability, and elasticity) in MOF materials.

The present work involves a complete study regarding the synthesis strategies, photophysical characterization, mechanical and catalytic analysis, and antimicrobial activity assay of a set of CPs based on main-group elements (MGE-CPs), or more specifically, two Bi-CPs and one In-CP.

2.0. Experimental section

2.1. Synthesis

[Bi(1,2,4,5-BTC)_{0.5}(2,2'-bipyridine)(NO₃)(DMF)] (**Bi-1**), [Bi(IDA)(IDAH)] (**Bi-2**), [In(IDA)(Cl)] (**In-1**) and [Zn(1,2,4,5-BTC)_{0.5}(2,2'-bipyridine)(H₂O)] (**Zn-1**), were obtained as crystalline solids under solvothermal conditions using 43 mL Teflon-lined Parr reactors. The solid reactants and solvents were used as received without purification from Across Organics (1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylic acid) and Sigma-Aldrich (2,2'-bipyridine, Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O, *N,N'*-dimethylformamide, iminodiacetic

acid, Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and InCl₃) (see ESI section S1† for synthesis details).

2.2. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

FTIR spectra were recorded with a Nicolet Protégé 460 spectrometer in the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ range with 64 scans and a spectral resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ by the KBr pellet technique. The FTIR spectra and band assignments are displayed in ESI section S2.†

2.3. Thermal analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) were performed with Shimadzu TGA-51 and DSC-60 apparatus, respectively, under flowing air at 50 mL min⁻¹, at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. TGA and DSC curves are displayed in ESI section S3.†

2.4. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD)

PXRD patterns were obtained with a Rigaku D-MAX-IIIC diffractometer using CuK α radiation ($\lambda_1 = 1.54056 \text{ \AA}$, $\lambda_2 = 1.54439 \text{ \AA}$) (see ESI section S4†).

2.5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

SEM micrographs were obtained and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) (ESI section S5†) was used with FEI Quanta 200 equipment. Samples were placed on an adhesive carbon tape coated with gold for the observations.

2.6. Single-crystal structure determination (SCXRD)

The SCXRD data for compounds **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **Zn-1** were collected at room temperature (293 K) on a Rigaku XTLAB-MINI diffractometer using MoK α radiation (0.71073 Å) monochromated by graphite. The **In-1** compound was collected at room temperature (296 K) with a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer using MoK α radiation (0.71073 Å) monochromated by graphite.

The cell determination and the final cell parameters of **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **Zn-1** were obtained on all reflections using the software CrystalClear.²³ Data integration and scaling were carried out using the software CrystalClear²³ and CrysAlisPro.²⁴ The structures were solved and refined with SHELXS-2013²⁵ software, included in WinGX²⁶ and Olex2.²⁷ Cell determination and final cell parameters of **In-1** crystals were obtained on all reflections using the software Bruker SAINT included in the APEX2 software suite.²⁸ Data integration and scaling were carried out using the software Bruker SAINT.²⁷ In all cases, non-hydrogen atoms of the molecules were clearly resolved, and full-matrix least-squares refinements of these atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters were performed. Additionally, hydrogen atoms were stereochemically positioned and refined by the riding model.²⁵ ORTEP diagrams for all structures were prepared with the Diamond²⁹ program. TOPOS³⁰ and Mercury³¹ programs were used in the preparation of the artwork of the polyhedral and topological representations.

2.7. Mechanical characterization

Samples were prepared for mechanical characterizations including single crystals in acrylic resin (SUBITON®). Nanoindentation (NI) experiments were performed using an Agilent G200 Nano Indenter at room temperature. A Berkovich diamond tip with a final rounding of 20 nm was used in this study. The tip was calibrated using a standard fused silica sample. A group of 5 crystals was tested, and an array of 5×5 measurements up to a maximum penetration depth of 1200 nm was obtained for each selected crystal. The elastic modulus (E) and hardness (H) were calculated from the measurements by applying the Oliver & Pharr model, which was described in our previous work.⁷

2.8. Solid-state luminescence measurements

The steady-state and time-resolved luminescence measurements were performed with an Edinburgh Instruments FLSP920 spectrometer setup, using a 450 W xenon lamp as the steady-state excitation source and an EPLED as the time-resolved excitation source with a fixed excitation wavelength of 331 nm. The emission was detected with a Hamamatsu R928P photomultiplier tube (PMT). Excitation spectra were corrected for the xenon lamp emission profile, whereas emission spectra were corrected for the detector response curve. All measurements were carried out at a step size of 1 nm.

2.9. Catalytic activity

Considering the nature of the new materials, among all possible organic transformations, only those that require a Lewis acid as catalyst were taken into account. Therefore, as a standard organic transformation, the cyanosilylation reaction (CSR) was chosen in order to evaluate the catalytic activity of **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **In-1** materials. In this order, catalytic amounts of the selected material (0.5 mol%, 1 mol%, and 2.5 mol%, respectively) were placed in a Schlenk tube under nitrogen atmosphere without solvents, together with the corresponding carbonyl compound (1 equivalent); trimethylsilyl cyanide (1.1 equivalent) was then added dropwise by syringe. The mixture was stirred until disappearance of the carbonyl compound; the kinetics of the reaction and its yield were confirmed by GC-MS.

3.0. Antibacterial assays

3.1. Stock solutions of bismuth compounds

Saturated aqueous solutions of the relatively insoluble compounds **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and bismuth citrate (Bi-cit) (Merck) were prepared in distilled and sterilized water by 0.22 μm -pore-size filters. Bi-cit was employed as a control because it is known as a bismuth derivative inhibitor of bacterial growth.^{17b} The concentrations of the bismuth compounds were expressed as elemental bismuth as determined by total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (Bruker PicoFox S2 TXRF). Bi-NPs and Bi-cit concentrations used for this study depended on their limited water solubility.

