



Spontaneous mutation rate as a source of diversity for improving desirable traits in cultured microalgae

Marc Krasovec, Sophie Sanchez-Brosseau, Nigel Grimsley, Gwenael Piganeau

► To cite this version:

Marc Krasovec, Sophie Sanchez-Brosseau, Nigel Grimsley, Gwenael Piganeau. Spontaneous mutation rate as a source of diversity for improving desirable traits in cultured microalgae. *Algal Research - Biomass, Biofuels and Bioproducts*, 2018, 35, pp.85-90. 10.1016/j.algal.2018.08.003 . hal-01957301

HAL Id: hal-01957301

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01957301>

Submitted on 17 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spontaneous mutation rate as a source of diversity for improving desirable traits in cultured microalgae

Marc Krasovec¹, Sophie Sanchez-Brosseau, Nigel Grimsley, Gwenael Piganeau*

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Biologie Intégrative des Organismes Marins (BIOM), Observatoire Océanologique, F-66650 Banyuls/Mer, France

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

Mutation rate
Mutation accumulation
Green algae biotechnology
Picochlorum
Domestication

Mutations are the main origin of the biodiversity and biological innovations across the tree of life. The number of mutations in a population depends of the mutation rate, noted μ , a key parameter for understanding the evolutionary and adaptive capacity of a species. New mutations are submitted to selection and drift and their probability of fixation in a population depends on their advantageous, deleterious or neutral fitness effect. This process occurs in natural populations, but also in any lab cultures. In this context, the role of spontaneous mutations in the generation of genetic diversity in cultured algae has so far been overlooked, despite its influence on the acquisition and maintenance of desirable phenotypic traits. Several algal species that have a high biotechnological potential, such those producing high-value molecules, might be improved by domestication and oriented selection by experimental evolution. Here, we provide the first estimation of the spontaneous mutation rate, μ , in *Picochlorum costavermella* (Trebouxiophyceae), a green alga with many potential biotechnological applications. Its spontaneous mutation rate is $\mu = 10.12 \times 10^{-10}$ (CI Poisson distribution, $\mu = 6.3\text{--}15.4 \times 10^{-10}$) mutations per nucleotide per genome per generation. This is one of the highest mutation rates reported for a unicellular eukaryote.

1. Introduction

Natural selection enables species to adapt from standing genetic variation, powered by new mutations, which constitute the main source of genetic diversity in a population. Quantifying the rate of mutations and their effects is thus of primary importance to better understand the evolution and adaptive potential of a species. Beyond the evolutionary importance of mutations, generation of genetic diversity is also relevant for the domestication process, as one single mutation can trigger a novel trait of interest in a species [1]. Domestication of crops and animals began several thousand years ago and involved manual selection and breeding for thousands of generations [2,3] and more recently genetic engineering [4]. In contrast to domesticated macro-organisms, which have long generation times, obligate sexual reproduction, and small population sizes, microorganisms have short generation times, asexual reproduction and huge population sizes. As a consequence, rare events, like spontaneous mutations, can be observed and monitored within a short time lag, typically within a few months in microbial cultures [5]. In the context of the global challenge caused by the scarcity of natural resources, the domestication of novel species and the development of biotechnologies are evoked as promising strategies [6].

Green microalgae have been brought into the spotlight as favoured candidates for biotechnological development [7]. Among other advantages, they have a short asexual life cycle, many can be grown in marine water, many are transformable and their biotechnological potential is manifold such as the production of biofuels [8–13], the production of proteins and high value molecules for health food or cosmetics [14–16], the production of hydrogen [17], and their photosynthetic production can be optimized [18].

Phenotypic traits of interest may be further increased by experimental evolution [19] and directional selection of algal strains for biotechnological applications. Any natural population harbours a standing genetic diversity [20], which enables adaptation to environmental changes and selection pressures. Traits of interest may be selected from this standing genetic diversity, but very little data about natural diversity in microalga is available yet [21,22]. Alternatively, adaptation may also occur from new mutations. Domestication of land plants revealed several cases in which one single nucleotide mutation induced the trait of interest ([23] for a review). The estimation of the spontaneous mutation rates is paramount for investigating the possibility of generating phenotypic trait of interest by mutations. The probability of obtaining a desirable genotype may be also increased by

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gwenael.piganeau@obs-banyuls.fr (G. Piganeau).

¹ Present address: Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3RB, United Kingdom.

mutagenesis. Different protocols have been developed, such as heavy-ion irradiation in *Parachlorella kessleri* [24] and *Desmodesmus* spp. [25], gamma rays in *Chlorella* spp. [26,27] or UV irradiation in *Chlorella sorokiniana* [28,29]. However, mutagenesis has three pitfalls. First, it dramatically increases the deleterious mutation load. Algal populations must therefore be carefully exposed to a mutagen in order to not compromise their survival capacity. In the case of ultraviolet (UV) irradiation, the survival rate may reach only 10% in *Chlorella sorokiniana* [28,29]. Second, it indirectly selects for cells resistant to the mutagenic factor. Third, microorganisms derived from chemical or radiation mutagenesis are included under the Genetically Modified Organisms umbrella and are therefore submitted to many commercial and political conditions [30].

