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**HIGHLIGHTS**

- Battery life study of the inertial sensor.
- Current consumption study of the inertial sensor.
- Optimal configuration for a home based serious game system.

**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**

**ABSTRACT**

Background: Serious games have recently immerged as a good tool for physical rehabilitation. This new technology can be used at home, to complement a traditional, clinic based, rehabilitation program. To implement a serious game at home, we need to use multiple sensors to record patients’ data. Many serious games use visual motion capture techniques, like the Kinect camera, due to their low price and high portability. On the other hand, some other systems use inertial sensors to collect data at a higher degree of accuracy. In previous works, we showed that a serious gaming system could benefit from combining data from different sensors. However, the use of inertial sensors, in a home-based setting, remains a challenge since they need to be supplied by an independent battery source, which could influence the acceptability of such systems.

Methods: In this paper, we present an energy consumption study, performed on the inertial sensors used in our serious game system.

Results: The results show that the sensors are rarely affected by environmental factors. They also show that the sensors can function continuously for about 14 hours without battery recharge.

Conclusion: Finally, these results allowed us to establish an optimal set up configuration for home based rehabilitation using serious games.

© 2018 AGBM. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

**1. Introduction**

Physical rehabilitation is a long process that requires the intervention of a specific team of experts [1]. Usually, a patient undergoing this process will perform some sessions at the clinic, with
outside supervision, and will be required later to do some exercises at home to remain active between clinical sessions. However, there are no current solutions for experts to monitor patient movements while performing exercises at home. In addition, patients drop home exercises due to the lack of motivation, and the high repetitiveness of these assigned exercises. Recently, these challenges have been the center of interest for many engineers and scientists, who used serious games as a complementary tool for rehabilitation.

Researchers have implemented serious games for different types of pathologies. Parkinson’s disease (PD) is one of these pathologies. Yu et al. developed a real-time Parkinson’s rehabilitation environment using a visual motion capture system [2]. The system is implemented in a clinic and requires the patient to reach and step in different directions and speeds. A virtual avatar mimics the patient’s movements on the screen. However, the system was never tested on PD patients. Paraskevopoulos et al. also studied serious games for PD rehabilitation [3]. They proposed a new design guideline for PD rehabilitation games, and developed two serious games. They tested these games on five PD patients. Stroke rehabilitation is another interesting pathology for serious games. Zannatha et al. developed a serious game using Kinect camera and EMG sensors [4]. The system 4 games for upper limb rehabilitation, but was not tested on stroke patients. Some researchers were interested in general health and well-being of the elderly. Lozano-Quilis et al. developed an augmented reality system for multiple sclerosis using the Kinect camera [5]. The system has 3 different exercises, and was tested on 11 patients. The results showed that the patients accepted the system and felt safe while executing the exercises.

Implementing any system at home requires appropriate sensors to capture motion data. Two types of sensors are generally used for this purpose, visual motion capture sensors (e.g. Kinect) and inertial measurement units (IMU). The Kinect camera is widely used in serious game rehabilitation since it presents a portable solution with low cost [4,5]. However, Kinect has very low accuracy when used to estimate joint angles. Previous studies have shown that Kinect could estimate knee angle with an error of about 14.5° [6–8]. This error is high when compared to the values accepted by medical experts to analyze joint data (6° for higher extremities [9] and 5.5° for lower extremities [10]). These problems can be avoided by using IMU sensors that are able to estimate angles at a higher degree of precision using complex mathematical filters [11,12]. Moreover, new studies have started investigating if a combination of different sensors could lead to higher precision in joint angle estimation. Atsaei et al. studied a fusion algorithm using unscented Kalman [13]. The results showed that the new algorithm helped improving the position estimation of some upper body segments but not the angle estimation. Glonek et al. proposed an algorithm that averages inputs from Kinect and IMU sensors to estimate joint angles [14]. The study was validated with one subject performing multiple exercises. Other studies have tried to use multiple Kinect camera to capture human movements in a living lab [15]. In a previous work, we also proposed a new fusion algorithm between Kinect and IMU sensors, to better estimate the knee angle while performing a serious game rehabilitation exercise [6]. However, studies proposing the use of multiple types of sensors have not investigated how to use this technology in a home-based environment to monitor patients or execute rehabilitation programs. the addition of wireless sensors and the necessity to recharge them between sessions could influence the user acceptability for these solutions. That is why we studied, in this paper, the battery and current consumption for the Shimmer3 IMU [16] that will be used with our previously developed serious game system [17–19].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the studies performed, which includes the battery and current consumption study of the IMU sensors. Section 3 highlights the results of the battery consumption. Section 4 discusses the results. Finally, Section 5 concludes the study.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. IMU study

This test was performed on Shimmer3 IMU sensors [16], to identify how many sessions a patient can perform, without recharging the sensor. Our choice of sensor is based on our previous use of Shimmer3 in a data fusion study, between IMU sensors and Kinect camera [6]. In the study, Shimmer3 proved to be a very accurate sensor for joint angle estimation. The sensor contains a tri-axial accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer that are always switched on during our tests. All these signals are needed to achieve a more accurate estimation of joint angle [6]. The study can be divided into two parts: battery life study and current consumption study.