3.2. Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The employed set of bacteria consisted of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*, strain K12), *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*, strain LT2), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*, strain PAO1). For assays with planktonic (free) cells, *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *P. aeruginosa* were cultivated in Nutrient Broth (Difco) at a temperature of 37 °C. For biofilm assays, *P. aeruginosa* was grown in LB broth (10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, and 5 g NaCl in 1000 ml distilled water) at 37 °C.


3.3. Effect of Bi-NPs on planktonic cultures and biofilm formation

For assays with planktonic cultures, sterile Bi-compounds were serially suspended in tubes containing 1 milliliter of Nutrient Broth. Overnight cultures of the three bacterial strains were diluted 1/100 in saline solution, and 100 μl were added to each prepared tube as described. The tubes were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Cell mass was evaluated by optical density at 650 nm wavelength (OD_{650}). Quantification of viable microorganisms was determined by colony counts of bacteria plated on Nutrient Agar (Difco) at 37 °C and expressed as colony forming units per ml (CFU ml^{-1}). Tubes without Bi-compounds were used as controls. For studies of biofilm formation, overnight cultures of *P. aeruginosa* were diluted 1/100 in LB in a final volume of 100 μl in the absence or presence of different concentrations of Bi-compounds in 96-well microplates. After 24 h incubation at 37 °C in a humidified chamber, planktonic cells were discarded and biofilm attached to the surface of the microplate wells was quantified by crystal violet staining.³²

4.0. Results

4.1. Synthesis

After the followed procedure detailed in Scheme 1, the phases were characterized by PXRD and EDS in order to confirm their purity (see ESI section S4 and S5†). The morphological diversity was studied by SEM; growth habits were identified in **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **Zn-1**; additionally, **In-1** exhibited cubic crystals (Fig. 1). Good-quality crystals were carefully selected in order to perform SCXRD. The table in ESI section S6† summarizes the crystallographic data for all the synthesized compounds.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of the reported CPs.




Fig. 1 Micrographs of (a) Bi-1, (b) Bi-2, and In-1 obtained (c) without and (d) with growth modulator.

4.2. Crystal structure descriptions

Bi-1 compound crystallizes in the triclinic $P\bar{1}$ space group. The primary building unit (PBU) consists of a nine-coordinated arrangement (BiO_7N_2), where seven oxygen atoms belong to 1,2,4,5-BTC, DMF, and nitrate, and two nitrogen atoms from the 2,2'-bipyridine form a trigonal prism square-face tricapped polyhedron (TPRS-9)³³ (Fig. 2 left). As can be seen in Fig. 2 (right), the asymmetric unit of **Bi-1** is composed of one trivalent Bi cation, one nitrate anion, one DMF, and one 2,2'-bipyridine, in addition to a half of a crystallographically independent 1,2,4,5-BTC ligand.

In this compound, each 1,2,4,5-BTC ligand is coordinated to four Bi centers in chelate η^2 mode, giving rise to chains along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction (Fig. 3 left) with intermetallic distances of 6.3594(6) and 9.3852(7) Å. The Bi–O bond lengths are in the range 2.326(5)–2.77(1) Å, which were seen in analogous Bi-MOFs.¹⁴

The crystal structure of **Bi-1** was analyzed with the TOPOS program in order to determine the underlying topology³⁴ of the associated simplified net. Through this simplification, it is possible to observe that the carboxylate ligand acts as a 4-connected node and the metal center acts as a bridge forming




Fig. 2 Polyhedron representation of the PBU (left) and 50% probability thermal ellipsoid diagram showing Bi-1 (right). Hydrogen and disordered atoms were omitted for clarity.




Fig. 3 (a) Polymeric chain and decorated simplified chain along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction and (b) crystal packing view into the bc plane of Bi-1 compound.

“ribbon-like” chains (Fig. 3 left). In addition, the compound can be classified as I^0O^1 according to the proposed classification suggested by Cheetham *et al.*³⁵ describing “coordination polymeric chains”.

The 3D supramolecular structure (Fig. 3) is based on weak hydrogen bonds that join the chains along the $[0\ 1\ 0]$ and $[0\ 0\ 1]$ directions, with distances $\text{C9-H9}\cdots\text{O6} = 3.248(1)$ and $\text{C18-H18A}\cdots\text{Cg} = 3.460(2)$ Å, respectively (Cg ring = C2, C3, C4, C2*, C3*, C4*).

For comparative purposes, the **Zn-1** compound^{36,37} was obtained. A deeper crystallographic description can be found in ESI section S7.† Similarly to **Bi-1**, here, the 1,2,4,5-BTC ligand is coordinated to four metallic centers giving rise to “ribbon-like” chains along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction, with intermetallic distances of 7.6958(7) and 8.0797(8) Å (Fig. 4a). Despite presenting different coordination numbers, both compounds exhibit a similar arrangement of the ligand, giving rise to 1D coordination polymers.

Bi-2 was obtained as a crystalline solid belonging to the monoclinic $C2/c$ space group. The asymmetric unit is formed by one crystallographically independent trivalent Bi cation and two structurally different types of iminodiacetate ligand (IDA and protonated IDAH). The PBU can be described as an 8-coordinated metal center (BiO_7N) formed by seven oxygens and one nitrogen atom from the ligands, forming a square anti-




Fig. 4 (a) Polymeric chain and decorated simplified chain along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction and (b) crystal packing view into the bc plane of Zn-1 compound.




Fig. 5 PBU representation of the Bi^{3+} cation (left) and 50% probability thermal ellipsoid diagram showing for Bi-2 (right). Hydrogen and disordered atoms were omitted for clarity.




