

Manipulating midbrain dopamine neurons and reward-related behaviors with light-controllable nicotinic acetylcholine receptors

Romain Durand-de Cuttoli, Sarah Mondoloni, Fabio Marti, Damien Lemoine, Claire Nguyen, Jérémie Naudé, Thibaut d'Izarny-Gargas, Stéphanie Pons, Uwe Maskos, Dirk Trauner, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Romain Durand-de Cuttoli, Sarah Mondoloni, Fabio Marti, Damien Lemoine, Claire Nguyen, et al.. Manipulating midbrain dopamine neurons and reward-related behaviors with light-controllable nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. eLife, 2018, 7, pp.e37487. 10.7554/eLife.37487. hal-01875646

HAL Id: hal-01875646 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01875646

Submitted on 17 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Manipulating midbrain dopamine neurons and reward-related behaviors with lightcontrollable nicotinic acetylcholine receptors

Romain Durand-de Cuttoli^{1†}, Sarah Mondoloni^{1†}, Fabio Marti¹, Damien Lemoine¹, Claire Nguyen¹, Jérémie Naudé¹, Thibaut d'Izarny-Gargas¹, Stéphanie Pons², Uwe Maskos², Dirk Trauner³, Richard H Kramer⁴, Philippe Faure^{1‡*}, Alexandre Mourot^{1‡*}

¹Neuroscience Paris Seine – Institut de Biologie Paris Seine (NPS – IBPS), Sorbonne Université, INSERM, CNRS, Paris, France; ²Unité de Neurobiologie Intégrative des Systèmes Cholinergiques, Department of Neuroscience, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France; ³Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, United States; ⁴Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, United States

Abstract Dopamine (DA) neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) integrate cholinergic inputs to regulate key functions such as motivation and goal-directed behaviors. Yet the temporal dynamic range and mechanism of action of acetylcholine (ACh) on the modulation of VTA circuits and reward-related behaviors are not known. Here, we used a chemical-genetic approach for rapid and precise optical manipulation of nicotinic neurotransmission in VTA neurons in living mice. We provide direct evidence that the ACh tone fine-tunes the firing properties of VTA DA neurons through β 2-containing (β 2^{*}) nicotinic ACh receptors (nAChRs). Furthermore, locally photoantagonizing these receptors in the VTA was sufficient to reversibly switch nicotine reinforcement on and off. By enabling control of nicotinic transmission in targeted brain circuits, this technology will help unravel the various physiological functions of nAChRs and may assist in the design of novel therapies relevant to neuropsychiatric disorders.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.001

Introduction

Cholinergic neurotransmission provides a widespread and diffuse signal in the brain (*Picciotto et al., 2012; Sarter et al., 2009*). ACh alters neurotransmitter release from presynaptic terminals and affects neuronal integration and network activity, by acting through two classes of membrane receptors: metabotropic muscarinic receptors and ionotropic nicotinic ACh receptors (nAChRs). nAChRs consist of hetero- and homo-pentameric arrangements of α and β subunits (9 and 3 genes, respectively), yielding a high combinatorial diversity of channel composition, localization and function (*Zoli et al., 2015*). Nicotinic neuromodulation controls learning, memory and attention, and has been associated with the development of numerous neurological and psychiatric disorders, including epilepsy, schizophrenia, anxiety and nicotine addiction (*Taly et al., 2009*). Understanding how nAChRs mediate such diverse functions requires tools for controlling nicotinic neurotransmission in defined brain circuits.

ACh is a modulator of the VTA, a midbrain DAergic nucleus key in the processing of rewardrelated stimuli and in addiction (*Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Pignatelli and Bonci, 2015;*

*For correspondence: phfaure@gmail.com (PF);

almourot@gmail.com (AM) [†]These authors contributed equally to this work

[‡]These authors also contributed equally to this work **Competing interests:** The

authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 19

Received: 12 April 2018 Accepted: 03 August 2018 Published: 04 September 2018

Reviewing editor: Olivier Jacques Manzoni, Aix Marseille Univ, INSERM, INMED, France

© Copyright Durand-de Cuttoli et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

eLife digest Acetylcholine is one of the most abundant chemicals in the brain, with key roles in learning, memory and attention. Neurons throughout the brain use acetylcholine to exchange messages. Acetylcholine binds to two different classes of receptors on neurons: nicotinic and muscarinic. As the name suggests, nicotinic receptors also respond to nicotine, the main addictive substance in tobacco, while muscarinic receptors respond to muscarine, present in certain poisonous mushrooms.

Nicotinic and muscarinic receptors each consist of many different subtypes. But standard pharmacology techniques cannot discriminate between the effects of acetylcholine binding to these different subtypes. Likewise, they cannot distinguish between acetylcholine binding to the same receptor subtype on different neurons. Durand-de Cuttoli, Mondoloni et al. have now developed a new nanotechnology that uses light to target specific acetylcholine receptor subtypes in freely moving mice.

The technology was tested in a brain region called the VTA, which is part of the brain's reward system. Experiments showed that when acetylcholine binds to a specific subtype of nicotinic receptors on VTA neurons – called β 2-containing receptors – it makes the neurons release the brain's reward signal, dopamine. Switching these receptors on and off changed how the mice responded to nicotine. With the receptors switched on, mice preferred locations associated with nicotine. Switching the receptors off removed this preference. Nicotine may thus be addictive in part because it triggers VTA neurons to release dopamine via its actions on β 2-containing nicotinic receptors.

This new technology will help reveal the mechanisms of action of acetylcholine and nicotine. Blocking the effects of nicotine at a specific time and place in the mouse brain may uncover the receptors and brain regions that drive nicotine consumption. Smoking remains a major cause of preventable death worldwide. This new approach could help us develop strategies to prevent or treat addiction.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.002

Volkow and Morales, 2015). The pedunculopontine and laterodorsal tegmental nuclei (PPN and LDT) are the two major cholinergic inputs to the VTA (*Beier et al., 2015*). Optogenetic activation of PPN and LDT neurons modulates the firing patterns of VTA DA cells and reward-associated behaviors (*Lammel et al., 2012; Dautan et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2016*), implicating ACh in these processes. Yet, whether ACh directly affects neuronal excitability at the post-synaptic level, or whether it potentiates the release of other neurotransmitters through pre-synaptic nicotinic and muscarinic receptors is not known.

Brain nAChRs are expressed in high densities in the VTA, and in strategic places such as somatic and dendritic sites on GABAergic, glutamatergic and DAergic VTA cells, as well as on pre-synaptic terminals from extra-VTA afferents and from intra-VTA GABAergic interneurons (Changeux, 2010; Zoli et al., 2015). They are also present on DAergic terminals in the Nucleus Accumbens (NAc) and the prefrontal cortex (Grady et al., 2007; Changeux, 2010). Genetic and pharmacological manipulations have implicated VTA nAChRs in tuning the activity of DA neurons and in mediating the addictive properties of nicotine (Mameli-Engvall et al., 2006; Maskos et al., 2005; Morel et al., 2014; Naudé et al., 2016; Picciotto et al., 1998; Tapper et al., 2004; Tolu et al., 2013). However, understanding the mechanism by which ACh and nicotine participate in these activities requires to comprehend the spatio-temporal dynamics of nAChRs activation. Genetic manipulations can eliminate specific nAChRs, but they cannot provide kinetic information about the time course of nAChR signals that could be crucial for actuating VTA circuits and goal-oriented behaviors. Moreover, gene knockout can have unintended consequences, which include compensatory changes in expression of other receptors or channels, homeostatic adaptations and developmental impairments (King et al., 2003). Pharmacological agents allow activation or inhibition of nAChRs, but they diffuse slowly in vivo, they have limited subtype specificity and they cannot be targeted to genetically-defined neuronal cell types.

To fill this gap between molecular and circuit knowledge, we have developed the optogenetic pharmacology for rapid and reversible photocontrol of genetically-targeted mammalian neurotransmitter receptors (*Kramer et al., 2013*). We previously demonstrated light-controllable nAChRs (LinAChRs) in Xenopus occcytes, a heterologous expression system (*Tochitsky et al., 2012*). Here, we deployed strategies for acutely and reversibly controlling nicotinic transmission in the VTA in the mammalian brain, in vivo. $\beta 2^*$ receptors account for the great majority of VTA nAChRs and are crucial for the pathophysiology of nicotine addiction (*Maskos et al., 2005; Faure et al., 2014*). We demonstrate acute interruption of nicotinic signaling in the VTA and reveal that endogenous pontine ACh strongly impacts on the firing patterns of VTA DA neurons. Moreover, we reversibly prevented the induction of nicotine preference in behaving mice by locally photo-antagonizing the effect of nicotine on VTA $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs. This approach to optically antagonize neurotransmitter receptors in vivo will help sense the different temporal dynamics of ACh concentrations, and unravel the contribution of specific nAChR isoforms to nicotinic neuromodulation of neural circuits and associated behaviors, including drug abuse.

Results

Design and characterization of β2LinAChR

The vast majority of nAChRs in the mouse VTA contains the β 2 subunit (Zoli et al., 2015; Faure et al., 2014). Therefore, we engineered this subunit to enable installation of light sensitivity. We transposed the rat β 2E61C mutation, used previously in nAChRs expressed in Xenopus oocytes (Tochitsky et al., 2012), to the mouse β 2 subunit to generate a photosensitizable receptor that traffics and functions normally in the mouse brain. The single cysteine-substitution, which is used for the anchoring of the photoswitchable tethered ligand Maleimide-Azobenzene-Homocholine (MAHoCh), faces the agonist binding sites (Figure 1A). MAHoCh has a photo-isomerizable azobenzene group, flanked on one side with a thiol-reactive maleimide moiety for conjugation to the cysteine, and on the other with a homocholine ligand for competitive antagonism of nAChRs (Figure 1B). In darkness, the azobenzene group adopts the thermally stable, extended trans configuration. Illumination with near-UV (e.g. 380 nm) light isomerizes the azobenzene core to the twisted, cis configuration. The cis isomer reverses to trans either slowly in darkness or rapidly in green light (e.g. 500 nm). Receptor activation in response to ACh agonist remained unaltered in darkness after conjugation of MAHoCh to β 2E61C. However, agonist activation is blocked in 380 nm light, when *cis* MAHoCh occupies the agonist binding pocket (Figure 1C). Photo-control is bi-directional, and antagonism is relieved under 500 nm light when MAHoCh is in its trans form.

