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Dopamine Receptor Activation Is Required for GABAergic Spike Timing-Dependent Plasticity in Response to Complex Spike Pairing in the Ventral Tegmental Area

Ludovic D. Langlois, Matthieu Dacher† and Fereshteh S. Nugent*

Department of Pharmacology, F. Edward Hebert School of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, United States

One of the most influential synaptic learning rules explored in the past decades is activity dependent spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP). In STDP, synapses are either potentiated or depressed based on the order of pre- and postsynaptic neuronal activation within narrow, milliseconds-long, time intervals. STDP is subject to neuromodulation by dopamine (DA), a potent neurotransmitter that significantly impacts synaptic plasticity and reward-related behavioral learning. Previously, we demonstrated that GABAergic synapses onto ventral tegmental area (VTA) DA neurons are able to express STDP (Kodangattil et al., 2013), however it is still unclear whether DA modulates inhibitory STDP in the VTA. Here, we used whole-cell recordings in rat midbrain slices to investigate whether DA D1-like and/or D2-like receptor (D1R/D2R) activation is required for induction of STDP in response to a complex pattern of spiking. We found that VTA but not Substantia nigra pars compact (SNc) DA neurons exhibit long-term depression (LTD$_{GABA}$) in response to a combination of positive (pre-post) and negative (post-pre) timing of spiking (a complex STDP protocol). Blockade of either D1Rs or D2Rs prevented the induction of LTD$_{GABA}$ while activation of D1Rs did not affect the plasticity in response to this complex STDP protocol in VTA DA neurons. Our data suggest that this DA-dependent GABAergic STDP is selectively expressed at GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons which could be targeted by drugs of abuse to mediate drug-induced modulation of DA signaling within the VTA, as well as in VTA-projection areas, thereby affecting reward-related learning and drug-associated memories.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past few decades, synaptic plasticity has emerged as an important candidate mechanism for drug-induced changes in reward-related neural circuits where addictive drugs usurp synaptic mechanisms underpinning reward/motivational or aversive behavioral learning to alter dopamine (DA) signaling from the ventral tegmental area (VTA), a critical brain region involved in reward and motivation (Pignatelli and Bonci, 2015; Langlois and Nugent, 2017). Drug-induced synaptic plasticity has attracted considerable interest in studies of drug addiction because strong and durable memories associated with drug experience are demonstrated to promote compulsive drug taking, craving and relapse.

Experimental synaptic plasticity can be induced using traditional induction paradigms as well as spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) protocols. STDP is considered a physiological form of plasticity that relies on the relative timing of neuronal activity (Feldman, 2012). Specifically, STDP at glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses within DA-related neural circuits likely presents a critical synaptic learning rule for encoding natural reward-related learning and memory (Pawlak et al., 2010; Langlois and Nugent, 2017; Foncelle et al., 2018). The Hebbian learning rules of STDP dictates that long-term potentiation (LTP) is induced when presynaptic activity precedes postsynaptic spiking (pre-post spiking, positive timing), whereas reversing the order induces long-term depression (LTD, post-pre spiking, negative timing; Dan and Poo, 2006; Caporale and Dan, 2008). Recently, it has become clear that GABAergic synaptic plasticity in addition to the widely studied glutamatergic plasticity is targeted by drugs of abuse and drug-induced modulation of this plasticity could critically influence DA neuronal activity and DA release in VTA projection areas, modulation of this plasticity could critically influence DA neuronal activity and subsequent DA release in VTA DA circuits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Brain slice preparation and electrophysiological recordings were conducted as described previously from 14 days to 21 days old Sprague-Dawley rats (Dacher et al., 2013; Kodangattil et al., 2013). Briefly, animals were anesthetized using isoflurane and quickly decapitated. The brain was rapidly dissected and placed into ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): 126 NaCl, 21.4 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 2.4 CaCl2, 1.00 MgSO4, 11.1 glucose, 0.4 ascorbic acid, saturated with 95% O2/5% CO2. Horizontal midbrain slices containing the substantia nigra pars compact (SNc) and VTA were cut (250 μm) and incubated in ACSF during at least 1 h at 34°C. Slices were then transferred into a recording chamber in ascorbic acid-free ACSF at 28°C. All experiments were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by the Uniformed Services University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering, and to reduce the number of animals used.