The spontaneous mutation rate of any organisms can be estimated by mutation accumulation (MA) experiments, and this ideally requires a high quality reference genome sequence [31–33]. The development of high throughput genome sequencing technologies led to a significant improvement in the practicability of whole genome sequencing, mirrored in an increase in the number of available complete and annotated reference genomes in microalga in the last decade [34]. The principle of MA experiment is to monitor genetic changes in MA lines maintained at a low population size by serial bottlenecks [35], as effective population size is inversely proportional to the strength of selection. Thus, a very low N_e drastically limits the efficiency of selection, allowing as many spontaneous mutations as possible to become fixed, including the deleterious ones, in order to estimate to the total number of spontaneous mutations [36]. These events are identified by comparing the MA genomes to the ancestral genome sequence. The monitoring of the number of cell divisions throughout the experiment is required to estimate the mutation rate per cell division. Mutation accumulation approaches have been applied to a large range of species, including bacteria and eukaryotes [32]. In green algae, MA studies have been conducted in a few model species and have allowed the fitness effects of mutations [5,37,38] and spontaneous mutation rates to be estimated in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [39–41] and four Mamiellophyceae species; *Micromonas commoda* RCC299, *Bathycoccus prasinos* RCC1105, *Ostreococcus mediterraneus* RCC2590, and *O. tauri* RCC4221 [42].

In this study, we exemplify use of a mutation accumulation experiment in *Picochlorum* RCC4223, a green algal species of the Trebouxiophyceae class (Chlorophyta) [43]. We used the same protocol as previously described [5], where all cultures are maintained in liquid medium and cell count is estimated by flow cytometry. *Picochlorum* RCC4223 has a small haploid genome of ~13.5 Mb with 79.5% of coding sequences and 46% GC content [44]. Strains from the genus *Picochlorum* are versatile algae for large-scale culturing, capable of growing in a wide range of salinities and temperatures [45,46] with a few completely sequenced genomes already available, including the strain RCC4223 [46,47]. They also constitute interesting models in different fields, such as medicine [48], biofuels [49], food and aquaculture [50,51] and production of high value molecules [52].

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Mutation accumulation (MA) experiment

The *Picochlorum costavermella* RCC4223 strain was isolated from an estuary of the river “La Massane” (42°32'36 N, 3°03'09 E, France) and has been deposited in the Roscoff Culture Collection [53] (<http://roscoff-culture-collection.org/>).

Twelve MA lines were kept from a clonal ancestral population in 24-well plates, at 20 °C with a light cycle of 16 h–8 h dark-light in L1 medium. MA lines were inoculated as single cells after endpoint dilution and maintained by serial one-cell bottlenecks every 14 days, as described in [5]. At each bottleneck, cell concentration was measured with a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, U.S.A.) using natural chlorophyll fluorescence (670 nm used FL3

data) and SSC acquisitions. Effective population size, N_e , was estimated with the harmonic mean of cell number between bottlenecks and the number of generations (number of cell divisions) being provided by the following equation:

$$d = e^{\left[\ln\left(\frac{N_t}{N_0}\right)/t\right]} \quad (1)$$

where N_t is the total number of cells measured by flow cytometry, and t the time between two bottlenecks, i.e 14 days. Lines were maintained for 199 days and went through a series of 14 bottlenecks.

2.2. Sequencing and mutations identification

We extracted DNA using a CTAB protocol [54] and sequenced by Illumina MiSeq (200 bp paired-end reads) performed by GATC biotech® (Konstanz, Germany). Twelve MA lines and the ancestral type were sequenced. MiSeq reads were aligned to the reference genome with BWA *mem* [55], *bam* files were treated with SAMtools [56] and mutations were called with GATK *HaplotypeCaller* [57]. Afterwards, final *vcf* files and candidate mutations were obtained after following filtered steps: removal of low mapping quality sites (MQ < 40), low covered sites (DP < 10) and candidates shared by two MA lines. *SnEff* program [58] permitted synonymous, non-synonymous, intronic and intergenic mutations to be identified using the annotation available on the ORCAE web site [59]. This mutation calling pipeline was used for base-substitution and insertions-deletions (indels).

The mutation rate was calculated by dividing the total number of *de novo* mutations by the total generations and the callable genome size to give the mutation rate per nucleotide per genome per generation (μ). The mutation rate per genome per generation is given by $U = G\mu$, where G is the genome size.

Raw data are available in GenBank with Bioproject accessions PRJNA453760 and PRJNA389600 (Table S1).

2.3. Mutation spectrum

Pearson's chi-squared was used to test the distribution of observed mutations with the expected distribution, H_0 , assuming that mutations appear randomly and independently in the genome. We compared the distribution of mutations between coding and non-coding regions; the level of expression of mutated sites using STAR [60]; the synonymous and non-synonymous base-substitution mutations; the direction of mutations from each nucleotide to others and the nucleotide context (between 2 and 10 nucleotides) around mutated sites.

The substitution spectrum between the 4 nucleotides is usually biased, and several previous studies have reported a bias from GC to AT mutations as compared to AT to GC mutations. This mutation bias has a consequence on the equilibrium GC content of the genome, noted GC_{eq} , which would be reached if the GC composition was the consequence of mutation processes alone. At equilibrium GC content, the number of mutations from GC to AT equals the number of mutations from AT to GC. The GC_{eq} can be estimated from the following equations [61]:

$$R_1 = \frac{(GC \rightarrow AT)}{GC_n}, \quad R_2 = \frac{(AT \rightarrow GC)}{AT_n}, \quad GC_{eq} = \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2},$$

where GC_n and AT_n are the total GC and AT nucleotides of the genome; $GC \rightarrow AT$ and $AT \rightarrow GC$ are the number of *de novo* mutations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Mutational spectrum

The experiment lasted 199 days, corresponding to an average of 133 generations per MA line with an average effective population size of $N_e \sim 6$. *In silico* analysis identified a total of 21 new mutations in the 12 MA lines: 19 base-substitutions and 2 insertion-deletions (indels) (Table 1).

