**Exploring the electrical potential inside cylinders beyond the
Debye-Hückel approximation: a computer code to solve the
Poisson-Boltzmann equation for multivalent electrolytes**

Philippe Leroy¹ and Alexis Maineult^{2*}

¹ BRGM, French Geological Survey, 45060 Orléans, France.

² Sorbonne Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR 7619 METIS, 75005 Paris, France.

*Corresponding author:

Tel: +33 (0)1 44 27 43 36

E-mail: alexis.maineult@upmc.fr

Intended for publication in Geophysical Journal International

SUMMARY

The electrical potential at the interface between mineral and water is traditionally computed from the Poisson-Boltzmann (P-B) equation. Nevertheless, this partial differential equation is non-linear and has no analytical solution for cylindrical geometries for instance. For that reason, the linearized P-B equation is mostly used in the literature. In our study, we present a short and easy-to-handle Matlab® code to solve the full (i.e., non-linearized) P-B equation inside a cylinder. Electrical potentials inside amorphous silica and montmorillonite nanotubes, containing NaCl or CaCl₂ electrolytes, are computed. The zeta potential, which is an input parameter of our code, is first predicted from basic Stern and extended Stern models. We show that the linearized P-B equation overestimates the electrical potential from the full P-B equation when the zeta potential magnitude is above $kT/e \sim 25.7$ mV at a temperature T of 298 K with k the Boltzmann's constant and e the electron charge, especially for Ca²⁺ ion in solution. This effect increases when salinity decreases from 0.1 to 0.001 mol L⁻¹ because of zeta potentials of higher magnitude, and when the cylinder radius decreases to the nanometric range because of overlapping diffuse layers. Our results may have strong implications for simulating the electrical properties of highly charged nanomaterials such as clays and low-pH cements.

Keywords: Electrical Properties, hydrogeophysics, non-linear differential equations, numerical modelling.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many research areas in Earth sciences, physics, chemistry, and medicine are focused on investigating the electrochemical properties of cylinders (Bocquet & Charlaix 2010; Daiguji 2010; Schoch *et al.* 2008). For instance, in hydrology, the electrical potential on the internal wall of cylinder can be considered in pore network models simulating water flow and solute transport (Li *et al.* 2014; Obliger *et al.* 2014; Xiong *et al.* 2016). It is also used in rock physics to simulate the electrical properties of porous media to interpret, for instance, their self-potential and induced polarization (IP) response (Bernabé 1998; Jougnot *et al.*, 2012; Bückner & Hördt, 2013a). In hydrogeophysics, researchers are actually interested in relating the permeability to the IP response of rocks and, to date, there is a debate about whether it is the grain or pore size that controls it (Revil & Florsch 2010; Revil *et al.* 2012, 2015; Kruschwitz *et al.* 2016; Weller *et al.* 2016). Knowing the electrochemical properties of cylinders is essential to model the induced polarization of rocks using the membrane polarization model and to better assess the effect of pore size on IP (Bückner & Hördt 2013a,b; Bairlein *et al.* 2016; Hördt *et al.* 2016, 2017; Chuprinko & Titov 2017).

In electrokinetics and more generally in hydrogeophysics, most transport models use the zeta potential and the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation, based on the Debye-Hückel (D-H) approximation, to model the electrochemical properties of pores (Bernabé 1998; Pride 1994; Conlisk 2005; Schoch *et al.* 2008; Jougnot *et al.* 2012; Bückner & Hördt 2013a). The D-H approximation is accurate for surface electrical potentials, considered equal to zeta potentials, of magnitude inferior or equal to 25.7 mV at a temperature of 25°C (Hunter 1981; Lyklema 1995). Because zeta potentials on mineral surfaces are often much larger, it would be better to use the Poisson-Boltzmann equation without any approximation regarding the surface electrical potential to

compute the electrical potential at the interface between mineral and water (Leroy & Revil 2004; Sverjensky 2005; Vinogradov *et al.* 2010; Li *et al.* 2016). For instance, amorphous silica and the basal surface of montmorillonite, two minerals that are common in the subsurface, exhibit negative zeta potentials that can easily exceed 25.7 mV in magnitude (Rasmusson *et al.* 1997; Sonnefeld *et al.* 2001; Leroy *et al.* 2013, 2015).

As far as we know, there is no code available in the literature to solve numerically the Poisson-Boltzmann equation inside cylinders. We propose a short and easy-to-handle Matlab® code to model the electrochemical properties of cylinders. After a brief presentation of the P-B equation and associated boundary conditions, electrical potential profiles inside amorphous silica and montmorillonite nanotubes, computed from the full and linearized P-B equation, are showed, compared and discussed. Two different electrolytes representative of natural waters, NaCl and CaCl₂, are considered, and zeta potentials were computed from well-established surface complexation models describing Stern and diffuse layers at the interface between mineral and water (Leroy *et al.* 2013, 2015).

2. THE POISSON-BOLTZMANN EQUATION INSIDE A CYLINDER

Let us consider a cylinder saturated with an aqueous electrolyte and having its internal wall electrically charged. The distribution of the electrical potential φ (V) in the direction normal to the charged surface is usually computed using the Poisson-Boltzmann (P-B) equation (Hunter 1981; Lyklema 1995; Leroy *et al.* 2010, 2012). The input parameters of this equation are the chemical composition of the bulk electrolyte and the surface electrical potential, often equated with the zeta potential (ζ), or the surface charge density (Hunter 1981; Bourg *et al.* 2007; Leroy *et al.* 2015). The zeta

potential is the surface electrical potential at the plane of shear or slip plane and can be inferred from electrokinetic experiments for instance (Lyklema 1995; Leroy & Revil 2004; Delgado *et al.* 2007; Heuser *et al.* 2012).

The P-B equation can be written as (Appendix A):

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = -\frac{1000 N_A}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^M q_i C_i \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \varphi}{kT}\right), \quad (1)$$

where N_A is Avogadro's number ($\sim 6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), ε is the dielectric permittivity of the electrolyte (F m^{-1}), and M is the number of ionic species. The quantity q_i is the ion charge (C), $q_i = es_i$ where e is the elementary charge ($\sim 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$) and s_i is the signed ion valence ($s_i = \pm z_i$ with z_i the ion valence, “+” standing for cations and “−” for anions). The quantity C_i is the concentration of ion i (mol L^{-1} , M), k is Boltzmann's constant ($\sim 1.381 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1}$) and T is the temperature (K). Note that the factor 1000 converts C_i , expressed in mol L^{-1} , into mol m^{-3} .