Fig. 6 Polyhedron and simplified representation of the chains (left) and crystal packing view along the $[0\ 0\ 1]$ direction of Bi-2 (right).

prism polyhedron (SAPR-8) arrangement. In this case, the metal centers are joined by the ligand-forming dimeric edge-sharing secondary binding units (SBUs) ($\text{Bi}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_{12}$), with an intermetallic distance of 3.9612(9) Å. The formation of the dimeric SBUs is due to the $\mu\eta_2$ and $\mu_2\eta_2$ coordination modes of the carboxylate groups (see ESI section S8†).

In the extended structure, the IDA acts as a tridentated ligand blocking the coordination sphere of the Bi^{3+} , while the IDAH ligands join the SBUs along the $[0\ 0\ 1]$ direction, giving rise to ribbon-like chains (Fig. 5 left and ESI section S8†). Additionally, the IDAH ligands join two metallic centers forming dimeric SBUs, acting as 4-connected nodes. The 3D supramolecular crystal packing (Fig. 5 right) is given by hydrogen bonds along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction, with distances $\text{N1-H1}\cdots\text{O4} = 2.932(6)$ and $\text{C2-H2C}\cdots\text{O2} = 3.175(8)$ Å. Similar interactions are involved along the $[0\ 1\ 0]$ direction, with distances $\text{N2-H2B}\cdots\text{O2} = 2.908(7)$ and $\text{N2-H2A}\cdots\text{O4} = 3.091(6)$ Å.

The 3D supramolecular structure is formed by strong hydrogen bonds and π - π stacking interactions with distances $\text{O5-H5A}\cdots\text{O1} = 2.657(3)$ and $\text{Cg}\cdots\text{Cg} = 3.758(2)$ Å, along the $[0\ 0\ 1]$ and $[0\ 1\ 0]$ directions, respectively.

In-1 crystallizes in the $Pnma$ orthorhombic space group. The metal environment is formed by one Cl atom, one nitrogen, and four oxygen atoms, giving rise to a distorted octahedral geometry (Fig. 6 left). The asymmetric unit is formed by a half of the In^{3+} cation, chloride anion, and IDA ligand. The ORTEP diagram of **In-1** is displayed in Fig. 7 right. Each ligand is coordinated in μ_3 chelate fashion through the protonated nitrogen atom and two oxygen atoms of the carboxylate group. Moreover, the carboxylate group exhibits a $\mu\eta^2$ coordination mode, linking a pair of metallic centers (intermetallic distance = 5.645(2) Å) along the $[0\ 1\ 1]$ and $[0\ -1\ -1]$ directions (Fig. 8).

The junction of the metallic centers gives rise to layers in the (011) plane. As far as we know, this structure was topologically analyzed for the first time. In this case, each metal acts as a 4-connected node joined by the ligand, forming a **sql**/Shubnikov topology net with point symbol $(4^4\cdot6^2)$ (Fig. 8b). The 3D supramolecular structure is formed by the $\text{Cl}\cdots\text{H-N}$ interaction with a distance of 2.719(6) Å, which enables the stacking of the covalent layers along the $[1\ 0\ 0]$ direction (Fig. 8c).

4.3. Nanomechanical properties

Dense CPs incorporate infinite inorganic connectivity, especially those based on metal-oxygen-metal motifs.




Fig. 7 Polyhedron representation of the In^{3+} (left) and 50% probability thermal ellipsoid diagram for In-1 compound (right).




Fig. 8 (a) Representation of the 2D covalent network, (b) topological simplification, (c) 3D crystal packing, and (d) the coordination modes of the IDA ligand in In-1.

Recently, there has been an intense interest focused on CPs showing unique physical properties associated with their structures, which includes magnetic, electrical, optical, and multiferroic properties. Despite this, studies that have described the mechanical features of CPs are scarce. Additionally, good film stiffness and film-to-substrate adhesion strength is required for developing MOF/CP sensing devices. Moreover, elastic properties of the underlying framework are dominant in the performance of piezoelectric devices (such as actuators and sensors) and MOF coatings or membranes.³⁸

In this context, there is special interest in the mechanical characterization of low-dimensional 1D or 2D frameworks due




Fig. 9 (a) P - h profiles of **In-1** crystals. (b) Studied planes, in normal direction to the nanoindenter. (c and d) Indented cube crystals.

to the possibility of delamination (“top-down” fabrication) and further deposition onto different substrates in order to build membranes and thin-film composites.^{39,40} To elucidate structural-mechanical properties, NI experiments were performed on selected single crystals of **In-1** and **Bi-2** as representative models (Fig. 9a and 10a). In both cases, a 5×5 field of NI was applied to the single crystals. To avoid ambiguities, NI experiments on an acrylic substrate were performed (see displacement (P - h) curves in ESI section S9†) as a control experiment, obtaining H and E values of 0.11 ± 0.01 and 2.8 ± 0.1 GPa, respectively.

The crystallographic planes that probabilistically are exposed in the **In-1** compound, which are also coincident to those that divide the nanolayers, are the family of $h00$ ones. In ESI section S1,† a preferential orientation into the $[2\ 0\ 0]$ planes is clearly identified. These planes are exposed by deposition of the layers, which are located in the direction in which non-covalent interactions ensure the packing of the layers (see




Fig. 10 (a) P - h profiles of **Bi-2** crystals. (b) Studied planes, in normal direction to the nanoindenter. (c and d) Indented single crystals.

Fig. 9b). The same family of 200 planes in **Bi-2** (Fig. 10b) exposes a higher concentration of metallic polyhedral, conferring *structural stiffness*. As can be seen in Fig. 9, 10c and d, the “Berkovich marks” were identified after NI measurements.