Table 1

Distribution of *de novo* mutations between MA lines. BS and ID are the base-substitution and insertion-deletion mutations. G^* corresponds to the genome percentage used for identification of mutations. ML is the initial line of the mutation accumulation experiment.

MA lines	G^* (%)	Coverage	BS	ID	Generations
ML	98.9	10.4	–	–	–
1	97.2	24.1	1	0	143
2	97.1	29.8	2	0	148
3	97.2	17.0	0	0	120
4	97.1	20.0	1	0	125
5	97.1	23.7	0	0	123
6	97.3	22.2	6	0	128
7	97.7	11.1	1	0	90
8	96.9	39.5	1	0	134
9	97.2	7.4	0	0	145
10	97.2	12.1	5	1	156
11	98.1	18.6	1	0	130
12	97.2	29.8	0	1	153

All predicted mutations were validated by PCR and Sanger re-sequencing.

About ~97.3% of the genome was used for identification of mutations (Table 1), excluding a possible bias from a variation of the number of callable sites between MA lines and a possible bias due to a variation of the mutation rate within the genome. Because mutations are rare events, we assume that they follow a Poisson distribution. If the data do not follow a Poisson distribution, as in this study (Chi-Square, P -value < 0.01), the molecular clock is considered as over-dispersed. This variation in mutation rates between MA lines might come, first, from the limited time period of the experiment and the low number of MA lines, and second from the possible stochastic production of DNA repair proteins such as reported in *Escherichia coli* [62]. This is perhaps responsible of an increase of mutation rate in some MA lines, increasing the global mutation rate in the whole experiment.

3.2. Mutation rate per nucleotide per cell division in *Picochlorum*

Picochlorum costavermella RCC4223 has one of the highest spontaneous mutation rates estimated for a unicellular eukaryote (Table 2). Considering all MA lines, μ_{bs} , the base-substitution mutations per nucleotide rate, is 9.19×10^{-10} (Poisson distribution CI 95%; 5.53×10^{-10} – 1.44×10^{-9}), and μ_{id} , the indels mutation rate per nucleotide, is 9.64×10^{-11} (Poisson distribution CI 95%; 1.17×10^{-11} – 3.48×10^{-10}). This gives a total mutation rate μ of

Table 2

Spontaneous mutation rate in unicellular eukaryotes. μ is the mutation rate per nucleotide per generation and U is the number of mutations per genome per generation, G is the nuclear genome size and G^* the percentage of genome encoding for protein coding genes.

Species	μ^{-10}	U	G (Mb)	G^* (%)	References
Chlorophyta					
<i>Picochlorum costavermella</i>	10.12	0.0132	13.5	80	This study
<i>Micromonas pusilla</i>	9.76	0.0205	21.1	82	[42]
<i>Ostreococcus mediterraneus</i>	5.92	0.0081	13.5	84	[42]
<i>Ostreococcus tauri</i>	4.79	0.0062	13.0	82	[42]
<i>Bathycoccus prasinos</i>	4.39	0.0066	15.1	83	[42]
<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	3.23	0.0362	122.0	17	[40]
Yeast					
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	3.30	0.0041	12.3	71	[65]
<i>Rhodotorula toruloides</i>	1.90	0.0039	20.5	63	[66]
<i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i>	1.70	0.0021	12.6	55	[64]
Ciliates					
<i>Paramecium tetraurelia</i>	0.19	0.0014	72.1	78	[67]
<i>Dictyostelium discoideum</i>	0.29	0.0010	34.1	62	[68]
<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>	0.076	0.0008	130.3	38	[69]

1.012×10^{-9} mutations per nucleotide per generation, assuming that a generation in that species is one cell division or one population division. The corresponding mutation rates per genome, noted U , are $U_{bs} = 0.0119$ base-substitution mutations and $U_{id} = 0.0013$ insertions-deletions per genome per generation, corresponding to a total mutation rate $U_{tot} = 0.0132$ mutations per genome per generation.

Although a high mutation rate predicts that new mutations will accumulate quickly, including advantageous mutations, it also increases deleterious mutation events. In accordance with some previous MA studies [63], the fitness of the MA lines decreased significantly during the experiment (Pearson linear model, $\rho = -0.36$, P -value = 1.7×10^{-6}), indicating that some deleterious mutations have been fixed in MA lines. However, mutation effects are environment-dependent so that a deleterious mutation in one condition is not necessarily deleterious in another, as previously demonstrated in *Marliophyceae* green algae [5].

Mutation rate variation at the intra-specific level, as observed in *C. reinhardtii* [39] and other classical models [64] suggests that mutation rate estimates may not necessarily be extrapolated to phylogenetically closely related species and strains. Thus, the mutation rate estimated in RCC4223 may not be generalized to other *Picochlorum* strains.