GABA_A inhibitory post-synaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded using a patch amplifier (Multiclamp 700B) under infrared-differential interference contrast microscopy. Data acquisition and analysis were performed using DigiData 1440A and pClamp 10 (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA, USA). In all experiments, 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (DNQX, 10 μM) and strychnine (1 μM) obtained from Sigma were added to block AMPA- and glycine-mediated synaptic currents, respectively to pharmacologically isolate GABA_A IPSCs that were completely blocked by the GABA_A receptor antagonist, bicuculline. Paired GABA_A IPSCs were evoked using a bipolar stainless steel stimulating electrode placed 200–500 mm rostral to the recording site in the VTA at 0.1 Hz (duration 100 μs, 50 ms inter-stimulation interval) and recorded using KCl containing electrodes and whole-cell voltage-clamp in neurons held at −70 mV. Pipettes were filled with (in mM): 125 KCl, 2,8 NaCl, 2 MgCl2, 2 ATP-Na+, 0.3 GTP-Na+, 0.6 EGTA and 10 HEPEs (pH adjusted to 7.28 with KOH, osmolality adjusted to 275–280 mOsm with sucrose). Stimulation intensity was adjusted to evoke baseline synaptic responses ranged between −200 pA and −800 pA (approximately 50% of maximal responses). The cell input resistance and series resistance were monitored throughout the experiment and if these values changed by more than 10%, data were not included. The appearance of an Ih current (≥50 pA) in response to stepping cells from −50 mV to
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FIGURE 1 | Ventral tegmental area (VTA) but not substantia nigra pars compact (SNc) dopamine (DA) neurons express spike-timing-dependent (STD)-long-term depression (LTD<sub>GABA</sub>) in response to a complex spiking spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) protocol. Panel (A) represents sample bursts of the complex spiking protocol for induction of LTD<sub>GABA</sub>. (B,C) Single and average experiments showing induction of STDP recorded in Ih<sup>+</sup> (presumably DA) neurons in VTA (filled square symbols) or SNc (filled circle symbols). At the arrow, STDP was induced. Insets: averaged inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) before and 25 min after STDP protocol. In this and all figures, 10 consecutive traces from each condition were averaged for illustration as inset. Calibration: 100 pA, 25 ms (VTA: 75 ± 1.1% of pre-STDP values, F<sub>3,22</sub> = 7.5, p < 0.0001, n = 8; SNc: 103 ± 2.4% of pre-STDP values, F<sub>5,70</sub> = 1.26, n = 14). Values shown throughout figure are the mean ± SEM.

−100 mV was used to identify putative SNc/VTA DA neurons. As a standard protocol in our lab including the present study we consistently record from a region of the VTA (in the dorsal and caudal VTA) that is shown to contain mostly nucleus accumbens (NAc)- projecting DA neurons with Ih positivity (Margolis et al., 2006a,b; Zhang et al., 2010). In addition we consistently consider other electrophysiological criterions for identification of DA neurons (AP characteristics and frequency) that are also commonly used to identify putative DA neurons (Johnson and North, 1992; Dacher et al., 2013; Kodangattil et al., 2013).

To induce STDP, we first obtained a stable baseline for 10 min and then DA cells were taken to current clamp and received trains of a sub-threshold presynaptic stimulation paired with back propagating action potentials (bAPs/presynaptic spiking) at 5 Hz. To evoke bAPs, cells were injected with direct somatic currents of 1.5 nA for 5 ms through patch pipettes. STDP protocols consisted of 30 trains of five bursts repeated at 0.1 Hz. To induce LTD<sub>GABA</sub> using post-pre pairing, each burst was composed of three bAPs at 50 Hz followed by a single presynaptic stimulation (negative timing, −5 ms). A complex STDP protocol was used to induce LTD<sub>GABA</sub> where each burst composed of three bAPs preceded with three presynaptic stimulations at 50 Hz (the complex pairing protocol included both positive timing, +5 ms and negative timing, −15 ms, Figure 1A). Values are presented as means ± SEM. Statistical significance was assessed using repeated measures ANOVA with significance level of p < 0.05. Levels of STDP are reported as averaged IPSC amplitudes for 5 min just before STDP induction compared with averaged IPSC amplitudes during the 5 min period from 25 min to 30 min after protocol. Interleaved control experiments were performed with experiments in which drugs were bath applied. Salts and all drugs were purchased from Sigma-Research Biochemicals International or Tocris Bioscience.
RESULTS

VTA but Not SNc DA Neurons Exhibit LTD<sub>GABA</sub> in Response to a Complex Pairing STDP Protocol

Previously, we showed that the synaptic efficacy of GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons can be bi-directionally modified by pre/post spike pairing in a narrow time window (Kodangattil et al., 2013; Authement et al., 2015). Here, we used a more natural and complex pattern of spiking (Figure 1A) to induce STDP at GABAergic synapses onto midbrain VTA/SNc DA neurons. Consistent with our previous results (Kodangattil et al., 2013), we were able to induce LTD<sub>GABA</sub> in VTA DA neurons in response to a combination of both positive (+5 ms) and negative (−15 ms) timing (Figure 1B). On the other hand, we found that SNc DA neurons did not exhibit any form of plasticity in response to the same complex STDP protocol (Figure 1C). Given this finding, we only examined the effects of D1R/D2R drugs on the induction of STDP (LTD<sub>GABA</sub>) in VTA DA neurons.