The average values of H and E measured for **In-1** were 1.8 ± 0.1 GPa and 24.3 ± 0.8 GPa, respectively, and for **Bi-2**, were 1.1 ± 0.1 GPa and 12.4 ± 0.5 GPa. The obtained values from **Bi-2** are considerably lower compared to **In-1**, possibly because of a decrease in stiffness due to being one-dimensional.

It is important to note the presence of “pop-in”, or displacement events, in the performed NI experiments, which appears due to the breakages of intermolecular weak bonds. Similar displacements have been reported in low-dimensional CPs such as $[\text{Yb}_2(3\text{-OHNDS})_2(1,10\text{-phen})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})] \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$,⁷ $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{O}_3\text{PCH}_2\text{CO}_2)_2]$ ⁴¹ and $[\text{Mn}(2,2\text{-dms})]$.⁴⁰

According to the classification reported by Cheetham and colleagues,⁴² **In-1** was located in the “dense hybrids” type materials and close to MOFs with similar mechanical performance (ESI section 10†).

4.4. Solid-state photoluminescence properties (SSPL)

According to a significant number of specialized articles² regarding photoluminescence (PL) properties, optical materials can be characterized by specific studies: (1) emission spectra (recorded as intensity vs. wavelength), (2) quantum yields (QYs), which refers to the efficiency of the luminescence process, and (3) the observed lifetime (τ_{obs}), which refers to the average time the molecule stays in its excited state before emitting a photon.

In contrast to the narrow and easily predictable emissions of 4f-4f transitions in rare earth elements,⁴³ the PL in transition metals and MGE commonly exhibits broad bands. The excited states in TM- and MGE-based compounds are different from the ground state, and considerable anti-bonding often produces broad emissions and large Stokes shifts. Additionally, many types of PL might occur in the same compound, as it is a challenge to identify the electronic transitions.

LMCT (ligand to metal charge transition) might occur in TM and MGE-CPs with metals in high oxidation states; however, the assignment of LMCT in these compounds is often difficult, especially in mixed ligand systems. The most likely candidates for metal-centered emission are CPs containing s^2 -ions such as Pb^{2+} and Bi^{3+} , where it is possible to observe s - p transitions.⁴⁴

The SSPL properties of **Bi-1** and **Bi-2** were studied by recording excitation-emission spectra, calculating lifetime values, and quantifying the color emission (QC) in comparison with the respective starting reactants ($\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, H_2IDA , and H_4BTC). Usually, the spectroscopy of Bi^{3+} with s^2 configuration in the ground state and sp configuration in the first excited state is discussed in Russell-Saunders-type electronic energy terms. Intense fluorescence emissions could be assigned to LMCT or the $^1P_1 \leftarrow ^1S_0$ and $^3P_1 \leftarrow ^1S_0$ transitions of the s^2 electron of trivalent Bi^{3+} ions.⁴⁵ In Bi-compounds, the dominant excitation transition is commonly ascribed to the population

of the excited state 3P_1 from the ground state 1S_0 (allowed by spin-orbit coupling), being that the 3P_0 level is strongly forbidden and a metastable state. At high temperatures, the emission $^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ transition is the most frequent in relation to the $^3P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ transition, and is evidenced as broad bands accompanied by splitting components.⁴⁶

Therein, the emission peaks of **Bi-1** are located at longer wavelengths (446, 486, and 511 nm) compared to **Bi-2** (421, 435, and 464 nm) (Fig. 11). The signal located at 511 nm in **Bi-1** could be attributed to $\pi \leftarrow \pi^*$ and/or $n \leftarrow \pi^*$ from 1,2,4,5-BTC and 2,2'-bipyridine ligands. For **Bi-2**, the contribution of the $n \leftarrow \pi^*$ transition from the IDA carboxylate linker cannot be discounted. Moreover, it is remarkable that although the band splitting between both spectra is different, the intensities are similar. For an in-depth analysis, **Zn-1** was obtained and compared to **Bi-1**. Due to the fact that **Zn-1** and **Bi-1** have the same set of coordinated ligands, both compounds exhibit broad bands. The phosphorescence-emitting bands were further analyzed by recording intensity decay curves (see ESI section S11†), and luminescence lifetimes were calculated by fitting mono-exponential functions, $I = A \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{obs}})$. The corresponding yielded τ_{obs} were 16.7 μs and 0.52 μs for **Bi-1** and **Bi-2**, respectively. The τ_{obs} values of the Bi-CPs are higher compared to $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, H_2IDA , and H_4BTC (1.03, 1.32, and 1.02 ns, respectively).

These differences might be related to their different coordination environment around Bi^{3+} ions,⁴⁷ as Bi is nine-co-

ordinated in **Bi-1**, while it is eight-coordinated in **Bi-2**. The SSPL and excitation spectra of both Bi-CPs suggest that two different emission metal centers are responsible for the resultant luminescence components. It is noticeable that the two maximum peaks in the excitation spectra are shifted by 30 nm, which is ascribed to the absorption of the bismuth-emitting center.

The QC for optical materials enables an accurate comparison between different materials based on their light-emitting performance. In this sense, the color coordinates are usually calculated employing the CIE (Commission International de L'Éclairage) system (ESI section S12†), proposed in 1931.⁴⁸ According to this approach, **Bi-1** and **Bi-2** were identified with bluish green and bluish purple emissions, respectively (Fig. 11 bottom). In comparison, similar colorimetric and spectroscopic features were observed in analogous Bi-HPyr ($\text{H}_4\text{Pyr} = 1,2,4,5\text{-BTC}$), which exhibited pale green luminescence.⁴⁷ Based on this analysis, **Bi-1** and **Bi-2** can be used as precursor materials for blue-light OLED/LED devices.