Eq. (1) can be linearized using the first two terms of the power series of $\exp(-x) = 1 - x$ where $x = q_i \varphi / kT$, which works well in the case of small electrical potentials satisfying the condition $|\varphi| \ll kT / |q_i|$. This yields:

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = -\frac{1000 N_A}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^M q_i C_i \left(1 - \frac{q_i \varphi}{kT}\right). \quad (2)$$

Considering the electroneutrality condition in the bulk electrolyte, i.e.:

$$\sum_{i=1}^M q_i C_i = 0, \quad (3)$$

Eq. (2) becomes the Poisson-Boltzmann equation with the Debye-Hückel (D-H) approximation:

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = \kappa^2 \varphi, \quad (4)$$

where $\chi = \kappa^{-1}$ is the Debye length (m), which is given by:

$$\chi = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon kT}{1000 N_A \sum_{i=1}^M q_i^2 C_i}}. \quad (5)$$

Here we consider an infinite cylinder of radius r_0 filled with saline water, with an electrical potential set to ζ on its internal wall. In radial coordinates, Eq. (1) writes:

$$\frac{d^2 \varphi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\varphi(r)}{dr} = -\frac{1000 N_A}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^M q_i C_i \exp\left[-\frac{q_i \varphi(r)}{kT}\right]. \quad (6)$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$\varphi(r_0) = \zeta, \quad (7)$$

and for symmetry reasons:

$$\left. \frac{d\varphi}{dr} \right|_{r=0} = 0. \quad (8)$$

Solving Eqs. (6) to (8) allows us to obtain the electrical potential profile $\varphi(r)$. For numerical reasons, it is more convenient to solve a dimensionless equation. For that purpose, we replaced in Eq. (6) the quantity q_i by es_i – by doing so, the electronic charge is taken out of the summation. Eq. (6) thus becomes:

$$\frac{d^2 \varphi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\varphi(r)}{dr} = -\frac{1000 N_A e}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^M s_i C_i \exp\left[-\frac{s_i e \varphi(r)}{kT}\right]. \quad (9)$$

We define the following quantities:

$$R = \frac{r}{\chi}, \quad (10)$$

which is the dimensionless radius;

$$\Phi(R) = \frac{e \varphi(r)}{kT}, \quad (11)$$

which is the dimensionless electrical potential; and

$$\xi = \frac{e \zeta}{kT}, \quad (12)$$

which is the dimensionless zeta potential.

Eq. (9), (7) and (8) then become:

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{dR^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{d\Phi}{dR} = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M s_i C_i \exp(-s_i \Phi)}{\sum_{i=1}^M s_i^2 C_i}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Phi(R_0) = \xi, \quad (14)$$

$$\left. \frac{d\Phi}{dR} \right|_{R=0} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Eqs. (13) to (15) were rewritten in a form usable by the Matlab® procedure `bvp4c`, which solves ordinary differential equations given their boundary conditions using the collocation method. The code, which computes $\Phi(R)$ and then $\varphi(r)$, is presented in Appendix B.

Finally, let us consider the Poisson-Boltzmann equation in radial coordinates with the Debye-Hückel approximation (from Eq. (4)):

$$\frac{d^2\varphi(r)}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\varphi(r)}{dr} = \frac{1}{\chi^2} \varphi(r). \quad (16)$$

The solution for the boundary conditions (7) and (8) is given by (e.g., Bernabé 1998):

$$\varphi(r) = \zeta \frac{J_0(i r / \chi)}{J_0(i r_0 / \chi)}, \quad (17)$$

where J_0 is the zero-order modified Bessel function of the first kind and i the imaginary number.

3. APPLICATIONS TO AMORPHOUS SILICA AND MONTMORILLONITE NANOTUBES

We used our code to compute the electrical potential profiles inside cylinders

assuming amorphous silica or montmorillonite surfaces. These two minerals are of particular interest because silicon dioxide is often used in nanofluidics (Schoch *et al.* 2008; Bocquet & Charlaix 2010; Daiguji 2010). It is also the major compound of quartz sand and sandstones, which are very common in the subsurface (Leroy *et al.* 2008; Vinogradov *et al.* 2010; Revil *et al.* 2015), and one of the main constituents of cement (Labbez *et al.* 2006; Grangeon *et al.* 2013; Lerouge *et al.* 2017). Montmorillonite is often found in sedimentary soils and rocks, and is the major compound of bentonite, which is used for instance for the ground storage of domestic wastes and underground storage of highly radioactive and long-lived nuclear wastes (Malusis *et al.* 2003; Rotenberg *et al.* 2007; Tournassat *et al.* 2013; Leroy *et al.* 2015, 2017).

We consider cylindrical pores of diameters 10, 100, and 1000 nm, and containing 1:1, NaCl, or 2:1, CaCl₂, salts of concentrations 1, 10 and 100 mM at a temperature of 25°C (mM stands for 10⁻³ mol L⁻¹). Pore diameters and chemical compositions of the bulk electrolyte were chosen to encompass values typical for nanopores containing monovalent and multivalent electrolytes (Dufreche *et al.* 2001, 2005; Wang & Revil 2010; Wang *et al.* 2010). These salts were also chosen because they contain some major ions found in natural waters (McCleskey 2011). Electrochemical properties of amorphous silica were investigated as a function of pH because of the presence of silanol surface sites exchanging protons with surrounding electrolyte, responsible for its negative surface charge and zeta potential (Sonnefeld *et al.* 2001). Those of the basal surface of montmorillonite were considered independent on pH because of its permanent negative surface charge and zeta potential due to ion substitution in the crystal (Tournassat *et al.* 2011).

3.1 Surface complexation models

Zeta potentials were computed using the surface complexation models of Leroy *et al.* (2013) for amorphous silica and Leroy *et al.* (2015) for the basal surface of montmorillonite, considering the additional adsorption of calcium ions in the Stern layer. They were not measured because of the high uncertainties associated with interpretation of electrokinetic measurements into zeta potentials (Hunter 1981; Lyklema 1995; Delgado *et al.* 2007). In the surface complexation models of Leroy *et al.*, the charged surface does not electrostatically interact with another charged surface. Therefore, one limitation of our approach is that the zeta potentials are computed using one plane surface in contact with an infinite electrolyte where electroneutrality occurs in the bulk part. To properly consider interacting diffuse layers when the pore is completely filled by them, it would be better to develop a P-B code considering as input parameter the surface charge density rather than the zeta potential. Nevertheless, actual geophysical codes are more familiar with the zeta potential than the surface charge density (Vinogradov *et al.* 2010; Jougnot *et al.* 2012; Bucker & Hördt 2013a). Therefore, developing a P-B code considering as input surface charge density rather than zeta potential will be carried out in the future when geophysical codes will also consider this input parameter.

Electrostatic surface complexation models describe electrical potential and ion distributions at the mineral/water interface (Gouy 1910; Chapman 1913). Their input parameters typically are the temperature, chemical composition of the bulk electrolyte, total density of surface groups, equilibrium adsorption constants of the protons and counter-ions, and capacitance(s) (Hiemstra *et al.* 1989). In the triple layer model (TLM) or extended Stern model (Fig. 1), the “0-plane” corresponds to the surface of the mineral where protonation and deprotonation reactions occur, the “ β -plane” is located at the center of the compact Stern layer made of adsorbed counter-ions, and the “ d -plane”

delimits the onset of the diffuse layer containing counter-ions and co-ions (Yates *et al.* 1974). The basic Stern model (BSM) is a simplified TLM where the “ β -plane” coincides with the “ d -plane” (no capacitance necessary to describe the electrical potential between these two planes, Westall & Hohl 1980). In these two models, the zeta potential, ζ , which is the electrical potential at the shear plane, is traditionally assumed to be located slightly further way from or at the “ d -plane” because no water flow is considered between the mineral surface and the onset of the diffuse layer (Hunter 1981; Lyklema *et al.* 1998).

Figure 1. Sketch of the surface complexation models to compute the zeta potential (ζ) for amorphous silica (BSM, a., modified from Leroy *et al.* 2013) and montmorillonite (TLM, b., modified from Leroy *et al.* 2015). The symbols ϕ , Q , and C respectively represent the electrical potential, surface charge density, and capacitance. Note the different types of surface sites, with the silanols $>\text{Si-OH}$ for amorphous silica and $>\text{X}^-$ resulting from isomorphic substitutions in the solid for montmorillonite.