3.3. Mutations distribution in the genome

Because of the redundancy of the genetic code and the assumption that selection is stronger against non-synonymous mutations, we tested whether we could detect some evidence of selection in our experiment by estimating the ratio of synonymous *versus* non-synonymous mutations in coding sequences. In the absence of selection, we expect the mutation rate to be equal in non-synonymous and synonymous positions as there are one-third of synonymous positions (the last position of the codon) and two-third non-synonymous positions (the two first positions of the codon) in coding sequences. The observed proportion was as expected under neutral evolution (Table 3), consistent with the lack of selection against non-synonymous mutations.

R_1 (GC $\rightarrow$ AT mutation rate) and R_2 (AT $\rightarrow$ GC mutation rate) are respectively equal to 9.61×10^{-10} and 4.12×10^{-10} mutations per nucleotide per generation. The expected GC_{eq} is ~30%, while the observed GC content is 46%. Using R_1 and R_2 , we are able to calculate the mutation rate at GC_{eq} . Because $R_1 > R_2$, the deviation from GC_{eq} is responsible of a modest increase of ~9% of the mutation rate in this strain. This deviation is known to influence the inter-specific mutation

Table 3

The distribution of the *de novo* mutations in the genome, predicted using the program SnpEFF [58].

Contig	Position	Reference	Mutation	Effect
1	1,412,265	A	G	Synonymous coding
2	1,558,965	T	A	Non synonymous coding
3	1,431,057	G	A	Intergenic
3	1,438,974	C	T	Synonymous coding
4	388,329	G	T	Intergenic
4	532,113	C	A	Non synonymous coding
4	632,871	A	G	Non synonymous coding
4	726,437	T	A	Non synonymous coding
4	934,361	C	A	Intergenic
6	671,466	G	A	Non synonymous coding
10	496,542	C	G	Non synonymous coding
10	615,708	A	T	Intergenic
12	132,864	T	C	Intergenic
12	160,261	C	T	Synonymous coding
12	545,145	T	C	Intergenic
13	215,260	G	A	Non synonymous coding
13	240,891	G	C	Non synonymous coding
13	475,120	C	G	Intergenic
41	2537	A	C	Non synonymous coding
27	93,213	TG	T	Frame shift
13	8595	T	TTA	Frame shift

rate variation [42]. Compared to other green algal models, the GC deviation increases the mutation rate by ~12% in *O. tauri* [42] and ~43% in *C. reinhardtii* [42].

3.4. Biotechnological implications of spontaneous mutation rates

The spontaneous mutation rate determines the number of mutations arising in a culture of microalgae per generation. We can thus estimate the number of mutations in a *Picochlorum* RCC4223 culture, starting from an inoculum of $N_0 = 10$ cells maintained for 30 days, assuming one cell division per day and adequate provision of nutrients and light. According to these simple assumptions, this will lead to a culture of 2^{30} cells ($\sim 5.4 \times 10^9$ cells) in 1 Litre of culture. The number of mutations per genome per generation $U = 0.0132$ implies this culture harbours $\sim 3.57 \times 10^7$ mutant cells (3.54×10^7 cells with one mutation, 23.4×10^4 cells with 2 mutations, 1543 cells with 3 mutations and 10 cells with 4 mutations), provided that none of the spontaneous mutations were lethal. This calculation suggests that the number of mutants generated spontaneously is substantial and increases linearly with the spontaneous mutation rate and the number of cells in the culture. Furthermore, the spontaneous mutation rate we have estimated does not account for polymorphism due to transposable elements [70], or large scale genome rearrangements [21].

Therefore, strains with higher U and high cell concentration will enable the highest number of mutants to be reached in a given volume of culture. However, as a consequence of the large variation of genome sizes between eukaryotes, the proportion of spontaneous mutations occurring within protein coding regions may vary from 17 to 84% (Table 2). To account for this variation, Sung *et al.* [71] suggested estimating the mutation rate on the sum of protein-coding DNA, coined the effective genome size.

The question remains whether this spontaneous genetic variation is sufficient for experimental evolution, and this relies on an efficient selection procedure for isolating mutants with the trait of interest. Many different selection protocols have already been developed for microalgae. The most straightforward is phenotypic selection for growth rate, in which cells are continuously maintained in an actively growing state such that adaptive variants with faster division rate can get fixed in the population (there is no bottleneck). Such selection is highly efficient in bacteria (e.g in *Escherichia coli* [72,73]) and has also been applied to microalgae. For example, experimental evolution with a high effective population size induces an increase of 35% in the growth rate after 1880 generations without the use of mutagens in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [74], where cultures fixed 149 mutations in total. Within the class Mamiellophyceae, several evolved lines showed higher growth rates than the ancestral type in a wide range of salinities or upon exposure to herbicides [5]. An obvious limit to this selection strategy is when the phenotypic trait of interest is not tied to the growth rate. An alternative selection strategy is single cell sorting flow cytometry if the phenotypic trait of interest can be tied to the size or the fluorescence of microalga [75]. For example, cells with higher lipid content may be isolated out of a population by using fluorescent dyes such as Nile red and BODIPY 505/515 [76,77]. Another very promising fast developing strategy is the use of microfluidic devices that enable the screen and isolation of single cells, and thus their individual phenotypic screen [78].