4.5. Catalytic activity

Cyanosilylation reactions (CSRs) are important carbon-carbon bond-forming processes that are catalyzed by Lewis acids/bases that can be used as homogeneous⁴⁹ or heterogeneous⁵⁰ catalysts. Among all the alternatives, MOFs and CPs are good choices as heterogeneous catalysts for Lewis acid reactions due to their unique reactivity and selectivity under mild reaction conditions.^{8,10,13} Therefore, taking into account the excellent Lewis acid activity displayed by several In-MOFs reported in the literature,^{10,11,13} we decided to test the catalytic activity of **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **In-1** in the cyanosilylation of acetophenone (Table 1).

It was found that the reactivity changes as a function of the metallic nature and the number of catalytic active sites pre-




Fig. 11 Top: Excitation (left) and emission (right) spectra of Bi-CPs in comparison with $\text{Bi}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, H_2IDA , H_4BTC , and **Zn-1**. Down: Chromaticities (CIE 1931) exhibited by **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **Zn-1**.




Table 1 Screening of materials as catalysts for the cyanosilylation reaction using acetophenone as a carbonyl source

Material	Metal CN dimensionality	Topology	Yield ^b (%) time (h)	TOF ^c	TON ^d
Bi-1 ^a	9, 1D	Chain	86 (4)	184	86
Bi-2 ^a	8, 1D	Chain	70 (4)	33	70
In-1 ^a	6, 2D	sql	96(4)	76	96
In-1 ^e	6, 2D	sql	98 (4)	—	40
In-1 ^f	6, 2D	sql	90(6)	—	180
InBr_3 ^g	Salt	—	90(3)	—	90
(ref. 51)					
Blank	N.A	N.A	28(24)	N.A	N.A

^a Solvent free reaction at 25 °C and 1 mol% of catalyst under N_2 atmosphere. ^b Yields (GC-MS). ^c TOF: $(\Delta\chi \times [\text{mol of reactant/mol catalyst}]/\Delta t)$. ^d TON: $(\chi/\text{mol catalyst})$. ^e Reaction employing 2.5 mol% of catalyst loading. ^f Reaction employing 0.5 mol% of catalyst loading. ^g Homogeneous catalyst [1 mol%] using CH_2Cl_2 as solvent.




Fig. 12 Kinetic profiles of **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **In-1** catalysts in the cyanosilylation of acetophenone.

sented in each structure. The kinetic profile (Fig. 12) was studied to understand the catalytic performance of each material and to determine their turn over frequency (TOF) values.

The PXRD patterns of the recovered **Bi-2** and **In-1** after the catalytic reactions indicate that these materials do not undergo any structural change. However, **Bi-1** does not maintain its structural integrity after the catalytic reaction (see ESI, section S13[†]), and will not be considered as a heterogeneous catalyst for use in further experiments.

From the data presented in Table 1, it is evident that a structure/catalytic ability relationship exists. The comparison between **Bi-2** and **In-1**, which have the same organic linker in their structure, allows the study based on the nature of the metal cation. It would be expected that Lewis acid behavior follows the order of **In-1** > **Bi-2**, based on the cation size impact of the acid strength. Additionally, indium catalyst with octahedral metal centers forms a covalent framework with higher dimensionality (2D layers) than **Bi-2** (1D chains) material. This higher covalent disposition of **In-1** clearly seems to favor the interaction between the available active sites of the catalyst with the substrates, even for those that are the most sterically hindered, as can be appreciated in Table 2.

Table 2 Scope of the **In-1** cyanosilylation of ketones^a

Entry	Ketone	Time (h)	Yield ^b (%)	TON ^c
1	Acetophenone	4	96	96
2	4-Methylacetophenone	8	89	89
3	2-Methylacetophenone	8	80	80
4	Cyclopentanone	3.5	98	98
5	Cyclohexanone	4	96	96
6	4-Methylcyclohexanone	4	97	97
7	2-Hexanone	3	>99	99
8	3-Hexanone	3	85	85

^a Solvent-free reaction at 25 °C and 1 mol% of catalyst under N₂ atmosphere. ^b Product yields (GC-MS), and ^c TON: (χ /mol catalyst).

The scope of the reaction was studied for the cyanosilylation of ketones using **In-1** as the catalyst (Table 2). In the case of non-aromatic ketones (Table 2, entries 4–8), higher yields were obtained compared to the aromatic ones (Table 2, entries 1–3). Reactions with linear aliphatic ketones (Table 2, entries 7 and 8) proceeded efficiently giving the corresponding product at shorter times with yields over 85%. Higher yields of the cyclic ketones (Table 2, entries 4–6) were obtained (>97%). Finally, satisfactory yields of the less reactive aromatic ketones were also obtained (80–96%).

The results of the reactions carried out with acetophenone derivatives with electron-donating substituents, such as 2-methylacetophenone and 4-methylacetophenone, showed yields of 80% and 89%, respectively.

The recyclability tests show that the **In-1** material maintains its crystallinity even after ten catalytic cycles, with only a small decrease of its catalytic activity, probably due to the losses during the recovery of the catalyst (see ESI, section S14[†]). Hot filtration experiments revealed that **In-1** is a truly heterogeneous catalyst.

4.6. Antibacterial assays

Firstly, the effects of Bi-NPs and Bi-cit on the cell growth of planktonic cultures of *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *P. aeruginosa* were analyzed. Fig. 13 shows that 1.2 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ of




Fig. 13 Viable organisms per mL and OD₆₅₀ profiles of **Bi-1**, **Bi-2**, and **Bi-cit**.