Besides zeta potential measurements, and tritium experiments and crystallographic considerations for the total surface site densities, one way to calibrate the parameters of the surface complexation model (the adsorption equilibrium constants and capacitance(s)) is to use surface charge density measurements from acid-base potentiometric titration and cation exchange capacity (CEC) (Tournassat *et al.* 2004; Bourg *et al.* 2007; Leroy *et al.* 2013, 2015).

3.1.1 Amorphous silica

The negative surface charge density of amorphous silica arises from the deprotonation of the silanol surface sites $>\text{SiOH}$ to form $>\text{SiO}^-$ surface species. It attracts cations in the Stern layer. Surface charge density measurements of amorphous silica nanoparticles, Degussa Aerosil 380 of average size 7 nm, in contact with NaCl or CaCl_2 electrolytes (Dove & Craven 2005), were used to adjust the adsorption equilibrium constants of the Na^+ and Ca^{2+} counter-ions in the Stern layer (Table 1). For that purpose, the following cost function, based on the least-square method (Caceci & Cacheris 1984), was minimized for each electrolyte using the gradient method:

$$R = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^P w_i [Q_{0cal}(i) - Q_{0obs}(i)]^2}, \quad (18)$$

where P is the number of surface charge density measurements for each electrolyte, w_i is a weighting coefficient (we took $w_i = 1$), and Q_{0cal} and Q_{0obs} are the calculated and measured surface charge density, respectively (C m^{-2}). The other parameters of the BSM, which are the total surface site density Γ_S , the equilibrium adsorption constant of the H^+ ion and the C_1 capacitance, were set following the work of Hiemstra *et al.* (1989): $\Gamma_S = 4.6 \text{ sites nm}^{-2}$, $\log K_{\text{Si,H}} = 7.5$ and $C_1 = 3.3 \text{ F m}^{-2}$ (Table 2). The detailed procedure to compute the surface charge density is explained in Leroy *et al.* (2013). It was calculated as a function of the computed surface sites densities Γ_i using (Davis *et al.* 1978; Leroy & Revil 2004):

$$Q_{0cal} = -e(\Gamma_{>\text{SiO}^-} + \Gamma_{>\text{SiO}^- - \text{M}^+}), \quad (19)$$

where $\Gamma_{>\text{SiO}^-}$ and $\Gamma_{>\text{SiO}^- - \text{M}^+}$ are respectively the surface site densities of deprotonated silanols and adsorbed counter-ions in the Stern layer (sites m^{-2}), which were computed with Matlab using the approach of Leroy *et al.* (2013).

Table 1. Ion adsorption reactions on amorphous silica.

Surface complexation reactions	“0-plane”	“β-plane”	Adsorption constants
$> \text{SiO}^- + \text{H}^+ \Leftrightarrow > \text{SiOH}$	+1	0	$K_{\text{Si,H}}$
$> \text{SiO}^- + \text{Na}^+ \Leftrightarrow > \text{SiO}^- - \text{Na}^+$	0	+1	$K_{\text{Si,Na}}$
$> \text{SiO}^- + \text{Ca}^{2+} \Leftrightarrow > \text{SiO}^- - \text{Ca}^{2+}$	0	+2	$K_{\text{Si,Ca}}$

Table 2. Parameters of the basic Stern model for amorphous silica.

Parameters	Values
Log(H^+ adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Si,H}}$	7.5 ¹
Log(Na^+ adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Si,Na}}$	-3 ²
Log(Ca^{2+} adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Si,Ca}}$	-0.5 ²
Total surface site density Γ_s (nm^{-2})	4.6 ¹
Inner capacitance C_1 (F m^{-2})	3.3 ¹

¹ From Hiemstra *et al.* (1989).

² Optimized according to the surface charge density measurements of Dove & Craven (2005).

Predicted surface charge densities of amorphous silica nanoparticles are showed in Fig. 2a. Our surface complexation model reproduces very well increasing magnitude of the negative surface charge density when pH and salinity increases, observed especially in the case of CaCl_2 electrolyte because of the adsorption constant of Ca^{2+} ions higher than for Na^+ ions in the Stern layer (Table 2). Negative zeta potentials predicted for the chemical conditions of electrical potential calculations inside cylinders are presented in Fig. 2b. Calculated zeta potential increases in magnitude when pH increases and salinity decreases, especially for NaCl electrolyte because of weaker adsorption of Na^+ than Ca^{2+} ions in the Stern layer. Computed zeta potentials of amorphous silica also largely exceed 25.7 mV, which is the zeta potential value below where the linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation is applicable at a temperature of 298.15 K (Eq. 2).

Figure 2. a: Surface charge density of amorphous silica nanoparticles (Degussa Aerosil 380 of average size 7 nm) versus pH in aqueous solutions containing NaCl or CaCl₂ electrolyte. The measurements from acid-base potentiometric titrations of Dove & Craven (2005) are represented by the symbols and the results of the modelling by the solid lines. **b:** Zeta potential of amorphous silica nanoparticles predicted by the basic Stern model versus pH at different NaCl and CaCl₂ concentrations. The gray shaded area represents electrical potentials where the linearized P-B equation is applicable ($|\phi| \leq kT/e = 25.7$ mV at a temperature of 298.15 K, Eq. 2).

3.1.2 Basal surface of montmorillonite

On the contrary to amorphous silica, we do not consider hydroxyl surface sites exchanging protons with the surrounding electrolyte for montmorillonite because we focus on the electrochemical properties of the basal surface, the dominating surface in terms of specific surface area and electrochemical properties (Leroy *et al.* 2007, 2017; Tournassat *et al.* 2011). The negative and permanent surface charge of the basal surface of montmorillonite originates from the isomorphous substitutions inside the crystal, for instance, the replacement of Fe³⁺ by Mg²⁺ or Fe²⁺ ions in the octahedral sheet (Grim, 1962). It is responsible for the presence of >X⁻ surface sites that adsorb counter-ions, H⁺, Na⁺ or Ca²⁺ ions here, in the Stern layer (Table 3). The surface charge density of montmorillonite (Mt) was calculated according to the measured CEC (meq g⁻¹), which approximately corresponds to the negative structural charge, divided by the total specific surface area of the basal surface, SSA_b (m² g⁻¹), which can be given by crystallography or measured, using the following equation (Leroy *et al.* 2007; Okay *et*

al. 2014):

$$Q_0 = -\frac{e N_A \text{CEC}}{10^3 \text{SSA}_b}. \quad (20)$$

The Mt surface charge density, Q_0 , was set to -0.15 C m^{-2} according to Eq. (20), using a measured CEC of 1.24 meq g^{-1} and a specific surface area of the basal surface of $750 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Leroy *et al.* 2015). The C_2 capacitance was set to 5.5 F m^{-2} and no C_1 capacitance was considered because no surface complexation reaction was assumed to occur at the “0-plane” (Leroy *et al.* 2007, 2015). Adsorption equilibrium constants of sodium and calcium ions in the Stern layer were directly taken from the works of Gaucher *et al.* (2009), Leroy *et al.* (2015), and Tournassat *et al.* (2016) (Table 4).

Table 3. Ion adsorption reactions on the basal surface of montmorillonite.