To verify whether nAChR currents could be photo-controlled, the β 2E61C mutant was coexpressed with the WT α 4 subunit in Neuro-2a cells (*Figure 1D*). Cells were treated with MAHoCh and any remaining untethered photoswitch was washed away prior to electrophysiological recordings. As expected, currents evoked by both carbamylcholine (CCh) and nicotine were strongly inhibited under 380 nm light, when tethered *cis* MAHoCh competes with the agonist (*Figure 1E*). Currents rapidly (<500 ms) and fully returned to their initial amplitude upon 525 nm light illumination. Repeated light flashes reduced and increased current amplitude without decrement, consistent with photochemical studies showing that azobenzenes are very resistant to photobleaching (*Szymański et al., 2013*). Spectroscopic measurements show that *cis* MAHoCh reverts to *trans* in darkness, but very slowly, with a half-life of 74 min in solution (*Tochitsky et al., 2012*). Consistent with this, we found that nAChR responses remained suppressed in darkness for at least ten minutes after a single flash of 380 nm light, but quickly recovered upon illumination with 525 nm light (*Figure 1F*). Hence, LinAChR could be rapidly toggled between its functional and antagonized forms upon brief illumination with the proper wavelength of light, but could also remain suppressed several minutes in darkness, eliminating the need for constant illumination.

β 2LinAChR enables inhibition of nicotinic currents in VTA DA neurons

We then tested whether nAChR currents could be photo-controlled in VTA DA neurons using β 2LinAChR. To this aim, we virally targeted the cysteine-mutant β 2 subunit together with eGFP under the control of the ubiquitous pGK promoter to the VTA of WT mice (*Figure 2A*). As expected, transgene expression was found at the injection site throughout the VTA both in TH⁺ and TH⁻




Figure 1. Design and characterization of β 2LinAChR. (A) Crystal structure of the $\alpha4\beta2$ nAChR (PDB ID 5KXI) (*Morales-Perez et al., 2016*) viewed parallel from the plasma membrane. The $\alpha4$ subunit is in dark grey and the $\beta2$ subunit in light grey. The agonist binding sites are located in the extracellular binding domain, at the interface between the α and β subunits. Nicotine (red) and the amino acid E61 (orange) which has been mutated to cysteine in the $\beta2$ LinAChR are represented as spheres. For clarity, only one $\alpha\beta$ dimer is shown, and an extended view is shown on the right. (B) Chemical structure of *trans* and *cis* MAHoCh. The thiol-reactive group maleimide is shown in orange, the azobenzene photo-sensitive moiety in black, and the competitive antagonist homocholine in blue. In darkness, the azobenzene group adopts the thermally stable, extended *trans* configuration. Illumination with near-UV (380 nm) light photo-isomerizes the azobenzene core to the twisted, *cis* configuration. The *cis* isomer reverses to *trans* either slowly in dark conditions (k_BT) or rapidly under green light (500 nm). *Cis-trans* photo-isomerization hence results in drastic changes in the geometry and end-to-end distance of MAHoCh. (C) Cartoon representation of $\beta2$ LinAChR. MAHoCh is tethered to $\beta2$ E61C, and the receptor still functions in the dark. Isomerizing the photoswitch back and forth between its *cis* and *trans* forms with two different wavelengths of light enables reversible photocontrol of the receptor: activatable under green light and antagonized under purple light. (D) Heterologous co-expression of $\alpha4$ and $\beta2$ E61C nAChR subunits in Neuro-2a cells. (E) Reversible photocontrol of $\alpha4\beta2$ LinAChR in Neuro-2a cells. Currents were recorded in whole-cell voltage-clamp mode at a potential *Figure 1 continued on next page*



Figure 1 continued

of -60 mV and elicited by an application of CCh (1 mM, 1 s, n = 4) or nicotine (100μ M, 2 s, n = 5). Currents were strongly inhibited under 380 nm light ($71.3 \pm 12.5\%$, p=0.038 for CCh and 82.1 $\pm 4.2\%$, p=0.0082 for nicotine) and fully restored under 525 nm light (p=0.285 for CCh and 0.125 for nicotine). (F) Thermal stability of LinAChR photo-inhibition. After inhibition with 380 nm light, the amplitude of the current remained constant for at least 10 min in darkness (p=1 at t = 12 min), and was restored upon illumination with 525 nm light. All values represent mean \pm SEM.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.003

The following source data is available for figure 1: **Source data 1.** Source data for *Figure 1E,F*.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.004

neurons (Figure 2B, Figure 2-figure supplement 1A). In contrast, expression was absent in the PPN and LDT (Figure 2-figure supplement 1B), in agreement with the lack of retrograde transport for lentiviruses (Mazarakis et al., 2001). Four to six weeks after viral infection, transduced coronal slices were treated with MAHoCh, and nicotine-induced currents were recorded from GFP-positive DA neurons. VTA DA neurons were identified based on their anatomical localization and electrophysiological properties, (i.e. pacemaker activity and typical action potential waveform), which are robust indicators of the DAergic signature (Figure 2-figure supplement 2A-B). Currents evoked by a local puff of nicotine were strongly inhibited under 380 nm light, and fully restored under 525 nm light (Figure 2C). Photo-inhibition was robust at both low and high concentrations of nicotine, and was absent in non-transduced slices treated with MAHoCh (Figure 2D). The degree of photoinhibition was smaller than that observed in heterologous expression system, suggesting that only a subset of $\beta 2^*$ receptors incorporated the cysteine-mutated $\beta 2$. Importantly, over-expression of β2E61C did not significantly affect the amplitude of nicotine-induced currents (Figure 2E), indicating that the total number of functional nAChRs at the cell surface was unchanged. Moreover, MAHoCh alone had no detectable off-target effect on other endogenous ion channels or on resting or active membrane properties of the cell (Figure 2-figure supplement 2C,D), indicating that the effect of light was specific for β 2E61C* nAChRs. Overall, these experiments show that β 2E61C associates with endogenous nAChR subunits in DA neurons, to produce receptors with normal neurophysiological roles, while allowing specific photo-control of nicotinic signaling.

β 2*nAChRs control the firing patterns of VTA DA neurons

VTA DA neurons show two distinct patterns of electrical activity: tonic, regular-spiking in the low frequency range and transient sequences of high-frequency firing, referred to as bursts (Paladini and Roeper, 2014). Bursting activity, which is a crucial signal for behavioral conditioning (Tsai et al., 2009), is under the control of excitatory afferents from the PPN and LDT (Lodge and Grace, 2006; Paladini and Roeper, 2014; Floresco et al., 2003). We asked whether endogenous pontine ACh modulates the firing patterns of VTA DA neurons through $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs. Testing this hypothesis required to deploy strategies for acutely manipulating nicotinic transmission in vivo, since DA neurons discharge only in pacemaker-like tonic activity in brain slices, due to cholinergic and glutamatergic afferents being severed (Grace and Onn, 1989). To this aim, we used a microdrive multielectrode manipulator (System mini matrix with five channels, Figure 3A) directly mounted onto the head of an anaesthetized mouse. This system allowed us to stereotaxically deliver the photoswitch and record the spontaneous activity of putative DA (pDA) neurons, while delivering alternating flashes of 390 and 520 nm light in the VTA (Figure 3A,B). B2E61C was virally transduced in the VTA of WT mice and recordings were performed three to four weeks after infection. MAHoCh was infused in the VTA at least an hour before starting the electrophysiological recordings, to allow the excess of unterhered photoswitch to be cleared. We first found that the spontaneous activity of pDA neurons from WT and transduced animals were not significantly different in darkness (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A), indicating that viral expression of β 2E61C did not affect the native physiology of the cells. We then checked whether alternatively switching light between 390 and 520 nm (20 cycles) affected the spontaneous firing of pDA neurons, by calculating the absolute percent of photoswitching (defined as the absolute value of ((Freq₅₂₀ - Freq₃₉₀)/Freq₃₉₀)). Importantly, we found that switching wavelength impacted the spontaneous firing rate of MAHoCh-treated pDA

eLIFE Research article




Figure 2. Reversible photo-inhibition of nAChR currents. (A) Viral transduction of the VTA using a lentivirus encoding pGK- β 2E61C-IRES-eGFP. (B) Left, immunocytochemical identification of virally-transduced neurons (GFP-positive) 4 weeks after viral injection. DA neurons are labelled using anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) antibodies. Note that the virus non-selectively transduces TH⁺ and TH⁻ neurons (arrows). Scale bar 10 µm. Right, scheme illustrating LinAChR expression profile. The β 2E61C subunit (red) is incorporated into nAChRs on the soma, dendrites and axon terminals of TH⁺ and TH⁻ VTA neurons, but excluded from extra-VTA afferents. Local infusion of MAHoCh (red arm) into the VTA labels and photosensitizes solely intra-VTA receptors, and not receptors on DA terminals. (C) Representative photo-inhibition of nicotine-induced currents (500 µM, local puff 500 ms) recorded at -60 mV from a GFP-positive DA neuron labeled with MAHoCh (70 µM, 20 min) in an acute brain slice. (D) Average percent photo-inhibition of nicotinic currents (1-(1₃₈₀/I₅₂₅)) evoked using a local puff (500 ms) of 30 µM (49.5 ± 13.2%, p=0.013, one sample t-test) or 500 µM nicotine (67.0 ± 4.3%, p=0.0006), recorded as in (C) from MAHoCh-treated GFP-positive DA neurons (n = 6 and 4 for nicotine 30 and 500 µM, respectively). Control neurons (MAHoCh alone, 30 µM nicotine, Ctrl) show no photo-inhibition ($-6.3 \pm 7.7\%$, p=0.453, n = 6). (E) Left: Representative currents induced by nicotine (30 µM) in a control neuron (Ctrl, grey) and a β 2E61C-transduced neuron (LinAChR, black). Right: Control (n = 6) and transduced (n = 6) neurons display nicotine-induced currents of same amplitude (-14.5 ± 5.5 and -14.2 ± 4.7 pA, respectively, p=0.97). All values represent mean \pm SEM. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.005

The following source data and figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 2D,E.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.008

Figure supplement 1. Selective transduction of β 2E61C in the VTA of WT mice.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.006

Figure supplement 2. No adverse effect of MAHoCh on the basic electrophysiological properties of WT VTA DA neurons.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.007

neurons of transduced animals, but not of control WT animals (*Figure 3C,D*), further evidencing that the effect of light is specific to the anchoring of MAHoCh to the β 2 cysteine mutant.