Table 3 Log₁₀ reductions of bacterial viability produced by Bi-compounds compared to controls, according to the maximum concentration of compound used

Organism	Bi-1 (1.2 µg ml ⁻¹)	Bi-2 (295 µg ml ⁻¹)	Bi-cit (6.8 µg ml ⁻¹)
<i>E. coli</i>	6	2	2
<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	7	2	2
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6	4	1.5

Bi-1 was required to decrease the amount of viable organisms by 6 logs in *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* and by 7 logs in *S. Typhimurium* (viable counts compared to controls). In contrast, 295 µg ml⁻¹ of **Bi-2** were required to reduce by 2 logs the cell viability of *E. coli* and *S. Typhimurium*, and 4 logs in *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 13b). Bi-cit decreased cell viability 1.5–2 logs at 6.8 µg ml⁻¹ in all the studied microorganisms (Fig. 13). Reduction of cell mass measured by OD₆₅₀ followed the same observed tendencies as those for the cell viability (Fig. 13). According to these results, the greatest effect was produced by **Bi-1**, not only for the several orders of log reduction, but also for the lower concentration required to reduce bacterial growth (Table 3).

Then, the effect of Bi-NPs on the biofilm formation of *P. aeruginosa* was studied. *P. aeruginosa* is a relevant opportunistic pathogen of humans. Given its great capacity to form biofilms on diverse surfaces and cause severe damage to industry and human health, it is widely employed as a model in biofilm studies. Quantification of biofilms in control and test assays demonstrated that both Bi-NPs efficiently inhibited biofilm formation, although **Bi-1** produced its antibiofilm effect at lower concentrations than **Bi-2** (Fig. 14a). In contrast with Bi-NPs, Bi-cit did not inhibit biofilm formation at concentrations ≤ 3 µg ml⁻¹, but rather, it promoted its development. However, at higher concentrations, this effect




Fig. 14 (a) Effect of bismuth compounds on biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa*. *P. aeruginosa* was grown in 96-well microplates in the absence or presence of different concentrations of Bi-NPs and bismuth citrate. Biofilms that adhered to the wells were subsequently stained with crystal violet, and the absorbance of the solubilized stain was measured at 575 nm. Error bars represent the standard deviation of at least three independent experiments. (b) Visualization of biofilm formation in the absence or presence of different concentrations of Bi-NPs by crystal violet staining. Representative images are shown.

was reversed and inhibition of biofilm formation was observed. Representative images of stained 24 h biofilms illustrate the inhibitory effect of Bi-NPs on biofilm formation (Fig. 14b). It is concluded that both Bi-NPs are effective anti-biofilm agents in *P. aeruginosa*, with **Bi-1** being more powerful than **Bi-2**.

5.0. Conclusions

A set of CPs based on bismuth, indium, and zinc were successfully obtained. Due to the lack of studies regarding the structural, optical, mechanical, and antibacterial properties of CPs, an exhaustive analysis covering these topics was carried out. These compounds were synthesized from bismuth and indium salts (IDA, 1,2,4,5-BTC, and 2,2'-bipyridine) as building blocks. The one-dimensional Bi-CPs, [Bi(1,2,4,5-BTC)_{0.5}(2,2'-bipyridine)(NO₃)(DMF)] and [Bi(IDA)(IDAH)], belonged to *P1* and *C2/c* space groups, and the layered In-CP, [In(IDA)Cl], belonged to the *Pnma* space group. Moreover, an in-depth analysis of the topology was carried out, where the Bi-CPs were simplified as “ribbon-like” chains, and a *sql*/Shubnikov tetragonal plane net with point symbol (4⁴.6²).

To find relationships between the structural features and the intrinsic mechanical properties, nanoindentation studies were performed on a 1D and a layered compound (**Bi-2** and **In-1**). The differences in the mechanical performances in both compounds were related to their crystal packing. According to *H* and *E* values, the CPs were located in the “dense hybrids” type of materials. These studies are important to evaluate the mechanical qualities of low-dimensional materials for device development.

The SSPL properties of the Bi-CPs were studied, which involved excitation–emission, lifetime experiments, and quantification of colour emission. Regarding these results, the Bi-CPs were classified as blue-emitters in the visible region, with performances comparable with analogous Bi-compounds and TM-MOFs. These optical features highlight the importance of Bi-CPs for the design of photonic devices with blue light emissions.

From our catalytic studies on these new CPs under solvent-free conditions, we can conclude that the factor that drives the reaction yield relies on the metal nature and framework dimensionality. Therefore, the catalytic activity decreases in the following order: **In-1** > **Bi-2**. The **In-1** material is capable of working as a real heterogeneous catalyst, using several hindered carbonyl derivatives under mild reaction conditions and reaching high yields with a good recyclability rate.

Finally, the strong antibacterial effect of the Bi-CPs on *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium* and *P. aeruginosa* was observed and compared to the well-known bismuth salt Bi-cit, especially in the case of **Bi-1**. The emergence and spread of antibiotic-resistant derivatives constitute a difficult problem that threatens the efficacy of treatment of many bacterial infections with antibiotics, and as a result, it is necessary to develop novel pathogen eradication methodologies.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, ANPCyT PICT 2012-2087 and CNEA. G. E. G. acknowledges the postdoctoral CONICET fellowship and HYMADE project. R. D. acknowledges Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior for the CAPES/PNPD scholarship from the Brazilian Ministry of Education and Universidad Santiago de Cali. D. F. L. acknowledges doctoral CONICET fellowship. Ramón Castillo Guerra, Ricardo Montero, Guillermo Arnaldo, Rodrigo Taboada, and Gonzalo Zbihlei, from Departamento de Materiales – (CNEA), are gratefully thanked for helping with sample preparation for NI testing. L. M. A.-D., M. I. and M. A. M. acknowledge the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (MINECO-Spain) projects MAT2013-45460-R, MAT2014-52085-C2-2-P, MAT2016-78465-R, and CTQ2014-61748-EXP, Fondo Social Europeo from the European Union. Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid S2013/MIT-2740 (PHAMA 2.0). R. V. D. and A. M. K. acknowledge Ghent University's Special Research Fund (BOF) for a Posdoctoral Mandate (project BOF15/PDO/091). J. E. is grateful to CNPq for the research fellowships. G. J. A. A. S. I. and M. C. F. are members of CIC-CONICET. Additionally, this project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program H2020-MSCA-RISE-2014, GA No. 645686.