Surface complexation reactions	“0-plane”	“ β -plane”	Adsorption constants
$>X^- + Na^+ \rightleftharpoons >X^- - Na^+$	0	+1	$K_{\text{Mt,Na}}$
$>X^- + H^+ \rightleftharpoons >X^- - H^+$	0	+1	$K_{\text{Mt,H}}$
$2 >X^- + Ca^{2+} \rightleftharpoons >X_2Ca$	0	+2	$K_{\text{Mt,Ca}}$

Table 4. Parameters of the extended Stern model for the basal surface of montmorillonite.

Parameters	Values
Surface charge density Q_0 (C m^{-2})	-0.15^1
Log(Na^+ adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Mt,Na}}$	0.05^2
Log(H^+ adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Mt,H}}$	0.55^3
Log(Ca^{2+} adsorption constant) $\text{Log}K_{\text{Mt,Ca}}$	0.7^4
Outer capacitance C_2 (F m^{-2})	5.5^1

¹ From Eq. (20).

² From Leroy *et al.* (2015) according to electrophoretic mobility measurements and molecular dynamics simulations (Sondi *et al.* 1996; Tournassat *et al.* 2009; Bourg & Sposito 2011).

³ From Leroy *et al.* (2015) and Tournassat *et al.* (2016) using $K_{\text{Mt,H}} = K_{\text{Mt,Na}}K_{\text{Mt,NaH}}$ with $K_{\text{Mt,NaH}} = 10^{0.5}$ for the exchange of adsorbed Na^+ by H^+ ion.

⁴ From Leroy *et al.* (2015) and Gaucher *et al.* (2009) using $K_{\text{Mt,Ca}} = K_{\text{Mt,Na}}^2K_{\text{Mt,NaCa}}$ with $K_{\text{Mt,NaCa}} = 10^{0.6}$ for the exchange of adsorbed Na^+ by Ca^{2+} ion.

Note that $>X^-$ surface site represents the negative surface site arising from isomorphous

substitutions in the crystal of montmorillonite; $2>X^-$ means that there are two $>X^-$ surface sites.

Finally, the approach of Leroy *et al.* (2007) was used to compute the negative zeta potential of the basal surface of montmorillonite at $\text{pH} = 7$ (neutral condition) as a function of NaCl or CaCl_2 concentration in the salinity range 1 to 100 mM (more details on the calculation procedure is given in Leroy *et al.* 2007) (Fig. 3). As in the case of amorphous silica, predicted zeta potential increases in magnitude when salinity decreases, especially for NaCl electrolyte because of weaker adsorption of Na^+ than Ca^{2+} ions in the Stern layer (Table 4). Computed zeta potentials also largely exceed 25.7 mV.

Figure 3. Zeta potential of the basal surface of montmorillonite predicted by the extended Stern model versus concentration of NaCl or CaCl_2 electrolyte at $\text{pH} = 7$. The gray shaded area represents electrical potentials where the linearized P-B equation is applicable ($|\phi| \leq kT/e = 25.7$ mV at a temperature of 298.15 K, Eq. 2).

3.2 Electrical potential profiles

The main output of the surface complexation modelling is the ζ -potential (as function of pH and salinity) associated to the considered system. Its values for amorphous silica ($\text{pH} = 7$ and $\text{pH} = 9$) and the basal surface of montmorillonite ($\text{pH} = 7$) in contact with NaCl or CaCl_2 electrolyte are reported in Table 5. The so-determined

values of the ζ -potential are then used as input to solve the full P-B equation (Eqs. 12-15). We took two different pH for amorphous silica because of its surface charge becoming more negative when pH increases (Fig. 2a).

Table 5. Zeta potentials (ζ) of amorphous silica and basal surface of montmorillonite computed from our surface complexation models.

Salinities (mM)	NaCl			CaCl ₂		
	1	10	100	1	10	100
Amorphous silica ζ (mV) at pH = 7	-82.1	-62.3	-43.1	-71.3	-51.0	-31.4
Amorphous silica ζ (mV) at pH = 9	-153.9	-127.8	-98.5	-102.1	-76.2	-51.6
Montmorillonite ζ (mV) at pH = 7	-178.0	-120.0	-64.6	-85.5	-58.1	-32.8

We also defined another parameter, Ω , to characterize the thickness of fully developed diffuse layer, Δ , relative to the cylinder radius:

$$\Omega = \frac{\Delta}{r_0}, \quad (21)$$

where $\Delta = 5\chi$ (Manciu & Ruckenstein 2003; Leroy *et al.* 2010, 2015). Debye lengths, χ , computed as a function of salt concentration (Eq. 5) and ratios between diffuse layer thickness and r_0 (Eq. 21) are reported in Table 6. Our calculations show that overlapping diffuse layers may appear for salinities of 1 and 10 mM NaCl and CaCl₂ and 100 mM NaCl when $r_0 = 5$ nm and for 1 mM NaCl when $r_0 = 50$ nm because $\Omega \sim 1$ and $\Omega > 1$.

Table 6. Debye lengths and ratios of thickness of diffuse layer to cylinder radius computed as a function of salt concentration ($T = 298.15$ K) (Eqs. 5 and 21).

Salt concentration (mM)	1	10	100
χ_{NaCl} (nm)	9.61	3.04	0.96
χ_{CaCl_2} (nm)	5.55	1.76	0.56

$\Omega_{\text{NaCl}} (r_0 = 5 \text{ nm})$	9.61 [*]	3.04 [*]	0.96 [*]
$\Omega_{\text{CaCl}_2} (r_0 = 5 \text{ nm})$	5.55 [*]	1.76 [*]	0.56
$\Omega_{\text{NaCl}} (r_0 = 50 \text{ nm})$	0.96 [*]	0.30	0.10
$\Omega_{\text{CaCl}_2} (r_0 = 50 \text{ nm})$	0.56	0.18	0.06
$\Omega_{\text{NaCl}} (r_0 = 500 \text{ nm})$	0.10	0.03	0.01
$\Omega_{\text{CaCl}_2} (r_0 = 500 \text{ nm})$	0.06	0.02	0.01

* Diffuse layer overlapping.

Results of the modelling for a cylinder of radius $r_0 = 5 \text{ nm}$ are presented in Fig. 4 (amorphous silica) and Fig. 5 (montmorillonite). The linearized P-B equation significantly overestimates the electrical potential computed from the full P-B equation, except when the salinity is high (100 mM), because of the high zeta potential magnitudes and interacting diffuse layers. Observed effect increases when salinity decreases and pH increases for amorphous silica, and is particularly strong when calcium ion is in solution because of the approximation $\varphi \ll kT/(2e) \cong 12.8 \text{ mV}$ for Ca^{2+} (Debye-Hückel approximation of the Poisson-Boltzmann equation, Eq. 2). Note that the Matlab computations for the full P-B equation were checked using a partial differential equations (PDE) solver based on the finite-element method (the Electrostatics module of COMSOL Multiphysics™ 3.5).

Figure 4. Electrical potential profiles in amorphous silica nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 5$ nm).

Figure 5. Electrical potential profiles in montmorillonite nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 5$ nm).

When the radius of the cylinder increases from 5 to 50 nm, the linearized P-B equation only significantly overestimates the electrical potential computed from the full

P-B equation when the salinity of the electrolyte is very low (1 mM) because of the high magnitude of the zeta potential (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). At the center of the pore, the electrical potential is not significantly overestimated because the electroneutrality condition nearly occurs (1 mM NaCl) or applies (other salinities).