For transduced animals, only a fraction of pDA neurons responded to light. To separately evaluate responding from non-responding neurons, we set a threshold (15% absolute photoswitching) to exclude 95% of the control neurons (*Figure 3D*). Based on this threshold, about a third (33/93) of the pDA neurons of transduced animals responded to light, compared to 1/28 for control animals. Non-responding neurons probably were either not transduced, or received too little endogenous cholinergic drive. We then compared the activity of each responding pDA neuron under both wavelengths of light and observed that some neurons responded with increased firing and some with decreased firing. A majority of the neurons (Type 1, 24/33) showed decreased activity under 390 nm




Figure 3. In vivo photo-control of endogenous cholinergic signaling. (A) Design of the experimental setup for concurrent recording and photocontrol of midbrain DA neurons in vivo. A micro-drive system (Mini Matrix) is mounted with a stereotaxic frame on the head of an anesthetized mouse, and enables to position in the VTA one cannula for photoswitch injection, up to three tetrodes for electrophysiological recordings, and one optic fiber connected to a beam combiner for optical stimulation. The photoswitch is injected at least an hour prior to the recordings. (B) Representative multi-unit recordings of transduced neurons on two channels of a tetrode while alternating illumination between 390 and 520 nm light. (C) Representative electrophysiological response of a MAHoCh-treated control neuron, while alternating illumination conditions between 390 (purple) and 520 nm light (green) every 5 s. Top, raster plot (n = 19 transitions) centered on the 390 nm light stimuli, and showing both the 390 to 520 and the 520 to 390 nm light transitions. Bottom, peri-stimulus time histogram (PSTH) of firing frequency using a 250 ms bin. (D) Change in firing frequency (expressed in absolute photoswitching) between 390 and 520 nm light for MAHoCh-treated control (Ctrl, light grey, n = 28) and β2E61C-transduced neurons (LinAChR, dark grey, n = 93). Photoswitching is calculated as ((Freq₅₂₀ - Freq₃₉₀)/Freq₃₉₀) and represented in percent. Cumulative distribution indicates that virally transduced neurons significantly photoswitch compared to controls (p=0.0055, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). Inset, absolute photoswitching for control neurons (1.87 ± 1.60%) is lower than that for transduced neurons (21.35 ± 5.90%). The threshold set at 15% absolute photoswitching (red) was used to determine the fraction of responding neurons in transduced animals (33/93, 35.5%). (E) Left, representative electrophysiological response of a virally transduced, MAHoCh-treated type 1 pDA neuron, represented as in (C). Right: Average firing rate of all type 1 pDA neurons (n = 24), under 520 (green) and 390 nm (purple) light. Firing frequency is significantly lower in 390 nm (1.85 Hz) compared to 520 nm light (3.41 Hz, $p=1.19e^{-07}$). (F) Top left, raster plot (n = 20 transitions) for the spikes contained within bursts (SWB) under 390 nm and 520 nm light, for the same neuron as in (E). Bottom left, PSTH of instantaneous SWB frequency using a 250 ms bin. Right, average SWB frequency of all type 1 pDA neurons (n = 24), under both wavelengths of light. SWB frequency is significantly lower in 390 compared to 520 nm light (p=0.043). (G) Left, representative electrophysiological response of a virally transduced, MAHoCh-treated type 2 pDA neuron, represented as in (C). Right: Average firing rate of all type 2 pDA neurons (n = 9), under 520 (green) and 390 nm (purple) light. Firing frequency is significantly higher in 390 nm (5.25 Hz) compared to 520 nm light (3.48 Hz, p=0.0039). All values represent mean \pm SEM.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.009

The following source data and figure supplement are available for figure 3:

Source data 1. Source data for *Figure 3C,G*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.011

Figure supplement 1. Photocontrolling VTA β2LinAChRs in vivo. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.010

(Figure 3E), and a transient increase upon switching back to 520 nm, consistent with a direct nAChRs antagonism on VTA DA neurons by cis MAHoCh and relief from antagonism when MAHoCh is switched to its trans state. The increase in firing upon relief from antagonism suggests that ambient ACh is sufficient to drive nAChRs in an activated state. In addition, bursting activity was significantly reduced in 390 nm light in Type 1 neurons, when $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs were antagonized (*Figure 3F*). Hence, these receptors play a causal role in determining the firing patterns of VTA DA neurons. A smaller fraction of pDA neurons (Type 2, 9/33) showed the opposite profile, i.e. increased activity under 390 nm light compared to 520 nm (Figure 3G). This observation suggests that extracellular ACh acts on $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs to exert an inhibitory drive on a sub-population of VTA DA neurons, possibly through an indirect network mechanism or through β2LinAChRs expressed on GABAergic interneurons. In Type 2 pDA neurons, we observed no effect of light on AP bursts (Figure 3-figure supplement 1B). Altogether, these results indicate that spontaneously-released ACh acts through post-synaptic β2*nAChRs (i.e. receptors expressed on intra-VTA neurons, see Figure 2B) to bi-directionally modulate the tonic firing and increase the bursting activity of VTA DA neurons. This excitatory/inhibitory nicotinic drive is consistent with the duality of the responses observed upon optogenetic activation of pontine cholinergic axons (Dautan et al., 2016), yet it directly implicates nicotinic- and not muscarinic- ACh receptors. It is also consistent with the concurrent excitations and inhibitions observed in DA neurons upon nicotine systemic injections (Eddine et al., 2015).

Photo-controlling the effect of nicotine on VTA DA neurons

In WT mice, VTA DA neurons respond to nicotine with a rapid increase in firing frequency and in bursting activity, and these responses are totally absent in $\beta 2^{-/-}$ mice (*Maskos et al., 2005*). Several pre- and post-synaptic mechanisms have been proposed to explain the effects of nicotine on DA cell firing (Juarez and Han, 2016; Faure et al., 2014). We tested whether blocking VTA β2LinAChRs resulted in a decrease response to nicotine in DA cells. To this aim, VTA DA neurons transduced with β 2E61C were recorded in vivo using the juxta-cellular technique, which enables long, stable recordings and multiple drug injections (Figure 4A,B). Neurons that were successfully filled with neurobiotin (3 out of 7) were subsequently immuno-histologically identified as DAergic (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A). We found that the nicotine-induced variation in firing rate was much smaller under 390 nm light, when receptors were antagonized, and illumination with 520 nm light fully restored the initial response (Figure 4C,D and Figure 4-figure supplement 1B). Three of seven neurons tested showed spontaneous bursting, and all of these responded to nicotine by a variation in spikes within bursts (SWB) that appeared reduced under 390 nm light. Importantly, the response recorded from transduced animals was similar to that observed in WT animals (Figure 4-figure supplement 1C,D), further supporting the idea that the basic neurophysiological properties of DA neurons are unaffected by the viral transduction. Altogether, these experiments show that the effect of nicotine can be reversibly blocked with high spatial, temporal and pharmacological precision in defined brain structures, here the VTA.

Blocking VTA nAChRs is sufficient to disrupt preference to nicotine

The VTA is crucial for the motivational properties of many drugs of abuse, including nicotine (*Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988*; *Volkow and Morales, 2015*). In rodents, nicotine increases the activity of VTA DA neurons (*Mameli-Engvall et al., 2006*; *Maskos et al., 2005*) and boosts DA release in the NAc (*Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988*), signaling its reinforcing, rewarding effect. We tested whether optically blocking β^{2*} nAChRs of the VTA was sufficient to prevent nicotine from producing its reinforcing properties. To this aim, we chronically implanted above the transduced VTA a guide cannula for local delivery of the chemical photoswitch and light (*Figure 5A*) and subjected mice to a conditioned-place preference (CPP) protocol (*Figure 5B*). Proper transduction and placement of the cannula guide were confirmed immunohistochemically (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1A*). Consistent with previous reports (*Walters et al., 2006*), WT animals showed a significant place preference for nicotine while $\beta^{2^{-/-}}$ mice did not (*Figure 5C* and *Figure 5—figure supplement 1B*). To determine whether nicotine preference could be reversibly photo-controlled in individual animals, CPP tests were conducted with two groups of $\beta^{2E61C-transduced}$ animals. Pairings were performed first with nicotine and 390 nm light for group 1, and with nicotine and 520 nm light for group 2. Two months after the first CPP test, nicotine pairing was performed with the alternative light condition,




Figure 4. Blocking the effects of nicotine selectively in the VTA. (A) Experimental design for photoswitch injection and subsequent juxtacellular recording coupled to photocontrol. (B) Representative electrophysiological recording of one VTA DA neuron, during an i.v. injection of nicotine (30 μ g/kg), under 520 (top, green) and 390 nm light (bottom, purple), showing greater electrical activity in green light. (C) Representative change in firing frequency (top) and in bursting activity (bottom) of a VTA DA neuron, elicited by an i.v. injection of nicotine (30 μ g/kg), under 390 and 520 nm light, showing reversible photo-inhibition. (D) Top, average change in firing rate for VTA DA neurons (n = 7) upon nicotine injection under 390 (41.0 ± 15.7 %, purple) and 520 nm light (102.0 ± 14.0 %, green), normalized to the initial response in darkness. Change in firing frequency in 520 nm light is significantly different for 390 nm (p=0.015, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test with Holm-Bonferroni correction) but not from darkness (p=0.81). Bottom, average change in SWB for bursting VTA DA neurons (n = 3) upon nicotine injection under 390 (37.7 ± 15.3 %, purple) and 520 nm light (77.5 ± 20.3 %, green), normalized to the initial response in darkness. All values represent mean ± SEM.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.012

The following source data and figure supplement are available for figure 4:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 4D.

Figure 4 continued on next page

Figure 4 continued

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.014

Figure supplement 1. The response of VTA DA neurons to nicotine is similar in WT and in β 2E61C-transduced animals.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.013

i.e. 520 nm light for group 1 and 390 nm light for group 2. For both groups, animals showed preference to nicotine under 520 but not under 390 nm light (*Figure 5D,E*). These results cannot be attributed to changes in general activity behavior, since locomotion was not affected by viral transduction or light (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1C*). Altogether, these experiments show that nicotine-CPP can be reversibly switched on and off in the same animal, by manipulating β 2*nAChRs selectively located in the VTA.