Induction of LTD<sub>GABA</sub> in the VTA by STDP Protocols Requires D2R Activation

LTD<sub>GABA</sub> at GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons can also be triggered in response to a traditional LTD pairing paradigm using LFS paired with modest depolarization (Dacher and Nugent, 2011). LTD<sub>GABA</sub> triggered in response to both LFS-pairing paradigm and STDP protocols is dependent on the postsynaptic scaffolding A-kinase anchoring protein 79/150 (AKAP79/150) signaling complex which selectively controls GABAergic synaptic strength and mediates the opposing effects of protein kinase A (PKA) and calcineurin (CaN) on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor trafficking in VTA DA neurons (Dacher and Nugent, 2011; Dacher et al., 2013; Authement et al., 2015). Since LTD<sub>GABA</sub> in response to the LFS-pairing LTD paradigm is also D2R-dependent and modulated by morphine (Dacher and Nugent, 2011), we tested whether LTD<sub>GABA</sub> induced by STDP protocols also requires D2R activation. We attempted to induce LTD<sub>GABA</sub> by a complex STDP protocol or a post-pre STDP protocol as previously described (Kodangattil et al., 2013; Authement et al., 2015) while a D2R antagonist, sulpiride (10 μM), was present in the perfusate throughout the experiment. Sulpiride was able to completely block the induction of LTD<sub>GABA</sub> in response to the complex STDP protocol (Figure 2A) as well as post-pre STDP protocol (Figure 2B) suggesting that both the pairing and STDP protocols trigger this D2R-dependent LTD<sub>GABA</sub>. It should be mentioned that D2R activation by a D2R agonist results in a rundown in GABAergic IPSCs (a chemical form of LTD) in VTA DA neurons that is dependent on CaN activity upon inhibition of PKA-AKAP150 anchoring (Dacher et al., 2013).

Induction of LTD<sub>GABA</sub> by a Complex STDP Protocol Also Requires D1R Activation

The roles of D1Rs and D2Rs have been implicated in induction and modulation of STDP (Pawlak and Kerr, 2008; Shen et al., 2008; Pawlak et al., 2010; Xu and Yao, 2010; Ruan...
et al., 2014). Although DA via both D1R and D2R may exert opposing effects on STDP where D1Rs enable the induction of LTP and D2Rs mainly favor LTD, the cooperative actions of both DA receptors in induction of LTD of STDP have been shown (Xu and Yao, 2010). Here we tested our hypothesis that D1R activation blocks LTD_{GABA} and reverses the direction of plasticity to promote LTP_{GABA}. To test this, we attempted to induce LTD_{GABA} in response to the complex pairing STDP protocol in the presence of a D1R agonist (SKF81297, 10 µM) or a D1R antagonist (SCH23390, 10 µM). While LTD_{GABA} was unaffected in the presence of the D1R agonist (Figure 2C), the D1R antagonist blocked the induction of LTD_{GABA} (Figure 2D) suggesting that D1R activation also facilitates the induction of LTD at GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons.