Figure 6. Electrical potential profiles in amorphous silica nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 50$ nm).

Figure 7. Electrical potential profiles in montmorillonite nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 50$ nm).

When the radius of the cylinder is 500 nm, the electroneutrality condition is always respected in the bulk electrolyte at the center of the pore. Nevertheless, the electrical potential calculated from the full P-B equation is still overestimated by the linearized P-B equation when zeta potential is high, especially for CaCl_2 electrolyte (Fig. 8 and Fig. 9).

Figure 8. Electrical potential profiles in amorphous silica nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 500$ nm).

Figure 9. Electrical potential profiles in montmorillonite nanotubes computed from our code (full P-B equation) (solid lines) and the linearized P-B equation (dashed lines) ($r_0 = 500$ nm).

Our calculations show that the linearized P-B equation may overestimate the electrical potential predicted by the full P-B equation for highly charged nanocylinders.

For such nanopores, the P-B equation may also have some shortcomings such as not considering the fine size of the ion, specific ion-surface interactions, attractive ion-ion interactions and ion-ion correlations (Borukhov *et al.* 1997; Wernersson *et al.* 2010; Bonthuis & Netz 2013). Despite these shortcomings, the P-B equation is still widely used because it can describe the electrostatic behaviors of most charged systems when surfaces are separated by a distance superior to 5 nm (Montes Ruiz-Cabello *et al.* 2014). Its relative simplicity makes it more useable than more elaborate theories, which need more parameters and do not necessarily agree with each other (Vlachy 1999; Grochowski & Trylska 2008; Ben-Yaakov *et al.* 2009; López-García *et al.* 2014). There is still not a universal theory supplanting the P-B equation to describe ion and water distribution at interfaces. Furthermore, our improved electrical potential profiles compared to those from the linearized P-B equation must be considered with caution because of the assumptions inherent to water flow and solute transport modelling at the macroscopic (laboratory) scale. Considering for instance pores as cylinders and the upscaling procedure of the model predictions to the laboratory scale may also bring some significant uncertainties that render the improvement considering the full P-B equation more negligible when simulating the electrical properties of charged materials at the macroscopic scale.

4. CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS

We have developed a short Matlab® code to numerically solve the Poisson-Boltzmann equation inside a charged cylinder filled by an electrolyte containing any type of ions. The input parameters of our code are the zeta potential and the chemical composition of the bulk electrolyte. Unlike the linearized P-B equation with the Debye-Hückel approximation, our code is not limited to low zeta potentials of magnitude

inferior to 25.7 mV at a temperature of 25 °C.

We applied our code to simulate the electrochemical properties of amorphous silica and montmorillonite nanotubes containing a NaCl or CaCl₂ aqueous electrolyte. For that purpose, the zeta potentials were computed using well-established surface complexation models that consider the Stern and diffuse layer at the surface of the mineral. We show that the electrical potential inferred from the full P-B equation is overestimated by the linearized P-B equation when the zeta potentials are high (above 25.7 mV at a temperature of 25 °C) and the cylinder radius goes to the nm range where diffuse layers may overlap, especially for CaCl₂ electrolyte. Diffuse layers overlap when the cylinder radius is smaller or equal to approximately five Debye lengths, which correspond for instance to approximately 50, 15 nm and 30, 10 nm for respectively 1, 10 mM NaCl and CaCl₂ at a temperature of 25 °C. Underground, these conditions typically occur for highly charged nanopores containing a dilute aqueous electrolyte (ionic strength < 0.1 M) such as those of clays and low-pH cements.

As a perspective, it would be very interesting to combine our P-B code with transport codes in electrokinetics and hydrogeophysics that consider the electrochemical properties of charged cylinders (Daiguji 2010; Jougnot *et al.* 2012; Bucker & Hördt 2013a). Compared to the use of the linearized P-B equation, this combination would improve, for instance, the simulation of electro-osmosis in highly charged nanopores in nanofluidics and of the self-potential and complex conductivity response of clayey rocks and low-pH cements in hydrogeophysics. In addition, to better describe interacting diffuse layers, it would be relevant to modify our P-B code to consider as input parameter the surface charge density instead of the zeta potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors sincerely thank the Associate Editor, Gary Egbert, and the two reviewers, Andreas Hördt and Matthias Bucker, for helping them to significantly improve the quality of the manuscript. The work of P. Leroy was funded by the Horizon 2020 CEBAMA (Contract Number: 662147), Andra UP-Transfert (coordinated by Pierre Henocq) and NEEDS (Zetaclay and TRANSREAC) projects. A. Maineult acknowledges the support of the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 17-17-01160 ‘Physical-chemical models of Induced Polarization and Self-Potential with application to pumping test experiments’). Philippe Leroy warmly thanks Francis Claret for his support and Christophe Tournassat for fruitful discussions.

Appendix A: origins of the Poisson-Boltzmann equation

The P-B equation is derived from the works of Poisson (1824) for the electrical potential and Boltzmann (1909) for the ion distributions at the interface between two phases such as mineral and water. In electrostatics, Poisson's equation is derived from the differential form of Gauss' law, which describes the divergence of the dielectric displacement $\mathbf{D}$ due to the volumetric charge density ρ of the medium (C m^{-3}) (Hunter 1981):

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The dielectric displacement is:

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electrical field (V m^{-1}), which is defined by:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \varphi. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Eq. (A2) shows that the effect of the electrical field on the dielectric displacement is weighted by the dielectric permittivity, which measures the capacity of a solvent to affect the electrical field strength, i.e. the capacity of the molecular dipoles to align themselves to cancel part of the electrical field.

The Poisson equation is obtained by combining Eqs. (A1) to (A3) and assuming that the dielectric permittivity of the solvent is constant (Hunter 1981). This yields:

$$\nabla^2 \varphi = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The volumetric charge density is:

$$\rho = \sum_{i=1}^M q_i 1000 \text{N}_A C_i^\alpha, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where C_i^α is the ion concentration, for instance, at the interface between two phases such as mineral and water. Eq. (A5) shows that it is necessary to know C_i^α to solve the

511 Poisson equation for the electrostatic potential φ .

512 Boltzmann (1909) showed that it is possible to relate the ion concentration to the
513 electrostatic potential at the interface when the medium is in or close to thermodynamic
514 equilibrium. In that case, the chemical potentials μ (J) of the ion and solvent are the
515 same at the interface and in the bulk solution (Lyklema 1995; Revil & Leroy 2004).
516 This yields for the chemical potentials of the ion:

$$517 \quad \mu_i^\alpha = \mu_i, \quad (A6)$$

518 which are written as according to thermodynamics (Lyklema 1995):

$$519 \quad \mu_i^\alpha = \mu_i^{\alpha,R} + kT \ln a_i^\alpha + q_i \varphi, \quad (A7)$$

$$520 \quad \mu_i = \mu_i^R + kT \ln a_i, \quad (A8)$$

521 where the superscript R for the chemical potentials corresponds to the reference
522 (standard) state, which is the point of zero charge for the interface (Sverjensky 2005)
523 and the unit molar concentration of the ion at hypothetical infinite dilution for the bulk
524 solution (Lyklema 1995). The quantity a_i is the activity coefficient of the ion
525 (dimensionless), which is written as:

$$526 \quad a_i = \gamma_i \frac{C_i}{C_0}, \quad (A9)$$

527 where γ_i and C_0 are respectively the ion activity coefficient (dimensionless) and
528 standard molarity (1 mol L⁻¹). The Boltzmann equation is obtained by combining Eqs.
529 (A6)-(A9), considering $\mu_i^{\alpha,R} = \mu_i^R$ and the same ion activity coefficient in the interface
530 and bulk electrolyte ($\gamma_i^\alpha = \gamma_i$). This yields:

$$531 \quad C_i^\alpha = C_i \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \varphi}{kT}\right), \quad (A10)$$