Here, we provide the first estimate of the mutation rate of *Picochlorum costavermella* RCC4223 and show that it is high enough to assure the apparition of ~35 million variants within 30 days in a standard culture starting with a modest inoculum of 10 cells. We argue that in many microalga, the use of mutagenesis processes may not be necessary to generate genetic variation in a culture. It is therefore important that more estimates of spontaneous mutation rates are obtained in microalga of biotechnological interest. Algae with naturally high mutation rates, such as the strain RCC4223, for which several potential biotechnological applications have been documented [43–51], may

constitute the best candidates for domestication.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2018.08.003>.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sebastien Peuchet, Aurelien De Jode, Claire Hemon and Elodie Desgranges for technical assistance with the mutation accumulation experiment. We acknowledge the Genomics of Phytoplankton lab and the *Picochlorum costavermella* genome consortium for support and stimulating discussions. We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatic platform (INRA) for providing access to the computer cluster.

Declarations of conflict of interest

None.

No conflicts, informed consent, human or animal rights applicable.

All authors agree to authorship and submission of the manuscript for peer review.

Funding

This work was funded by ANRJJC-SVSE6-2013-0005 to GP and SSB, the CNRS and the Ecole Doctorale Complexité du Vivant (ED515, Sorbonne Universités).

Authors' contribution

GP, SSB and NG conceived the experiment and performed the MA experiment, MK performed DNA extractions, bioinformatic analysis of MA lines, PCR confirmation of candidate SNPs. All authors contributed to the manuscript writing.

References

- [1] W. Wu, X. Liu, M. Wang, R.S. Meyer, X. Luo, M.-N. Ndjondjop, L. Tan, J. Zhang, J. Wu, H. Cai, C. Sun, X. Wang, R.A. Wing, Z. Zhu, A single-nucleotide polymorphism causes smaller grain size and loss of seed shattering during African rice domestication, *Nat. Plants* 3 (2017) 17064, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.64>.
- [2] T. Izawa, The process of Rice domestication: a new model based on recent data, *Rice* 1 (2008) 127–134, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12284-008-9014-7>.
- [3] P. Civián, T.A. Brown, Origin of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) domestication genes, *Genet. Resour. Crop. Evol.* 64 (2017) 1125–1132, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-017-0518-0>.
- [4] J.R. Prado, G. Segers, T. Voelker, D. Carson, R. Dobert, J. Phillips, K. Cook, C. Cornejo, J. Monken, L. Grapes, T. Reynolds, S. Martino-Catt, Genetically engineered crops: from idea to product, *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 65 (2014) 769–790, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040039>.
- [5] M. Krasovec, A. Eyre-Walker, N. Grimsley, C. Salmeron, D. Pecqueur, G. Piganeau, S. Sanchez-Ferandin, Fitness effects of spontaneous mutations in picoeukaryotic marine green algae, *G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* 6 (2016) 2063–2071, <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.029769>.
- [6] S.P. Carroll, P.S. Jørgensen, M.T. Kinnison, C.T. Bergstrom, R.F. Denison, P. Gluckman, T.B. Smith, S.Y. Strauss, B.E. Tabashnik, Applying evolutionary biology to address global challenges, *Science* 346 (2014) 1245993, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1245993>.
- [7] J. de la Noue, N. de Pauw, The potential of microalgal biotechnology: a review of production and uses of microalgae, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 6 (1988) 725–770.
- [8] L. Brennan, P. Owende, Biofuels from microalgae—a review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products, *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 14 (2010) 557–577, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.009>.
- [9] Y. Chisti, Biodiesel from microalgae, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 25 (2007) 294–306, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2007.02.001>.
- [10] T.M. Mata, A.A. Martins, N.S. Caetano, Microalgae for biodiesel production and other applications: a review, *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 14 (2010) 217–232, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.07.020>.
- [11] A.W.D. Larkum, I.L. Ross, O. Kruse, B. Hankamer, Selection, breeding and engineering of microalgae for bioenergy and biofuel production, *Trends Biotechnol.* 30 (2012) 198–205, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.11.003>.
- [12] J.B. Shurin, M.D. Burkart, S.P. Mayfield, V.H. Smith, J.B. Shurin, M.D. Burkart, S.P. Mayfield, V.H. Smith, Recent progress and future challenges in algal biofuel production, *F1000 Res.* 5 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9217.1>.
- [13] E. Stephens, I.L. Ross, J.H. Mussgnug, L.D. Wagner, M.A. Borowitzka, C. Posten,