References

- 1 N. L. Rosi, J. Eckert, M. Eddaoudi, D. T. Vodak, J. Kim, M. O'keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2003, **300**, 1127.
- 2 J. Heine and K. Müller-Buschbaum, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 9232.
- 3 (a) J.-L. Wang, C. Wang and W. Lin, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 2630; (b) A. Corma, H. García and F. X. Llabrés i Xamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4606.
- 4 (a) A. Thirumurugan and A. K. Cheetham, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 3823; (b) A. Thirumurugan, J.-C. Tan and A. K. Cheetham, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2010, **10**, 1736; (c) A. C. Wibowo, S. A. Vaughn, M. D. Smith and H.-C. zur Loye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 11001; (d) A. C. Wibowo, M. D. Smith and H.-C. zur Loye, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 426; (e) A. Thirumurugan, W. Li and A. K. Cheetham, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 4126; (f) L. Kan, J. Li, X. Luo, G. Li and Y. Liu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **85**, 70.
- 5 (a) M. Feyand, E. Mugnaioli, F. Vermoortele, B. Bueken, J. M. Dieterich, T. Reimer, U. Kolb, D. de Vos and N. Stock, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **124**, 10519; (b) M. Feyand, E. Mugnaioli, F. Vermoortele, B. Bueken, J. M. Dieterich, T. Reimer, U. Kolb, D. de Vos and N. Stock, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **52**, 10373.
- 6 (a) G. E. Gomez, M. C. Bernini, E. V. Brusau, G. E. Narda, W. r A. Massad and A. Labrador, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 5249; (b) R. F. D'Vries, G. E. Gomez, J. H. Hodak, G. J. A. A. Soler-Illia and J. Ellena, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 646; (c) G. E. Gomez, M. C. Bernini, E. V. Brusau, G. E. Narda, D. Vega, A. M. Kaczmarek, R. Van Deun and M. Nazzarro, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 3417.
- 7 R. F. D'Vries, G. E. Gomez, D. F. Lionello, M. C. Fuertes, G. J. A. A. Soler-Illia and J. Ellena, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**(111), 110171.
- 8 G. E. Gomez, A. M. Kaczmarek, R. Van Deun, E. V. Brusau, G. E. Narda, D. Vega, M. Iglesias, E. Gutierrez-Puebla and M. Ángeles Monge, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **2016**(10), 1577.
- 9 R. F. D'Vries, V. A. de la Peña-O'Shea, N. Snejko, M. Iglesias, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 5782.
- 10 (a) L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, D. Reinares-Fisac, M. Iglesias, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla, F. Gándara, N. Snejko and M. A. Monge, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **335**, 1; (b) L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 7058.
- 11 (a) R. F. D'Vries, S. Álvarez-García, N. Snejko, L. E. Bausá, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla, A. de Andrés and M. A. Monge, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**(39), 6316; (b) R. F. D'vries, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 11349–11355.
- 12 (a) G. E. Gomez, E. V. Brusau, A. M. Kaczmarek, C. Mellot-Draznieks, J. Sacanell, G. Rousse, R. Van Deun, C. Sanchez, G. E. Narda and G. J. A. A. Soler-Illia, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **2017**(17), 2321; (b) A. A. Godoy, G. E. Gomez, A. M. Kaczmarek, R. Van Deun, O. J. Furlong, F. Gándara, M. A. Monge, M. C. Bernini and G. Narda, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 12409–12421.
- 13 (a) L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, F. Gándara, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6132; (b) L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 6654; (c) D. Reinares-Fisac, L. M. Aguirre-Díaz, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla, M. A. Monge and F. Gándara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**(29), 9089.
- 14 A. K. Inge, M. Köppen, J. Su, M. Feyand, H. Xu, X. Zou, M. O'Keeffe and N. Stock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1970.
- 15 (a) X. X. Wang, B. Yu and K. Van Hecke, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**(106), 61281–61289; (b) J.-M. Hao, L. N. Wang, K. Van Hecke and G.-H. Cui, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **41**, 43–46; (c) J.-M. Hao, B.-Y. Yi, K. Van Hecke and G.-H. Cui, *CrystEngComm*, 2015, **17**, 2279–2293; (d) L.-M. Zhang, D.-Y. Deng, G. Peng, L. Liang, G.-Q. Lan and H. Deng, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 8083–8089.
- 16 G. R. Rudramurthy, M. K. Swamy, U. R. Sinniah and A. Ghasemzadeh, *Molecules*, 2016, **21**, 836.