532 where C_i is the ionic concentration in the bulk electrolyte. The P-B equation is finally

533 obtained by combining Eqs. (A4), (A5) and (A10), yielding:

534
$$\nabla^2 \varphi = -\frac{1000 N_A}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^M q_i C_i \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \varphi}{kT}\right). \quad (\text{A11})$$

Appendix B: the Matlab® code

Our numerical solution of the P-B equation is based on the Matlab® bvp4c function for solving boundary value problems. For implementation, Eq. (13) is rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} y_1' = y_2 \\ y_2' = -\frac{1}{R} y_2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M s_i C_i \exp(-s_i y_1)}{\sum_{i=1}^M s_i^2 C_i} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B1})$$

The term with the sums has to be defined as a singular term in bvp4c. The boundary conditions (14) and (15) become:

$$\begin{cases} y_1(R_0) = \xi \\ y_2(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{B2})$$

The main program is:

```
clear all
close all
fclose all;

global s C xsi S

elementary_charge=1.6021766208e-19;
k=1.38064852e-23;
NA=6.022140857e23;
epsilon0=8.854187e-12;

TC=input('temperature (°C, between 2 and 87°C): ');
T=273.15+TC;

a0=87.8950234752; % From Lide, D.R., 1990. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and
a1=-0.4002899766; % Physics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 6-15
a2=0.0008613222;
a3=-0.0000009565;

epsilon=(a3*TC^3+a2*TC^2+a1*TC+a0)*epsilon0;

r0=input('radius of the cylinder (µm): ');
r0=r0*1e-6; % radius in meter

zeta=input('zeta potential (mV): ');
zeta=zeta/1000; % zeta in V

M=input('number of ionic species: ');
for i=1:M
    disp(['ion type n° ',num2str(i),': '])
    s(i)=input(' sign of the charge x valence: ');
    C(i)=input(' concentration (mol/L): ');
    C(i)=C(i)*1000; % concentration in mol/m3
```

```

576 end
577
578 S=1/sum(s.^2.*C);
579 Debye=sqrt(epsilon*k*T/(elementary_charge^2*NA*sum(s.^2.*C)));
580 R0=r0/Debye;
581 xsi=elementary_charge/k/T*zeta;
582
583 [R,P]=f_PBE_solve(R0);
584
585 r=R*Debye;
586 potential=P/elementary_charge*k*T;
587
588 figure (1)
589 plot(r*1e6,potential*1000,'r-','LineWidth',2)
590 xlabel('radial distance (μm)')
591 ylabel('potential (mV)')
592 box on
593

```

594 The input parameters are the radius r_0 (μm), the zeta-potential ζ (mV), the number of
 595 ionic species M , the sign of the charge times the valence s_i and the concentration C_i
 596 (mol L^{-1}) for each ion type. Note that the water temperature can also be changed in the
 597 program for a temperature between 2 and 87 °C. The dielectric permittivity of water is
 598 calculated according to the measurements reported in Lide (1990) at a pressure of one
 599 bar. In addition, to correctly solve the P-B equation, the bulk electrolyte must be
 600 electroneutral (the chemical composition must satisfy Eq. (3)).

601

602 The function f_PBE_solve is:

```

603 function [R,P]=f_PBE_solve(R0)
604 global xsi
605
606 % Singular term
607 S=[0,0;0,-1];
608 options=bvpset('SingularTerm',S,'Nmax',250000,'RelTol',1e-12);
609
610 % Initial solution
611 solinit=bvpinit(linspace(0,R0,1000),[0 xsi]);
612
613 % Solution
614 sol=bvp4c(@f_PBEmts,@f_BCPBE,solinit,options);
615
616 R=sol.x;
617 P=sol.y(1,:);
618

```

619 Note that the initial solution (defined using bvpinit) is a vector of size 1000. This size

620 should sometimes be increased if the code is unable to compute the Jacobian properly.

621

622 The function f_PBEmts is:

```
623 function dydx=f_PBEmts(x,y)
624 global s C S
625
626 dydx=[y(2);-sum(s.*C.*exp(-s.*y(1)))*S];
627
```

628 And the function f_BCPBE is:

```
629 function y=f_BCPBE(ya,yb)
630 global xsi
631
632 y=[ya(2);yb(1)-xsi];
633
```

References

- Bairlein, K., Bücke, M., Hördt, A. & Hinze, B., 2016. Temperature dependence of spectral induced polarization data: experimental results and membrane polarization theory, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **205**(1), 440–453.
- Ben-Yaakov, D., Andelman, D., Harries, D. & Podgornik, R., 2009. Beyond standard Poisson–Boltzmann theory: ion-specific interactions in aqueous solutions, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*, **21**(42), 424106, doi: 10.1088/0953-8984/21/42/424106.
- Bernabé, Y., 1998. Streaming potential in heterogeneous networks, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **103**(B9), 20,827–20,841.
- Bocquet, L. & Charlaix, E., 2010. Nanofluidics, from bulk to interfaces, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **39**, 1073–1095.
- Boltzmann, L., 1909. *Wissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bonthuis, D.J. & Netz, R.R., 2013. Beyond the Continuum: How Molecular Solvent Structure Affects Electrostatics and Hydrodynamics at Solid-Electrolyte Interfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **117**, 11,397–11,413.
- Borukhov, I., Andelman, D. & Orland, H., 1997. Steric effects in electrolytes: A modified Poisson-Boltzmann equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **79**, 435–438.
- Bourg, I.C., Sposito, G. & Bourg, A.C.M., 2007. Modeling the acid-base surface chemistry of montmorillonite, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **312**, 297–310.
- Bourg, I.C., Sposito, G., 2011. Molecular dynamics simulations of the electrical double layer on smectite surfaces contacting concentrated mixed electrolyte (NaCl-CaCl₂) solutions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **360**, 701–715.
- Bücke, M. & Hördt, A., 2013a. Analytical modelling of membrane polarization with explicit parametrization of pore radii and the electrical double layer, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **194**(2), 804–813.