Discussion

In this study, we used an optogenetic pharmacology strategy (*Kramer et al., 2013*) and demonstrated pharmacologically-specific, rapid local and reversible manipulation of brain nAChRs in behaving mice. Classical opsin-based optogenetics aims at turning specific neurons on or off for decoding neural circuits (*Kim et al., 2017*). Our strategy expands the optogenetic toolbox beyond excitation and inhibition by providing acute interruption of neurotransmission at the post-synaptic level, and provides mechanistic understanding of how specific transmitters and receptors contribute to modulation of circuits and behaviors.

Our method for photosensitizing receptors relies on the covalent attachment of a chemical photoswitch on a cysteine-modified receptor mutant. The photochemical properties of the azobenzene photoswitch make this strategy ideally suited for reversibly controlling neurotransmitter receptors




Figure 5. Reversibly disrupting nicotine preference. (A) Experimental design of the opto-fluidic device for opto-pharmacology experiments in freelymoving mice. The cannula guide is chronically implanted above the VTA and is used for both photoswitch and light delivery. (B) Nicotine-place preference protocol. Drug-free pretest (15 min) was followed by 3 consecutive days of pairing, which consisted in morning and evening saline and nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) conditioning sessions (20 min). For experiments using LinAChRs, mice were injected with the photoswitch in the morning and received light (390 or 520 nm, 2 s flashes at 0.1 Hz) in both pairing chambers. On day 5, mice were placed in the central chamber (no drug, no light) and were allowed to freely explore the environment. (C) Mean preference score (ps) for WT mice conditioned with saline (grey, n = 6, ps = -12.8 ± 24.0 s, p=0.69) and with nicotine (black, n = 15, ps = 165.9 ± 39.6 s, p= $6.1e^{-04}$), and for $\beta 2^{-7}$ mice conditioned with nicotine (red, n = 6, ps = -16.2 ± 58.7 s, p=0.44). (D) Representative trajectories of $\beta 2E61C$ -transduced and MAHoCh-treated mice conditioned with nicotine, under 390 (purple) and 520 nm light (green). (E) Mean preference for nicotine is abolished under 390 nm (purple, ps = -17.6 ± 63.8 s, p=0.80) and restored under 520 nm light (green, ps = 227.3 ± 72.1 s, p=0.015). Two groups of 7 mice were pooled. All values represent mean \pm SEM.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.015

The following source data and figure supplement are available for figure 5:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 5C,E.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.017

Figure supplement 1. Nicotine-induced CPP. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.016

with high efficacy and at speeds that rival synaptic transmission (*Lemoine et al., 2013*; *Levitz et al., 2013*; *Lin et al., 2015*; *Szobota et al., 2007*). Comparatively, strategies for photosensitizing proteins based on the fusion of light-sensitive modules (*Rost et al., 2017*) or chromophore-assisted light-inactivation (*Lin et al., 2013*; *Takemoto et al., 2017*) are too slow or irreversible, respectively. Due to the constrains of bioconjugation, in vivo use of photoswitch-tethered receptors in mice has been restricted to the eye (*Gaub et al., 2014*) and to superficial layers of the cerebral cortex (*Levitz et al., 2016*; *Lin et al., 2015*). Here, we demonstrate rapid on and off control of neuronal nAChRs in deep brain structures and in freely behaving animals. Our data show that photoswitch delivery resulted in an absolute subtype-specificity control of β^2 *nAChRs, with no apparent off-target effect. Labeling was rapid (minutes) and, due to its covalent nature, persisted for many hours (we have detected strong photosensitization in vivo up to 9 hr after treatment). Importantly, due to the thermal stability of MAHoCh, receptor function was unperturbed in darkness, while brief flashes of light were sufficient to bistably toggle LinAChR between its resting and antagonized states.

The cysteine-modified subunit was transduced in the VTA of WT mice. This resulted in a local replacement of the native $\beta 2$ subunit with the cysteine-mutated version, while leaving nicotinic signaling in other brain regions (notably cholinergic pontine afferents) unaffected. Even though the WT $\beta 2$ subunit remained in transduced cells, photoswitch treatment resulted in robust photo-sensitization of cysteine-mutated $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs, indicating incorporation into heteromeric receptors. The pool of receptors remained apparently unchanged, most likely because endogenous nAChR subunits (e.g. $\alpha 4$) limit the total number of heteropentamers at the cell surface. Replacing the WT subunit by its cysteine counterpart in a knock-in animal would guarantee complete gene replacement and untouched expression profile. Yet, viral transduction affords the advantage of allowing the engineered receptor to be targeted for expression in specific types of neurons and in defined neuronal circuits. We used this feature to optically control nAChRs at the level of VTA neurons (both DAergic and non-DAergic cells, see **Figure 2B**), while leaving pre-synaptic receptors from various afferents unaffected, which would be impossible with a transgenic animal. Collectively, our results show that $\beta 2E61C$ competes with native subunits to form functional receptors that, once labeled with MAHoCh, retain their natural functions in darkness, and are made photo-controllable.

Cholinergic neurons from PPN and LDT project extensively to the VTA and substantia nigra (Beier et al., 2015) and are thought to form connections with downstream DAergic and GABAergic neurons through non-synaptic volume transmission. Optogenetic activation of cholinergic pontine axons induces post-synaptic currents in VTA DA neurons that have both nicotinic and glutamatergic signatures (Xiao et al., 2016), suggesting that extracellular ACh potentiates glutamate release by activating nAChRs located on axon terminals. Contrasting with this view, we show here that activation of post-synaptic (i.e. from intra-site) β 2 nAChRs by endogenous ACh is sufficient to fine tune both the tonic and burst firing modes of VTA DA neurons. Furthermore, our results add temporal and causal considerations to previous genetic studies (Mameli-Engvall et al., 2006; Tolu et al., **2013**) by establishing a direct relationship between the activity of $\beta 2$ nAChRs and the firing patterns of VTA DA neurons. The rebound activity that occurred within 500 ms after de-antagonizing LinAChRs indeed suggests that, even though cholinergic inputs to the VTA are considered sparse, the extracellular levels of ACh are sufficient to activate a large population of receptors and greatly modify the electrical activity of DA neurons. Moreover, we identified a sub-population of VTA DA neurons that is inhibited when $\beta 2$ nAChRs are de-antagonized, which suggests multiple functional mechanisms by which the cholinergic brainstem neurons may influence the activity of midbrain DA neurons. These results are coherent with the growing body of evidence that show that VTA DA neurons are heterogeneous in their physiological properties (Morales and Margolis, 2017; Yang et al., 2018) and in their responses to drugs (Juarez and Han, 2016), including nicotine (Eddine et al., 2015).

The rewarding properties of nicotine, and especially reinforcement during the acquisition phase of addiction, implicate an elevation of DA in the NAc (*Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988*). Nicotine administration directly depolarizes and activates VTA DA neurons and, consequently, increases extracellular striatal DA (*Maskos et al., 2005; Tolu et al., 2013*). Nicotine can also increase DA neuron firing by acting on GABAergic and glutamatergic afferent terminals, from local interneurons and projection fibers (*Mansvelder et al., 2002*). Finally, nicotine also modulates DA release by desensitizing nAChRs expressed in the striatum at the level of DA terminals (*Rice and Cragg, 2004*). These different studies suggest alternative circuit mechanisms to explain the outcome of nicotine action on

VTA circuitry, for reviews see (Juarez and Han, 2016; Faure et al., 2014). We took advantage of the anatomical and cellular resolution of our approach, and locally blocked the effect of nicotine on VTA DA and non-DA neurons, while leaving pre-synaptic receptors of afferents from other brain areas and of striatal DA terminals unaffected. Our results show that β 2*nAChRs of VTA neurons are a key player of both the response to nicotine at the cellular level, and the rewarding properties of this addictive substance at the behavioral level. Importantly, blocking the excitatory phasic input produced by nicotine was sufficient to completely prevent reinforcement learning. This is consistent with our results concerning the ability of β 2 nAChRs to tune burst firing in DA neurons, and with the fact that activation of LDT-to-VTA cholinergic neurons causes positive reinforcement (Dautan et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2016). All together, these results strongly suggest that these receptors have a central role in reward processing.

There is a considerable interest to target-specific nAChRs and specific circuits to treat psychiatric disorders such as addiction, depression or schizophrenia. Yet, we do not know which native receptor subtype mediates specific physiological or pathological function, hampering development of clinically effective drugs, notably for preventing or treating addiction. Optogenetic pharmacology offers the unique opportunity to locally and reversibly 'knock-out' the function of a specific receptor isoform in vivo, and to directly evaluate within the same animal the consequences at the cellular, circuit and behavioral levels. Our approach should be applicable to other photo-activatable and -inhibitable nAChR subtypes and other neuronal circuits, and may provide a platform for examining new translational strategies for treating neuropsychiatric disorders.