- O. Kruse, B. Hankamer, Future prospects of microalgal biofuel production systems, *Trends Plant Sci.* 15 (2010) 554–564, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.06.003>.
- [14] E.W. Becker, Micro-algae as a source of protein, *Biotechnol. Adv.* 25 (2007) 207–210, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2006.11.002>.
- [15] A. Ben-Amotz, M. Avron, The biotechnology of cultivating the halotolerant alga *Dunaliella*, *Trends Biotechnol.* 8 (1990) 121–126, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7799\(90\)90152-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7799(90)90152-N).
- [16] R. Raja, S. Hemaiswarya, R. Rangasamy, Exploitation of *Dunaliella* for beta-carotene production, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 74 (2007) 517–523, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0777-8>.
- [17] M.L. Ghirardi, L. Zhang, J.W. Lee, T. Flynn, M. Seibert, E. Greenbaum, A. Melis, Microalgae: a green source of renewable H₂, *Trends Biotechnol.* 18 (2000) 506–511, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799\(00\)01511-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(00)01511-0).
- [18] P.G. Stephenson, C.M. Moore, M.J. Terry, M.V. Zubkov, T.S. Bibby, Improving photosynthesis for algal biofuels: toward a green revolution, *Trends Biotechnol.* 29 (2011) 615–623, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2011.06.005>.
- [19] T.J. Kawecki, R.E. Lenski, D. Ebert, B. Hollis, I. Olivieri, M.C. Whitlock, Experimental evolution, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27 (2012) 547–560, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.06.001>.
- [20] R.D.H. Barrett, D. Schluter, Adaptation from standing genetic variation, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23 (2008) 38–44, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.09.008>.
- [21] R. Blanc-Mathieu, M. Krasovec, M. Hebrard, S. Yau, E. Desgranges, J. Martin, W. Schackwitz, A. Kuo, G. Salin, C. Donnadieu, Y. Desdèvises, S. Sanchez-Ferandin, H. Moreau, E. Rivals, I.V. Grigoriev, N. Grimsley, A. Eyre-Walker, G. Piganeau, Population genomics of picophytoplankton unveils novel chromosome hypervariability, *Sci. Adv.* 3 (2017) e1700239, <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700239>.
- [22] H. Jang, I.M. Ehrenreich, Genome-wide characterization of genetic variation in the unicellular, green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *PLoS One* 7 (2012) e41307, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041307>.
- [23] T. Sang, Genes and mutations underlying domestication transitions in grasses, *Plant Physiol.* 149 (2009) 63–70, <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.128827>.
- [24] S. Ota, T. Matsuda, T. Takeshita, T. Yamazaki, Y. Kazama, T. Abe, S. Kawano, Phenotypic spectrum of *Parachlorella kessleri* (Chlorophyta) mutants produced by heavy-ion irradiation, *Bioresour. Technol.* 149 (2013) 432–438, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.09.079>.
- [25] G. Hu, Y. Fan, L. Zhang, C. Yuan, J. Wang, W. Li, Q. Hu, F. Li, Enhanced lipid productivity and photosynthesis efficiency in a *Desmodesmus* sp. mutant induced by heavy carbon ions, *PLoS One* 8 (2013) e60700, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060700>.
- [26] J. Cheng, H. Lu, Y. Huang, K. Li, R. Huang, J. Zhou, K. Cen, Enhancing growth rate and lipid yield of *Chlorella* with nuclear irradiation under high salt and CO₂ stress, *Bioresour. Technol.* 203 (2016) 220–227, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.032>.
- [27] J. Cheng, Y. Huang, J. Feng, J. Sun, J. Zhou, K. Cen, Mutate *Chlorella* sp. by nuclear irradiation to fix high concentrations of CO₂, *Bioresour. Technol.* 136 (2013) 496–501, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.03.072>.
- [28] S. Cazzaniga, L. Dall'Osto, J. Szaub, L. Scibilia, M. Ballottari, S. Purton, B. Bassi, Domestication of the green alga *Chlorella sorokiniana*: reduction of antenna size improves light-use efficiency in a photobioreactor, *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 7 (2014) 157, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-014-0157-z>.
- [29] S. Vonlanthen, D. Dauvillée, S. Purton, Evaluation of novel starch-deficient mutants of *Chlorella sorokiniana* for hyper-accumulation of lipids, *Algal Res.* 12 (2015) 109–118, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.08.008>.
- [30] A. Spicer, A. Molnar, Gene editing of microalgae: scientific progress and regulatory challenges in Europe, *Biology* 7 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology7010021>.
- [31] D.L. Halligan, P.D. Keightley, Spontaneous mutation accumulation studies in evolutionary genetics, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 40 (2009) 151–172, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173437>.
- [32] M. Lynch, M.S. Ackerman, J.-F. Gout, H. Long, W. Sung, W.K. Thomas, P.L. Foster, Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17 (2016) 704–714, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2016.104>.
- [33] W. Wei, L.-W. Ning, Y.-N. Ye, S.-J. Li, H.-Q. Zhou, J. Huang, F.-B. Guo, SMAL: a resource of spontaneous mutation accumulation lines, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31 (2014) 1302–1308, <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu073>.
- [34] K. Vandepoele, M. Van Bel, G. Richard, S. Van Landeghem, B. Verhelst, H. Moreau, Y. Van de Peer, N. Grimsley, G. Piganeau, pico-PLAZA, a genome database of microbial photosynthetic eukaryotes, *Environ. Microbiol.* 15 (2013) 2147–2153, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12174>.
- [35] M. Nei, T. Maruyama, R. Chakraborty, The bottleneck effect and genetic variability in populations, *Evolution* 29 (1975) 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2407137>.
- [36] S.F. Elena, R.E. Lenski, Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and genetic bases of adaptation, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 4 (2003) 457–469, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1088>.
- [37] S.A. Kraemer, A.D. Morgan, R.W. Ness, P.D. Keightley, N. Colegrave, Fitness effects of new mutations in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* across two stress gradients, *J. Evol. Biol.* (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12807>.
- [38] A.D. Morgan, R.W. Ness, P.D. Keightley, N. Colegrave, Spontaneous mutation accumulation in multiple strains of the green alga, *Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii*, *Evolution* 68 (2014) 2589–2602, <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12448>.
- [39] R.W. Ness, A.D. Morgan, R.B. Vasanthakrishnan, N. Colegrave, P.D. Keightley, Extensive de novo mutation rate variation between individuals and across the genome of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Genome Res.* 25 (2015) 1739–1749, <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.191494.115>.