659 Bückner, M. & Hördt, A., 2013b. Long and short narrow pore models for membrane
 660 polarization, *Geophysics*, **78**(6), E299–E314.
 661 Caceci, M. & Cacheris, W.P., 1984. Fitting curves to data. The Simplex algorithm is the
 662 answer, *Byte*, **9**, 340–362.
 663 Chapman, D.L., 1913. A contribution to the theory of electrocapillarity. *The London,*
 664 *Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. J. Sci. series 6*, **25**, 475–481.
 665 Chuprinko, D. & Titov, K., 2017. Influence of mineral composition on spectral induced
 666 polarization in sediments, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **209**(1), 186–191.
 667 Conlisk, A.T., 2005. The Debye-Hückel approximation: Its use in describing
 668 electroosmotic flow in micro- and nanochannels, *Electrophoresis*, **26**, 1896–
 669 1912.
 670 Daiguji, H., 2010. Ion transport in nanofluidic channels, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **39**, 901–911.
 671 Davis, J.A., James, R.O. & Leckie, J.O., 1978. Surface Ionization and Complexation at
 672 Oxide-Water Interface .1. Computation of Electrical Double-Layer Properties in
 673 Simple Electrolytes, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **63**, 480–499.
 674 Delgado, A.V., Gonzalez-Caballero, F., Hunter, R.J., Koopal, L.K. & Lyklema, J.,
 675 2007. Measurement and interpretation of electrokinetic phenomena, *J. Colloid*
 676 *Interface Sci.*, **309**, 194–224.
 677 Dove, P.M. & Craven, C.M., 2005. Surface charge density on silica in alkali and
 678 alkaline earth chloride electrolyte solutions, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **69**,
 679 4963–4970.
 680 Dufreche, J.F., Marry, V., Bernard, O. & Turq, P., 2001. Models for electrokinetic
 681 phenomena in montmorillonite, *Colloids Surf., A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, **195**,
 682 171–180.
 683 Dufreche, J.F., Marry, V., Malikova, N. & Turq, P., 2005. Molecular hydrodynamics for

684 electro-osmosis in clays: from Kubo to Smoluchowski, *J. Mol. Liq.*, **118**, 145–
685 153.

686 Gaucher, E.C., Tournassat, C., Pearson, F.J., Blanc, P., Crouzet, C., Lerouge, C. &
687 Altmann, S., 2009. A robust model for pore-water chemistry of clayrock,
688 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **73**, 6470–6487.

689 Gouy, G., 1910. Sur la constitution de la charge électrique a surface d'un électrolyte, *J.*
690 *Phys. Theor. Appl.*, **9**(1), 457-468.

691 Grangeon, S., Claret, F., Lerouge, C., Warmont, F., Sato, T., Anraku, S., Numako, C.,
692 Linard, Y. & Lanson, B., 2013. On the nature of structural disorder in calcium
693 silicate hydrates with a calcium/silicon ratio similar to tobermorite, *Cem. Concr.*
694 *Res.*, **52**, 31–37.

695 Grim, R.E., 1962. Applied Clay Mineralogy. McGraw–Hill, New York.

696 Grochowski, P. & Trylska, J., 2008. Continuum molecular electrostatics, salt effects,
697 and counterion binding—A review of the Poisson–Boltzmann theory and its
698 modifications, *Biopolymers*, **89**, 93–113.

699 Heuser, M., Spagnoli, G., Leroy, P., Klitzsch, N. & Stanjek, H., 2012. Electro-osmotic
700 flow in clays and its potential for reducing clogging in mechanical tunnel
701 driving, *Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ.*, **71**, 721–733.

702 Hiemstra, T., De Wit, J.C.M. & Van Riemsdijk, W.H., 1989. Multisite proton
703 adsorption modelling at the solid/solution interface of (hydr)oxides: a new
704 approach. II. Application to various important (hydr)oxides, *J. Colloid Interface*
705 *Sci.*, **133**, 105–117.

706 Hördt, A., Bairlein, K., Bielefeld, A., Bücker, M., Kuhn, E., Nordsiek, S. & Stebner, H.,
707 2016. The dependence of induced polarization on fluid salinity and pH, studied
708 with an extended model of membrane polarization, *J. Appl. Geophys.*, **135**, 408–

709 417.

710 Hördt, A., Bairlein, K., Bucker, M. & Stebner, H., 2017. Geometrical constraints for
 711 membrane polarization, *Near Surface Geophys.*, **15**(6), 579–592.

712 Hunter, R.J., 1981. Zeta Potential in Colloid Science: Principles and Applications.
 713 Academic Press, New York.

714 Jougnot, D., Linde, N., Revil, A. & Doussan, C., 2012. Derivation of Soil-Specific
 715 Streaming Potential Electrical Parameters from Hydrodynamic Characteristics of
 716 Partially Saturated Soils, *Vadose Zone J.*, 11, doi: 10.2136/vzj2011.0086.

717 Kruschwitz, S., Prinz, C. & Zimathies, A., 2016. Study into the correlation of dominant
 718 pore throat size and SIP relaxation frequency, *J. Appl. Geophys.*, **135**, 375–386.

719 Labbez, C., Jonsson, B., Pochard, I., Nonat, A. & Cabane, B., 2006. Surface charge
 720 density and electrokinetic potential of highly charged minerals: Experiments and
 721 Monte Carlo simulations on calcium silicate hydrate, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **110**,
 722 9219–9230.

723 Lerouge, C., Gaboreau, S., Grangeon, S., Claret, F., Warmont, F., Jenni, A., Cloet, V. &
 724 Mäder, U., 2017. In situ interactions between Opalinus Clay and Low Alkali
 725 Concrete, *Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C*, **99**, 3–21.

726 Leroy, P. & Revil, A., 2004. A triple-layer model of the surface electrochemical
 727 properties of clay minerals, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **270**, 371–380.

728 Leroy, P., Revil, A., Altmann, S. & Tournassat, C., 2007. Modeling the composition of
 729 the pore water in a clay-rock geological formation (Callovo-Oxfordian, France),
 730 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **71**, 1087–1097.

731 Leroy, P., Revil, A., Kemna, A., Cosenza, P. & Ghorbani, A., 2008. Complex
 732 conductivity of water-saturated packs of glass beads, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*,
 733 **321**, 103–117.

734 Leroy, P., Lassin, A., Azaroual, M. & Andre, L., 2010. Predicting the surface tension of
 735 aqueous 1:1 electrolyte solutions at high salinity, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*,
 736 **74**, 5427–5442.

737 Leroy, P., Jougnot, D., Revil, A., Lassin, A. & Azaroual, M., 2012. A double layer
 738 model of the gas bubble/water interface, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **388**, 243–256.

739 Leroy, P., Devau, N., Revil, A. & Bizi, M., 2013. Influence of surface conductivity on
 740 the apparent zeta potential of amorphous silica nanoparticles, *J. Colloid Interface*
 741 *Sci.*, **410**, 81–93.

742 Leroy, P., Tournassat, C., Bernard, O., Devau, N. & Azaroual, M., 2015. The
 743 electrophoretic mobility of montmorillonite. Zeta potential and surface
 744 conductivity effects, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **451**, 21–39.

745 Leroy, P., Weigand, M., Mériguet, G., Zimmermann, E., Tournassat, C., Fagerlund, F.,
 746 Kemna, A. & Huisman, J.A., 2017. Spectral induced polarization of Na-
 747 montmorillonite dispersions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **505**, 1093–1110.

748 Li, S., Raoof, A. & Schotting, R., 2014. Solute dispersion under electric and pressure
 749 driven flows; pore scale processes, *J. Hydrol.*, **517**, 1107–1113.

750 Li, S., Leroy, P., Heberling, F., Devau, N., Jougnot, D. & Chiaberge, C., 2016.
 751 Influence of surface conductivity on the apparent zeta potential of calcite, *J.*
 752 *Colloid. Interface Sci.*, 468, 262–275.

753 Lide, D.R., 1990. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics CRC Press, Boca Raton.

754 López-García, J.J., Horno, J. & Grosse, C., 2014. Influence of the finite size and
 755 effective permittivity of ions on the equilibrium double layer around colloidal
 756 particles in aqueous electrolyte solution, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **428**, 308–315.