Materials and methods

Reagent type (species) Additional Designation Source or reference Identifiers or resource information Antibody Anti-tyrosine Sigma-Aldrich T1299, RRID:AB 477560 Hydroxylase produced in mouse Anti-Choline-Acetyltransferase Merck-Millipore AB144, RRID: AB 90650 Antibody produced in goat Antibody Anti-GFP produced in rabbit Antibody Anti-GFP produced Aves Lab GFP-1020, RRID:AB_10000240 in chicken Antibody Anti-rabbit Jackson 711-225-152, RRID:AB_2340612 Cy2-conjugated Immuno produced in donkey Research 715-165-150, RRID:AB_2340813 Antibody Anti-mouse Jackson Cy3-conjugated Immuno produced in donkey Research Antibody Anti-chicken Jackson 703-545-155, RRID:AB_2340375 Alexa488-conjugated Immuno Research Antibody anti-goat Life A21432, RRID: AB 141788 Alexa Technologies 555-conjugated produced in donkey AMCA-Streptavidin 016-150-084, RRID:AB_2337243 Antibody Jackson ImmunoResearch Strain, strain C57Bl/6JRj Janvier SC-C57J-M, RRID:MGI:5752053 background Laboratories, (mus musculus, France males) Continued on next page

Key resources table



Continued

Reagent	type	(spe	cies)

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (<i>mus musculus</i> , males)	ACNB2	https://doi.org/ 10.1038/374065a0		maintained on a C57BL6/J background
Strain, strain background (<i>lentivirus</i>)	Lenti-pGK-B2E61C- IRES-GFP	This paper		
Cell line (mus musculus)	Neuro 2a	Sigma-Aldrich	89121404-1VL, RRID:CVCL_0470	
Transfected construct (mus musculus)	pIRES-a4-IRES- GFP	https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature03694		
Transfected construct (mus musculus)	pIRES-b2E61C-I RES-eGFP	this paper		
Chemical compound, drug	MAHoCh	https://doi.org/10.1038/ nchem.1234		
Chemical compound, drug	NaCl	Sigma-Aldrich	S7653	
Chemical compound, drug	KCI	Sigma-Aldrich	P9333	
Chemical compound, drug	NaH2PO4	Sigma-Aldrich	S8282	
Chemical compound, drug	MgCl2	Sigma-Aldrich	M2670	
Chemical compound, drug	CaCl2	Sigma-Aldrich	233506	
Chemical compound, drug	NaHCO3	Sigma-Aldrich	S6297	
Chemical compound, drug	Sucrose	Sigma-Aldrich	S0389	
Chemical compound, drug	Glucose	Sigma-Aldrich	49159	
Chemical compound, drug	Kynurenic Acid	Sigma-Aldrich	K3375	
Chemical compound, drug	Albumin, from bovine serum	Sigma-Aldrich	A4503	
Chemical compound, drug	KGlu	Sigma-Aldrich	P1847	
Chemical compound, drug	HEPES	Sigma-Aldrich	H3375	
Chemical compound, drug	EGTA	Sigma-Aldrich	E3889	



Continued

Reagent	type	(species)

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemical compound, drug	ATP	Sigma-Aldrich	A9187	
Chemical compound, drug	GTP	Sigma-Aldrich	G8877	
Chemical compound, drug	Biocytin	Sigma-Aldrich	B4261	
Chemical compound, drug	Nicotine tartrate	Sigma-Aldrich	N5260	
Chemical compound, drug	Glucose	Sigma-Aldrich	G8270	
Chemical compound, drug	DMEM + Glutamax	Life Technologies	31966–021	
Chemical compound, drug	FBS	Life Technologies	10500–064	
Chemical compound, drug	Non-essential amino acids	Life Technologies	11140–035	
Chemical compound, drug	Pennicilin/ Streptomycin	Life Technologies	15140–122	
Chemical compound, drug	Trypsin	Life Technologies	15090–046	
Chemical compound, drug	Polylysine	Sigma-Aldrich	P6282	
Chemical compound, drug	DMSO	Sigma-Aldrich	D2650	
Chemical compound, drug	Carbamylcholine Chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	C4382	
Chemical compound, drug	DPBS 10x	Life Technologies	14200–067	
Chemical compound, drug	Neurobiotin Tracer	Vector laboratories	SP-1120	
Chemical compound, drug	Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent	Invitrogen	P36930	
Software, algorithm	MATLAB	MathWorks	RRID:SCR_001622	
Software, algorithm	R Project for Statistical Computing	http://www.r-project.org/	RRID:SCR_001905	
Software, algorithm Continued on next page	Fiji	http://fiji.sc	RRID:SCR_002285	

Continued on next page



Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Software, algorithm	Adobe Illustrator CS6	Adobe	RRID:SCR_010279	
Software, algorithm	Clampfit (pClamp suite)	Molecular Devices	RRID:SCR_011323	

Animals

65 Wild-type male C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Janvier Laboratories (France) and 6 knockout SOPF-HO-ACNB2 (β 2^{-/-}) male mice were obtained from Charles Rivers Laboratories (France). β 2^{-/-} mice were generated as described previously (*Picciotto et al., 1995*). Even though WT and $\beta 2^{-/-}$ mice are not littermates the mutant line was generated more than 20 years ago, and has been backcrossed more than 20 generations with the WT C57BL/6J line and is more than 99.99% C57BL/6J. All experiments were performed on mice between 8 and 16 weeks of age. All experiments were performed in accordance with the recommendations for animal experiments issued by the European Commission directives 219/1990, 220/1990 and 2010/63, and approved by Sorbonne Université.

Chemical photoswitch

MAHoCh was synthesized as described previously (Tochitsky et al., 2012) and was stored as concentrated stock solutions (100 mM) in water-free DMSO at -80°C. For cell labeling, aqueous solutions of MAHoCh were prepared extemporaneously.

Light intensity measurements

Light intensities were measured with a power meter (1916 R, Newport) equipped with a UV-silicon wand detector (818-ST2-DB Newport).

Molecular biology and virus production

The cDNAs for the WT mouse $\beta 2$ and $\alpha 4$ nAChR subunits were from previously-designed pIRES (CMV promoter) or pLenti (pGK promoter) vectors (Maskos et al., 2005). All the constructs are bicistronic, with an IRES-eGFP sequence designed to express eGFP and the nAChR subunit using the same promoter. The pLenti construct also contains the long terminal repeats, WPRE and virus elements for packaging into lentiviral vectors. The single cysteine mutation E61C was inserted into pIRES-CMV-B2-IRES-eGFP and pLenti-pGK-B2-IRES-eGFP by site-directed mutagenesis using the Quickchange II XL kit (Agilent). Mutations were verified by DNA sequencing. Lentiviruses were prepared as described previously (Maskos et al., 2005) with a titer of 150 ng of p24 protein in 2 ul.

Cell line

We used Neuro2A cells (Sigma Aldrich #89121404-1VL), a mouse neuroblastoma cell line classically used for nAChRs expression (Xiao et al., 2011). Cells were certified by Sigma-Aldrich. Mycoplasma contamination status were negative.

Cell culture, transfection and labeling

Briefly, Neuro2A cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 1% non-essential amino-acids, 100 units/ml penicillin, 100 mg/ ml streptomycin and 2 mM glutamax in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Cells were transfected overnight with a 1:1 ratio of α 4 and β 2E61C subunits (pLenti-pGK- α 4-IRES-eGFP and pLenti-pGK- β 2E61C-IRES-eGFP), using calcium-phosphate transfection method (Lemoine et al., 2016). Cells were used 2-3 days after transfection for electrophysiology. Prior to recordings, cells were labeled with MAHoCh (20 μ M in external solution) for 20 min.

Stereotaxic viral injections

WT mice (6-8 weeks) were anaesthetized with 1% isoflurane gas and placed in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf). A small craniotomy was made above the location of the VTA. A lentivirus containing the construct pGK- β 2E61C-IRES-eGFP was injected in the VTA (1 μ l at the rate of 0.1 μ l/min) with a 10 μ l syringe (Hamilton) coupled with a polyethylene tubing to a 36 G cannula (Phymep), with the following coordinates [AP: -3.1 mm; ML:±0.4 mm; DV: -4.7 mm from bregma]. Mice were then housed during at least 4 weeks before electrophysiology or behavior experiments.

Midbrain slices preparation and labeling

4–8 weeks after viral infection, mice were deeply anesthetized with an i.p. injection of a mixture of ketamine (150 mg/kg, Imalgene 1000, Merial) and xylazine (60 mg/kg, Rompun 2%, Bayer). Coronal midbrain sections (250 μ m) were sliced using a Compresstome (VF-200; Precisionary Instruments) after intra-cardiac perfusion of cold (0–4°C) sucrose-based artificial cerebrospinal fluid (SB-aCSF) containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 5.9 MgCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 25 Sucrose, 2.5 Glucose, 1 Kynurenate. After 10 min at 35°C for recovery, slices were transferred into oxygenated (95% CO₂/ 5% O₂) aCSF containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, 15 Sucrose, 10 Glucose at room temperature for the rest of the day. Slices were labeled individually with MAHoCh (70 μ M) in oxygenated aCSF (1 ml) for 20 min, and transferred to a recording chamber continuously perfused at 2 ml/min with oxygenated aCSF.

Patch-clamp recordings

Patch pipettes (5–8 M Ω) were pulled from thin wall borosilicate glass (G150TF-3, Warner Instruments) using a micropipette puller (P-87, Sutter Instruments) and filled with a K-Gluconate based intra-pipette solution containing (in mM): 116 KGlu, 20 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 6 KCl, 2 NaCl, 4 ATP, 0.3 GTP and 2 mg/mL biocytin (pH adjusted to 7.2). Cells were visualized using an upright microscope with a Dodt contrast lens and illuminated with a white light source (Scientifica). A 460 nm LED (pE-2, Cooled) was used for visualizing eGFP positive cells (using a bandpass filter cube, AHF). Optical stimulation was applied through the microscope with two LEDs (380 and 525 nm, pE-2, CoolLED), with a light output of 6.5 and 15 mW, corresponding to 5 and 11.7 mW/mm² at the focal plane, respectively. Whole-cell recordings were performed using a patch-clamp amplifier (Axoclamp 200B, Molecular Devices) connected to a Digidata (1550 LowNoise acquisition system, Molecular Devices). Currents were recorded in voltage-clamp mode at -60 mV. Signals were low pass filtered (Bessel, 2 kHz) and collected at 10 kHz using the data acquisition software pClamp 10.5 (Molecular Devices). Electrophysiological recordings were extracted using Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and analyzed with R.

To record nicotinic currents from GFP-positive Neuro2A cells, we used the following external solution (containing in mM): 140 NaCl, 2.8 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, 12 glucose (pH 7.3 with NaOH). We used a computer-controlled, fast-perfusion stepper system (SF-77B, Harvard Apparatus) to apply nicotine-tartrate (100 μ M, Sigma-Aldrich) or carbamylcholine chloride (CCh, 1 mM, Sigma-Aldrich), with an interval of 2 min, under different light conditions.

To record nicotinic currents from VTA DA neurons, local puffs (500 ms) of nicotine tartrate (30– 500 μ M in aCSF) were applied every minute, while alternating wavelengths, using a glass pipette (2– 3 μ m diameter) positioned 20 to 30 μ m away from the soma and connected to a picospritzer (World Precision Instruments, adjusted to ~2 psi). DA neurons were characterized in current clamp mode as described in (*Lammel et al., 2008*), see *Figure 2—figure supplement 2A*. In some instances, at the end of the recording, the pipette was retracted carefully to allow labeling of the neuron with biocytin (*Marx et al., 2012*).