- 17 (a) D. Mahony, S. Lim-Morrison, L. Bryden, G. Faulkner, P. Hoffman, L. Agocs, G. Briand, N. Burford and H. Maguire, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 1999, **43**, 582; (b) J. R. Lambert, *Rev. Infect. Dis.*, 1991, **13**, 691; (c) M. D. Manhart, *Rev. Infect. Dis.*, 1990, **12**, 11; (d) A. M. Pitz, G. W. Park, D. Lee, Y. L. Boissy and J. Vinjé, *Gut Microbes*, 2015, **6**(2), 93; (e) D. M. Keogan and D. M. Griffith, *Molecules*, 2014, **19**, 15258.
- 18 C. Cabral and C. Shankaraman, Bismuto nanoparticles: antimicrobials of broad spectrum, low cost and safety, in *Nanomedicine*, ed. A. Seifalian, A. de Mel and D. M. Kalaskar, Publisher One Central Press (OCP), 2014, ch. 17, p. 430.
- 19 R. Hernández-Delgado, D. Velasco-Arias and D. Diaz, Zerovalent bismuto nanoparticles inhibit *Streptococcus mutans* growth and formation of biofilm, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2012, **7**, 2109–2113.
- 20 R. Hernández, D. Velasco, J. J. Martínez, D. Diaz, I. Zumeta, K. Arevalo and C. Cabral, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2013, **8**, 1645.
- 21 (a) A. Rajasekar, B. Anandkumar, S. Maruthamuthu, Y. P. Ting and P. K. Rahaman, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **85**, 1175; (b) P. Gupta, S. Sarkar, B. Das, S. Bhattacharjee and P. Tribedi, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 2016, **198**, 1; (c) T.-F. C. Mah and G. A. O'Toole, *Trends Microbiol.*, 2001, **9**, 34.
- 22 J. C. Tan, J. D. Furman and A. K. Cheetham, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14252.
- 23 *CrystalClear, 2.0 ed*, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan, 2005.
- 24 *CrysAlisPro, Ltd, A. T., Ed*, Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England, 2014.
- 25 G. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **64**, 112.
- 26 L. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2012, **45**, 849.
- 27 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, **42**, 339.
- 28 *Bruker-AXS, I., 2 ed*, Madison, WI, 2006.
- 29 H. P. K. Brandenburg, in *DIAMOND*, ed. Impact C., Crystal Impact, Kreuzherrenstr. 102, 53227 Bonn, Germany, 2006.
- 30 V. A. Blatov, A. P. Shevchenko and D. M. Proserpio, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, **14**, 3576.
- 31 C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. Van De Streek and P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **41**, 466.
- 32 J. H. Merritt, D. E. Kadouri and G. A. O'Toole, *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol. Unit 1B.1*, 2005, pp. 1B.1.1–1B.1.17.
- 33 N. G. Connelly, T. Damhus, R. M. Hartshorn and A. T. Hutton, *Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry - IUPAC Recommendations 2005*, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, UK, 2005.
- 34 (a) E. V. Alexandrov, V. A. Blatov, A. V. Kochetkov and D. M. Proserpio, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 3947; (b) M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 675.
- 35 A. K. Cheetham, C. N. R. Rao and R. K. Feller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4780.
- 36 R. Prajapati, L. Mishra, K. Kimura and P. Raghavaiah, *Polyhedron*, 2009, **28**, 600.
- 37 X. Xu, Y. Lu, E. Wang, Y. Ma and X. Bai, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2006, **825**, 124.
- 38 (a) F. Mammeri, E. Le Bourhis, L. Rozes and C. Sanchez, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2005, **15**, 3787; (b) D. Zacher, O. Shekhah, C. Woll and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1418; (c) R. Ameloot, L. Stappers, J. Fransaer, L. Alaerts, B. F. Sels and D. E. De Vos, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 2580; (d) A. S. Huang, H. Bux, F. Steinbach and J. Caro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4958.
- 39 Y. Peng, Y. Li, Y. Ban, H. Jin, W. Jiao, X. Liu and W. Yang, *Science*, 2014, **346**, 1356.
- 40 J.-C. Tan, P. J. Saines, E. G. Bithell and A. K. Cheetham, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 615.
- 41 J. C. Tan, C. A. Merrill, J. B. Orton and A. K. Cheetham, *Acta Mater.*, 2009, **57**, 3481.
- 42 J. C. Tan and A. K. Cheetham, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 1059.
- 43 (a) R. F. D'Vries, M. Iglesias, N. Snejko, S. Alvarez-Garcia, E. Gutierrez-Puebla and M. A. Monge, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 1191; (b) R. Decadt, K. Van Hecke, D. Depla, K. Leus, D. Weinberger, I. Van Driessche, P. Van Der Voort and R. Van Deun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 11623; (c) D. Esquivel, A. M. Kaczmarek, C. Jiménez-Sanchidrián, R. Van Deun, F. J. Romero-Salguero and P. Van Der Voort, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 2909.
- 44 A. Vogler and H. Nikol, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1992, **64**, 1311.
- 45 X. H. Yu, H. H. Zhang, Y. N. Cao, Y. P. Chen and Z. Wang, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2006, **179**, 247.
- 46 (a) D. M. A. Ranfagni, M. Bacci, G. Viliani and P. Fontana, *Adv. Phys.*, 1983, **32**, 823; (b) J.-G. Kang, H.-M. Yoon, G.-M. Chun, Y.-D. Kim and T. Tsuboi, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 1994, **6**, 2101.
- 47 M. Feyand, M. Köppen, G. Friedrichs and N. Stock, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 12537.
- 48 G. Kickelbick, in *Hybrid Materials*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2007, pp. 1–48.
- 49 (a) B. Y. Park, K. Y. Ryu, J. H. Park and S.-g. Lee, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 946; (b) N. Kurono, M. Yamaguchi, K. Suzuki and T. Ohkuma, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 6530; (c) P. Saravanan, R. V. Anand and V. K. Singh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 3823.
- 50 (a) Y. Ogasawara, S. Uchida, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 4343; (b) A. Procopio, G. Das, M. Nardi, M. Oliverio and L. Pasqua, *ChemSusChem*, 2008, **1**, 916; (c) K. Iwanami, J.-C. Choi, B. Lu, T. Sakakura and H. Yasuda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1002.
- 51 M. Bandini, P. Cozzi, A. Garelli, P. Melchiorre and A. Umani-Ronchi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, 3243.