- [40] R.W. Ness, A.D. Morgan, N. Colegrave, P.D. Keightley, R.W. Ness, A.D. Morgan, N. Colegrave, P.D. Keightley, Estimate of the spontaneous mutation rate in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Genetics* 192 (2012) 1447–1454, <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.112.145078>.
- [41] W. Sung, M.S. Ackerman, S.F. Miller, T.G. Doak, M. Lynch, Drift-barrier hypothesis and mutation-rate evolution, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (2012) 18488–18492, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216223109>.
- [42] M. Krasovec, A. Eyre-Walker, S. Sanchez-Ferandin, G. Piganeau, Spontaneous mutation rate in the smallest photosynthetic eukaryotes, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34 (2017) 1770–1779, <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx119>.
- [43] W.J. Henley, J.L. Hironaka, L. Guillou, M.A. Buchheim, J.A. Buchheim, M.W. Fawley, K.P. Fawley, Phylogenetic analysis of the “Nannochloris-like” algae and diagnoses of *Picochlorum oklahomensis* gen. et sp. nov. (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta), *Phycologia* 43 (2004) 641–652, <https://doi.org/10.2216/10031-8884-43-6-641.1>.
- [44] M. Krasovec, E. Vancaester, S. Rombauts, F. Buchini, S. Yau, C. Hemon, H. Lebedonchel, N. Grimsley, H. Moreau, S. Sanchez-Brosseau, K. Vandepoele, G. Piganeau, Clues on thermotolerance unearthed in genomic analyses of the microalga, *picochlorum costavermella* (trebouxiophyceae), *Genome Biol. Evol.* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evy167>.
- [45] F. Foflonker, G. Ananyev, H. Qiu, A. Morrison, B. Palenik, G.C. Dismukes, D. Bhattacharya, The unexpected extremophile: tolerance to fluctuating salinity in the green alga *Picochlorum*, *Algal Res.* 16 (2016) 465–472, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.04.003>.
- [46] F. Foflonker, D.C. Price, H. Qiu, B. Palenik, S. Wang, D. Bhattacharya, Genome of the halotolerant green alga *Picochlorum* sp. reveals strategies for thriving under fluctuating environmental conditions, *Environ. Microbiol.* 17 (2015) 412–426, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12541>.
- [47] C.R. Gonzalez-Esquer, S.N. Twary, B.T. Hovde, S.R. Starkenburg, Nuclear, chloroplast, and mitochondrial genome sequences of the prospective microalgal biofuel strain *picochlorum soloecismus*, *Genome Announc.* 6 (2018) e01498, <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.01498-17>.
- [48] C.K. Black, D.M. Mihai, I. Washington, The photosynthetic eukaryote nannochloris eukaryote as an intracellular machine to control and expand functionality of human cells, *Nano Lett.* 14 (2014) 2720–2725, <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl500655h>.
- [49] S. Wang, X. Shi, B. Palenik, Characterization of *picochlorum* sp. use of wastewater generated from hydrothermal liquefaction as a nitrogen source, *Algal Res.* 13 (2016) 311–317, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.11.015>.
- [50] T.-Y. Chen, H.-Y. Lin, C.-C. Lin, C.-K. Lu, Y.-M. Chen, *Picochlorum* as an alternative to *Nannochloropsis* for grouper larval rearing, *Aquaculture* 338–341 (2012) 82–88, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.01.011>.
- [51] K. Watanabe, K. Fujii, Isolation of high-level-CO₂-preferring *Picochlorum* sp. strains and their biotechnological potential, *Algal Res.* 18 (2016) 135–143, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.06.013>.
- [52] M. de la Vega, E. Díaz, M. Vila, R. León, Isolation of a new strain of *Picochlorum* sp. and characterization of its potential biotechnological applications, *Biotechnol. Prog.* 27 (2011) 1535–1543, <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.686>.
- [53] D. Vaulot, F. Le Gall, D. Marie, L. Guillou, F. Partensky, The Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC): a collection dedicated to marine picoplankton, *Nova Hedwigia* 79 (2004) 49–70, <https://doi.org/10.1127/0029-5035/2004/0079-0049>.
- [54] B. Winnepeninckx, T. Backeljau, R. De Wachter, Extraction of high molecular weight DNA from molluscs, *Trends Genet. TIG.* 9 (1993) 407.
- [55] H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate long-read alignment with burrows-wheeler transform, *Bioinformatics* 26 (2010) 589–595, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp698>.
- [56] H. Li, B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin, 1000 genome project data processing subgroup, the sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools, *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 25 (2009) 2078–2079, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>.
- [57] M.A. Deprieto, E. Banks, R. Poplin, K.V. Garimella, J.R. Maguire, C. Hartl, A.A. Philippakis, G. del Angel, M.A. Rivas, M. Hanna, A. McKenna, J.J. Fennell, A.M. Kerytsky, A.Y. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, S.B. Gabriel, D. Altshuler, M.J. Daly, A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data, *Nat. Genet.* 43 (2011) 491–498, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.806>.
- [58] P. Cingolani, A. Platts, L.L. Wang, M. Coon, T. Nguyen, L. Wang, S.J. Land, X. Lu, D.M. Ruden, A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, *SnEff, Fly (Austin)* 6 (2012) 80–92, <https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695>.
- [59] L. Sterck, K. Billiau, T. Abeel, P. Rouzé, Y. van de Peer, ORCAE: online resource for community annotation of eukaryotes, *Nat. Methods* 9 (2012) 1041, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2242>.
- [60] A. Dobin, C.A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, P. Batut, M. Chaisson, T.R. Gingeras, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner, *Bioinform. Oxf. Engl.* 29 (2013) 15–21, <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635>.
- [61] N. Sueoka, On the genetic basis of variation and heterogeneity of DNA base composition, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 48 (1962) 582–592.
- [62] S. Uphoff, N.D. Lord, B. Okumus, L. Potvin-Trottier, D.J. Sherratt, J. Paulsson, Stochastic activation of a DNA damage response causes cell-to-cell mutation rate variation, *Science* 351 (2016) 1094–1097, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac9786>.
- [63] D.L. Halligan, P.D. Keightley, Spontaneous mutation accumulation studies in evolutionary genetics, *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 40 (2009) 151–172, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173437>.
- [64] M.G. Behringer, D.W. Hall, The repeatability of genome-wide mutation rate and spectrum estimates, *Curr. Genet.* (2016) 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-016-0573-7>.