In vivo juxtacellular recordings

4–8 weeks after viral infection, mice were deeply anaesthetized with chloral hydrate (8%, 400 mg/kg i.p.), supplemented as required to maintain optimal anesthesia throughout the experimental day. The scalp was opened and a hole was drilled in the skull above the location of the VTA. The saphenous vein was catheterized for intravenous administration of nicotine. Prior to recordings (at least 1 hr), 500 nl of a 400 μ M solution of MAHoCh in aCSF were injected within the VTA at a rate of 50 nl/min. Extracellular recording electrodes were made from 1.5 mm O.D./1.17 mm I.D. borosilicate glass (Harvard Apparatus) using a vertical electrode puller (Narishige). Under a microscope, the tip was broken to obtain a diameter of 1–2 μ m. The electrodes were filled with a 0.5% Na-Acetate solution containing 1.5% of neurobiotin tracer yielding impedances of 20–50 MΩ. Electrophysiological signals were amplified with a headstage (1x, Axon Instruments) coupled to a high-impedance amplifier

(Axoclamp-2A, Axon Instruments) and audio monitored (A.M. Systems Inc.). The signal was digitized (Micro-2, Cambridge Electronic Design), sampled at 12.5 kHz and recorded using Spike2 software (CED). DA neurons were sampled in the VTA with the following coordinates: [AP: -3 to -4 mm; ML: +0.3 to+0.6 mm; DV: -4 to -4.8 mm, from Bregma]. Spontaneously active pDA neurons were identified on the basis of previously established electrophysiological criteria: 1) regular firing rate; 2) firing frequency between 1 and 10 Hz; 3) half AP >1.1 ms. After a baseline recording of at least 5 min, a saline solution (0.9% sodium chloride) was injected into the saphenous vein, and after another 10 min, injections of nicotine- tartrate (30 µg/kg) were administered via the same route in a final volume of 10 µl and under different light conditions (Dark – 390 nm – 520 nm). Successive injections (up to 6) were performed after the neuron returned to its baseline, or when the firing activity returned stable for at least 3 min. Light was applied through an optical fiber (500 μ m core, NA = 0.5, Prizmatix) inserted within the glass pipette electrode and coupled through a combiner to 390/520 nm ultrahigh-power LEDs (Prizmatix), yielding an output intensity of 4-8 mW at the tip of the fiber for each wavelength. Light was TTL-controlled and applied 10 s before nicotine injection, for 30 s total. When possible, neurons were electroporated and neurobiotin was expulsed from the electrode using positive current pulses as already described (Pinault, 1996; Eddine et al., 2015). Spikes Within Bursts (SWB) were identified as a sequence of spikes with the following features: (1) short intervals, (2) progressively decreasing spike amplitude, and (3) a progressively increasing inter-spike interval (ISI). When considering extracellular recordings, most studies use two criteria to automatically detect bursts: (1) their onset are defined by two consecutive spikes with an interval inferior to 80 ms, whenever (2) they are closed with an interval greater than 160 ms (Grace and Bunney, 1984). Firing rate and %SWB were measured on successive windows of 60 s, with a 45 s overlapping period. Responses to nicotine are presented as the mean percentage of firing frequency or %SWB variation from the baseline ±SEM. For photoswitching, maximum of firing variation induced by nicotine occurring 200 s after the injection in purple and green was normalized to the maximum of firing variation in darkness. Spikes were extracted with Spike2 (CED) and analyzed with R (https://www.r-project. org).

In vivo multi-unit extracellular recordings

4-8 weeks after viral infection, mice were deeply anaesthetized with chloral hydrate (8%, 400 mg/kg i.p.), supplemented as required to maintain optimal anesthesia throughout the experiment. The scalp was opened and a hole was drilled in the skull above the location of the VTA. We used a Mini-Matrix (Figure 3A, Thomas Recording) allowing us to lower within the VTA: up to 3 tetrodes (Tip shape A, Thomas Recording, $Z = 1-2 M\Omega$), a stainless-steel cannula (OD 120 µm, Thomas Recording) for photoswitch injection and a tip-shaped quartz optical fiber (100 μ m core, NA = 0.22, Thomas Recording) for photostimulation. The fiber was coupled to a 390/520 nm LED combiner (Prizmatix) with an output intensity of 200–500 μ W at the tip of the fiber for both wavelengths. These five elements could be moved independently with micrometer precision. 500 nl of MAHoCh (400 µM in aCSF) were infused (rate: 1 nl/s) within the VTA, and tetrodes were subsequently lowered in the same zone to record neurons. Spontaneously active pDA neurons were recorded at least 30 min after MAHoCh infusion and were identified on the basis of the electrophysiological criteria used for juxtacellular recordings. The optical fiber was then lowered 100-200 µm above the tetrodes. Baseline activity was recorded for 200 s in darkness, prior to applying 5 s light flashes of alternative wavelengths (390 nm / 520 nm). Electrophysiological signals were acquired with a 20 channels preamplifier included in the Mini Matrix (Thomas Recording) connected to an amplifier (Digital Lynx SX 32 channels, Neuralynx) digitized and recorded using Cheetah software (Neuralynx). Spikes were detected using a custom-written Matlab routine and sorted using a classical principal component analysis associated with a cluster cutting method (SpikeSort3D Software, Neuralynx). Neurons were considered as responding when their change in firing rate (% Photoswitching) at the transition from violet to green light exceeded a threshold of 15%, defined as the maximal % photoswitching observed in controls. This threshold was used for all recorded neurons in every condition. To extract the spikes contained within bursting episodes (SWB) we used the same criteria described in the juxtacellular recordings section. They are represented as the frequency of SWB because of the short analysis window (5 s). All the data were analyzed with R (https://www.r-project.org) and Matlab (MathWorks).

Chronic guide cannula implantation

Following stereotaxic viral infection in the VTA (as described above), mice were implanted with a chronic opto-fluid guide cannula (Doric Lenses Inc, Canada, see *Figure 5A*) using the same coordinates. This guide (length = 3 mm from skull surface, ID/OD = $320/430 \mu$ m) has interchangeable threaded connectors and is used either with a fluid injection needle (protruding to 4.8 mm from skull surface) for delivering MAHoCh, or with an optic fiber injector (240 μ m core, NA = 0.63, protruding to 4.8 mm from skull surface) coupled to a ceramic ferrule (1.25 mm) for light delivery. In-between experiments, a plug is used to close the guide cannula and thus seal the implant. The implant is attached to the skull with a dental cement (SuperBond, Sun Medical).

Nicotine-induced place preference paradigm

The Conditioned Place Preference (CPP) box (Imetronic, France) consists of a Y-maze with one closed arm, and two other arms with manually operated doors. Two rectangular chambers (11 imes 25 cm) with different cues (texture and color), are separated by a center triangular compartment (side of 11 cm). One pairing compartment has grey textured floor and walls and the other one has smooth black and white striped walls and floor. The first day (pretest) of the experiment, mice (n = 6-8 animals/group) explored the environment for 900 s (15 min) and the time spent in each compartment was recorded. Pretest data were used to segregate the animals with equal bias so each group has an initial preference score almost null, indicating no preference on average. On day 2, 3 and 4, animals received an i.p. injection of nicotine tartrate (0.5 mg/kg, in PBS) or an equivalent injection of saline (PBS), and immediately confined to one of the pairing chamber for 1200 s (20 min). The CPP test was performed using a single nicotine concentration (0.5 mg/kg) which is known to induce preference in mice (Walters et al., 2006). Groups were balanced so the animals do not always get nicotine in the same chamber. On the evening of the same day, mice received an injection of the alternate solution (nicotine or saline) and were placed in the opposite pairing chamber. The saline control animals received a saline injection in both pairing compartments. On day 5 (test), animals were allowed to explore the whole open-field for 900 s (15 min), and the time spent in each chamber was recorded. The preference score (ps) is expressed in seconds and is calculated by subtracting pretest from test data. Trajectories and time spent on each side are calculated based upon animal detection. Place preference and locomotor activity were recorded using a video camera, connected to a video-track system, out of sight of the experimenter. A home-made software (Labview 2014, National Instruments) tracked the animal, recorded its trajectory (20 frames per s) for 15 min and sent TTL pulses to the LED controller when appropriate (pairing sessions). For optogenetic pharmacology experiments, MAHoCh (400 µM in aCSF, 500 nl in 5 min) was injected early in the morning of pairing days (2, 3 and 4) under light gas anesthesia (Isoflurane 1%). 520/390 nm light was applied during pairing sessions (day 2, 3 and 4), on both sides, through a patch cord (500 μ m core, NA = 0.5, Prizmatix, Israel) connected to the implanted ferrule with a sleeve and to the 390/520 nm combined UHP-LEDs (Prizmatix). Light was applied with the following pattern: 2 s pulses à 0.1 Hz with a measured output intensity of 10 mW at the tip of the patch cord. Light was not applied during pre-test and test. Behavioral data were collected and analyzed using home-made LabVIEW (National Instruments) and Matlab (MathWorks) routines.

Immunohistochemistry

After patch-clamp experiments, individual slices (250 μ m) were transferred in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 12–24 hr and then to PBS, and kept at 4°C. At the end of in vivo experiments, transduced mice received, under deep anesthesia (Ketamine/Xylazine), an intra-cardiac perfusion of (1) PBS (50 ml) and (2) paraformaldehyde (4% PFA, 50 ml) and brains were rapidly removed and let in 4% PFA for 48–72 hr of fixation at 4°C. Serial 60 μ m sections of the ROI were cut with a vibratome. Immuno-histochemistry was performed as follows: Floating VTA brain sections were incubated 1 hr at 4°C in a solution of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 3% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, Sigma; A4503) and 0.2% Triton X-100 and then incubated overnight at 4°C with a mouse anti-Tyrosine Hydroxylase antibody (TH, Sigma, T1299) at 1:200 dilution and a rabbit anti-GFP antibody (Molecular Probes, A-6455) at 1:500 dilution in PBS containing 1.5% BSA and 0.2% Triton X-100. The following day, sections were rinsed with PBS and then incubated 3 hr at 22–25°C with Cy3-conjugated anti-mouse and Cy2-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 715-165-

150 and 711-225-152) at 1:200 and 1:1000 dilution respectively in a solution of 1.5% BSA and 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS. In the case of biocytin/neurobiotin labelling, TH identification of the neuron was performed using AMCA-conjugated Streptavidin (Jackson ImmunoResearch) at 1:200 dilution. Floating pons sections were incubated 1 hr at 4°C in a solution of phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.2% Gelatine from cold-water fish skin (Sigma; G7041) and 0.25% Triton X-100 (PBS-GT) and then incubated overnight at 4°C a goat anti-Choline Acetyl-Transferase antibody (ChAT, Merck-Millipore, AB144) at 1:200 dilution and a chicken anti-GFP antibody (Aves Lab, GFP-1020) at 1:500 dilution in PBS-GT. The following day, sections were rinsed with PBS and then incubated 3 hr at 22–25°C with a donkey anti-goat Alexa 555-conjugated (Invitrogen, A21432) and donkey anti-chicken Alexa 488-conjugated (Jackson ImmunoResearch, 703-545-155) at 1:200 and 1:1000 dilution respectively in a solution of PBS-GT. After three rinses in PBS (5 min), wet slices were mounted using Prolong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen, P36930). Microscopy was carried out either with a confocal micro-scope (Leica) or an epifluorescence microscope (Leica), and images captured using a camera and analyzed with ImageJ software.