DISCUSSION

Here, we have extended our previous studies on GABAergic STDP in VTA DA neurons to investigate how local VTA DA signaling through somatodendritic release of DA during STDP within the VTA would affect LTD. We found that while GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons were able to express LTD_{GABA} in response to a complex STDP protocol, GABAergic synapses onto SNC DA neurons did not show any form of plasticity in response to the same protocol. This suggests that this form of inhibitory plasticity may be selectively expressed at GABA_{A} synapses in the VTA. LTD_{GABA} triggered by our complex STDP protocol or a post-pre STDP protocol in VTA DA neurons was dependent on D2R activation similar to LTD_{GABA} triggered in response to a typical LFS-pairing protocol shown by our group (Dacher and Nugent, 2011). D1R activation seems to commonly facilitate the induction of LTP in response to STDP protocols although it could also contribute to induction of LTD of STDP at the synapse (Pawlak and Kerr, 2008; Zhang et al., 2009; Ruan et al., 2014; Brzosko et al., 2015). Thus, we further examined whether boosting endogenous DA action on D1R activity during STDP induction through bath application of a D1R agonist could reverse the direction of plasticity towards LTP. Not only were we unable to trigger LTP in response to the complex protocol in the presence of D1R agonist, the induction of LTD_{GABA} was completely unaffected ruling out the possibility of masking LTD by a simultaneous induction of a D1R-dependent LTP at these synapses. Interestingly, we observed a blockade of LTD_{GABA} in response to the complex protocol in the presence of D1R antagonist suggesting that local endogenous DA could engage D1Rs to promote this plasticity. The selectivity of induction of GABAergic STDP at GABAergic synapses in the VTA vs. the SNC may not be surprising considering the distinct anatomical and functional populations of VTA and SNC DA neurons (Reier et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2017). Given that VTA DA neurons are found to be more heterogeneous than SNC DA neurons (Margolis et al., 2006b) and the postsynaptic nature of DA-dependent LTD_{GABA} triggered at GABAergic synapses in the VTA (Dacher and Nugent, 2011; Dacher et al., 2013; Kodangattil et al., 2013; Authement et al., 2015), our data suggest that the expression of this STDP as a uniform property of GABA_{A} synapses in the VTA may be due to distinct intrinsic characteristics of the VTA microcircuits that differ from the SNC local circuitry. Consistently, it has been shown that extracellular DA levels released within the VTA and SNC differ as the dendritic release of DA within the VTA is far greater than DA release within the SNC (Rice et al., 1997; Ford et al., 2010). Therefore, we propose that this regional difference in release properties of DA at dendritic locations may underlie the selective expression of STDP in the VTA. Given that activation of either D1Rs or D2Rs by endogenous DA was sufficient to trigger this plasticity and considering different localization of DA receptors in VTA neuronal populations and presynaptic terminals innervating VTA neurons, it remains to be known how and where the activation of either presynaptic or postsynaptic DA receptors mediate this plasticity in the VTA. GABA_{A} synapses onto VTA DA neurons mainly originate from VTA GABAergic neurons (that comprise 30% of VTA neuronal populations) and rostromedial tegmental area (RMTg) neurons (Barrot et al., 2012). D2Rs are mainly expressed postsynaptically on VTA DA neurons to provide an auto feedback inhibition of DA neurons (Beckstead et al., 2007). D2Rs are assumed to be expressed on GABAergic terminals where their activation facilitates the induction of a presynaptic endocannabinoid-mediated LTD at GABAergic synapses onto VTA DA neurons (Pan et al., 2008). We have also shown that inhibition of PKA activity (which is the main downstream signaling mechanism for both D1R and D2R) or disruption of AKAP150-PKA association promotes LTD_{GABA} in response to STDP protocols by favoring CaN activity and endocytosis of GABA_{A} receptors in VTA DA neurons (Authement et al., 2015). Given that D2Rs can inhibit PKA activity and the postsynaptic locus of LTD_{GABA} expression, we assume that D2R activation acts through this signaling pathway to promote LTD_{GABA} in response to complex STDP protocols. Only a small subset of VTA DA neurons express D1Rs (D1/D5; Schilström et al., 2006). DA increases presynaptic release of GABA in the midbrain through D1R activation (Cameron and Williams, 1993). It has been shown that NAc D1R-expressing medium spiny neuronal projections inhibiting VTA DA neurons preferentially make GABA_{A} synapses onto VTA DA neurons while these D1R expressing neurons of NAc inhibit VTA GABAergic interneurons via activating GABA_{A} synapses (Barrot et al., 2012). It will be interesting to test whether the postsynaptic action of DA on D1Rs in VTA DA neurons promotes LTD through modulation of NMDA receptor (Schilström et al., 2006) or GABA_{B} receptor activity originating from D1 expressing NAc (Cameron and Williams, 1993; Kamikubo et al., 2007) in VTA DA neurons. It should be noted that there are several limitations to our study including the young age of the rats, the suboptimal cooler temperature for recordings at 28°C, the use of electrical stimulation rather than optogenetic stimulation of specific afferent inputs to the VTA, and also the complexity of mimicking a natural pattern of neuronal firings in an in vitro STDP induction protocol. In fact, a recent study has shown the heterogeneity of distinct GABAergic inputs to VTA DA neurons where only GABAergic inputs arising from the VTA GABAergic interneurons show short-term plasticity (Polter et al., 2018). In sum, we demonstrated that synaptic actions of DA within the VTA is required for induction of GABAergic STDP. The selective
expression of this DA-dependent STDP in the VTA presents an important synaptic learning mechanism that can be targeted by drugs of abuse or stress to alter DA signaling within VTA DA circuits and significantly impact reward-related behavioral learning.
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