757 Lyklema, J., 1995. Fundamentals of Interface and Colloid Science. Volume II: Solid-
 758 Liquid Interfaces. Academic Press, London.

759 Lyklema, J., Rovillard, S. & De Coninck, J., 1998. Electrokinetics: The properties of the
760 stagnant layer unraveled, *Langmuir*, **14**, 5659–5663.

761 Malusis, M.A., Shackelford, C.D. & Olsen, H.W., 2003. Flow and transport through
762 clay membrane barriers, *Eng. Geol.*, **70**, 235–248.

763 Manciu, M. & Ruckenstein, E., 2003. Specific ion effects via ion hydration: I. Surface
764 tension, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **105**, 63–101.

765 McCleskey, R.B., 2011. Electrical Conductivity of Electrolytes Found In Natural
766 Waters from (5 to 90) degrees C, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **56**, 317–327.

767 Montes Ruiz-Cabello, F.J., Trefalt, G., Maroni, P. & Borkovec, M., 2014. Accurate
768 Predictions of Forces in the Presence of Multivalent Ions by Poisson–Boltzmann
769 Theory, *Langmuir*, **30**, 4551–4555.

770 Obliger, A., Jardat, M., Coelho, D., Bekri, S. & Rotenberg, B., 2014. Pore network
771 model of electrokinetic transport through charged porous media, *Phys. Rev. E*,
772 **89**, 043013, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.89.043013

773 Okay, G., Leroy, P., Ghorbani, A., Cosenza, P., Camerlynck, C., Cabrera, J., Florsch, N.
774 & Revil, A., 2014. Spectral induced polarization of clay-sand mixtures:
775 Experiments and modeling, *Geophysics*, **79**(6), E353–E375.

776 Poisson, S.D., 1824. Mémoire Sur La Théorie Du Magnétisme. Mémoires de
777 l'Académie des Sciences de l'Institut de France, Paris.

778 Pride, S., 1994. Governing Equations for the Coupled Electromagnetics and Acoustics
779 of Porous-Media, *Phys. Rev. B*, **50**, 15,678–15,696.

780 Rasmusson, M., Rowlands, W., O'Brien, R.W. & Hunter, R.J., 1997. The dynamic
781 mobility and dielectric response of sodium bentonite, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*,
782 **189**, 92–100.

783 Revil, A. & Leroy, P., 2004. Constitutive equations for ionic transport in porous shales.

784 J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth, **109**(B3), B03208, doi: 10.1029/2003JB002755.

785 Revil, A. & Florsch, N., 2010. Determination of permeability from spectral induced
786 polarization in granular media, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **181**(3), 1480–1498.

787 Revil, A., Koch, K. & Holliger, K., 2012. Is it the grain size or the characteristic pore
788 size that controls the induced polarization relaxation time of clean sands and
789 sandstones?, *Water Resour. Res.*, **48**(5), W05602, doi: 10.1029/2011WR011561.

790 Revil, A., Binley, A., Mejus, L. & Kessouri, P., 2015. Predicting permeability from the
791 characteristic relaxation time and intrinsic formation factor of complex
792 conductivity spectra, *Water Resour. Res.*, **51**(8), 6672–6700.

793 Rotenberg, B., Marry, V., Dufreche, J.F., Malikova, N., Giffaut, E. & Turq, P., 2007.
794 Modelling water and ion diffusion in clays: A multiscale approach, *C.R. Chim.*,
795 **10**, 1108–1116.

796 Schoch, R.B., Han, J. & Renaud, P., 2008. Transport phenomena in nanofluidics, *Rev. of*
797 *Modern Phys.*, **80**, 839–883.

798 Sondi, I., Biscan, J. & Pravdic, V., 1996. Electrokinetics of pure clay minerals revisited,
799 *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **178**, 514–522.

800 Sonnefeld, J., Lobbus, M. & Vogelsberger, W., 2001. Determination of electric double
801 layer parameters for spherical silica particles under application of the triple layer
802 model using surface charge density data and results of electrokinetic sonic
803 amplitude measurements, *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, **195**, 215–225.

804 Sverjensky, D., 2005. Prediction of surface charge on oxides in salt solutions: Revisions
805 for 1 : 1 (M+L-) electrolytes, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **69**, 225–257.

806 Tournassat, C., Greneche, J.M., Tisserand, D. & Charlet, L., 2004. The titration of clay
807 minerals I. Discontinuous backtitration technique combined with CEC
808 measurements, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **273**, 224–233.

809 Tournassat, C., Chapron, Y., Leroy, P., Bizi, M. & Boulahya, F., 2009. Comparison of
810 molecular dynamics simulations with triple layer and modified Gouy-Chapman
811 models in a 0.1 M NaCl-montmorillonite system, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **339**,
812 533–541.

813 Tournassat, C., Bizi, M., Braibant, G. & Crouzet, C., 2011. Influence of
814 montmorillonite tactoid size on Na-Ca cation exchange reactions, *J. Colloid
815 Interface Sci.*, **364**, 443–454.

816 Tournassat, C., Grangeon, S., Leroy, P. & Giffaut, E., 2013. Modeling specific pH
817 dependent sorption of divalent metals on montmorillonite surfaces. A review of
818 pitfalls, recent achievements and current challenges, *Am. J. Sci.*, **313**, 395–451.

819 Tournassat, C., Davis, J.A., Chiaberge, C., Grangeon, S. & Bourg, I.C., 2016. Modeling
820 the Acid–Base Properties of Montmorillonite Edge Surfaces, *Environ. Sci.
821 Technol.*, **50**, 13,436–13,445.

822 Vinogradov, J., Jaafar, M.Z. & Jackson, M.D., 2010. Measurement of streaming
823 potential coupling coefficient in sandstones saturated with natural and artificial
824 brines at high salinity, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **115**(B12), B12204, doi:
825 10.1029/2010JB007593.

826 Vlachy, V., 1999. Ionic effects beyond Poisson-Boltzmann theory, *Annual Review of
827 Physical Chemistry*, **50**, 145–165.

828 Wang, M., Kang, Q. & Ben-Naim, E., 2010. Modeling of electrokinetic transport in
829 silica nanofluidic channels, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **664**, 158–164.

830 Wang, M. & Revil, A., 2010. Electrochemical charge of silica surfaces at high ionic
831 strength in narrow channels, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **343**, 381–386.

832 Weller, A., Zhang, Z., Slater, L., Kruschwitz, S. & Halisch, M., 2016. Induced
833 polarization and pore radius – A discussion, *Geophysics*, **81**(5), D519–D526.

834 Wernersson, E., Kjellander, R. & Lyklema, J., 2010. Charge Inversion and Ion–Ion
835 Correlation Effects at the Mercury/Aqueous MgSO₄ Interface: Toward the
836 Solution of a Long-Standing Issue, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **114**, 1849–1866.

837 Westall, J. & Hohl, H., 1980. A comparison of electrostatic models for the
838 oxide/solution interface, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **12**, 265–294.

839 Xiong, Q., Baychev, T.G. & Jivkov, A.P., 2016. Review of pore network modelling of
840 porous media: Experimental characterisations, network constructions and
841 applications to reactive transport, *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, **192**, 101–117.

842 Yates, D.E., Levine, S. & Healy, T.W., 1974. Site-binding Model of the Electrical
843 Double Layer at the Oxide/Water interface. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, **70**,
844 1807–1818.