- [65] M. Lynch, W. Sung, K. Morris, N. Coffey, C.R. Landry, E.B. Dopman, W.J. Dickinson, K. Okamoto, S. Kulkarni, D.L. Hartl, W.K. Thomas, A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 (2008) 9272–9277, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803466105>.
- [66] H. Long, M.G. Behringer, E. Williams, R. Te, M. Lynch, Similar mutation rates but highly diverse mutation spectra in ascomycete and basidiomycete yeasts, *Genome Biol. Evol.* 8 (2016) 3815–3821, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw286>.
- [67] W. Sung, A.E. Tucker, T.G. Doak, E. Choi, W.K. Thomas, M. Lynch, Extraordinary genome stability in the ciliate *Paramecium tetraurelia*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109 (2012) 19339–19344, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210663109>.
- [68] G. Saxer, P. Havlak, S.A. Fox, M.A. Quance, S. Gupta, Y. Fofanov, J.E. Strassmann, D.C. Queller, Whole genome sequencing of mutation accumulation lines reveals a low mutation rate in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*, *PLoS One* 7 (2012) e46759, , <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046759>.
- [69] H. Long, D.J. Winter, A.Y.-C. Chang, W. Sung, S.H. Wu, M. Balboa, R.B.R. Azevedo, R.A. Cartwright, M. Lynch, R.A. Zufall, Low base-substitution mutation rate in the germline genome of the ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila*, *Genome Biol. Evol.* (2016) evw223, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw223>.
- [70] H. Mao, H. Wang, S. Liu, Z. Li, X. Yang, J. Yan, J. Li, L.-S.P. Tran, F. Qin, A transposable element in a NAC gene is associated with drought tolerance in maize seedlings, *Nat. Commun.* 6 (2015) 8326, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9326>.
- [71] W. Sung, M.S. Ackerman, S.F. Miller, T.G. Doak, M. Lynch, Drift-barrier hypothesis and mutation-rate evolution, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109 (2012) 18488–18492, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216223109>.
- [72] I.K. Blaby, B.J. Lyons, E. Wroclawska-Hughes, G.C.F. Phillips, T.P. Pyle, S.G. Chamberlin, S.A. Benner, T.J. Lyons, V. de Crécy-Lagard, E. de Crécy, Experimental evolution of a facultative thermophile from a mesophilic ancestor, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78 (2012) 144–155, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05773-11>.
- [73] R.A. LaCroix, B.O. Palsson, A.M. Feist, A model for designing adaptive laboratory evolution experiments, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83 (2017) e03115–e03116, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03115-16>.
- [74] M.-M. Perrineau, J. Gross, E. Zelzion, D.C. Price, O. Levitan, J. Boyd, D. Bhattacharya, Using natural selection to explore the adaptive potential of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *PLoS One* 9 (2014) e92533, , <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092533>.
- [75] D. Marie, F. Le Gall, R. Edern, P. Gourvil, D. Vaultot, Improvement of phytoplankton culture isolation using single cell sorting by flow cytometry, *J. Phycol.* (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12495>.
- [76] J. Rumin, H. Bonnefond, B. Saint-Jean, C. Rouxel, A. Sciandra, O. Bernard, J.-P. Cadoret, G. Bougaran, The use of fluorescent Nile red and BODIPY for lipid measurement in microalgae, *Biotechnol. Biofuels.* 8 (2015) 42, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-015-0220-4>.
- [77] B. Guo, C. Lei, T. Ito, Y. Jiang, Y. Ozeki, K. Goda, High-throughput accurate single-cell screening of *Euglena gracilis* with fluorescence-assisted optofluidic time-stretch microscopy, *PLoS One* 11 (2016) e0166214, , <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166214>.
- [78] C.M. Yousuff, E.T.W. Ho, I. Hussain, N.H.B. Hamid, Microfluidic platform for cell isolation and manipulation based on cell properties, *Micromachines* 8 (2017) 15, <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi8010015>.