2.1.1. IMU battery life

The battery life study includes 3 tests: 1) Effect of communication distance and sampling rate on battery life; 2) Effect of motion on battery life; and 3) Effect of multisensor streaming on battery life.

The sensors are charged until their batteries are full. Then, the sensors are connected to a developed application (using C#) that saves their data to a file in real-time. The application allows streaming data from one to seven different sensors, using the Bluetooth communication protocol. The objective is to determine the effect of different conditions on battery life. Note that these tests were performed until battery depletion.

2.1.2. IMU average current consumption

The current consumption study includes 5 tests: 1) Current consumption until battery depletion at 51.2 Hz; 2) Effect of communication distance and sampling rate on current consumption; 3) Effect of motion on current consumption; 4) Effect of multisensor streaming on current consumption; and 5) Effect of placing sensor behind human body on current consumption.

For these tests, the sensor is taken out of its box, and the electronic chip is modified to allow the use of a multimeter (Fig. 1). Three trials were done for each test to ensure the reproducibility. The same application described above is used to connect the sensor to the PC. The multimeter is connected to the PC via a USB port, and an application allows us to save multimeter data to a file. The first test was performed to make sure that current consumption is homogeneous for a certain amount of time, which can allow us to record multiple trials continuously without recharging the sensor. For the other tests, the sensor(s) streamed for 10 minutes and the current was collected from the multimeter. Note that the test that requires moving the sensor was done manually.

2.1.3. IMU real-time current consumption

This study aimed to provide a detailed description of the current pattern in real time, when the sensor is streaming to the PC. For this reason, we adopted the same scheme described in paragraph 2.2, but we replaced the multimeter with a digital oscilloscope (10 mV/div). The current was recorded for 10 minutes at different streaming sampling rates. The delay between the received packets was also calculated to better understand the sending/reception mechanism put in place by the IMU manufacturers.
3. Results

3.1. Battery life

Firstly, the effect of communication distance and sampling rate on battery life was studied. The results of this study are shown in Fig. 2. The results obtained with two different distances (10 cm and 5 m) from the PC were compared to results obtained in a previous study done on Shimmer1 [20].

The second study investigates the effect of motion on battery life. Since we needed to simulate motion for a long period of time, we attached the sensors to a small electric fan, and let it run overnight. The test was performed at 51.2 Hz, as it is the sampling rate used in our previous studies to estimate body joint angles. This sampling rate proved to be sufficient enough to access the movement of the human body, which varies generally between 1–10 Hz in frequency [21]. The results show that when attaching the sensor to an electric fan overnight at 51.2 Hz, the sensor streamed for 14.88 h, compared to 14.9 h for a static sensor.

The third study shows the effect of multisensory streaming on battery life. The test was performed with 7 sensors versus 1 sensor, streaming continuously at 51.2 Hz, until battery depletion. The results show that when connecting 7 sensors at the same time at 51.2 Hz, they streamed for a mean battery depletion time of 14.71 h compared to 14.9 h when using one sensor.

3.2. Average current consumption

The first current consumption study investigated the changes in the average current consumed, for every 10 min, in order to figure out if this average changes overtime. If the average is constant during a period of time, the measured current averages can be reliable, and we will avoid the need to recharge the sensor before each current consumption test. The result of this study is shown in Fig. 3.

The effect of the distance and sampling rate on average current consumption is shown in Fig. 4. The mean average current consumed presents the mean of 3 trials of 10 minutes each. The average is computed through computing the mean of the average 10 minute current consumed over 3 trials.

When moving the sensor for 10 minutes we obtained a mean average current equal to 34.8 ± 4.46 mA versus 34.63 ± 4.52 mA for a static sensor.

Multisensory streaming was studied for 2 different sampling rates 51.2 Hz (the sampling rate of interest) and 256 Hz (the highest sampling rate). Three trials were tested for each sampling rate. At 51.2 Hz, when streaming 1 sensor we obtained a mean average current of 34.63 ± 4.52 mA vs 34.48 ± 2.31 mA for 7 sensors. At 256 Hz, when streaming 1 sensor we obtained a mean average current of 38.55 ± 4.49 mA vs 34.48 ± 1.92 mA for 7 sensors. Finally, when placing the sensor behind a human body with respect to the remote central node, we obtained a mean average current of 35.14 ± 4.62 mA vs 34.8 ± 4.52 mA for a sensor placed next to the PC.
3.3. Real-time current consumption

The real-time current dissipated during streaming at 51.2 Hz was recorded using a digital oscilloscope. The results are presented in Fig. 5. The figure shows that there are periodically peaks of current consumption, and almost a constant current during the rest of the time. The period of these peaks is about 40 ms. Other tests at different sampling rates showed no difference in these periods of peaks, and only a slight change in the average constant current consumed. We also measured the delays between samples received by the PC, presented in Fig. 6.