Statistical analysis

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. Data are plotted as mean ±SEM. Total number (n) of observations in each group and statistics used are indicated in figure and/or figure legend. Unless otherwise stated, comparisons between means were performed using parametric tests (two-sample t-test) when parameters followed a normal distribution (Shapiro test p>0.05), and non-parametric tests (here, Wilcoxon or Mann-Whitney (U-test)) when this was not the case. Homogeneity of variances was tested preliminarily and the t-tests were Welch-corrected if needed. Multiple comparisons were Holm-Bonferroni corrected. Comparison between the cumulative distributions of in vivo multi-unit recordings between controls and LinAChRs (*Figure 3D*) was performed using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. p>0.05 was considered to be not statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank Nadine Mouttajagane, Ambre Bonnet and Michael Martin for molecular biology work, and Justine Hadjerci, Steve Didienne and Samir Takillah for their help with electrophysiology and behavior experiments. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-JCJC 2014 to A.M.), by a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation (to A.M.), by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (Équipe FRM DEQ2013326488 to P.F.), by the French National Cancer Institute Grant TABAC-16–022 (to P.F.) by the Fédération de la Recherche pour le Cerveau (FRC Rotary Espoir en tête 2012 to P.F.) and by the Labex Bio-Psy. A.M. was recipient of a fundamental research prize from the Medisite Foundation for Neuroscience. R.D.C. was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the DIM Cerveau and Pensée program of the Région Ile-de-France, and by a fourth-year Ph.D. fellowship from FRM (FDT20170437427). D.L. was recipient of a Labex Biopsy post-doctoral fellowship. RHK was supported by grants from the NIH (U01-NS090527 and R01-NS100911). P.F. and A.M. laboratory is part of the École des Neurosciences de Paris Ile-de-France RTRA network. P.F. is member of LabEx Bio-Psy and of DHU Pepsy.

Additional information

Funder Grant reference number Author PhD fellowship **DIM Cerveau Pensée** Romain Durand-de Cuttoli PhD fellowship Romain Durand-de Cuttoli Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale FDT20170437427 Labex Bio-Psy post-doctoral Damien Lemoine fellowship National Institutes of Health U01-NS090527 Richard H Kramer

Funding

Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale	Equipe FRM DEQ2013326488	Philippe Faure
Institut National Du Cancer	TABAC-16-022	Philippe Faure
Fédération pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau	Rotary Espoir en tête 2012	Philippe Faure
Brain and Behavior Research Foundation	NARSAD Young Investigator Grant	Alexandre Mourot
Agence Nationale de la Re- cherche	ANR-JCJC	Alexandre Mourot
Fondation de France	Fondation Medisite fundamental research prize	Alexandre Mourot
National Institutes of Health	R01-NS100911	Richard H Kramer

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Romain Durand-de Cuttoli, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing, Performed viral injections and cannula implantations, Slice patch-clamp experiments, In vivo electrophysiology, Behavioral studies and immunochemistry; Sarah Mondoloni, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing, Performed cell culture and in vitro electrophysiology, Slice patch-clamp experiments and immuno-chemistry; Fabio Marti, Data curation, Formal analysis, Performed cell culture and in vitro electrophysiology, Slice patch-clamp experiments and immuno-chemistry; Fabio Marti, Data curation, Formal analysis, Performed cell culture and in vitro electrophysiology; Damien Lemoine, Data curation, Formal analysis, Performed cell culture and in vitro electrophysiology; Claire Nguyen, Data curation, Performed immunochemistry; Jérémie Naudé, Formal analysis; Thibaut d'Izarny-Gargas, Data curation, Performed slice patch-clamp experiments; Stéphanie Pons, Uwe Maskos, Dirk Trauner, Resources; Richard H Kramer, Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing—review and editing; Philippe Faure, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing—review and editing; Alexandre Mourot, Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Romain Durand-de Cuttoli b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0240-7608 Jérémie Naudé b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5781-6498 Thibaut d'Izarny-Gargas b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6084-5836 Stéphanie Pons b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1027-0621 Philippe Faure b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3573-4971 Alexandre Mourot b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8839-7481

Ethics

Animal experimentation: All experiments were performed in accordance with the recommendations for animal experiments issued by the European Commission directives 219/1990, 220/1990 and 2010/63, and approved by Sorbonne Université.

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.020 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.021

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37487.018

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files. Source data are provided for Figures 1 to 5.

References

- Avale ME, Faure P, Pons S, Robledo P, Deltheil T, David DJ, Gardier AM, Maldonado R, Granon S, Changeux JP, Maskos U. 2008. Interplay of beta2* nicotinic receptors and dopamine pathways in the control of spontaneous locomotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**:15991–15996. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.0807635105, PMID: 18832468
- Beier KT, Steinberg EE, DeLoach KE, Xie S, Miyamichi K, Schwarz L, Gao XJ, Kremer EJ, Malenka RC, Luo L. 2015. Circuit architecture of VTA dopamine neurons revealed by systematic Input-Output mapping. *Cell* **162**: 622–634. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.015, PMID: 26232228
- Changeux JP. 2010. Nicotine addiction and nicotinic receptors: lessons from genetically modified mice. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 11:389–401. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2849, PMID: 20485364
- Dautan D, Souza AS, Huerta-Ocampo I, Valencia M, Assous M, Witten IB, Deisseroth K, Tepper JM, Bolam JP, Gerdjikov TV, Mena-Segovia J. 2016. Segregated cholinergic transmission modulates dopamine neurons integrated in distinct functional circuits. *Nature Neuroscience* **19**:1025–1033. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn. 4335, PMID: 27348215
- Di Chiara G, Imperato A. 1988. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 85:5274–5278. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.14.5274, PMID: 2899326
- Eddine R, Valverde S, Tolu S, Dautan D, Hay A, Morel C, Cui Y, Lambolez B, Venance L, Marti F, Faure P. 2015. A concurrent excitation and inhibition of dopaminergic subpopulations in response to nicotine. *Scientific Reports* 5:8184. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08184, PMID: 25640814
- Faure P, Tolu S, Valverde S, Naudé J. 2014. Role of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in regulating dopamine neuron activity. Neuroscience 282:86–100. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.05.040, PMID: 24 881574
- Floresco SB, West AR, Ash B, Moore H, Grace AA. 2003. Afferent modulation of dopamine neuron firing differentially regulates tonic and phasic dopamine transmission. *Nature Neuroscience* **6**:968–973. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1103, PMID: 12897785
- Gaub BM, Berry MH, Holt AE, Reiner A, Kienzler MA, Dolgova N, Nikonov S, Aguirre GD, Beltran WA, Flannery JG, Isacoff EY. 2014. Restoration of visual function by expression of a light-gated mammalian ion channel in retinal ganglion cells or ON-bipolar cells. *PNAS* **111**:E5574–E5583. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1414162111, PMID: 25489083
- Grace AA, Bunney BS. 1984. The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: burst firing. The Journal of Neuroscience 4:2877–2890. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.04-11-02877.1984, PMID: 6150071
- Grace AA, Onn SP. 1989. Morphology and electrophysiological properties of immunocytochemically identified rat dopamine neurons recorded in vitro. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **9**:3463–3481. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.09-10-03463.1989, PMID: 2795134
- Grady SR, Salminen O, Laverty DC, Whiteaker P, McIntosh JM, Collins AC, Marks MJ. 2007. The subtypes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors on dopaminergic terminals of mouse striatum. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 74: 1235–1246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2007.07.032, PMID: 17825262
- Juarez B, Han MH. 2016. Diversity of dopaminergic neural circuits in response to drug exposure. Neuropsychopharmacology **41**:2424–2446. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2016.32, PMID: 26934955
- Kim CK, Adhikari A, Deisseroth K. 2017. Integration of optogenetics with complementary methodologies in systems neuroscience. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 18:222–235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.15, PMID: 28303019
- King SL, Marks MJ, Grady SR, Caldarone BJ, Koren AO, Mukhin AG, Collins AC, Picciotto MR. 2003. Conditional expression in corticothalamic efferents reveals a developmental role for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in modulation of passive avoidance behavior. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 23:3837–3843. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-09-03837.2003, PMID: 12736354
- Kramer RH, Mourot A, Adesnik H. 2013. Optogenetic pharmacology for control of native neuronal signaling proteins. *Nature Neuroscience* **16**:816–823. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3424, PMID: 23799474
- Lammel S, Hetzel A, Häckel O, Jones I, Liss B, Roeper J. 2008. Unique properties of mesoprefrontal neurons within a dual mesocorticolimbic dopamine system. Neuron 57:760–773. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron. 2008.01.022, PMID: 18341995
- Lammel S, Lim BK, Ran C, Huang KW, Betley MJ, Tye KM, Deisseroth K, Malenka RC. 2012. Input-specific control of reward and aversion in the ventral tegmental area. *Nature* **491**:212–217. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11527, PMID: 23064228
- Lemoine D, Durand-de Cuttoli R, Mourot A. 2016. Optogenetic control of mammalian ion channels with chemical photoswitches. Methods in Molecular Biology 1408:177–193. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3512-3_ 12, PMID: 26965123

- Lemoine D, Habermacher C, Martz A, Méry PF, Bouquier N, Diverchy F, Taly A, Rassendren F, Specht A, Grutter T. 2013. Optical control of an ion channel gate. *PNAS* **110**:20813–20818. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1318715110, PMID: 24297890
- Levitz J, Pantoja C, Gaub B, Janovjak H, Reiner A, Hoagland A, Schoppik D, Kane B, Stawski P, Schier AF, Trauner D, Isacoff EY. 2013. Optical control of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Nature Neuroscience* 16: 507–516. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3346, PMID: 23455609
- Levitz J, Popescu AT, Reiner A, Isacoff EY. 2016. A toolkit for orthogonal and in vivo optical manipulation of ionotropic glutamate receptors. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience 9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol. 2016.00002, PMID: 26869877
- Lin JY, Sann SB, Zhou K, Nabavi S, Proulx CD, Malinow R, Jin Y, Tsien RY. 2013. Optogenetic inhibition of synaptic release with chromophore-assisted light inactivation (CALI). Neuron 79:241–253. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.022, PMID: 23889931
- Lin WC, Tsai MC, Davenport CM, Smith CM, Veit J, Wilson NM, Adesnik H, Kramer RH. 2015. In A comprehensive optogenetic pharmacology toolkit for in vivo control of GABA(A) Receptors and synaptic inhibition. Neuron 88: 879–891. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.10.026, PMID: 26606997
- Lodge DJ, Grace AA. 2006. The laterodorsal tegmentum is essential for burst firing of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. PNAS 103:5167–5172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510715103, PMID: 16549786
- Mameli-Engvall M, Evrard A, Pons S, Maskos U, Svensson TH, Changeux JP, Faure P. 2006. Hierarchical control of dopamine neuron-firing patterns by nicotinic receptors. *Neuron* 50:911–921. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2006.05.007, PMID: 16772172
- Mansvelder HD, Keath JR, McGehee DS. 2002. Synaptic mechanisms underlie nicotine-induced excitability of brain reward Areas. Neuron 33:905–919. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00625-6, PMID: 119066 97
- Marx M, Günter RH, Hucko W, Radnikow G, Feldmeyer D. 2012. Improved biocytin labeling and neuronal 3D reconstruction. Nature Protocols 7:394–407. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011.449, PMID: 22301777
- Maskos U, Molles BE, Pons S, Besson M, Guiard BP, Guilloux JP, Evrard A, Cazala P, Cormier A, Mameli-Engvall M, Dufour N, Cloëz-Tayarani I, Bemelmans AP, Mallet J, Gardier AM, David V, Faure P, Granon S, Changeux JP. 2005. Nicotine reinforcement and cognition restored by targeted expression of nicotinic receptors. *Nature* 436:103–107. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03694, PMID: 16001069
- Mazarakis ND, Azzouz M, Rohll JB, Ellard FM, Wilkes FJ, Olsen AL, Carter EE, Barber RD, Baban DF, Kingsman SM, Kingsman AJ, O'Malley K, Mitrophanous KA. 2001. Rabies virus glycoprotein pseudotyping of lentiviral vectors enables retrograde axonal transport and access to the nervous system after peripheral delivery. *Human Molecular Genetics* **10**:2109–2121. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/10.19.2109, PMID: 11590128
- Morales M, Margolis EB. 2017. Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity, connectivity and behaviour. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 18:73–85. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.165, PMID: 28053327
- Morales-Perez CL, Noviello CM, Hibbs RE. 2016. X-ray structure of the human α4β2 nicotinic receptor. Nature 538:411–415. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19785, PMID: 27698419
- Morel C, Fattore L, Pons S, Hay YA, Marti F, Lambolez B, De Biasi M, Lathrop M, Fratta W, Maskos U, Faure P. 2014. Nicotine consumption is regulated by a human polymorphism in dopamine neurons. *Molecular Psychiatry* 19:930–936. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2013.158, PMID: 24296975
- Naudé J, Tolu S, Dongelmans M, Torquet N, Valverde S, Rodriguez G, Pons S, Maskos U, Mourot A, Marti F, Faure P. 2016. Nicotinic receptors in the ventral tegmental area promote uncertainty-seeking. *Nature Neuroscience* 19:471–478. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4223, PMID: 26780509
- Paladini CA, Roeper J. 2014. Generating bursts (and pauses) in the dopamine midbrain neurons. *Neuroscience* 282:109–121. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.07.032, PMID: 25073045
- Picciotto MR, Higley MJ, Mineur YS. 2012. Acetylcholine as a neuromodulator: cholinergic signaling shapes nervous system function and behavior. Neuron 76:116–129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.08.036, PMID: 23040810
- Picciotto MR, Zoli M, Léna C, Bessis A, Lallemand Y, Le Novère N, Vincent P, Pich EM, Brûlet P, Changeux JP. 1995. Abnormal avoidance learning in mice lacking functional high-affinity nicotine receptor in the brain. *Nature* **374**:65–67. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/374065a0, PMID: 7870173
- Picciotto MR, Zoli M, Rimondini R, Léna C, Marubio LM, Pich EM, Fuxe K, Changeux JP. 1998. Acetylcholine receptors containing the beta2 subunit are involved in the reinforcing properties of nicotine. *Nature* **391**:173– 177. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/34413, PMID: 9428762
- Pignatelli M, Bonci A. 2015. Role of dopamine neurons in reward and aversion: a synaptic plasticity perspective. Neuron 86:1145–1157. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.04.015, PMID: 26050034
- Pinault D. 1996. A novel single-cell staining procedure performed in vivo under electrophysiological control: morpho-functional features of juxtacellularly labeled thalamic cells and other central neurons with biocytin or neurobiotin. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 65:113–136. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0270(95)00144-1, PMID: 8740589
- Rice ME, Cragg SJ. 2004. Nicotine amplifies reward-related dopamine signals in striatum. *Nature Neuroscience* **7**:583–584. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1244, PMID: 15146188
- Rost BR, Schneider-Warme F, Schmitz D, Hegemann P. 2017. Optogenetic tools for subcellular applications in neuroscience. Neuron 96:572–603. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.047, PMID: 29096074
- Sarter M, Parikh V, Howe WM. 2009. Phasic acetylcholine release and the volume transmission hypothesis: time to move on. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 10:383–390. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2635, PMID: 1 9377503

- Szobota S, Gorostiza P, Del Bene F, Wyart C, Fortin DL, Kolstad KD, Tulyathan O, Volgraf M, Numano R, Aaron HL, Scott EK, Kramer RH, Flannery J, Baier H, Trauner D, Isacoff EY. 2007. Remote control of neuronal activity with a light-gated glutamate receptor. *Neuron* 54:535–545. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.010, PMID: 17521567
- Szymański W, Beierle JM, Kistemaker HA, Velema WA, Feringa BL. 2013. Reversible photocontrol of biological systems by the incorporation of molecular photoswitches. *Chemical Reviews* **113**:6114–6178. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1021/cr300179f, PMID: 23614556
- Takemoto K, Iwanari H, Tada H, Suyama K, Sano A, Nagai T, Hamakubo T, Takahashi T. 2017. Optical inactivation of synaptic AMPA receptors erases fear memory. *Nature Biotechnology* **35**:38–47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3710, PMID: 27918547
- Taly A, Corringer PJ, Guedin D, Lestage P, Changeux JP. 2009. Nicotinic receptors: allosteric transitions and therapeutic targets in the nervous system. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* **8**:733–750. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/nrd2927, PMID: 19721446
- Tapper AR, McKinney SL, Nashmi R, Schwarz J, Deshpande P, Labarca C, Whiteaker P, Marks MJ, Collins AC, Lester HA. 2004. Nicotine activation of alpha4* receptors: sufficient for reward, tolerance, and sensitization. *Science* **306**:1029–1032. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099420, PMID: 15528443
- Tochitsky I, Banghart MR, Mourot A, Yao JZ, Gaub B, Kramer RH, Trauner D. 2012. Optochemical control of genetically engineered neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Nature Chemistry* 4:105–111. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1234, PMID: 22270644
- Tolu S, Eddine R, Marti F, David V, Graupner M, Pons S, Baudonnat M, Husson M, Besson M, Reperant C, Zemdegs J, Pagès C, Hay YA, Lambolez B, Caboche J, Gutkin B, Gardier AM, Changeux JP, Faure P, Maskos U. 2013. Co-activation of VTA DA and GABA neurons mediates nicotine reinforcement. *Molecular Psychiatry* 18:382–393. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.83, PMID: 22751493
- Tsai HC, Zhang F, Adamantidis A, Stuber GD, Bonci A, de Lecea L, Deisseroth K. 2009. Phasic firing in dopaminergic neurons is sufficient for behavioral conditioning. *Science* **324**:1080–1084. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1168878, PMID: 19389999
- Volkow ND, Morales M. 2015. The brain on drugs: from reward to addiction. *Cell* **162**:712–725. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.046, PMID: 26276628
- Walters CL, Brown S, Changeux JP, Martin B, Damaj MI. 2006. The beta2 but not alpha7 subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor is required for nicotine-conditioned place preference in mice. *Psychopharmacology* 184: 339–344. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-005-0295-x, PMID: 16416156
- Xiao C, Cho JR, Zhou C, Treweek JB, Chan K, McKinney SL, Yang B, Gradinaru V. 2016. Cholinergic mesopontine signals govern locomotion and reward through dissociable midbrain pathways. *Neuron* **90**:333–347. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.03.028, PMID: 27100197
- Xiao C, Srinivasan R, Drenan RM, Mackey ED, McIntosh JM, Lester HA. 2011. Characterizing functional α6β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in vitro: mutant β2 subunits improve membrane expression, and fluorescent proteins reveal responsive cells. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 82:852–861. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp. 2011.05.005, PMID: 21609715
- Yang H, de Jong JW, Tak Y, Peck J, Bateup HS, Lammel S. 2018. Nucleus accumbens subnuclei regulate motivated behavior via direct inhibition and disinhibition of VTA dopamine subpopulations. *Neuron* 97:434– 449. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.12.022
- Zoli M, Pistillo F, Gotti C. 2015. Diversity of native nicotinic receptor subtypes in mammalian brain. Neuropharmacology 96:302–311. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.11.003, PMID: 25460185