4. Discussion

The battery life and current consumption study shows that the usage conditions rarely affect Shimmer3 sensor efficiency. The first battery life test showed that the distance and the sampling rate do not affect battery life. Fig. 2 shows that Shimmer1 battery life is lower than that of Shimmer3 but varies in the same manner. Shimmer 1.0 does not contain a magnetometer, and its battery has a capacity of 280 mAh versus 450 mAh in Shimmer3, which could explain these results. The second battery life test, concerning sensor movement showed no significant difference in battery life between a static and a dynamic sensor. The same observation was noted when comparing multisensory streaming versus single sensor streaming.

The current consumption seemed homogeneous for the first 8 h (Fig. 3) when we performed a test until battery depletion. This means that we can test current consumption without recharging the sensor after each trial. The average current seems to be slightly affected by distance (Fig. 4). However, when it comes to sensor movement, multisensory streaming and on body streaming, the current consumption does not exhibit significant changes. These results show that Shimmer3 sensor is not affected by environmen- tional factors. The only constraint of the system is a maximum number of 7 sensors.

The third study was to investigate the real-time current dissipated during streaming. The Fig. 5 shows that there are peaks of current consumption at times. Since the frequency of current consumption peaks did not change with different sampling rates, we proposed a hypothesis that the sensor saves the samples recorded at a particular sampling rate, and then allocates periods of 20 ms to send all the saved data. Thus, with higher sampling rates, there is no increase in the number of peaks, but an increase in the mean current dissipated, which causes the battery to deplete much faster. To confirm this hypothesis, we measured the delay between the received samples by the PC, presented in Fig. 6. The results of this study confirmed our hypothesis, as there are some samples that are received with big delays. These delays happen when the sensor sends a sample at the end of a sending window, and then sends the next one in the next sending window. After these peaks, the delays get smaller as the sensor sends the samples continuously.

Therefore based on these results, and optimal conditions proposed by the Kinect manufacturers, we can propose an optimal configuration for a home based serious game system (Table 1). We recommend a minimal distance of 2 meters between the user and the visual sensor, to obtain an optimal joint recognition through the Kinect body joint estimation, this requires a room of at least 4 × 4 meters, where the furniture is not allowed between the player and the sensors. Moreover, the visual sensor works in an optimal condition when the room is well lit. When it comes to inertial sensors, the type of clothes worn by the user affects them generally. That is why we recommend that the users wears shorts and t-shirts, or clothes that are not larger than their size, in order to maximize the contact between the sensor and the body joint. These recommendations are presented in Table 1.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a study of the energy consumption of Shimmer3 inertial sensors. This technical study shows that shimmer sensors can hold up to 14 hours when streaming continuously at 51.2 Hz. The study also investigated how the current is consumed in real-time, which could help us understand how to optimize the use of these sensors. In particular, this study showed that Shimmer3 sensors are not affected by environmental factors, and thus can be used without any limiting conditions. The user should only charge the battery once after about 25 rehabilitation sessions of 30 mins each. Moreover, our system that uses the Kinect camera combined with inertial sensors has to take into account the limitations of the camera alone. Finally, we gave some recommendations on the optimal room and user conditions to deploy serious games at home. In future works, we will

![Fig. 5. Real-time dissipated current during streaming at 51.2 Hz.](image-url)

![Fig. 6. Delay between samples received by the PC while streaming at 51.2 Hz.](image-url)

| Table 1: Optimal conditions for home based rehabilitation using serious games. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Criteria        | Recommendations  | Purposes        |
| Distance from the system | At least 2 meters | Optimal condition for Kinect joint estimation |
| Room dimensions  | At least 4 × 4 meters | Patient movement in spacious area |
| Room lighting    | Well-lit room   | Optimal condition for Kinect joint estimation |
| Clothe type      | Shorts and t-shirts with well-fitting size | Maximal contact between inertial sensor and the body |
| Number of sensors| A maximum of 7 sensors | Maximal number in a Bluetooth piconet |
| Sensor battery   | Recharge after 25 sessions | Battery depletion after 14 hours of usage |
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study the dynamic joint behavior to detect false movements, and generate feedbacks to help patients enhance their rehabilitation performance, and achieve our complete, real-time, home based rehabilitation system [22].
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